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# Determination of Minimum Size and Yield Limitations <br> for Tanner Crabs in the Eastern Bering Sea 

## INTRODUCTION

Two closely related crab species in the genus Chionoecetes, ( $\underline{C}$. bairdi and C. opilio) and a hybrid of the two, all commonly referred to as snow (Tanner) crab, are presently being harvested in the eastern Bering Sea. Prior to 1964 Tanner crabs were harvested incidentally in the King crab fisheries. In 1964, the United States (U.S.) ratified the 1958 Continental Shelf Convention and declared King and Tanner crabs (among other species) as "creatures of the continental shelf," and consequently obtained exclusive rights to manage the resources. Bilateral agreements negotiated in that same year with both Japan and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (U.S.S.R.) (while the King crab fisheries of both countries were at their peak) resulted in immediate reductions in the foreign catch quotas of King crabs. Consequently, the foreign fisheries shifted their emphasis to Tanner crabs. In 1969 , agreements were also negotiated to reduce the foreign Tanner crab catches. The U.S.S.R. discontinued its King and Tanner crab fisheries after 1971 but Japan has continued its at reduced levels.

Up until 1974, the United States King crab fishery harvested Tanner crabs only incidentally. Since then, however, a purposeful Tanner crab fishery has developed, and catches of Tanner crab have grown from 2.5 million crabs in 1974 to more than 8.9 million crabs in 1976 (Department of Commerce 1977). This rapid rate of growth has resulted in a need for regulation to promote orderly development of the fishery. A preliminary management plan for the 1977 eastern Bering Sea Tanner crab fishery was implemented under the mandate of the Fishery Gonservation and Management Act of 1976 (U.S. Public Law 94-265).

The plan (Department of Commerce 1976) in effect calls for (1) a total catch quota of 37,400 metric tons $(30,000 \mathrm{mt}$ for C . bairdi and $7,400 \mathrm{mt}$ for C . opilio), (2) a foreign catch quota of $12,500 \mathrm{mt}$ of both species combined-which is the surplus over the domestic need for $24,900 \mathrm{mt}$. A size 1 imit of 140 mm carapace width for $\underline{\text { C. bairdi }}$ is also in effect for the domestic fishery as are gear-area-time restrictions on both the domestic and foreign fisheries.

As the Tanner crab fishery management plan is refined, it must be based on a synthesis of information concerning both the biology of the species and the socio-economic factors governing the fishery. In this paper we discuss from a biological perspective the two most important aspects of establishing a minimum size limit for crabs taken in the fishery--(1) maximizing yield and (2) maintaining a high reproductive potential in the population. No specific size limit is recomended; rather the intent is to provide an analytical basis for evaluating the consequences to stock productivity which are expected to result from various minimum size regulations. Data are specifically provided to allow one to examine such consequences as they affect yield and reproductive potential in light of current knowledge of population size, age group composition, yield-per-recruit theory, sex ratio, and maturity schedule. Year class strengths for Tanner crabs are also computed in order to predict their recruitment patterns into the fishery through 1980.

## GENERAL DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY PROFILE

Data used in describing the general distribution and in subsequent sections were collected on National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) crab survey cruises in the eastern Bering Sea during 1969-1976. The sampling area has varied somewhat between cruises, but a region bounded on the south by the Alaskan Peninsula, on the west by a line drawn from Unimak Island to the Pribilof Islands, and on the north by a line drawn from the Pribilof Islands to Port Moller, has been consistently sampled each year. This region includes most of the $\underline{C}$. bairdi stock, but only the extreme southern part of the $\underline{C}$. opilio stock. In 1975 an OCSEAP* baseline survey was conducted over a more extensive part of the Bering Sea. Data from this cruise provide a better assessment of the distribution and abundance of Tanner crabs on the eastern Bering Sea than was previously available.

The general distribution of the two Tanner crab species and their hybrid is influenced largely by water temperature. Chionoecetes opilio occurs in cold water, from the Chukchi Sea southward to Bristol Bay. Chionoecetes bairdi occurs in relatively warm water from Puget Sound northward to the eastern Bering Sea. The taxonomic affinity of these two species is demonstrated by the large number of hybrids found in regions where their ranges overlap.

## Chionoecetes bairdi

According to the August-October 1975 OGSEAP base-1ine survey, $\underline{C}$. bairdi were distributed widely in the eastern Bering Sea (Figure 1). The scope of
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Figure 1. Distribution of Chionoecetes bairdi in the 1975 OCSEAP survey area.
(Figure from NWAFC 1976)
the survey, which is the largest conducted by NMFS, was still not extensive enough to delineate the entire distribution pattern of the population. The pattern along the western boundary of the survey area indicates that the population may extend farther westward than the area sampled, although the abundance there is apparently low. The major concentrations of $\underline{C}$. bairdi were distributed between the Pribilof Islands and the Alaskan Peninsula. Concentrations of $\mathbf{C}$. bairdi females were scattered in an arc along the northern coast of Unimak Island, and northwest of the Pribilof Islands along the edge of the Continental Shelf. The largest concentration was found just south of St. George Island. Large males (greater than 129 mm in carapace width) did not extend as far north as smaller sized males nor farther east than Port Moller in the Bristol Bay region. The major concentrations of mature males appear to occur north of Unimak Island and near the Pribilof Islands.

The density profile of $\underline{C}$. bairdi population in the eastern Bering Sea, as depicted by catch rates in the OCSEAP survey, is shown in Figure 2. Major concentrations are found to the north of Unimak Island (Subareas 1 and 2) and around the Pribilof Islands (Subareas 2 and 3).

The occurence of C. bairdi was high throughout the 1975 OCSEAP survey area. The species was caught in at least $50 \%$ of the hauls in the following areas: subarea 1 ( $96 \%$ ), subarea 2 ( $85 \%$ ), subarea 3 ( $70 \%$ ) and subarea 4 ( $50 \%$ ).

The population, as a percentage of total numbers, was found to be distributed as follows: subarea 1 ( $24 \%$ ), subarea 2 ( $47 \%$ ), subarea 3 ( $26 \%$ ) and subarea 4 (1ess than $1 \%$ ).

## Chionoecetes opilio

The distribution of $\underline{C}$. opilio is very similar to that for C. bairdi, except that the longitudinal range of $\underline{C}$. opilio is wider--especially north of $58^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (Figure 3). The limits of its range probably extend even farther west and north of the area covered by the 1975 OCSEAP survey.


Figure 2. Density profile of Chionoecetes bairdi population in the 1975 OGSEAP survey area.
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Figure 3. Distribution of Chionoecetes opilio in the 1975 OCSEAP survey area. (Figure from NWAFC 1976)

In contrast to $\underline{G}$. bairdi, large concentrations of $\underline{C}$. opilio females were quite predominant north of the Pribilof Islands and in a wide band extending from the Pribilof Islands southeastward toward Amak Island (Figure 3). Concentrations of females were noticeably missing south of a line between Amak and St. Paul Island, a pattern in contrast to that of the distribution of female C. bairdi.

As in the case for large male C. bairdi, large male C. opilio (greater than 109 mm in carapace width) were found in a narrow band within their range (Figure 3). Concentrations of large $\underline{G}$. opilio were scattered in a band running northwesterly from the Pribilof Islands.

