

Bonefish (*Albula vulpes*) home range to spawning site linkages support a marine protected area designation

Aaron J. Adams^{1,2}, Justin P. Lewis¹, Andrea M. Kroetz³, R. Dean Grubbs⁴

¹Bonefish & Tarpon Trust, Miami, FL aaron@bonefishtarpontrust.org

²Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute Florida Atlantic University

³Riverside Technology, Inc. for NOAA, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center

⁴Florida State University Coastal and Marine Laboratory

1 Abstract

2 1. A spatial approach to coastal management, such as marine protected areas, is being
3 increasingly used to address biodiversity and fishery declines resulting from habitat loss,
4 degradation, and overfishing. This approach is especially applicable in regions and fisheries that
5 are data-poor, and often lack regulations and adequate capacity for enforcement. In data-poor
6 situations, species that have economic, cultural, and charismatic value can provide leverage for
7 ecosystem protection.

8 2. In this study, acoustic telemetry was used to confirm a pre-spawning aggregation site (PSA),
9 acting as critical information for protection of essential habitat for bonefish. Additionally, data
10 sharing with an acoustic telemetry study on smalltooth sawfish (*Pristis pectinata*), documented
11 linkages between the PSA site and bonefish home ranges ≥ 70 km distant, thus providing an
12 estimate of the catchment area.

13 3. These data provided post hoc support for a marine national park designated in 2002, and
14 demonstrate that the park is of the appropriate spatial scale.

15 **Journal Keywords: island, subtidal, mangrove, marine park, tracking, fish, fishing**

16 **Keywords: Bahamas, coastal habitat mosaic, fish spawning aggregation, marine spatial**
17 **management, marine protected area, recreational fishery**

18

19 **1. Introduction**

20 Among the top threats to coastal biodiversity and ecosystem integrity are overfishing, habitat
21 loss, and habitat degradation (Brown, 2006; Lotze et al., 2006; Orth et al., 2006; Schmitter-Soto
22 et al., 2018; Valiela, Bowen, & York, 2001). A spatial approach to coastal management, such as
23 marine protected areas (MPAs), is being increasingly used to offset coastal ecosystem declines
24 through habitat protection and user-group limitations (Gaines, White, Carr, & Palumbi, 2010;
25 Green et al., 2015; Roberts, Halpin, Palumbi, & Warner, 2001). A spatial approach to
26 management is especially important for fisheries and regions that are data-poor, which also
27 tend to lack regulations and enforcement (Adams, Rehage, & Cooke, 2019; Johannes, 1998). For
28 example, the creation of a protected area network in St. Lucia (West Indies), which lacks
29 enforcement, resulted in an increase of fishery landings by 46% - 90%, depending on the fishery
30 type (Roberts, Halpin, Palumbi, & Warner, 2001). However, obtaining sufficient data to support
31 the designation and maintenance of protected areas remains a challenge (Cabral, Mamauag, &
32 Alina, 2015).

33 Bonefish, *Albula vulpes*, support an economically important recreational catch-and-release
34 fishery, as well as small-scale artisanal fisheries, in the Caribbean Sea and western North
35 Atlantic Ocean (Adams et al., 2014; Danylchuk et al., 2007). For example, the estimated annual
36 economic impact of the recreational fishery for bonefish in the Bahamas is US\$169 million

37 (Fedler, 2019); bonefish is part of the recreational catch-and-release *flats fishery*, which also
38 includes Atlantic tarpon (*Megalops atlanticus*) and permit (*Trachinotus falcatus*), with an
39 estimated annual economic impact of US\$465 million in the Florida Keys (Fedler, 2013) and
40 US\$50 million in Belize (Fedler, 2014). Bonefish are also important components of the coastal
41 trophic system in that they rely heavily on benthic invertebrates as prey (Colton & Alevizon
42 1983; Crabtree, Stevens, Snodgrass, & Stengard, 1998; Griffin et al., 2019), and in turn are prey
43 for sharks and barracudas (Cooke & Philipp, 2004; Danylchuk et al., 2007). An International
44 Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) assessment classified bonefish as Near Threatened
45 due to habitat loss and fragmentation, coastal development and urbanization, declines in water
46 quality, and harvest by commercial, artisanal and recreational fisheries (Adams et al., 2014).

47 Bonefish make extensive use of the coastal habitat mosaic (Haak, Power, Cowles, & Danylchuk,
48 2019; Murchie et al., 2019). Adults show high site fidelity to areas of shallow flats habitats of
49 sand, seagrass, mangroves, and hardbottom during the non-spawning season (Boucek et al.,
50 2019; Brownscombe et al., 2019; Brownscombe, Danylchuk, & Cooke, 2017; Murchie et al.,
51 2013). Spawning season occurs October through April near full and new moons and adults
52 undergo migrations to pre-spawning sites that are composed of shallow protected bays near
53 deep water (Danylchuk et al., 2011). Bonefish form pre-spawning aggregations (PSAs) at these
54 sites before moving offshore at dusk to spawn at night, with spawning occurring at depths
55 >50m near deep-water drop-offs with overall water depth >1000 m, before fish return to their
56 shallow water flats habitats (AJA, unpub. data; Boucek et al., 2019; Danylchuk et al., 2011).

