1 2 3	Mercury's Neurotoxicity is Characterized by its Disruption of Selenium Biochemistry
5 4 5	Nicholas VC Ralston ^{a*} , and Laura J. Raymond ^b
5 6 7	^a Earth System Science and Policy, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND USA. ^b Translational Medicine Research Consultants, Grand Forks, ND USA
0	*Correspondence to Dr. Nicholas Palston
9 10	212 Clifford Holl, Earth System Science and Policy
10	University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND USA
12	University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND USA.
12	Telephone (218) 791-2838
14	F-mail address: nick ralston@und edu
15	
16	
17	Abstract
18	
19	Background:
20	Methylmercury (CH ₃ Hg ⁺) toxicity is characterized by challenging conundrums: 1) "selenium (Se)-protective"
21	effects, 2) undefined biochemical mechanism/s of toxicity, 3) brain-specific oxidative damage, 4) fetal
22	vulnerability, and 5) its latency effect. The "protective effects of Se" against CH ₃ Hg ⁺ toxicity were first
23	recognized >50 years ago, but awareness of Se's vital functions in the brain has transformed understanding of
24	CH ₃ Hg ⁺ biochemical mechanisms. Mercury's affinity for Se is ~1 million times greater than its affinity for sulfur,
25	revealing it as the primary target of CH_3Hg^+ toxicity.
26	
27	Scope of Review:
28	This focused review examined research literature regarding distinctive characteristics of CH ₃ Hg ⁺ toxicity to
29	identify Se-dependent aspects of its biochemical mechanisms and effects.
30	
31	Conclusions:
32	Research indicates that CH ₃ Hg ⁺ irreversibly inhibits the selenoenzymes that normally prevent/reverse oxidative
33	damage in the brain. Unless supplemental Se is provided, consequences increase as CH ₃ Hg ⁺ approaches/exceeds
34	equimolar stoichiometries with Se, thus forming HgSe and inducing a conditioned Se deficiency. As the
35	biochemical target of CH ₃ Hg ⁺ toxicity, Se-physiology provides perspectives on the brain specificity of its
36	oxidative damage, accentuated fetal vulnerability, and latency. This review reconsiders the concept that Se is a
37	"tonic" that protects against CH_3Hg^+ toxicity and recognizes Se's role as Hg's molecular "target". As the most
38	potent intracellular nucleophile, the selenoenzyme inhibition paradigm has broad implications in toxicology,
39	including resolution of conundrums of CH_3Hg' toxicity.
40 41	Concerct Significance
41	General Significance:
42 12	intercury-dependent sequestration of selentum and the irreversible inhibition of selencenzymes, especially those
43 11	affacts of moreoury toxicity
44	

46	Abbreviations:
47	

- 48 apolipoprotein E receptor 2; (ApoER2)
- 49 cysteine (Cys)
- 50 deiodinase (DIO)
- 51 dimethylmercury (CH₃HgCH₃)
- 52 elemental mercury (Hg⁰)
- 53 glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4)
- 54 iodothyronine deiodinase 1 (DIO1)
- 55 large neutral amino acid transporter (LAT1)
- 56 methylmercury (CH_3Hg^+)
- 57 methionine (Met)
- 58 methionine sulfoxide reductase B1 (MSRB1)
- 59 oxidized mercury (Hg⁺ or Hg²⁺)
- 60 selenate (SeO $_4^{2-}$)
- 61 selenium trioxide (SeO₃)
- 62 selenide (HSe⁻)
- 63 selenite (SeO₃²⁻)
- 64 selenoate (RSe⁻)
- 65 selenocysteine (Sec)
- 66 selenomethionine (SeMet)
- 67 selenophosphate synthetase 2 (SEPHS2)
- 68 selenoprotein F (SELENOF)
- 69 selenoprotein K (SELENOK)
- 70 selenoprotein M (SELENOM)
- 71 selenoprotein N (SELENON)
- 72 selenoprotein P (SELENOP)
- 73 selenoprotein W (SELENOW
- 74 serine (Ser)
- 75 sulfhydrl (RSH)
- 76 thioredoxin reductase 1 (TXNRD1)
- 77 thioredoxin reductase 2 (TXNRD2)
- 78
- 79
- 80 81

82 **1.0 Introduction**

Mercury (Hg) occurs in elemental (Hg⁰), oxidized (Hg⁺, Hg²⁺), and organic forms such as methylmercury 83 84 (CH₃Hg⁺) and dimethylmercury (CH₃HgCH₃). Each form is distinguished by differences in sources, tissue 85 distributions, and risks of neurotoxicity (Clarkson and Magos, 2006; Syversen and Kaur, 2012). Since ~75% of inhaled Hg⁰ is absorbed (Syversen and Kaur 2012), this can be a significant source of Hg exposure in locations 86 where ambient concentrations of this volatile form are high. Once incorporated, Hg⁰ passes into tissues where it 87 88 can either be exhaled or become oxidized to form Hg⁺ or Hg²⁺ with the assistance of catalase (Halbach and 89 Clarkson, 1978). Anthropogenic and natural sources release 6,500-8,200 Mg yr⁻¹ of Hg⁰ into the global atmospheric pool that remain airborne until it becomes oxidized to form water-soluble Hg^{+/2+} that can be 90 91 deposited with rain (Driscoll et al., 2013). These inorganic forms are poorly absorbed by vertebrates, however 92 anaerobic bacteria can methylate $Hg^{+/2+}$ into CH_3Hg^+ , a neurotoxicant which bioaccumulates and biomagnifies in 93 marine and freshwater food webs. Thus, ocean and freshwater fish are the dominant sources of dietary CH3Hg⁺ 94 exposures. The addition of a second methyl group to CH_3Hg^+ creates the CH_3HgCH_3 form consistently observed 95 in deep ocean waters, but not in freshwater systems (Hintelmann, 2010). Although chemically unreactive, CH₃HgCH₃ is readily absorbed and becomes distributed throughout vertebrate tissues (Ostland, 1969). However, 96 97 only the minor fraction which has been demethylated to CH₃Hg⁺ is retained, whereas the majority of incorporated CH₃HgCH₃ is exhaled in the first 48 hours (Ostland, 1969). Low level exposures to Hg⁰ or CH₃Hg⁺ are ubiquitous 98 99 and without adverse consequences, but high exposures are neurotoxic because they can readily cross the blood-100 brain barrier and preferentially bind with nucleophilic chalcogens such as sulfur or selenium (Se).

101 Cysteine (Cys) is abundant in tissues, and its thiol is capable of binding with CH_3Hg^+ to form CH_3Hg -Cys 102 (Harris et al., 2003), an adduct with a molecular structure resembling methionine (Met) and other uncharged 103 amino acids (Hoffmeyer et al., 2006). As a molecular mimic of these amino acids (Bridges and Zalups, 2005) 104 CH_3Hg -Cys is transported into cells by the large neutral amino acid transporter (LAT1). Biota in aquatic 105 ecosystems acquire CH_3Hg -Cys in place of Met and retain it in their tissue proteins. Predators absorb the majority 106 of the CH_3Hg -Cys present in their prey, thus bioaccumulating increasing amounts at each trophic level, resulting 107 in the highest quantities in oldest, largest, and most voracious fish of marine and freshwater food webs as well as

108 in piscivorous mammals. Fish consumption is the primary source of human exposures to CH₃Hg⁺ and are of 109 concern in relation to the potential risks that maternal exposures might have on fetal neurodevelopment. High 110 CH₃Hg⁺ exposures following catastrophic poisoning incidents resulted in a well characterized syndrome of motor 111 and sensory deficits associated with extensive oxidative damage to brain, with the fetal brain being particularly 112 vulnerable to harm (Clarkson and Magos, 2006). However, the potential for risks being associated with lower 113 CH₃Hg⁺ exposures, such as those associated with fish consumption, have remained controversial. This is largely 114 due to uncertainties regarding its molecular mechanism/s and the vulnerability of population subgroups. 115 The mistaken idea that CH_3Hg^+ localizes in association with lipids persists in some current literature. This 116 originated from observations in protein free suspensions (Nakada et al., 1978; Giraultab et al., 1997), but is not 117 true in tissues (Prohaska and Ganther, 1977; Harris et al., 2003), where it is predominantly bound to thiols. The sulfhydrl (RSH) or thiol group has a high affinity for Hg compounds ($K_a = 10^{39}$) (Dyrrsen and Wedborg, 1991) 118 119 and for this reason, thiomolecules are often referred to as mercaptans (from the Latin; mercurium captans -120 meaning mercury capturing) (Cremlyn, 1996). Mercury's affinity for thiols suggested this could be related to the 121 mechanism of its toxicity. However, intracellular thiol concentrations are in the mM range, ~10,000 times greater 122 than the 1-2.5 µM blood Hg level associated with toxicity, so defining the stoichiometry of its reaction 123 mechanism was elusive. Interactions between Hg and thiols are bimolecular, but because thiol concentrations are 124 saturating, their reactions follow pseudo-first order kinetics proportional to the amount of Hg present. However, 125 interactions with thiols fail to provide compelling rationales for Hg's brain specificity, the reactions responsible 126 for their damage, why fetal brains are more vulnerable than their mother's (Clarkson and Magos, 2006), nor the 127 prolonged silent latency between toxic exposures and the onset of effects (Weiss et al., 2002). However, CH₃Hg-128 dependent interruptions of Se-metabolism provide a coherent rationale that is consistent with these consequences. 129 Although Se's "protective effect" against Hg toxicity was first noted by Pařízek and Oštádalová (1967) 130 over 50 years ago, the pivotal importance of this finding remained overlooked or widely misunderstood. The 131 protective effect was thought to involve Se binding to Hg, thus acting as a "tonic" that sequestered Hg in a form 132 that no longer harmed important biomolecules, but instead of acting as a "tonic" that dilutes Hg's effects, Se is the

133 biochemical "target" of CH₃Hg⁺ toxicity. Methylmercury binding to thiols is kinetically labile, readily exchanging

between thermodynamically equivalent partners (Erni and Geier, 1979). However, Hg compounds have an affinity 134 for Se ($K_a = 10^{45}$) that is ~1 million-fold higher than for sulfur (Dyrrsen and Wedborg, 1991). Based on their high 135 136 binding affinities, one might expect that Hg should be predominantly bound to selenomolecules. Due to mass 137 action effects, >95% of cellular Hg is associated with thiols (Harris et al., 2003). This would have minimal 138 influence on sulfur metabolism since intracellular thiols are 10,000 times more abundant than toxic levels of Hg. 139 In contrast, tissue Se ranges are between 1-2 µM, concentrations which are stoichiometrically consistent with the 140 ranges associated with CH₃Hg⁺ toxicity. Thiomolecules function as vehicles that conduct CH₃Hg⁺ into metabolic 141 pathways where it can disrupt or interrupt normal Se-metabolism. 142 This focused review discusses the biochemistry of CH₃Hg⁺ and Se in relation to distinctive characteristics 143 of CH₃Hg⁺ toxicity: 1) the mechanism/s of the "Se-protective" effect, 2) the biochemical mechanisms responsible

for its pathology, 3) the oxidative damage specific to the brain, 4) the accentuated vulnerability of fetal brain, and 5) the biochemical basis for the latency effect. These aspects are sequentially considered from the perspective of the past 50 years of research that reveal Se as a primary target of CH_3Hg^+ toxicity and the importance of dietary Se in relation to CH_3Hg^+ exposure risks.

- 148
- 149

150 **2.0 The "Selenium-Protective" Effect**

151 The biological functions of Se arise through the activities of Sec in 25 proteins expressed by the human proteome 152 (Gladyshev et al., 2004; Kryukov and Gladyshev, 2004). The majority of the selenoproteins are enzymes in which 153 Sec is the primary catalytic actor in the active site. Selenoproteins are expressed in all vertebrates, and are 154 especially important in the brain for prevention and reversal of oxidative damage that might otherwise occur due 155 to its high metabolic activities. Therefore, the tissue occurrence and distributions of these unique selenoproteins 156 (See Table 1) are tightly controlled and preferentially preserved in brain and neuroendocrine tissues (Chen and 157 Berry 2003; Schweizer et al., 2004; Whanger 2001; Köhrle, 2006). To understand how Se "protects" against Hg 158 toxicity, it is necessary to understand Se physiology.