A density profile of the C . opilio distribution is quite different from that of C. bairdi; with C. opilio densities being highest in areas to the north and west of the main concentrations of $\underline{C}$. bairdi. The largest proportion of catches of C. opilio was obtained northwest of St. Paul Island (Figure 4). In comparison, the largest proportion of C. bairdi was found just north of Unimak Island.

The occurence of $\underline{\text { C. opilio }}$ was high throughout the 1975 OCSEAP survey area and C. opilio were caught in $79 \%$ to $90 \%$ of all hauls, depending on the subarea.

The population, as a percentage of total numbers, was found to be distributed as follows: subarea 1 ( $9 \%$ ), subarea 2 ( $2 \%$ ), subarea 3 ( $31 \%$ ) and subarea 4 (58\%).

## Chionoecetes hybrid

Hybrid Tanner crabs (ㄷ. opilio x C. bairdi) were generally found throughout the 1975 OCSEAP baseline survey area but in smaller numbers than either C. bairdi or $\underline{C}$. opilio. The relative abundance of the hybrid population was about $8 \%$ of all tanner crab numbers in the survey area as compared to $14 \%$ for C. bairdi and $78 \%$ for C. opilio (NWAFC 1976).


Figure 4. Density profile of Chionoecetes opilio population in the 1975 OCSEAP survey area. (Figure from NWAFC 1976)

The hybrid population occurred in the north and along the outer continental shelf and diminished in abundance in the southeastern part of the Bering Sea. It was found to be distributed as follows: subarea 1 ( $3 \%$ ), subarea $2(8 \%)$, subarea $3(20 \%)$ and subarea 4 ( $69 \%$ ).

As mentioned, United States and Japan are the only two nations currently fishing for Tanner crabs in the Eastern Bering Sea. Both fisheries operate in areas where mature male crabs are large and abundant. Therefore they are concentrated around the Pribilof Islands and north of Unimak Island (Figure 5) where male $\underline{C}$. bairdi are concentrated. A large area within the range of large male $\underline{C}$. bairdi is avoided apparently due to low abundance.

Since the fisheries select for large-size crabs, they also avoid the more northerly areas where the smaller C. opilio are prevalant (Figure 6). As a result of this size selection, the C. opilio resource is apparently underutilized.

Although both species are exploited purposefully in a rather small area in relation to the distribution of the resources, they are routinely caught as incidental species in the massive Soviet and Japanese trawl fisheries for groundfish. These incidental catches, although they are by regulation required to be "returned to the ecosystem", result in an annual calculated loss of 11,000 to $14,000 \mathrm{mt}$ of harvestable-size males (Department of Commerce 1977).

Aside from specific time-area regulations, it is apparent that the location of present fisheries for Tanner crabs is largely influenced by minimum size requirements and abundance of large males. Therefore, if either the minimum size requirement or the abundance of large males changes, it follows that the geographical pattern of the fisheries will also change and thereby result in a shift in burden of exploitation from one sector of the population to another. In this regard, the management plan must take into consideration area-time segregation in order to minimize fishing conflicts between the Japanese and the U.S. fisheries. However, the management plan must first examine the biological consequences of any changes in the minimum size limit.


N

Figure 5. Location of U.S. and Japanese fisheries for Tanner crabs in relation to the distribution of large Chionoecetes bairdi males


Figure 6. Distribution of Tanner crab stocks and species composition of large male Tanner crabs.

## EFFECTS OF A MINIMUM SIZE LIMIT ON EQUILIBRIUM YIELD

The relationship between a minimum size limit and equilibrium yield can be assessed using yield per recruit ( $Y / R$ ) theory. A yield model is used to determine the yield that can be obtained from a year class over its lifespan when the innate growth characteristics of the species are modified by natural and fishery induced mortality. Yield per recruit is simply the yield produced by the year class divided by the initial number of recruits. Parameters which are necessary for yield calculations include constants of the generalized growth function ( $\left.L_{\infty}, k, t_{0}, \delta\right)$; constants of the allometric weight-carapace width relationship $(\alpha, \beta)$; instantaneous natural mortality (M), age of recruitment $\left(t_{\rho}\right)$, and maximum age of exploitation ( $t_{\lambda}$ ). Given estimates for these parameters, yield per recruit surfaces can be calculated as a function of age at entry into the fishery ( $t_{\rho}$ ) and instantaneous fishing mortality (F).

## Parameters of the Model

Growth of each Tanner crab species was estimated using a computer simulation model which incorporated both the expected growth increment per molt and the annual probability of molting. A generalized growth function, similar to the Chapman-Richards growth function, was fitted to simulated estimates of mean carapace width at each age. The resulting growth curves are shown in Figure 7 for both species. Estimated parameters of the generalized growth function are as follows:

|  | $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}(\mathrm{mm})$ | k | t 。(years) | $\delta$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C.bairdi <br> C. <br> Opilio | 201 | 0.194 | -4.8 | 7.5 |




Figure 7. Relationship of carapace width to age for Chionoecetes opilio and Chionoecetes bairdi.

Maximum age is approximately 14 years for both species, and all males above a specified age at entry are assumed to be subject to capture, thus $t_{\lambda}$ equals 14. Age of recruitment $\left(t_{\rho}\right)$ was arbitrarily chosen to be 4 years.

The weight-carapace width relationship estimated for each species is as follows:

| C. bairdi | weight $(\mathrm{gm})=0.00019(\text { width }(\mathrm{mm}))^{3.0989}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| G. opilio | weight $(\mathrm{gm})=0.00023(\text { width }(\mathrm{mm}))^{3.1295}$ |

No reliable estimate of natural mortality is presently available. but considering that maximum age is approximately 14 years for both species, it is reasonable to assume $M$ lies within the range of 0.1 to 0.3 .

Using the parameters estimated above, surfaces of yield per recruit were calculated as a function of $t^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$, and $F$. These surfaces are displayed as contour plots in Figures 8 (ㄷ. bairdi) and 9 (ㄷ. opilio) for the particular cases of $M$ equal to $0.1,0.2$, and 0.3 .

## Fishing Strategies

Two types of optimal fishing strategies can be represented by lines drawn on a yield surface. One 1 ine connects the locus of $F$ values which maximize yield at each level of $t^{\prime}$ '. The second (dashed) line, known as the eumetric fishing curve, connects the locus of $t_{\rho}$ values which maximize $Y / R$ at each level of $F$. The eumetric fishing curve is considered the more efficient of the two optimal fishing strategies because it minimizes the fishing effort required to obtain the same given amount of $Y / R$. However, for any particular age of entry, a larger $Y / R$ can be achieved if $F$ is allowed to be greater than that defined on the eumetric fishing line. The eumetric fishing curves drawn on the yield surfaces in Figures 8 and 9 are seen to be aysmptotic

## C. BAIRDI



Figure 8. Yield isopleths for Chionoecetes bairdi at three rates of natural mortality based on the yield-per-recruit theory.

## C. OPILIO
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Figure 9. Yield isopleths for Chionoecetes opilio at three rates of natural mortality based on the yield-per-recruit theory.
functions of $F$, thus maximum $Y / R$ is reached as $F$ approaches infinity. This yield could only be achieved if a cohort were instantaneously harvested at an age (the critical age, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) when its biomass is maximum. Since instantaneous harvesting is normally not possible, a compromise between yield and $F$ (and $t^{\rho}$ ', if fishing is not eumetric) must be made. Ultimately this compromise will be determined by economic factors, especially the incremental return to a fishery due to increasing $F$.