57 Planktonic larval duration is 41 to 71 d (Mojica, Shenker, Harnden, & Wagner, 1995).

58 Settlement and early juvenile habitats are sand or sandy-mud bottoms in shallow, protected

59 bays with low wind-induced wave energy (Haak, Power, Cowles, & Danylchuk, 2019). The
60 combination of the species' broad use of the coastal habitat mosaic and offshore waters and
61 the previously described high economic value of the recreational fishery provide leverage for
62 conservation (Adams & Murchie, 2015).

63 This study used acoustic telemetry as part of a programme to identify bonefish PSA sites, with
64 the intent of using these data to contribute to a spatial marine management approach in The
65 Bahamas. This study further benefitted from a long-term acoustic telemetry study of smalltooth
66 sawfish (*Pristis pectinata*), which provided data detections of tagged bonefish that demonstrate
67 the links between the bonefish pre-spawning site and adult home ranges. The findings are
68 presented in the context of their value relative to a marine park focused on habitat protections.

69 **2. Methods**

70 Site description

71 Andros is the largest island in the archipelago of The Bahamas, though it is itself an archipelago
72 within The Bahamas, with a total land area of 5,957 km² (Figure 1). The island is low-lying, and
73 contains extensive shallow flats and wetlands. This mosaic of shallow coastal habitats supports
74 an economically important recreational bonefish fishery, with annual angler expenditures of
75 US\$34.6 million on Andros (Fedler, 2019). The high biodiversity supported by this extensive
76 shallow habitat mosaic was the main factor driving the establishment of the West Side National
77 Park. The West Side National Park was declared in 2002 after an extensive public comment
78 period, as well as a Rapid Ecological Assessment that provided data supporting park
79 designation. The park is 1.5 million acres of coastal mangrove habitat and flats on the west side

80 of Andros Island (Figure 1), and is managed by Bahamas National Trust (<https://bnt.bs/west->
81 [side-national-park/](https://bnt.bs/west-side-national-park/)). Although the park features bonefish as a focal species for habitat
82 protection, data on spatial connectivity by bonefish on Andros have not been available.

83 Acoustic Telemetry

84 Bonefish captured from a likely PSA on the east side of Andros (Figure 1) were fitted with
85 acoustic transmitters as part of the protocol to verify this as a PSA site (Adams et al., 2019). The
86 goals were to: 1) verify the temporal pattern of presence/absence typical of PSA sites – diurnal
87 presence, with absence from dusk to dawn (spawning is nocturnal in offshore waters: Adams et
88 al., 2019; Danylchuk et al., 2011; Adams unpub. data); and 2) determine return rate to the PSA
89 site within a spawning season (Adams et al., 2019).

90 Bonefish were captured from the PSA with hook and line. For implantation of transmitters,
91 bonefish were held ventral-side up in a 33 l plastic cooler. A 2 cm incision was made on the
92 ventral surface of the fish, and the transmitter (Vemco, V9, 9 mm diameter, 21 mm long, 3.3 g
93 in air, min and max delay times 45–135 s) was inserted into the peritoneal cavity. The incision
94 was closed with two interrupted sutures (Ethicon 3–0 PDS II, Johnson and Johnson, New
95 Jersey). Bonefish recovered in an aerated cooler or floating mesh pen for approximately 30 min
96 before being released into the PSA. To confirm that bonefish in the PSA were following the
97 temporal patterns of synchronous movement offshore at dusk (Danylchuk et al., 2011), an
98 acoustic receiver (VR2W, Vemco, Nova Scotia) was anchored at the centre of the site. The
99 receiver was in place from 29 November 2017 to 4 September 2018.

100 Separately, the PSA-verification project benefitted greatly from collaboration among acoustic
101 telemetry projects. These collaborations are enhanced by networks (e.g. Florida Atlantic Coast
102 Telemetry (FACT), Integrated Tracking of Aquatic Animals in the Gulf of Mexico (iTAG), Ocean
103 Tracking Network (OTN), Atlantic Cooperative Telemetry Network (ACT)) that were created to
104 facilitate sharing of acoustic receiver detection data. In this case, acoustic receivers were
105 deployed on the west side of Andros as part of a research project of the National
106 Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Southeast Fisheries Science Center
107 (SEFSC) Panama City Lab and Florida State University Coastal and Marine Laboratory (FSUCML)
108 to track movements of smalltooth sawfish, an endangered species that inhabits shallow coastal
109 waters in the western Atlantic Ocean (Brame et al., 2019).

