## NOAA's Estuarine Biogeography Program

## U.S. East Coast Estuaries and Inlets Analysis of Physical and Hydrodynamic Characteristics

EBP Technical Report Number 1

May 1995

U.S. Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Ocean Service

## NOAA's Estuarine Biogeography Program

The Strategic Environmental Assessments (SEA) Division of NOAA's Office of Ocean Resources Conservation and Assessment (ORCA) was created in response to the need for comprehensive information on the effects of human activities on the nation's coastal ocean. The SEA Division performs assessments of the estuarine and coastal environments and of the resources of the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ).

In 1990, NOAA began a program to evaluate the Nation's estuarine resources in the context of the estuaries they depend. The Estuarine Biogeography program has been conducted jointly by SEAD's Biogeographic Characterization Branch and Physical Environments Characterization Branch. These analyses use SEAD's recently compiled physical, hydrologic, and biologic data sets which were compiled for the explicit purpose of promoting inter-estuarine analyses. The insights gained from these analyses improve the scientific foundation for managing the Nation's estuarine resources. The objectives of this program are to stimulate further inter-estuarine analyses by demonstrating the utility of SEAD data sets, creating the necessary inter-estuarine methodologies, and advocating the use of inter-estuarine analyses in support of estuarine management and assessment.

Additional information on this or other programs of NOAA's SEA Division is available from:

NOAA/NOS SEA Division, N/ORCA1 1305 East-West Hwy., 9th Floor Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 Phone (301) 713-3000 Fax (301) 713-4384

## U.S. East Coast Estuaries and Inlets Analysis of Physical and Hydrodynamic Characteristics

## Tony A. Lowery and Mark E. Monaco

Biogeographic Characterization Branch Strategic Environmental Assessments Division Office of Ocean Resources Conservation and Assessment National Ocean Service Silver Spring, MD 20910

and

C. John Klein, S. Paul Orlando and Miranda Harris Physical Environments Characterization Branch Strategic Environmental Assessments Division Office of Ocean Resources Conservation and Assessment National Ocean Service Silver Spring, MD 20910

**EBP** Technical Report Number 1

May 1995

LIBRARY

995

JAN 272006

National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration U.S. Dept. of Commerce





This report should be cited as:

Lowery, T.A., M.E. Monaco, C.J. Klein, S.P. Orlando, and M. Harris. 1995. U.S. East Coast Estuaries and Inlets Analysis of Physical and Hydrodynamic Characteristics. EBP Tech. Rep. No. 1. NOAA/NOS Strategic Environmental Assessments Division, Silver Spring, MD, **51** p.

## Contents

#### CHAPTER 1- ASSEMBLAGES OF U.S. EAST COAST ESTUARIES BASED ON PHYSICAL AND HYDRODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Introduction	1
Methods	1
PCA Results	3
Dendrogram Results	5
Summary	5
Future Work	8
Acknowledgments	8
References	9
List of Tables	
Table 1. Estuaries included in analysis.	2
Table 2. Variables included in analysis.	2
Table 3. Data Matrix used in analysis	3
Table 4. PCA rotated loadings indicating components.	4
Table 5. PCA factor scores indicating estuarine associations.	4
Table 6. Factor score matrix used in cluster analysis.	6
List of Figures	
Figure 1. Dendrogram estuaries based on clustering factor scores	7
Appendices	
Appendix 1. SAS program, log, results	10

## CHAPTER 2- ASSEMBLAGES OF U.S. EAST COAST INLETS BASED ON PHYSICAL AND HYDRODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Introduction	16
Methods	16
Results	18
Discussion	21
Future Work	22
Acknowledgments	27
References	27

List of T	ables	
Table 1.	Inlets included in inlet analysis.	17
Table 2.	Inlet variables included in inlet analysis	18
Table 3.	Data Matrix used in inlet analysis.	20
Table 4.	Composite/non-composite inlets in estuarine-inlet analysis.	21
Table 5.	Estuarine-inlet variables included in estuarine-inlet analysis.	22
Table 6.	Data matrix used in estuarine-inlet analysis.	25
Table 7.	Recurrent component pattern and associated variables	25
Table 8.	Final inlet data matrix for dendrogram.	26
Table 9.	Final estuarine-inlet data matrix for dendrogram.	26

## List of Figures

Figure 1.	Inlet dendrogram	23
Figure 2.	Estuarine-inlet dendrogram	24

## CHAPTER 3- COMPARISON OF U.S. EAST COAST ESTUARINE AND INLET ASSEMBLAGES BASED ON PHYSICAL AND HYDRODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS

Introduction	28
Methods	28
Results	28
Discussion	28
Future Work	28
Acknowledgements	29
References	32
List of Tables Table 1. Estuaries Bk statistic components	29
List of Figures Figure 1. Dendrogram of estuaries Figure 2. Dendrogram of inlets	30 31
CHAPTER 4- COMPARISON OF U.S. EAST COAST ESTUARINE AND INLET ASSEMBLAGE EVALUATION OF PHYSICAL AND HYDRODYNAMIC INTERACTIONS	S
Introduction	33
Methods	33
Estuaries/Inlets PCA Results	34

Bk Statistic Comparisons Cross Component Results	38 38
Summary	42
Future Work	44
Acknowledgements	44
References	48

### **List of Tables**

Table 1. Estuaries included in analysis.	
Table 2. Estuaries/inlets variables included in analysis	
Table 3. Data Matrix used in PCA and Cluster analysis.	
Table 4. PCA rotated loadings indicating components.	
Table 5. PCA factor scores indicating estuarine associations.	
Table 6. Bk static comparing estuaries/inlet dendrogram to estuaries dendrogram	
Table 7. Bk static comparing estuaries/inlet dendrogram to inlet dendrogram	
Table 8. Bk static comparing estuaries dendrogram to inlet dendrogram	
Table 9. Inlet variables matrix	
Table 10. Rotated loadings indicating assocation of inlet variables.	
Table 11. Factor scores of estuaries/inlets variables matrix PCA.	
Table 12. Cross component analysis of estuaries/inlet, estuaries, and inlet PCAs	
Table 13. Summary of cross component analysis	

## **List of Figures**

Figure 1.	Dendrogram estuaries based on clustering factor scores	39
Figure 2.	Comparison of estuaries/inlet vs. estuaries dendrograms	40
Figure 3.	Comparison of estuaries/inlet vs. inlet dendrograms.	42
Figure 4.	Comparison of estuaries vs. inlet dendrograms	44

#### Appendices

Appendix 1. Estuaries/inlet variable matrix's PCA.			matrix's PCA.	variable	Estuaries/inlet	Appendix 1.
--	--	--	---------------	----------	-----------------	-------------

## CHAPTER 1- Assemblages of U.S. East Coast Estuaries Based on Physical and Hydrodynamic Characteristics

### Introduction

Traditionally, estuarine characterizations are based on geomorphology or hydrodynamic regimes. Drowned river valley, coastal plain, riverine, lagoon, fjord, etc., describe geomorphology. Likewise, salt-wedge, highly stratified, moderately stratified, vertically homogeneous, etc., describe hydrodynamics. However, the influence of tides, freshwater input, and mixing energetics on estuarine water masses complicates the use of hybrid geomorphologic/hydrodynamic characterizations of estuaries. In the past, this complexity thwarted development of a unified geomorphologic/hydrodynamic characterization of U.S. East Coast estuaries.

With the aid of Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis, the complexity reduces and more objectivity can be brought to bare on developing an empirically based characterization. Given the East Coast estuaries diverse geomorphology and hydrodynamic regimes, 21 descriptive characteristics were included in analysis of 41 National Estuarine Inventory estuaries (Buzzards Bay, Massachusetts to Biscayne Bay, Florida). PCA was applied to the 21 by 41 matrix to identify inter-variable (via rotated loadings) and variable/estuary associations (via factor scores). The PCA's factor scores were subjected to cluster analysis generating assemblages of similar estuaries. To sum, the analysis presented in this report uses estuarine geomorphology and hydrodynamics to identify groups of similar U.S. East Coast estuaries found in the Virginian and Carolinian Provinces.

The purpose of this chapter is to document the analytical techniques and to disseminate results of the first of four analyses, and to define coupling of estuarine and marine environments. This chapter supports an ongoing cooperative study between the University of Virginia and the SEA Division entitled the Analysis of East Coast Inlet, Estuarine, and Climate Characteristics and Their Impact on the Exchange of Organisms through Inlets Study. The impetus for this work stems from NOAA's Strategic Environmental Assessments Division's ongoing estuarine characterization efforts in support of improved management of the nation's estuarine resources and inter-estuarine research. Also, the analysis stems from previous success in its application to U.S. West Coast estuaries in identifying estuarine types based on geomorphology/hydrodynamics and species assemblages (Horn and Allen 1976; Monaco et al. 1991; Monaco et al. 1992).

#### Methods

*Estuary Selection.* The selection of estuaries to include in the analysis was based on the following. NEI estuaries were selected to take advantage of their previously compiled physical and hydrodynamic data summaries. Estuaries (41) below Cape Cod (Table 1) were included in the analysis due to their overall (geomorphological and hydrodynamic) similarity.

Estuaries north of Cape Cod (northern embayments) were excluded from the analysis based on the following. The northern embayments are radically different (geomorphologically and hydrodynamically) from the estuaries in the Virginian and Carolinian Provinces (south of Cape Cod). The northern embayments sedimentary budgets do not support secondary coastal features (such as spits, pennisulas, barrier islands, etc.) nor allow for bathymetric equilibriums. Therefore, these embayments strictly reflect a sea level/coastal topography intersection. This low sedimentary geomorphology and associated hydrodynamics are fundamentally different from those of the estuaries below Cape Cod. Geomorphologically, the Virginian and Carolinian estuaries have ample sedimentary budgets that maintain bathymetric equilibriums and secondary coastal features. Bathymetric equilibriums and secondary coastal features heavily impact estuarine hydrodynamics (e.g., bathymetry impacts estuary volume, and spits restrict tidal exchange). Preliminary (PCAs and Cluster Analyses) test runs on matrices including northern embayments and southern estuaries indicated that northern embayments group together and that their inclusion diluted discrimination among southern estuaries. This confusion is understandable since some of the northern embayments resemble riverine estuaries geomorphologically, but don't resemble them hydrodynamically. As a result, the inclusion of the northern embayments adds an unnecessary level of confusion into the analysis.

Variable Selection. A major assumption of this analysis was that the estuary's geomorphology and hydrodynamics are intrinsically linked. Variables included in the analysis were based on the following. The patterns of these dynamic linkages makes identification of similarly behaving estuaries possible. Therefore, variables directly affected by these dynamic linkages will be (with analysis) the most useful in identifying the patterns of the interactions and provide the basis for identifying similar estuaries. Evaluations of potential variables, to be included in the final matrix, were carried out by including them in test matrices and running Table 1. The following East Coast NEI estuaries (Virginian and Carolinian Provinces) were included in the analysis.

- Buzzards Bay Long Island Sound Hudson River/Raritan Bay Delaware Bay Chesapeake Bay Rappahannock River Chester River Albemarle/Pamlico Sound Bogue Sound Winyah Bay St. Helena Sound Ossabaw Sound St. Andrew/St. Simons Sound Indian River
- Narragansett Bay Connecticut River Barnegat Bay Delaware Inland Bays Patuxent River York River Choptank River Pamlico & Pungo Rivers New River Charleston Harbor Broad River St. Catherines/Sapelo Sound St. Marys River Biscayne Bay.
- Gardiners Bay Great South Bay New Jersey Inland Bays Chinoteaque Bay Potomac River James River Tangier/Pocomoke Sound Neuse River Cape Fear River North & South Santee Rivers Savannah River Altamaha River St. Johns River

#### Table 2. Variables selected for analysis.

estuary length estuary width maximum width minimum width average depth depth to width ratio tidal fresh surface area mixing zone surface area seawater zone surface area tidal prism volume tidal fresh volume mixing zone volume seawater volume daily flow rate 50 year flood 100 year flood low flow period high flow period percent water mass fresh water dissolved concentration potential tidal flushing

PCA on the matrices. These alternate matrices' PCA runs consistently identified similar component patterns. This occurrence of similar component patterns for various matrices suggests that the underlying geomorphologic/hydrodynamic relationships were adequately represented by the variables tested. With this in mind, the 21 variables presented in Table 2 were selected for inclusion in the analysis. These variables were taken or developed from NOAA's Physical Environments Characterization Branch (PECB) (1993) unpublished physical and hydrodynamic data sets, for methods see NOAA (1985). The resulting variables/estuaries matrix is presented in Table 3.

Statistical Protocol. SAS version 6.04 was used to carry out the PCA and Factor Score manipulations (SAS Institute 1988). The PCA on the 21 by 41 variables/estuaries matrix was carried out using SAS's Factor procedure with the varimax option and nfactor instruction set the number of components to be generated. Component number selection was based on the following. Component eigenvalues accounted for >75% of the variance in the matrix, and individual component eigenvalues must be  $\geq 1$  and account for  $\geq 8\%$ of the variance in the matrix. Based on the above criteria, a truncated 5 component PCA was selected. The criterion for assigning variables to membership in the resulting components follows. Variables were assigned membership in a component if the variable's rotated loading were  $\geq 0.5$  or  $\leq -0.5$  SAS's Score procedure was used to generate the factor scores. These factor scores indicate an individual estuary's strength of association with the components generated by the PCA.

Factor scores provide the basis for evaluating similarity/dissimilarity as follows. Each estuary has a set of 5 factor scores associated with it. The factor scores were placed into a 41 (number of estuaries) by 5 (number of components) matrix and subjected to cluster analysis as follows. Systat version 5.2.1 Cluster procedure (with the Join, Pearson, and Average Linkage options invoked) was used to carry out the cluster analysis and produce the dendrogram (Systat 1992). The dendrogram groups estuaries with similar factor score patterns into clusters. Therefore, the dendrogram groups the estuaries into clusters based on degree of similarity. The criterion for selecting the number of clusters to consider was based on the following. In order to maintain continuity with the PCA's identification of 5 components, the dendrogram's branching that provided 5 clusters was selected. The estuaries within

#### Table 3. Variables (21)/estuaries (41) matrix selected to perform PCA and cluster analysis on.

	length	avg width	min width	max. width	avg depth	depth width ratio	avg daily flow	50 year flood	100 year \$lood	high flow ratio	low flow ratio	tidəl prism vol.	tidal fresh Zone vol	mixing zone vol	sea water zone vol.	tidal fresh zone area	mixing zone area	seawater zone area	per. water mass fresh	diss conc poten	tidal prism flush.
Estuary name	m	m	m	π	m	none	cms	ume.	cma	none	none	m <sup>3</sup>	.m <sup>3</sup>	m <sup>3</sup>	m <sup>3</sup>	m <sup>2</sup>	m <sup>2</sup>	m <sup>2</sup>	water %	mg/l	tidal cycles
Russenda Rau	48403			00073	10.3	0.0003	2.4		70.5			0.005.01	0.005.03	0.005.03			5 105 00				
Buzzards Bay	46109	11102	1125	20273	10.3	0.0009	34	63	79.3	0.003	0.001	6.80E+03	0.00E+0J	2.20E+07	6 10E403	0.00E+03	5.18E+03	5.85E+03	12.3	1.04	893
Narragansett Bay	47144	14642	805	20595	9.2	0.0003	90.3	96804	1093	0 01 4	0.003	4.90E+03	4.10E+07	2 70E+03	3.60E+03	7.77E+03	5.18E+07	3.88E+03	18.3	0.52	
Gardiners Bay	49879	13993	1809	22043	02	0.000.4	19.5	57	57	0003	0 00 3	3.30E403	0.00E+03	8 30E+03	3 10E+09	0.00E+03	5.18E+03	5.05E+03	12.23	. 1.77	9.85
Long Island Sound	98149	50684	1123	36363	18.3	0.0004	849 5	8311.3	662808	0.013	0.004	3.70E+03	2 40E+03	4 30E+03	5 70E+1 )	2 85E+07	4.22E+03	2.82E+03	15.93	0.05	16054
Connecticut River	8930)	483	32 2	1443	3.3	0 0073	59408	3998.2	4137	1.937	0 45 9	2.60E+07	1.80E+03	1 60E+07	0.00E+00	4 66E+07	5.18E+03	0.00E+0)	96.2	0 47	7.63
Great South Bay	11263	42064	322	901)	27	0 0001	1903	42.5	4801	0 0 1 2	0 0 1 1	7.50E+07	0.00E+0)	4 70E+03	5 80E+03	0.00E+00	2.02E+03	1.89E+03	34.23	4.97	14.13
Hudson River/Rantan Bay	25744)	2735	161	18021	6.3	0 0023	753	541\$	5759 5	0.077	0.021	7.40E+07	5.10E+03	2 90E+03	1 40E+03	9 58E+07	4.35E+03	2.41E+03	50.87	0.13	66.31
Barnegat Bay	33789	17693	483	10613	1.4	1000.0	65.1	100204	120608	0.041	0.024	8.60E+07	0.00E+00	1 40E+03	2 40E+03	0.00E+0)	7.77E+07	1 86E+03	3003	1 3 4	4.43
New Jersey Inland Bays	2494)	16090	50	690)	3	0 000 5	31 1	25 2	30	0.012	0.003	8.00E+07	0.00E+00	5 50E+07	2 20E+03	0.00E+0)	1.18E+07	1_26E+03	21.85	3.17	3.43
Delaware Bay	222042	24135	6753	45693	6 4	0 000 3	560 7	7438 3	8302 3	0.013	0 00 5	2.90E+03	4.20E+03	2 80E+0 9	9 40E+0 9	5.98E+07	5.18E+03	1.41E+03	26.02	0.13	4.39
Delaware Inland Bays	19303	2893	150	550)	13	0.000 \$	93	83	11.2	0.033	0 007	1.60E+07	0 00E+0)	1 90E+07	8.60E+07	0 00E+00	1 55E+07	6.73E+07	20.93	6.27	5.84
Chincoteaque Bay	51483	7241	1609	10613	1 3	0 000 5	11.3	3	4	0 015	0 011	4 70E+07	0 00E+0)	0 00E+0)	6 40E+03	0 00E+0)	0.00E+00	3 55E+03	1212	3.03	13063
Chesapeake Bay	318582	24773	5143	55993	8 1	0.0003	2429.5	58722	75114	0 1	0 023	8.70E+03	1.00E+03	5.70E+10	2.90E+03	2.75E+03	6.89E+03	2 98E+03	59.63	0.07	69.63
Patuxent River	7560)	1609	30	290)	5 9	0.0037	26 3	360 7	437 3	0.055	0.023	3.00E+07	5.00E+03	7 10E+03	0 00E+0)	3.63E+03	1 18E+03	0.00E+00	61.85	8 93	2387
Potomac River	188253	10293	2574	1786)	5 9	0 000 3	450 2	9188.5	10743	0.095	0.027	3.40E+03	4.50E+07	7.50E+03	0.00E+0)	1 30E+07	1.27E+03	0.00E+00	61.53	0.39	2217
Rappahannock River	158647	3701	641	5149	4.9	0.0013	82 1	1936.3	2129 4	0.043	0.017	1.20E+03	2 90E+07	1.80E+03	0 00E+0)	7.77E+03	3.88E+03	0.00E+00	61.93	2.17	15.91
York River	78841	3379	64\$	6597	4 3	0.0014	70 3	2755 1	3681.1	0.043	0.013	1.10E+03	6.70E+07	8.50E+03	0 00E+0)	1 81E+07	1.74E+03	0.00E+00	64.13	2 61	8.3
James River	162187	5149	483	9654	4.2	0 000 3	35 \$	10213	11793	0 08 1	0.023	2.80E+03	2.80E+03	2 30E+0 9	0 00E+0 )	8.29E+07	5.28E+03	0.00E+00	65.53	0 5 3	9.02
Chester River	61100	230)	160	7080	4.2	0.0013	1403	114.7	153	0.032	0.013	3.30E+07	2 70E+07	8.00E+03	0.00E+0)	1.42E+07	1.34E+03	0.00E+00	7193	1.43	18.95
Choptank River	80500	6900	32)	19800	1	0.0003	29 1	119 3	12607	0 0 3	0 0 0 3	7.20E+07	2 50E+07	1.10E+03	0.00E+0)	7 77E+03	2.78E+03	0.00E+00	82.3	6.32	1507 3
Tangier/Pocomoke Sound	91700	5600	1 0	2540)	33	0.0007	83.3	5004	59.5	0.017	0.003	3.80E+03	8 80E+03	4.50E+03	0 00E+0)	9 32E+03	1.18E+03	0.00E+00	81.45	2 1 5	12003
Albemarle/Pamlico Sound	186644	21073	1443	47623	4.1	0 000 2	130205	6507	7506.3	0 31 3	0 187	8.20E+03	1 10E+03	2.60E+1 )	3.10E+03	5.72E+03	6.01E+03	1.74E+03	62.34	0 1 4	33.63
Pamlico/Pungo Rivers	67573	5632	1443	9332	2.3	0 000 5	130.3	1228 €	1362	0 117	0.053	6 50E+07	0.00E+00	1.20E+03	0.00E+0)	0.00E+00	4.30E+03	0.00E+00	61.33	1 3 3	18.63
Neuse River	80450	6597	1443	12223	3 5	0.0005	175.3	1568 7	1795 2	0.193	0 06 4	6 90E+07	0.00E+00	1 60E+03	0.00E+0)	0 00E+00	4.48E+03	0.00E+00	61 33	1 01	22.92
Boque River	15283	17693	965	4023	1.4	0.0001	36 3	404 3	472 3	0.015	0.003	1,40E+03	1 60E+03	4 60E+07	3 20E+03	2.59E+03	5 44E+07	2.07E+03	18.67	1 4 3	2.73
New River	39903	2735	641	3379	1.3	0 000 3	22.7	569 2	679.3	0.03	0.01	1.70E+07	0 00E+00	1 40E+03	6.90E+03	0.00E+00	7.77E+07	5.18E+03	59.03	7 5	8 51
Cape Fear River	83663	1123	483	386 2	3 5	0.0031	283	4043 5	4779 7	0 22 1	0 072	1 00E+03	5 80E+07	2 20E+03	6 90E+07	1 30E+07	6 99E+07	1.55E+07	5961	0.3	3 4 3
Winyah Bay	82053	1123	483	6913	3 4	0.003	57708	664001	765905	0 49 3	0.143	8 60E+07	1.10E+03	1.60E+03	0.00E+00	2 33E+07	5 44E+07	0.00E+00	7663	0.33	3.03
North & South Santee Rivers	88817	1123	322	3213	2.5	0.0022	76.5	2619 2	2639 1	0 30 3	0.05	2.50E+07	2 40E+07	3 60E+07	0.00E+0.0	1.04E+07	1.30E+07	0.00E+00	76073	287	233
Charleston Harbor	65647	3862	641	5792	5.3	0.0014	45509	1161	117709	0.201	0.112	1 40E+03	1.00E+03	4 30E+03	0.00E+00	1 55E+07	8 03E+07	0.00E+0)	68.52	0.43	3.95
St Helena Sound	54703	6753	483	1464?	3.3	0.0003	130.3	91408	100502	0.021	0.01	3 90E+03	3 20E+07	4 70E+03	3 70E+03	7 77E+03	1.06E+03	1.065+03	4.2	0.93	2.2
Broad Biver	53253	6275	1123	10453	73	0.0012	25.5	314 3	359 3	0.001	0.001	5.40E+03	4 70E+07	1 10E+03	7 50E+03	1.04E+07	1 45E+03	1.04E+03	4263	4.82	35
Savannah Sound	46333	2735	483	10453	4.3	0.0017	362.1	2069 3	2262 1	0 137	0.065	1.80	2 20E+07	2 90 5+03	8.005-07	7 775+03	5 96E+07	1.61E+07	5351	0.42	2 23
Occabaw Sound	40005	177)	322	6753	4.4	0.0023	84.3	2005 5	2493	0.053	0.003	1 805 103	1.005:07	2 205.03	2 705 107	E 19E 03	7.255.07	7 775:02	5743	1.05	2 1 2
St Cathorings/ SanaloSound	39903	5142	222	56627	4 4	0.0023	2207	20337	45201	0.001	0.013	1.000000	1.00007	7.805.03	9.505:07	5.100403	1 705:03	1 045:07	59 14	7 2 2	2.12
Altamaha Ruor	42442	272=	322	20037	4.1	0.0009	2207	30801	45301	0.004	0.001	4.20E+03	1.200+07	7 602+03	8.50E+07	5.10E+03	1.79E+03	7.775.07	50.14	/ 33	203
Antamana River	43443	2/33	807	4003	31	0.0011	421 3	344001	30011	0.557	0.117	6.70E+07	1.40E+07	7.602+07	3.10E+07	1.04E+07	2.07E+07	7.77E+03	52.99	0.35	1.01
St. Marka Revor	03003	100	605	4/023	4.3	0.0005	70 3	543 2	577.2	0.104	0.005	3.90E103	0.10E+03	1 DUE+03	3.70E+07	2.592+03	1.70E+03	1.11E+03	17.7	2.37	203
Ct Johns Ruor	51503	40)	1100	230)	3	0.00125	2310	52502	57201	0 164	0.113	F. 00E+07	3.00E+03	1 30E+07	3 90E+03	2.072+05	J. 10E+03	0.11E+07	17.5	0.22	2 0 3
St. Johns Miver	19/907	3701	1123	11585	37	0.001	220 3	1090 5	12/104	0 25 2	0.125	5.30E+07	9.90E+03	0 UUE+03	6 60E+03	3.08E+03	2.40E+03	1.14E+03	0333	083	45083
Indian Hiver	4827	55023	161	901)	2	3	39.3	1670	192.5	0.044	0.013	6.50E+07	0.00E+0)	5 60E+07	1 40E+03	0 00E+0)	2.85E+07	6.97E+03	14	102	22057
Discayne Bay	15283	45052	962	17377	23	0 0001	9008	60008	66803	0 0 2 1	0.007	3.00E+03	0.00E+0)	1 70E+07	1.60E+03	000E+0)	1.55E+07	6.61E+03	1282	0.4	5 45

the 5 clusters were assigned to estuarine groups according to their membership in a common cluster. This identified estuaries with similar characteristics based on the original 21 by 41 variables/estuaries matrix.

#### **PCA Results**

The PCA identified 5 components that account for 82.1% of the variance in the 21 by 41 variables/estuary matrix. Descriptions of the components follow. The PCA's rotated loadings are presented in Table 4. The PCA's factor scores are presented in Table 5. See Appendix 1 for SAS programming, log, and detailed output of PCA.

Component 1- System Magnitude Function. This component accounted for 26.8% of the variance in the matrix. The following parameters dominated this component (rotated loading in parenthesis): 100 year flood (0.97223); 50 year flood (0.97056); mixing zone volume (0.87872); daily flow rate (0.81285); mixing zone surface area (0.73312); length (0.63239); and tidal prism flushing (0.51662). Estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0: Chesapeake Bay; and Potomac River. Component 2- Seawater Function. This component accounted for 18.3% of the variance in the matrix. Parameters that dominated this component: seawater volume (0.92650); tidal prism (0.91136); seawater surface area (0.87441); and average depth (0.88561). Estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0: Long Island Sound; and Delaware Bay.

Component 3- Mixing Function. This component accounted for 15.3% of the variance in the matrix. Parameters that dominated this component: tidal fresh surface area (0.90541); tidal fresh volume (0.82607); tidal prism flushing (0.57741); mixing zone surface area (0.57653); and length (0.51983). Estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0: Albemarle/Pamlico Sound; St. Johns River; and Hudson River/Raritan Bay.

Component 4- Stratification Function. This component accounted for 13.2% of the variance in the matrix. Parameters that dominated this component: high flow period (0.92147); low flow period (0.90114); depth to width ratio (0.69186); and dissolved concentration potential (-0.49484). Estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0: Connecticut River; St. Mary's River; Winyah Bay; and Altamaha River. Table 4. PCA's rotated loadings for 5 components. Rotated loadings  $\geq 0.5$  or  $\leq -0.5$  (bold) indicate variables that are highly associated with the component.

	Rota	ted Loadings			
	Component1	Component2	Component3	Component4	Component5
	System Magnitude Function	Seawater Function	Mixing Function	Strat. Function	Width Function
estuary length	0.63239	0.21157	0.51983	0.05810	-0.29696
avg. estuary width	0.10305	0.34508	0.11090	-0.17072	0.80765
min. estuary width	0.65684	0.29652	0.12469	-0.11902	0.15102
max. estuary width	0.43767	0.40497	0.40237	-0.31782	0.03545
avg. depth	0.19827	0.88561	-0.00532	0.00166	-0.07083
depth/width ratio	-0.03296	-0.01055	-0.24935	0.69186	-0.28788
daily flow	0.81285	0.21961	0.40943	0.26009	0.03215
fifty year flood	0.97056	0.06031	0.13742	0.04034	-0.01021
hundred year flood	0.97223	0.04186	0.12988	0.02580	-0.00664
high flow	-0.01566	-0.08815	0.07813	0.90114	-0.12800
low flow	-0.02925	-0.11561	0.24388	0.92147	-0.11261
tidal prism volume	0.18128	0.91136	0.11225	-0.08004	0.18661
tidal fresh volume	0.46774	0.12146	0.82607	0.13265	-0.00318
mixing zone volume	0.87872	0.00799	0.39684	-0.02889	0.04985
seawater zone volume	-0.00870	0.92650	0.00834	0.00136	0.19122
tidal fresh area	0.31311	-0.01542	0.90541	0.13308	0.04127
mixing zone area	0.73312	-0.02681	0.57653	-0.01298	0.06317
seawater zone area	0.02848	0.87441	0.02606	-0.06437	0.44057
percent water mass fresh wate	r 0.11701	-0.23857	0.23721	0.34965	-0.70481
dissolved conc. potential	-0.28488	-0.20124	-0.12235	-0.49484	-0.35716
tidal prism flushing	0.51662	0.01875	0.57741	-0.05407	-0.07523

Table 5. PCA's factor scores for 5 components. Estuaries with higher factor scores have strongest association with components. Further, factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0 indicate estuaries representing the component.