In the absence of economic information, an optimum level of F cannot be explicitly defined. A reasonable estimate of minimum size which maximizes Y/R can still be made, though, even if $F$ is not well known. This is because eumetric $t^{\prime}$ ' is relatively insensitive to changes in $F$ above some moderate level of fishing mortality. For example, if F is assumed to eventually stabilize in the neighborhood of 0.5 , an optimum age and size at entry at three levels of natural mortality can be calculated. These are shown in the following table. Included are the critical ages, $t_{c}$, when $F$ approaches infinity.

|  | M | $\mathrm{F}=0.5$ |  | F approaching infinity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $t^{\prime}$, (years) | width (mm) | $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (years) |
|  | . 1 | 10.1 | 128 | 15.0 |
| C. bairdi | . 2 | 9.2 | 121 | 11.5 |
|  | . 3 | 7.8 | 102 | 9.5 |
|  | . 1 | 10.2 | 97 | 16.1 |
| C. opilio | . 2 | 9.3 | 87 | 12.0 |
|  | . 3 | 7.8 | 75 | 9.6 |

## Recruitment, Yield and Biomass

To convert $Y / R$ to equilibrium annual yield from a population, one usually assumes that recruitment is constant. Under this assumption, at equilibrium,
the yield from a year class over its lifespan is equivalent to the yield from the whole population in any one year. If the average number of recruits of age $t_{\rho}$ can be estimated, then equilibrium yield can be estimated from Y/R theory.

Recruitment strength was estimated for $\underline{\text { C. bairdi }}$ but not for $\underline{\text { G }}$. opilio because of inadequate survey coverage of the latter species. The number of 4 -year old male $\underline{C}$. bairdi which could be reliably estimated was taken to represent recruitment (and year class) strength. To estimate the number of these crabs, a growth simulation model was used to estimate a size frequency distribution of successive age classes. This in turn was used in conjunction with the population size frequency estimates from the $1974-76$ Bering Sea survey cruises to estimate the number of 5, 6 and 7-year old males in each year. No attempt was made to directly estimate 4 year old crabs because they appeared to be under-represented in the samples due to gear selectivity; however, they were indirectly estimated for previous years by correcting for a mortality each year class experienced since it was 4 years old. For instance, the number of 5 year old crabs in 1974 divided by its expected survival in one year $\left(e^{-0.2}\right)$ was used as an estimate of the number of 4 year olds in 1973 . In this manner the number of 4 year old males in the population was estimated for the years 1971-1975. These are shown below.

| Year at age 4 | Mi11ion $1976$ |  | bairdi <br> 1974 | Mean |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1971 |  |  | 161.6 | 161.6 |  |
| 1972 |  | 130.1 | 136.6 | 133.4 |  |
| 1973 | 83.7 | 93.7 | 99.4 | 92.3 |  |
| 1974 | 58.0 | 45.5 |  | 51.8 |  |
| 1975 | 28.3 |  |  | 28.3 |  |
| Mean age 4 recruitment over 5 years $=93,500,000$ |  |  |  |  |  |

For G. bairdi, the average recruitment of 4 year old males for 197175 was therefore estimated to be 93.5 million. Equilibrium yield was estimated by multiplying the estimated recruitment with eumetric $Y / R$ values calculated for $M=0.2$ (Table 1).

The equilibrium biomass of the exploited population is the biomass of the population of males larger than the size at $t_{p}$. It is calculated by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { equilibrium biomass }=\frac{\text { equilibrium yield }}{\text { exploitation rate }} \\
& \text { where } \\
& \text { exploitation rate }=\frac{F}{F+M} \quad\left(1-e^{-(F+M)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1.--Estimation of yield and biomass based on equilibrium conditions.

| t $\rho^{\prime}$ <br> $($ years $)$ | width <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | F | equilibrium yield <br> (MT) | equilibrium biomass <br> of |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.8 | 87 | .15 | 11,117 | 87,838 |
| 7.4 | 95 | .20 | 12,744 | 77,311 |
| 7.8 | 102 | .25 | 13,968 | 69,383 |
| 8.2 | 106 | .30 | 14,923 | 63,211 |
| 8.5 | 111 | .35 | 15,699 | 58,314 |
| 8.8 | 114 | .40 | 16,325 | 54,273 |
| 9.0 | 118 | .45 | 16,849 | 50,920 |
| 9.2 | 121 | .50 | 17,288 | 48,070 |
| 9.3 | 123 | .55 | 17,662 | 45,646 |
| 9.5 | 124 | .60 | 17,980 | 43,534 |
| 9.6 | 125 | .65 | 18,242 | 41,662 |
| 9.7 | 126 | .70 | 18,475 | 40,028 |
| 9.8 | 127 | .75 | 18,672 | 38,566 |
| 9.9 | 128 | .80 | 18,831 | 37,238 |

EFFECTS OF MINIMUM SIZE LIMITATIONS ON REPRODUCTIVE POTENTIAL

At present, the Tanner crab fishery is restricted to the males of the species. Any females caught incidentally are returned to the sea. The possibility exists that the reproductive potential of the species could be reduced if too many males are removed from the population, thereby decreasing chances of females' finding mates. If all males contribute equally to the reproduction process, a certain sex ratio would need to be maintained. However, a Tanner crab's contribution to reproduction changes with age, and therefore the optimum age distribution must be ascertained.

## Reproductive characteristics

Until a Tanner crab's first puberty molt, there is no segregation by sexes. Upon reaching puberty, however, male Tanner crabs tend to disperse, while female crabs tend to remain grouped together, apparently for the remainder of their lives. Newly matured males tend to mate with newly matured females--some males possibly mating with several females. Female Tanner crabs can store sperm, possibly for a number of years, and therefore need not find mates each breeding season, although it is believed that females do mate at least once again after their first mating. In later matings, the female's partner is probably older and larger than her first post-pubescent mate. It is important to note, however, that unlike in King and Dungeness crabs, there appears to be no competition among the older males for access to the newly maturing females. It is primarily the younger, just matured crabs who mate with those females.

One can then group the population of mature males into two age categories: 1) the newly matured males who fertilize the newly matured females, and 2) the previously matured older, larger males who mate periodically with older females. According to trawl surveys, these two groups are actually segregated.

Of the entire adult female population, roughly half are newly matured individuals (C. bairdi, mean $=0.51$, range $=0.29-0.73$; . opilio, mean 0.52 , range $=0.15-0.94$ ), which mate with the newly matured males. The fact that older females need not mate each year suggests that previously matured males (which couple with the older females) mate less often than newly matured males. Furthermore, the present within-group sex ratios in the population for $\underline{C}$. bairdi (newly matured $\mathcal{f} / \hat{\delta}=1.5$; previously matured $ㅇ / 10=.40$ ) support the view that there is a difference between mature male groups in the number of matings per male required to maintain complete female insemination.

It can be concluded that newly matured male Tanner crabs contribute greatly to the reproductive success of the population, and therefore must be given some protection from exploitation. The older male crabs play less of a role in the reproductive process, and consequently might need less protection.