160 2.1 Selenocysteine Synthesis and Selenoprotein Activities

161 Selenium was identified as an element in 1817 by Jöns Jakob Berzelius. The chalcogens of group 16, oxygen (O),

- 162 sulfur (S), and Se are chemically similar and form analogous compounds. With six valence electrons, two of them
- unpaired ([Ar] $3d^{10}4s^24p^4$), Se can form six covalent bonds due to 4d orbitals. In association with oxygen, its
- 164 oxidation state is +6 in selenium trioxide (SeO₃), +4 in selenates (SeO₄²⁻), and +2 in selenites (SeO₃²⁻). In
- 165 combination with other elements, it forms binary compounds with an oxidation state of -2, e.g., in selenide (HSe⁻),
- 166 hydrogen selenide (H₂Se), and organic selenides.
- 167 Sulfur and Se are chemically similar and indistinguishable to the plants or bacteria that incorporate them 168 into various molecules including the amino acids methionine (Met) and selenomethionine (SeMet) (see Figure 1). 169 The SeMet and Met are incorporated into proteins nonspecifically from one another in plants and in the cells of 170 animals that consume them. However, an important distinction between these two amino acids is the release of 171 inorganic selenide (HSe⁻) following degradation of SeMet. Since Se²⁻ is the required precursor for Se-
- biochemistry in animals, this is the crucial first step of Se-physiology.
- 173



175 Figure 1. The structural analogues of biologically significant chalcogen amino acids and their pKa's.

176

The synthesis, reactivities, and functions of the chalcogen amino acids; serine (Ser), Cys, and selenocysteine (Sec), the 21st proteinogenic amino acid, are vastly different (See Figure 2). With a pKa of ~13, the hydroxyl proton of Ser is stable and unreactive. However, with displacement of its hydroxyl, Ser can serve as the precursor for biosynthesis of Sec, Cys and glycine (Umbarger, 1978). In contrast to the hydroxyl of Ser, the thiol of Cys is a nucleophile in enzymes that adjust its pKa from 8.3 to nearly neutral. The Cys thiol is easily oxidized to form the disulfides that contribute to folding and confer structural stability to proteins. Disulfide formation is an important aspect of Cys participation in reactions, such as those that help preserve intracellular reducing
conditions. Incorporation of Ser and Cys into proteins involve specific ligases to form a L-seryl-tRNA^{Ser} and Lcysteinyl-tRNA^{Cys} to designate insertion into nascent polypeptides during synthesis. Like other amino acids, Ser
and Cys can be repeatedly used in continuous cycles of protein synthesis, activity, and degradation. In contrast,
Sec cannot be reused, and must be degraded to inorganic Se²⁻ by a Sec-specific lyase (Raman et al., 2012) so that
it can be used to synthesize a new Sec, which is created as it becomes incorporated in nascent selenoproteins
(Hatfield and Gladyshev, 2002).

190 In response to UGA (normally a stop codon) acting in concert with a specific stem-loop structure in the 3' 191 untranslated region, the Sec Insertion Sequence (SECIS) initiates de novo synthesis of Sec as it is co-192 translationally inserted into the protein sequence. Mammalian Sec synthase (SecS), a pyridoxal phosphate-193 containing protein, functions together with selenophosphate synthetase-2 (SEPHS2-which is itself a Secdependent enzyme) to form selenophosphate ($SePO_3^{3-}$), a high energy molecule that displaces the hydroxyl of the 194 Ser moiety of O-phosphoseryl-tRNA^{[Ser]Sec} to be replaced with a Se atom (Papp et al., 2007; Turanov et al., 2013), 195 196 thus generating the selenocysteyl-tRNA^{[Ser]Sec} for incorporation of Sec in the polypeptide chain (Berry et al., 2001; 197 Xu et al., 2006).

198 The importance of Se-metabolism is evident through the significant functions of its proteins (Table 1). 199 For example, selenoenzymes such as glutathione peroxidase (GPX1, 4, and 6) intercept and detoxify hydroxyl 200 radicals while thioredoxin reductase (TXNRD1-3) restores vital cellular redox molecules (thioredoxin, ascorbate, 201 and numerous others) that have become oxidized, such as vitamin C, vitamin E, ubiquinol, and polyphenols, back 202 into their functional (reduced) forms in cytosol (TXNRD1) and within mitochondria (TXNRD2) for the 203 prevention of oxidative damage in the cell (see Figure 2). Selenoprotein M (SELENOM), selenoprotein N 204 (SELENON), and selenoprotein W (SELENOW) appear to have similarly significant intracellular antioxidant 205 functions while still other selenoenzymes restore oxidized Met (methionine sulfoxide reductase B1; MSRB1) and 206 long chain fatty acids of phospholipids (GPX4) back to their reduced forms, using glutathione (GSH) as a 207 cofactor. Selenoprotein P (SELENOP), the most common selenoprotein in the plasma, possesses 10 Sec residues 208 which are preferentially delivered to the brain, placenta and endocrine tissues to supply their Se requirements

209	(Burk and Hill	, 2005; Burk et al., 2013). The deiodinases (DIO1-3) regulate thyroid hormone metabolism. DIO1	
210	cleaves the iodine-carbon bond of T_4 (thyroxine) to activate thyroid hormone (T_3) in tissues other than brain,		
211	while DIO2 is	responsible for more than 75 $\%$ of T ₃ production in the brain, and is also active in pituitary/thyroid	
212	glands, skeleta	l/heart muscle, and placenta. The brain, placenta, and pregnant uterus express higher amounts of	
213	DIO3 and may	protect the fetal central nervous system from disproportionately high levels of T_4 and T_3 (Köhrle,	
214	2000; 2009). S	elenoprotein K (SELENOK) and selenoprotein T (SELENOT) are located on the membrane of the	
215	endoplasmic re	eticulum and are involved with calcium release and maintaining intracellular calcium homeostasis	
216	(Grumolato et	al., 2008; Wang et al., 2017). For comprehensive reviews of selenoproteins and their functions see	
217	Reeves and Ho	offman (2009), Whanger (2001), Rayman (2000), Köhrle (2000; 2009), and Kühbacher (2009).	
218	The na	ames of the 25 selenoprotein genes expressed in the human proteome reflect the recognized	
219	activities of the	e functionally characterized selenoenzymes while the nomenclature of the rest are standardized to	
220	employ the roo	ot symbol SELENO followed by a letter designating the individual gene (Gladyshev et al., 2016).	
221	For convenien	ce and clarity, the gene names are used as the short name for the proteins throughout this article.	
222 223	Table 1. Mam	nalian selenoproteins ¹	
224	Gene name	Functions and/or comments regarding tissue and/or subcellular localization	
226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242	GPX2 GPX3 GPX4 GPX6 TXNRD1 TXNRD2 TXNRD3 SELENOF SELENOH SELENOK SELENOK SELENOM SELENON SELENOO SELENOO SELENOO SELENOS SELENOS	Expressed in cytosol of liver and tissues of the digestive system Primarily synthesized in kidney; active in plasma Se transport to other tissues Prevents and reverses oxidative damage to lipids in brain, testis and other tissues Expressed in embryos and olfactory epithelium, catalyzes reduction of peroxides Cytosolic form, reduces multiple antioxidant substrates, regulates metabolic pathways Mitochondrial form, reduces multiple antioxidant substrates, controls redox pathways Reduces both glutathione disulfide and oxidized Trx, highest expression in testis Oxidoreductase that may assist in disulfide formation and protein folding Oxidoreductase, protects neurons against apoptosis, promotes mitochondrial biogenesis Ethanolamine-phosphotransferase 1 that synthesizes phosphatidylethanolamine Participates in detoxification in endoplasmic reticulum, involved in calcium regulation Perinuclear, highly expressed in brain, may be involved in calcium metabolism Protect against oxidative stress, regulates redox-related calcium homeostasis Mitochondrial, largest mammalian selenoprotein, potentially active in redox control Transports Se (10 Sec/molecule in humans) to brain, endocrine tissues, and placenta. Participates in detoxification in the endoplasmic reticulum, may control inflammation Thioredoxin-like protein expressed during development, and in adult endocrine tissues	
243 244	SELENOV	Highly expressed in skeletal muscle, heart, and brain neurons, appears to be an oxidoreductase	

245	DIO1	Activates thyroid hormone, converts T ₄ into T ₃ (thyroxine) predominant in liver, kidney
246	DIO2	Activates thyroid hormone, converts T ₄ into T ₃ thyroid, placenta, pituitary and brain
247	DIO3	Deactivates thyroid hormone in brain, placenta, and pregnant uterus, important in fetus
248	SEPHS2	Catalyzes formation of Se-phosphates required for synthesis of Sec to all selenoproteins
249	MSRB1	Repairs oxidatively damaged Met-R-sulfoxides back into native reduced Met conformation
250		

¹Information presented in this table was compiled from Reeves and Hoffmann, 2009 using the newly approved
 names for the selenoproteins National Center for Biotechnology Information https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/;
 Gladyshev et al., 2016;)

255

254



Figure 2. Schematic of thioredoxin reductase (TXNRD) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX) activities in concert
with some of the most important agents they interact with to restore oxidized (ox) forms back to their functional
reduced (red) states as they cooperate in preventing and reversing oxidative damage.

261 2.3 Studies of Mercury-Selenium Interactions

262 The role of selenoenzyme dependent prevention and reversal of oxidative damage in the brain was

263 generally overlooked in earlier studies of Hg toxicity. Unaware that supplemental Se offset losses due to Hg

sequestration, thus preventing interruption of the activities of selenoenzymes necessary to prevent oxidative

265 damage to the brain and perform other vital functions, early investigators described Se as having a "protective

effect" against Hg toxicity, -terminology which is convenient, but unacceptably imprecise. However, since Hg has

267 greater affinity for Se than sulfur, readily exchanging association with a thiolate ligand for selenolate ligand in

268 aqueous medium (Sugiura et al., 1978; Dyrrsen and Wedborg, 1991; Khan and Wang, 2009; Melnick et al., 2010), 269 that results in formation of HgSe within cells (Huggins et al., 2009; Korbas et al., 2010; Gajdosechova et al., 270 2016), this misapprehension is understandable. In silico calculations of quantum chemical interactions studies 271 confirm CH_3Hg^+ complexes with Sec are thermodynamically favored (ΔG of formation from model reactants) in 272 comparison to Cys (Asaduzzaman et al., 2010). These findings are consistent with qualitative predictions based 273 on the Hard-Soft Acid Base concept (Pearson, 1997), an approach which is useful for inferring interactions 274 between electrophilic Hg and nucleophilic chalcogens. Mercury affinities would be predicted to follow the order: 275 O << S < Se, reflecting their relative reactivities consistent with results shown in Figure 3. Mercury continually 276 exchanges association between thermodynamically equivalent binding partners such as thiols, but will readily 277 exchange a bond with sulfur to form a new, higher affinity bond with Se.

278



279

Figure 3. Depictions of electrostatic potential surfaces of mercury in covalent association with the biologically significant chalcogens, their chemical potentials, and binding affinity constants. The electron cloud depicted in blue indicates a lower e⁻ abundance and a more positive charge, while yellow shading to red indicates increasingly negative charge. The balance of the HgSe charges stabilize the molecule, contributing to their remarkably high binding affinities. Images were generated using GaussView software, courtesy of Dr. Alexander Azenkeng, UND.

285

286 2.4 Dietary Selenium Counteracting Mercury Toxicity

- 287 Selenium-containing molecules must first be degraded into inorganic Se before it can be used for de novo synthesis of
- 288 Sec, regardless of whether it is obtained from the diet or originates from the breakdown of endogenous intracellular
- 289 molecules. The major metabolic difference between inorganic and organic sources of Se is their rate of selenide

formation. Selenite is quickly transformed into selenide once it enters the reducing environment of the cell (Cupp-Sutton and Ashby, 2016) and Sec lyase degrades Sec to form selenide almost as rapidly, therefore, both promptly provide Se for Sec synthesis. Since SeMet becomes incorporated into proteins nonspecifically from Met and can engage in many cycles of protein synthesis before it is eventually degraded, the eventual release of its Se can be substantially delayed.