Component 1	Compt	Component 2	Comp2	Component 3	Comp3	Component 4	Comp4	Component 5	Comp5
Estuaries	Factor	Estuaries	Factor	Estuaries	Factor	Estuaries	Factor	Estuaries	Factor
	Scores		Scores		Scores		Scores		Scores
Chesapeake Bay	5.87781	Long Island Sound	5.38919	Albemarle/Pamlico Sound	4.74406	Connecticut River	4.84227	Indian River	3.00781
Potomac River	0.99559	Delaware Bay	2.13401	St. Johns River	3.0594	St. Marys River	1.88039	Biscayne Bay	2.66941
Delaware Bay	0.84473	Buzzards Bay	0.92099	Hudson River/Raritan Bay	1.42021	Winyah Bay	1.36424	Bogue River	1.45762
James River	0.35874	Narragansett Bay	0.52623	Chesapeake Bay	0.41 528	Altamaha River	1.08173	Great South Bay	1.39138
Winyah Bay	0.21268	Hudson River/Raritan Bay	0.2995	Tangier/Pocomoke Sound	0.18311	Charleston Harbor	0.67539	Barnegat Bay	1.14172
St. Marys River	0.14218	Broad River	0.29535	St. Catherines/Sapelo Sound	0.17765	Cape Fear River	0.67065	Albemarie/Pamlico Sound	0.86889
Hudson River/Raritan Bay	0.11182	St Catherines/Sapelo Sound	0,25492	Choptank River	0.17631	Albemarle/Pamlico Sound	0.57792	Long Island Sound	0.84528
Cape Fear River	0.08787	Gardiners Bay	0.22824	James River	0.13596	Savannah Sound	0.38111	New Jersey Inland Bays	0.83786
Neuse River	0.0096	St Andrews/St. Simmons Sound	0.13281	Long Island Sound	0.0686	St. Johns River	0.35329	Chincoteaque Bay	0.76175
Altamaha River	-0.00705	St Marys River	0.11058	Delaware Bay	0.06379	North & South Santee Rivers	0.27616	Gardiners Bay	0.73929
Pamlico/Pungo Rivers	-0.03911	Patuxent River	0.0254	Indian River	0.01536	Long Island Sound	0.20045	Narragansett Bay	0.55556
Albemarle/Pamlico Sound	-0.04072	Potomac River	0.00887	Great South Bay	-0.01073	Neuse River	0.07247	Delaware Bay	0.55198
Rappahannock River	-0.04388	Connecticut River	-0.0674	St. Andrews/ St. Simmons Sound	-0.01179	James River	-0.00112	Buzzards Bay	0.31737
Savannah Sound	-0.04693	Rappahannock River	-0.07889	Connecticut River	-0.0745	Ossabaw Sound	-0.04076	Altamaha River	0.30057
York River	-0.09648	Charleston Harbor	-0.0881	Chester River	-0.08443	Pamlico/Pungo Rivers	-0.06577	Chesapeake Bay	0.17236
Ossabaw Sound	-0.11622	Tangier/Pocomoke Sound	-0.14367	Neuse River	-0.08871	Indian River	-0.07969	St. Helena Sound	0.16974
Charleston Harbor	-0.14791	Choptank River	-0.16039	Rappahannock River	-0.12324	Biscayne Bay	-0.11536	Delaware Inland Bays	0.06744
St. Andrews/St. Simmons Sound	-0.16195	St. Johns River	-0.17078	Pamlico/Pungo Rivers	-0.15615	Hudson River/Raritan Bay	-0.12456	St. Marys River	-0.06363
Gardiners Bay	-0.18674	York River	-0.17655	New River	-0.17527	Chester River	-0 17209	Savannah Sound	-0.08824
Narragansett Bay	-0.18804	St. Helena Sound	-0 18111	Charleston Harbor	-0.21093	Potomac River	-0.19055	Pamlico/Pungo Rivers	-0.15252
Chincoteaque Bay	-0 1954	Ossabaw Sound	-0.19596	Patuxent River	-0.2213	Barnegat Bay	-0.1964	Neuse River	-0.16775
North & South Santee Rivers	-0.19713	Savannah Sound	-0 19837	York River	-0.28783	St. Helena Sound	-0.24689	Cape Fear River	-0.32772
St. Helena Sound	-0.20583	James River	-0.20574	Biscayne Bay	-0.29036	Bogue River	-0.25216	Charleston Harbor	-0.36077
Tangier/Pocomoke Sound	-0.2192	Chester River	-0.24006	Barnegat Bay	-0.3098	York River	-0.27863	Winyah Bay	-0.44782
Bogue River	-0.22383	Chesapeake Bay	-0.25997	Delaware Inland Bays	-0.31697	Narragansett Bay	-0.2878	St. Johns River	-0.50278
Buzzards Bay	-0.24121	Winyah Bay	-0.2799	St. Helena Sound	-0.31861	Chesapeake Bay	-0.30083	Potomac River	-0.50371
Barnegat Bay	-0.24937	Cape Fear River	-0.28996	Chincoteaque Bay	-0.33823	Rappahannock River	-0.30814	Ossabaw Sound	-0.52155
Biscayne Bay	-0.25011	North & South Santee Rivers	-0.34568	Potomac River	-0.34187	Buzzards Bay	-0.34954	Connecticut River	-0.52955
Connecticut River	-0.26792	Albemarle/Pamlico Sound	-0.35655	New Jersey Inland Bays	-0.3429	Delaware Bay	-0.45793	James River	-0.55024
Broad River	-0.26889	Neuse River	-0.36039	North & South Santee Rivers	-0.36487	New Jersey Inland Bays	-0.48615	Tangier/Pocomoke Sound	-0.61752
Chester River	-0.32296	Pamlico/Pungo Rivers	-0.44654	Broad River	-0.39363	Gardiners Bay	-0.51203	St. Andrews/St. Simmons Sound	-0.66229
Patuxent River	-0.37559	Biscayne Bay	-0.4489	Gardiners Bay	-0.40671	Patuxent River	-0.5355	Broad River	-0.72229
Long Island Sound	-0.39553	Altamaha River	-0.47775	Narragansett Bay	-0.42257	Chincoteaque Bay	-0.58755	York River	-0.75389
New Jersey Inland Bays	-0.40455	Chincoteaque Bay	-0.50756	Winyah Bay	-0.44935	Tangier/Pocomoke Sound	-0.60182	Chester River	-0.76095
Choptank River	-0.43399	New River	-0.52651	Bogue River	-0.47832	Great South Bay	-0.63976	North & South Santee Rivers	-0.90099
New River	-0.44046	New Jersey Inland Bays	-0.57587	Savannah Sound	-0.49758	Broad River	-0.70121	New River	-0.91296
Indian River	-0.4414	Great South Bay	-0.67318	Atamaha River	-0.51074	New River	-0.75495	Rappahannock River	-0.94449
Great South Bay	-0.47018	Barnegat Bay	-0.69464	Buzzards Bay	-0.54269	St. Andrews/St. Simmons Sound	-0.79705	Hudson River/Raritan Bay	-1.08282
Delaware Inland Bays	-0.47036	Delaware Inland Bays	-0.7044	Cape Fear River	-0.58568	Delaware inland Bays	-0.83481	Choptank River	-1.15888
St. Catherines/Sapelo Sound	-0.48058	Indian River	-0.71418	Ossabaw Sound	-0.60469	Choptank River	-1.01498	St. Catherines/Sapelo Sound	-1.46924
St Johns River	-1.01149	Bogue River	-0.75708	St. Marys River	-1.49931	St. Catherines/Sapelo Sound	-t.44207	Patuxent River	-1.65343
									1.00010

Component 5- Width Function. This component accounted for 8.5% of the variance in the matrix. Parameters that dominated this component: estuary width (0.80765); and percent water mass fresh water (-0.70481). Estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0: Indian River; Biscayne Bay; Bogue Sound; Great South Bay; and Barnegat Bay.

#### **Dendrogram Results**

The dendrogram (Figure 1) of the clustered factor scores (Table 6) identified the following estuarine groups based on similarities of geomorphology and hydrodynamic characteristics. Descriptions of the groups follow. *Caveat: the preliminary interpretation of the groups' descriptive characteristics will need further evaluation (ranges should be presented where possible at a later date). However, the descriptive characteristics used below are the obvious ones to start with.* 

The dendrogram groups (branches) are presented below in order of strength of their association versus the other branches relative to the 0.75 cut distance. In other words, the first cluster links (+) to the left of the 0.75 cut distance identify the dendrogram groups and their strength of association. Therefore, the strongest dendrogram group is presented as Dendrogram Group 1 and the second strongest is presented as Dendrogram Group 2 and so on.

Dendrogram Group 1- Large Drowned River Valleys Assemblage. This group contains: Chesapeake Bay; and Potomac River. Descriptive characteristics of group: large volumetrically and surficially; mixing zone dominates; moderately stratified; large watersheds; tidal prism; and freshwater input dominate hydrodynamic regime. This group of estuaries most closely matched the characteristics identified by the PCA's Component 1- (System Magnitude Function). Overlap of the component's estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0 and the estuaries presence in the dendrogram group was 100%.

Dendrogram Group 2- Lagoons and Shallow Bays Assemblage. This group contains: Gardiners Bay; St. Helena Sound; Barnegat Bay; Bogue Sound; Biscayne Bay; New Jersey Inland Bays; Indian River; Great South Bay; Chincoteaque Bay; and Delaware Inland Bays. Descriptive characteristics of group: small volumetrically; shallow; tidal prism dominates hydrodynamic regime; large seawater zone; small watersheds; reduced freshwater inputs; and vertically homogeneous. This group of estuaries most closely matched the characteristics identified by the PCA's Component 5 (Width Function). Overlap of the component's estuaries with factor scores ≥1.0 and the estuaries presence in the dendrogram group was 100%.

Dendrogram Group 3- Sounds and Deep Bays Assemblage. This group contains: Long Island Sound; Buzzards Bay; Narranagsett Bay; Delaware Bay; and Broad River. Descriptive characteristics of group: tidal prism dominates hydrodynamic regimes; vertically homogenous; large seawater zones and volumes; mixing zones present; small watersheds; and proportionately small freshwater inputs. This group of estuaries most closely matched the characteristics identified by the PCA's Component 2 (Seawater Function). Overlap of the component's estuaries with factor scores  $\geq 1.0$  and the estuaries presence in the dendrogram group was 100%.

Dendrogram Group 4- Riverine Estuaries Assemblage. This group contains: Pamlico/Pungo Rivers; Neuse River; Altamaha River; St. Mary's River; Savannah River; Cape Fear River; Winyah Bay; Connecticut River; Charleston Harbor; North and South Santee River; and Ossabaw Sound. Descriptive characteristics of group: small volumetrically; mixing and tidal fresh zones dominate; and freshwater input dominates hydrodynamic regime. This group of estuaries most closely matches the characteristics identified by the PCA's Component 4- (Stratification Function). Overlap of the component's estuaries with factor scores ≥1.0 and the estuaries presence in the dendrogram group was 100%.

Dendrogram Group 5- Moderately Sized Drowned River Valleys Assemblage. This group contains: Albemarle/Pamlico Sound; St. John's River; St. Andrew/St. Simons Sound; St. Catherines/Sapelo Sound; Choptank River; Tangier/Pocomoke Sound; New River; Hudson River/Raritan Bay; Chester River; Patuxent River; Rappahannock River; York River; and James River. Descriptive characteristics of group: mid-sized volumetrically; large mixing zones; and tidal prism and fresh water input dominates hydrodynamic regime. This group of estuaries most closely matches the characteristics identified by the PCA's Component 3- (Mixing Function). Overlap of the component's estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0 and the estuaries presence in the dendrogram group was 100%.

### Summary

The PCA identified the following components based on the estuaries' physical and hydrodynamic variables: (1) System Magnitude Function; (2) Seawater Function; (3) Mixing Function; (4) Stratification Function; and (5) Width Function. Cluster analysis of the PCA's factor scores identified the following estuarine assemblages: 1) Large Drowned River Valleys; 2) Lagoons Table 6. Factor score matrix on which cluster analysis was based. Same factor scores as in Table 2, but sorted alphabetically by Estuary instead of sorted to show top estuary/factor score per component.

Estuary	Comp1	Comp2	Comp3	Comp4	Comp5
Albemarle/Pamlico Sound	-0.04072	-0.35655	4.74406	0.57792	0.86889
Altamaha River	-0.00705	-0.47775	-0.51074	1.08173	0.30057
Barnegat Bay	-0.24937	-0.69464	-0.3098	-0.1964	1.14172
Biscayne Bay	-0.25011	-0.4489	-0.29036	-0.11536	2.66941
Bogue River	-0.22383	-0.75708	-0.47832	-0.25216	1.45762
Broad River	-0.26889	0.29535	-0.39363	-0.70121	-0.72229
Buzzards Bay	-0.24121	0.92099	-0.54269	-0.34954	0.31737
Cape Fear River	0.08787	-0.28996	-0.58568	0.67065	-0.32772
Charleston Harbor	-0.14791	-0.0881	-0.21093	0.67539	-0.36077
Chesapeake Bay	5.87781	-0.25997	0.41528	-0.30083	0.17236
Chester River	-0.32296	-0.24006	-0.08443	-0.17209	-0.76095
Chincoteaque Bay	-0.1954	-0.50756	-0.33823	-0.58755	0.76175
Choptank River	-0.43399	-0.16039	0.17631	-1.01498	-1.15888
Connecticut River	-0.26792	-0.0674	-0.0745	4.84227	-0.52955
Delaware Bay	0.84473	2.13401	0.06379	-0.45793	0.55198
Delaware Inland Bays	-0.47036	-0.7044	-0.31697	-0.83481	0.06744
Gardiners Bay	-0.18674	0.22824	-0.40671	-0.51203	0.73929
Great South Bay	-0.47018	-0.67318	-0.01073	-0.63976	1.39138
Hudson River/Raritan Bay	0.11182	0.2995	1.42021	-0.12456	-1.08282
Indian River	-0.4414	-0.71418	0.01536	-0.07969	3.00781
James River	0.35874	-0.20574	0.13596	-0.00112	-0.55024
Long Island Sound	-0.39553	5.38919	0.0686	0.20045	0.84528
Narragansett Bay	-0.18804	0.52623	-0.42257	-0.2878	0.55556
Neuse River	0.0096	-0.36039	-0.08871	0.07247	-0.16775
New Jersey Inland Bays	-0.40455	-0.57587	-0.3429	-0.48615	0.83786
New River	-0.44046	-0.52651	-0.17527	-0.75495	-0.91296
North & South Santee Rivers	-0.19713	-0.34568	-0.36487	0.27616	-0.90099
Ossabaw Sound	-0.11622	-0.19596	-0.60469	-0.04076	-0.52155
Pamlico/Pungo Rivers	-0.03911	-0.44654	-0.15615	-0.06577	-0.15252
Patuxent River	-0.37559	0.0254	-0.2213	-0.5355	-1.65343
Potomac River	0.99559	0.00887	-0.34187	-0.19055	-0.50371
Rappahannock River	-0.04388	-0.07889	-0.12324	-0.30814	-0.94449
Savannah Sound	-0.04693	-0.19837	-0.49758	0.38111	-0.08824
St. Andrews/St. Simmons Sound	-0.16195	0.13281	-0.01179	-0.79705	-0.66229
St. Catherines/Sapelo Sound	-0.48058	0.25492	0.17765	-1.44207	-1.46924
St. Helena Sound	-0.20583	-0.18111	-0.31861	-0.24689	0.16974
St. Johns River	-1.01149	-0.17078	3.0594	0.35329	-0.50278
St. Marys River	0.14218	0.11058	-1.49931	1.88039	-0.06363
Tangier/Pocomoke Sound	-0.2192	-0.14367	0.18311	-0.60182	-0.61752
Winyah Bay	0.21268	-0.2799	-0.44935	1.36424	-0.44782
York River	-0.09648	-0.17655	-0.28783	-0.27863	-0.75389

Fujiva 1. "Deningues of stationed Schörpsons. Excessive of situated Schools and School by Fujivation many free methods in Sections Determination of providing without School School and School by Fujivation station and section in Section 2. The section of the Links Pharman School and Section 1. Section 1. Section 1. S school and school school and school school and school and school and school and School and School and a school and school

6



Figure 1. Dendrogram of clustered factor scores. Distance of cluster linkages (indicated by (+)) indicates strength of association between the estuaries or groups of estuaries linked together. Shorter distances indicate stronger associations among the members of the cluster (branch). Based on the PCA's identification of 5 significant components, the dendrogram's branching was cut at a distance of 0.75 to produce 5 dendrogram clusters that were comparable to the PCA components.

and Shallow Bays; 3) Sounds and Deep Bays; 4) Riverine Estuaries; and 5) Moderately Sized Drowned River Vallevs. Component/Assemblage associations based on factor score/component strengths versus assemblage membership follow: 1) System Magnitude Function/Large Drowned River Valleys; 2) Seawater Function/Sounds and Deep Bays; 3) Mixing Function/ Moderately Sized Drowned River Valleys ; 4) Stratification Function/Riverine Estuaries; and 5) Width Function/Lagoons and Shallow Bays. However, this typology is restricted to the 41 U.S. East Coast estuaries included in this analysis. As should be expected the differences and similarities within this set of estuaries drives the typology. For example, one should not expect to find exactly the same results from a set of U.S. Gulf Coast estuaries, though they may be similar.

Previous success in applying similar statistical protocols to U.S. West Coast estuaries identified geomorphologically/hydrodynamically based estuarine types (Monaco et al. 1991). Further, similar protocols were applied to a species assemblage/estuaries matrix yielding estuarine type identifications (Horn and Allen 1976; Monaco et al. 1991; Monaco et al. 1992). When the geomorphological/hydrodynamic matrix analysis results were compared to the species assemblage/estuaries matrix analysis results, the two analysis both identified similar (>80% agreement) groups of estuaries (Monaco et al. 1991). The implication of these results is that estuarine geomorphology and hydrodynamics heavily influence estuarine species assemblages. Also, preliminary results from a similar protocol on species presence/salinity matrices for Chesapeake Bay and Mobile Bay (Lowery and Monaco 1991 unpublished) suggest that estuarine species responses to estuarine salinity regimes are similar. Also, stepwise regression identified estuarine geomorphologic and hydrodynamic variables as having the highest correlation with species number in California estuaries (Horn and Allen 1976) and U.S. West Coast estuaries (Monaco et al. 1992). As a result, the linkage of species presence/abundance to estuarine geomorphology and hydrodynamics seen in Monaco et al. (1991, 1992) should be expected to be similar. Therefore, simultaneous characterizations of estuaries from both a biological and physical perspective, and on strategic scales (national, region, coastal) are now possible. To reiterate, the protocols presented here and previously used (Lowery and Monaco 1991 unpublished; Monaco et al. 1991; Monaco et al. 1992) provide a platform for strategic estuarine assessments that enhance interestuarine comparisons. These improved capabilities facilitate improvements in regional ecosystems management.

#### **Future Work**

Future work includes analyses of U.S. East Coast inlets. Its results will be compared to the results presented in this chapter. This comparison explores if certain estuarine characteristics are statistically related to inlet geometric characteristics, such as width, depth, cross sectional area, tidal current speed, etc. This estuaries/inlet analysis will be compared to an ongoing analysis of the Estuarine Living Marine Resources Program's (ELMR) relative abundance/estuaries matrices. This comparison explores if certain estuarine/ inlet characteristics are statistically related to fish species abundance patterns.

Specifically, the estuaries/inlet vs. ELMR abundance analysis will explore if specific life history strategies (e.g., egg and larvae entrainment from offshore spawning) and level of utilization are related to estuarine/ inlet dynamics (e.g., inlet size, tidal current speeds, tidal prism volume). These analyses will attempt to determine if certain assemblages of species utilize specific estuaries/inlets types more often than other types. Further, it lays the foundation for exploring estuarine/offshore fisheries abundance linkages on regional scales. If successful, this would provide valuable information concerning fisheries/ecosystem impact projections for proposed inlet modifications.

Fowles and Mallows (1983) "Bk" statistic for comparing hierarchical clusterings and Clafin's (1987) cross component analysis techniques will be used to carry out these cross analysis comparisons. A separate analysis will be carried out to evaluate US East Coast embayments above Cape Cod as they are not comparable geomorphologically nor hydrodynamically with Virginian and Carolinian Province estuaries.

### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Steve Stone, Moe Nelson, John Christensen and Steve Jury for their reviews of this report. We also thank Arthur Bulger, Bruce Hayden, Larry Claflin, and Colin Mallows for their statistical consultations and guidance.

#### References

Claflin, L.W. 1987. Associations between the phytoplankton and physiochemical regimes of Lake Michigan. . *In* Munawar, M. (ed.), Phycology of Large Lakes of the World; Ergebn. Limnologie 25, p. 97-121. E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, D-7000 Stuttgart 1, Germany.

Fowlkes, E.B. and C.L. Mallows. 1983. A method for comparing two hierarchical clusterings. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 78(383):553-568.

Horn, M.N. and L.G. Allen. 1976. Numbers of species and faunal resemblance of marine fishes in California bays and estuaries. Bull. Calif. Acad. Sci. 75:159-170.

Lowery, T.A. and M.E. Monaco. 1991. Comparison of Mobile Bay and Chesapeake Bay salinity zone delineations based on species occurrences. Unpublished manuscript. NOAA/NOS Strategic Assessments Branch, Rockville, MD.

Monaco, M.E., T.A. Lowery, and R.L. Emmett. 1991. Assemblages of U.S. west coast estuaries based on the biogeography and life history characteristics of fishes (Abstract). Estuarine Research Federation 1991 Conference, San Francisco, CA.

Monaco, M.E., T.A. Lowery, and R.L. Emmett. 1992. Assemblages of U.S. west coast estuaries based on the distribution of fishes. J. Biogeography 19:251-267.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 1985. National Estuarine Inventory: Data Atlas. Volume 1. Physical and hydrologic characteristics. p. 103. Strategic Assessments Branch, NOS/ NOAA, Rockville, MD.

SAS Institute. 1988. SAS/STAT users guide, Release 6.03 edition, 1028 p. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.SYSTAT. 1992.

SYSTAT 1992. SYSTAT: Statistics, Version 5.2 edition, p. 724. SYSTAT, Inc., Evanston, IL.

#### Appendix 1. Complete SAS PCA program, log, and results.

libname elmo 'h:/tony/data'; options pagesize=66 linesize=120; PROC PRINT DATA=elmo.ESTUARY: PROC FACTOR nfactor=5 ROTATE=VARIMAX DATA=elmo.ESTUARY score outstat=fact; PROC SCORE DATA=elmo, ESTUARY SCORE=Fact OUT=elmo, SCORES; PROC SORT DATA=elmo.SCORES; BY DESCENDING FACTOR1; PROC PRINT DATA=elmo.SCORES; VAR esty FACTOR1: PROC SORT DATA=elmo.SCORES; BY DESCENDING FACTOR2; PROC PRINT DATA=elmo.SCORES; VAR esty FACTOR2: PROC SORT DATA=elmo.SCORES; BY DESCENDING FACTOR3; PROC PRINT DATA=elmo.SCORES; VAR esty FACTOR3; PROC SORT DATA=elmo.SCORES; BY DESCENDING FACTOR4; PROC PRINT DATA=elmo.SCORES; VAR esty FACTOR4; PROC SORT DATA=elmo.SCORES; BY DESCENDING FACTOR5; PROC PRINT DATA=elmo. SCORES: VAR esty FACTOR5; run; 51 libname elmo 'h:/tony/data'; NOTE: Libref ELMO was successfully assigned as follows: Engine: V604 Physical Name: h:/tony/data options pagesize=66 linesize=120; 52 PROC PRINT DATA=elmo.ESTUARY; 53 NOTE: The PROCEDURE PRINT used .71 seconds. 54 PROC FACTOR nfactor=5 ROTATE=VARIMAX DATA=elmo.ESTUARY 55 score outstat=fact; NOTE: The data set WORK.FACT has 47 observations and 23 variables. NOTE: The PROCEDURE FACTOR used 1.62 seconds. 56 PROC SCORE DATA=elmo.ESTUARY SCORE=Fact OUT=elmo.SCORES; NOTE: No VAR statement is given. All numeric variables in the SCORE= data set will be used to compute the scores. NOTE: The data set ELMO.SCORES has 41 observations and 27 variables. NOTE: The PROCEDURE SCORE used .75 seconds. PROC SORT DATA=elmo.SCORES; 57 58 BY DESCENDING FACTOR1; NOTE: SAS sort was used. NOTE: The data set ELMO.SCORES has 41 observations and 27 variables. NOTE: The PROCEDURE SORT used .40 seconds. 59 PROC PRINT DATA=elmo.SCORES; VAR esty FACTOR1; 60 NOTE: The PROCEDURE PRINT used .20 seconds. PROC SORT DATA=elmo.SCORES; 61 BY DESCENDING FACTOR2; 62 NOTE: SAS sort was used. NOTE: The data set ELMO.SCORES has 41 observations and 27 variables. NOTE: The PROCEDURE SORT used .40 seconds. 63 PROC PRINT DATA=elmo.SCORES; 64 VAR esty FACTOR2; NOTE: The PROCEDURE PRINT used .22 seconds. 65 PROC SORT DATA=elmo.SCORES; BY DESCENDING FACTOR3: 66 NOTE: SAS sort was used. NOTE: The data set ELMO.SCORES has 41 observations and 27 variables. NOTE: The PROCEDURE SORT used .40 seconds. PROC PRINT DATA=elmo.SCORES; 67 VAR esty FACTOR3; 68 NOTE: The PROCEDURE PRINT used .19 seconds. PROC SORT DATA=elmo.SCORES: 69 70 BY DESCENDING FACTOR4; NOTE: SAS sort was used. NOTE: The data set ELMO.SCORES has 41 observations and 27 variables. NOTE: The PROCEDURE SORT used .40 seconds. PROC PRINT DATA=elmo.SCORES; 71 72 VAR esty FACTOR4;

NOTE: The PROCEDURE PRINT used .19 seconds.

73 PROC SORT DATA=elmo.SCORES; 74 BY DESCENDING FACTOR5;

NOTE: SAS sort was used.

NOTE: The data set ELMO.SCORES has 41 observations and 27 variables.

NOTE: The PROCEDURE SORT used .46 seconds.

75 PROC PRINT DATA=elmo.SCORES;

76 VAR esty FACTOR5; 77 run;

NOTE: The PROCEDURE PRINT used .22 seconds.

OBS	ESTY		LENGT	ESTWI	MINWI	MAXW	ADEPT	DPWR	DYFLR	FIFYR	HUNYR	HIFLW	LWFLW
1	buzz	4	48109.1	11102.1	1126.3	20273.4	1 10.3022	0.00093	33.9792	67.9584	79.2848	0.003	0.001
2	narr	4	47143.7	14641.9	804.5	20595.2	9.20496	0.00063	90.6112	968.407	1093	0.014	0.003
3	gard		49879	13998.3	1609	22043.3	6.15696	0.00044	19.8212	5.6632	5.6632	0.003	0.003
4	lisd		98149	50683 5	1126.3	36363.4	18,9281	0.00037	849.48	6311.64	6628.78	0.018	0.004
5	conn	\$	39299 5	482 7	321 8	1448	3 81	0 00789	594 636	3998 22	4136 97	1 937	0 459
6	arts		11263	12063 9	321.0	9010	2 71272	0.00006	19 8212	12 171	19 1372	0 012	0.435
7	gits		257440	2725 2	160 0	19020 0	6 23001	0.00000	756 027	5414 02	5750 17	0.012	0.011
,	ham		237440	17600	100.9	10610	1 1 1 2 2 5 6 4	0.00232	750.057	1002 20	1206 26	0.011	0.021
0	Daill		33789	1,000	402.7	10019.4	E 1.45250	0.00008	21 14	1002.39	1200.20	0.044	0.024
9	njby	4	24939.5	16090	00	6900	5 6 2 7 0 2 0	0.00019	31.14	25.2296	30.015	0.012	0.006
10	deby		222042	24135	6/5/.8	45695.6	6.37032	0.00026	560.657	7438.61	8302.25	0.013	0.005
11	dein		19308	2896.2	150	5500	1.28016	0.00044	9.6	8.55	11.24	0.03859	0.00715
12	chnq		51488	7240.5	1609	10619.4	1.79832	0.00025	11.3264	3	4	0.015	0.011
13	ches		318582	24778.6	5148.8	55993.2	8.10768	0.00033	2429.51	58721.7	75113.9	0.1	0.029
14	patx		75600	1609	30	2900	5.88264	0.00366	26.5604	360.718	437.341	0.055	0.023
15	poto		188253	10297.6	2574.4	17859.9	5.88264	0.00057	450.224	9188.54	10748.8	0.095	0.027
16	rapp		158647	3700.7	643.6	5148.8	4.93776	0.00133	82.1164	1936.81	2129.36	0.048	0.017
17	york		78841	3378.9	643.6	6596.9	4.75488	0.00141	70.79	2755.15	3681.08	0.046	0.016
18	jams		162187	5148.8	482.7	9654	4.17576	0.00081	353.95	10216.4	11799.3	0.084	0.028
19	chst		61100	2300	160	7080	4.20624	0.00183	14.7526	114.68	156.021	0.032	0.013
20	chop		80500	6900	320	19800	3.99288	0.00058	29.1088	119.55	126.686	0.03	0.008
21	tang		91700	5800	10	25400	3.81	0.00066	83.3057	50.4308	59.4636	0.017	0.006
22	alpm		186644	21077.9	1448.1	47626.4	4.1148	0.0002	1302.54	6507.02	7506.57	0.318	0.187
23	paml		67578	5631.5	1448.1	9332.2	2.86512	0.00051	130.254	1228.91	1362	0.117	0.053
24	neus		80450	6596.9	1448.1	12228.4	3.5052	0.00053	175.559	1568.71	1795.23	0.198	0.064
25	boge		15285.5	17699	965.4	4022.5	1.40208	0.00008	36.8108	404.919	472.877	0.015	0.008
26	nwri	3	39903.2	2735.3	643.6	3378.9	1.76784	0.00065	22.6528	569.152	679.584	0.08	0.04
27	cofr		83668	1126.3	482.7	3861.6	3.5052	0.00311	285,992	4043.52	4779.74	0.224	0.072
2.8	winv		82059	1126.3	482.7	6918.7	3.3528	0.00298	577.646	6640.1	7659.48	0.498	0.148
2.9	nssn	8	38816.8	1126.3	321.8	3218	2.52984	0.00225	76.4532	2619.23	2639.05	0 306	0.05
2,7	noon			1100.0	001.0	0010		0.000000		2017.20	2007.00	0.000	0.00
OBS	TPRSM		TEVOL.	MXVOL	SI	VVOL	TESUR	MXSUR	SWSUR	FWF	RC DO	CPTL	TPFLUSH
1	6.797E8		0	2.195E7	6.06	57E9	0	51800	5853400	0.1229	99 1.0	4119 8	95802688
2	4.928E8		4.074E7	2 669E8	3.6	32E9	77700	518000	3677800	0.163	57 0 5	1929	7.9956533
3	3.26E8		0	6316130	3.14	41E9	0	51800	5050500	0.122	22 1.7	7348 9	.65341081
4	3.738E9		2.371E8	4.35E9	5.72	2E10	284900	4221700	2.815E7	0.1594	48 0	.054	16.539622
5	2 58E7		1 819E8	1 563E7		0	466200	51800	0	0 9624	15 0	1656 7	65647191
6	7.476E7		0	4.742E8	5 82	21E8	0	2020200	1890700	0.3422	27 4.91	5728	14.128224
7	7 363E7		5 08358	2.945E9	1 4	32E9	958300	4351200	2408700	0 5080	71 0 19	9356 6	6 3387973
8	8 553 57		0.00010	1 39758	2 35	3758	0	777000	1864800	0.30	13 1	338 /	12546522
9	7 96957		0	5 47657	2.30	2458	0	118192	1257913	0 2185	1 3 1	7116 3	17755378
10	2 88959		1 21958	2 82659	9 13	389	595700	5180000	1 /1257	0.2602	0 1	3352 /	38775951
11	1 957		4.24760	1 895 57	8 6	0157	00700	155400	673400	0.2002		2728	5 8/19//
12	1 67357		0	1.000557	6 3 9	3258	0	100400	2549300	0.200	1 3 0'	7978 1	2 6570360
12	4.07567		1 01200	5 67010	2 01		0	6 99057	2079500	0.1212	. J. U	7040 1	0 6556646
1.4	0.09460		1.01369	J.07EIU	2.0.	0169 .	26260	0.00967	2978300	0.596	0.0	050 0	9.0550040
14	3E7		4972992	7.111E0 7.40D0		0	120500	1 26777	0	0.010		.959 2	3.8696064
15	3.39868		4.54E7	7.4969		0	129500	1.20/E/	0	0.6105	0.3	3353 2	2.1/28531
16	1.16/E8		2.913E7	1.82/E9		0	101200	3677800	0	0.6195	2.1	7045 1	5.9116645
1/	1.062E8		6.742E7	8.464E8		0	181300	1/35300	0	0.6415	2.60	3715 8	.60438938
18	2.829E8		2.804E8	2.271E9		0	828800	5283600	0	0.6552	0.5	9255 9	.01820195
19	3.31E7		2.73E7	5.998E8		0	142450	1344210	0	0.719	8 1.	462 1	8.9462511
20	.7.22E7		2.511E7	1.115E9		0	77700	2776480	0	0.62	6	. 319	15.786478
21	3.77E8		8810352	4.526E9		0	93240	1.18E7	0	0.614	5 2.	.153 1	2.0274439
22	8.213E8		1.117E9	2.64E10	3.06	57E8	5723900	6.014E7	1735300	0.6234	0.13	3768	33.877931
23	6.542E7		0	1.232E9		0	0	4299400	0	0.6136	4 1.3	3552 1	8.8311688
24	6.853E7		0	1.571E9		0	0	4480700	0	0.6136	1.00	0547 2	2.9173554
25	1.354E8		1579033	4.642E7	3.22	21E8	25900	543900	2072000	0.1866	1.45	5893 2	.73418283
26	1.725E7		0	1.397E8	6947	743	0	777000	51800	0.5903	1 7.49	625 8	.50542975