## Sexual Groups

Since the sexual maturity of males is important in determining the reprom ductive potential of the population, one will have to estimate the relative abundance of principal sexual groups in order to assess the degree of protection offered to each group under different minimum size limitations. A male Tanner crab at any size can be classified into the groups shown in Figure 10. The crab may be immature or mature. If mature, it belongs to one of three categories:
(1) Group 1 -- a crab which becomes mature at this size,
(2) Group 2 -- a crab which has matured at this size in previous years but has not grown, and
(3) Group 3 -- a crab which has matured at a smaller size in previous years and has grown to this size.


Figure 10. Principal groups of male Tanner crabs according to their sexual development important to reproductive potential.

In terms of reproductive roles, it is important to estimate the relative abundance of crabs classified as: (a) immature, (b) newly matured (group 1), and (c) previously matured (groups 2 and 3). To determine the numbers of immature and mature crabs, a computer simulation model was developed using information concerning the growth and maturity pattern for each species. A maturity schedule (Figure 11) was constructed from data on carapace width and chaela length, assuming that differential growth occurs at sexual maturity. This schedule, which represents the cumulative probability of being mature as a function of size, was used to estimate the number of immature and mature males by 1 mm size interval from size frequency histograms of Bering Sea survey data. As noted in Figure 10, no further division need to be made for the immature class.

For the mature class, it is necessary to separate the newly matured (group 1) from the previously matured (groups 2 and 3) crabs. This is done by incorporating several aspects of growth into the simulation model. A molting probability schedule was constructed from carapace age data collected in the Bering Sea. Using this schedule the expected fraction of males in any size group that will not molt was calculated and subtracted from the number of mature crabs. This procedure separated group 2 crabs from all mature crabs. Considering both the molting probability schedule and the expected growth increment per molt, the expected number of males that matured at one size and then grew to a larger size in the following year was estimated (group 3 crabs). These males (both groups 2 and 3) form the previously matured group and by subtraction from the mature group, the newly matured group (group 1) was estimated.

In order to assess how much protection is given to each group of males under different size limits, the output of the model was expressed as the


Figure 11. Maturity schedule for male Chionoecetes opilio and Chionoecetes bairdi at different sizes (carapace width in mm).
percent protection given to the immature group and the two mature groups for male crabs larger than some reference size ( 60 mm for C. bairdi and 40 mm for C. opilio) as a function of minimum size limits (Figure 12). The graphs show the 8 -year average (1969-76) degree of protection given to the two species of Tanner crabs. For instance, under the present minimum size regulation for C. bairdi at 140 mm carapace width, it appears that (a) almost $100 \%$ of the immature group of crabs are protected from exploitation, (b) about $92 \%$ of the newly matured group are similarly protected, and (c) only about $35 \%$ of the previously matured group are protected.

In the next section, Estimation of Biomass, the actual abundance of crabs in each of these groups in 1976 is calculated to show the absolute degree of protection.


Figure 12. Degree of protection (1969-75 average) offered to the mature and immature :
groups of male Chionoecetes opilio and Chionoecetes bairdi larger
than some reference size ( 40 mm for C . opilio and 60 mm for C .
bairdi) as a function of minimum size limits.

## ESTIMATION OF BIOMASS

The Tanner crab biomass for 1976 was high in comparison to that of previous years as reported in the 1977 Preliminary Fishery Management Plan for King and Tanner crabs (Department of Commerce 1977, Table 2). In this report additional details about the biomass of the two principal species are presented to allow one to examine consequences of minimum size limitations as they relate to defining allowable yields and protecting reproductive potential. Besides using information previously presented, such an examination must take into consideration the data on (1) the biomass by size groups, and (2) the biomass by stages of maturity within size groups.

## Chionoecetes bairdi

In 1976, the C. bairdi population was estimated to be as follows: males ( 426 million, 223 thousand tons) and females (395 million, 70 thousand tons) (Appendix 1). These estimates possibly included almost the entire population since survey coverage has indicated that not many $C$. bairdi were found outside the survey area.

Table 3 provides information on the number and biomass of crabs larger than specified sizes, beginning at 100 mm and proceeding in 5 mm increments (for additional details, see Appendix 1). If one wants to impose a minimum size of 140 mm carapace width for $\underline{C}$. bairdi in the fishery, one can see from Table 3 that there were apparently 124,000 tons ( 109 million crabs) of male C. bairdi in 1976 that met this criterion. Exactly how much can safely be taken from this 124,000 tons is a different matter and will be discussed in the next section. One will have to consider that the entire biomass may not be accessible to the fishery and that part of this biomass may need special protection. Since it has been previously noted that most of the eggs are fertilized by newly matured male crabs, it is highly desirable that this particular group be protected.

Table 2.-Annual abundance estimates (millions of crabs) for Tanner crabs from NOAA RV Oregon surveys in the eastern Bering Sea.

| Sex | Size <br> group* | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | $95 \%$ confidence limitsfor 1976 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper | \% |
| C. bairdi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | $<85$ | 62.8 | 200.7 | 116.3 | 136.8 | 113.3 | 160.3 | 17 |
|  | 85-129 | 140.5 | 255.0 | 207.5 | 131.7 | 120.2 | 143.2 | 9 |
|  | $>129$ | 66.9 | 130.5 | 209.6 | 157.8 | 140.5 | 175.1 | 11 |
| Total males |  | 270.2 | 586.2 | 533.4 | 426.3 | 394.9 | 457.7 | 7 |
| Females | $<85$ | 47.9 | 210.5 | 120.8 | 174.7 | 143.3 | 206.1 | 18 |
|  | $>84$ | 90.3 | 175.7 | 102.2 | 220.4 | 187.0 | 253.8 | 15 |
| Total females | . | 138.2 | 386.2 | 223.0 | 395.1 | 349.2 | 295.1 | 12 |
| Total population |  | 408.4 | 972.4 | 756.4 | 821.4 | 765.8 | 877.0 | 7 |
| C. opilio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | $<110$ | 115.2 | 1,480.3 | 1,916.7 | 2,221.1 | 1,737.1 | 2,705.1 | 22 |
|  | $>109$ | 84.7 | 246.7 | 274.8 | 181.6 | 110.4 | 252.8 | 39 |
| Total males |  | 199.9 | 1,727.0 | 2,191.5 | 2,402.7. | 1,913.5 | 2,891.9 | 20 |
| Females | $<65$ | 26.4 | 1,415.3 | 3,213.1 | 4,867.1 | 3,240.0 | 6,494.2 | 33 |
|  | $>64$ | 26.8 | 195.9 | 194.3 | 697.3 | 536.5 | 858.1 | 23 |
| Total females |  | 53.2 | 1,611.4 | 3,407.4 | 5,564.4 | 3,929.3 | 7,199.5 | 29 |
| Total population |  | 253.1 | 3,338.4 | 5,598.9 | 7,967.1 | 6.260 .4 | 9,673.8 | 21 |
| C. hybrid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | $<110$ |  |  | 47.5 | 27.8 | 11.8 | 43.8 | 37 |
|  | $>109$ |  | - | 33.8 | 16.5 | 11.3 | - 21.7 | 32 |
| Total males |  |  |  | 81.3 | 44.3 | 27.4 | 61.2 | 38 |
| Females | $<65$ |  |  | 190.8 | 1.1 | . 5 | 1.7 | 55 |
| . | $>64$ |  |  | 28.9 | 13.9 | 10.8 | 17.0 | 22 |
| Total females |  |  |  | 219.7 | 15.0 | 11.9 | 18.1 | 21 |
| Total population |  |  |  | 301.0 | 59.3 | 42.2 | 76.4 | 29 |