110

111 **3. Results**

112 Six bonefish were acoustically tagged and released at the PSA site on 2 December 2017 with the
113 full moon on 3 December 2017 (Table 1). All of the bonefish were detected for at least a day at
114 the site, and showed presence only during the day, confirming the expected temporal patterns
115 for a PSA (Adams et al., 2019; Danylchuk et al., 2011) (Figure 2). One tagged bonefish was also
116 detected on one day (30 December 2017) just prior to the next full moon on 1 January 2017.
117 There were no additional detections at the PSA site for the remainder of the spawning season.
118 Because the data are sensitive, exact locations of PSA sites are not shared outside of
119 discussions with resource managers about protecting the sites and thus the exact location of
120 the PSA is not shared here. A PSA site may be known by a local community or some fishing

121 guides, but generally not outside the immediate community. This practice of not sharing site-
122 specific information in published literature has become more common in scientific literature
123 that incorporates sensitive information on species distributions and Traditional Ecological
124 Knowledge (e.g., Sadovy De Mitcheson et al., 2008; Robinson et al., 2004).

125 All six bonefish tagged at the PSA site were detected by eight of the 20 acoustic receivers from
126 the sawfish project between December 2017 and October 2018 (Table 1, Figure 3, see Figure 1
127 for generalized acoustic receiver locations). These receivers were in habitats and locations that
128 are typical of non-spawning season home ranges of bonefish (Boucek et al., 2019). Home range
129 is defined as the area an animal uses on a regular basis for its routine activities (Mace et al.,
130 1983). Mark-recapture was used to document that bonefish exhibit high site fidelity, with the
131 majority of recaptures within 5 km of the tagging location (Boucek et al., 2019). The distance
132 from the PSA site to home range receivers in this study ranged from 71 km to 118 km (Table 2).

133 **4. Discussion**

134 This study continued the application of a protocol (Adams et al., 2019) to identify PSA locations
135 for a fish species that is economically important throughout the Caribbean, by documenting the
136 expected diurnal presence/absence of bonefish at the PSA site. This adds to previous findings
137 on other islands in The Bahamas (Abaco, Grand Bahama, South Andros – Boucek et al., 2019;
138 Grand Bahama – Murchie et al., 2013; Eleuthera – Danylchuk et al., 2011; Bimini and Long
139 Island – Adams unpub. data), which contributed to the designation of marine national parks in
140 The Bahamas (Adams et al., 2019). Similar findings have been reported for Belize (Perez et al.,

141 2019) and Cuba (Rennert, Shenker, Angulo, & Adams, 2019), where work to apply these
142 findings to protected area designation is ongoing.

143 The most important finding of this study is the significant expansion of the linkages
144 documented between the PSA site on the east side of Andros and home ranges on the west
145 side of Andros. The first study to track bonefish on Andros (Haley, 2009) acoustically tagged 25
146 bonefish and placed 27 receivers to examine purported bonefish spawning migration patterns.
147 In that study, bonefish were acoustically tagged on the west side of Andros, within the natural
148 channel that connects the east and west sides of Andros, and on the east side of Andros, with
149 receivers placed within this same area. Results documented the movement of seven bonefish
150 between tagging locations on the west side of Andros and what at the time of the study was a
151 purported spawning location on the east side of Andros (Haley, 2009). Since that work (Haley,
152 2009), the east Andros site addressed in this study has been confirmed as a PSA location
153 following a protocol for establishing PSA sites (Adams et al., 2019). The greatest distance
154 between the PSA site and the west side of Andros previously documented was 61 km (Haley,
155 2009). In this study, distances between the PSA site and bonefish home ranges were from 71
156 km to 118 km, which encompasses much of the west side of north Andros. That the bonefish
157 tagged in this study were detected at only eight of the 20 receivers deployed as part of the
158 sawfish project suggest that either the receivers detected a bonefish in transit (e.g. Transmitter
159 3949 was detected once by receiver 980; Table 1) to its home range, or the receiver was within
160 the bonefish's home range (e.g. Transmitter 3955 was detected 283 times by a single receiver;
161 Table 1).

162 Understanding the spatial extent of a catchment area (defined as the geographic extent of
163 adults migrating to a PSA site and extent of larval dispersal from a PSA site – Sadovy De
164 Mitcheson et al., 2008) for a population is crucial in determining the appropriate scale of spatial
165 protection needed for a species (Sadovy De Mitcheson et al., 2008). This is because not doing so
166 may inhibit fish maintaining a minimum abundance at a spawning aggregation site (Sadovy De
167 Mitcheson et al., 2008; Sadovy & Domeier, 2005). As shown in this study, a single PSA site
168 draws bonefish from an extensive home range area within a habitat mosaic of flats and
169 mangroves on the west side of Andros. It is likely that this study defines the spatial extent of
170 the catchment area for this PSA site. All of the bonefish tagged in this study were detected only
171 by receivers in a finite geographic area on the west side of north Andros, despite receivers
172 being deployed over a broader area. The acoustic receiver array deployed for the sawfish study
173 extended from Receiver 911 on the north-west side of Andros to the Middle Bight, in the same
174 area as the receiver placed by Haley (2009) that did not detect any tagged bonefish (Figure 1).
175 Haley (2009) also only detected bonefish at receivers near the home range identified in this
176 study, and also did not detect bonefish at receivers placed north and south of the PSA site on
177 the east side of Andros, further suggesting that this PSA attracts bonefish only from the region
178 identified in this study. A large catchment area for a PSA site on the eastern side of south
179 Andros (70 km south of the PSA site in this study) was also documented using mark-recapture
180 (Boucek et al., 2019), encompassing more than 71 km of the west side of south Andros, with no
181 tagged fish captured outside of this area. Although it is possible that catchment areas for
182 multiple PSA sites on Andros overlap, as was found for Nassau grouper (Dahlgren et al., 2016)

183 and for bonefish on Grand Bahama (Murchie et al., 2015), overlap was not document this in this
184 study.