#### Appendix 1, continued

27	1.003E8	5.763E7	2.174E8	6.93	16E7	129500	699300	155400	0.5963	0.599	987	3.43361085
28	8.609E7	1.052E8	1.559E8		0	233100	543900	0	0.7662	25 0.383	L59	3.031776
29	2.509E7	2.369E7	3.554E7		0	103600	129500	0	0.7678	2.889	922	2.36049661
OBS	ESTY	LENGT	ESTWI	MINWI	MAXWI	ADEPT	DPWR	DYFLR	FIFYR	HUNYR	HIFL	W LWFLW
30	char	65647.2	3861.6	643.6	5792.4	5.57784	0.00144	455.888	1160.96	1177.95	0.20	1 0.112
31	sthe	54706	6757.8	482.7	14641.9	3.93192	0.00058	130.254	914.607	1005.22	0.02	4 0.01
32	brri	53257.9	6275.1	1126.3	10458.5	7.3152	0.00117	25.4844	314.308	359.613	0.00	4 0.001
33	sava	46339.2	2735.3	482.7	10458.5	4.63296	0.00169	362.445	2069.9	2262.45	0.13	7 0.065
34	ossa	49074.5	1769.9	321.8	6757.8	4.35864	0.00246	84.948	2055.74	2488.98	0.05	9 0.013
35	casa	39903.2	5148.8	321.8	56636.8	4.4196	0.00086	22.6528	368.108	453.056	0.00	4 0.001
36	alta	43443	2735.3	643.6	4666.1	3.10896	0.00114	421.908	3446.06	3681.08	0.55	7 0.117
37	ansi	83668	8689	804.5	47626.4	4.35864	0.0005	70.79	1543.22	1577.2	0.01	4 0.005
38	mycb	51500	480	60	2300	6.00456	0.01251	231.37	525.205	572.096	0.16	4 0.119
39	john	197907	3700.7	1126.3	11584.8	3.6576	0.00099	220.865	1090.17	1271.39	0.25	2 0.125
40	indn	4827	55027.8	160.9	9010.4	2.01168	0.00004	39.6424	167.064	192.549	0.04	4 0.013
41	bisc	15285.5	45052	965.4	17377.2	2.34696	0.00005	90.6112	600.299	668.258	0.02	1 0.007
OBS	TPRSM	TFVOL	MXVOL	SI	VVOL	TFSUR	MXSUR	SWSUR	FWFF	RC DCI	PTL	TPFLUSH
30	1.351E8	1.009E8	4.332E8		0	155400	802900	0	0.6851	.9 0.432	235	3.9538234
31	3.936E8	3.221E7	4.694E8	3.65	58E8	77700	1061900	1061900	0.4200	0.927	65	2.20339642
32	5.409E8	4.706E7	1.092E9	7.54	48E8	103600	1450400	1036000	0.426	4.817	63	3.5012935
33	1.753E8	2.203E7	2.942E8	8.01	14E7	77700	595700	181300	0.5351	.2 0.424	71	2.26089771
34	1.759E8	1.026E7	3.25E8	3.73	19E7	51800	725200	77700	0.5749	1.946	82	2.11759119
35	4.163E8	1.2E7	7.79E8	6.53	37E7	51800	1787100	103600	0.5813	6 7.382	249	2.05712248
36	6.684E7	1.358E7	7.643E7	3.10	03E7	103600	207200	77700	0.529	0.361	.29	1.81091471
37	3.88E8	8132018	7.463E8	5.66	51E7	25900	1761200	77700	0.5830	2.369	33	2.09026952
38	7E7	3599472	1.325E7	3.93	36E8	2072	51800	611240	0.1749	9 0.2	17	5.86430331
39	5.324E7	9.865E8	7.95E8	6.	6E8 3	082100	2460500	1139600	0.6335	0.825	518	45.8584851
40	6.457E7	0	5.558E7	1.40	D2E9	0	284900	6967100	0.1399	9 1.015	85	22.5692766
41	3.002E8	0	1.658E7	1.6	52E9	0	155400	6811700	0.126	0.400	65	5.4504902

Initial Factor Method: Principal Components

#### Prior Communality Estimates: ONE

	Eigenvalues	of the Corr	elation Matri	x: Total =	21 Average	= 1	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Eigenvalue	8.1646	4.4133	2.4554	1.1582	1.0573	0.8829	0.8325
Difference	3.7513	1.9579	1.2972	0.1009	0.1745	0.0503	0.2293
Proportion	0.3888	0.2102	0.1169	0.0552	0.0503	0.0420	0.0396
Cumulative	0.3888	0.5989	0.7159	0.7710	0.8214	0.8634	0.9031
	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Eigenvalue	0.6032	0.4934	0.3133	0.2235	0.1451	0.1056	0.0666
Difference	0.1098	0.1801	0.0898	0.0783	0.0395	0.0390	0.0238
Proportion	0.0287	0.0235	0.0149	0.0106	0.0069	0.0050	0.0032
Cumulative	0.9318	0.9553	0.9702	0.9808	0.9878	0.9928	0.9960
	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
Eigenvalue	0.0428	0.0203	0.0115	0.0063	0.0033	0.0006	0.0000
Difference	0.0225	0.0088	0.0052	0.0030	0.0027	0.0006	
Proportion	0.0020	0.0010	0.0005	0.0003	0.0002	0.0000	0.0000
Cumulative	0.9980	0.9990	0.9995	0.9998	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000

5 factors will be retained by the NFACTOR criterion.

		Factor	Pattern		
	FACTOR1	FACTOR2	FACTOR3	FACTOR4	FACTOR5
LENGT	0.81685	0.22604	0.00506	0.07434	0.28736
ESTWI	0.30108	-0.64550	-0.01879	-0.33981	-0.44755
MINWI	0.68369	-0.20004	-0.09683	0.19774	-0.12631
MAXWI	0.67402	-0.29217	-0.17778	-0.04183	0.21611
ADEPT	0.43070	-0.56987	0.40248	0.25351	0.30358
DPWR	-0.15410	0.39534	0.60419	0.27815	-0.04950
DYFLR	0.93568	0.17144	0.14039	0.09208	-0.11039
FIFYR	0.85662	0.16851	-0.14037	0.37235	-0.21381
HUNYR	0.84785	0.17201	-0.16179	0.37498	-0.22212
HIFLW	0.02442	0.55110	0.71727	-0.04498	-0.14745
LWFLW	0.09299	0.60762	0.71441	-0.18613	-0.11233
TPRSM	0.50224	-0.71794	0.33749	0.04915	0.18235
TFVOL	0.84159	0.23432	0.03335	-0.37743	0.16365
MXVOL	0.90416	0.18940	-0.22111	0.10316	-0.14176
SWVOL	0.30664	-0.74713	0.45755	0.03387	0.17997
TFSUR	0.72055	0.30487	-0.00804	-0.54914	0.15401
MXSUR	0.87325	0.23162	-0.20520	-0.11132	-0.06342
SWSUR	0.34421	~0.84174	0.36185	-0.07797	-0.01720
FWFRC	0.10143	0.71865	0.15673	0.14985	0.41488
DCPTL	-0.39664	0.00171	-0.44361	0.09911	0.38094
TPFLUSH	0.70597	0.20686	-0.16992	-0.14625	0.13339

Variance explained by each factor

 FACTOR1
 FACTOR2
 FACTOR3
 FACTOR4
 FACTOR5

 8.164560
 4.413288
 2.455429
 1.158228
 1.057321

Initial Factor Method: Principal Components

			Final Com	munality E	stimates:	Total = 17	.248825			
LENGT	ESTWI	MINWI	MAXWI	ADEPT	DPWR	DYFLR	FIFYR	HUNYR	HIFLW	LWFLW
0.806462	0.823445	0.571884	0.619723	0.828674	0.624913	0.945267	0.966245	0.964557	0.842549	0.935485
TPRSM	TFVOL	MXVOL	SWVOL	TFSUR	MXSUR	SWSUR	FWFRC	DCPTL	TPFLUSH	
0.917260	0.933531	0.933006	0.895119	0.937467	0.874731	0.964326	0.745890	0.509059	0.609232	

Rotation Method: Varimax

 Orthogonal Transformation Matrix

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5

 0.78841
 0.31867
 0.51982
 0.03720
 0.07252

 0.16292
 -0.71526
 0.22071
 0.46178
 -0.44712

 -0.20323
 0.49614
 -0.05283
 0.84213
 -0.02405

 0.47686
 0.13952
 -0.74487
 -0.02654
 -0.44452

 -0.28838
 0.34820
 0.35135
 -0.27476
 -0.77243

Rotated Factor Pattern

				**	
	FACTOR1	FACTOR2	FACTOR3	FACTOR4	FACTOR5
LENGT	0.63239	0.21157	0.51983	0.05810	-0.29696
ESTWI	0.10305	0.34508	0.11090	-0.17072	0.80765
MINWI	0.65684	0.29652	0.12469	-0.11902	0.15102
MAXWI	0.43767	0.40497	0.40237	-0.31782	0.03545
ADEPT	0.19827	0.88561	-0.00532	0.00166	-0.07083
DPWR	-0.03296	-0.01055	-0.24935	0.69186	-0.28788
DYFLR	0.81285	0.21961	0.40943	0.26009	0.03215
FIFYR	0.97056	0.06031	0.13742	0.04034	-0.01021
HUNYR	0.97223	0.04186	0.12988	0.02580	-0.00664
HIFLW	-0.01566	-0.08815	0.07813	0.90114	-0.12800
LWFLW	-0.02925	-0.11561	0.24388	0.92147	-0.11261
TPRSM	0.18128	0.91136	0.11225	-0.08004	0.18661
TFVOL	0.46774	0.12146	0.82607	0.13265	-0.00318
MXVOL	0.87872	0.00799	0.39684	-0.02889	0.04985
SWVOL	-0.00870	0.92650	0.00834	0.00136	0.19122
TFSUR	0.31311	-0.01542	0.90541	0.13308	0.04127
MXSUR	0.73312	-0.02681	0.57653	-0.01298	0.06317
SWSUR	0.02848	0.87441	0.02606	-0.06437	0.44057
FWFRC	0.11701	-0.23857	0.23721	0.34965	-0.70481
DCPTL	-0.28488	-0.20124	-0.12235	-0.49484	-0.35716
TPFLUSH	0.51662	0.01875	0.57741	-0.05407	-0.07523

Variance explained by each factor FACTOR1 FACTOR2 FACTOR3 FACTOR4 FACTOR5 5.644898 3.842050 3.201159 2.774365 1.786353

			Final Com	munality E	stimates:	Total = 17	.248825			
LENGT	ESTWI	MINWI	. MAXWI	ADEPT	DPWR	DYFLR	FIFYR	HUNYR	HIFLW	LWFLW
0.806462	0.823445	0.571884	0.619723	0.828674	0.624913	0.945267	0.966245	0.964557	0.842549	0.935485
TPRSM	TFVOL	MXVOL	SWVOL	TFSUR	MXSUR	SWSUR	FWFRC	DCPTL	TPFLUSH	
0.917260	0.933531	0.933006	0.895119	0.937467	0.874731	0.964326	0.745890	0.509059	0.609232	

Scoring Coefficients Estimated by Regression Squared Multiple Correlations of the Variables with each Factor FACTOR1 FACTOR2 FACTOR3 FACTOR4 FACTOR5 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000

Rotation Method: Varimax

Standard	lized Scorin	g Coeffici	ents			
	FACTOR1	FACTOR2	FACTOR3	FACTOR4	FACTOR5	
LENGT	0.03903	0.09986	0.11088	-0.04727	-0.25416	
ESTWI	-0.01104	-0.07575	0.05711	0.05147	0.52563	
MINWI	0.18251	0.02176	-0.13353	-0.02273	0.04367	
MAXWI	-0.00715	0.10387	0.13084	-0.14367	-0.10450	
ADEPT	0.00881	0.32101	-0.07189	-0.00433	-0.26146	
DPWR	0.07773	0.06920	-0.19837	0.25437	-0.11793	
DYFLR	0.15308	0.01184	-0.03078	0.09693	0.03487	
FIFYR	0.31218	-0.04780	-0.24453	0.02042	0.00521	
HUNYR	0.31658	-0.05546	-0.24890	0.01550	0.01005	
HIFLW	-0.01497	0.00259	-0.00639	0.34312	0.06234	
LWFLW	-0.07372	-0.00991	0.10332	0.34247	0.08577	
TPRSM	-0.03543	0.27012	0.01780	-0.00560	-0.07819	
TFVOL	-0.11287	0.01004	0.36170	0.00591	0.00871	
MXVOL	0.19374	-0.07434	-0.04165	-0.01742	0.05498	
SWVOL	-0.07098	0.28885	0.01034	0.03260	-0.07054	
TFSUR	-0.18659	-0.03834	0.46563	0.00499	0.07384	
MXSUR	0.08133	-0.07921	0.12211	-0.02313	0.07535	

							0.5		-		
SWSUR	- (	0.05519	0.20791	0.0164	46 (	0.043	85	29	alpm	-0.1	35655
0.12728								30	neus	-0.	36039
FWFRC	-0	02811	0 07384	0 08052	0 01	817	-	31	naml	-0	11651
1 11 100	0.	02011	0.0/504	0.00052	0.01	01/		20	panit	0.1	11000
0.43404								32	bisc	-0.	44890
DCPTL	-0.	06462	0.03200	0.04723	-0.25	504	-	33	alta	-0.	47775
0 31569								3.4	chng	0	50756
0.51505		00670	0 04 0 0 0		0.00	470		24	· ·	-0	50750
TPFLUS.	H = 0.	00672	-0.01399	0.19733	-0.06	473	177	35	nwri	-0.	52651
0.05434								36	njby	-0.	57587
								27	arta	0	67210
								57	grus	-0.	0/318
OBS	ESTY	FA	ACTOR1					38	barn	-0.	69464
1	ches	5	87781					39	dein	-0	70440
-	CHCS	5	.07701					55	uc m	-0.	70440
2	poto	0	.99559					40	indn	-0.	71418
3	deby	0	.84473					41	boge	-0.	75708
4	iama	0	25074						5		
4	Jams	0	. 3 3 6 / 4								
5	winy	0	.21268					OBS	ESTY	FAG	CTOR3
6	much	0	14218					1	alom	1	74406
0	nyco	0	.14210					1	arpin	1.	05040
7	huds	0	.11182					2	john	3.0	5940
8	cpfr	0	.08787					3	huds	1.4	42021
0	20110	0	00060					1	ahoa	0	11520
9	neus	0	.00960					4	cnes	0.4	41920
10	alta	- 0	.00705					5	tang	0.1	18311
11	naml	- 0	03911					6	casa	0 '	17765
10	pana	0	.05511					0	cusu	0	17705
12	alpm	-0	.04072					1	chop	0	1/631
13	rapp	- 0	.04388					8	iams	0.3	13596
1.4		0	04603					0	1100	0 (	06960
14	Sava	=0	.04095					9	IISU	0.0	10000
15	york	- 0	.09648					10	deby	0.0	)6379
16	ossa	- 0	11622					11	indn	0 0	01536
10	0354	0	14701					10	incin	0.0	01070
17	char	- 0	.14791					12	grts	-0.0	51073
18	ansi	- 0	.16195					13	ansi	-0.0	01179
10	aard	0	10671					1.4	conn	0 (	07450
19	yaru	=0	. 100/4					14	Com	-0.0	57450
20	narr	- 0	.18804					15	chst	-0.0	)8443
21	chng	-0	19540					16	neus	-0 (	08871
0.0	ound	0	10710					10	meub	0.0	100011
22	nssn	-0	. 19/13					1/	rapp	-0	12324
23	sthe	- 0	.20583					18	paml	-0.1	15615
24	tang	-0	21920					10	nuri	-0 1	17527
23	carry	0	.21920					19	TIWLI	-0.1	1/52/
25	boge	-0	. 22383					20	char	-0.2	21093
26	buzz	- 0	.24121					21	patx	-0.2	22130
27	la a sere	0	24027					2.2	L. C. mile	0	0702
21	Dain	-0	. 24937					22	YOLK	-0.2	28/83
28	bisc	- 0	.25011					23	bisc	-0.2	29036
29	conn	- 0	26792					24	harn	-0 3	10980
20	1 .	0.	. 20792					21	2 ·	0.5	00000
30	brrı	-0.	.26889					25	dein	-0.3	31697
31	chst	-0.	. 32296					26	sthe	-0.3	31861
22	mativ	0	27550					27	ahna	0 7	22022
54	park	-0	. 57555					21	ciniq	-0.1	55025
33	lisd	- 0	. 39553					28	poto	-0.3	34187
34	niby	- 0	40455					29	niby	-0 -	34290
25		0	42200					2.0	II J ~ J	0.5	06407
35	chop	-0.	. 43399					30	nssn	-0.2	36487
36	nwri	-0.	. 44046					31	brri	-0.3	39363
27	indn	- 0	11110					22	aard	0 /	10671
57	Indii	-0.	. 44140					52	yaru	-0.4	10011
38	grts	-0.	.47018					33	narr	-0.4	12257
39	dein	-0	.47036					34	winv	-0.4	14935
4.0		0	10050					2 5	hore	0	17020
40	Casa	-0.	.40050					22	boge	-0.4	1/832
41	john	-1.	.01149					36	sava	-0.4	19758
								37	alta	-0 5	51074
								57	uicu	0.5	1074
OBS	ESTY	FA	ACTOR2					38	buzz	-0.5	54269
1	lisd	5	38919					39	cofr	-0.5	58568
2	debu	0.	13401					10	00000	0.6	50469
2	deby	Ζ.	. 13401					40	OSSa	-0.0	0409
3	buzz	0.	. 92099					41	mycb	-1.4	19931
4	narr	0	52623								
5	hude	0.	20050					000	ECON		
C	nuas	0.	29930					OBS	ES1Y	FAC	.10R4
6	brri	0.	. 29535					1	conn	4.8	34227
7	casa	0	25492					2	mych	1 9	18039
6	cubu	0.	00004					2	INY CD	1.0	
8	gard	0.	. 22824					3	winy	1.3	6424
9	ansi	0	13281					4	alta	1 0	)8173
10	1	0	11050					-	-1	0.0	7520
10	mycb	0.	.11058					D	char	0.6	17539
11	patx	0.	.02540					6	cpfr	0.6	7065
12	noto	0	00887					7	alrom	0 5	7792
12	poro	0.						,	arpin	0.5	11152
13	conn	-0.	.06740					8	sava	0.3	8111
14	rapp	-0.	07889					9	john	0.3	5329
1.5	abar	· · ·	09910					10		0.0	7610
15	cnar	-0.	08810					TO	nssn	0.2	.1010
16	tang	-0.	14367					11	lisd	0.2	0045
17	chop	0	16039					12	neuro	0.0	17247
1/	Chop	-0.	10000					12	neus	0.0	141
18	john	-0.	17078					13	jams	-0.0	00112
19	vork	- 0	17655					14	ossa	-0.0	4076
20	athe	0.	10111					1.5	1	0.0	6577
20	stne	-0.	10111					15	paml	-0.0	1100/1
21	ossa	-0.	19596					16	indn	-0.0	17969
22	Saus	- 0	19837					17	hisc	-0 1	1536
22	Java	-0.	19057					1/	DISC	-0.1	1000
23	jams	-0.	20574					18	huds	-0.1	2456
2.4	chst	-0	24006					19	chst	-0.1	7209
25	ahaa	0	25007					20	not-	0.1	OOFE
25	cnes	-0.	23991					20	poto	-0.1	CCUE
26	winy	-0.	27990					21	barn	-0.1	9640
27	cofr	-0	28996					22	sthe	_0 2	4689
21	CDII	-0.	20000					22	LUIC	-0.2	2005
28	nssn	-0.	34308					23	poge	-0.2	JZ10

## Appendix 1, continued

24	york	-0.27863	
25	narr	-0.28780	
26	ches	-0.30083	
27	rapp	-0.30814	
28	buzz	-0.34954	
29	deby	-0.45793	
30	njby	-0.48615	
31	gard	-0.51203	
32	patx	-0.53550	
33	chnq	-0.58755	
34	tang	-0.60182	
35	arts	-0.63976	
36	brri	~0.70121	
37	nwri	-0.75495	
38	ansi	-0.79705	
39	dein	-0.83481	
40	chon	-1 01498	
41	casa	-1 44207	
41	casa	1.44207	
ORC	FOTV	FACTORS	
1	indn	2 00791	
1	hice	2 66041	
2	bisc	2.00941	
2	boge	1.45762	
4	grus	1.39130	
5	Darn	1.14172	
0	alph	0.00009	
/	lisa	0.84528	and a think which provide and the provider later.
8	njby	0.83786	
9	cnnq	0.76175	
10	gard	0.73929	
11	narr	0.55556	
12	aeby	0.55198	
13	buzz	0.31737	
14	alta	0.30057	
15	cnes	0.17236	
16	stne	0.16974	
1/	dein	0.06744	
18	mycb	-0.06363	
19	sava	-0.08824	
20	paml	-0.15252	
21	neus	-0.16775	
22	cptr	-0.32772	
23	char	-0.36077	
24	winy	-0.44782	
25	john	-0.50278	
26	poto	-0.50371	
27	ossa	-0.52155	
28	conn	-0.52955	
29	jams	-0.55024	
30	tang	-0.61752	
31	ansi	-0.66229	
32	brri	-0.72229	
33	york	-0.75389	
34	chst	-0.76095	
35	nssn	-0.90099	
36	nwri	-0.91296	
37	rapp	-0.94449	
38	huds	-1.08282	
39	chop	-1.15888	
40	casa	-1.46924	
41	patx	-1.65343	

responded in protocol resolution and to the southing of an interaction of the southing southing of the southing of the southing of the southing parametry builds a south of your southing of the southing and be southing of the southing of t

ingen de la section, betrat de recorde internante de la section de la se

Research of the restance of the second state being and years with the second second second second second second second second terms is any second second second second second second second second restance of the second second second second second second second restance of the second second

## CHAPTER 2- Assemblages of U.S. East Coast Inlets Based on Physical and Hydrodynamic Characteristics

## Introduction

Inlet dimensions heavily impact estuarine hydrodynamic regimes and estuarine/marine exchanges. Therefore an understanding of the influence of inlets is crucial to developing an understanding of estuaries abiotically and biotically. Traditionally, inlet studies have focused on evaluating the relationships between inlet dimensions and hydrodynamic variables (e.g., cross sectional area, depth, width, current speed, etc.) (Jarrett 1976, O'Brien 1931, Vincent and Corson 1980a). The impetus for these studies has been to improve the information available to assess potential impacts of channellization and inlet stabilization projects, since modifying inlet dimensions can radically alter estuarine hydrodynamic regimes to the detriment of established ecosystems (e.g., Great South Bay's inlet modification driven oyster industry demise (Schubel et al. 1991)). The Strategic Environmental Assessments Division (SEAD) Inlet Analysis presented in this chapter carries out a similar analysis on U.S. East Coast Inlets from Buzzards Bay, Massachusetts to Biscayne Bay, Florida. The objectives of this U.S. East Coast Inlet Analysis are to: 1) identify the most important physical and hydrodynamic inlet relationships, 2) use these important physical and hydrodynamic relationships' variables to group similar inlets, 3) develop inlet information to assess fisheries species inlet usage regionally.

The purpose of this chapter is to document analytical techniques and to disseminate results of the second of four analyses to define coupling of estuarine and marine environments. This chapter supports an ongoing cooperative study between the University of Virginia and the SEA Division entitled the Analysis of East Coast Inlet, Estuarine, and Climate Characteristics and Their Impact on the Exchange of Organisms through Inlets Study. The impetus for this work stems from NOAA's Strategic Environmental Assessments Division's ongoing estuarine characterization efforts in support of improved management of the nation's estuarine resources and inter-estuarine research. Also, the analysis stems from previous success in its application to U.S. inlets in identifying inlet types based on geomorphology and hydrodynamics (Vincent and Corson 1980b.).

## Methods

*Variable Selection.* Variables included in this analysis were based on the following. A major assumption of this analysis was that inlet geomorphology and hydro-

dynamics are intrinsically linked. The patterns of these dynamic linkages makes identification of similar inlets possible. Therefore, variables directly affected by these dynamic linkages will be (with analysis) the most useful in identifying patterns of interactions, and provide the basis for identifying similar inlets.

Inlet Selection. The selection of estuarine-inlets to include in this Analysis was based on the following. Inlets of National Estuarine Inventory (NEI) (NOAA 1985) estuaries were selected to take advantage of their previously compiled physical and hydrodynamic data summaries. Inlet information was compiled for 41 estuaries from Buzzards Bay, Massachusetts to Biscayne Bay, Florida. This range of estuaries was selected to make this inlet analysis comparable to the previously completed estuaries analysis in chapter 1 and Estuarine Living Marine Resources (ELMR) Program's estuarine fisheries abundance data set.

Individual-Inlet Analysis. This Individual-Inlet analysis evaluates inlets independent of their estuarine associations. The rationale for this Individual-Inlet Analysis is that some fisheries species (e.g., red drum, blue crab, American eel, striped bass, Atlantic salmon, etc.) rely on specific hydrodynamic regimes to trigger spawning, stimulate upstream migration, facilitate egg/ larvae entrainment, etc. Since these inlet sensitive species rely on specific inlet hydrodynamic regimes, some inlets are more important to a particular species than others. This Individual-Inlet Analysis will be used in combination with ELMR's Life History Tables (currently under development) and an analysis of ELMR's fisheries abundance data set to evaluate "inlet hydrodynamic regime/species utilization patterns" for U.S. East Coast Inlets. As a result, assessments of inlet utility to inlet sensitive species will be evaluated from a regional perspective instead of the current ad hoc provincial assessments. The individual inlets, individual inlet variables, and individual inlet data matrix are presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

*Estuarine-Inlet Analysis.* This part of the inlet analysis will be used to evaluate inlet/estuarine linkages. Therefore, to make this inlet analysis comparable to the previously completed Estuaries Analysis in chapter 1 and yet to be completed ELMR Fisheries Abundance Analysis, composite inlet variable values for estuaries with multiple inlets (Table 4) were developed by the use of sums and weighted averages (Table 5). As a result, the Estuarine-Inlet Matrix (Table 6) contains composite inlet information for estuaries with

multiple inlets, and non-composite inlet information for estuaries with single inlets. The estuarine-inlets, estuarine-inlets variables, and estuarine-inlets data matricies are presented in Tables 4, 5, and 6.

Statistical Protocol. The same statistical protocol was applied to the individual-inlet data matrix (Table 3) and estuarine-inlet data matrix (Table 6). Systat version 5.2.1 was used to carry out truncated PCA and Factor Score manipulations (Systat 1992). Systat's Factor procedure's Principal Component procedure with correlation option, varimax option, and Num Factor instruction invoked were used to carry out the PCAs'. Component number selection was based on the following: 1) component eigenvalues account for >75% of the variance in the matrix, and 2) individual component eigenvalues >1.0 and account for >8% of the variance in the matrix. Based on the above criteria, truncated PCAs were selected. The criterion for assigning variables to membership in the resulting components follows: variables were assigned membership in a component if the variable's rotated loading was ≥0.5. Systat's *Principal Component's save file* option with the scores option invoked was used to retrieve the PCA run's factor scores. These factor scores indicate an individual estuary's strength of association with the PCA generated components. These factor scores provided the basis for evaluating similarity/dissimilarity.

These factor scores were subjected to cluster analysis as follows. Systat Incorporated's Systat version 5.2.1 Cluster procedure (with Join, Pearson, and Average Linkage options invoked) was used to carry out cluster analysis and produce dendrograms (Systat 1992). The dendrogram grouped inlets with similar factor score patterns into clusters. Therefore, the dendrogram grouped the inlets into clusters based on degree of similarity. The criterion for selecting the number of clusters to consider was based on the following. In order to maintain continuity with the previously completed Estuaries Analysis in chapter 1, the dendrogram's branching was cut to provide the number of clusters equal to the number of clusters selected in the Estuaries Analysis. Given the selection of number of clusters to consider is somewhat subjective, the selection of 5 clusters facilates our cross analyses comparisons (yet to be completed). However, the decision to cut the dendrograms into 5 branches is preliminary and other cuts may prove more useful with further analysis. The inlets within these clusters were assigned to inlet groups according to their membership in a common cluster. This identifies inlets with similar characteristics based on the variables/inlet matrix.

Test runs (PCA and factor score clustering) on Tables

3, Table 6 and their sub-matrices were used to determine the most important variables and final matrix structures (i.e., matrices on which the inlets would be clustered) for the Individual-Inlet Analysis and Estuarine-Inlet Analysis. Variables that did not generate strong PCA rotated loadings ( $\geq$ 0.5) and/or caused the test runs to yield egregiously spurious factor score

Inlet	Inlet	Estuary
Abbrev.	Name	Affiliation
buzz	mouth of Buzzards Bay	Buzzards Bay
buzząkhi	Quicks Hole	
narrnpbp	Narrows Point-Brenton Point	Narragansett Bay
narrspbp	Sachuest Point-Breakwater Point	
gardnogi	north mouth of Gardiners Bay	Gardiners Bay
gardsogi	south mouth of Gardiners Bay	
lisoprp	Orient Point - Race Point	Long Island Sound
lisepnp	East Point - Napatree Point	
conn	Connecticut River entrance	Connecticut River
gsberoc	East Rockaway Inlet	Great South Bay
gsbjone	Jones Inlet	
gsbfris	Fire Island Inlet	
huds	Hudson River entrance	Hudson River
njinbinj	Barnaget Inlet (jetty)	Barnegat Bay
njiniteg	Little Eag Inlet	
njinbrig	Brigatine Inlet	New Jersey Inland Bays
njinabsj	Absecon Inlet (jetty)	
njinarea	Great Egg Inlet	
niincors	Corsons Inlet	
niintown	Towsends Inlet	
niinhere	Hereford Inlet	
niincomi	Cape May Inlet (jetty)	
dela	mouth of Delaware Bay	Delaware Bay
delainri	Indian Biver Inlet (jetty)	Delaware Inland Bays
chinsine	mouth of Sinaouvent Bay	Chincoteague Bay
chin	Chincoteague Inlet	Chinobleugue Duy
ches	mouth of Chesaneake Bay	Chesaneake Bay
naxt	mouth of Paturent River	Patuyent River
poto	mouth of Potomac River	Potomac Biver
rann	mouth of Bappabappok River	Rappabappok Biver
vork	mouth of York River	Vork Piwor
jon	mouth of James River	
chet	mouth of Chostor River	Chostor Piwor
chon	mouth of Choptopk Biver	Chester River
tack	mouth of Tangios/Recomption Sound	Tangior/Pocomoko Sound
lalmaoran	mouth of Tangler/Pocomoke Sound	Tangle/Focomoke Sound
almabatt		Albamane/Familico Sound
almanatt		
almadum	Ocracoke Inlet	
annaurunn	Druth of Pagilico/Purgo Biver	Demlies/Durses Diver
pannippgi	Though of Partico/Fuligo River	Pamico/Pungo River
hearthard	mouth of Neuse River	Neuse River
bogubaru	Barden miet	Bogue Sound
bogubeau	Beautord Inlet	
bogu	Bogue Inlet	No. Di co
newnni	New River Inlet	New River
capecpri	mouth of Cape Fear River	Cape Fear River
winy	mouth of Winyah Bay	Winyah Bay
santnsan	mouth of North Santee River	N/S Santee River
sanissan	Chadastas Listas (Street)	Chadaate
charchhj	Charleston Harbor (jetty)	Charleston Harbor
nerestni	mouth of St. Helena Sound	St. Helena Sound
neietrin	Fripp Inlet	Decid St
broaprse	mouth of Port Royal Sound	Broad River
sava	mouth of Savannah River	Savannah River
ossa	mouth of Ossabaw Sound	Ossabaw Sound
cathdymo	mouth of Doboy Sound	St. Catherine/Sapelo Sound
cathspmo	mouth of Sapelo Sound	
cathctmo	mouth of St. Catherine Sound	
alta	mouth of Altamaha River	Altamaha River
andranso	mouth of St. Andrew Sound	St. Andrew/St. Simon Sound
andrsimo	mouth of St. Simon Sound	
marvmvit	mouth of St. Mary's River (jetty)	St. Mary's River
johnjjet	mouth of St. John's River (jetty)	St. John's River
indifpjt	Fort Pierce Inlet (jetty)	Indian River
indisebj	Sebastian Inlet (jetty)	
biscmikb	Miami Beach - Key Biscayne	Biscayne Bay
biscskkb	Soldier Key - Key Biscayne	
biscsksk	Sand Key - Soldier Key	
biscbacr	Broad/Angelfish Creek	

Table 1. The following 69 individual inlets included in the Individual-Inlet Analysis.

clusterings (e.g., Long Island Sound and Chincoteaque Bay grouping together, Chesapeake Bay and Connecticut River grouping together, etc.) were not included in the final matrix. Also, variables that appeared to duplicate each other (i.e., PCA rotated loadings within .01 of each other in the same component) where tested to determine if they were interchangeable within the matrix. That is a matrix containing one or the other variable yields similar PCA and factor score clusterings. If the variables were interchangeable then one was not included in the final matrix.