[^1]Table 3..--Population estimates of Chionoecetes bairdi at different size limits in the Eastern Bering Sea based on RV Oregon survey in 1976.

| Carapace Width (mm) | Numbers in Millions |  | Biomass in Thousand Tons |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| All Sizes | 426.35 | 395.04 | 222.8 | 70.0 |
| $\geq 100$ | 255.08 | 54.76 | 207.5 | 19.0 |
| $\geq 105$ | 240.77 | 21.00 | 202.9 | 8.2 |
| $\geq 110$ | 227.84 | 7.14 | 198.2 | 3.1 |
| $\geq 115$ | 213.55 | 1.17 | 192.1 | . 6 |
| $\geq 120$ | 198.60 | . 08 | 184.8 | 0 |
| $\geq 125$ | 180.16 | 0 | 174.6 | 0 |
| $\geq 130$ | 157.81 | 0 | 160.5 | 0 |
| $\geq 135$ | 135.18 | 0 | 144.5 | 0 |
| $\geq 140$ | 109.43 | 0 | 124.0 | 0 |
| $\geq 145$ | 88.73 | 0 | 105.6 | 0 |
| $\geq 150$ | 64.32 | 0 | 82.0 | 0 |
| $\geq 155$ | 43.86 | 0 | 59.0 | 0 |
| $\geq 160$ | 26.15 | 0 | 37.5 | 0 |

In this regard, Table 4 provides the 1976 population break-down into three stages of sexual maturity: immature, newly matured, and previously matured crabs. Assuming that both the immature and newly matured groups must be given some protection, one can see that these two groups combined as a percentage of the entire population beyond a certain minimum size in 1976 were as follows:

Minimum
size limits

Immatures and Newly Matured G. bairdi as Percentage of biomass
$100 \mathrm{~mm} \quad 22$
-
11517
$120 \quad 16$
125 13
$130 \quad 9$
$135 \quad 6$
140 3
1450

22

9

The tabular information above shows that if a minimum size limit for C. bairdi was set at 140 mm carapace width, then out of all those males subject to exploitation, only 3 percent of them are either immature or newly matured. Since it is inconceivable that the fishery will take 100 percent of all males greater than 140 mm , it means that the percentage (or numbers) of immature or newly matured crabs actually taken in the fishery will be lowered by the rate of exploitation. If the rate of exploitation was 30 percent, then only $37,200 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 30 percent of $124,000 \mathrm{mt}$ from Table 3 ) male $\underline{C}$. bairdi will actually be taken. Out of this $37,200 \mathrm{mt}$ of crabs, only $1,200 \mathrm{mt}$ (30 percent of $4,043 \mathrm{mt}$ from Table 4) of them were either immature or newly matured males. The rest were previously matured male crabs that are not as crucial for reproductive purposes.

Table 4 ．－－Population estimates of male（A）Chionoecetes bairdi and（B）Chionoecetes opilio at different stages of maturity and minimum size limits in the eastern Bering Sea based on RV Oregon survey in 1976.

| Carapace <br> width（mm） | Population Numbers（millions） |  |  | Population Biomass（tons） |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Imm＊ | New＊＊ | Previous＊＊＊ | Imm＊ | New＊＊ | Previous＊＊＊ |
| （A）Chionoecetes bairdi |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 100$ | 51.2 | 32.1 | 180.8 | 23，600 | 21，194 | 162，690 |
| $\geq 105$ | 39.7 | 31.6 | 178.5 | 19，930 | 21，039 | 161，956 |
| $\geq 110$ | 30.7 | 30.8 | 175.4 | 16，590 | 20，747 | 160，809 |
| $\pm 115$ | 22.4 | 28.6 | 171.6 | 13，062 | 19，798 | 159，210 |
| $\geq 120$ | 15.5 | 27.2 | 164.9 | 9，725 | 19，113 | 155，945 |
| $\geq 125$ | 9.3 | 22.5 | 157.5 | 6，257 | 16，484 | 151，813 |
| $\geq 130$ | 4.2 | 14.3 | 148.5 | 3，042 | 11，317 | 146，154 |
| $\geq 135$ | 1.2 | 9.1 | 134.0 | 942 | 7，646 | 135，895 |
| $\geq 140$ | 0 | 4.6 | 113.9 | 0 | 4，043 | 119，970 |
| $\geq 145$ | 0 | 0 | 97.7 | 0 | ， 0 | 105，634 |
| （B）Chionoecetes opilio |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 100$ | 3.9 | 17.0 | 260.6 | 1，781 | 8，506 | 174，225 |
| $\geq 105$ | ． 6 | 5.9 | 220.3 | 292 | 3，569 | 156，324 |
| $\geq 110$ | 0 | 2.2 | 181.1 | 0 | 1，639 | 136，106 |
| $\geq 115$ | 0 | 2.2 | 130.5 | 0 | 1，639 | 106，005 |
| $\geq 120$ | 0 | 2.2 | 88.5 | 0 | 1，639 | 77，371 |
| $\geq 125$ | 0 | 0 | 53.0 | 0 | 0 | 51，229 |

[^2]Note：Table summarized from Appendices 3 and 4.

## Chionoecetes opilio

Based on the 1975 OGSEAP survey, which covered a larger area than the RV Oregon survey of 1976 , it is surmised that a large percentage of the C . opilio population lay outside the survey area and was not estimated in the 1976 survey. Therefore the 1976 estimates of male opilio ( 2.4 billion, 459 thousand tons) and female opilio ( 5.6 billion, 360 thousand tons) are considered minimum estimates of population size (Appendix 2).

As in the case of C. bairdi (Table 3), the cumulative 1976 population sizes beyond a range of selected size limits for $\underline{C}$. opilio are provided in Table 5. If one wants to determine minimum size regulation and allowable yields from these biomass estimates, one will have to consider the sexual maturity of the population (Table 4). Again, assuming that both the immature and newly matured groups need protection from exploitation, their combined percentage of the entire population beyond the range of minimum sizes is noted as:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minimum <br> size limit | Immatures and Newly Matured $\underline{C}$. opilio <br> as Percentage of biomass |
| 100 mm | 6 |
| 105 | 3 |
| 110 | 2 |
| 115 | 2 |
| 120 | 3 |
| 125 | 1 |

The tabular information above is used in conjunction with Tables 3 and 5 to evaluate the degree of protection given $\underline{\text { C. }}$ opilio male crabs for reproductive purposes as noted in the previous example for C. bairdi. It is apparent here that the 140 mm minimum size 1 imitation imposed theoretically for C. bairdi has a different effect on C. opilio. With C. opilio, no males exceeding 140 mm that are either immature or newly matured are subject to exploitation. Therefore the special protection offered to these groups is 100 percent.