295 Numerous studies have shown Se counteracts Hg toxicity. Pařízek J, Oštádalová (1967) reported that lethal toxicity 296 of mercuric chloride was alleviated by sodium selenite simultaneously administered to rats. Work by Ganther et 297 al., (1972) showed that inorganic Se diminished the toxicity of CH_3Hg^+ , reducing mortality and restoring weight gain in 298 rats. Friedman et al. studied the protective effect of Se present in freeze-dried swordfish against CH₃Hg⁺, toxicity in rats 299 (Freidman et al., 1978). Rats fed CH₃Hg⁺ containing diets that were not supplemented with Se from fish exhibited 300 symptoms of neurotoxicity, but rats that were fed CH₃Hg⁺ in a diet enriched with Se from swordfish showed no signs of 301 toxicity. The molar concentrations of Se in the swordfish were approximate 5 times higher than the Hg concentrations. 302 In a similar study, Japanese quail that were given 20 ppm CH₃Hg⁺ in diets containing 17% (by weight) tuna survived 303 longer than quail given the same concentration of CH₃Hg⁺ in a corn-soya diet. Methylmercury toxicity was also 304 reduced when Se was added to the corn-soya diets at concentrations equivalent to the tuna diets (Ganther et al., 1972). 305 In both these studies, the authors suggested that the additional dietary Se protected against the negative consequences 306 that otherwise accompanied the high levels of dietary CH₃Hg⁺ that were administered. It has also been shown that 307 maternal exposure to CH₃Hg⁺ decreased Se concentration and impaired GPX and DIO activities in the brain of neonatal 308 mice (Watanabe et al., 1999a). Watanabe reported that CH₃Hg⁺exposure of Se-deficient perinatal mice resulted in 309 retarded neurobehavioral development and persistent learning disabilities. Prenatal CH₃Hg⁺ exposure affected several 310 fetal mouse neurobehavioral and biochemical end points when their mothers were fed various amounts of dietary Se 311 and all toxicity effects were exacerbated by perinatal Se deficiency. To determine whether CH₃Hg⁺ exposure induces 312 local Se deficiency in the fetal brain, Se concentrations and the activity of GPX were measured in the neonatal brain 313 and other organs. Although the dietary level of Se did not affect brain Hg concentrations, the Se concentration and the 314 activity of GPX were severely depressed by CH₃Hg⁺ in fetal, but not maternal neural tissue (Watanabe et al., 1999b), 315 demonstrating that CH₃Hg⁺ affects Se metabolism more severely in the fetal than adult brain.

316 Recently, dietary Se was used to successfully treat a previously healthy and athletic 70 kg (154 pound) 15-year-old patient that had been exposed to large amounts of Hg⁰ vapor over a period of several weeks (Spiller, 317 318 2017). The patient had developed hypertension, muscular, testicular, and abdominal pain, insomnia, delusions, 319 hallucinations, tachycardia, palmar desquamation, diaphoresis, tremor, loss of 17 kg (38 pounds), and increasingly 320 severe ataxia leading to hospitalization. Examination revealed an elevated blood Hg level of $23 \,\mu$ g/L (~0.11 μ M) 321 that was below the concentration range associated with CH₃Hg⁺ toxicity. Chelation with 2,3-Dimercaptosuccinic 322 acid (DMSA) was initiated, but the patient's health continued to deteriorate. Dietary Se supplementation with 500 323 μg Se (~0.1 μMol/kg BW) along with 50 mg of N-acetylcysteine per day was initiated to support SELENOP and 324 GSH synthesis. Within 3 days, the patient showed noticeable improvement, and by day 11, delusions, delirium, 325 tachycardia, and abdominal pain had resolved and since he was once again ambulatory and eating normally, he 326 was released from the hospital but maintained on the Se and NAC supplement. After 3 months, all symptoms had resolved except hypertension and after an additional 2 months, he regained 35 pounds, his hypertension resolved, 327 328 and he returned to athletic activities, returning to his position on the football team soon after. Selenium 329 supplementation was continued for 8 months, but did not result in elevated serum Se levels. The treating 330 physician indicated this may suggest a systemic Se deficit and/or continued Se sequestration as HgSe.

- 331
- 332

333 **3.0 The Biochemical Mechanisms of Mercury Toxicity**

Pařízek and Oštádalová (1967) were the first to report that rats treated with otherwise lethal doses of HgCl were
protected when provided supplemental Se. Since then, the ability of Se compounds to decrease the toxicity of
various forms of Hg has been established in all investigated species of mammals, birds, and fish (Whanger, 1992;
Beijer and Jernelov, 1978; Friedman et al., 1978; Ohi et al., 1980) and described in comprehensive reviews by
Cuvin-Aralar and Furness (1991), Gailer (2007), Bjørklund et al., (2017) and Spiller et al., (2017b).
The toxic effects of CH₃Hg⁺ (see Figure 4) involve a sequence of biochemical reactions referred to as the
"SOS" Mechanisms (Ralston and Raymond, 2015). The consequences of these metabolic disruptions become

341 increasingly apparent as CH₃Hg⁺ concentrations approach, and especially as they exceed, equimolar



342 stoichiometries with brain Se.

Figure 4. Schematic of the "SOS Mechanisms" of Mercury Toxicity. Disruptions of the biochemical pathways of
 selenoenzyme activities, synthesis and related physiological outcomes, are indicated in the sequence in which
 they are expected to occur.

347

343

348 *3.1 Synthesis of Suicide-substrates (SOS-1)*

349 The placental and blood brain barriers do not prevent passage of CH₃Hg-Cys, which is taken up by the LAT1 350 transporter and carried across cell membranes. Protein synthesis demands require higher importation of Met and 351 other large nonpolar amino acids, explaining the higher concentrations of fetal CH₃Hg-Cys accumulation relative 352 to maternal blood. Once across placental and blood brain barriers, CH₃Hg promiscuously exchanges binding 353 partners among Cys residues of other molecules. As Figure 2 illustrates, the binding sites of the three forms of 354 TXNRD interact with thioredoxin and a broad variety of other thiomolecule substrates (Arnér, 2009) to reduce 355 their oxidized disulfides. The GPX enzymes employ 2 GSH molecules as reducing agent co-substrates to reduce 356 cellular peroxides. In these and other thioreactive selenoenzymes, the Cys residue of the substrate enters into close 357 proximity with the active site Sec that catalyzes the proton exchange. Formation of CH₃Hg-bound thiomolecules

during SOS 1 is the precipitating first step towards toxicity. If the various thiomolecules were not specific

359 substrates for selenoenzymes, SOS-2 and all the subsequent consequences of CH₃Hg⁺ toxicity would not occur.

360 However, because SOS-1 results in CH₃Hg⁺ binding to the Cys residues of these thiomolecules, they become

- 361 "suicide substrates" that subsequently deliver the bound Hg to the selenoenzyme's active site.
- 362

358

363 *3.2 Silencing of Selenoenzymes (SOS-2)*

364 The high vulnerability of selenoenzymes to CH_3Hg^+ exposures was proposed by Ganther et al., (1972), and 365 demonstrated by Prohaska and Ganther (1977). The development of oxidative damage as a result of Hg-dependent 366 inhibition of selenoenzymes was described by Seppanen et al., (2004). With an IC₅₀ of \sim 19.7 nM, TXNRD 367 activities are especially prone to inhibition by CH₃Hg⁺ (Carvalho et al., 2008) and numerous in vitro and in vivo 368 studies have examined time and dose dependent effects (Carvalho et al., 2008; Branco et al., 2014; Rodrigues et 369 al., 2015; Ralston and Raymond, 2015; Branco et al., 2017). Mercury dependent inhibition of GPX is well 370 documented (Prohaska et al., 1977; Watanabe, 1999a; 1999b; Seppanen et al., 2004; Stringari et al., 2008; Ralston 371 and Raymond, 2015) and supplemental Se has been shown to prevent interruption of these selenoenzyme 372 activities in the brains of laboratory animals (Watanabe et al., 1999; Stringari et al., 2008; Ralston and Raymond, 373 2015).

374 Upon binding with the CH₃Hg-Cys adduct formed via SOS 1, the CH₃Hg⁺ exchanges its covalent 375 association from the substrate Cys to the activated Sec of the enzyme's active site (See Figure 4) resulting in 376 formation of an extremely stable CH₃Hg-Sec inhibitor-enzyme complex. Unlike Cys, the partnership of CH₃Hg 377 with Sec is permanent due to the high binding affinity between Hg and Se. Therefore, the enzyme can no longer 378 perform its essential functions because its catalytic Sec is blocked by CH₃Hg⁺. Thus, by biochemical definition, 379 CH_3Hg^+ is a highly selective irreversible inhibitor of selenoenzymes. In addition to coinciding with observations 380 of increased oxidative damage, inhibition of SELENOT results in increased cellular free calcium and increased 381 catecholamine release (Grumolato et al., 2008; Uezono et al., 2006; Baoukhzar et al., 2016). This could arise 382 through direct binding to the active site Sec of SELENOT or as a result of depletion of biologically available Se 383 resulting it its absence. Since increases in catecholamine release appear to occur between>1 and 10 mmol of Hg

- (Weinberg et al., 1995), this mechanism may explain the tachycardia and hypertension observed in acrodynia as well as in the Hg⁰ exposed patient described in section 2.4.
- 386

387 *3.3 Sequestration of Selenium (SOS-3)*

388 Loss of selenoenzyme activities due to irreversible inhibition is augmented by CH₃Hg's uniquely insidious ability 389 to induce a conditioned Se-deficiency in the brain. Methylmercury is the only environmental insult has been 390 shown to diminish brain Se below the otherwise impenetrable minimum threshold of ~60% of normal (Behne et 391 al., 2000). Sequestration of Hg together with Se as the result of CH_3Hg^+ binding to the Sec of TXNRD is 392 particularly evident in kidney and liver (Wagner et al., 2010). Following catastrophically high CH₃Hg exposures, 393 there is an ongoing attrition of Se in somatic and brain (Korbas et al., 2010) tissues due to the continual formation 394 biologically unavailable mercury selenide (HgSe). This complex is resistant to decomposition by acids other than 395 aqua regia or by heating in excess 300°C. Therefore, lysosomal HgSe accumulates in equimolar precipitates that 396 exhibit long-term retention (Falnoga et al., 2000; Falnoga et al., 2006). It is important to recognize that high Hg 397 accumulations of Hg (e.g., 10-100 µM) in brain and endocrine tissues appear to be without toxicological 398 consequences (Falnoga et al., 2006), provided at least ~1 µM of "free Se" remains available for selenoenzyme 399 synthesis, thus ensuring their activities can proceed without interruption.

400

401 *3.4 Suicide Of Selenium-deprived cells (SOS-4)*

402 Following Hg-sequestration of cellular Se, insufficient bioavailable Se may produce truncated molecules that lack 403 the terminal Sec residue (Anestål and Arnér, 2003). Truncated forms of TXNRD, known as GRIM-12; are potent 404 apoptosis (cell suicide) initiators. Sequestration of cellular Se by CH_3Hg^+ may not only deprive cells of the 405 selenoenzymes they need to prevent and reverse oxidative damage, but may also transform of TXNRD into a 406 potent apoptosis initiator. Observations of phosphorylation of apoptosis signaling kinase 1 (ASK1), caspase-3 407 activity, and the increase apoptotic cells following high CH₃Hg⁺ exposures are supportive of this mechanism 408 (Branco et al., 2017), although further work is clearly needed to establish its validity. The consequences of 409 GRIM12-dependent and other mechanisms that contribute to neuronal apoptosis could be especially damaging

410 during fetal brain development, and might also be a contributing factor in adult CH₃Hg⁺ poisoning. Furthermore, 411 impairment of the thioredoxin and glutaredoxin systems results in proliferation of reactive oxygen and nitrogen 412 species in cytosol and mitochondria which lead to mitochondrial injury/loss, lipid peroxidation, calcium 413 dyshomeostasis, impairment of protein repair, and apoptosis (Lu and Holmgren, 2014; Glasser et al., 2014; 414 Mendelev et al., 2012). 415 416 3.5 Sustained Oblivion of Sec Synthesis (SOS-5) Selenophosphate synthetase (SEPHS2), the enzyme that makes the SePO₃³⁻ required for Sec production, is itself a 417 418 selenoenzyme. If SEPHS2 activities are abolished, production of Sec may never be restored in that cell since there 419 is no way to create the Sec required in its own active site. Although this mechanism remains hypothetical, once 420 SEPHS2 has been abolished by high CH₃Hg⁺ exposures in a cell, this biochemical "catch-22" could permanently 421 prevent restoration of Sec synthesis. Stringari et al., (2008), found that high CH₃Hg⁺ exposures during fetal 422 growth had a sustained effect on brain selenoenzyme activities. If confirmed, it appears that the damaging effects 423 of CH₃Hg⁺ toxicity are not only extensive, they are likely to endure.