### Results

*Important Variables Identified.* Test runs on Tables 3, Table 6 and their sub-matrices yielded concurrent re

Table 2. Individual inlet variables included in the Individual-Inlet Analysis.

Variables	Methods Used to Develop Variables
width	This parameter is based upon the minimum width of the NEI cross section. In cases where there is a jetty, the width was determined at the terminus of the jetties or shorter jetty if one side was longer than the other. In areas where a tidal flat was exposed at the inlet mouth, a measurement was taken half way between the water line and the shoreline in order to account for the width at mid-tide level.
average depth	This parameter was taken by applying a dot grid approach along the cross section. The depths were averaged and converted from lower low water to mid-tide level depths by adding to the average depth the mean tide level recorded in NOAA's Tide Tables, High and Low Predictions.
maximum depth	This is the maximum depth found in between the borders of the thalweg. The depth only incorporates the natural channel and not random holes on the inlet bottom. The depth parameter was adjusted to reflect mid-tide depths.
cross sectional area	This was derived from multiplying the inlet width by the average depth.
distance to the continental shelf	This was measured on 1:1,200,000 scale NOS nautical charts that give depths in fathoms. The 100 (approx. 200m) bathymetric contour line was used to represent the continental shelf break. The measurements for distance were taken from the middle of the inlet cross section and followed out to the closest point of the shelf, regardless of angle.
mean tide level	This was acquired from NOAA's Tide Tables 1992, High and Low Predictions, East Coast of North and South America. The tidal range measurement closest to the NEI or jettied cross sections were recorded in the matrix and the location was marked on a nautical chart.
spring tide level	same as above
flood current speed	This is obtained from NOAA's Tidal Current Table 1992, Atlantic Coast of North America. The measurements closest to both the NEI or jettied cross sections were recorded in the matrix and the location was marked on the nautical charts. For those that do not have gages, the speed was interpolated from the closest inlet with same physical characteristics (especially depth).
ebb current speed	same as above
flood tidal excursion	This was calculated from the equation: fte = 3.95 * flood current speed
ebb tidal excursion	This was calculated from the equation: ete = $3.95$ * ebb current speed
flood volume	This was calculated from the equation: flood current speed * cross sectional area
ebb volume	This was calculated from the equation: ebb current speed * cross sectional area

sults. Matrices that excluded any of the 5 important variables (listed in Table 7) produced egregiously spurious factor score clusterings of the inlets. Inclusion of tidal prism volume (estuarine-inlet matrices only), flood volume, ebb volume, flood excursion, ebb excursion, and max depth to matrices containing the 5 important variables did not alter the PCA's identification of a recurring component pattern (Table 7). Max depth, flood volume, and ebb volume usually associated (strongly) with the first component (Table 7). Flood and ebb excursions usually associated (strongly) with the second component (Table 7). Flood and ebb excursions were found to be interchangeable with flood and ebb current speed. Inclusion of average tidal height, spring tidal height, and distance to shelf caused egregiously spurious factor score clusterings of the inlets, and these variables didn't associate strongly with any component (based on their low rotated loadings). Conversely, the variables listed in Table 7 were the most important variables tested (for both the individual-inlet test runs and estuarine-inlet test runs) based on their consistently high rotated loadings (> 0.8), their consistent presence in the significant components (i.e., eigenvalues >1 and >8% variance), and their required presence to maintain the recurrent component pattern. As a result, the following variables were excluded from further consideration and removed from the individualinlet matrix and estuarine-inlet matrix: tidal height, spring tidal height, distance to shelf, max depth, flood volume, ebb volume, flood excursion, ebb excursion, tidal prism volume. The following important variables remained in the individual-inlet's (final) data matrix (Table 8) and estuarine-inlet's (final) data matrix (Table 9): cross sectional area, depth, width, flood current speed, ebb current speed. These final data matrices were evaluated further using PCA and factor score clustering protocols.

Improving Robustness of Analysis. The PCA and factor score clusterings of the individual-inlet (final) data matrix (Table 8) and estuarine-inlet (final) data matrix (Table 9) produced a minor mis-alignment of the inlets. To be specific, Long Island Sound grouped with the Chesapeake Bay Sub-estuaries. This groupment was deemed to be unrealistic given disparities in cross section and current speed within this group. After some thought, it was realized that clustering factor scores from a 3 component PCA was not very robust analytically (i.e., clustering 3 x 41 and 3 x 69 matrices). Conversely, clustering the variables of Table 8 and Table 9 would produce substainally more robust analyses (i.e., clustering 5 x 41 and 5 x 69). Therefore, Table 8 and Table 9's variables were: standardized (using Systat 5.2.1 data module) to compensate for the disparate units, and the resulting standardized data Join Clusteredusing Euclidean Distance and Average Linkage.

The resulting dendrograms are presented in Figure 1 and Figure 2.

Individual-Inlet Dendrogram Results. The Individual-Inlet cluster analysis (Figure 1) identified five groups of inlets. Long Island Sound's-Orient Point - Race Point Inlet is its own group based on its large size (cross sectionally) and swift currents. This combination is opposite the trend in the other inlets which is characterized by slower currents speeds associated with larger cross sectional areas and vice versa. The Delaware Bay, Potomac River, and Chesapeake Bay Inlets make up a slow (current speeds) and large (cross section) group. Indian River's-Sebastian Inlet (jetty), and New Jersey Inland Bay's-Absecon Inlet (jetty) make an exceptional fast (current speeds) group. Buzzards Bay's-mouth of Buzzards Bay, Narragansett Bay's-Narrows Point to Breton Point, Narragansett Bay's-Sachuest Point to Breakwater Point, Gardiners Bay's-south mouth of Gardiners Bay, and Paxtuent River Inlets make up another moderately sized (cross sectional area) / slow (current speed) group. The other 58 inlets fall into a small to moderately sized (cross section) group (group 5). One subsection of group 5 consist of moderately sized and slow inlets: New Jersey Inland Bay's-Corson's Inlet, Chester River, Choptank River, Rappahanock River, Pamilico/Pungo River, Biscayne Bay's-Solder Key to Biscayne Key, Biscayne Bay's-Sand Key to Solder Key, and Neuse River Inlets. A second subsection of group 5 consist of moderately large / swift inlets: Savannah River, St. Helena Sound's-mouth of St. Helena Sound, Hudson River, Gardiners Bay's-north mouth of Gardiners Bay. The remaining 46 inlets fall into a moderate to small group.

Estuarine-Inlet Cluster Analysis Results. The Estuarine-Inlet cluster analysis identified five groups. Long Island Sound is its own group, which makes sense since it is so large and swift. This break out also occurred in the Individual Inlet dendrogram (Figure 1). Biscayne Bay is also its own group, which makes sense since it is so wide, shallow, and slow. Narragansett Bay, Buzzards Bay, Delaware Bay, Gardiners Bay, Potomac River, Chesapeake Bay make up a "slow current speed and large cross sectional area" group. The members of this group showed a similar grouping in Figure 1. Neuse, Pamilico, Rappahannock, Choptank, Chester, Connecticut, Altama, Tangier, York, and Patuxent Rivers make up a "small cross sectional area and slow to moderate current speed" group. A similar groupment was seen in Figure 1. The rest of the inlets (23) fell within a swift current speed group.

## Table 3. Individual inlet data matrix for variables tested in Individual-Inlet Analysis.

inlet	width	avdep	mxdep	crsec	dshif	atide	sptid	fcurr	ecurr	flexc	ebexc	TVOI	evol
buzz	10424	14.9	21.3	155051.5	149850	1.04	1.28	0.31	0.26	2028.12	1701.01	48065.95187	40313.37899
buzząkhi	1289	6.6	14.5	8449.1	150000	0.76	0.94	0.98	1.03	6411.49	6738.61	8280.085633	8702.538982
narrnpbp	7041	19.4	33.1	136595.4	150000	1.07	1.31	0.21	0.31	1373.89	2028.13	28685.034	42344.574
narrspbp	3859	15.1	16.3	58270.9	150000	0.94	1.19	0.21	0.21	1373.89	1373.89	12236.889	12236.889
gardnogi	9354	12.9	18.0	120605.5	144000	0.79	0.94	0.62	0.93	4056.25	6084.37	74775.42165	112163.1325
gardsogi	10250	14.6	21.3	149967.8	137000	0.64	0.79	0.15	0.15	981.35	981.35	22495.17049	22495.17049
liseono	3383	29.0	61.9	31246 1	150000	0.07	0.79	0.98	1.00	6411.49	7302 84	30621 15785	35308 06977
conn	1399	2.7	7.9	3777.3	165000	0.98	1.16	0.46	0.36	3009.48	2355.24	1737.558	1359.828
gsberoc	741	3.4	5.5	2483.3	176000	1.25	1.52	1.13	1.18	7392.84	7719.96	2806.127033	2930.291946
gsbjone	640	3.5	10.4	2240.3	167000	1.10	1.31	1.60	1.34	10467.74	8766.73	3584.448	3001.9752
gsbfris	878	5.1	8.7	4476.9	154000	0.79	0.94	1.24	1.24	8112.50	8112.50	5551.358976	5551.358976
huds	9135	8.4	14.1	76289.9	189000	1.43	1.71	0.82	0.88	5364.72	5757.26	62557.74472	67135.14067
njinbinj	347	2.9	3.5	1007.7	141000	0.94	1.16	1.13	1.29	7392.84	8439.62	1138.665744	1299.892752
njiniteg	3204	3.0	7.0	1/38 1	132000	1.13	1.37	0.98	1.08	6204 22	6738.61	12181.40305	1481 282221
niinabsi	662	5.5	9.8	3632.1	134000	1.19	1.43	2.10	2.49	13738.91	16290.42	7627.488229	9044.021757
njingreg	1463	5.7	11.5	8383.6	139000	1.16	1.40	1.03	1.08	6730.76	7065.72	8625.017155	9054.255956
njincors	777	0.6	7.3	497.4	139000	1.19	1.43	0.05	0.10	336.54	673.08	25.58798438	51.17596877
njintown	274	3.2	4.3	877.8	139000	1.16	1.40	0.87	0.93	5721.14	6057.68	767.5835648	812.7355392
njinhere	892	2.3	4.9	2050.5	122000	1.25	1.52	0.82	0.87	5384.61	5691.83	1687.678088	1783.97154
njincpmj	251	6.9	10.1	1731.9	144000	1.34	1.62	0.93	1.13	6084.37	7392.84	1610.667	1957.047
dela	18197	12.6	30.8	685.8	137000	0.82	0.08	0.72	0.67	4/10.48	4383.37	164525.784	754 28
chinsine	247	3.0	4.7	741.0	109000	1.00	1.30	0.87	0.93	5721.14	6057.68	647,98968	686,10672
chin	4695	3.4	8.9	16099.2	93000	0.67	0.79	0.82	0.87	5384.61	5721.14	13250.24853	14078.38906
ches	17730	7.8	15.7	137806.3	120000	0.85	1.04	0.41	0.62	2682.36	4056.25	56500.59564	85439.92512
paxt	1509	13.1	17.6	19767.9	269900	0.37	0.43	0.21	0.21	1373.89	1373.89	4151.259	4151.259
poto	17775	9.1	12.8	161752.5	231000	0.37	0.43	0.36	0.26	2355.24	1701.01	58230.9	42055.65
rapp	6096	5.5	10.0	33528.0	188450	0.37	0.43	0.36	0.26	2355.24	1701.01	12070.08	8717.28
уогк	3683	9.1	20.8	33515.3	147750	0.67	0.79	0.52	0.46	5037.60	5037 60	25806 781	25806 781
chst	1196	5.8	9.7	6936.8	351250	0.34	0.40	0.21	0.21	1373.89	1373.89	1456.728	1456.728
chop	1322	6.2	16.7	8196.4	297600	0.40	0.46	0.31	0.26	2028.12	1701.01	2540.884	2131.064
tgpk	4617	6.5	24.5	30010.5	206950	0.49	0.58	0.57	0.57	3729.13	3729.13	17105.985	17105.985
almaorgn	1582	2.9	4.0	4587.5	59000	0.58	0.70	1.10	0.62	7196.57	4056.25	5046.29928	2844.277776
almahatt	880	1.5	2.8	1319.5	47000	0.61	0.73	1.10	1.00	7196.57	6542.34	1451.42712	1319.4792
almaocrk	2057	2.7	14.0	754.4	59000 64000	0.58	0.70	0.88	1.20	5/5/.26	7850.81	4966.596518	6/72.631616
parmropor	6309	4.5	7.0	28390.5	99700	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.15	673.08	1009.61	2920.81464	4381.22196
neunuri	10058	4.4	7.6	44255.2	110800	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.15	673.08	1009.61	4552.974976	6829.462464
bogubard	777	1.7	3.0	1303.0	65000	1.13	1.34	0.98	1.03	6411.49	6738.61	1276.905833	1342.05409
bogubeau	1054	7.7	14.2	8098.1	80000	0.98	1.16	0.62	0.88	4056.25	5757.26	5020.797638	7126.293422
bogu	1554	1.7	3.1	2642.6	106000	0.67	0.79	0.51	0.57	3336.59	3701.92	1347.73416	1495.297837
newnrit	1006	1.9	3.5	1901.0	111000	0.91	1.10	0.51	1.13	3336.59	7403.83	969.529176	2151.366231
winy	1975	3.4	10.0	6742 5	93000	1.51	1.49	0.98	1.50	6411.49	9813.51 6542.34	6607 68041	6742 531031
santnsan	572	3.4	5.3	1968.4	90000	1.37	1.62	0.77	0.93	5037.60	6084.37	1515.655033	1830.596339
santssan	562	1.9	2.8	1045.6	89000	1.25	1.46	0.77	0.82	5037.60	5364.72	805.094493	857.3733562
charchhj	914	7.8	13.8	7135.0	103000	1.51	1.80	0.93	0.93	6084.37	6084.37	6635.506729	6635.506729
helesthi	12349	4.7	11.0	57588.7	121000	1.89	2.23	0.77	0.82	5037.60	5364.72	44343.29129	47222.72579
helefrin	1381	5.9	8.3	8206.6	117000	1.92	2.23	0.62	0.62	4056.25	4056.25	5088.085824	5088.085824
broaprse	4206	10.5	17.1	44163.0	126000	2.01	2.23	0.93	0.93	6084.37	6084.37	410/1.59	410/1.59
OSSa	5395	4.8	7.5	25817.0	137000	2.07	2.50	0.82	1.18	5364 72	7719.96	21169 9541	30464 0803
cathdymo	2016	6.4	13.9	12844.2	137000	2.10	2.44	0.82	0.93	5364.72	6084.37	10532.21976	11945.07851
cathspmo	4065	5.8	13.6	23414.5	137000	2.10	2.47	0.88	1.13	5757.26	7392.84	20604.75342	26458.37655
cathctmo	2652	8.2	14.9	21663.2	141000	2.16	2.53	0.93	0.88	6084.37	5757.26	20146.80695	19063.64529
alta	3667	2.3	6.0	8493.9	134000	2.04	2.38	0.57	0.62	3729.13	4056.25	4841.54531	5266.242267
andranso	4398	6.6	16.9	28822.7	134000	2.01	2.35	1.10	1.13	7196.57	7392.84	31704.97401	32569.65512
andrsimo	1911	9.0	12.4	1/242.1	135000	2.01	2.38	1.60	1.13	10467.74	7392.84	27587.2976	19483.52893
iobniiot	471	12.0	14.7	6530.9	117000	1.48	1.74	0.97	1.40	6346.07	7785 38	6224 025011	7771 712221
indifoit	206	6.0	8.7	1236.0	40000	0.79	0.95	1.34	1.59	8766.73	10402.32	1656.24	1965.24
indisebj	180	1.9	4.0	342.0	55000	0.64	0.76	2.11	2.78	13804.33	18187.70	721.62	950.76
biscmikb	4171	0.8	12.3	3178.7	6800	0.66	0.87	0.62	0.46	4038.45	3028.84	1962.134056	1471.600542
biscskkb	8230	2.1	5.4	17558.7	10200	0.58	0.70	0.10	0.15	673.08	1009.61	1806.436439	2709.654658
biscsksk	8120	1.5	4.4	12127.2	10800	0.49	0.58	0.10	0.15	673.08	1009.61	1247.645434	1871.468151
biscbacr	2414	1.1	5.1	2722.4	15600	0.37	0.43	0.62	0.93	4056.25	6084.37	1687.907024	2531.860536

Table 4. The following 41 estuarine-inlets included in the Estuarine-Inlet Analysis. "Composite Inlets" denotes estuaries with multiple inlets. "Non-Composite Inlet" denotes estuaries with single inlet.

Esty-Inlet Abbrev.	Composite Inlet or Non-Composite Inlet	Estuary			
buzz	Composite Inlet	Buzzards Bay			
narr	Composite Inlet	Narragansett Bay			
gard	jard Composite Inlet Gardiners Ba				
lisd	Composite Inlet	Long Island Sound			
conn	Non-composite Inlet	Connecticut River			
grts	Composite Inlet	Great South Bay			
huds	Non-composite Inlet	Hudson River			
barn	Composite Inlet	Barnegat Bay			
njby	Composite Inlet	New Jersey Inland Bays			
deby	Non-composite Inlet	Delaware Bay			
dein	Non-composite Inlet	Delaware Inland Bays			
chng	Composite Inlet	Chincoteague Bay			
ches	Non-composite Inlet	Chesapeake Bay			
patx	Non-composite Inlet	Patuxent River			
poto	Non-composite Inlet	Potomac River			
rapp	Non-composite Inlet	Rappahannok River			
vork	Non-composite Inlet	York River			
jams	Non-composite Inlet	James River			
chst	Non-composite Inlet Chester Rive				
chop	Non-composite Inlet	Choptank River			
tang	Non-composite Inlet Tangier/Pocomoke				
alpm	Composite Inlett	Albamarle/Pamlico Sound			
paml	Non-composite Inlet	Pamlico/Pungo River			
neus	Non-composite Inlet	Neuse River			
boge	Composite Inlet	Bogue Sound			
nwri	Non-composite Inlet	New River			
cpfr	Non-composite Inlet	Cape Fear River			
winy	Non-composite Inlet	WInyah Bay			
nssn	Composite Inlet	N/S Santee River			
char	Non-composite Inlet	Charleston Harbor			
sthe	Composite Inlet	St. Helena Sound			
brri	Non-composite Inlet	Broad River			
sava	Non-composite Inlet	Savannah River			
ossa	Non-composite Inlet	Ossabaw Sound			
casa	Composite Inlet	St. Catherine/Sapelo Sound			
alta	Non-composite Inlet	Altamaha River			
ansi	Composite Inlet	St. Andrew/St. Simon Sound			
mycb	Non-composite Inlet	St. Mary's River			
john	Non-composite Inlet	St. John's River			
indn	Composite Inlet	Indian River			
bisc	Composite Inlet	Biscayne Bay			

#### Discussion

First and foremost, these inlet analyses identify the following variables as being the most important in characterizing the interaction of inlet geomorphology and inlet hydrodynamics: 1) cross sectional area; 2) depth; 3) width; 4) flood current speed; and 5) ebb current speed. The interaction of these variables reasonably define inlet types based primarily on cross sectional area and current speeds, and secondarily on depth and width. This appraisal is based on test runs on both the Individual-Inlet and Estuarine-Inlet data matrices (Table 3, Table 6, and their sub-matrices) which

consistently identified a recurrent PCA component pattern seen in Table 7. Cross sectional area recurrently occurred in the first component with rotated loadings >0.9. Flood current speed and ebb current speed recurrently occurred in the second component with rotated loadings >0.9. Width or depth recurrently occurred in the first component with rotated loadings <0.9, and one or the other occurred in component 3 at a higher rotated load value than it had in component one. These findings agree with those of Vincent and Corson's (1980b) identification of cross section and current speed as the most important variables defining inlets. Estuarine inlets come into existance in three basic ways: 1) primary inlets- sea level intersects the coastal topography and drowns the subaqueous topography in such a way as to create a basin incisied into the coastline (e.g., drowned river valleys, coastal plain estuaries, fjords, large sounds, etc.), 2) secondary inlets- sea level positioning in combination with adequate coastal sedimentary budgets maintain barrier islands, pennisulas,spits, etc. while the subaqueous topography behind these secondary coastal features become lagoons and small sounds, 3) hybrid inlets come into existance when primary inlets are modified by secondary coastal features (e.g., Sandy Hook Pennisula as headland for Raritan Bay, barrier islands as headlands for Almarle/Pamlico Sound). These estuarine inlets are maintained around some dynamic equilibrium by the interaction of their estuary's geomorphology, volumetrics, and sedimentary budgets.

### **Future Work**

Future work includes cross analyses of the U.S. East Coast Inlets Analysis results and the previously completed U.S. East Coast Estuaries Analysis results. This comparison explores if certain estuarine characteristics are statistically related to inlet geometric characteristics, such as width, depth, cross sectional area, tidal current speed, etc. This estuaries/inlet analysis

Table 5. Estuarine-inlet variables included in the Estuarine-Inlet Analysis.

Variables	Methods Used to Develop Variables
width	sum of (individual inlet width ) inlet widths taken from Table 2
average depth	sum of (individual inlet average depth * proration) proration based on: (inlet cross sectional area) / (sum of (estuary's inlets cross sectional area)) inlet average depth taken from Table 2
maximum depth	sum of (individual inlet maximum depth * proration) proration based on: (inlet cross sectional area) / (sum of (estuary's inlets cross sectional area)) inlet average depth taken from Table 2
cross sectional area	sum of (individual inlet cross sectional area) inlet cross sectional area taken from Table 2
distance to the continental shelf	average of distance to the continental shelf distances inlet distance to shelf taken from Table 2
mean tide level	sum of (individual inlet mean tide level)/ number of inlets inlet mean tide level taken from Table 2
spring tide level	sum of (individual inlet spring tide level) / number of inlets inlet spring tide level taken from Table 2
flood current speed	sum of (individual inlet flood current speed * proration) proration based on: (inlet cross sectional area) / (sum of (estuary's inlets cross sectional area)) inlet flood current speed taken from Table 2
ebb current speed	sum of (individual inlet ebb current speed* proration) proration based on: (inlet cross sectional area) / (sum of (estuary's inlets cross sectional area)) inlet ebb current speed taken from Table 2
flood tidal excursion	This was calculated from the equation: fte = 3.95 * flood current speed flood current speed taken from Table 5
ebb tidal excursion	This was calculated from the equation: ete = $3.95 *$ ebb current speed ebb current speed taken from Table 5
flood volume	This was calculated from the equation: flood current speed * cross sectional area flood current speed & cross sectional area taken from Table 5
ebb volume	This was calculated from the equation: ebb current speed * cross sectional area ebb current speed & cross sectional area taken from Table 5
tidal prism volume	Taken directly from NEI.



Figure 1. Individual-inlet dendrogram of standardized data in Table 8.

licd	0.000	DIST.	ANCES	5.0	00	
ichn			+		-	
Joini	+		Custim and I			
шуср		10000				
indn	 +-+					
cpfr	+					
barn		A CONTRACTOR OF THE				
grts	+	DO UNUTRIE	production (added)			
ansi	a di dasasa di d	a Carl manually	Vogeniu neg			
njby		and managed to				
sava		A statement in the state				
sthe		and and the pro-				
casa	+-					
huds	6	the second second				
brri	+					
jame	+					
Jams	+					
Char	+-	12 12 12				
winy	+					
dein	+					
nssn	+-					
boge						
chnq						
alpm						
ossa						
nwri	+-					
neus	Sec.	+				
paml	+-					
rapp	+					
chop	+-					
chst	+					
0000	+	이야 같은 것이				
Collin	+	the last of				
alta	+-					
tang	+					
york	+					
patx						
narr						
buzz	Version I					
deby	+	n hrs Inonham s				
gard	+					
poto	+					
ches	+	and the state of the second				
bisc		+				
DISC						

Figure 2. Estuarine-inlet dendrogram of standardized data in Table 9.

will be compared to an ongoing analysis of the Estuarine Living Marine Resources Program's (ELMR) relative abundance/estuaries matrices. This comparison explores if certain estuarine/inlet characteristics are statistically related to fisheries species abundance patterns. As previously stated (Monaco et al. 1991) indicates that there should be such a relationship.

Specifically, the estuaries/inlet vs. ELMR abundances analysis will explore if specific life history strategies (e.g., egg and larvae entrainment from offshore spawning) and level of utilization are related to estuarine/ inlet dynamics (e.g., inlet size, tidal current speeds, tidal prism volume). These analyses will attempt to determine if certain species assemblages utilize specific estuaries/inlets types more often than other types. Further, it lays the foundation for exploring estuarine/ offshore fisheries abundance linkages on regional scales. If successful, this would provide valuable information concerning fisheries/ecosystem impact projections for proposed inlet modifications.

Fowles and Mallows (1983) "Bk" statistic for comparing hierarchical clusterings and Clafin's (1987) cross component analysis techniques will be used to carry out these cross analysis comparisons. A separate analysis will be carried out to evaluate U.S. East Coast embayments above Cape Cod as they are not comparable geomorphologically nor hydrodynamically with Virginian and Carolinian Province estuaries as previously discussed in the methods section.

Table 0. Louanne-iner data matrix for variables tested in Louanne-iner Analysis	Table 6.	Estuarine-inlet data	a matrix for variables	tested in	<b>Estuarine-Inlet Analys</b>	sis.
---	----------	----------------------	------------------------	-----------	-------------------------------	------

esty	width	avdep	mxdep	crsec	dshlf	atide	sptid	fcurr	ecurr	flexc	ebexc	fvol	evol	tprsm
500	m	m	m	m2	m	m	m	m/s	m/s	m	m	m3/s	m3/s	m3
buzz	11713	14.4	21.0	169192.1	149925	1.02	1.26	0.34	0.30	2254.64	1961.33	58307.49	50722.20	6.80E+08
narr	10900	18.1	28.1	197444.5	150000	1.01	1.25	0.21	0.28	1373.89	1832.49	41463.34	55303.60	4.93E+08
gard	19605	13.9	19.8	271643.0	140500	0.71	0.86	0.36	0.50	2351.96	3255.98	97655.14	135190.65	3.26E+08
lisd	22357	27.9	73.1	624314.6	150000	0.68	0.80	1.66	1.57	10868.75	10302.44	1037169.96	983129.07	3.74E+09
conn	1399	2.7	7.9	3777.3	165000	0.98	1.16	0.46	0.36	3009.48	2355.24	1737.56	1359.83	2.58E+07
grts	1829	4.2	8.0	7700.0	165667	1.02	1.23	1.28	1.24	8491.75	8165.85	9840.31	9548.26	7.48E+07
huds	9135	8.4	14.1	76732.8	189000	1.43	1.71	0.82	0.88	5364.72	5757.26	62920.89	67524.86	7.36E+07
barn	347	4.0	3.1	1389.9	141000	0.94	1.16	1.13	1.29	7392.84	8439.62	1570.57	1792.96	8.55E+07
njby	7949	4.9	8.7	38951.6	135250	1.19	1.43	1.35	1.54	7376.95	7943.77	52694.96	59867.75	7.97E+07
deby	18197	12.6	30.8	229276.7	137000	1.25	1.49	0.72	0.67	4710.48	4383.37	165079.19	153615.36	2.89E+09
dein	152	4.5	5.0	685.8	118000	0.82	0.98	0.93	1.10	6084.37	7196.57	637.79	754.38	1.80E+07
chnq	4942	3.4	8.7	16852.8	101000	0.69	0.81	0.83	0.88	5399.41	5735.95	13908.70	14775.61	4.67E+07
ches	17730	7.8	15.7	137806.3	120000	0.85	1.04	0.41	0.62	2682.36	4056.25	56500.60	85439.93	8.69E+08
patx	1509	13.1	17.6	19767.9	269900	0.37	0.43	0.21	0.21	1373.89	1373.89	4151.26	4151.26	3.00E+07
poto	17775	9.1	12.8	161752.5	231000	0.37	0.43	0.36	0.26	2355.24	1701.01	58230.90	42055.65	3.40E+08
rapp	6096	5.5	10.0	33528.0	188450	0.37	0.43	0.36	0.26	2355.24	1701.01	12070.08	8717.28	1.17E+08
vork	4541	7.9	20.8	35873.9	160700	0.67	0.79	0.52	0.46	3402.02	3009.48	18654.43	16501.99	1.06E+08
iams	3683	9.1	21.5	33515.3	147750	0.76	0.91	0.77	0.77	5037.60	5037.60	25806.78	25806.78	2.83E+08
chst	1196	5.8	9.7	6936.8	351250	0.34	0.40	0.21	0.21	1373.89	1373.89	1456.73	1456.73	3.31E+07
chop	1322	6.2	16.7	8196.4	297600	0.40	0.46	0.31	0.26	2028.12	1701.01	2540.88	2131.06	7.22E+07
tang	4617	6.5	24.5	30010.5	206950	0.49	0.58	0.57	0.57	3729.13	3729.13	17105.99	17105.99	3.77E+08
alom	5122	2.6	8.4	13199.6	57250	0.59	0.71	0.99	0.92	6468.24	6043.16	13050.13	12192.50	8.21E+08
paml	6309	4.5	7.0	28390.5	99700	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.15	673.08	1009.61	2920.81	4381.22	6.54E+07
neus	10058	44	7.6	44255.2	110800	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.15	673.08	1009.61	4552.97	6829 46	6.85E+07
boge	3386	5.4	10.0	18245.1	83667	0.95	1.12	0.67	0.85	4153.15	5412.44	12274.88	15500.85	1.35E+08
nwri	1006	2.0	3.5	2007.4	111000	0.91	1.10	0.51	1.13	3336.59	7403.83	1023.80	2271.78	1.72E+07
cofr	2114	16	3.8	3286.3	100000	1.31	1.49	1.10	1.50	7196 57	9813 51	3614 95	4929 47	1 00E+08
winy	1975	5.0	10.0	9821.8	93000	1 16	1.34	0.98	1 00	6411 49	6542 34	9625 36	9821 80	8.61E+07
nssn	1134	29	44	3281.8	90000	1.33	1.56	0.77	0.89	5037 60	5834 72	2527 01	2926.87	2.51E+07
char	914	7.8	13.8	7135.0	103000	1.51	1.80	0.93	0.93	6084.37	6084.37	6635.51	6635.51	1.35E+08
sthe	13730	47	10.5	65144.3	119000	1.90	2.23	0.75	0.78	4915 20	5201 51	48646 13	50752 28	3.94E+08
SUIC	10/00	4.7	10.0	00144.0	110000	1.00	2.20	0.70	0.70	4010.20	0201.01	40040.10	00702.20	0.042400
brri	4206	10.5	17.1	44163.0	126000	2.01	2.23	0.93	0.93	6084.37	6084.37	41071.59	41071.59	5.41E+08
sava	9400	5.9	13.1	55870.0	134000	2.07	2.44	0.93	1.60	6084.37	10467.74	51959.13	89392.05	1.75E+08
ossa	5395	4.8	7.5	25817.0	137000	2.13	2.50	0.82	1.18	5364.72	7719.96	21169.95	30464.08	1.76E+08
casa	8733	6.8	14.2	59352.0	138333	2.12	2.49	0.89	0.99	5792.56	6490.97	52550.01	58886.00	4.16E+08
alta	3667	2.3	6.0	8493.9	134000	2.04	2.38	0.57	0.62	3729.13	4056.25	4841.55	5266.24	6.68E+07
ansi	6309	7.5	15.2	47177.0	134000	2.01	2.36	1.29	1.13	8420.97	7392.84	60723.88	53310.00	3.88E+08
mycb	1166	11.9	14.7	13873.7	128000	1.77	2.07	1.13	1.40	7392.84	9159.27	15677.32	19423.23	7.00E+07
iohn	471	13.9	19.8	6530.9	117000	1.48	1.74	0.97	1.19	6346.07	7785.38	6334.93	7771.71	5.32E+07
indn	386	4.7	7.7	1832.6	47500	0.76	0.91	1.51	1.85	9858.53	12089.64	2761.37	3393.65	6.46E+07
bisc	22935	2.3	6.0	52807.7	10850	0.56	0.69	0.26	0.27	1232.49	1578.20	13658.31	14444.95	3.00E+08

Table 7. Recurrent component pattern and important variables found concurrently in the individual-inlet test runs and estuarine-inlet test runs.