185 Regardless of the extent to which PSA catchment areas might overlap on Andros, the
186 dependence of bonefish that reside on the west side on PSAs on the east side (Boucek et al.,
187 2019; Haley, 2009) suggests that PSAs on the east side of Andros should also be considered for
188 protection. Indeed, although the documentation of the PSA to home range linkages provides
189 important post hoc support for the West Side National Park, the fact that the PSA site is not
190 currently protected is a gap in the Bahamas-wide effort to use spatial protections for
191 conservation benefit. This is because many species of fish that aggregate to spawn, such as
192 groupers, are listed as threatened by IUCN (iucnredlist.org) due to the harvesting of fish from
193 aggregations (Sadovy De Mitcheson et al., 2008). Although harvesting of bonefish at the PSA
194 site in this study is not currently an issue on Andros, this does occur on other islands in The
195 Bahamas (J. Lewis, pers. obs.), in Cuba (Rennert, Shenker, Angulo, & Adams, 2019), and in
196 Mexico (A. Perez, ECOSUR, pers. com.). This spawning-associated harvest appeared to reduce
197 the size (age) at maturity and maximum size in Cuba (Rennert, Shenker, Angulo, & Adams,
198 2019), and resulted in lower abundance, smaller size, and earlier sexual maturation of a related
199 species (*A. glossodonta*) at multiple locations in the Pacific (Beets, 2001; Filous et al., 2019;
200 Filous et al., 2020a; Johannes & Yeeting, 2001). Unlike groupers and many other aggregate-
201 spawning fish, bonefish PSA sites are also threatened by habitat loss and degradation. The sites
202 are typically along a shoreline protected from rough seas that are also adjacent to deep water,
203 which is appealing for the construction of deep-water ports or marinas. Deep water areas near
204 ocean currents are also targeted for sewage outfalls and other effluent discharges.

205 The economic and cultural importance of the fishery provides leverage for conservation (Adams
206 & Murchie, 2015). The recreational bonefish fishery in The Bahamas has an annual economic
207 value of US\$169 million, supports more than 7,800 jobs (Fedler, 2019), and the per capita
208 economic impact of the fishery exceeds that of standard tourism (Fedler, 2010). The fishery is
209 especially important to the economy and culture of what are termed the Family Islands –
210 islands that lack the urban centres of Nassau, on New Providence, and Freeport, Grand
211 Bahama. The fishery is also relatively low environmental impact in that it is catch-and-release
212 with generally high post-release survival (Danylchuk et al., 2007), there is very little bycatch and
213 gear loss, and can support sustainable economies associated with ecotourism (Zwirn, Pinsky &
214 Rahr, 2005). Recreational anglers and guides engaged in catch-and-release fisheries tend to be
215 advanced anglers who understand the connection between the health of the environment and
216 quality of the fishery (Oh & Ditton, 2006), and will advocate for habitat conservation that helps
217 to protect their fishery (Cowx et al., 2010). The dependence of bonefish upon a healthy and
218 intact habitat mosaic that encompasses both shallow coastal home range habitats and offshore
219 spawning locations means that protection of habitats important to the bonefish fishery also
220 benefits the many other species that use these habitats. This is reflected by previous
221 application of bonefish movement data to national park designation in The Bahamas, whereby
222 five new national parks were delineated and one existing park was expanded based on tracking
223 information on bonefish (Adams et al., 2019; Boucek et al., 2019). Similarly, acoustic tracking
224 and mark-recapture were used to inform spatial and temporal protection for *A. glossodonta* in
225 the Pacific (Filous et al., 2020a,b). Since *A. glossodonta* and other species of *Albula* are

226 economically important in the tropical Pacific Ocean (e.g., Filous, Lennox, Clua, & Danylchuk,
227 2019), these methods might be similarly incorporated in regional conservation efforts.

228 Though the sample size (n=6) in this study was relatively small, when viewed as part of the
229 larger research effort on bonefish movements, the findings are supported by previous research
230 of bonefish that showed similar PSA-home range linkages. For example, the results of this study
231 build upon Haley (2009), who tracked seven bonefish moving between the east and west sides
232 of Andros. In addition, mark-recapture was used to document the links between PSA sites and
233 home ranges at four additional PSA sites in The Bahamas, including a PSA site in south Andros
234 (Boucek et al., 2019). Such linkages for bonefish were also documented in Belize (Perez,
235 Schmitter-Soto, Adams, & Heyman, 2019), and around Grand Bahama Island (Murchie et al.,
236 2015). More generally, data poor situations are common for tropical species, including
237 commercially important species like groupers (Serranidae) that also aggregate to spawn
238 (Sadovy de Mitcheson et al., 2008). For bonefish and many of these other species, it is unlikely
239 that the data poor status is going to improve substantially. It is therefore essential to develop a
240 spatial approach to conservation of bonefish and other data poor fisheries that are managed
241 with high levels of data uncertainty (Johannes, 1998). In this context, the documentation of
242 bonefish home range to PSA site connectivity at this and other locations, albeit with relatively
243 low sample size in each independent study, combined demonstrate this connectivity and
244 support broad spatial conservation.