Table 5.--Population estimates of Chionoecetes opilio at different size limits in the Eastern Bering Sea based on RV Oregon survey in 1976.

| Carapace <br> width $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Numbers in Millions |  | Biomass in Thousand Tons |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Females | Males | 360.3 |  |
| All sizes | $2,402.4$ | 564.5 | 458.6 | 0.5 |
| $\geq 100$ | 279.8 | .1 | 185.3 | 0 |
| $\geq 105$ | 225.0 | 0 | 161.0 | 0 |
| $\geq 110$ | 181.6 | 0 | 138.5 | 0 |
| $\geq 115$ | 131.0 | 0 | 108.4 | 0 |
| $\geq 120$ | 89.0 | 0 | 79.8 | 0 |
| $\geq 125$ | 53.3 | 0 | 52.0 | 0 |
| $\geq 130$ | 25.8 | 0 | 27.8 | 0 |
| $\geq 135$ | 10.4 | 0 | 12.5 | 0 |
| $\geq 140$ | 1.7 | 0 | 2.7 | 0 |
| $\geq 145$ | 1.0 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 |
| $\geq 150$ | .8 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 |
| $\geq 155$ | .4 | 0 | .9 | 0 |
| $\geq 160$ | .3 | 0 | .8 | 0 |

## TRADEOFFS INVOLVED WITH SETTING A MINIMUM SIZE LIMIT

A population is always undergoing some changes and heading towards some equilibrium conditions. The closer it is towards equilibrium, the more stable the populations will be, thereby allowing a more consistent pattern of exploitation without great fluctuations in the populations. This ideal case never happens-recruitment varies, population structure changes, mortality and reproduction schedules alter, and even the carrying capacity of the ecosystem changes-to name just a few of the variables that can disrupt equilibrium conditions.

The Tanner crab populations in the Eastern Bering Sea, given whatever conditions exist at present, are constantly undergoing changes in character and abundance. One would like to predict three things:(1) toward what equilibrium condition the present population trend is heading, (2) what some of the important changes in the present population would be given different exploitation strategies under current conditions, and (3) what changes in the population can be anticipated in the near future.

## Equilibrium Conditions

Equilibrium conditions for $\underline{C}$. bairdi and $\underline{\text { C }}$. opilio have been inferred from $Y / R$ theory. Equilibrium yield and biomass, however, are computed for C. bairdi only, because reliable recruitment estimates are not available for C. opilio. Based upon the eumetric fishing concept, it appears that it is rational to harvest male $\underline{\text { G }}$. bairdi when it is about 123 mm carapace width (9.3 years old) at a reasonable fishing intensity ( $F=0.6$ ) to achieve a high value for $Y / R$ when $M=0.2$ (Figure 8 ). Table 1 shows equilibrium yield, equilibrium biomass of the harvestable population, and eumetric fishing mortality as functions of age and size of entry into the fishery.

Since the entries in the Table were based on the assumption of $M=0.2$, the resulting optimum annual exploitation rate is approximately equal to 0.41 . Thus, at equilibrium, $41 \%$ of the biomass of crabs 1 arger than 123 mm could be harvested each year. Using the estimated average recruitment of four year old males $(93,500,000)$ the expected annual equilibrium yield is about 18,000 metric tons and the equilibrium biomass is 43,500 metric tons.

## Present Conditions

The 1976 biomass for male G. bairdi larger than 123 mm was approximately $180,000 \mathrm{mt}$ (Table 3). In this case the equilibrium biomass is roughly one quarter of the present biomass. If the equilibrium exploitation rate (0.41) as suggested by $Y / R$ theory were applied to the present biomass, then approximately $73,800 \mathrm{mt}$ could be safely harvested in 1977.

Results of this example depend upon the assumption that future recruitment would not be affected by the specified minimum size and exploitation rate. If the minimum size and harvest rate are chosen such that the reproductive potential of the populations remains high, then the above changes will proceed until some equilibrium is reached. Since the number of recruits entering the fishery each year is quite variable, the actual age distribution and harvestable biomass which exist each year may deviate from equilibrium values; however over a sufficiently long timespan they will, on the average, tend to the equilibrium values.

If the assumption of constant average recruitment is incorrect and in fact lower in recent years, as previously estimated, the resulting equilibrium yield may be much less than anticipated. At present not enough is known about the relationship between the size of the spawning stock and future recruitment to predict how any exploitation scheme might affect recruitment. A conservative
approach, which we feel is certainly justified under the present conditions, is to choose some scheme which insures the mature female population of complete insemination.

Figure 12 can be used to obtain a qualitative 8-year estimate of how a specific minimum size and exploitation rate may affect the ability of the surviving males to fertilize the mature female population. Returning to the above example, a minimum size of 123 mm would completely protect $32 \%$ of the newly matured males. The remaining $68 \%$ would be subjected to an exploitation rate of $41 \%$, and consequently it would be expected that $28 \%$ of the newly matured males would actually be harvested. The actual numbers of these males taken in 1976 can be computed from Table 4.

The most obvious question at this point is how a removal of $28 \%$ of the male spawning stock will affect the chances of a maturing female finding a mate. One indicator of this is the resulting sex ratio of newly matured crabs. From 1969 to 1975, newly matured females were approximately 1.5 times as abundant as newly matured males. Using this as a reference, the expected sex ratio under the above conditions would be $1.5 /(1.0-0.28)=2.08$. Thus, with a $28 \%$ removal of males, the sex ratio is changed roughly to 2 newly matured females for every newly matured male. The actual effect of this change cannot be estimated because it is not known how many females a male can be expected to fertilize under natural conditions.

In the above example, a minimum size was set with the objective of obtaining some optimum yield, then the effects of the size limit on recruitment were explored. The process may be reversed: first a size limit which gives adequate protection to the spawning stock can be chosen, then the expected yield can be estimated. One example of this approach is to set a minimum
size limit at 140 mm , a size which gives nearly complete protection to the newly matured males. To maintain a high yield, the exploitation rate would have to increase to approximately $60-70 \%$. This is quite understandable, because as the biomass available for harvest is reduced by increasing the minimum size, maintaining a specified yield requires the legal-sized crabs to be harvested more intensively. Such high exploitation rates may not be economically feasible to maintain, thus there may be a decrease in yield.

Another factor to be considered is that as the minimum size increases, the fishery is directed toward fewer year classes. Any fluctuation in year class strength will then result in a concurrent fluctuation in annual harvest. This effect may be more important to the fishery than any decrease in yield. The number of year classes that make up the fishable population above the 140 mm minimum size regulation is not known. If the occurence of double skip-molt crabs is as common in the eastern Bering Sea as it is in the Gulf of Alaska, then there may be at least 3 year classes in the eastern Bering Sea fishable population. If this is true, then fluctuations in annual harvest as a result of declining year class strength may be slightly dampened. It appears, however, that there may be substantial biological waste because not only are the older crabs apparently past their prime in reproduction, they also do not grow much in size and are reduced in numbers due to higher than normal natural mortality rates.

## YEAR GLASS VARIABILITY AND ITS EFFEGT ON THE FISHERY

## Chionoecetes bairdi

Recruitment of 4 year old male G. bairdi into the population during 1971-75 (which came from corresponding year classes 1967-71) is shown in Figure 13. The results indicate that year class strength has been declining steadily from 1967 through 1971 as depicted by the decline in millions of 4 year olds as follows: 162 (1971), 133 (1972), 92 (1973), 52 (1974), and 28 (1975).

At present, the large year classes (1967-68) are entering a fishable size range (above 123 mm at age 9) although the bulk of recent catches (crabs above 140 mm at age 11) came from the 1965 and 1966 year classes. Since these catches were high, it is surmised that the $1965-66$ year classes were probably rather large. It is also surmised that the harvestable population, though declining in trend, will be quite large through 1978. The small year classes (1970-71) will enter the fishery in the early $1980^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, or even sooner, and a reduced harvest can be anticipated then.