1st	component-	cross	sectional	area,	depth	&	width;	depth	or	width	
2nd	component-	flood	current s	peed,	ebb c	urre	ent spe	ed			
3rd	component-	width	or depth								

Table 8. Individual-inlet data (final) matrix on which dendrogram (Figure 1) was based.

Table 9. Estuarine-inlet data (final) matrix on which dendrogram (Figure 2) was based.

inlet	width	avdep	crsec	fcurr	ecurr
buzz	10424	14.9	155051.5	0.31	0.26
buzzqkhl	1289	6.6	8449.1	0.98	1.03
narrnpbp	7041	19.4	136595.4	0.21	0.31
narrspbp	3859	15.1	58270.9	0.21	0.21
gardnogi	9354	12.9	120605.5	0.62	0.93
gardsogi	10250	14.6	149967.8	0.15	0.15
lisoprp	18974	29.0	549983.7	1.70	1.60
lisepnp	3383	9.2	31246.1	0.98	1.13
conn	1399	2.7	3777.3	0.46	0.36
gsberoc	741	3.4	2483.3	1.13	1.18
gsbjone	640	3.5	2240.3	1.60	1.34
gsbfris	878	5.1	4476.9	1.24	1.24
huds	9135	8.4	76289.9	0.82	0.88
njinbinj	347	2.9	1007.7	1.13	1.29
njiniteg	3264	3.6	11840.4	1.03	1.08
njindrig	300	3.9	1438.1	0.98	2.40
njinabsj	1462	5.5	3032.1	1.02	1.09
njingreg	777	0.6	0303.0 107 1	0.05	0.10
njincors	274	0.0	437.4	0.05	0.10
njintown	802	2.2	2050 5	0.07	0.33
njincomi	251	6.0	1731.0	0.02	1 13
dela	18107	12.6	228508.0	0.30	0.67
delainri	152	4.5	685.8	0.93	1 10
chinsing	247	3.0	741.0	0.87	0.93
chin	4695	3.4	16099.2	0.82	0.87
ches	17730	7.8	137806.3	0.41	0.62
navt	1509	13.1	19767 9	0.21	0.21
poto	17775	9.1	161752.5	0.36	0.26
rann	6096	5.5	33528.0	0.36	0.26
vork	4541	7.9	35873.9	0.52	0.46
iame	3683	91	33515.3	0.77	0.77
chst	1196	5.8	6936.8	0.21	0.21
chop	1322	6.2	8196.4	0.31	0.26
tapk	4617	6.5	30010.5	0.57	0.57
almaoron	1582	2.9	4587.5	1.10	0.62
almahatt	880	1.5	1319.5	1.10	1.00
almaocrk	2057	2.7	5643.9	0.88	1.20
almadrum	604	1.3	754.4	0.93	0.57
pamrppgr	6309	4.5	28390.5	0.10	0.15
neunuri	10058	4.4	44255.2	0.10	0.15
bogubard	777	1.7	1303.0	0.98	1.03
bogubeau	1054	7.7	8098.1	0.62	0.88
bogu	1554	1.7	2642.6	0.51	0.57
newnrii	1006	1.9	1901.0	0.51	1.13
capecpfr	2114	1.6	3286.3	1.10	1.50
winy	1975	3.4	6742.5	0.98	1.00
santnsan	572	3.4	1968.4	0.77	0.93
santssan	562	1.9	1045.6	0.77	0.82
charchhj	914	7.8	7135.0	0.93	0.93
helesthi	12349	4.7	57588.7	0.77	0.82
helefrin	1381	5.9	8206.6	0.62	0.62
broaprse	4206	10.5	44163.0	0.93	0.93
sava	9400	5.9	55870.0	0.93	1.00
ossa	5395	4.8	25817.0	0.82	1.10
cathdymo	2016	6.4	12844.2	0.82	0.93
cathspmo	4065	5.8	23414.5	0.03	1.13
cathctmo	2652	0.2	21003.2	0.53	0.00
alta	300/	2.3	28822.7	1 10	1 1 2
andranso	4398	0.0	17242 1	1.60	1 12
andrsimo	1911	9.0	12872 7	1 1 2	1.13
marymyjt	1100	10.0	6520.0	0.07	1.40
jonnjjet	4/1	13.9	1226.0	1 34	1.19
inaitpjt	200	0.0	342.0	2 11	2 78
indisebj	100	0.9	3179 7	0.62	0.46
DISCMIKD	41/1	2.1	17558 7	0.02	0.15
DISCSKKD	8120	1.5	12127.2	0.10	0.15
DISCSKSK	2414	1.5	2722 4	0.62	0.93
Discbacr	2414	1.1	2122.4	0.02	0.00

esty	width	avdep	crsec	fcurr	ecurr
buzz	11713	14.4	169192.1	0.34	0.30
narr	10900	18.1	197444.5	0.21	0.28
gard	19605	13.9	271643.0	0.36	0.50
lisd	22357	27.9	624314.6	1.66	1.57
conn	1399	2.7	3777.3	0.46	0.36
grts	1829	4.2	7700.0	1.28	1.24
huds	9135	8.4	76732.8	0.82	0.88
barn	347	4.0	1389.9	1.13	1.29
njby	7949	4.9	38951.6	1.35	1.54
deby	18197	12.6	229276.7	0.72	0.67
dein	152	4.5	685.8	0.93	1.10
chnq	4942	3.4	16852.8	0.83	0.88
ches	17730	7.8	137806.3	0.41	0.62
patx	1509	13.1	19767.9	0.21	0.21
poto	17775	9.1	161752.5	0.36	0.26
rapp	6096	5.5	33528.0	0.36	0.26
york	4541	7.9	35873.9	0.52	0.46
jams	3683	9.1	33515.3	0.77	0.77
chst	1196	5.8	6936.8	0.21	0.21
chop	1322	6.2	8196.4	0.31	0.26
tang	4617	6.5	30010.5	0.57	0.57
alpm	5122	2.6	13199.6	0.99	0.92
pamł	6309	4.5	28390.5	0.10	0.15
neus	10058	4.4	44255.2	0.10	0.15
boge	3386	5.4	18245.1	0.67	0.85
nwri	1006	2.0	2007.4	0.51	1.13
cpfr	2114	1.6	3286.3	1.10	1.50
winy	1975	5.0	9821.8	0.98	1.00
nssn	1134	2.9	3281.8	0.77	0.89
char	914	7.8	7135.0	0.93	0.93
sthe	13730	4.7	65144.3	0.75	0.78
brri	4206	10.5	44163.0	0.93	0.93
sava	9400	5.9	55870.0	0.93	1.60
ossa	5395	4.8	25817.0	0.82	1.18
casa	8733	6.8	59352.0	0.89	0.99
alta	3667	2.3	8493.9	0.57	0.62
ansi	6309	7.5	47177.0	1.29	1.13
mycb	1166	11.9	13873.7	1.13	1.40
john	471	13.9	6530.9	0.97	1.19
indn	386	4.7	1832.6	1.51	1.85
bisc	22935	2.3	52807.7	0.26	0.27

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Steve Stone, Moe Nelson, John Christensen and Steve Jury for their reviews of this technical report. The authors also thank Arthur Bulger and Bruce Hayden for their statistical consultations.

#### References

Claflin, L.W. 1987. Associations between the phytoplankton and physiochemical regimes of Lake Michigan. p. 97-121. In *Phycology of Large Lakes of the World; Ergebn. Limnologie 25*, (ed. Munawar, M.), E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, D-7000 Stuttgart 1, Germany.

Fowlkes, E.B. and C.L. Mallows. 1983. A method for comparing two hierarchical clusterings. *Jour. Amer. Stat. Assoc.* 78(383):553-568.

Horn, M.N. and L.G. Allen. 1976. Numbers of species and faunal resemblance of marine fishes in California bays and estuaries. *Bull. Calif. Acad. Sci.* 75, 159-170.

Jarrett, J.T. 1976. Tidal Prism-Inlet Area Relationships. GITI Report 3. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va. and U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Lowery, T.A. and M.E. Monaco. 1991 unpublished. Comparison of Mobile Bay and Chesapeake Bay salinity zone delineations based on species occurrences. *Technical Report. (draft)*. Strategic Assessments Branch, NOS/NOAA, Rockville, Maryland

Monaco, M.E., T.A. Lowery, and R.L. Emmett. 1994. Assemblages of U.S. west coast estuaries based on the biogeography and life history characteristics of fishes. *Estuarine Research Federation*, 1991 San Francisco Conference, *Abstracts*.

Monaco, M.E., T.A. Lowery, and R.L. Emmett. 1994. Assemblages of U.S. west coast estuaries based on the distribution of fishes. *Journal of Biogeography* 19, 251-267.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) (1985) *National Estuarine Inventory: data atlas. Volume 1. Physical and hydrologic characteristics.* p. 103. Strategic Assessments Branch, NOS/ NOAA, Rockville, Maryland. O'Brien, M.P. 1931. Estuary tidal Prism related to entrance areas. *Jour. Civil. Engineers.* 1(8):738-739.

Schubel, J.R., T.R. Bell, and H.H. Carter. 1991. The Great South Bay. Marine Sciences Research Center. State University of New York. Stony Brook, New York.

SYSTAT (1992) SYSTAT: Statistics, Version 5.2 edition, p. 724 SYSTAT, Inc., Evanston, IL:

Vincent, C.L. and W.D. Corson. 1980a. Analysis of the Stability of Selected U.S. Tidal Inlets. General Investigation of Tidal Inlets Report. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va. and U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Vincent, C.L. and W.D. Corson. 1980b. The Geometry of Selected U.S. Tidal Inlets. General Investigation of Tidal Inlets Report. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va. and U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

A store include service of contracted takes of other includes a contracted outline test of a statistical to the object statistical protocols. (Attacherse 3). The examination of contracts with the states includes preventes an include with the states includes (contracting the states) in the states in the states of the states of the states in the states in the states of the states of the states includes (contracting the states) in the states of the example for the states of the states of the states of the states of the states in the state of the states of the

antine which analyses will be not tapened to a smallysis of the Einstein we United Statement Prophers and DV FUsically's end residence in the second statement in the second statement is defined to make during the second statement is consuming the second statement of the second statement for second statement of the second statement is performed for second statement of the second statement is performed for second statement of the second statement is performed for second statement of the second statement is performed for second statement of the second statement is performed for second statement of the second statement is performed for second statement of the second statement is performed for second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed for second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement of the second statement is performed as a second statement CHAPTER 3- Comparison of U.S. East Coast Estuarine and Inlet Assemblages Based on Physical and Hydrodynamic Characteristics.

### Introduction

Previous analyses of U.S. East Coast estuaries in chapter 1 and inletsin chapter 2 have identified assemblages of estuaries and inlets based on their physical and hydrodynamic characteristics. Given an estuary's hydrodynamic impact on its inlet(s) and vice versa, it is reasonable to assume that estuaries of a specific type possess complementary inlets. Therefore, comparisons of inlet assemblages from chapter 2 versus estuarine assemblages from chapter 1 provides a means of evaluating these estuarine/inlet relationships.

The purpose of this chapter is to document the analytical techniques and to disseminate results of the third of four analyses to define coupling of estuarine and marine environments. Thischapter supports an ongoing cooperative study between the University of Virginia and the SEA Division entitled the Analysis of East Coast Inlet, Estuarine, and Climate Characteristics and Their Impact on the Exchange of Organisms through Inlets Study. The impetus for this work stems from NOAA's Strategic Environmental Assessments Division's ongoing estuarine characterization efforts in support of improved management of the nation's estuarine resources and inter-estuarine research. Also, the analysis stems from previous success in its application to U.S. West Coast estuaries in identifying estuarine types based on geomorphology/hydrodynamics and species assemblages (Horn and Allen 1976; Monaco et al. 1991; Monaco et al. 1992).

#### Methods

Fowlkes and Mallows' (1983) Bk statistic (Table 1) was used to determine the similarity of the estuaries dendrogram (Figure 1) versus the inlet dendrogram (Figure 2). Based on previous analyses (chapters 1 and 2) the estuaries and inlet dendrograms were cut to produce a five cluster configuration for comparative purposes. These clusters were used to develop the comparison matrix on which the Bk statistic's components were based (Table 1). As per Fowlkes and Mallows (1983), if the Bk statistic is above the 95% confidence interval for Bk, then the dendrograms are similar at the five cluster cut. If the Bk statistic is below the 95% confidence interval for Bk, then the dendrograms are not similar at the five cluster cut.

The Bk statistic was determined to be 0.3906 for the comparison matrix (Table 1). The Bk value was above the 95% confidence interval (0.3394) for Bk indicating that the estuaries dendrogram and inlet dendrogram were similar according to the Bk statistic procedure used here.

#### DISCUSSION

This analysis of the U.S. East Coast Inlets Analysis (chapter 1) and the U.S. East Coast Estuaries Analysis (chapter 2) indicates that the assemblages of inlets and estuaries identified by Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Cluster Analysis are statistically similar. This is especially interesting since no variables are common between the U.S. East Coast Inlets Analysis (chapter 1) and the U.S. East Coast Estuaries Analysis (chapter 2). These results significantly reinforce the premise that specific estuary types are associated with complementary inlet types.

### **Future Work**

The next step in this series of estuarine inlet analyses will subject a combined estuaries/inlet variables matrix to the above statistical protocols (chapters 1 and 2). The estuaries/inlet results will be compared to the previous estuaries results (chapter 1) and inlet results (chapter 2). This will be done to: 1) investigate intercorrelations within the combined estuaries and inlet variable matrix; and 2) evaluate the similarity of the resulting dendrograms to the previous dendrograms (chapters 1 and 2).

These estuarine inlet analyses will be compared to an ongoing analysis of the Estuarine Living Marine Resources Program's (ELMR) relative abundance/ estuaries matrices. This ELMR vs. inlet/estuaries analysis explores if certain estuarine/inlet characteristics are statistically related to fish species abundance patterns. Fowles and Mallows (1983) "Bk" statistic for comparing hierarchical clusterings and Clafin's (1987) cross component analysis techniques will be used to carry out these cross analysis comparisons.

The estuaries/inlet vs. ELMR abundance analysis will explore if specific life history strategies (e.g., egg and larvae entrainment from offshore spawn

Table 1. Estuaries dendrogram (tree1) versus inlet dendrogram (tree2), comparison matrix and Bk statistic components.

Inlet vs. Estuaries- 5 cluster comparison

Comparison	matrix		tree1 branch1	tree1 branch2	tree1 branch3	tree1 branch4	tree1 branch5	sum cells across rows
	tree2	branch1	1	0	0	0	0	1
	tree2	branch2	1	8	7	0	7	23
	tree2	branch3	0	0	6	0	4	10
	tree2	branch4	3	1	0	2	0	6
	tree2	branch5	0	1	0	0	0	1
	sum cells	down columns	(J)	10	1 3	2	1 1	<u>4 1</u>

#### Bk statistics components for above comparison matrix

values	component
4.1	mii-matrix, cells
41	
0.0.4	e.g. above 1+1+3+8+1+7+6+2+7+4
231	mij squared
41	e.g. above Isquared+Isquared+3squared+8squared+7squared+6squared+2squared+7squared+4squared
41	mi.=sum bottom
110	e.g. above 5+10+13+2+11
419	mi. squared
	e.g. above 5squared+10squared+13squared+1squared
41	m.j=sum side
	e.g. above 1+23+10+6+1
667	m.j squared
	e.g. above 1squared+23squared+1squared+1squared
4 1	n=mij
5	k=number of clusters
25	c=number of cells in comparison matrix
190	Tk=mij squared - n
378	Pk=mi. squared-n
626	Qk=m.j squared-n
3486	Pk'=mi.(mi1)(mi2)
	e.g. mi. values of 5,10,13,2,11 Pk'=5*4*3+10*9*8+13*12*11+0+*11*10*9
11100	$O(k_1 - m_1)(m_1 + 1)(m_2 + 2)$
11466	$G_{K} = m_{-1}(m_{-1}-r)(m_{-1}-2)$
	e.g. for values of 1,23,10,6,1 Qk =0+23 22 21+10 9 8+6 5 4+0
0.3900	BK=1 K/Square root of PK'QK
0.296612	E(Bk)=Bk mean= (square root of Pk*Qk)/(n*(n-1)
0 0006	var(Bk)=2/[n(n-1)]+[4(Pk'Qk')/n(n-1)*(n-2)*PkQk]+[{(Pk-2-4Pk'/Pk)*(Qk-2-4Qk'/Qk)/n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}]
0.0000	continued from above: PPO/P/In aquiored (a 1)aquiored)
	unare Se Confidenza Istanial - (Rel) - t value er et aguera root of ver/Pk)
0.3394	upper as a confidence intervale $E(DK) + t$ value for it square root of var(DK)

If Bk less than upper 95% Confidence interval Then clusters are not similar

ing) and level of utilization are related to estuarine/ inlet dynamics (e.g., inlet size, tidal current speeds, tidal prism volume). These analyses will attempt to determine if certain assemblages of species utilize specific estuaries/inlets types more than other types. Further, it lays the foundation for exploring estuarine/offshore fisheries abundance linkages on regional scales. If successful, this would provide valuable information concerning fisheries/ecosystem impact projections for proposed inlet modifications.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Colin L. Mallows of AT and T Bell Laboratories for his review and guidance of our use of the Fowlkes and Mallows 1983 Bk statistic. The authors also thank John Christensen for his review of this technical report.



Figure 1. Dendrogram of estuaries (chapter 1).

		DISTANCES	in the second
Long Island Sound	0.000		5.000
St Johns River			
St Marys River	1	<ul> <li>Miner C. Margarit W.D. Con</li> </ul>	
Indian River		I have an and the first of Tables Million of	
Cape Fear River		c) If the property charted English	
Barnegat Bay		<ul> <li>(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)</li></ul>	
Great South Bay	+		
St Andrews/Simmons Sound		Princes, S. June W.D. Con	
New Jersey Inland Bays		and strength in the second	
Savannah Sound		and the second second second second	
St Helena Sound		Person Valdentill Strengt	
St Catherines/Sapelo Sound		D. I-D'P'RICE - Mathematicana	
Hudson River/Raritan Bay			
Broad River			
James River			
Charleston Harbor	+-		
Winyah Bay	11		
Delaware Inland Bays			
North & South Santee Rivers			
Bogue River			
Chincoteaque Bay	ii ii		
Albemarle/Pamlico Sound			
Ossabaw Sound			
New River			
Neuse River			
Pamlico/Pungo Rivers			
Rappahannock River			
Choptank River			
Chester River			
Connecticut River	+		
Altamaha River	+-		
Tangier/Pocomoke Sound			
York River			
Patuxent River		<u> </u>	
Narragansett Bay	+		
Buzzards Bay			
Delaware Bay	+		
Gardiners Bay	+		
Potomac River			
Chesapeake Bay			
Biscayne Bay			

Figure 2. Dendrogram of Inlets (chapter 2).

#### References

Claflin, L.W. 1987 Associations between the phytoplankton and physiochemical regimes of Lake Michigan. p. 97-121. In *Phycology of Large Lakes of the World; Ergebn. Limnologie 25*, (ed. Munawar, M.), E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, D-7000 Stuttgart 1, Germany.

Fowlkes, E.B. and C.L. Mallows. 1983. A method for comparing two hierarchical clusterings. *Jour. Amer. Stat. Assoc.* 78(383):553-568.

Horn, M.N. and L.G. Allen. 1976. Numbers of species and faunal resemblance of marine fishes in California bays and estuaries. *Bull. Calif. Acad. Sci.* 75, 159-170.

Jarrett, J.T. 1976. Tidal Prism-Inlet Area Relationships. GITI Report 3. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va. and U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Lowery, T.A. and M.E. Monaco. 1991 unpublished. Comparison of Mobile Bay and Chesapeake Bay salinity zone delineations based on species occurrences. *Technical Report. (in-house).* Strategic Assessments Branch, NOS/NOAA, Rockville, Maryland.

Monaco, M.E., T.A. Lowery, and R.L. Emmett. 1991. Assemblages of U.S. west coast estuaries based on the biogeography and life history characteristics of fishes. *Estuarine Research Federation*, 1991 San Francisco Conference, *Abstracts*.

Monaco, M.E., T.A. Lowery, and R.L. Emmett. 1992. Assemblages of U.S. west coast estuaries based on the distribution of fishes. *Journal of Biogeography* 19, 251-267.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) (1985) *National Estuarine Inventory: data atlas. Volume 1. Physical and hydrologic characteristics.* p. 103. Strategic Assessments Branch, NOS/ NOAA, Rockville, Maryland.

O'Brien, M.P. 1931. Estuary tidal Prism related to entrance areas. *Jour. Civil. Engineers.* 1(8):738-739.

Schubel, J.R., T.R. Bell, and H.H. Carter. 1991. The Great South Bay. Marine Sciences Research Center. State University of New York. Stony Brook, New York. SYSTAT (1992) SYSTAT: Statistics, Version 5.2 edition, p. 724 SYSTAT, Inc., Evanston, IL.

Vincent, C.L. and W.D. Corson. 1980a. Analysis of the Stability of Selected U.S. Tidal Inlets. General Investigation of Tidal Inlets Report. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va. and U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

Vincent, C.L. and W.D. Corson. 1980b. The Geometry of Selected U.S. Tidal Inlets. General Investigation of Tidal Inlets Report. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Fort Belvoir, Va. and U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

And And Stand Grand Contraction with strating program 5, 2 and 31. Thereis Transford valuations were strategically an and the state of variables by 45 and a second strategical factors 2.3; CHAPTER 4- Comparison of U.S. East Coast Estuarine and Inlet Assemblages Evaluation of Physical and Hydrodynamic Interactions

#### Introduction

Three previous analyses (chapters 1, 2 and 3) indicate that assemblages of estuaries (based on estuarine geomorphology and hydrodynamics variables) are statistically similar to assemblages of inlets (based on inlet geomorphology and hydrodynamics). Now that estuaries/inlets similarity has been established, the next set of analyses investigates the nature of the relationships responsible for the observed estuaries/inlets similarity. The geomorphologic and hydrodynamic variables from the previous estuaries (chapter 1) and inlet (chapter 2) analyses were combined here for further evaluation. The resulting 26 variable by 41 estuaries/inlet matrix (Table 3) was subjected to Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis to identify assemblages of estuaries. The resulting hybrid estuaries/inlet assemblages were compared to the previous estuaries (chapter 1) and inlet (chapter 2) assemblages by the use of Bk statistics and cross component analysis.

The purpose of this chapter is to document the analytical techniques and to disseminate results of this fourth of four analysis to define coupling of estuarine and marine environments. This chapter supports an ongoing cooperative study between the University of Virginia and the SEA Division entitled the Analysis of East Coast Inlet, Estuarine, and Climate Characteristics and Their Impact on the Exchange of Organisms through Inlets Study. The impetus for this work stems from NOAA's Strategic Environmental Assessments Division's ongoing estuarine characterization efforts in support of improved management of the nation's estuarine resources and inter-estuarine research. Also, the analysis stems from previous success in its application to U.S. West Coast estuaries in identifying estuarine types based on geomorphology/hydrodynamics and species assemblages (Horn and Allen 1976; Monaco et al. 1991; Monaco et al. 1992).

#### Methods

*Estuary Selection.* Forty one NEI estuaries (Table 1) were selected to take advantage of previous analyses of their geomorphologic and hydrodynamic variables (chapter 1 and 2). The analyses reported here are a continuation of the previous analyses (chapters 1, 2 and 3).

*Variable Selection.* Previous evaluations of estuarine and inlet variables identified 21 important estuarine

Table 1. East Coast NEI estuaries were included in analyses.

**Buzzards Bav** Narragansett Bay Gardiners Bay Long Island Sound **Connecticut River** Great South Bay Hudson River/Raritan Bay Barnegat Bay New Jersey Inland Bays **Delaware Bay Delaware Inland Bays** Chincoteaque Bay Chesapeake Bay Patuxent River Potomac River Rappahannock River York River **James River Chester River Choptank River** Tangier/Pocomoke Sound Albemarle/Pamlico Sound Pamlico & Pungo Rivers **Neuse River Boaue Sound** New River **Cape Fear River** Winyah Bay **Charleston Harbor** North & South Santee Rivers St. Helena Sound **Broad River** Savannah River **Ossabaw Sound** St. Catherines/Sapelo Sound Altamaha River St. Andrew/St. Simons Sound St. Marys River St. Johns River Indian River Biscayne Bay.

variables and 5 important inlet variables (chapter 1, 2 and 3). These important variables were combined to create a 26 variables by 41 estuaries/inlets matrix (Tables 2,3).

Table 2. Estuaries/inlets variables selected for analyses.

> estuary length estuary width maximum width minimum width average depth depth to width ratio tidal fresh surface area mixing zone surface area seawater zone surface area tidal prism volume tidal fresh volume mixing zone volume seawater volume daily flow rate 50 year flood 100 year flood low flow period high flow period percent water mass fresh water dissolved concentration potential tidal flushing cross sectional area at mouth mouth width mouth depth flood current speed ebb current speed

Statistical Protocol. Systat version 5.2.1 was used to carry out truncated PCA and Factor Score manipulations (Systat 1992). Systat's Factor module's Principal component procedure (with correlation, varimax, and Num Factor options invoked) was used to carry out the PCAs. Component number selection was based on the following: 1) component eigenvalues account for  $\geq$ 75% of the variance in the matrix; and 2) individual component eigenvalues >1.0 and account for  $\geq$ 7% of the variance in the matrix. Based on the above criteria, truncated PCAs were selected. The criterion for assigning variables to membership in the resulting components follows: variables were assigned membership in a component if the variable's rotated loadings were ≥0.5. Systat's Principal component's save file option (with scores option invoked) was used to retrieve the PCA's factor scores. The factor scores indicate an estuary's strength of association to the PCA components. These estuarine factor scores provide the basis for evaluating similarity/dissimilarity as follows. For a PCA with 5 components selected, each estuary had a set of 5 factor scores associated with it. This results in a 41 estuaries by 5 factor scores matrix.

These 41 by 5 matrices were subjected to cluster analysis as follows. Systat version 5.2.1 *Cluster* procedure

(with the Join, Pearson, and Average Linkage options invoked) was used to carry out cluster analysis and produce dendrograms (Systat 1992). Dendrograms group estuaries with similar factor score patterns into clusters. The criteria for selecting the number of clusters to consider was based on the following. In order to maintain continuity with the PCA's identification of 5 components, The dendrogram's branching that provided 5 clusters was selected. The estuaries within the 5 clusters were assigned to estuarine groups according to their membership in a common cluster. This identifies estuaries with similar characteristics based on the original 26 by 41 variables/estuaries matrix.

Systat's *Correlation module's Pearson* procedure was used to carry out the cross component analysis (Claflin 1987). The factor scores of the components of the PCAs of the estuaries variables matrix, inlet variables matrix, and Estuaries and Inlet variables combined matrix were sorted by estuary and merged in to a single matrix. This matrix was subjected to correlation analysis. Correlation coefficients greater  $\geq 0.5$  identified component pairs that were highly similar.

Fowlkes and Mallows' (1983) Bk statistic (Tables 6,7.8) was used to determine similarity of estuarine dendrogram, inlet dendrogram, and estuaries and inlet dendrogram (Figures 2,3,4). Based on previous analyses (chapters 1, 2 and 3) the estuaries, inlet, and estuaries and inlet dendrograms were cut to produce a five cluster configuration for comparative purposes. These clusters were used to develop the comparison matrix on which the Bk statistic's components were based (Table 6,7,8). As per Fowlkes and Mallows (1983), if the Bk statistic is above the 95% confidence interval for Bk, then the dendrograms are similar at the five cluster cut. If the Bk statistic is below the 95% confidence interval for Bk, then the dendrograms are not similar at the five cluster cut.

#### **Estuaries/Inlets PCA Results**

PCA identified 5 components that account for 79.7% of the variance in the 26 by 41 estuaries/inlets matrix. Descriptions of the components follow. The PCA's rotated loadings are presented in Table 4. The PCA's factor scores are presented in Table 5. See Appendix 1 for SAS programming, log, and detailed output of PCA.