245 The study also highlights the importance of collaboration among researchers conducting
246 acoustic telemetry studies (Griffin et al., 2018). Passive acoustic telemetry is increasingly being
247 used as a tool to understand movements and habitat use of marine organisms (Heupel &

248 Webber, 2012). Acoustic transmitters are available in a variety of sizes with lifetimes of up to
249 ten years, which allow examination of movements at long-term and broad spatial scales.
250 However, a weakness of this approach is that if a tagged individual is not detected by a
251 researcher's acoustic receivers, the researcher can only conclude that the tagged individual was
252 outside of the receiver array's detection range. This weakness is being addressed by data
253 sharing collaborations that are enabled because acoustic receivers detect transmitters from
254 other studies. The collaborative networks applicable to this study include OTN, FACT, iTAG, and
255 ACT. In addition, the producer of acoustic telemetry equipment (Vemco.com) connects
256 individual researchers when transmitters are detected on external receiver arrays. The success
257 of these collaborative arrays is dependent on good-faith data sharing and data use policies
258 among participating researchers. This level of collaboration was not only essential for the
259 findings in this study but has been for many additional studies (e.g. Brownscombe et al., 2019;
260 Griffin et al., 2018; Whoriskey & Hindell, 2016).

261 **Acknowledgements**

262 AJA and JPL were funded by Bonefish & Tarpon Trust. AMK was funded by Riverside Technology
263 Inc. for NOAA-SEFSC. We thank C. Luck, A. Smith, S. Smith, and Bahamas National Trust for field
264 assistance with bonefish tagging. We thank J. Carlson for field assistance with the smalltooth
265 sawfish project. We thank A.J. Danylchuk for helpful comments on an earlier manuscript draft.
266 We thank the Save Our Seas Foundation, NOAA-SEFSC, and the Rackley Family Foundation for
267 funding to support the Andros west side acoustic array and the Flamingo Cay Rod and Gun Club
268 for logistical support. The research in West Side National Park was approved by permits from
269 the Bahamas Department of Marine Resources (Permit MAMR/FIS/17) and the Bahamas

270 National Trust. The tagging of bonefish at the PSA was conducted under a permit from the
271 Bahamas Department of Marine Resources to BTT. The views and opinions expressed or implied
272 in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the position of the National
273 Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

274 **References**

- 275 Adams, A. J., Horodysky, A. Z., McBride, R. S., Guindon, K., Shenker, J., MacDonald, T. C.,
276 Harwell, H. D., Ward, R., & Carpenter, K. (2014). Global conservation status and research
277 needs for tarpons (Megalopidae), ladyfishes (Elopidae) and bonefishes (Albulidae). *Fish
278 and Fisheries, 15 (2)*, 280–311.
- 279 Adams, A. J., & Murchie, K. J. (2015). Recreational fisheries as conservation tools for mangrove
280 habitats. *American Fisheries Society Symposium, 83*, 43-56.
- 281 Adams, A. J., Rehage, J. S., & Cooke, S. J. (2019). A multi-methods approach is essential for
282 effective conservation and management of recreational flats fisheries. *Environmental
283 Biology of Fishes, 102(2)*, 105-115.
- 284 Adams, A. J., Shenker, J., Jud, Z., Lewis, J., Carey, E., & Danylchuk, A.J. (2019). Identifying
285 pre-spawning aggregation sites for bonefish (*Albula vulpes*) in the Bahamas to inform
286 habitat protection and species conservation. *Environmental Biology of Fishes, 102(2)*,
287 159-173.
- 288 Beets, J. (2001). Declines in finfish resources in Tarawa Lagoon, Kiribati, emphasize the need
289 for increased conservation effort. *Atoll Research Bulletin, 490*, 1–14.
- 290 Boucek, R. E., Lewis, J. P., Stewart, B. D., Jud, Z. R., Carey, E., & Adams, A. J. (2019). Measuring

291 site fidelity and homesite-to-pre-spawning site connectivity of bonefish (*Albula vulpes*):
292 using mark-recapture to inform habitat conservation. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*,
293 *102*(2), 185-195.

294 Brame, A. B., Wiley, T. R., Carlson, J. K., Fordham, S. V., Grubbs, R. D., Osborne, J., Scharer, R.
295 M., Bethea, D. M., & Poulakis, G. R. (2019). Biology, ecology, and status of the
296 smalltooth sawfish *Pristis pectinata* in the USA. *Endangered Species Research*, *39*, 9-23.