In anticipation of the declining recruitment into the fishery that is expected in a few years, one may wish to examine the following management alternatives while taking into account the effects of minimum size regulation on yield and reproductive potential:
(1) Use recruitment strength as a criterion for setting catch levels. This alternative would result in great fluctuations in annual yields, and consequently be undesirable economically to the fishing industry.
(2) Keep annual yields stabilized by harvesting fewer crabs than possible when recruitment is high, and more crabs than biologically possible when recruitment is low (as is expected to be the case


Figure 13. Year class strengths of male Chionoecetes bairdi and their principal years of entry into the fishery.
in the early 1980's). This strategy is inherently biologically "wasteful", however, because the large crabs left over in high recruitment years will decrease in numbers due to natural mortality, and also apparently do not contribute greatly to the reproductive success of the population.
(3) Shift the geographical location of the fishery northwards in order to increase the utilization of C . opilio.
(4) Lower the minimum size limitation. This would have two effects. It would (a) spread the harvest over more age groups than are currently being exploited, and (b) shift some of the burden of exploitation to C. opilio, which is currently being underutilized. A lower minimum size limitation appears to be justifiable based upon $Y / R$ theory. At the same time, the catch limit should also be set at levels which take into account the expected decline in recruitment of C. bairdi.

## Chionoecetes opilio

Fluctuations in year class strength is apparently more pronounced for C. opilio than for C. bairdi. Two strong year classes, one that is presently about 90 mm wide and another about 50 mm wide, will be entering the fishery in several years. Over the years 1969-1976, the conspicuous difference between these two Tanner crab species in the Bering Sea has been that C. opilio has a much more variable recruitment. Although at present the available data is quite meager, it appears C. opilio match the timing of their larvae release with the spring bloom associated with the receding ice pack, while C. bairdi release their larvae later in response to the normal spring bloom associated with thermal stratification of the water column. The second spring
bloom may produce optimal conditions more often and consequently the larvae of C . bairdi will have a better chance of survival than those of C . opilio in the long run. An important aspect of this difference is that species which display a "pulse" type recruitment pattern similar to $\underline{\text { C. opilio are more }}$ vulnerable to a population failure due to recruitment overfishing.
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Appendix 1. population estimates of Chiohoecetes bairdi in the EASTERN BERING SEA BASED UPOR AMFS SURVEYS I it 1976

| CARAPACE | POPULATION | WUilbers | - BIUllas | IN | TON ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WIOTM (:11) | MaLES | FEMALES | MaleS |  | TIALES |


| 5-9 | 56418 | 95605 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-14 | 570135 | 310406 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 1973672 | 1304605 | 2 | 2 |
| 20-24 | 7350374 | 5709497 | 20 | 16 |
| 25-29 | 11805925 | 14062102 | 61 | 73 |
| 30-34 | 13401943 | 17457033 | 161 | 153 |
| 35-39 | 9373093 | 9471086 | 129 | 130 |
| 40-44 | 13584142 | 14175533 | 277 | 239 |
| 45-49 | 14203462 | 17665377 | 410 | 510 |
| 50-54 | 4953432 | 6444559 | 196 | 234 |
| 55-59 | 4907363 | 7603936 | 253 | 399 |
| 60-64 | 7657657 | 5241032 | 522 | 357 |
| 65-69 | 7963664 | 3627935 | 590 | 747 |
| 70-74 | 12244369 | 19703701 | 1325 | 2134 |
| 75-79 | 13511095 | 19371345 | 1301 | 2532 |
| 30-34 | 8233262 | 27402003 | 1334 | 4439 |
| 35-89 | 10334931 | 44247578 | 2011 | 8610 |
| 90-94 | 11280774 | 67161288 | 2610 | 15540 |
| 95-99 | 12359232 | 54221440 | 3506 | 14732 |
| 100-104 | 14303377 | 33756627 | 4553 | 10754 |
| 105-109 | 12932949 | 13353855 | 4779 | 5121 |
| 110-114 | 14283995 | 5974444 | 6032 | 2543 |
| 115-119 | 14951728 | 1039900 | 7237 | 531 |
| 120-124 | 18435795 | 79545 | 10229 | 44 |
| 125-129 | 22346192 | 0 | 14042 | 0 |
| 130-134 | 22631962 | 0 | 16030 | 0 |
| 135-139 | 25755123 | 0 | 20469 | 0 |
| 140-144 | 20693942 | 0 | 13379 | 0 |
| 145-149 | 23911613 | 0 | 23641 | 0 |
| 150-154 | 20957025 | 0 | 22983 | 0 |
| 155-159 | 17715870 | 0 | 21478 | 0 |
| 160-164 | 13110119 | 0 | 17516 | 0 |
| 165-169 | 9203940 | 0 | 13511 | 0 |
| 170-174 | 2425007 | 0 | 3901 | 0 |
| 175-179 | 939466 | 0 | 1652 | 0 |
| 180-184 | 235817 | 0 | 452 | 0 |
| 185-199 | 175729 | 0 | 366 | 0 |
| 190-194 | 59259 | 0 | 134 | 0 |
| total | 426343856 | 395041032 | 222301 | 70010 |

Appendix 2. purulation estitates or ciajnuecetes upilio lid tie EASTERA BERITG SEA BASED UPGA Mir's SURVEYS I: 1976

| CARAPACE | POPULATIO: | IU:IBERS | 3IOIASS | I. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ TOHS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WIDTil(1i) | IALES | Ferales | IALIES | FEIALES |
| 20-24 | 11010985 | 10733944 | 40 | 39 |
| 25-29 | 9602060 | 224567 | 67 | 2 |
| 30-34 | 93537354 | 102561690 | 1176 | 1211 |
| 35-39 | 137654901 | 241500349 | 3490 | 4491 |
| 40-44 | 131763736 | 659073588 | 5026 | 13223 |
| 45-47 | 126459033 | 1112750430 | 7724 | 43743 |
| 50-54 | 139093711 | 1129363653 | 19201 | 60926 |
| 55-59 | 135495143 | 955703513 | 13337 | 0.5738 |
| 60-64 | 143540166 | 654123692 | 13895 | 61190 |
| 55-69 | 122391031 | 291042363 | 14654 | 34705 |
| 70-74 | 117133430 | 200341770 | 17499 | 33951 |
| 75-79 | 91959504 | 124034731 | 16947 | 22:35 |
| 30-34 | 132361233 | 16203767 | 29701 | 3636 |
| 35-39 | 193765541 | 3643643 | 53673 | 335 |
| 90-94 | 153093312 | 1433060 | 50353 | 463 |
| 35-39 | 92173116 | 69347 | 34939 | 27 |
| 103-194 | 54746937 | 106236 | 24322 | 47 |
| 105-109 | 43484021 | ) | 22440 | 0 |
| 110-114 | 50561936 | 0 | 30101 | 0 |
| 115-119 | 41952920 | 0 | 23634 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 120-124 | 35707504 | , | 27731 | , |
| 125-129 | 27439322 | $\bigcirc$ | 24252 | 0 |
| 130-134 | 15332410 | 0 | 15314 | 0 |
| 135-139 | 3712533 | 0 | 2744 | 0 |
| 140-144 | 706569 | 0 | 334 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 145-149 | 282519 | 0 | 304 | 0 |
| 150-154 | 317912 | 0 | 492 | 0 |
| 155-159 | 86957 | 0 | 14. | 0 |
| 160-164 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 165-169 | 219346 | 0 | 457 | , |
| 170-174 | 42613 | 0 | 97 | 0 |
| 175-179 | 42613 | 0 | 1.70 | 0 |
| 130-134 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 135-139 | 43917 | 0 | 131) | 0 |
| ToTAL | 2492421932 | 5564502105 | 453574 | 360303 |