*Component 1- System Magnitude and Mixing Function.* This component accounted for 29.4% of the variance in the 26 by 41 estuaries/inlets matrix. The following parameters (rotated loading in parenthesis) dominated this component: mixing zone volume (0.932); mixing zone surface area (0.925); daily flow rate (0.896); tidal fresh volume (0.884); 50 year flood

Table 3.	26	variables by	/ 41	estuaries/inlets	matrix	selected to	perform	PCA	and	cluster	analysi	s or	n.
----------	----	--------------	------	------------------	--------	-------------	---------	-----	-----	---------	---------	------	----

esty	lengt	estwi	minwi	maxwi	adept	døwr	dyflr	fifyr	hunyr	hiflw	lwflw	tprsm	tfvol
	m	m	m	m	m	none	CINS	CINS	CINS	none	none	m3	m3
buzz	48109.1	11102.1	1126.3	20273.4	10-3	0.00093	33.98	67.96	79.28	0.003	0.001	6.80E+08	0.00E+00
narr	47143.7	14641.9	804.5	20595.2	9.2	0_00063	90.61	968.41	1093	0.014	0.003	4.93E+08	4.07E+07
gard	49879	13998.3	1609	22043.3	6.2	0.00044	19.82	5.66	5.66	0.003	0.003	3.26E+08	0+00E+00
lisd	98149	50683.5	1126.3	36363.4	18.9	0+00037	849.48	6311.64	6628.78	0.018	0.004	3.74E+09	2.37E+08
conn	89299.5	482.7	321+8	1448.1	3.8	0.00789	594.64	3998.22	4136.97	1.937	0.459	2.58E+07	1.82E+08
grts	11263	42063.9	321+B	9010.4	2.7	0.00006	19.82	42.47	48.14	0.012	0.011	7.48E+07	0.00E+00
huds	257440	2735.3	160.9	18020.8	6.3	0.00232	756.04	5414.02	5759.47	0.077	0.021	7.36E+07	5.08E+08
barn	33789	17699	482.7	10619.4	1.4	0.00008	65.13	1002.3864	1206.2616	0.044	0.024	8.55E+07	0.00E+00
njby	24939.5	16090	50	6900	3	0.00019	31.14	25.23	30.015	0.012	0.006	7.97E+07	0.00E+00
deby	222042	24135	6757.8	45695.6	6.4	0+00026	560.66	7438.61	8302.25	0.013	0.005	2.89E+09	4.25E+08
dein	19308	2896.2	150	5500	1.3	0.00044	9.6	8.55	11.24	0.039	0.007	1.80E+07	0.00E+00
chnq	51488	7240.5	1609	10619.4	1.8	0.00025	11.33	3	.4	0.015	0.011	4.67E+07	0.00E+00
ches	318582	24778.6	5148.8	55993.2	8.1	0.00033	2429.51	58721.72	75113.85	0.1	0.029	8.69E+08	1.01E+09
patx	75600	1609	30	2900	5.9	0.00366	26.56	360.72	437.34	0.055	0.023	3.00E+07	4.97E+06
poto	188253	10297.6	2574-4	17859.9	5.9	0.00057	450.22	9188.54	10748.75	0.095	0.027	3.40E+08	4.54E+07
rapp	158647.4	3700.7	643.6	5148.8	4.9	0.00133	82.12	1936.81	2129.36	0.048	0.017	1.17E+08	2.91E+07
york	78841	3378.9	643.6	6596.9	4.8	0.00141	70.79	2755.15	3681.08	0.046	0.016	1.06E+08	6.74E+07
jans	162187.2	5148.8	482.7	9654	4.2	0.00081	353.95	10216.41	11799.28	0.084	0+028	2.83E+08	2.80E+08
chst	61100	2300	160	7080	4.2	0.00183	14.75	114.68	156.02	0.032	0.013	3.31E+07	2.73E+07
chop	80500	6900	320	19800	4	0.00058	29.11	119.55	126.69	0.03	0.008	7.22E+07	2.51E+07
tang	91700	5800	10	25400	3.8	0.00066	83.31	50.43	59.46	0.017	0.006	3.77E+08	8.81E+06
alpm	186644	21077.9	1448.1	47626.4	4.1	0.0002	1302.54	6507.02	7506.57	0.318	0.187	8.21E+08	1.12E+09
paml	67578	5631.5	1448.1	9332.2	2.9	0.00051	130.25	1228.91	1362	0.117	0.053	6.54E+07	0.00E+00
neus	80450	6596.9	1448.1	12228.4	3.5	0.00053	175.56	1568.71	1795.23	0.198	0.064	6.85E+07	0.00E+00
boge	15285.5	17699	965.4	4022.5	1.4	0.00008	36.81	404.92	472.88	0.015	0.008	1.35E+08	1.58E+06
nwri	39903.2	2735.3	643.6	3378.9	1.8	0.00065	22.65	569.15	679.58	0.08	0.04	1.72E+07	0.00E+00
cpfr	83668	1126.3	482.7	3861.6	3.5	0.00311	285.99	4043.52	4779.74	0.224	0.072	1.00E+08	5.76E+07
winy	82059	1126.3	482.7	6918.7	3.4	0.00298	577.65	6640.1	7659.48	0.498	0.148	8.61E+07	1.05E+08
nssn	88816.8	1126.3	321.8	3218	2.5	0.00225	76.45	2619.23	2639.05	0.306	0.05	2.51E+07	2.37E+07
char	65647.2	3861.6	643.6	5792.4	5.6	0.00144	455.89	1160.96	1177.95	0.201	0.112	1.35E+08	1.01E+08
sthe	54706	6757.8	482.7	14641.9	3.9	0.00058	130.25	914.61	1005.22	0.024	0.01	3.94E+08	3.22E+07
brri	53257.9	6275.1	1126.3	10458.5	7.3	0.00117	25.48	314.31	359.61	0.004	0.001	5.41E+08	4.71E+07
sava	46339.2	2735.3	482.7	10458.5	4.6	0.00169	362.44	2069.9	2262.45	0.137	0.065	1.75E+08	2.20E+07
ossa	49074.5	1769.9	321.8	6757.8	4.4	0-00246	84.95	2055.74	2488.98	0.059	0.013	1.76E+08	1.03E+07
casa	39903.2	5148.8	321.8	56636.8	4.4	0.00086	22.65	368.11	453.06	0.004	0.001	4.16E+08	1.20E+07
alta	43443	2735.3	643.6	4666.1	3.1	0.00114	421.91	3446.06	3681.08	0.557	0.117	6.68E+07	1.36E+07
ansi	83668	8689	804.5	47626.4	4.4	0.0005	70.79	1543.22	1577.2	0.014	0.005	3.88E+08	8.13E+06
mycb	51500	480	60	2300	6	0.01251	231.37	525.21	572.1	0.164	0.119	7.00E+07	1.60E+06
john	197907	3700.7	1126.3	11584.8	3.7	0.00099	220.86	1090.17	1271.39	0.252	0.125	5.32E+07	9.87E+08
indn	4827	55027.8	160.9	9010.4	2	0.00004	39.64	167.06	192.55	0.044	0.013	6.46E+07	0-00E+00
bisc	15285.5	45052	965.4	17377.2	2.3	0.00005	90.61	600.3	668.26	0.021	0.007	3.00E+08	0.00E+00

## Table 3. continued.

esty	mxvol m3	swvol m3	tfsur m2	mxsur m2	swsur m2	fwfrc %	dcptl mg/1	tpflush tidal	iwidth m	iavdep m	icrsec m2	ifcurr m/s	iecurr m/s
DUZZ	2.20E+07	5.07E+09	0	51800	5853400	0.123	1.041	8.96	11713	14.4	169192.1	0.34	0.3
narr	2.67E+08	3-63E+09	77700	518000	3677800	0.1636	0.519	8.00	10900	18.1	197444.5	0.21	0.28
gard	6 32E+06	3-14E+09	0	51800	5050500	0.1222	1.773	9.65	19605	13.9	271643	0.36	0.5
lisd	4.35E+09	5.72E+10	284900	4221700	28153300	0.1595	0.054	16.54	22357	27.9	624314.6	1.66	1.57
conn	1.56E+07	0.00E+00	466200	51800	0	0.9624	0.466	7.66	1399	2.7	3777.3	0.46	0.36
arts	4.74E+08	5.82E+08	0	2020200	1890700	0.3423	4.967	14.13	1829	4.2	7700	1.28	1.24
huds	2.95E+09	1.43E+09	958300	4351200	2408700	0.5087	0.194	66.34	9135	8.4	76732.8	0.82	0.88
barn	1-40E+08	2.39E+08	0	777000	1864800	0.303	1.338	4.43	347	4	1389.9	1.13	1.29
niby	5-48E+07	2.22E+08	0	118192	1257913	0.2185	3.171	3.48	7949	4.9	38951.6	1.35	1.54
deby	2.83E+09	9.42E+09	595700	5180000	14115500	0.2602	0.134	4.39	18197	12.6	229276.7	0.72	0.67
dein	1.90E+07	8.62E+07	0	155400	673400	0.2099	6.273	5.84	152	4.5	685.8	0.93	1.1
chng	0.00E+00	6.38E+08	0	0	3548300	0.1212	3.078	13.66	4942	3.4	16852.8	0.83	0.88
ches	5.67E+10	2.85E+09	2745400	68894000	2978500	0.5968	0.071	69.66	17730	7.8	137806.3	0.41	0.62
patx	7.11E+08	0.00E+00	36260	1181040	0	0.6165	6.959	23.87	1509	13.1	19767.9	0.21	0.21
poto	7.49E+09	0.00E+00	129500	12665100	0	0.6159	0.394	22.17	17775	9.1	161752.5	0.36	0.26
rapp	1.83E+09	0.00E+00	77700	3677800	0	0.6196	2.17	15.91	6096	5.5	33528	0.36	0.26
vork	8-46E+08	0.00E+00	181300	1735300	0	0.6416	2.607	8.60	4541	7.9	35873.9	0.52	0.46
iams	2.27E+09	0.00E+00	828800	5283600	0	0.6553	0_533	9.02	3683	9.1	33515.3	0.77	0.77
chst	5.00E+08	0.00E+00	142450	1344210	0	0.7198	1.462	18.95	1196	5.8	6936.8	0.21	0.21
choo	1.12E+09	0.00E+00	77700	2776480	0	0.622	6.319	15.79	1322	6.2	8196.4	0.31	0.26
tang	4.53E+09	0.00E+00	93240	11802630	0	0.6145	2,153	12.03	4617	6.5	30010.5	0.57	0.57
alom	2.64E+10	3.07E+08	5723900	60139800	1735300	0.6234	0.138	33.88	5122	Z.6	13199.6	0.99	0.92
Datal	1.23E+09	0.00E+00	0	4299400	0	0.6136	1.355	18.83	6309	4.5	28390.5	0.1	0.15
neus	1.57E+09	0.00E+00	0	4480700	0	0.6136	1.005	22.92	10058	4.4	44255.2	0.1	0.15
boge	4.64E+07	3.22E+08	25900	543900	2072000	0.1867	1.459	2.73	3386	5.4	18245.1	0.67	0.85
nwri	1.40E+08	6.95E+06	0	777000	51800	0.5903	7.496	8.51	1006	2	2007.4	0.51	1.13
cofr	2-17E+08	6.92E+07	129500	699300	155400	0.5964	0.6	3.43	2114	1.6	3286.3	1.1	1.5
winy	1.56E+08	0.00E+00	233100	543900	0	0.7663	0.382	3.03	1975	5	9821.8	0.98	1
nssn	3.55E+07	0.00E+00	103600	129500	0	0.7679	2.889	2.36	1134	2.9	3281.8	0.77	0.89
char	4.33E+08	0.00E+00	155400	802900	0	0.6852	0.432	3.95	914	7.8	7135	0.93	0.93
sthe	4.69E+08	3.66E+08	77700	1061900	1061900	0.42	0.928	2.20	13730	4.7	65144.3	0.75	0.78
brri	1-09E+09	7.55E+08	103600	1450400	1036000	0.4268	4.818	3.50	4206	10.5	44163	0.93	0.93
sava	2.94E+08	8.01E+07	77700	595700	181300	0.5351	0.425	2.26	9400	5.9	55870	0.93	1.6
ossa	3.25E+08	3.72E+07	51800	725200	77700	0.5749	1.947	2.12	5395	4.8	25817	0.82	1.18
casa	7.79E+08	6.54E+07	51800	1787100	103600	0.5814	7.382	2.06	8733	6.8	59352	0.89	0.99
alta	7.64E+07	3.10E+07	103600	207200	77700	0.5299	0.361	1.81	3667	2.3	8493.9	0.57	0.62
ansi	7-46E+08	5.66E+07	25900	1761200	77700	0.5831	2.369	2.09	6309	7.5	47177	1.29	1.13
mych	1.33E+07	3.94E+08	2072	51800	611240	0.175	0.217	5.86	1166	11.9	13873.7	1.13	1.4
iohn	7.95E+08	6.60E+08	3082100	2460500	1139600	0.6336	0.825	45.86	471	13,9	6530.9	0.97	1.19
indn	5.56E+07	1.40E+09	0	284900	6967100	0.14	1.016	22.57	386	4.7	1832.6	1.51	1.85
bisc	1.66E+07	1.62E+09	0	155400	6811700	0.1262	0.401	5.45	22935	2.3	52807.7	0.26	0.27

## Table 3. 26 variables by 41 estuaries/inlets matrix selected to perform PCA and cluster analysis on.

esty	lengt	estwi	minwi	maxwi	adept	- cgfow.r.	dyflr	fifyr	hunyr	hiflw	lwflw	tprsm	tfvol
	m	m	m	m	m	none	cms	CIRS	CIRS	none	none	m3	m3
buzz	48109.1	11102.1	1126.3	20273.4	10.3	0.00093	33.98	67.96	79.28	0.003	0.001	6.80E+08	0.00E+00
narr	47143.7	14641.9	804.5	20595.2	9.2	0.00063	90.61	968.41	1093	0.014	0.003	4.93E+08	4.07E+07
gard	49879	13998.3	1609	22043.3	6.2	0.00044	19.82	5.66	5.66	0.003	0.003	3.26E+08	0.00E+00
lisd	98149	50683.5	1126.3	36363.4	18.9	0.00037	849.48	6311.64	6628.78	0.018	0.004	3.74E+09	2.37E+08
conn	89299.5	482.7	321.8	1448.1	3.8	0.00789	594.64	3998.22	4136.97	1.937	0.459	2.58E+07	1.82E+08
grts	11263	42063.9	321.8	9010.4	2.7	0.00006	19.82	42.47	48.14	0.012	0.011	7-48E+07	0.00E+00
huds	257440	2735.3	160.9	18020.8	6.3	0.00232	756.04	5414.02	5759.47	0.077	0.021	7.36E+07	5.08E+08
barn	33789	17699	482.7	10619.4	1.4	0.00008	65.13	1002.3864	1206.2616	0.044	0.024	8=55E+07	0.00E+00
njby	24939.5	16090	50	6900	3	0.00019	31.14	25.23	30.015	0.012	0.006	7-97E+07	0.00E+00
deby	222042	24135	6757.8	45695.6	6.4	0.00026	560.66	7438.61	8302.25	0.013	0.005	2.89E+09	4.25E+08
dein	19308	2896.2	150	5500	1.3	0.00044	9.6	8.55	11.24	0.039	0.007	1-80E+07	0.00E+00
chnq	51488	7240.5	1609	10619.4	1.8	0.00025	11.33	3	4	0.015	0.011	4.67E+07	0.00E+00
ches	318582	24778.6	5148.8	55993.2	8.1	0.00033	2429.51	58721.72	75113.85	0.1	0.029	8-69E+08	1.01E+09
patx	75600	1609	30	2900	5.9	0.00366	26.56	360.72	437.34	0.055	0.023	3.00E+07	4.97E+06
poto	188253	10297.6	2574 4	17859.9	5.9	0.00057	450.22	9188.54	10748.75	0.095	0 027	3.40E+08	4.54E+07
rapp	158647.4	3700.7	643.6	5148.8	4.9	0.00133	82.12	1936.81	2129.36	0.048	0.017	1.17E+08	2.91E+07
york	78841	3378.9	643.6	6596.9	4.8	0.00141	70.79	2755.15	3681.08	0.046	0.016	1-06E+08	6 74E+07
jams	162187.2	5148.8	482.7	9654	4.2	0.00081	353.95	10216.41	11799.28	0.084	0.028	Z-83E+08	2.80E+08
chst	61100	2300	160	7080	4.2	0.00183	14.75	114.68	156.02	0.032	0.013	3-31E+07	2.73E+07
chop	80500	6900	320	19800	4	0.00058	29.11	119.55	126.69	0.03	0.008	7.22E+07	2 51E+07
tang	91700	5800	10	25400	3.8	0.00066	83.31	50.43	59.46	0.017	0 006	3 77E+08	8.81E+06
alum	186644	21077.9	1448-1	47626.4	4.1	0.0002	1302 54	6507.02	7506.57	0 318	0 187	8 21E+08	1 12E+09
Imso	67578	5631.5	1448.1	9332.2	2.9	0.00051	130.25	1228 91	1362	0 117	0+053	6 54E+07	0 00E+00
neus	80450	6596.9	1448.1	12228.4	3.5	0.00053	175.56	1568.71	1795.23	0.198	0 064	6-85E+07	0 00E+00
boge	15285.5	17699	965.4	4022.5	1.4	0.00008	36.81	404.92	472.88	0.015	0 008	1.35E+08	1.58E+06
nwri	39903.2	2735.3	643.6	3378.9	1.8	0-00065	22 65	569 15	679.58	0.08	0 04	1.72E+07	0 00E+00
cpfr	83668	1126.3	482.7	3861.6	3.5	0.00311	285.99	4043.52	4779.74	0.224	0.072	1.00E+08	5.76E+07
winy	82059	1126.3	482.7	6918.7	3.4	0-00298	577.65	6640.1	7659.48	0.498	0 148	8.61E+07	1.05E+08
nssn	88816.8	1126.3	321.8	3218	2.5	0_00225	76.45	2619.23	2639.05	0 306	0.05	2.51E+07	2 37E+07
char	65647.2	3861.6	643.6	5792.4	5.6	0.00144	455.89	1160.96	1177.95	0.201	0.112	1.35E+08	1.01E+08
sthe	54706	6757.8	482.7	14641.9	3.9	0.00058	130.25	914.61	1005.22	0.024	0.01	3 94E+08	3.22E+07
brri	53257.9	6275.1	1126.3	10458.5	7.3	0.00117	25.48	314.31	359.61	0.004	0 001	5 41E+08	4.71E+07
sava	46339.2	2735.3	482.7	10458.5	4.6	0.00169	362.44	2069.9	2262.45	0.137	0.065	1.75E+08	2.20E+07
ossa	49074.5	1769.9	321.8	6757.8	4.4	0.00246	84.95	2055-74	2488.98	0.059	0.013	1.76E+08	1.03E+07
casa	39903.2	5148.8	321 8	56636.8	4.4	0.00086	22.65	368.11	453.06	0.004	0.001	4 16E+08	1 20E+07
alta	43443	2735.3	643-5	4666.1	3.1	0.00114	421.91	3446.06	3681.08	0.557	0 117	6 68E+07	1 36E+07
ansi	83668	8689	804.5	47626.4	4.4	0.0005	70.79	1543.22	1577.2	0.014	0.005	3.88E+08	8.13E+06
mych	51500	480	60	2300	6	0.01251	231 37	525 21	572 1	0.164	0 119	7.00E+07	3 60E+06
iohn	197907	3700 7	1126 3	11584.8	37	0 00099	220.86	1090 17	1271 39	0 252	0 125	5 32E+07	9 87F+08
inda	4827	55027 8	160.9	9010 4	2	0.00004	39 64	167.06	192 55	0.044	0.013	6 46E+07	0.00F+00
hise	15285 5	45052	965.4	17377 2	23	0 00005	90 61	600 3	668 26	0.021	0 007	3 005+08	0.00E+00
DIDC	10200.0	20002	202.4	2.311.2	2.5	0.00005	20.01	500.5	000.20	0.021	0.007	D.00E+00	0.002+00

Table 3. continued.

esty	mavol	swvol	tfsur	mxsur	Swsur	fwfrc	dcpt1	tpflush	iwidth	iavdep	icrsec	ifcurr	iecurr
	m3	m3	m2	m2	m2	8	mg/l	tidal	m	m	m2	m/s	m/s
								cycles					
buzz	2.20E+07	6.07E+09	0	51800	5853400	0.123	1.041	8.96	11713	14.4	169192.1	0.34	0.3
narr	2.67E+08	3.63E+09	77700	518000	3677800	0.1636	0.519	8.00	10900	18.1	197444.5	0.21	0.28
gard	6.32E+06	3.14E+09	0	51800	5050500	0.1222	1.773	9.65	19605	13.9	271643	0.36	0.5
lisd	4.35E+09	5.72E+10	284900	4221700	28153300	0.1595	0.054	16,54	22357	27.9	624314.6	1.66	1.57
conn	1.56E+07	0.00E+00	466200	51800	0	0.9624	0.466	7.66	1399	2.7	3777.3	0.46	0.36
grts	4.74E+08	5.82E+08	0	2020200	1890700	0.3423	4.967	14,13	1829	4.2	7700	1.28	1.24
huds	2.95E+09	1.43E+09	958300	4351200	2408700	0.5087	0.194	66.34	9135	8.4	76732.8	0.82	0.88
barn	1.40E+08	2.39E+08	0	777000	1864800	0.303	1.338	4.43	347	4	1389.9	1.13	1.29
njby	5.48E+07	2.22E+08	0	118192	1257913	0.2185	3.171	3.48	7949	4.9	38951.6	1.35	1.54
deby	2.83E+09	9.42E+09	595700	5180000	14115500	0.2602	0.134	4.39	18197	12.6	229276.7	0.72	0.67
dein	1.90E+07	8.62E+07	0	155400	673400	0.2099	6.273	5.84	152	4.5	685.8	0.93	1.1
chnq	0.00E+00	6.38E+08	0	0	3548300	0.1212	3.078	13.66	4942	3.4	16852.8	0.83	0.88
ches	5.67E+10	2.85E+09	2745400	68894000	2978500	0.5968	0.071	69.66	17730	7.8	137806.3	0.41	0.62
patx	7.11E+08	0.00E+00	36260	1181040	0	0.6165	6.959	23.87	1509	13.1	19767.9	0.21	0.21
poto	7.49E+09	0.00E+00	129500	12665100	0	0.6159	0.394	22.17	17775	9.1	161752.5	0.36	0.26
rapp	1.83E+09	0.00E+00	77700	3677800	0	0.6196	2.17	15.91	6096	5.5	33528	0.36	0.26
york	8.46E+08	0.00E+00	181300	1735300	0	0.6416	2.607	8.60	4541	7_9	35873.9	0.52	0.46
jams	2.27E+09	0.00E+00	828800	5283600	0	0.6553	0.533	9.02	3683	9.1	33515.3	0.77	0.77
chst	6.00E+08	0.00E+00	142450	1344210	0	0.7198	1.462	18.95	1196	5.8	6936.8	0.21	0.21
chop	1,12E+09	0.00E+00	77700	2776480	0	0.622	6.319	15.79	1322	6.2	8196.4	0.31	0.26
tang	4.53E+09	0.00E+00	93240	11802630	0	0.6145	2.153	12.03	4617	6.5	30010.5	0.57	0.57
alpm	2.64E+10	3.07E+08	5723900	60139800	1735300	0.6234	0.138	33.88	5122	2.6	13199.6	0.99	0,92
pam1	1.23E+09	0.00E+00	0	4299400	0	0.6136	1.355	18.83	6309	4.5	28390.5	0.1	0.15
neus	1.57E+09	0.00E+00	0	4480700	0	0.6136	1.005	22.92	10058	4.4	44255.2	0.1	0.15
boge	4.64E+07	3.22E+08	25900	543900	2072000	0.1867	1.459	2.73	3386	5.4	18245.1	0.67	0.85
nwri	1.40E+08	6.95E+06	0	777000	51800	0.5903	7.496	8.51	1006	2	2007.4	0.51	1.13
cofr	2.17E+08	6.92E+07	129500	699300	155400	0.5964	0.6	3.43	2114	1.6	3286.3	1.1	1.5
winy	1.56E+08	0.00E+00	233100	543900	ð	0.7663	0.382	3.03	1975	5	9821.8	0.98	1
nssn	1.55E+07	0.00E+00	103600	129500	ō	0.7679	2.889	2,36	1134	2.9	3281.8	0.77	0.89
char	4.33E+08	0.00E+00	155400	802900	0	0.6852	0.432	3.95	914	7.8	7135	0.93	0.93
sthe	4.69E+08	3.66E+08	77700	1061900	1061900	0.42	0.928	2.20	13730	4.7	65144.3	0.75	0.78
brri	1.09E+09	7.55E+08	103600	1450400	1036000	0.4268	4.818	3,50	4206	10.5	44163	0.93	0.93
sava	2.94E+08	8.01E+07	77700	595700	181300	0.5351	0_425	2.26	9400	5.9	55870	0.93	1.6
0000	3 25E+08	3.72E+07	51800	725200	77700	0.5749	1.947	2.12	5395	4.8	25817	0.82	1 18
0354	7 79E+08	6 54E+07	51800	1787100	103600	0.5814	7.382	2.06	8733	6.8	59352	0.89	0.99
alta	7.64E+07	3 10E+07	103600	207200	77700	0.5299	0.361	1.81	3667	2.3	8493.9	0.57	0.55
arca	7 46E+08	5 66E+07	25900	1761200	77700	0.5831	2.369	2.09	6309	7.5	47177	1 29	1 13
ausi	1 33E+07	3 94F+08	2072	51800	611240	0 175	0.217	5.86	1166	11.9	13873 7	1 13	1.15
iobp	7 955+09	6 60E+08	3082100	2460500	1139600	0.6336	0.825	45.86	471	13.9	6530.9	0 07	1 10
indo	5 56E+07	1 405+09	0	284900	6967100	0.14	1.016	22.57	386	4.7	1832 6	1 51	1,19
Inon	1 665+07	1 625+09	0	155400	6811700	0.1262	0 401	5 45	22935	2.3	52807 7	1.51	1.85
DISC	1.00E+07	1.02E+09	0	100400	0011/00	0.1202	0.401	2.42	22935	4-5	52007.7	0.26	0.27

35

(0.848); 100 year flood (0.845); length (0.830); tidal fresh surface area (0.806); tidal prism flushing (0.773); maximum estuary width (0.583); and minimum estuary width (0.553). Estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0: Chesapeake Bay; Albemarle/Pamilico Sound; St Johns River; and Hudson River.

Component 2- Seawater Function. This component accounted for 22.4% of the variance in the estuaries/ inlets matrix. Parameters that dominated this component: inlet cross sectional area (0.940); average estuarine depth (0.923); inlet average depth (0.905); seawater volume (0.900); seawater surface area (0.856); tidal prism volume (0.849); and inlet width (0.588). Estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0: Long Island Sound; Delaware Bay; Buzzards Bay; and Narragansett Bay.

Component 3- Freshwater Inflow Function. This component accounted for 11.4% of the variance in the estuaries/inlets matrix. Parameters that dominated this component: low flow period (0.933); and high flow period (0.919). Estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0: Connecticut River; St Marys River; Winyah Bay; and Altamaha River.

Component 4- Inlet Current Speed Function. This component accounted for 9.4% of the variance in the

estuaries/inlets matrix. Parameters that dominated this component: inlet flood current speed (0.940); and inlet excursion current speed (0.930). Estuaries with factor scores ≥1.0: Indian River; Long Island Sound; Albemarle/Pamilico Sound; Great South Bay; New Jersey Inland Bays; Barnegat Bay; St Johns River; and St Marys River.

Component 5- Width Function. This component accounted for 7.3% of the variance in the estuaries/inlets matrix. Parameters that dominated this component: estuary width (0.593); and inlet width (0.524). Estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0: Biscayne Bay; Delaware Bay; Chesapeake Bay; and Indian River.

#### Estuaries/Inlets Dendrogram Results

Dendrogram (Figure 1) of estuaries/inlets factor scores (Table 5) identified the following estuarine groups based on similarities. Descriptions of the groups follow. The dendrogram groups (branches) are presented below in order of strength of their association versus the other branches. In other words, the first cluster links (+) to the left of the cut identify the dendrogram groups and their strength of association. Therefore,

Table 4. PCA's rotated loadings for 5 components. Rotated loadings  $\geq$  0.5 or  $\leq$  -0.5 (bold) indicate variables that are highly associated with the component.

Variable	Component 1	Component 2	Component 3	Component 4	Component 5
LENGT	0.830	0.200	0.098	-0.206	-0.146
ESTWI	0.106	0.351	-0.273	0.397	0.593
MINWI	0.553	0.267	-0.117	-0.274	0.459
MAXWI	0.583	0.359	-0.292	0.005	0.170
ADEPT	0.174	0.923	0.023	-0.065	-0.067
DPWR	-0.136	-0.004	0.699	0.021	-0.243
DYFLR	0.896	0.185	0.246	0.033	0.198
FIFYR	0.848	0.047	0.022	-0.131	0.260
HUNYR	0.845	0.031	0.006	-0.133	0.259
HIFLW	0.035	-0.137	0.919	-0.059	0.050
LWFLW	0.132	-0.156	0.933	0.047	-0.035
TPRSM	0.209	0.849	-0.063	0.121	0.263
TFVOL	0.884	0.117	0.129	0.162	-0.128
MXVOL	0.932	-0.003	-0.053	-0.050	0.188
SWVOL	0.012	0.900	0.003	0.236	0.119
TFSUR	0.806	-0.020	0.124	0.213	-0.156
MXSUR	0.925	-0.045	-0.033	0.005	0.123
SWSUR	0.025	0.856	-0.094	0.242	0.353
FWFRC	0.277	-0.325	0.451	-0.266	-0.434
DCPTL	-0.262	-0.242	-0.440	0.000	-0.397
TPFLUSH	0.773	0.075	-0.072	-0.066	-0.214
IWIDTH	0.211	0.588	-0.216	-0.311	0.524
IAVDEP	0.023	0.905	-0.102	-0.037	-0.190
ICRSEC	0.073	0.940	-0.106	-0.039	0.218
IFCURR	-0.033	0.156	0.006	0.940	0.018
IECURR	-0.048	0.023	-0.019	0.930	0.025

Table 5. PCA's factor scores for 5 estuaries/inlets components. Estuaries with higher factor scores have strongest associations with components. Factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0 indicate estuaries representing the component.

esty	compl	esty	comp2	esty	comp3	esty	comp4	esty	comp5
ches	4.875	lisd	5.185	conn	4.932	indn	2.542	bisc	2.805
alpm	2.84	deby	1.632	mycb	1.673	lisd	1.778	deby	1.881
john	1.168	buzz	1.202	winy	1.43	alpm	1.555	ches	1.583
huds	1.102	narr	1.167	alta	1.058	grts	1.481	indn	1.451
deby	0.549	gard	0.915	char	0.733	njby	1.389	boge	0.97
poto	0.462	huds	0.456	cpfr	0.709	barn	1.14	alta	0.802
jams	0.413	mycb	0.35	alpm	0.516	john	1.022	gard	0.725
tang	-0.037	poto	0.297	nssn	0.425	mycb	1	barn	0.671
winy	-0.057	patx	0.267	john	0.391	cpfr	0.918	sthe	0.612
ansi	-0.071	brri	0.242	sava	0.385	dein	0.711	chnq	0.599
rapp	-0.085	john	0.199	lisd	0.234	sava	0.647	conn	0.573
lisd	-0.123	casa	-0.059	neus	0.108	ansi	0.646	grts	0.523
casa	-0.152	ansi	-0.101	jams	0.057	winy	0.326	poto	0.515
neus	-0.162	york	-0.121	ossa	0.018	ossa	0.268	njby	0.479
chop	-0.166	rapp	-0.122	paml	-0.031	char	0.262	neus	0.367
cofr	-0.191	jams	-0.133	huds	-0.07	boge	0.24	sava	0.298
char	-0.197	char	-0.162	chst	-0.087	chnq	0.238	lisd	0.266
vork	-0.221	sthe	-0.176	poto	-0.109	brri	0.172	paml	0.21
paml	-0.226	tang	-0.222	rapp	-0.183	casa	0.157	cpfr	0.092
chst	-0.283	sava	-0.225	vork	-0.184	nwri	0.115	winy	0.08
patx	-0.288	ches	-0.246	sthe	-0.252	huds	0.046	buzz	0.061
conn	-0.298	chst	-0.258	deby	-0.28	nssn	0.027	narr	-0.051
nssn	-0.298	conn	-0.277	barn	-0.353	jams	-0.081	ossa	-0.214
sava	-0.314	chop	-0.291	patx	-0.398	sthe	-0.233	ansi	-0.228
brri	-0.351	neus	-0.309	buzz	-0.402	alta	-0.349	char	-0.334
nwri	-0.36	bisc	-0.319	narr	-0.403	tang	-0.408	dein	-0.364
ossa	-0.387	ossa	-0.327	boge	-0.477	deby	-0.651	nssn	-0.429
alta	-0.393	paml	-0.421	tang	-0.485	conn	-0.678	alpm	-0.461
grts	-0.394	winy	-0.433	ches	-0.496	york	-0.689	tang	-0.527
sthe	-0.418	njby	-0.515	bisc	-0.506	bisc	-0.764	jams	-0.579
chnq	-0.42	alpm	-0.539	indn	-0.56	ches	-0.807	rapp	-0.629
barn	-0.424	chnq	-0.567	brri	-0.59	chop	-0.86	york	-0.632
indn	-0.424	nssn	-0.591	ansi	-0.598	buzz	-1.055	mycb	-0.67
dein	-0.509	cpfr	-0.603	nwri	-0.607	chst	-1.07	nwri	-0.672
njby	-0.525	alta	-0.615	gard	-0.612	gard	-1.089	brri	-0.805
narr	-0.532	boge	-0.628	njby	-0.626	rapp	-1.108	chst	-0.826
gard	-0.592	indn	-0.67	chnq	-0.689	patx	-1.137	casa	-0.831
buzz	-0.595	grts	-0.697	chop	-0.829	narr	-1.19	chop	-1.16
boge	-0.595	dein	-0.738	grts	-0.855	paml	-1.387	huds	-1.727
mycb	-0.622	barn	-0.754	dein	-0.863	neus	-1.499	patx	-2.088
bisc	-0.702	nwri	-0.795	casa	-1.123	poto	-1.627	john	-2.339

the strongest dendrogram group is presented as Dendrogram Group 1 and the second strongest is presented as Dendrogram Group 2 and so on.