297 Brown, C. (2006). *Marine and coastal ecosystems and human wellbeing: a synthesis report*
298 *based on the findings of the millennium ecosystem assessment*. United Nations
299 Publications.

300 Brownscombe, J. W., Danylchuk, A. J., & Cooke, S. J. (2017). Spatiotemporal drivers of energy
301 expenditure in a coastal marine fish. *Oecologia*, *183*, 689-699.

302 Brownscombe, J. W., Griffin, L., Gagne, T., Haak, C. R., Cooke, S. J., Finn, J., & Danylchuk, A. J.
303 (2019). Environmental drivers of habitat use by a marine fish on a heterogeneous and
304 dynamic reef flat. *Marine Biology*, *166*, 18, DOI: 10.1007/s00227-018-3464-2

305 Brownscombe, J. W., Adams, A. J., Young, N., Griffin, L. P., Holder, P., Hunt, J., Acosta, A.,
306 Morley, D., Boucek, R., Cooke, S. J., & Danylchuk, A. J. (2019). Bridging the knowledge-
307 action gap: A case of research rapidly impacting recreational fisheries policy. *Marine*
308 *Policy*, *104*, 210-215.

309 Cabral, R. B., Mamauag, S. S., & Alina, P. M. (2015). Designing a marine protected areas network
310 in a data-limited situation. *Marine Policy*, *59*, 64-76.

311 Colton, D. E., & Alevizon, W. S. (1983). Feeding ecology of bonefish in Bahamian waters.
312 *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, *112*, 178–184

313 Cooke, S. J., & Philipp, D. P. (2004). Behavior and mortality of caught and released bonefish
314 (*Albula* spp.) in Bahamian waters with implications for a sustainable recreational fishery.
315 *Biological Conservation*, 118, 599–607.

316 Crabtree, R. E., Stevens, C., Snodgrass, D., & Stengard, F. J. (1998). Feeding habits of bonefish,
317 *Albula vulpes*, from the waters of the Florida Keys. *Fishery Bulletin*, 96, 754–766

318 Danylchuk, A. J., Danylchuk, S. E., Cooke, S. J., Goldberg, T. L., Koppelman, J. B., & Philipp, D. P.
319 (2007). Biology and Management of bonefish (*Albula* spp) in the Bahamian Archipelago.
320 In J. Ault (ed.), *Biology and Management of the World's Tarpon and Bonefish Fisheries*.
321 Boca Raton, Florida, CRC Press.

322 Danylchuk, A. J., Danylchuk, S. E., Cooke, S. J., Goldberg, T. L., Koppelman, J. B., & Philipp, D. P.
323 (2007). Post-release mortality of bonefish (*Albula vulpes*) exposed to different handling
324 practices during catch-and release angling in South Eleuthera, Bahamas. *Fisheries*
325 *Management and Ecology* 14, 149–154.

326 Danylchuk, A. J., Cooke, S. J., Goldberg, T. L., Suski, C. D., Murchie, K. J., Danylchuk, S. E., Shultz,
327 A. D., Haak, C. R., Brooks, E. J., Oronti, A., Koppelman, J. B., & Philipp, D. P. (2011).
328 Aggregations and offshore movements as indicators of spawning activity of bonefish
329 (*Albula vulpes*) in the Bahamas. *Marine Biology*, 158, 1981–1999

330 Fedler, A. (2013). Economic impact of the Florida Keys flats fishery. Report to bonefish &
331 Tarpon Trust, FL 30p.
332 [https://www.bonefishtarpontrust.org/downloads/researchreports/stories/BTT%20-](https://www.bonefishtarpontrust.org/downloads/researchreports/stories/BTT%20-%20Keys%20Economic%20Report.pdf)
333 [%20Keys%20Economic%20Report.pdf](https://www.bonefishtarpontrust.org/downloads/researchreports/stories/BTT%20-%20Keys%20Economic%20Report.pdf) Accessed 11 September 2020.

334 Fedler, A. (2014). Economic impact of flats fishing in Belize. 19p.

335 [https://www.bonefishtarpontrust.org/downloads/researchreports/stories/2013-belize-](https://www.bonefishtarpontrust.org/downloads/researchreports/stories/2013-belize-economic-study.pdf)
336 [economic-study.pdf](https://www.bonefishtarpontrust.org/downloads/researchreports/stories/2013-belize-economic-study.pdf) Accessed 11 September 2020.

337 Fedler, A. (2019). The 2018 economic impact of flats fishing in The Bahamas. Report to bonefish
338 & Tarpon Trust, 24p. [https://www.bonefishtarpontrust.org/downloads/research-](https://www.bonefishtarpontrust.org/downloads/research-reports/stories/bahamas-flats-economic-impact-report.pdf)
339 [reports/stories/bahamas-flats-economic-impact-report.pdf](https://www.bonefishtarpontrust.org/downloads/research-reports/stories/bahamas-flats-economic-impact-report.pdf) Accessed 11 September
340 2020.