Appendix 3 .--Population estimates of male Chionoecetes bairdi at different stages of maturity in the eastern Bering Sea based on RV Oregon survey in 1976.

| Garapace width (mm) | Population Size Numbers Biomass (Millions) (Tons) |  | Ratio |  |  | Population Numbers (Millions) |  |  | Biomass in Tons |  | s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60-64 | 7.7 | 522 | 1.000 |  |  | 7.7 |  |  | 522.0 |  |  |
| 65-69 | 8.0 | 690 | 1.000 |  |  | 8.0 |  |  | 690.0 |  |  |
| 70-74 | 12.2 | 1325 | 1.000 |  |  | 12.2 |  |  | 1325.0 |  |  |
| 75-79 | 13.5 | 1801 | 0.990 | 0.010 |  | 13.4 | 0.1 |  | 1783.0 | 18.0 |  |
| 80-84 | 8.2 | 1334 | . 995 | . 003 | . 003 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1327.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| 85-89 | 10.3 | 2011 | . 981 | . 010 | . 010 | 10.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1972.8 | 20.1 | 20.1 |
| 90-94 | 11.3 | 2610 | . 948 | . 025 | . 028 | 10.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2474.3 | 65.3 | 73.1 |
| 95-99 | 12.9 | 3506 | . 889 | . 050 | . 061 | 11.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 3116.8 | 175.3 | 213.9 |
| 100-104 | 14.3 | 4558 | . 805 | . 034 | . 161 | 11.5 | 0.5 | 2.3 | 3669.2 | 155.0 | 733.9 |
| 105-109 | 12.9 | 4779 | . 699 | . 061 | . 240 | 9.0 | 0.8 | 3.1 | 3340.5 | 291.5 | 1147.0 |
| 110-114 | 14.3 | 6082 | . 580 | . 156 | . 263 | 8.3 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 3527.6 | 948.8 | 1599.6 |
| 115-119 | 15.0 | 7287 | . 458 | . 094 | . 448 | 6.9 | 1.4 | 6.7 | 3337.5 | 685.0 | 3264.6 |
| 120-124 | 18.4 | 10229 | . 339 | . 257 | . 404 | 6.2 | 4.7 | 7.4 | 3467.6 | 2628.9 | 4132.5 |
| 125-129 | 22.4 | 14042 | . 229 | . 368 | . 403 | 5.1 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 3215.6 | 5167.5 | 5658.9 |
| 130-134 | 22.6 | 16030 | . 131 | . 229 | . 640 | 3.0 | 5.2 | 14.5 | 2099.9 | 3670.9 | 10259.2 |
| 135-139 | 25.8 | 20469 | . 046 | .176 | . 778 | 1.2 | 4.5 | 20.1 | 941.6 | 3602.5 | 15924.9 |
| 140-144 | 20.7 | 18379 | . 000 | . 220 | . 780 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 16.2 | 0 | 4043.4 | 14335.6 |
| 145 | 97.7 | 105634 | . 000 | . 000 | 1.000 | 0 | 0 | 97.7 | 0 | 01 | 105634.0 |

[^3]Appendix 4 .--Population estimates of male Chionoecetes opilio at different stages of maturity in the eastern Bering Sea based upon NMFS surveys in 1976.

| Carapace width (ma) | Population Size  <br> Numbers Biomass <br> (Millions) (Tons) |  | Ratio |  |  | Population Numbers (millions) |  |  | Biomass in Tons |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 181.8 | 5026 | 0.971 | 0.025 | 0.004 | 176.5 | 4.6 | 0.7 | 4880.3 | 125.7 | 20.1 |
| - 45-49 | 196.5 | 7724 | . 932 | . 061 | . 006 | 183.1 | 12.0 | 1.2 | 7198.8 | 471.2 | 46.4 |
| 50-54 | 189.1 | 10201 | . 874 | . 049 | . 077 | 165.3 | 9.3 | 14.6 | 8915.7 | 499.9 | 785.5 |
| 55-59 | 185.5 | 13337 | . 799 | . 041 | . 160 | 148.2 | 7.6 | 29.7 | 10656.3 | 546.8 | 2133.9 |
| 60-64 | 148.5 | 13896 | . 711 | . 139 | . 150 | 105.6 | 20.6 | 22.3 | 9880.1 | 1931.5 | 2084.4 |
| 65-69 | 122.9 | 14654 | . 615 | . 228 | . 156 | 75.6 | 28.0 | 19.2 | 9012.2 | 3341.1 | 2286.0 |
| 70-74 | 117.2 | 17499 | . 518 | . 269 | . 213 | 60.7 | 31.5 | 25.0 | 9064.5 | 4707.2 | 3727.3 |
| 75-79 | 92.0 | 16947 | . 424 | . 241 | . 335 | 39.0 | 22.2 | 30.8 | 7185.5 | 4084.2 | 5677.3 |
| 80-84 | 132.4 | 29701 | . 335 | . 235 | . 430 | 44.4 | 31.1 | 56.9 | 9949.8 | 6979.7 | 12771.4 |
| 85-89 | 198.8 | 53678 | . 254 | . 153 | . 594 | 50.4 | 30.4 | 118.1 | 13634.2 | 8212.7 | 31884.7 |
| 90-94 | 158.1 | 50853 | . 175 | . 000 | . 825 | 27.7 | 0.0 | 130.4 | 8899.3 |  | 41953.7 |
| 95-99 | 92.2 | 34989 | . 117 | . 052 | . 831 | 10.8 | 4.8 | 76.6 | 4093.7 | 1819.4 | 29075.9 |
| 100-104 | 54.8 | 24322 | . 061 | . 203 | . 736 | 3.3 | 11.1 | 40.3 | 1483.6 | 4937.4 | 17901.0 |
| 105-109 | 43.5 | 22440 | . 013 | . 086 | . 901 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 39.2 | 291.7 | 1929.8 | 20218.4 |
| 110-114 | 50.6 | 30101 | . 000 | . 000 | 1.000 |  |  | 50.6 |  |  | 30101.0 |
| 115-119 | 42.0 | 28634 | . 000 | . 000 | 1.000 |  |  | 42.0 |  |  | 28634.0 |
| 120-124 | 37.7 | 27781 | . 000 | . 059 | . 941 |  | 2.2 | 35.5 |  | 1639.1 | 26141.9 |
| 125 | 53.0 | 51229 | . 000 | . 000 | 1.000 |  |  | 53.0 |  |  | 51229.0 |


| * | Immature |
| :--- | :--- |
| ** | Newly Matured |
| *** | Previously Matured |


[^0]:    * In 1974, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) contracted with the Bureau of Land Management of the Department of Interior to provide an environmental assessment of the northeastern Gulf of Alaska and the eastern Bering Sea. This established the Outer Continental Shelf Environmental Assessment Program (OCSEAP).

[^1]:    \% mun, carapace width.

[^2]:    ＊Immature
    ＊＊Newly Matured
    ※どと Previously Matured

[^3]:    * Immature
    ** Newly Matured
    *湤: Previously Matured