*Dendrogram Group 1*. This group contains: Long Island Sound; Broad River; and St. Catherines/Sapelo Sound. This group of estuaries did not clearly align with the PCA's Components based on review of factor scores.

*Dendrogram Group 2.* This group contains: Bogue River; Chincoteaque Bay; Barnegat Bay; Indian River; New Jersey Inland Bays; Great South Bay; St. Andrews/Simmons Sound; Delaware Inland Bays; and New River. This group of estuaries most closely matches the characteristics identified by PCA Component 4 (Inlet Current Speed Function). Overlap of the component's estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0 and the estuaries presence in the dendrogram group is

#### 57%.

Dendrogram Group 3. This group contains: Altamaha River; Connecticut River; St. Marys River; Charleston Harbor; North and South Santee Rivers; Winyah Bay; Cape Fear River; Ossabaw Sound; and Savannah Sound. This group of estuaries most closely matches the characteristics identified by PCA Component 3 (Freshwater Inflow Function). Overlap of the component's estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0 and the estuaries presence in the dendrogram group is 100%.

*Dendrogram Group 4.* This group contains: Narragansett Bay; Buzzards Bay; Gardiners Bay; Delaware Bay; Potomac Bay; Neuse River; Pamilico/Pungo Rivers; Biscayne Bay; andSt. Helena Sound. This group of estuaries most closely matches the characteristics identified by PCA Component 2- (Seawater Function). Overlap of the component's estuaries with factor scores  $\geq$ 1.0 and the estuaries presence in the dendrogram group is 75%.

Dendrogram Group 5. This group contains: Chesapeake Bay; Albemarle/Pamlico Sound; St. Johns River; Hudson River/Raritan Bay; James River; Choptank River; Tangier/Pocomoke Sound; Patuxent River; York River; Chester River; and Rappahannock River. This group of estuaries most closely matches the characteristics of identified by PCA Component 1- (System Magnitude Function). Overlap of the component's estuaries with factor scores ≥1.0 and the estuaries presence in the dendrogram group is 100%.

#### **Bk Statistic Comparisons**

Statistical comparisons of the estuaries/inlets dendrogram (above), estuaries dendrogram (chapter a), and inlets dendrogram (chapter 2) against each other were carried out by Fowlkes and Mallows (1983) Bk statistic. The Bk statistic determines if two dendrograms are statistically similar. Since the three dendrograms contain the same 41 estuaries/inlet members and have been cut into five clusters, the Bk statistic can be applied to all combinations of the dendrograms.

The dendrogram comparisons of interest here were the estuaries/inlets dendrogram vs. estuaries dendrogram, and estuaries/inlets dendrogram vs. inlet dendrogram. The estuaries/inlets dendrogram was based on variables that were common to the estuaries dendrogram (i.e., 21 estuarine variables (chapter 1) and inlet dendrogram (i.e., 5 inlet variables (chapter 2)). If these estuaries/inlets variables were intrinsically aligned based on estuarine/inlet dynamics, then these variables should produce an estuarine/inlets dendrogram that is statistically similar to the previous estuaries dendrogram (chapter 1) and inlet dendrogram (chapter 2). This estuarine/inlet alignment has been previously established (chapter 3) and this series of dendrogram comparisons was to further evaluate the alignment.

The Bk statistic's comparison of the estuaries/inlets dendrogram versus estuaries dendrogram (Table 6) indicates that these dendrograms were statistically similar at a significance level of 0.05. Given the two dendrograms share 21 common variables, this was no surprise. These results indicate that adding the 5 inlet variables to the estuaries/inlets dendrogram's matrix did not disrupt the underlying alignments found in the estuaries dendrogram.

The Bk statistic's comparison of the estuaries/inlets dendrogram versus inlet dendrogram (Table 7) indicates that these dendrograms were statistically similar at a significance level of 0.05. Given the two dendrograms share only 5 common variables, this reinforces the indication of an estuarine/inlet alignment. These results indicate that adding the 21 estuarine variables to the estuaries/inlets dendrogram's matrix did not disrupt the underlying alignments found in the inlet dendrogram.

Previous Bk statistic analysis (chapter 3) of the inlet dendrogram vs. estuaries dendrogram (Figure 4, Table 8) indicates that these two dendrograms were statistically similar at a significance level of 0.05. This verifies there are strong linkages between estuaries and their inlets. This is especially impressive given that these two dendrograms were based on matrices which contained no common variables. The estuaries dendrogram was based strictly on non-inlet (estuarine) variables while the inlet dendrogram was based strictly on non-estuarine (inlet) variables (chapters 1 and 2).

#### **Cross Component Results**

Claflin's (1987) cross component analysis uses correlation analysis of PCA component factor scores to reveal similarities between the components of different PCAs. So long as the PCAs have common members, their components factor scores can be merged into a single matrix and that matrix subjected to correlation analysis. The component combinations (between the two PCAs) with the higher correlation coefficients indicate similarity between the components. Therefore, this analysis identifies components that are similar to components of a different PCA. The primary purpose of using cross component analysis here is to identify correlations between: 1) estuaries/inlets variables (Table 3) based components (Table 11) and inlet variables based components (Tables 9, 10, 11 (Lowery 1994b)) (Tables 12 and 13); 2) estuaries/inlets variables based components and estuaries variables based components (Table 11 (chapter 1)) (Tables 12 and 13); and 3) estuaries variables based components and inlet variables based components (Tables 12 and 13). These correlated components provide an opportunity to identify alignments of inlet and estuarine variables. Hence, these cross component analyses were to reveal underlying relationships between the inlet and estuarine variables that were linked. Since the inlet variables PCA had not been included in any previous report it is presented in Appendix 2, same methods as previously employed with the exception that 3 components were selected based on the component number selection criteria (see above methods section).

Based on the inlet PCA, three components occur (Table 10, Appendix 2): 1) Cross Sectional Area-Depth Function correlated with inlet average depth (0.972), and inlet cross section (0.742 rotated loading), accounting for 31% of the variance in the data set; 2) Inlet Current

		DISTANCES	CUTAIN.	
Theorem has Beer	0.000			2.000
Chesapeake Bay		+		
Albemarie/Paniico Sound	+			
St Johns River	+-			
Rudson River/Raritan Bay	+			
James River				
Choptank River	+			
Tangier/Pocomoke Sound		·		
Patuxent River	+			
York River		And and the second s		
Chester River	-+   +			
Ragpahannock River		1		
Long Island Sound	++	I		
Broad River	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
St Catherines/Sapelo Sound		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Narragansett Bay	-			
Buzzards Bay	- 1			
Gardiners Bay				
Delaware Bay				
Potomac River	1			
Neuse River	- 1			
Pamlico/Pungo Rivers	-			
Biscayne Bay	ē			
St Helena Sound	-	Second Street		
Altamaba River				
Connecticut River		1		
St Marys River				
Charleston Harbor				
North & South Santee Rivers	- 1 1			
Winyah Bay				
Cape Fear River	1			
Ossabaw Sound	+	and the second s		
Savannah Sound				
Bogue River		1,		
Chincoteaque Bay		iya Cataliya dan		
Barnegat Bay	1 1			
Indian River	-	nana wani hiki ka		
New Jersey Inland Bays	+			
Great South Bay	-			
St Andrews/Simmons Sound	+			
Delaware Inland Bays	+			
New River	+			
the second se				

Figure 1. Dendrogram of clustered factor scores. Distance of cluster linkages (indicated by (+)) indicates strength of association between the estuaries or groups of estuaries linked together. Shorter distances indicate stronger associations among the members of the cluster (branch). Based on the PCA's identification of 5 significant components, the dendrogram's branching was cut at a distance of 0.75 to produce 5 dendrogram clusters that are comparable to the PCA components.





Speed Function correlated with inlet flood current speed (0.976), inlet ebb current speed (0.979) accounting for 39% of the variance in the data set; and 3) Inlet Cross Sectional Area-Width Function correlated with inlet width (0.947), inlet cross sectional area (0.629) accounting for 27% of the variance in the data set.

The results of the cross component analysis reveals that there was a high degree of similarity between the estuaries/inlets variables PCA's components (ALL1,2,3,5) and the estuaries variables PCA's components (EST1-5). Likewise, estuaries/inlets variable based components (ALL 2,4,5) aligned with the inlet variables based components (INLET1-3). These alignments were not surprising given the estuaries/inlets matrix and estuaries variables matrix share 21 common variables, and the estuaries/inlet matrix and inlet variables matrix share 5 common variables.

What is surprising is that the ALL4 (Inlet Current Speed Function) component did not align with any estuaries variables based components (EST1-5). Its only alignment was with the INLET2 (Inlet Current Speed Function) component. This indicates that the Inlet Current Speed Function was not aligning with any of the other components. This finding suggests: 1) the Inlet variables matrix data and/or the Estuaries variables matrix data were inadequate for the task of identifying

## Table 6. Estuaries/inlets dendrogram (tree1) versus estuaries dendrogram (tree2), comparison matrix and Bk statistic components.

omparison n	natrix		tree1	tree1	tree1	tree1	tree1	sum cells
	traci	hanabi	branch1	branch2	branch3	branch4	branch5	across rows
	tree2	branch1	0	0	10	1	0	11
	treez	branch2	2	0	1	0	0	3
	tree2	branch3	3	3	0	1	2	9
	tree2	branch4	0	0	0	0	9	9
	tree2	branch5	0	/	2	0	0	9
	sum cells	down columns	5	10	13	2	11	41
k statistics o	omponents for at	oove comparison m	atrix					
values	component							
41	mij=matrix cell	ls						
	e.g. above	2+3+3+7+10+2+	1+1+2+9					
263	mij squared	1squared+1squa	red+3squared-	+8squared+7squ	ared+6squared+	2squared+7squ	ared+4squared	
41	mi =sum botto	m	licarooquarea	rooquarear / oqu	arearooquarear	Loquaroa / oqu	arearroquarea	
	e.g. above	5+10+13+2+11						
419	mi, squared							
	e.g. above	5squared+10squa	ared+13squared	d+2squared+11s	quared			
41	m.i=sum side							
	e.g. above	11+3+9+9+9						
373	m.j squared							
	e.g. above	11squared+3squa	ared+9squared-	+9squared+9squa	ared			
41	n=mij							
5	k=number of c	lusters						
25	c=number of c	ells in comparison	matrix					
222	Tk=mij square	d - n						
378	Pk=mi. square	ed-n						
332	Qk=m.j square	ed-n						
3486	Pk'=mi.(mi1)	(mi2)						
	e.g. mi. values	of 5,10,13,2,11 PI	<'=5*4*3+10*9*	8+13*12*11+0+*	11*10*9			
2508	Ok'-m i(m i-1)	(m i-2)						
2000	e g for values	of 11 3.9 9 9						
	Qk'=11*10*9+	3*2*1+9*8*7+9*8*7	+9*8*7					
0.6267	Bk=Tk/square	root of Pk*Qk						
0.216009	E(Bk)=Bk mea	an= (square root of	Pk*Qk)/(n*(n-1	)				
0.0007	var(Bk)=2/[n(n	-1)]+[4(Pk'Qk')/n(n	-1)*(n-2)*PkQk	]+[{(Pk-2-4Pk'/Pl	<)*(Qk-2-4Qk'/Qk	()/n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3	3)}]	
	continued from	above: -PkOk/fa	quared (n-1)cc	uared				
0.26102		fidence Interval-	=(Bk) + twalve	for n * square ro	ot of var(Bk)			
1 20143	upper 93%001	muence mierval= t	(DK) + t-value	ior in square ro	ULUI VAI(DK)			

estuarine vs. inlet relationships concerning current speed; and or 2) no clear relationships exist between estuaries and their inlets current speeds. The authors feel that the data was inadequate and that there are definable estuarine to inlet current speed relationships. Additional effort will be required to delineate these relationships based on improved data sets.

Concerning the overall relationship of estuaries to their inlets in terms of their geomorphology, one pairing of components occurs between the estuaries variables based components (EST1-5) and the inlet variables based components (INLET1-3). This pairing aligns the EST2 (Seawater Function) component with the INLET1 (Cross Sectional Area-Depth Function) component.

The Seawater Function's dominant variables were: seawater volume; tidal prism; seawater surface area; and average depth of estuary. The Cross Sectional Area-Depth Function's dominant variables were: average depth of inlet; and cross sectional area of inlet. The Cross Sectional Area-Depth Function indicates that the cross sectional area generally increases with increasing inlet depth. Since the Seawater Function has average estuarine depth as one of its dominant variables, it makes sense that deeper estuaries have deeper inlets, and that inlet depth accounts for a large

#### Estuaries/Inlet Dendrogram

Inlet Dendrogram

with comparison real and the date



Figure 3. Comparision of Estuaries/Inlet Dendrogram versus Inlet Dendrogram (chapters 1 and 2).

portion of the inlets cross sectional area. This estuarine depth to inlet depth relationship was also seen in the estuaries/inlets PCA's Seawater Function (Table 4). The estuaries/inlets Seawater Function's dominant variables are: 1) inlet cross sectional area (rotated loading .940); 2) average estuarine depth (.923); 3) inlet average depth (.905); 4) seawater volume (.900); 5) seawater surface area (.856); 6) tidal prism volume (.849); and 7) inlet width (.588). Here the top three variables driving the component were average estuarine depth, average inlet depth, and inlet cross sectional area. Clearly, this estuarine depth to inlet depth/ cross sectional area linkage is the most important geomorphological relationship based on our analyses of the available data.

#### Summary

Bk statistical analysis of the inlet and estuarine dendrograms indicates that estuarine/inlet linkages exist for the 41 estuary/inlets evaluated. Cross component analysis identified cross component pairing of estuaries Seawater Function and the inlets' Cross Sectional Area-Depth Function. These findings establish geomorphic linkage of estuaries and inlets via their estuarine depth with inlet depth and inlet cross sectional area intra-relationships. Based on these intra-relationships, estuarine depth is positively correlated to inlet depth and inlet cross sectional area (i.e., deeper estuTable 7. Estuaries/inlets dendrogram (tree1) versus inlet dendrogram (tree2), comparison matrix and Bk statistic components.

Estuaries/Inlet vs. Inlet- 5 cluster comparison

Comparison matrix		tree1 branch1	tree1 branch2	tree1 branch3	tree1 branch4	tree1 branch5	sum cells across rows
tree2	2 branch1	0	1	0	0	0	1
tree2	2 branch2	4	2	1	7	9	23
tree2	2 branch3	6	0	2	2	0	10
tree2	2 branch4	1	0	5	0	0	6
tree2	2 branch5	0	0	1	0	0	1
SL	um cells down columns	s 11	3	9	9	9	41

Bk statistics components for above comparison matrix

values	component	
41	mij=matrix cells	
000	e.g. above 4-	+6+1+1+2+1+2+5+1+7+2+9
223	mil squared	environd, Service and Januared, Desuared, Januared, Desuared, Service ad, Januared, Tenuared, Desuared, Desuared
41	mi -sum bottom	squareu+osquareu+isquareu+isquareu+zsquareu+zsquareu+zsquareu+osquareu+isquareu+isquareu+zsquareu+zsquareu
	e a above 1	1 + 3 + 9 + 9 + 9
373	mi squared	
	e.g. above 1	1squared+3squared+9squared+9squared
41	m.i=sum side	
	e.g. above 1-	+23+10+6+1
677	m.j squared	
	e.g. above 1s	squared+23squared+10squared+6squared+1squared
41	n=mij	
5	k=number of clust	lers
a designed a		
25	c=number of cells	in comparison matrix
100	<b>T</b> 1	
182	I K=mil squared - I	n
332	Pk-mi squared-n	
UUL	r k-m. squareu-m	
636	Qk=m.i souared-n	
2508	Pk'=mi.(mi1)(mi	-2)
	e.q. mi. values of	11,3,9,9,9 Pk'=11*10*9+3*2*1+8*7*6+*9*8*7+9*8*7
11466	Ok'-m i(m i-1)(m i	-2)
11400	e g for values of 1	123 10 6 1 Ok'=0+23*22*21+9*8*7+6*5*4+0
0.3961	Bk=Tk/square roo	t of Pk*Qk
0.280101	E(Bk)-Bk mean-	(square root of Pk*Ok)/(n*(n-1)
0.200191	L(DK)=DK IIICall=	
0.0005	var(Bk)=2/[n(n-1)]	+[4(Pk'Ok')/n(n-1)*(n-2)*PkQk]+[{(Pk-2-4Pk'/Pk)*(Qk-2-4Qk'/Qk)/n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}]
0.04040	continued from ab	iove: -rKUKIN squared (n-1)squared
0.31913	upper 95%Confide	ence interval= E(DK) + t-value for n = Square root of var(BK)
IT BK less than	n upper 95% Confide	nce interval

Then clusters are not similar

aries have deeper inlets and that increases the inlets cross sectional area accordingly).

The cross component analysis and Principal Components Analysis revealed that inlet current speed does not correlate with any estuarine variables or combination of estuarine variables evaluated. This indicates that further work will be required to determine the hydrodynamic relationships of estuarine/marine exchanges through the inlets. Based on the results obtained from the estuaries data (chapter 1) versus those obtained from the inlet data (chapter 2), the estuaries data generated highly credible results while the inlet data did not.

Efforts to improve data should focus on the inlet data. For example, the demarcation and measurement of the inlets may need refinement. The inlets could be measured at the choke point (or manifold section) which mostheavily restricts tidal exchange. This choke point (section) could be based on the cross sectional area of the inlet instead of the narrowest distance between the inlets shores. Perhaps, characterizations of the flows passing through the inlets could be developed (e.g., velocity and volumetric time series per tide cycle). No doubt it will take time and effort to compile an improved inlet data set, but it may be the only means of determining the hydrodynamic/geomorphologic relationships controlling estuarine/marine exchanges for strategic purposes.

#### Estuaries Dendrogram

Broad River	
Delaware Bay	
Long Island Sound	
Buzzards Bay	
Narragansett Bay	
Gardiners Bay	
St. Helena Sound	
Barnegat Bay	7
Bogue River	and the second se
Biscayne Bay	***
NJ Inland Bays	*
Indian River	
Great South Bay	-+
Chincoteaque Bay	
Delaware Inland Bays	
Albemarle/Pamlico Sound	÷
St Johns River	
St Andrew/Simmons Sound	-
St Catherines/Sapelo Sound	
Choptank River	
Tangier/Pocomoke Sound	
New River	
Hudson River/Raritan Bay	
Chester River	
Patuxent River	
Rappahannock River	
York River	€
James River	
Potomac River	TTT I AND I TT
Chesapeake Bay	
Pamlico/Pungo Rivers	
Neuse River	
Altamaha River	
St Marys River	
Savannah Sound	-
Cape Fear River	-1
Winyah Bay	-
Connecticut River	- 11
Charleston Harbor	
North & South Santee Rivers	
Ossabaw Sound	

#### Inlet Dendrogram Long Island Sound St Johns River 122222 +-----St Marys River -----Indian River Cape Fear River --------- | || | +---Barnegat Bay Great South Bay New Jersey Inland Bays -----1 Savannah Sound -----i St Helena Sound St Catherines/Sapelo Sound ---Hudson River/Raritan Bay ---Broad River ----- Î James River ----Charleston Harbor Winyah Bay --- || +--| Delaware Inland Bays --- | North & South Santee Rivers---- | | Boque River ----1 --- 11 [] Chincoteaque Bay Albemarle/Pamlico Sound ----Ossabaw Sound -----New River -----Neuse River Pamlico/Pungo Rivers ----1 Rappahannock River Choptank River Chester River Connecticut River ----Altamaha River ----- | Tangier/Pocomoke Sound -----York River Patuxent River \*\*\*\*\* Narragansett Bay Buzzards Bay ----Delaware Bay -----+----Gardiners Bay Potomac River Ī Chesapeake Bay ----Biscayne Bay

Figure 4. Comparision of Estuaries Dendrogram versus Inlet Dendrogram (Lowery et. al. 1993a, b).

#### **Future Work**

The U.S. East Coast Estuaries Analysis (chapter 1) will be compared to an ongoing analysis of the Estuarine Living Marine Resources Program's (ELMR) relative abundance/estuaries matrices. This comparison explores if certain estuarine/inlet characteristics are statistically related to fisheries species abundance patterns. Further refinements and re-evaluation of the inlet/estuarine relationships will be undertaken in the future. These relationships are believed to be paramount to understanding estuarine/marine exchanges and their discernment are crucial to SEAD's ongoing East Coast Assessment.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Steve Stone, Moe Nelson, John Christensen and Steve Jury for their reviews of this chapter. We also thank Arthur Bulger, Bruce Hayden, Larry Claflin and Colin Mallows for their statistical consultations.

# Table 8. Inlet dendrogram (tree1) versus estuaries dendrogram (tree2), comparison matrix and Bk statistic components.

Inlet vs. Estuaries- 5 cluster comparison

Comparison matrix		tree1 branch1	tree1 branch2	tree1 branch3	tree1 branch4	tree1 branch5	sum cells across rows
tree2	branch1	1	0	0	0	0	1
tree2	branch2	1	8	7	0	7	23
tree2	branch3	0	0	6	0	4	10
tree2	branch4	3	1	0	2	0	6
tree2	branch5	0	1	0	0	0	1
sum cells	down columns	5	10	13	2	11	41

Bk statistics components for above comparison matrix

values	component
41	mij=matrix cells
	e.g. above 1+1+3+8+1+7+6+2+7+4
231	mij squared
41	e.g. above 1squared+1squared+3squared+8squared+7squared+6squared+2squared+7squared+4squared mi.=sum bottom
	e.g. above 5+10+13+2+11
419	mi. squared
	e.g. above 5squared+10squared+13squared+11squared+11squared
41	m.j=sum side
0.07	e.g. above 1+23+10+6+1
667	m j squared
41	e.g. above 1squared+23squared+10squared+6squared+1squared n=mij
5	k=number of clusters
25	c=number of cells in comparison matrix
400	The second se
190	I k=mij squared - n
270	
370	
626	
020	
3486	Pk'=mi (mi -1)(mi -2)
0.00	e.g. mi, values of 5.10.13.2.11 Pk=5*4*3+10*9*8+13*12*11+0+*11*10*9
11466	QK=m.j(m.j-1)(m.j-2)
0.0000	e.g. for values of 1,23,10,6,1 QR=0+23°22°21+10°9°8+6°5′4+0
0.3906	
0 206612	F(Bk)-Bk mean- (square root of Pk*OkV/n*(n-1)
0.230012	
0.0006	var(Bk)=2/[n(n-1)]+[4(Pk'Qk')/n(n-1)*(n-2)*PkQk]+[{(Pk-2-4Pk'/Pk)*(Qk-2-4Qk'/Qk)/n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}]
0.3394	continued from above: -PkQk/[n squared (n-1)squared] upper 95%Confidence Interval= E(Bk) + t-value for n * square root of var(Bk)
	A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC
If Bk less than	n upper 95% Confidence interval
Then clusters	are not similar