424

425 **4.0 The Brain Specificity of Mercury-Dependent Oxidative Damage**

426 Oxygen consumption in the brain is ~10 fold higher than in other tissues, placing the brain at an increased risk of 427 oxidative damage due to formation of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. This risk is accentuated by the brain's 428 limited antioxidant enzyme pathways that are abundantly available in other tissues; its high iron contents could 429 potentiate oxidative damage via the Fenton reaction; and the brains increased abundance of long chain 430 polyunsaturated fatty acids, which are vulnerable to lipid oxidation (Schweizer et al., 2004a; 2004b). These 431 factors emphasize the importance of selenoenzymes that prevent as well as reverse oxidative damage in the brain. 432 To ensure these essential functions are not interrupted, brain Se concentrations are homeostatically controlled to 433 maintain Se availability for selenoenzyme synthesis and activities (Cui et al., 2004; Nazioglu, 2009). During 434 extended periods of dietary Se deficiency in laboratory animals (Prohaska and Ganther, 1977; Behne et al., 2001), 435 the Se contents of somatic tissues such as liver, muscle, and blood, diminish to less than 2% of their normal

436	contents. Selenium-transport molecules redistribute Se from somatic tissues to preferentially supply brain and
437	endocrine tissues. When Se-deficient rats were provided radiolabeled ⁷⁵ SeO ₃ ²⁻ , brain was preferentially labelled
438	before other tissues (Burk et al., 1972). Brain reserves in the form of cellular SELENOP serve as accessible
439	reservoirs since Sec lyase rapidly degrades Sec to supply inorganic Se for utilization in each cycle of de novo
440	synthesis of new Sec molecules. These sources will maintain brain Se concentrations at a minimum plateau level
441	of 60% of normal (Behne et al., 2001), while retaining essential selenoenzyme activities at near-normal levels.
442	This pattern has been shown to continue in offspring, even after many generations of continual Se-deficiency.
443	Homeostatic regulation of selenoenzyme expression and activities in the brain varies by tissue, cell layer,
444	and cell type (Zhang et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2010). Although all selenoprotein mRNAs are expressed in brain,
445	GPX4, SELENOK, SELENOM, SELENOW, and SELENOF are exceptionally rich in neurons of the olfactory
446	bulb, hippocampus, cerebral cortex, and cerebellar cortex. The preferential expression of certain selenoproteins in
447	the brain suggests a hierarchy of need for brain activities (Nakayama et al., 2007). Because the distal
448	compartments of dendrites and axons are remote from the soma of the neuron, it is difficult for the cell to repair
449	damage to cellular components in the highly active regions of their synapses. Therefore, selenoenzyme-dependent
450	maintenance of reduced ascorbate and other antioxidant molecules are essential for the prevention and reversal of
451	oxidative damage in the synaptic interface, and homeostatic mechanisms have evolved to ensure their expression
452	and activities proceed without interruption (Behne et al., 2000). The only environmental insult known to severely
453	impair brain selenoenzyme activities is high CH ₃ Hg ⁺ exposure (Prohaska and Ganther, 1977; Watanabe et al.,
454	1999; Stringari et al., 2008; Ralston and Raymond, 2015). As examples of mRNA expression differences in brain,
455	the distributions of two selenoproteins, SELENOM and SELENOW, are shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Images from the Allen Brain Atlas depicting *in situ* hybridization of mRNA (left side) and protein distributions (right side) for SELENOW and SELENOM observed in sagittal sections of mouse brain cerebrum and cerebellum. High levels of mRNA expression are in red, moderate levels in yellow, low levels in green, while where mRNA below detection limits are black. Distinctive patterns of expression are evident in discrete cell layers with mRNA expression correlated with high protein concentrations. Image credit: Allen Institute.

465	Using synchrotron X-Ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), Korbas et al., (2010) found high
466	concentrations of HgSe in brains of individuals that had been poisoned with high CH ₃ Hg ⁺ . During CH ₃ Hg ⁺
467	poisoning, availability of free Se in the brain declines and brain selenoenzyme activities diminish, resulting in
468	extensive damage to the most active neurons. These neurons are destroyed as a result of SOS 1-3 and/or apoptosis
469	as a result of SOS 4. Meanwhile, the less vulnerable cells survive the crisis because they have maintained
470	sufficient Se for selenoenzyme activities. The severity of the pathology will be proportional to neuronal cell
471	damage and death, even though the brain cells that survive may gradually recover to normal levels of
472	selenoenzyme activity, as was observed by Korbas, et al.
473	Postmortum examination of the brains of victims of CH ₃ Hg ⁺ poisoning show varying degrees of neuronal
474	cell loss, especially in the sensory regions of the cortex, cerebellar granular cells, primary motor cortex (Castoldi

475 et al., 2003), and peripheral nerves (Eto et al., 2002), a pattern also seen in laboratory animals (Sakamoto et al., 476 1998). The loss of coordination (ataxia) that occurs during severe CH₃Hg⁺ poisoning is due to cerebellar damage 477 to small granule cells being destroyed, but Purkinje cells and other neighboring cells from the same region remain 478 mostly unaffected. Similarly, loss of neurons from the visual cortex is responsible for the constriction of visual 479 fields. The reasons for different sensitivities of neurons from different brain regions is currently unknown. 480 However, distinctions in neuron sensitivity to high CH₃Hg⁺ exposures are likely to be due to variances in the 481 turnover rates of essential selenoenzymes, different efficiencies of ApoER2-mediated uptake of SELENOP from 482 plasma by certain brain cell types, and discrepancies in relative abilities of each cell type to preserve their internal 483 Se reservoirs.

484

485 **5.0 Accentuated Fetal Vulnerability to Mercury Exposures**

486 The fetus is without significant tissue Se reserves, so loss of maternal Se imports to the rapidly growing 487 brain can result in impaired selenoenzyme activities and damage. The three main families of selenoenzymes 488 (iodothyronine deiodinases, thioredoxin reductases, and glutathione peroxidases) have critical roles in fetal brain 489 development, growth, thyroid and calcium metabolism, protein folding, and prevention/reversal of oxidative 490 damage, particularly in neuroendocrine tissues (See Table 1 and reviews mentioned above). The SELENOP 491 molecule, the most abundant form of Se in plasma, is the primary carrier of Se to the placenta where it is taken up 492 by the SELENOP specific receptor: ApoER2 (Burk et al., 2007; Burk et al., 2013). Approximately 25% of the 493 body's total Se is cycled through SELENOP daily (Burk et al., 2005) Mice that have been genetically modified to 494 delete SELENOP or ApoER2 (the cell surface receptor that captures and internalizes SELENOP) suffer severe 495 neurodegeneration in brain regions that are associated with auditory and motor functions (Valentine et al., 2008; 496 Burk et al., 2007). SELENOP knockout models demonstrate ataxia and Se-deficient diets result in lethality (Hill 497 et al., 2003). Additional studies indicate that high CH_3Hg^+ exposures diminish maternal Se distribution to the 498 fetus by ~70% (Parizek et al., 1971). The combination of high Hg and low dietary Se was shown to diminish fetal 499 brain Se to ~23% of normal, with a portion of that sequestered as HgSe, and brain GPX activities diminishing to 500 ~14% of normal (Watanabe et al., 1999).

501 Fetal vulnerability was first observed in association with catastrophic poisoning events in Minamata 502 Japan where 75-150 tons of Hg were dumped into the local bay of the Yatsushiro Sea, a shallow semi-enclosed 503 inland sea separating the island of Kyūshū from the Amakusa Islands. The contaminated fish that were consumed 504 obtained levels of CH_3Hg^+ concentrations as high as 50 mg/kg (Takeuchi and Eto, 1999). This amounts to ~250 505 μ M, a quantity 25-50-fold greater than their Se contents. Umbilical cords saved from births were found to contain 506 ~40 times more Se than Hg prior to the poisoning events (1927-1937). However, the umbilical cords of children 507 with high Hg-exposures contained ~3 times more Hg than Se during the poisoning event (1939-1959).

508 In addition to the poisoning events in Japan (Takeuchi and Eto, 1999) and Iraq (Marsh et al., 1987), 509 epidemiological studies in New Zealand (Crump et al., 1998), which is a Se deficient region, and the Faroe 510 Islands (Grandjean et al., 1997, 1998 Debes et al., 2006a; 2006b) reported associations of CH₃Hg⁺ exposures with 511 slight, concentration dependent adverse effects on fetal development. These studies involved mothers eating 512 seafoods during pregnancy with high Hg:Se ratios such as great white shark and pilot whale (~5:1) respectively. 513 Although Se-rich cod fish was consumed in greater quantities, more than 95% of total CH₃Hg⁺ exposure in the 514 Faroe Islands originated from pilot whale consumption. The authors of this study later concluded that the cod fish 515 offered substantial benefits that offset the otherwise expected neurodevelopmental damage from pilot whale 516 consumption. Due to their high Hg content, advisories against pilot whale consumption have since been evoked 517 and its meats removed from consumer markets (Weihe and Debes-Joensen 2012).

518 Conversely, epidemiological studies have consistently found that increasing CH₃Hg-Cys exposures from 519 maternal consumption of typical varieties of ocean fish result in neurological benefits rather than deficits in their 520 children (Hibbeln et al., 2007; Davidson et al., 1998, 2011; Myers et al., 1998; 2000; Avella-Garcia and Julvez, 521 2014; Julvez et al., 2016, Llop et al., 2017; Golding et al., 2017). These studies report beneficial associations with 522 neurological development, motor development, verbal intelligence quotient, perception, social behavior, and 523 reduced inattention and hyperactivity. A partial attenuation of these positive associations was noted in the highest 524 seafood intake category (Avella-Garcia and Julvez, 2014), but it is uncertain whether CH₃Hg-Cys was actually 525 responsible for the slight decrease in the net beneficial effects, or if higher exposures to other persistent 526 bioaccumulative toxicants were responsible.

527 In the Seychelles study, mean prenatal CH₃Hg⁺ exposure was higher than in the Faroe Islands study, but 528 no adverse associations were found between CH₃Hg⁺ and 21 endpoints (Davidson et al., 2011). Instead, increasing 529 prenatal CH₃Hg⁺ was associated with improved scores on four neurological endpoints, as well as fewer reports of 530 substance abuse and incidents of problematic behaviors in school. Furthermore, increasing maternal seafood 531 consumption was shown to be associated with up to 5 points of child IQ benefits in the United Kingdom (Hibbeln 532 et al., 2007) and nearly 10 points in the United States (Lederman et al., 2008), even though MeHg exposures were 533 greatest among mothers with the highest seafood intakes. Children of mothers who avoided fish consumption 534 during pregnancy displayed developmental impairments of a magnitude ~60 times greater than the worst-case 535 effects associated with the highest pilot whale consumption (thus the highest CH₃Hg⁺ exposures) in the Faroes 536 (Hibbeln et al., 2007). Additionally, the children of mothers who complied with the 2004 U.S. Environmental 537 Protection Agency reference dose (RfD) for CH₃Hg⁺ exposure from fish consumption had an increased risk of 538 scoring in the lowest quartile for verbal IQ, compared to children of mothers exceeding the recommended fish 539 intake. Maternal compliance with diminished fish consumption also increased children's risks for pathological 540 scores in fine motor, communication, and social skills.

The findings of these studies suggest that CH₃Hg⁺ exposure from ocean fish which contain Se in excess of CH₃Hg⁺ (a characteristic shared by nearly all commercial marine fish species) does not result in developmental harm, but diminished maternal consumption of ocean fish during pregnancy is associated with significant risks. Ocean fish are a significant source of Se and other important nutrients required for the health and development of children and avoiding ocean fish consumption during pregnancy is associated with the loss of these benefits.