341 Filous, A., Lennox, R. J., Clua, E. E. G., & Danylchuk, A. J. (2019). Fisheries selectivity and annual
342 exploitation of the principal species harvested in a data-limited artisanal fishery at a
343 remote atoll in French Polynesia. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 178, 104818
344 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.104818>

345 Filous, A., Lennox, R. J., Coleman, R., Friedlander, A. M., Clua, E. E. G., & Danylchuk, A. J.
346 (2019). Life-history characteristics of an exploited bonefish *Albula glossodonta*
347 population in a remote South Pacific atoll. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 95, 562-574.

348 Filous, A., Lennox, R. J., Eveson, P., Clua, E. E. G., Cooke, S. J., & Danylchuk, A. J. (2020a).
349 Population dynamics of bonefish *Albula glossodonta* at a remote coralline Atoll informs
350 community-based management of an artisanal fishery. *Fisheries Management and*
351 *Ecology*, 27(2), 200-214.

352 Filous, A., Lennox, R. J., Raveino, R., Friedlander, A. M., Clua, E. E. G., Cooke, S. J., & Danylchuk,
353 A. J. (2020b). The spawning migrations of an exploited Albulid in the tropical Pacific:
354 implications for conservation and community-based management. *Environmental*
355 *Biology of Fishes*, 103, 1013-1031.

356 Gaines, S. D., White, C., Carr, M. H., & Palumbi, S. R. (2010). Designing marine reserve networks

357 for both conservation and fisheries management. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
358 *of Sciences USA*, 107(43), 18286–18293

359 Green, A. L., Maypa, A. P., Almany, G. R., Rhodes, K. L., Weeks, R., Abesamis, R. A., Gleason, M.
360 G., Mumby, P. J., & White, A. T. (2015). Larval dispersal and movement patterns of coral
361 reef fishes, and implications for marine reserve network design. *Biological Reviews*, 90,
362 1215-1247.

363 Griffin, L. P., Brownscombe, J. W., Adams, A. J., Boucek, R. E., Finne, J. T., Heithouse, M. R.,
364 Rehage, J. S., Cooke, S. J., & Danylchuk, A. J. (2018). Keeping up with the Silver King:
365 using cooperative acoustic telemetry networks to quantify the movements of Atlantic
366 tarpon (*Megalops atlanticus*) in the coastal waters of the southeastern United States.
367 *Fisheries Research*, 205, 65-76.

368 Griffin, L. P., Haak, C. R., Brownscombe, J. W., Griffin, C. R., & Danylchuk, A. J. (2019). A
369 comparison of juvenile bonefish diets in Eleuthera, The Bahamas, and Florida, U.S.
370 *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 102, 147-157.

371 Haak, C. R., Power, M., Cowles, G. W., & Danylchuk, A. J. (2019). Hydrodynamic and isotopic
372 niche differentiation between juveniles of two sympatric cryptic bonefishes, *Albula*
373 *vulpes* and *Albula goreensis*. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 102(2), 129-145.

374 Haley, V. (2009). Acoustic Telemetry Studies of Bonefish (*Albula vulpes*) Movement Around
375 Andros Island, Bahamas: Implications for Species Management. Florida International
376 University Electronic Theses and Dissertations. <http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd/140>

377 Heupel, M. R., & Webber, D. M. (2012). Trends in acoustic tracking: where are the fish going
378 and how will we follow them? *American Fisheries Society Symposium*, 76, 219–231.

379 Johannes, R. E. (1998). The case for data-less marine resource management: examples from
380 tropical nearshore finfisheries. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *13*, 243–246.

381 Johannes, R. E., & Yeeting, B. (2001) I-Kiribati knowledge and management of Tarawa’s lagoon
382 resources. *Atoll Research Bulletin*, *489*, 1–24.

383 Lotze, H. K., Lenihan, H. S., Bourque, B. J., Bradbury, R. H., Cooke, R. G., Kay, M. C., Kidwell, S.
384 M., Kirby, M. X., Peterson, C. H., & Jackson, J. B. C. (2006). Depletion, degradation, and
385 recovery potential of estuaries and coastal seas. *Science*, *312*, 1806–1809

386 Mojica, R. Jr., Shenker, J. M., Harnden, C. W., & Wagner, D. E. (1995). Recruitment of bonefish,
387 *Albula vulpes*, around Lee Stocking Island, Bahamas. *Fishery Bulletin*, *93*, 666–674

388 Murchie, K. J., Cooke, S. J., Danylchuk, A. J., Danylchuk, S. E., Goldberg, T. L., Suski, C. D., &
389 Philipp, D. P. (2013). Movement patterns of bonefish (*Albula vulpes*) in tidal creeks and
390 coastal waters of Eleuthera, the Bahamas. *Fisheries Research*, *147*, 404–412.

391 Murchie, K. J., Shultz, A. D., Stein, J. A., Cooke, S. J., Lewis, J., Franklin, J., Vincent, G., Brooks, E.
392 J., Claussen, J. E., & Philipp, D. P. (2015). Defining adult bonefish (*Albula vulpes*)
393 movement corridors around Grand Bahama in the Bahamian archipelago. *Environmental*
394 *Biology of Fishes*, *98*, 2203–2212.