esty buzz narr	width 11713	avdep	crsec	~					
buzz narr	11713		01.000	fcurr	ecurr				
narr		14.4	169192.1	0.34	0.30				
-	10900	18.1	197444.5	0.21	0.28				
gard	19605	13.9	271643.0	0.36	0.50				
lisd	22357	27.9	624314.6	1.66	1.57				
conn	1399	2.7	3777.3	0.46	0.36				
bude	0135	4.2	7700.0	1.28	1.24				
ham	347	1.0	1380 0	1 13	1 29				
niby	7949	4.9	38951 6	1 35	1.54				
deby	18197	12.6	229276 7	0.72	0.67				
dein	152	4.5	685.8	0.93	1.10				
chnq	4942	3.4	16852.8	0.83	0.88				
ches	17730	7.8	137806.3	0.41	0.62				
patx	1509	13.1	19767.9	0.21	0.21				
poto	17775	9.1	161752.5	0.36	0.26				
rapp	6096	5.5	33528.0	0.36	0.26				
york	4541	7.9	35873.9	0.52	0.46				
jams	3683	9.1	33515.3	0.77	0.77				
chst	1196	5.8	6936.8	0.21	0.21				
chop	1322	6.2	8196.4	0.31	0.26				
tang	4617	6.5	30010.5	0.57	0.57				
alpin	5122	2.6	13199.6	0.99	0.92				
pant	10058	4.5	28390.5	0.10	0.15				
hore	3386	5.4	18245 1	0.10	0.15				
nwri	1006	2.0	2007 4	0.51	1 13				
cpfr	2114	1.6	3286.3	1.10	1.50				
winy	1975	5.0	9821.8	0.98	1.00				
nssn	1134	2.9	3281.8	0.77	0.89				
char	914	7.8	7135.0	0.93	0.93				
sthe	13730	4.7	65144.3	0.75	0.78				
brri	4206	10.5	44163.0	0.93	0.93				
sava	9400	5.9	55870.0	0.93	1.60				
ossa	5395	4.8	25817.0	0.82	1.18				
casa	8733	6.8	59352.0	0.89	0.99				
alta	3667	2.3	8493.9	0.57	0.62				
ansi	6309	7.5	47177.0	1.29	1.13				
mycb	1166	11.9	13873.7	1.13	1.40				
John	471	13.9	6530.9	0.97	1.19				
indn	386	4.7	1832.6	1.51	1.85	1			
DISC	22935	2.3	52807.7	0.26	0.27				
	grts huds barn njby deby dein chnq ches patx poto rapp york jams chst chop tang alpm paml neus boge nwri cpfr winy nssn char sthe brri sava ossa casa alta ansi mycb john indn bisc	grts       1829         huds       9135         barn       347         njby       7949         deby       18197         dein       152         chnq       4942         ches       17730         patx       1509         poto       17775         rapp       6096         york       4541         jams       3683         chst       1196         chop       1322         tang       4617         alpm       5122         paml       6309         neus       10058         boge       3386         nwri       1006         cpfr       2114         winy       1975         nssn       1134         char       914         sthe       13730         brri       4206         sava       9400         ossa       5395         casa       8733         alta       3667         ansi       6309         mycb       1166         john       471         indn <td>grts18294.2huds91358.4barn3474.0njby79494.9deby1819712.6dein1524.5chnq49423.4ches177307.8patx150913.1poto177759.1rapp60965.5york45417.9jams36839.1chst11965.8chop13226.2tang46176.5alpm51222.6panl63094.5neus100584.4boge33865.4mwri10062.0cpfr21141.6winy19755.0nssn11342.9char9147.8sthe137304.7brri420610.5sava94005.9ossa53954.8casa87336.8alta36672.3ansi63097.5mycb116611.9john47113.9indn3864.7bisc229352.3</td> <td>grts18294.27700.0huds91358.476732.8barn3474.01389.9njby79494.938951.6deby1819712.6229276.7dein1524.5685.8chnq49423.416852.8ches177307.8137806.3patx150913.119767.9poto177759.1161752.5rapp60965.533528.0york45417.935873.9jams36839.133515.3chst11965.86936.8chop13226.28196.4tang46176.530010.5alpm51222.613199.6paml63094.528390.5neus100584.444255.2boge33865.418245.1nwri10062.02007.4cpfr21141.63286.3winy19755.09821.8nssn11342.93281.8char9147.87135.0sthe137304.765144.3brri420610.544163.0sava94005.955870.0ossa53954.825817.0casa87336.859352.0alta36672.38493.9ansi63097.547177.0mycb1166&lt;</td> <td>grts18294.27700.01.28huds91358.476732.80.82barn3474.01389.91.13njby79494.938951.61.35deby1819712.6229276.70.72dein1524.5685.80.93chnq49423.416852.80.83ches177307.8137806.30.41patx150913.119767.90.21poto177759.1161752.50.36rapp60965.533528.00.36york45417.935873.90.52jams36839.133515.30.77chst11965.86936.80.21chop13226.28196.40.31tang46176.530010.50.57alpm51222.613199.60.99paml63094.528390.50.10neus100584.444255.20.10boge33865.418245.10.67nwri10062.02007.40.51cpfr21141.63286.31.10winy19755.09821.80.93sthe137304.765144.30.75brri420610.544163.00.93sthe137304.765144.30.75brri420610.544163.0<t< td=""><td>grts       1829       4.2       7700.0       1.28       1.24         huds       9135       8.4       76732.8       0.82       0.88         barn       347       4.0       1389.9       1.13       1.29         njby       7949       4.9       38951.6       1.35       1.54         deby       18197       12.6       229276.7       0.72       0.67         dein       152       4.5       685.8       0.93       1.10         chnq       4942       3.4       16852.8       0.83       0.88         ches       17730       7.8       137806.3       0.41       0.62         patx       1509       13.1       19767.9       0.21       0.21         poto       17775       9.1       161752.5       0.36       0.26         rapp       6096       5.5       33528.0       0.36       0.26         york       4541       7.9       35873.9       0.52       0.46         jams       3683       9.1       33515.3       0.77       0.77         chst       1196       5.8       6936.8       0.21       0.21         chag       4617</td><td>grts<math>1829</math><math>4.2</math><math>7700.0</math><math>1.28</math><math>1.24</math>huds<math>9135</math><math>8.4</math><math>76732.8</math><math>0.82</math><math>0.83</math>barn<math>347</math><math>4.0</math><math>1389.9</math><math>1.13</math><math>1.29</math>njby<math>7949</math><math>4.9</math><math>38951.6</math><math>1.35</math><math>1.54</math>deby<math>18197</math><math>12.6</math><math>229276.7</math><math>0.72</math><math>0.67</math>dein<math>152</math><math>4.5</math><math>685.8</math><math>0.93</math><math>1.10</math>chng<math>4942</math><math>3.4</math><math>16852.8</math><math>0.83</math><math>0.88</math>ches<math>17730</math><math>7.8</math><math>137806.3</math><math>0.41</math><math>0.62</math>patx<math>1509</math><math>13.1</math><math>19767.9</math><math>0.21</math><math>0.21</math>poto<math>17775</math><math>9.1</math><math>161752.5</math><math>0.36</math><math>0.26</math>york<math>4541</math><math>7.9</math><math>35873.9</math><math>0.52</math><math>0.46</math>jams<math>3683</math><math>9.1</math><math>33515.3</math><math>0.77</math><math>0.77</math>chst<math>1196</math><math>5.8</math><math>6936.8</math><math>0.21</math><math>0.21</math>chop<math>1322</math><math>6.2</math><math>8196.4</math><math>0.31</math><math>0.26</math>tang<math>4617</math><math>6.5</math><math>30010.5</math><math>0.57</math><math>0.57</math>alpm<math>5122</math><math>2.6</math><math>13199.6</math><math>0.99</math><math>0.92</math>paml<math>6309</math><math>4.5</math><math>28390.5</math><math>0.10</math><math>0.15</math>news<math>10058</math><math>4.4</math><math>44255.2</math><math>0.10</math><math>0.15</math>news<math>10058</math><math>4.4</math><math>44255.2</math><math>0.10</math><math>0.15</math>opge<math>3386</math><math>5.4</math><math>1826.3</math><math>1.10</math><math>1.50</math>winy<math>1975</math><math>5.0</math><math>9821.</math></td><td>grts       1829       4.2       7700.0       1.28       1.24         huds       9135       8.4       76732.8       0.82       0.88         barn       347       4.0       1389.9       1.13       1.29         njby       7949       4.9       38951.6       1.35       1.54         deby       18197       12.6       229276.7       0.72       0.67         dein       152       4.5       685.8       0.93       1.10         chnq       4942       3.4       16852.8       0.83       0.88         ches       17730       7.8       137806.3       0.41       0.62         patx       1509       13.1       19767.9       0.21       0.21         poto       17775       9.1       161752.5       0.36       0.26         rapp       6096       5.5       33528.0       0.36       0.26         york       4541       7.9       35873.9       0.52       0.46         jams       3683       9.1       33515.3       0.77       0.77         chst       1196       5.8       6936.8       0.21       0.21         alpn       5102</td><td>grts       1829       4.2       7700.0       1.28       1.24         huds       9135       8.4       76732.8       0.82       0.88         barn       347       4.0       1389.9       1.13       1.29         njby       7949       4.9       38951.6       1.35       1.54         deby       18197       12.6       6229276.7       0.72       0.67         dein       152       4.5       685.8       0.93       1.10         chnq       4942       3.4       16852.8       0.83       0.88         ches       17730       7.8       137806.3       0.41       0.62         patx       1509       13.1       19767.9       0.21       0.21         poto       17775       9.1       161752.5       0.36       0.26         rapp       6096       5.5       33528.0       0.31       0.26         tang       4617       6.5       30010.5       0.57       0.57         alpm       5122       2.6       13199.6       0.99       0.92         paml       6309       4.5       28390.5       0.10       0.15         neus       10058       &lt;</td></t<></td>	grts18294.2huds91358.4barn3474.0njby79494.9deby1819712.6dein1524.5chnq49423.4ches177307.8patx150913.1poto177759.1rapp60965.5york45417.9jams36839.1chst11965.8chop13226.2tang46176.5alpm51222.6panl63094.5neus100584.4boge33865.4mwri10062.0cpfr21141.6winy19755.0nssn11342.9char9147.8sthe137304.7brri420610.5sava94005.9ossa53954.8casa87336.8alta36672.3ansi63097.5mycb116611.9john47113.9indn3864.7bisc229352.3	grts18294.27700.0huds91358.476732.8barn3474.01389.9njby79494.938951.6deby1819712.6229276.7dein1524.5685.8chnq49423.416852.8ches177307.8137806.3patx150913.119767.9poto177759.1161752.5rapp60965.533528.0york45417.935873.9jams36839.133515.3chst11965.86936.8chop13226.28196.4tang46176.530010.5alpm51222.613199.6paml63094.528390.5neus100584.444255.2boge33865.418245.1nwri10062.02007.4cpfr21141.63286.3winy19755.09821.8nssn11342.93281.8char9147.87135.0sthe137304.765144.3brri420610.544163.0sava94005.955870.0ossa53954.825817.0casa87336.859352.0alta36672.38493.9ansi63097.547177.0mycb1166<	grts18294.27700.01.28huds91358.476732.80.82barn3474.01389.91.13njby79494.938951.61.35deby1819712.6229276.70.72dein1524.5685.80.93chnq49423.416852.80.83ches177307.8137806.30.41patx150913.119767.90.21poto177759.1161752.50.36rapp60965.533528.00.36york45417.935873.90.52jams36839.133515.30.77chst11965.86936.80.21chop13226.28196.40.31tang46176.530010.50.57alpm51222.613199.60.99paml63094.528390.50.10neus100584.444255.20.10boge33865.418245.10.67nwri10062.02007.40.51cpfr21141.63286.31.10winy19755.09821.80.93sthe137304.765144.30.75brri420610.544163.00.93sthe137304.765144.30.75brri420610.544163.0 <t< td=""><td>grts       1829       4.2       7700.0       1.28       1.24         huds       9135       8.4       76732.8       0.82       0.88         barn       347       4.0       1389.9       1.13       1.29         njby       7949       4.9       38951.6       1.35       1.54         deby       18197       12.6       229276.7       0.72       0.67         dein       152       4.5       685.8       0.93       1.10         chnq       4942       3.4       16852.8       0.83       0.88         ches       17730       7.8       137806.3       0.41       0.62         patx       1509       13.1       19767.9       0.21       0.21         poto       17775       9.1       161752.5       0.36       0.26         rapp       6096       5.5       33528.0       0.36       0.26         york       4541       7.9       35873.9       0.52       0.46         jams       3683       9.1       33515.3       0.77       0.77         chst       1196       5.8       6936.8       0.21       0.21         chag       4617</td><td>grts<math>1829</math><math>4.2</math><math>7700.0</math><math>1.28</math><math>1.24</math>huds<math>9135</math><math>8.4</math><math>76732.8</math><math>0.82</math><math>0.83</math>barn<math>347</math><math>4.0</math><math>1389.9</math><math>1.13</math><math>1.29</math>njby<math>7949</math><math>4.9</math><math>38951.6</math><math>1.35</math><math>1.54</math>deby<math>18197</math><math>12.6</math><math>229276.7</math><math>0.72</math><math>0.67</math>dein<math>152</math><math>4.5</math><math>685.8</math><math>0.93</math><math>1.10</math>chng<math>4942</math><math>3.4</math><math>16852.8</math><math>0.83</math><math>0.88</math>ches<math>17730</math><math>7.8</math><math>137806.3</math><math>0.41</math><math>0.62</math>patx<math>1509</math><math>13.1</math><math>19767.9</math><math>0.21</math><math>0.21</math>poto<math>17775</math><math>9.1</math><math>161752.5</math><math>0.36</math><math>0.26</math>york<math>4541</math><math>7.9</math><math>35873.9</math><math>0.52</math><math>0.46</math>jams<math>3683</math><math>9.1</math><math>33515.3</math><math>0.77</math><math>0.77</math>chst<math>1196</math><math>5.8</math><math>6936.8</math><math>0.21</math><math>0.21</math>chop<math>1322</math><math>6.2</math><math>8196.4</math><math>0.31</math><math>0.26</math>tang<math>4617</math><math>6.5</math><math>30010.5</math><math>0.57</math><math>0.57</math>alpm<math>5122</math><math>2.6</math><math>13199.6</math><math>0.99</math><math>0.92</math>paml<math>6309</math><math>4.5</math><math>28390.5</math><math>0.10</math><math>0.15</math>news<math>10058</math><math>4.4</math><math>44255.2</math><math>0.10</math><math>0.15</math>news<math>10058</math><math>4.4</math><math>44255.2</math><math>0.10</math><math>0.15</math>opge<math>3386</math><math>5.4</math><math>1826.3</math><math>1.10</math><math>1.50</math>winy<math>1975</math><math>5.0</math><math>9821.</math></td><td>grts       1829       4.2       7700.0       1.28       1.24         huds       9135       8.4       76732.8       0.82       0.88         barn       347       4.0       1389.9       1.13       1.29         njby       7949       4.9       38951.6       1.35       1.54         deby       18197       12.6       229276.7       0.72       0.67         dein       152       4.5       685.8       0.93       1.10         chnq       4942       3.4       16852.8       0.83       0.88         ches       17730       7.8       137806.3       0.41       0.62         patx       1509       13.1       19767.9       0.21       0.21         poto       17775       9.1       161752.5       0.36       0.26         rapp       6096       5.5       33528.0       0.36       0.26         york       4541       7.9       35873.9       0.52       0.46         jams       3683       9.1       33515.3       0.77       0.77         chst       1196       5.8       6936.8       0.21       0.21         alpn       5102</td><td>grts       1829       4.2       7700.0       1.28       1.24         huds       9135       8.4       76732.8       0.82       0.88         barn       347       4.0       1389.9       1.13       1.29         njby       7949       4.9       38951.6       1.35       1.54         deby       18197       12.6       6229276.7       0.72       0.67         dein       152       4.5       685.8       0.93       1.10         chnq       4942       3.4       16852.8       0.83       0.88         ches       17730       7.8       137806.3       0.41       0.62         patx       1509       13.1       19767.9       0.21       0.21         poto       17775       9.1       161752.5       0.36       0.26         rapp       6096       5.5       33528.0       0.31       0.26         tang       4617       6.5       30010.5       0.57       0.57         alpm       5122       2.6       13199.6       0.99       0.92         paml       6309       4.5       28390.5       0.10       0.15         neus       10058       &lt;</td></t<>	grts       1829       4.2       7700.0       1.28       1.24         huds       9135       8.4       76732.8       0.82       0.88         barn       347       4.0       1389.9       1.13       1.29         njby       7949       4.9       38951.6       1.35       1.54         deby       18197       12.6       229276.7       0.72       0.67         dein       152       4.5       685.8       0.93       1.10         chnq       4942       3.4       16852.8       0.83       0.88         ches       17730       7.8       137806.3       0.41       0.62         patx       1509       13.1       19767.9       0.21       0.21         poto       17775       9.1       161752.5       0.36       0.26         rapp       6096       5.5       33528.0       0.36       0.26         york       4541       7.9       35873.9       0.52       0.46         jams       3683       9.1       33515.3       0.77       0.77         chst       1196       5.8       6936.8       0.21       0.21         chag       4617	grts $1829$ $4.2$ $7700.0$ $1.28$ $1.24$ huds $9135$ $8.4$ $76732.8$ $0.82$ $0.83$ barn $347$ $4.0$ $1389.9$ $1.13$ $1.29$ njby $7949$ $4.9$ $38951.6$ $1.35$ $1.54$ deby $18197$ $12.6$ $229276.7$ $0.72$ $0.67$ dein $152$ $4.5$ $685.8$ $0.93$ $1.10$ chng $4942$ $3.4$ $16852.8$ $0.83$ $0.88$ ches $17730$ $7.8$ $137806.3$ $0.41$ $0.62$ patx $1509$ $13.1$ $19767.9$ $0.21$ $0.21$ poto $17775$ $9.1$ $161752.5$ $0.36$ $0.26$ york $4541$ $7.9$ $35873.9$ $0.52$ $0.46$ jams $3683$ $9.1$ $33515.3$ $0.77$ $0.77$ chst $1196$ $5.8$ $6936.8$ $0.21$ $0.21$ chop $1322$ $6.2$ $8196.4$ $0.31$ $0.26$ tang $4617$ $6.5$ $30010.5$ $0.57$ $0.57$ alpm $5122$ $2.6$ $13199.6$ $0.99$ $0.92$ paml $6309$ $4.5$ $28390.5$ $0.10$ $0.15$ news $10058$ $4.4$ $44255.2$ $0.10$ $0.15$ news $10058$ $4.4$ $44255.2$ $0.10$ $0.15$ opge $3386$ $5.4$ $1826.3$ $1.10$ $1.50$ winy $1975$ $5.0$ $9821.$	grts       1829       4.2       7700.0       1.28       1.24         huds       9135       8.4       76732.8       0.82       0.88         barn       347       4.0       1389.9       1.13       1.29         njby       7949       4.9       38951.6       1.35       1.54         deby       18197       12.6       229276.7       0.72       0.67         dein       152       4.5       685.8       0.93       1.10         chnq       4942       3.4       16852.8       0.83       0.88         ches       17730       7.8       137806.3       0.41       0.62         patx       1509       13.1       19767.9       0.21       0.21         poto       17775       9.1       161752.5       0.36       0.26         rapp       6096       5.5       33528.0       0.36       0.26         york       4541       7.9       35873.9       0.52       0.46         jams       3683       9.1       33515.3       0.77       0.77         chst       1196       5.8       6936.8       0.21       0.21         alpn       5102	grts       1829       4.2       7700.0       1.28       1.24         huds       9135       8.4       76732.8       0.82       0.88         barn       347       4.0       1389.9       1.13       1.29         njby       7949       4.9       38951.6       1.35       1.54         deby       18197       12.6       6229276.7       0.72       0.67         dein       152       4.5       685.8       0.93       1.10         chnq       4942       3.4       16852.8       0.83       0.88         ches       17730       7.8       137806.3       0.41       0.62         patx       1509       13.1       19767.9       0.21       0.21         poto       17775       9.1       161752.5       0.36       0.26         rapp       6096       5.5       33528.0       0.31       0.26         tang       4617       6.5       30010.5       0.57       0.57         alpm       5122       2.6       13199.6       0.99       0.92         paml       6309       4.5       28390.5       0.10       0.15         neus       10058       <

Table 10. Rotated loadings of Inlet variable matrix (Table 9). Rotated loadings in bold identify variables that are highly associated with the PCA components (Comp1-3).

Variable	Comp1	Comp2	Comp3
WIDTH	0.256	-0.153	0.947
AVDEP	0.972	0.022	0.189
CRSEC	0.742	0.087	0.629
FCURR	0.093	0.976	-0.057
ECURR	-0.024	0.979	-0.078

Table 12. Crists configurant warysts, Pennett containing music of components leader access from targe it: Constanted a prefight is took takenily component pairs that use highly correlated. Estuprishingly variables make (Abx 1-1), and acted yarappears represent 2011-0), and take variables mater. (2010)-31 Table 11. Factor scores from PCA of estuaries/inlets variables matrix (ALL1-5), estuaries variables matrix (EST1-5), and inlet variables matrix (Inlet1-3).

Estuary	ALL1	ALL2	ALL3	ALL4	ALL5	Est1	EST2	EST3	EST4	EST5	Inlet1	Inlet2	Inlet3
Albemarle/Pamlico Sound	2.840	-0.539	0.516	1.555	-0.461	-0.041	-0.357	4.744	0.578	0.869	-0.897	0.490	0.119
Altamaha River	-0.393	-0.615	1.058	-0.349	0.802	-0.007	-0.478	-0.511	1.082	0.301	-0.794	-0.402	-0.198
Barnegat Bay	-0.424	-0.754	-0.353	1.140	0.671	-0.249	-0.695	-0.310	-0.196	1.142	-0.481	1.011	-0.665
Biscayne Bay	-0.702	-0.319	-0.506	-0.764	2.805	-0.250	-0.449	-0.290	-0.115	2.669	-1.803	-1.006	2.675
Bogue River	-0.595	-0.628	-0.477	0.240	0.970	-0.224	-0.757	-0.478	-0.252	1.458	-0.291	-0.065	-0.449
Broad River	-0.351	0.242	-0.590	0.172	-0.805	-0.269	0.295	-0.394	-0.701	-0.722	0.617	0.291	-0.663
Buzzards Bay	-0.595	1.202	-0.402	-1.055	0.061	-0.241	0.921	-0.543	-0.350	0.317	1.346	-1.114	0.212
Cape Fear River	-0.191	-0.603	0.709	0.918	0.092	0.088	-0.290	-0.586	0.671	-0.328	-1.051	1.267	-0.128
Charleston Harbor	-0.197	-0.162	0.733	0.262	-0.334	-0.148	-0.088	-0.211	0.675	-0.361	0.241	0.290	-1.009
Chesapeake Bay	4.875	-0.246	-0.496	-0.807	1.583	5.878	-0.260	0.415	-0.301	0.172	-0.339	-0.516	1.722
Chester River	-0.283	-0.258	-0.087	-1.070	-0.826	-0.323	-0.240	-0.084	-0.172	-0.761	0.046	-1.410	-1.019
Chincoteaque Bay	-0.420	-0.567	-0.689	0.238	0.599	-0.195	-0.508	-0.338	-0.588	0.762	-0.718	0.213	0.000
Choptank River	-0.166	-0.291	-0.829	-0.860	-1.160	-0.434	-0.160	0.176	-1.015	-1.159	0.099	-1.230	-1.011
Connecticut River	-0.298	-0.277	4.932	-0.678	0.573	-0.268	-0.067	-0.075	4.842	-0.530	-0.555	-0.867	-0.664
Delaware Bay	0.549	1.632	-0.280	-0.651	1.881	0.845	2.134	0.064	-0.458	0.552	0.746	-0.092	1.642
Delaware Inland Bays	-0.509	-0.738	-0.863	0.711	-0.364	-0.470	-0.704	-0.317	-0.835	0.067	-0.340	0.529	-0.803
Gardiners Bay	-0.592	0.915	-0.612	-1.089	0.725	-0.187	0.228	-0.407	-0.512	0.739	1.043	-0.739	1.770
Great South Bay	-0.394	-0.697	-0.855	1.481	0.523	-0.470	-0.673	-0.011	-0.640	1.391	-0.498	1.159	-0.435
Hudson River/Raritan Bay	1.102	0.456	-0.070	0.046	-1.727	0.112	0.300	1.420	-0.125	-1.083	0.065	0.190	0.330
Indian River	-0.424	-0.670	-0.560	2.542	1.451	-0.441	-0.714	0.015	-0.080	3.008	-0.483	2.000	-0.563
James River	0.413	-0.133	0.057	-0.081	-0.579	0.359	-0.206	0.136	-0.001	-0.550	0.413	-0_081	-0.694
Long Island Sound	-0.123	5.185	0.234	1.778	0.266	-0.396	5.389	0.069	0.201	0.845	3.984	2.000	2.000
Narragansett Bay	-0.532	1.167	-0.403	-1.190	-0.051	-0.188	0.526	-0.423	-0.288	0.556	2.126	-1.366	-0.184
Neuse River	-0.162	-0.309	0.108	-1.499	0.367	0.010	-0.360	-0.089	0.073	-0.168	-0.607	-1.489	0.517
New Jersey Inland Bays	-0.525	-0.515	-0.626	1.389	0.479	-0.405	-0.576	-0.343	-0.486	0.838	-0.756	1.643	0.554
New River	-0.360	-0.795	-0.607	0.115	-0.672	-0.441	-0.527	-0.175	-0.755	-0.913	-0.826	0.074	-0.482
North & South Santee Rivers	-0.298	-0.591	0.425	0.027	-0.429	-0.197	-0.346	-0.365	0.276	-0.901	-0.621	0.126	-0.566
Ossabaw Sound	-0.387	-0.327	0.018	0.268	-0.214	-0.116	-0.196	-0.605	-0.041	-0.522	-0.552	0.531	0.032
Pamlico/Pungo Rivers	-0.226	-0.421	-0.031	-1.387	0.210	-0.039	-0.447	-0.156	-0.066	-0.153	-0.411	-1.532	-0.098
Patuxent River	-0.288	0.267	-0.398	-1.137	-2.088	-0.376	0.025	-0.221	-0.536	-1.653	1.321	-1.528	-1.601
Potomac River	0.462	0.297	-0.109	-1.627	0.515	0.996	0.009	-0.342	-0.191	-0.504	0.063	-0.993	1.601
Rappahannock River	-0.085	-0.122	-0.183	-1.108	-0.629	-0.044	-0.079	-0.123	-0.308	-0.945	-0.235	-1.098	-0.158
Savannah Sound	-0.314	-0.225	0.385	0.647	0.298	-0.047	-0.198	-0.498	0.381	-0.088	-0.602	1.175	0.711
St. Andrews/St. Simmons Sound	-0.071	-0.101	-0.598	0.646	-0.228	-0.162	0.133	-0.012	-0.797	-0.662	-0.071	1.049	0.015
St. Catherines/Sapelo Sound	-0.152	-0.059	-1.123	0.157	-0.831	-0.481	0.255	0.178	-1.442	-1.469	-0.268	0.415	0.400
St. Helena Sound	-0.418	-0.176	-0.252	-0.233	0.612	-0.206	-0.181	-0.319	-0.247	0.170	-0.851	0.081	1.300
St. Johns River	1.168	0.199	0.391	1.022	-2.339	-1.012	-0.171	3,059	0.353	-0.503	1.249	0.524	-1.566
St. Marys River	-0.622	0.350	1.673	1.000	-0.670	0.142	0.111	-1.499	1.880	-0.064	0.842	1.010	-1.201
Tangier/Pocomoke Sound	-0.037	-0.222	-0.485	-0.408	-0.527	-0.219	-0.144	0.183	-0.602	-0.618	-0.055	-0.511	-0.391
Winyah Bay	-0.057	-0.433	1.430	0.326	0.080	0.213	-0.280	-0.449	1.364	-0.448	-0.328	0.492	-0.572
York River	-0.221	-0.121	-0.184	-0.689	-0.632	-0.097	-0.177	-0.288	-0.279	-0.754	0.233	-0.722	-0.544

Table 12. Cross component analysis, Pearson correlation matrix of component factor scores from Table 10. Correlation coefficients in bold identify component pairs that are highly correlated. Estuaries/inlets variables matrix (ALL1-5), estuaries variables matrix (EST1-5), and inlet variables matrix (Inlet1-3)

	ALL1	ALL2	ALL3	ALL4	ALL5	EST1	EST2	EST3	EST4	EST5
EST1	0.778	-0.006	-0.021	-0.236	0.339					
EST2	0.017	0.972	0.036	0.067	0.024					
EST3	0.619	-0.008	0.010	0.242	-0.304					
EST4	0.002	-0.020	0.987	0.055	0.092					
EST5	-0.069	0.041	-0.141	0.401	0.756					
INLET1	-0.031	0.865	-0.040	-0.028	-0.308	-0.073	0.782	-0.002	-0.066	-0.124
INLET2	-0.026	0.086	-0.015	0.944	0.074	-0.136	0.164	0.068	0.024	0.325
INLET3	0.214	0.407	-0.197	-0.173	0.665	0.378	0.402	-0.046	-0.166	0.387

1st half of cross component pair	2nd half of cross component pair	correlation
ALL1 (System Magnitude & Mixing Function)	EST1 (System Magnitude Function;)	0.778
ALL1 (System Magnitude & Mixing Function)	EST3 (Mixing Function)	0.619
ALL2 (Seawater Function)	EST2 (Seawater Function)	0.972
ALL2 (Seawater Function)	INLET1 (Cross Sectional Area-Depth Function)	0.865
ALL3 (Freshwater Inflow Function)	EST4 (Stratification Function)	0.987
ALL4 (Inlet Current Speed Function)	INLET2 (Inlet Current Speed Function)	0.944
ALL5 (Width Function)	EST5 (Width Function)	0.756
ALL5 (Width Function)	INLET3 (Cross Sectional Area-Width Function)	0.665
EST2 (Seawater Function)	INLET1 (Cross Sectional Area-Depth Function)	0.782

#### References

Claflin, L.W. (1987) Associations between the phytoplankton and physiochemical regimes of Lake Michigan. p. 97-121. In *Phycology of Large Lakes of the World; Ergebn. Limnologie 25*, (ed. Munawar, M.), E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, D-7000 Stuttgart 1, Germany.

Fowlkes, E.B. and C.L. Mallows. 1983. A method for comparing two hierarchical clusterings. *Jour. Amer. Stat. Assoc.* 78(383):553-568.

Horn, M.N. and L.G. Allen 1976. Numbers of species and faunal resemblance of marine fishes in California bays and estuaries. *Bull. Calif. Acad. Sci.* 75, 159-170.

Lowery, T.A. and M.E. Monaco. 1991 unpublished. Comparison of Mobile Bay and Chesapeake Bay salinity zone delineations based on species occurrences. *Technical Report. (draft)* Strategic Assessments Branch, NOS/NOAA, Rockville, Maryland.

Monaco, M.E., T.A. Lowery, and R.L. Emmett. 1991. Assemblages of U.S. west coast estuaries based on the biogeography and life history characteristics of fishes. *Estuarine Research Federation*, 1991 San Francisco Conference, *Abstracts*.

Monaco, M.E., T.A. Lowery, and R.L. Emmett. 1992. Assemblages of U.S. west coast estuaries based on the distribution of fishes. *Journal of Biogeography* 19, 251-267.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) (1985) *National Estuarine Inventory: data atlas. Volume 1. Physical and hydrologic character istics.* p. 103. Strategic Assessments Branch, NOS/NOAA, Rockville, Maryland.

SYSTAT (1992) SYSTAT: Statistics, Version 5.2 edition, p. 724 SYSTAT, Inc., Evanston, IL.

## Appendix 1. Estuaries & Inlet variable matrix PCA.

SYSTAT 5.2.1 Principal Components 5 Components Selected Varimax Rotation Selected Scores Saved Selected

LATENT ROOTS (EIGENVALUES)

	1 8.821	2 5.786	3 2.657	4 2.269	5 1.25
	-	-			10
	6	7	8	9	
	1.075	0.925	0.751	0.612	0.515
	11	10	1.2	14	15
	0 361	12	10 229	0 1/2	10 000
	0.301	0.202	0.229	0.142	0.092
	16	17	18	19	20
	0 083	0 058	0.034	0 030	0 017
	0.000	0.050	0.001	0.000	0.017
	21	22	23	24	25
	0.013	0.008	0.004	0.003	0.001
	26				
	0.000				
COMPONENT LOADINGS					
	1	2	2	4	E
I DIGE		2	3	4	5
LENGT ECTWI	0.740	0.400	0.019	-0.147	0.242
ESTWI	0.421	-0.492	-0.092	0.379	-0.382
MINWI	0.709	-0.024	-0.220	-0.191	-0.294
ADEDT	0.607	-0.529	0.224	_0 291	0.055
	-0.208	0.223	0.275	-0.168	0.207
DVEI P	0.863	0.386	0.000	0.074	-0.099
FIFVR	0.780	0.400	-0 127	0.005	-0 151
HINVE	0.769	0 405	-0 146	0.005	-0 151
HIFLW	-0.079	0.440	0.736	-0.213	-0.288
I.WFI.W	-0.031	0.507	0.778	-0.103	-0.201
TPRSM	0.679	-0.594	0.190	-0.058	-0.007
TEVOL	0.746	0.429	0.133	0.218	0.222
MXVOL	0.809	0.453	-0.181	0.119	-0.065
SWVOL	0.506	-0.705	0.339	-0.017	0.108
TFSUR	0.604	0.479	0.114	0.290	0.211
MXSUR	0.764	0.489	-0.148	0.172	-0.017
SWSUR	0.553	-0.751	0.210	0.036	-0.097
FWFRC	-0.068	0.682	0.241	-0.238	0.247
DCPTL	-0.412	-0.040	-0.382	0.102	0.389
TPFLUSH	0.625	0.392	-0.109	0.042	0.316
IWIDTH	0.618	-0.429	-0.200	-0.338	-0.297
IAVDEP	0.452	-0.620	0.200	-0.261	0.412
ICRSEC	0.608	-0.705	0.148	-0.243	0.043
IFCURR	0.050	-0.319	0.360	0.821	0.058
IECURR	-0.029	-0.240	0.294	0.851	0.025
VARIANCE EXPLAINED B	Y COMPONENTS				
	1	2	2	1	F
	L 8 821	5 786	2 657	4 2 260	1 254
	0.021	5.700	4.001	4.200	1.4.14

PERCENT OF TOTAL	VARIANCE EXPLAI	NED			
	1	2	2	4	-
	1	2	3	4	5
	33.926	22.253	10.220	8.727	4.824
ROTATED LOADINGS					
	1	2	3	4	5
LENGT	0.830	0.200	0.098	-0.206	-0.146
ESTWI	0.106	0.351	-0.273	0.397	0.593
MINWI	0.553	0.267	-0.117	-0.274	0.459
MAXWI	0.583	0.359	-0.292	0.005	0.170
ADEPT	0.174	0.923	0.023	-0.065	-0.067
DPWR	-0.136	-0.004	0.699	0.021	-0.243
DYFLR	0.896	0.185	0.246	0.033	0.198
FIFYR	0.848	0.047	0.022	-0.131	0.260
HUNYR	0.845	0.031	0.006	-0.133	0.259
HIFLW	0.035	-0.137	0.919	-0.059	0.050
LWFLW	0.132	-0.156	0.933	0.047	-0.035
TPRSM	0.209	0.849	-0.063	0.121	0.263
TFVOL	0.884	0.117	0.129	0.162	-0.128
MXVOL	0.932	-0.003	-0.053	-0.050	0.188
SWVOL	0.012	0.900	0.003	0.236	0.119
TFSUR	0.806	-0.020	0.124	0.213	-0.156
MXSUR	0.925	-0.045	-0.033	0.005	0.123
SWSUR	0.025	0.856	-0.094	0.242	0.353
FWFRC	0.277	-0.325	0.451	-0.266	-0.434
DCPTL	-0.262	-0.242	-0.440	0.000	-0.397
TPFLUSH	0.773	0.075	-0.072	-0.066	-0.214
IWIDTH	0.211	0.588	-0.216	-0.311	0.524
IAVDEP	0.023	0.905	-0.102	-0.037	-0.190
ICRSEC	0.073	0.940	-0.106	-0.039	0.218
IFCURR	-0.033	0.156	0.006	0.940	0.018
IECURR	-0.048	0.023	-0.019	0.930	0.025
VARIANCE EXPLAINE	D BY ROTATED CO	MPONENTS			
	1	2	3	4	5
		5 010	0.050	0 440	1 004
	7.647	5.819	2.968	2.449	1.904
and Designment to sealed		VIDD			
PERCENT OF TOTAL	VARIANCE EXPLAI	NED			
	1	2	2	1	5
	1	2	2	4	5
	20 112	22 201	11 /15	9 120	7 200
	23.413	22.301	TT.4T)	9.420	1.344

## Appendix 1.- continued.

## Appendix 2. Inlet variable matrix PCA.

SYSTAT 5.2.1 Principal Components 3 Components Selected Varimax Rotation Selected Scores Saved Selected

LATENT ROOTS (EIGENVALUES)

	1	2	3	4	5
	2.360	1.973	0.516	0.082	0.068
COMPONENT LOADINGS					
	1	2	3		
WIDTH	0.842	-0.116	0.514		
AVDEP	0.833	0.232	-0.484		
CRSEC	0.948	0.234	0.003		
FCURR	-0.116	0.973	0.059		
ECURR	-0.215	0.951	0.120		
VARIANCE EXPLAINED B	Y COMPONENTS	5			
	1	2	3		
	2.360	1.973	0.516		
PERCENT OF TOTAL VAR	IANCE EXPLAI	INED			
	1	2	3		
	47.207	39.466	10.328		
ROTATED LOADINGS					
		2	-		
	1	2	3		
WIDTH	0.256	-0.153	0.947		
AVDEP	0.972	0.022	0.189		
CRSEC	0.742	0.087	0.629		
FCURR	0.093	0.976	-0.057		
ECURR	-0.024	0.979	-0.078		
VARIANCE EXPLAINED B	Y ROTATED CO	MPONENTS			
	1	2	3		
	1.571	1.942	1.338		
PERCENT OF TOTAL VAR	IANCE EXPLAI	INED			
	1	2	3		
	31.413	38.837	26.751		