547 **6.0** The Biochemical Basis for the Latency Effect in Mercury Toxicity

Mercury toxicity is characterized by (an unexplained) silent latency; a prolonged delay between ingestion of a harmful or lethal dose and the onset of symptoms, which in some cases may take months to develop (Hunter, 1969; Bakir et al., 1973; Tsubaki and Irukayama, 1977; Rice, 1996; Nierenberg et al., 1998; Weiss et al., 2002). The onset of clinical symptoms following high CH_3Hg^+ exposures display a similar sequence: paresthesia (a tingling sensation in lips and extremities) is the first symptom to arise followed by ataxia (loss of motor

553 coordination gradually intensifying to severe disruption of functions), dysarthria (difficulty in pronouncing 554 words), vision constriction, deafness, and if the dose is overwhelming, ultimately death. However, CH₃Hg⁺ has a 555 physiological half-life of ~74-days (Syversen and Kaur, 2012) and symptoms often don't arise until much of the 556 ingested dose has left the body (Nierenberg et al., 1998; Weiss et al., 2002). The severity of Hg-associated brain 557 damage is directly related to the magnitude of the dose, but the latency period is not (Weiss et al., 2002). For 558 example, a researcher that died following an accidental laboratory exposure to CH₃HgCH₃ (which is rapidly 559 demethylated to CH₃Hg⁺) showed no symptoms for ~150 days (Nierenberg et al., 1998), whereas among Iraqis 560 exposed to similar amounts of CH₃Hg⁺ the latency period was only 16-38 days (Bakir et al., 1973). The influence 561 of Se status on latency of CH₃Hg⁺ effects are apparent in animal studies where laboratory rats fed low-Se diets 562 rapidly show physiological, biochemical, and neurofunctional defects while those fed normal-Se diets show these 563 effects later and to a lesser degree, and those fed rich-Se diets showed no consequences during the course of the 9 564 or 18 week study (Ralston et al., 2007; Ralston et al., 2008)

565 If CH_3Hg^+ occurred through pseudo-first order reactions, the latency period should be uniformly brief, 566 inversely related to dose, and comparable among those exposed to similar doses. It would also be only marginally 567 affected by supplementation with Se in quantities that are considerably smaller than the CH_3Hg^+ dose. Likewise, 568 latency would be inversely related to the received dose if the mechanism involved gradual accumulation of toxic metabolites to some threshold level causing the damage, e.g., demethylation of CH_3Hg^+ to form inorganic $Hg^{+/2+}$. 569 570 However, the latency period which characterizes CH₃Hg⁺ poisoning is strong evidence in support of the concept 571 that Hg's effects arise primarily if not exclusively from inhibition of Se-metabolism. Provided Se is available to 572 support essential brain selenoenzyme activities, the adverse consequences of toxic levels of CH₃Hg⁺ will not 573 develop. However, CH₃Hg⁺ in stoichiometric excess of the exposed individual's total Se reserves are likely to 574 eventually overwhelm their ability to offset systemic losses of Se-sequestration as HgSe. Differences in individual 575 Se status will influence the duration of latency since the effects of biomolecular reactions are proportional to 576 tissue concentrations of both CH₃Hg⁺ and Se. Continual attrition of Se reservoirs will gradually diminish 577 availability of mobilized Se for the brain to maintain enzymatic function in the neurons. As the availability of 578 selenoenzyme activities that prevent and reverse oxidative damage diminishes below a critical threshold, the

damage to cellular lipids, proteins, and other important biomolecules will become increasingly evident, resulting in the symptoms which characterize Hg toxicity (Spiller et al., 2017). The extent of the delay in onset of these damaging effects are predicted to be directly proportional to the Se-reserves of the exposed individual, while the severity of the effects will be proportional to the molar ratio of CH_3Hg^+ dose in relation to total Se.

583 Because Americans typically consume Se rich foods, Se reserves tend to be more extensive. The tissue Se 584 reserves and daily dietary Se intakes of the American researcher were apparently sufficient to preserve her brain's 585 selenoenzyme activities for 5 months before the consequences of the onetime toxic dose became evident. But 586 because the diets consumed by the Iraqi population are not as Se rich as those of Americans, it is likely their Se 587 reserves were overwhelmed more quickly by their CH₃Hg⁺ exposures, resulting in more rapid onset of symptoms. 588 These possibilities are being evaluated by a Physiologically Oriented Interactions of Nutrients and Toxicants 589 (POINT) model. This computational method incorporates dietary Se intakes, CH₃Hg⁺ exposures, and their relative 590 rates of retention/excretion, tissue distributions and complex formation to assess Se-attrition as a result of Hg 591 sequestration in comparison to Se-redistribution through the homeostatic mechanisms which preferentially supply 592 Se to brain and endocrine tissues.

593

594 **7.0 Discussion**

Recognition of the biochemical interactions between CH_3Hg^+ and selenoenzymes provides a consistent basis for understanding the distinctive aspects of Hg toxicity and previous discrepancies between results of various studies. The consequences of the SOS mechanisms appear sufficient to account for the adverse effects that have been reported in association with toxic CH_3Hg^+ exposures. The possibility of additional mechanisms should not be excluded; however, care must be applied to distinguish potentially Se-independent consequences from those that may occur secondary to loss of selenoenzyme activities.

Failing to adhere to laboratory study designs that properly reflect the normal physiological ranges of dietary CH_3Hg^+ exposures and Se intakes have contributed to mistakes and misunderstandings of the effects that are expected to accompany Hg-Se interactions in human exposures. Prior to recognition of Se's metabolic functions, Se was only known as a toxicant, so its protective mechanism was attributed to mutual detoxification of

605	two poisonous elements. Early attempts to examine effects of supplemental Se in protecting against Hg toxicity
606	have sometimes used equivalent mass quantities (e.g., 10 mg/kg) of Hg and Se, rather than physiologically
607	appropriate molar concentrations. Although 10 mg Hg/kg is ~50 µmol Hg/kg, 10 mg Se/kg (~126 µmol Se/kg), is
608	in tremendous excess of the normal \sim 1 µmol Se/kg in laboratory animal diets, and \sim 5 times Se's toxic threshold.
609	Such unfortunate oversights were common in early experimental studies. Later research studies have employed
610	physiologically appropriate amounts of Se (e.g., 10 µmol Se/kg, -approximating the average Se concentration in
611	ocean fish) and found it effective in eliminating the otherwise toxic effects of 50 µmol Hg/kg on the development
612	and neurological functions of growing rats (Ralston et al., 2007; 2008).

Throughout this review, our focus has been on the loss of cellular redox control that arise as a result of CH₃Hg-dependent inhibition of selenoenzymes that prevent and reverse oxidative damage. However, intracellular Se-deficiencies due to Hg-dependent Se-sequestration seem likely to impair other Se-dependent metabolic pathways, including some which could greatly exacerbate oxidative damage (Spiller et al., 2017). Loss of SELENOK results in calcium release from the endoplasmic reticulum (Wang et al., 2017), coinciding with effects noted in cell culture experiments (Tan et al., 1993; Limke et al., 2003). SELENOM, SELENON, SELENOT also have been linked to calcium homeostasis, supporting the concept that (See Table 1; Grumolato et al., 2008)

620 As the biochemical target of CH_3Hg^+ toxicity, Se-physiology provides perspective on the brain specificity 621 of its oxidative damage, accentuated fetal vulnerability, and latency. However, current seafood risk assessments 622 are based solely on the CH₃Hg⁺ levels in the fish, but actual risks increase in direct relation to Hg:Se molar ratios 623 (Ralston et al., 2008, Ralston and Raymond 2015). Ocean fish are among the richest sources of Se in the U.S. diet, 624 and although their CH₃Hg⁺ concentrations vary in relation to their trophic level, their tissue Se concentrations generally 625 remain constant regardless of size (Kaneko and Ralston 2007). Conversely, MeHg is nonspecifically bioaccumulated 626 in fish as a molecular mimic of methionine, so the amount they bioaccumulate increases as they grow older and larger. Fish at the top of the food web can harbor tissue mercury concentrations >10⁶-fold higher than that of the 627 628 water in which they live (Lindqvist et al, 1991).

629 Similar to all other vertebrates, fish homeostatically regulate their tissue concentrations of Sec, so their
630 brain and endocrine tissues are well protected against decrements due to poor Se intakes. Selenium is abundant in

631	the marine food web, so regional differences in tissue Se are unlikely to be observed in pelagic fish. However, fish that
632	inhabit estuaries of rivers whose watershed have poor soil Se availability are likely to have diminished Se in their
633	fillets. The abundance of Se available in aquatic ecosystems is directly related to the abundance of Se in
634	surrounding soils, but it is also dependent on pH of their soil-water environment. Even when Se is present in soil,
635	its availability for uptake by plants becomes compromised in regions with low pH levels. Waterbodies with low Se
636	have been shown to accentuate MeHg accumulation and retention in the fish inhabiting these areas (Paulsson et al,
637	1989; Chen, et al, 2001; Southworth et al, 2000; Turner and Rudd, 1983; Mailman et al., 2014; Raymond and
638	Ralston 2018). Therefore, the CH ₃ Hg ⁺ and Se levels in freshwater fish can differ considerably.
639	Enhanced CH ₃ Hg ⁺ bioaccumulation in fish from Se-poor watersheds has the potential for an adverse
640	synergy of increasing CH ₃ Hg ⁺ exposures while simultaneously increasing the risks associated with those
641	exposures since the fish fail to provide adequate Se to offset losses due to Se-sequestration. Subsistence
642	consumers of fresh water fish are at particular risk of toxic effects from such high exposures. For example, in a
643	subsistence freshwater fish consuming population in the Amazon, motor function abilities were inversely related
644	to blood Hg concentrations, but directly related to Se status (Lemire et al., 2011). Because locally grown foods in
645	Se-deficient regions fail to provide background dietary sources of Se, the effect of other soft metallic or organic
646	(e.g., Ali et al., 2014) electrophiles will accentuate risks associated with CH ₃ Hg ⁺ exposures. Risk assessments that
647	simply assess CH ₃ Hg ⁺ exposures cannot adequately address these other important considerations.

649 7.1 Conclusions

Toxicology is a rapidly evolving field which continually disproves dogma, overcomes mistaken assumptions, and steadily improves understandings of biochemical mechanisms of toxicity. The conundrums of CH_3Hg^+ toxicity: the basis for the "selenium (Se)-protective" effect, the absence of a biochemically defined mechanism, its tissue specificity, enhanced fetal vulnerably, and latency effect, all arose from a misunderstanding of Hg-Se interactions. This review, compiled from over 50 years of research progress, indicates that the distinctive characteristics of CH_3Hg^+ toxicity are consistent with its unique ability to impair brain selenoenzyme activities, thus resolving these conundrums. The SOS mechanisms result in selenoenzyme inhibition, thus providing a consilient perspective of

|--|

- 658 sensitivities, and the pathological effects that arise as a result of CH₃Hg⁺ dependent impairments.
- These findings have clear implications for risk assessment research, policy, and regulations. Predatory
- 660 whales, certain varieties of shark, large specimens of swordfish, halibut, or any other types of fish that contain
- 661 more Hg than Se should not be consumed by children or pregnant women. However, nearly all other seafoods and
- ocean or freshwater fish provide far more Se than CH₃Hg⁺ to consumers and will therefore improve, rather than
- diminish, maternal and fetal Se status while offering additional nutritional benefits required for health and
- development. To enhance the reliability of CH₃Hg⁺ risk assessments, dietary Se intakes must be considered in
- 665 relation to CH_3Hg^+ exposures.
- 666

667

678

680

686

668 Acknowledgements:

669 The review of research pertaining to mercury-selenium interactions described in this article was funded by grant NA09NMF4520172 from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and United States 670 671 Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. E.P.A.) National Center for (NCER) Science to Achieve Results (STAR) 672 grant RD834792-01: Fish Selenium Health Benefit Values in Mercury Risk Management. Additional funding to 673 present these findings at national and international meetings has been provided by SeaTech Int., Cartagena, 674 Colombia. The funding agencies had no role in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of the articles included in 675 this review, and had no input on the decision to submit this article for publication. This article has not been 676 reviewed by the funding agencies and no official endorsements should be inferred. 677

679 8.0 References

- Akagi H, Grandjean P, Takizawa Y, Weihe P. (1998) Methylmercury dose estimation from umbilical cord
 concentrations in patients with Minamata disease. Env. Res. 77(2):98-103.
- Ali MA, Aly EM, Elawady AI. (2014) Effectiveness of selenium on acrylamide toxicity to retina. Int J
 Ophthalmol. 18;7(4):614-20. doi: 10.3980/j.issn.2222-3959.2014.04.05.
- Anestål K, Arnér ES. (2003) Rapid induction of cell death by selenium-compromised thioredoxin reductase 1 but
 not by the fully active enzyme containing selenocysteine. J. Biol. Chem. 278:15966-72.
- Arnér ESJ. (2009) Focus on mammalian thioredoxin reductases Important selenoproteins with versatile
 functions. Biochim. Biophys. Acta General Subjects 1790:495-526.
- Asaduzzaman AM, Khan MAK, Schreckenbach G, Wang F. (2010) Computational studies of structural,
 electronic, spectroscopic and thermodynamic properties of methylmercury-amino acid complexes and their Se
 analogues Inorg Chem. 49:870–8.