395 Murchie, K. J., Haak, C. R., Power, M., Shiply, O. N., Danylchuk, A. J., & Cooke, S. J. (2019).
396 Ontogenetic patterns in resource use dynamics of bonefish (*Albula vulpes*) in the
397 Bahamas. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, *102*, 117–127.

398 Orth, R. J., Carruthers, T. J. B., Dennison, W. C., Duarte, C. M., Fourqurean, J. W., Heck, K. L.,
399 Hughes, A. R., Kendrick, G. A., Kenworthy, W. J., Olyarnik, S., Short, F. T., Waycott, M., &
400 Williams, S. L. (2006). A global crisis for seagrass ecosystems. *Bioscience*, *56*, 987–996.

401 Perez, A. U., Schmitter-Soto, J. J., Adams, A.J., & Heyman, W. D. (2019). Connectivity mediated
402 by seasonal bonefish (*Albula vulpes*) migration between the Caribbean Sea and a
403 tropical estuary of Belize and Mexico. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 102(2), 197-207.

404 Rennert, J., Shenker, J. M., Angulo, J., & Adams, A. J. (2019). Age and growth of bonefish,
405 *Albula* species among Cuba habitats. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 102(2), 253-265.

406 Roberge, J. M. & Angelstam, P. E. R. (2004). Usefulness of the umbrella species concept as a
407 conservation tool. *Conservation Biology*, 18(1), 76–85.

408 Roberts, C. M., Halpern, B., Palumbi, S. R., & Warner, R. R. (2001). Designing marine reserve
409 Networks: why small, isolated protected areas are not enough. *Conservation*, 2(3), 10–
410 17.

411 Robinson, J., Isidore, M., Marguertie, M. A., Ohman, M. C., & Payet, R. J. (2004). Spatial and
412 temporal distribution of reef fish spawning aggregations in the Seychelles – An
413 interview-based survey of artisanal fishers. *Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine
414 Science*, 3(1), 63–69.

415 Sadovy, Y., & Domeier, M. L. (2005). Are aggregation-fisheries sustainable? Reef fish fisheries as
416 a case study. *Coral Reefs*, 24, 254–262.

417 Sadovy De Mitcheson, Y. S., Cornish, A., Domeier, M., Colin, P. L., Russell, M., & Lindeman, K. C.
418 (2008). A global baseline for spawning aggregations of reef fishes. *Conservation Biology*,
419 22(5), 1233-1244.

420 Schmitter-Soto, J. J., Aguilar-Perera, A., Cruz-Martinez, A., Herrera-Pavon, R. L., Morales-

421 Aranda, A. A., & Cobian-Rojas, D. (2018). Interdecadal trends in composition, density,
422 size, and mean trophic levels of fish species and guilds before and after coastal
423 development in the Mexican Caribbean. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 27, 459-474.

424 Valiela, I., Bowen, J. L., & York, J. K. (2001). Mangrove forests: one of the world's threatened
425 major tropical environments. *Bioscience*, 51, 807–815.

426 Whoriskey, F., & Hindell, M. (2016). Developments in tagging technology and their
427 contributions to the protection of marine species at risk. *Ocean Development &
428 International Law*, 47 (3), 221–232.

429 Zwirn, M., Pinsky, M., & Rahr, G. 2005. Angling ecotourism: issues, guidelines and experience
430 from Kamchatka. *Journal of Ecotourism*, 4, 16-31.

431

Table 1. Summary of information of bonefish captured, tagged, and released at a pre-spawn aggregation (PSA) site. All bonefish were tagged on December 2, 2017. Dates of detections at the PSA site, and dates and number of detections at receivers in the west side home range area. See Figure 1 for general locations of the PSA and home range area.

Transmitter ID	Fish Fork Length (mm)	Fish Sex	2017 Detection Dates at PSA Site	2018 Detections in Home Range Area		Total Detections
				Receiver Number	Dates	
3949	486	F	December 2, 3, 4, 5	980	October 2	4
3950	474	F	December 2, 3	922	February 18	2
3951	442	F	December 2; December 30	931	June 30 - July 2; July 30 - August 1	57
				980	January 3	3
				990	June 24 - 25; June 27 - 28; August 1; August 20-21; September 2-3	72
3954	505	F	December 2	911	October 18	4
				918	October 18	3
				931	June 29 - 30	30
				990	December 18 ^a ; October 17	7
3955	483	F	December 2	922	February 23; February 25; February 27; March 4 - 5	283
3956	517	F	December 3	908	January 7	5
				974	January 8	11
				980	January 4	1
				990	January 9	2

432

^a This detection occurred on December 18, 2017. All other home range detections were in 2018.

Table 2. Estimated shortest distance (km) by water between the pre-spawning aggregation (PSA) site and the smalltooth sawfish program acoustic receivers within the west side home range area. See Figure 1 for the general locations of the PSA and home range area.

Receiver Number	Distance (km)
908	115
911	122
918	103
922	82
931	104
974	118
980	71
990	101

433