703

718

729

- 697 Avella-Garcia CB, Julvez J. (2014) Seafood intake and neurodevelopment: a systematic review. Curr. Environ.
 698 Health Rep. 11:46–77.
 699
- Baoukhzar L, Tanguy Y, Abif H, et al. (2016) Selonoprotein T: from discovery to functional studies using
 conditional knockout mice. In: Hatfield DL, Schweizer U, Tsuji PA, Glasyshev VN, editors. Selenium its
 molecular biology and role in human health. New York: Springer; 2016. p. 275–286.
- Behne D, Pfeifer H, Rothlein D, Kyriakopoulos A (2000) Cellular and subcellular distribution of selenium and
 selenium-containing proteins in the rat. In Trace Elements in Man and Animals 10:29–34. [AM Roussel, AE
 Favier and RA Anderson, editors]. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- Beijer K, Jernelov A. (1978) Ecological aspects of mercury–selenium interaction in the marine environment. Env.
 Health Persp. 25:43–45.
- Bellinger FP, Raman AV, Reeves MA, Berry MJ. (2009) Regulation and function of selenoproteins in human
 disease. Biochem. J. 422(1):11-22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/BJ20090219]
- Berry MJ, Tujebajeva RM, Copeland PR, Xu XM, Carlson BA, Martin GW, III; Low SC, Mansell JB, GrundnerCulemann E, Harney JW, Driscoll DM, Hatfield DL. (2001) Selenocysteine incorporation directed from the
 3'UTR: characterization of eukaryotic EFsec and mechanistic implications. Biofactors. 14(1-4):17-24.
 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/biof. 5520140104
- Bjørklund G, Aaseth J, Ajsuvakovad OP, Nikonorove AA, Skalny AV, Skalnay MG, Tinkov AA. (2017)
 Molecular interaction between mercury and selenium in neurotoxicity. Coord. Chem. Rev. 332:30-37.
- Branco V, Coppo L, Solá S, Rodrigues C, Lu J, Holmgren A, Carvalho C. (2017) Impaired cross-talk between the
 thioredoxin and glutathione systems is related to ASK-1 mediated apoptosis in neuronal cells exposed to
 mercury. Redox Biology. 13:278-287. DOI:10.1016/j.redox.2017.05.024
- Branco V, Santos AG, Rodrigues J, Gonçalves J, Lu J, Holmgren A, Carvalho C. (2014) Mitochondrial
 thioredoxin reductase inhibition, selenium status and Nrf-2 activation are determinant factors modulating the
 toxicity of mercury compounds Free Rad. Biol. Med. 73:95–105. DOI: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2014.04.030
- Bridges CC, Zalups RK. (2005) Molecular and ionic mimicry and the transport of toxic metals. Toxicol. Appl.
 Pharmacol. 204:274–308.
- Budtz-Jørgensen E, Keiding N, Grandjean P, Weihe P. (2007) Confounder selection in environmental
 epidemiology: assessment of health effects of prenatal mercury exposure. Ann. Epidemiol. 17: 27-35.
- Burk RF, Brown DG, Seely RJ, Scaief CC. (1972) Influence of dietary and injected selenium on whole-body
 retention, route of excretion, and tissue retention of ⁷⁵SeO₃²⁻ in the rat. J. Nutr. 102(8):1049-1055.
- Burk RF, Hill KE. (2005). Selenoprotein P: an extracellular protein with unique physical characteristics and a role
 in selenium homeostasis. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 25: 215–235. doi:10.1146/annurev.nutr.24.012003.132120. PMID
 16011466.
- Burk RF, Hill KE, Olson GE, Weeber EJ, Motley AK, Winfrey VP, Austin LM. (2007) Deletion of
 apolipoprotein E receptor-2 in mice lowers brain selenium and causes severe neurological dysfunction and
 death when a low selenium diet is fed. J. Neurosci. 27(23):6207–6211.

771

776

784

787

- Burk RF, Olson GE, Hill KE, Winfrey VP, Motley AK, Kurokawa S. (2013) Maternal-fetal transfer of selenium
 in the mouse. FASEB J. 2013 Aug;27(8):3249-56. doi: 10.1096/fj.13-231852.
- Carvalho CML., Chew E-H, Hashemy SI, Lu J, Holmgren A. (2008) Inhibition of the human thioredoxin system:
 A molecular mechanism of mercury toxicity. J. Biol. Chem. 283(18):11913-11923.
- Carvalho CM, Lu J, Zhang X, Arnér ES, Holmgren A. (2011) Effects of selenite and chelating agents on
 mammalian thioredoxin reductase inhibited by mercury: implications for treatment of mercury poisoning.
 FASEB J. 25(1):370-81. doi: 10.1096/fj.10-157594
- Castoldi AF, Coccini T, Manzo L. (2003) Neurotoxic and Molecular Effects of Methylmercury in Humans. Rev.
 Env. Health. 18(1):19-31.
- Chen YW, Belzile N, Gunn JM. (2001) Antagonistic effect of selenium on mercury assimilation by fish
 populations near Sudbury metal smelters? Limnol. Oceanogr. 46(7):1814–1818.
- Choi AL, Budtz-Jørgensen E, Jørgensen PJ, Steuerwald U, Debes F, Weihe P, Grandjeana P. (2008) Selenium as
 a potential protective factor against mercury developmental neurotoxicity. Environ. Res. 107:45-52.
- Clarkson TW, Magos L. (2006) The Toxicology of Mercury and Its Chemical Compounds. Critical Rev. Toxicol.
 36:608-662.
- Courtin F, Chantoux F, Francon J. (1986) Thyroid hormone metabolism by glial cells in primary culture. Mol.
 Cell Endocrinol. 48:167-78.
- Crantz FR, Silva JE, Larsen PR. (1982) An analysis of the sources and quantity of 3, 5, 3'-tri- iodothyro-nine
 specifically bound to nuclear receptors in rat cerebral cortex and cerebellum. Endocrinology. 110:367-75.
- 775 Cremlyn RJ. (1996) An Introduction to Organosulfur Chemistry. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons.
- Crump KS, Kjellstrom T, Shipp AM, Silvers A, Stewart A. (1998) Influence of prenatal mercury exposure upon
 scholastic and psychological test performance: Benchmark analysis of a New Zealand cohort. Risk Anal.
 18:701-13.
- Cui K, Luo X, Xu, K, Ven-Murthy MR. (2004) Role of oxidative stress in neurodegeneration: recent
 developments in assay methods for oxidative stress and nutraceutical antioxidants. Prog. Neuro psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry. 28(5):771-799. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2004.05.023
- Cupp-Sutton KA, Ashby MT. (2016) Biological Chemistry of Hydrogen Selenide. Antioxidants 5(4):42;
 https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox5040042
- Cuvin-Aralar MLA, Furness RW. (1991) Mercury and selenium interaction: a review. Ecotox. Env. Safety. 21:348-364.
 790
- Davidson PW, Cory-Slechta DA, Thurston SW, Huang LS, Shamlaye CF, Gunzler D, Watson G, van
 Wijngaarden E, Zareba G, Klein JD, Clarkson TW, Strain JJ, Myers GJ. (2011) Fish consumption and
 prenatal methylmercury exposure: cognitive and behavioral outcomes in the main cohort at 17 years from the
 Seychelles child development study. Neurotoxicology. 32(6):711-717. doi: 10.1016/j.neuro.2011.08.003.
- 796 Davis LE, Kornfeld M, Mooney HS, Fiedler KJ, Haaland KY, Orrison WW, Cernichiari E, Clarkson TW. (1994)

797 798 799	Methylmercury poisoning: long-term clinical, radiological, toxicological, and pathological studies of an affected family. Ann Neurol. 35(6):680-8.
800 801 802	Debes F, Budtz-Jorgensen E, Weihe P, White RF & Grandjean P (2006a) Impact of prenatal methylmercury exposure on neurobehavioral function at age 14 years Neurotoxicol Teratol, 28 (3), 363–375.
802 803 804 805	Debes F, Budtz-Jorgensen E, Weihe P, White RF, and Grandjean P (2006b) Impact of prenatal methylmercury exposure on neurobehavioral function at age 14 years. Neurotoxicol Teratol, 28 (5), 536–547.
806 807 808	Driscoll CT, Mason RP, Chan HM, Jacob DJ, and Pirrone N. (2013) Mercury as a Global Pollutant: Sources, Pathways, and Effects. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47(10):4967–4983.
809 810 811	Dyrssen D, Wedborg M. (1991) The Sulfur-mercury(II) system in natural waters. Water, Air, Soil Pollut. 56:507–519.
812 813 814 815	Erni I, Geier G. (1979) Kinetics of Extremely Fast Ligand Exchange Reactions with Methylmercury(II)- complexes of 1-Methylpyridine-2-thione and 1-Methyl-quinaldine-4-thione: Rate-Equilibria Correlations. Helvetica Chimica Acta. 62:1007–1015. doi:10.1002/hlca.19790620411
816 817 818	Eto K, Tokunaga H, Nagashima K, Takeuchi T. (2002) An autopsy case of Minamata Disease (methyl-mercury poisoning) – pathological viewpoints of peripheral nerves. Toxicol. Pathol. 30:714-22.
819 820 821	Falnoga I, Tusek-Znidaric M, Horvat M, Stegnar P. (2000) Mercury, selenium, and cadmium in human autopsy samples from Idrija residents and mercury mine workers. Environ. Res. 84(3):211–218.
822 823 824	Falnoga I, Tušek-Žnidarič M, Stegnar P. (2006) The influence of long-term mercury exposure on selenium availability in tissues: An evaluation of data. BioMetals. 19(3):283-294.
825 826 827	Farina M, Rocha JB, Aschner M. (2011). Mechanisms of methylmercury-induced neurotoxicity: evidence from experimental studies. Life Sci. 89, 555–563.
828 829 830	Freidman MA, Eaton LR, Carter WH. (1978) Protective effects of freeze-dried swordfish on methylmercury content. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 19:436–443.
831 832 833 834	Gajdosechova Z, Lawan MM, Urgast DS, Raab A, Scheckel KG, Lombi E, Kopittke PM, Loeschner K, Larsen EH, Woods G, Brownlow A, Read FL, Feldmann J, Krupp EM. (2016) In vivo formation of natural HgSe nanoparticles in the liver and brain of pilot whales. Scientific Reports 6:34361.
835 836 837	Ganther H, Goudie C, Sunde M, Kopeckey M, Wagner S. and Hoekstra W. (1972) Selenium: relation to decreased toxicity of methylmercury added to diets containing tuna. <i>Science</i> 175:1122–1124.
838 839	Gailer J. (2007) Arsenic-selenium and mercury-selenium bonds in biology. Coord. Chem. Rev. 251:234-254.
840 841 842 843	Giraultab L, Boudoub A, Dufourca EJ. (1997) Methylmercury interactions with phospholipid membranes as reported by fluorescence, ³¹ P and ¹⁹⁹ Hg NMR. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) – Biomembranes. 1325(2):250-262.
844 845 846	Gladyshev VN, Hatfield DL. (1999) Selenocysteine-containing proteins in mammals. J. Biomed. Sci. 6(3):151- 160.

847 Gladyshev VN, Kryukov GV, Fomenko DE, Hatfield DL. (2004) Identification of trace element-containing 848 proteins in genomic databases. Annu. Rev. Nutr. 24:579-596. 849 850 Gladyshev VN, Arnér ES, Berry MJ, Brigelius-Flohé R, Bruford EA, Burk RF, Carlson BA, Castellano S, 851 Chavatte L, Conrad M, Copeland PR, Diamond AM, Driscoll DM, Ferreiro A, Flohé L, Green FR, Guigó R, 852 Handy DE, Hatfield DL, Hesketh J, Hoffmann PR, Holmgren A, Hondal RJ, Howard MT, Huang K, Kim 853 HY, Kim IY, Köhrle J, Krol A, Kryukov GV, Lee BJ, Lee BC, Lei XG, Liu Q, Lescure A, Lobanov AV, 854 Loscalzo J, Maiorino M, Mariotti M, Sandeep Prabhu K, Rayman MP, Rozovsky S, Salinas G, Schmidt EE, 855 Schomburg L, Schweizer U, Simonović M, Sunde RA, Tsuji PA, Tweedie S, Ursini F, Whanger PD, Zhang 856 Y. (2016) Selenoprotein Gene Nomenclature. J. Biol. Chem. 291(46):24036-24040. 857 858 Glaser V, Martins RP, Viera AJH, et al. (2014) Diphenyl diselenide administration enhances cortical 859 mitochondrial number and activity by increasing hemeoxygenase type 1 content in a methylmercury induced 860 neurotoxicity mouse model. Mol Cell Biochem. 390:1-9. 861 862 Golding J, Hibbeln JR, Gregory SM, Iles-Caven Y, Emond A, Taylor CM. (2017) Maternal prenatal blood 863 mercury is not adversely associated with offspring IQ at 8 years provided the mother eats fish: A British 864 prebirth cohort study. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health. 220(7):1161-1167. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2017.07.004. 865 866 Grandjean P, Weihe P, White RF, Debes F, Araki, S, Murata K (1997) Cognitive deficit in 7-year-old children 867 with prenatal exposure to methylmercury, Neurotoxicol. Teratol. 19:417-428. 868 869 Grandjean P, Weihe P, White RF, Debes F. (1998) Cognitive performance of children prenatally exposed to 870 "safe" levels of methylmercury, Environ. Res. 77:165–172. 871 872 Grumolato L, Ghzili H, Montero-Hadjadje M, Gasman S, Lesage J, Tanguy Y, Galas L, Ait-Ali D, Leprince J, 873 Guérineau NC, Elkahloun AG, Fournier A, Vieau D, Vaudry H, Anouar Y. (2008) Selenoprotein T is a 874 PACAP-regulated gene involved in intracellualr Ca+2 mobilization and neuroendocrine secretion. FASEB J. 875 22:1756-1768. 876 877 Halbach S, Clarkson TW. (1978) Enzymatic oxidation of mercury vapor by erythrocytes. Biochim Biophys Acta. 878 523(2):522-31. 879 880 Harris HH, Pickering IJ, George GN. (2003) The chemical form of mercury in fish. Science. 301(5637):1203. 881 DOI: 10.1126/science.1085941 PMID: 12947190 882 883 Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN. (2002) How Selenium Has Altered Our Understanding of the Genetic Code. Mol. 884 Cell Biol. 22(11):3565-3576. doi: 10.1128/MCB.22.11.3565-3576.2002 885 886 Heath JC, Banna KM, Reed MN, Pesek EF, Cole N, Li J, Newland MC. (2010) Dietary selenium protects against 887 selected signs of aging and methylmercury exposure NeuroToxicology 31(2):169-179. 888 889 Hibbeln JR, Davis JM, Steer C, Emmett P, Rogers I, Williams C, Golding J. (2007) Maternal seafood 890 consumption in pregnancy and neurodevelopmental outcomes in childhood (ALSPAC study): an 891 observational cohort study. Lancet. 369(9561):578-85. 892 893 Hill KE, Zhou J, McMahan WJ, Motley AK, Atkins JF, Gesteland RF, Burk RF. (2003) Deletion of Selenoprotein 894 P alters distribution of selenium in the mouse, J. Biolog. Chem. 278(16):13640–13646. 895 896 Hoffmeyer RE, Singh SP, Doonan CJ, Ross ARS, Hughes RJ, Pickering IJ, George GN. (2006) Molecular 897 Mimicry in Mercury Toxicology. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 19(6):753-759.

898	
899 900 901	Huggins F, Raverty SA, Nielsen OS, Sharp N, Robertson JD, Ralston NVC. (2009) An XAFS investigation of mercury and selenium in beluga whale tissues Environmental Bioindicators 4(4):291-302.
902 903 904 905	Julvez J, Méndez M, Fernandez-Barres S, Romaguera D, Vioque J, Llop S, Riaño I. (2016) Maternal consumption of seafood in pregnancy and child neuropsychological development: a longitudinal study based on a population with high consumption levels. Am. J. Epidemiol. 183:169–182.
906 907	Kaneko JJ, Ralston NVC. (2007) Selenium and Mercury in Pelagic Fish in the Central North Pacific near Hawaii. Biol. Trace Element Res. Special Issue 119(3):242-254.
909 910	Köhrle J, Brigelius-Flohe R, Bock A, Gartner R, Meyer O, Flohe L. (2000) Selenium in biology: Facts and medical perspectivesBiological Chemistry 381(9-10):849-864.
911 912 913	Köhrle J, Gartner R. (2009) Selenium and thyroid. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 23:815–27.
914 915 916 917	Korbas M, O'Donoghue JL, Watson GE, Pickering IJ, Singh SP, Myers GJ, Clarkson TW, George GN, (2010) The chemical nature of mercury in human brain following poisoning or environmental exposure. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 1(12):810-818.
918 919 920 021	Khan MAK, Wang F. (2009) Mercury-selenium compounds and their toxicological significance: Toward a molecular understanding of the mercury-selenium antagonism. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 28(8)1567–1577.
921 922 923	Kryukov GV, Gladyshev VN. (2004) The prokaryotic selenoproteome. EMBO Reports. 5(5):538-543.
924 925 926 927	Kühbacher M, Bartel J, Hoppe B, Alber D, Bukalis G, Bräuer AU, Behne D, Kyriakopoulos A. (2009) The brain selenoproteome: priorities in the hierarchy and different levels of selenium homeostasis in the brain of selenium-deficient rats. J. Neurochem. 110(1):133-42. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2009.06109.x.
927 928 929 930	Lederman SA, Jones RL, Caldwell KL, Rau V, Sheets SE, Tang D, Viswanathan S, Becker M, Stein JL, Wang RL, Perera FP. (2008) Relation between cord blood mercury levels and early child development in a World Trade Center cohort. Environmental Health Perspectives. 116(8):1085-1091.
931 932 933 934	Lemire M, Fillion M, Frenette B, Passos CJ, Guimarães JR, Barbosa F Jr, Mergler D. (2011) Selenium from dietary sources and motor functions in the Brazilian Amazon. Neurotoxicology. 32:944–953.
935 936 937 938	Limke TL, Otero-Montanez JKL, Atchison WD. (2003) Evidence for interaction between intracellular calcium stores during methylmercury-induced intracellular calcium dysregulation in the rat cerebellar granule neurons. J Pharmacol Exper Ther. 304:949–958.
939 940 941 942	Lindqvist, O., Johannson, K., Aastrup, M., Andersson, A., Bringmark, G, Hovsenius, G., Hakenson, L., Iverfelt, A., Meili, M., and Timm, B. 1991. Mercury in the Swedish Environment. Kluwer Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
943 944 945 946 947	Llop S, Ballester F, Murcia M, Forns J, Tardon A, Andiarena A, Vioque J, Ibarluzea J, Fernández-Somoano A, Sunyer J, Julvez J, Rebagliato M, Lopez-Espinosa MJ. (2017) Prenatal exposure to mercury and neuropsychological development in young children: the role of fish consumption. Int. J. Epidemiol. 46(3):827-838. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw259.

948 949 950	Lobanov AV, Turanov AA, Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN. (2010). Dual functions of codons in the genetic code. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 45(4):257–265. doi: 10.3109/10409231003786094
951	Lu J, Holmgren A. (2014) The thioredoxin antioxidant system. Free Radic Biol Med. 66:75-87.
952 953 954	Magos L, Webb M. (1977) The Effect of Selenium on the Brain Uptake of Methylmercury. Arch. Toxicol. 38(3):201–7.
955 956 957 958	Mailman M, Bodaly RA, Paterson MJ, Thompson S, Flett RJ. (2014) Low-level experimental selenite additions decrease mercury in aquatic food chains and fish muscle but increase selenium in fish gonads. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 66:32-40.
959 960 961	Melnick JG, Yurkerwich K, Parkin GJ. (2010) On the chalcogenophilicity of mercury: Evidence for a strong Hg- Se bond in [Tm ^{But}]HgSePh and its relevance to the toxicity of mercury. J Am Chem Soc. 132:647–55.
962 963 964	Mendelev N, Mehta SL, Idris H, et al. (2012) Selenite stimulates mitochondrial biogenesis signaling and enhances mitochondrial functional performance in murine hippocampal neuronal cells. PLoS One. 7:e47910.
965 966 967	Møller-Madsen B, Danscher G. (1991) Localization of mercury in CNS of the rat. IV. The effect of selenium on orally administered organic and inorganic mercury. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 108(3):457–473.
968 969 970	Myers GJ, Davidson PW, Strain JJ. (2007) Nutrient and methyl mercury exposure from consuming fish. Journal of Nutrition. 137:2805-2808.
971 972 973	Myers GJ, Davidson PW. (1998) Prenatal Methylmercury Exposure and Children: Neurologic, Developmental, and Behavioral Research. Environmental Health Perspectives. 106(3):841–847.
974 975 976	Nakada S, Inoue K, Nojima S, Imura N. (1978) Change in permeability of liposomes caused by methylmercury and inorganic mercury. Chem. Biol. Interact. 22(1):15-23.
977 978 979	Nakayama A, Hill KE, Austin LM, Motley AK, Burk RF. (2007) All regions of mouse brain are dependent on selenoprotein P for maintenance of selenium. J. Nutr. 137(3):690-693. [PMID: 17311961]
980 981 982	Navarro-Alarcon M, Cabrera-Vique C. (2008) Selenium in food and the human body: a review. Sci. Total Environ. 400(1-3):115-141. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.06.024]
983 984 985 986	Naziroglu M. (2009) Role of selenium on calcium signaling and oxidative stress-induced molecular pathways in epilepsy. Neurochem. Res. 34(12):2181-2191. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11064-0090015-8] [PMID: 19513830]
987 988 989	Newland MC, Reed MN, LeBlanc A, Donlin WD. (2006) Brain and blood mercury and selenium after chronic and developmental exposure to methylmercury. NeuroToxicology 27:710-720.
990 991 992 993	Nierenberg DW, Nordgren RE, Chang MB, Siegler RW, Blayney MB, Hochberg F, Toribara TY, Cernichiari E, Clarkson T. (1998) Delayed cerebellar disease and death after accidental exposure to dimethylmercury. N Engl J Med. 338(23):1672-6.
994 995 996 997	Ohi G, Nishigaki S, Seki H, Tamura Y, Maki, T, Minowa K, Shimamura Y, Mizoguchi I. (1980) The Protective Potency of Marine Animal Meat Against the Neurotoxicity of Methylmercury: Its Relationship with the Organ Distribution of Mercury and Selenium in the Rat. Food Cosmetics Toxicol. 18:139-145.

998 999	Papp LV, Lu J, Holmgren A, Khanna KK. (2007) From selenium to selenoproteins: synthesis, identity, and their role in human health. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 9(7):775-806. [http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1089/ars.2007.1528.
1000	
1001	Pařízek J, Oštádalová I. (1967) The protective effect of small amounts of selenite in sublimate intoxication.
1002	Experiential. 23(2):142–143.
1003	\mathbf{I}
1004	Pařízek I. Oštádalová I. Kalousková I. Babický A. Pavlík I. Bíbr B. (1971) Effect of Mercuric Compounds on the
1004	Maternal Transmission of Solonium in the Prognant and Lastating Dat. I. Doned. Fort. 25:157-170
1005	Material Transmission of Scientum in the Freghant and Lactating Rat. J. Reprod. Pett. 23.137-170.
1000	
1007	Paulsson K, Lindbergh K. (1989) The selenium method for treatment of lakes for elevated levels of mercury in fish.
1008	Sci. Total Environ. 87–88:495–507.
1009	
1010	Pearson RG. (1997) Chemical Hardness - Applications From Molecules to Solids, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim.
1011	
1012	Prohaska JR, Ganther HE. (1977) Interactions between selenium and methylmercury in rat brain. Chem. Biol.
1013	Interact. 16(2):155-67.
1014	
1015	Raman AV Pitts MW Sevedali A Hashimoto AC Seale I A Bellinger FP Berry MI (2012) Absence of
1015	salanonrotain D but not salanonystaina lyaga results in sayara nauralogical dysfunction. Cones Prein Pahay
1010	11(5) (01 12 1 : 10 1111/: 1471 4150 1076 d 02610
1017	11(5):001-13. doi: $10.1111/j.14/1-4159.19/0.002019.x$
1018	
1019	Ralston NVC, Blackwell JL, Raymond LJ. (2007) Importance of Molar Ratios in Selenium-Dependent Protection
1020	Against Methylmercury Toxicity. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 119(3):255-268.
1021	
1022	Ralston, N.V.C., Ralston C.R., Blackwell III J.L., and Raymond, L.J. (2008) Dietary and Tissue Selenium in
1023	Relation to Methylmercury Toxicity. Neurotoxicology. 29(5):802-811.
1024	
1025	Ralston NVC, Raymond LJ, (2010) Dietary selenium's protective effects against methylmercury toxicity.
1026	Toxicology 278:112-123
1027	Tomeology, 270,112 125.
1027	Palston NVC Azenkeng A Palston CP Paymond I I (2015) Chapter 10: Selenium Health Benefit Values as
1020	Sanford Safety Criteria In "Sanford Sciences Advances in Chamistry Technology and Applications" Sa
1029	Searoou Safety Chieffa. In Searoou Science, Auvances in Chemistry, Technology and Applications Se-
1030	Kwon Kim, Ed. UKU Press.
1031	
1032	Ralston NVC, Raymond LJ. (2015) Functional Deletion of Brain Selenoenzymes by Methylmercury. In;
1033	Selenium in the Environment and Human Health. G.S. Banuelos and ZQ. Lin, Eds. Taylor and Francis
1034	(London, UK).
1035	
1036	Ralston NVC, Ralston CR, Raymond LJ. (2016) Selenium Health Benefit Values: Updated Criteria for
1037	Mercury Risk Assessments, Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 171:262-269.
1038	
1030	Rayman MP (2012) Selenium and human health Lancet 379(0822):1256-1268
10/0	[http://dx doi org/10.1016/\$01/0.6736(11).61/452.0]
1040	[IIIIp.//dx.doi.org/10.1010/30140 -0730(11) 01432-9]
1041	
1042	Keeves NIA, HOIIMANN PK. (2009) The numan selenoproteome: recent insights into functions and regulation. Cell
1043	Mol Life Sci. 66(15):2457-78. doi: 10.1007/s00018-009-0032-4.
1044	
1045	Rice DC. (1996) Evidence for delayed neurotoxicity produced by methylmercury. Neurotoxicity.17(3-4):583-596.
1046	

1047 1048	Rodrigues J, Branco V, Lu J, Holmgren A, Carvalho C. (2015) Toxicological effects of thiomersal and ethylmercury: inhibition on thioredoxin system and NADP ⁺ -dependent dehydrogenases of the pentose
1049 1050	phosphate pathway. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 286: 216–223. DOI 10.1016/j.taap.2015.05.002
1051	Sakamoto M, Wakabayashi K, Kakita A, Hitoshi T, Adachi T, Nakano A. (1998) A widespread neuronal
1052	model of fetal type Minamata disease. Brain Res. 784:351-354.
1054	
1055 1056	Schweizer U, Bräuer AU, Köhrle J, Nitsch R, Savaskan NE. (2004a) Selenium and brain function: A poorly recognized liaison. Brain Res. Rev. 45:164–178.
1057	
1058 1059 1060	Schweizer U, Schomburg L, Savaskan NE. (2004b) The neurobiology of selenium: Lessons from transgenic mice. J. Nutr. 134: 707–710.
1061	Seppanen K, Soininen P, Salonen JT, Lotionen S Laatikainen R. (2004) Does mercury promote lipid
1062 1063	peroxidation? An in vitro study concerning mercury, copper, and iron in peroxidation of low-density lipoprotein. Biol. Trace Elem. Res.101:117–32.
1064	-r·r-
1065	Soon R, Dye T, Ralston NVC, Berry MJ, Sauvage LM. (2014) Seafood Consumption and Umbilical Cord
1066	Mercury Concentrations in a Multiethnic Maternal and Child Health Cohort. BMC Pregnancy and
1067	Childbirth. 14:209.
1068	
1069	Southworth GR, Peterson MJ, Ryon MG. (2000) Long-term increased bioaccumulation of mercury in
1070	largemouth bass follows reduction of waterborne selenium. Chemosphere. 41(7):1101–1105.
1071	
1072	Spiller HA, Hays HL, Burns G, Casavant MJ. (2017) Severe elemental mercury poisoning managed with
1073	selenium and N-acetylcysteine administration, Toxicology Communications, 1(1):24-28.
1074	http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/24734306.2017.1392076
1075	
1076	Spiller HA. (2017) Rethinking mercury: the role of selenium in the pathophysiology of mercury toxicity. Clin
1077	Toxicol (Phila). 2017 Nov 10:1-14. doi: 10.1080/15563650.2017.1400555.
1078	
1079	Steinbrenner H, Sies, H. (2013) Selenium homeostasis and antioxidant selenoproteins in brain: implications for
1080	disorders in the central nervous system. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. $536(2)$:152-157.
1081	[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2013.02.021] [PMID: 23500141]
1082	Sumuli KT (1007) Equimalar Ha Sa complex hinds to Salanamatain D. Disaham, Disahar, Bas, Commun
1005	Suzuki KT. (1997) Equiniolar Hg–Se complex binds to Selenoprotein P. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.
1004	251(1); $7-11$.
1005	Suzuki KT. Sasakura C. Vanada S. (1008) Binding sites for the (Hg Sa) complex on selenoprotein B
1080	Biochem Biophys Acta 1/20:102–112
1087	Biochem. Biophys. Acta. 1429.102–112.
1080	Syversen T. Kaur P. (2012) The toxicology of mercury and its compounds. I Trace Flem Med Biol
1002	26(4):215-26 doi: 10.1016/i itemb 2012.02.004
1091	20(1).215 20. doi: 10.1010/j.jumi0.2012.02.007.
1092	Takeuchi T. Eto K. (1999) The pathology of Minamata Disease, Kyushu University Press, (Nakayama H, and
1093	Sumivoshi A., Eds.) pp 21.
1094	Source (Source), 500() pp 21.
1095	Tan X, Tang C, Castoldi AF, Manzo L, Costa LG, (1993) Effects of inorganic and organic mercury on
1096	intracellular calcium levels in rat T-lymphocytes. J Toxicol Environ Health. 38:159–170.
1097	······································
-	

1098 1099 1100	Turanov AA, Lobanov AV, Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN. (2013) UGA codon position-dependent incorporation of selenocysteine into mammalian selenoproteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 41(14):6952-6959. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt409]
1101 1102 1103	Turner MA Rudd JWM. (1983) The English Wabigoon River System III. Selenium in Lake Enclosures: Its Geochemistry, Bioaccumulation, and Ability to Reduce Mercury Bioaccumulation. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.
1104 1105 1106	40:2228–2240. Uezono Y. Tovohira Y. Yanagihara N. et al. (2006) Inhibition by selenium compounds of catecholamine secretion
1107 1108	due to inhibition of Ca2þ influx in cultured bovine adrenal chromaffin cells. J Pharmacol Sci. 101:223–229.
1109 1110 1111	Ui, J. Kitamura S. (1971) Mercury pollution of sea and fresh water—its accumulation into water biomass. J. Fac. Eng. Univ. Tokyo, Ser. B, 31(1):271.
1112 1113 1114	Umbarger HE. (1978) Amino Acid Biosynthesis and its Regulation. Annual Review of Biochemistry. 47:533–606. doi:10.1146/annurev.bi.47.070178.002533. PMID 354503.
1115 1116 1117 1118	Valentine WM, Abel TW, Hill KE, Austin LM. Burk RF. (2008) Neurodegeneration in Mice Resulting From Loss of Functional Selenoprotein P or Its Receptor Apolipoprotein E Receptor 2. J. Neuropath. Exp. Neurology. 67(1):68-77.
1119 1120 1121 1122	Verma S, Hoffmann FK, Kumar M, Huang Z, Roe K, Nguyen-Wu E, Hashimoto AS, Hoffmann PR. (2011) Selenoprotein K knockout mice exhibit deficient calcium flux in immune cells and impaired immune responses. J. Immunol. 186(4): 2127–2137. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1002878.
1123 1124 1125	Wagner C, Sudati JH, Nogueira CW, Rocha JBT. (2010) In vivo and in vitro inhibition of mice thioredoxin reductase by methylmercury. Biometals. 23:1171-1177. doi 10.1007/s10534-010-9367-4
1126 1127 1128 1129	Wang C, Li R, Huang Y, Yang F, Huang D, Wu C, Li Y, Tang Y, Zhang R, Cheng J. (2017) Selenoprotein K modulate intracellular free Ca2b by regulating expression of calcium homoeostasis endoplasmic reticulum protein. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 484:734–739.
1130 1131 1132	Watanabe C, Yin K, Kasanuma Y, Satoh H. (1999) In utero exposure to methylmercury and Se deficiency converge on the neurobehavioral outcome in mice. Neurotoxicol. Teratol. 21(1):83-8.
1133 1134 1135	Weihe P Debes-Joensen H. (2012) Dietary recommendations regarding pilot whale meat and blubber in the Faroe Islands. International Journal of Circumpolar Health. 71(1). https://doi.org/10.3402/ijch.v71i0.18594
1136 1137 1138	Weinberg F, Bickmeyer U, Wiegand H. (1995) Effects of inorganic mercury (Hg2b) on calcium channel currents and catecholamine release from bovine chromaffin cells. Arch Toxicol 69:191–196.
1139 1140 1141	Weiss B, Clarkson TW, Simon W. (2002) Silent latency periods in methylmercury poisoning and in neurodegenerative disease. Env. Health. Persp. 110(5):851-854.
1142 1143 1144	Whanger PD. (1992) Selenium in the Treatment of Heavy Metal Poisoning and Chemical Carcinogenesis. J. Trace Elem. Electrolytes–Health Dis. 6(4):209–221.
1145 1146	Whanger PD. (2001) Selenium and the Brain: A Review. Nutritional Neuroscience. 4(2):81–97.
1147 1148	Whanger PD. (2002) Selenocompounds in plants and animals and their biological significance. J. Am. Coll. Nutr., 2002, 21(3):223-232. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07315724.2002.10719214]

3	6
-	-

1149 1150 1151	Wigfield DC, Perkins SL. (1983) Oxidation of mercury by catalase and peroxidase in homogeneous solution. J.
1151	Аррі. Тохісоі. 5(ч).165-166.
1153 1154 1155	Xu XM, Carlson BA, Mix H, Zhang Y, Saira K, Glass RS, Berry MJ, Gladyshev VN, Hatfield DL. (2006) Biosynthesis of selenocysteine on its tRNA in eukaryotes. PLoS Biol. 5(1):e4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050004]
1156	Zachara PA, Pawluk H, Plach Poguslawska F, Sliwka KM, Karankiawiaz J, Skak Z, Dyć K, (2001) Tissua laval
1157 1158 1159	distribution, and total body selenium content in healthy and diseased humans in Poland. Arch. Environ. Health. 56(5):461-466. [http://dx.doi. org/10.1080/00039890109604483]
1160	
1161	Zhang Y, Zhou Y, Schweizer U, Savaskan NE, Hua D, Kipnis J, Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN. (2008)
1162 1163	identifies neurons as key functional sites of selenium in mammals. J. Biol. Chem. 283(4):2427-38.
1164	doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M707951200] [PMID: 18032379]
1165	



The electrostatic potential surfaces of mercury in covalent association with the biologically significant chalcogens (oxygen, sulfur, and selenium), their chemical potentials, and binding affinity constants.

The electron cloud depicted in blue indicates a lower e⁻ abundance and a more positive charge, while yellow shading to red indicates increasingly negative charge. The balance of the HgSe charges stabilize the molecule, contributing to their remarkably high binding affinities.