Adapting to Coastal Hazards: Summary of Survey Results

A Manie Juckille

Authors Sarah Gonyo and Amy Freitag

NOAA National Ocean Service National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science Marine Spatial Ecology Division

January 2023

ALL LAND TO ALL THE

NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NCCOS 309



Suggested Citation

Gonyo, S., and A. Freitag. 2022. Adapting to Coastal Hazards: Summary of Survey Results. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NCCOS 309. Silver Spring, MD. 37 pp. doi: 10.25923/xg3e-bx33

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the following individuals for their thoughtful feedback and comments on the research: Cory Riley (NH Fish and Game), Kirsten Howard (NH DES), Steve Couture (NH DES), Steve Miller (formerly NH Fish and Game), Robert Johnston (Clark University), and Chloe Fleming (CSS/NOAA). We would additionally like to thank Tracy Keirns (UNH) and Andy Smith (UNH) for their efforts during data collection.

Disclaimer

Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for their use by the United States government.

1. Overview of Study

The Seacoast Region of New Hampshire (Figure 1) includes the eastern portion of Rockingham County and the southern portion of Strafford County. It stretches 18 miles along the Atlantic Ocean and contains over 326 miles of tidal shoreline, including marine and estuarine salt marsh. The region is home to roughly 11% of the state's population and has experienced greater population growth since 2010 than the rest of the state (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020). Due to an increase in impervious surfaces from extensive development (NOAA C-CAP, 2016), this region is vulnerable to both salt water and freshwater flooding from storm events (Rockingham Planning Commission, 2005).

In response to worsening coastal hazards, several state and local groups have formed in New Hampshire to increase public awareness and understanding of coastal risks and adaptations, as well as provide funding and other resources to help communities prepare. Understanding the relationships between risk perception and preparedness is critical to developing and implementing coastal



Figure 1. Map of the full study area (black outline), including the Seacoast Region (blue outline).

management plans and disaster risk reduction measures. The purpose of this study was to understand coastal New Hampshire residents' experiences, perceptions, and preferences related to coastal hazards and adaptation. Section 2 provides an overview of the survey methods, Section 3 provides a summary of respondent characteristics, and Section 4 provides summaries of survey questions. Both the survey and a summary table of weighted responses are provided in the Appendices.

2. Survey Methods

A random, household survey of residents 18 years of age or older was conducted from August to November 2021 in Strafford and Rockingham counties in New Hampshire and households in Massachusetts and Maine near the Hampton-Seabrook Estuary and the Piscataqua River, respectively (Figure 1, Table 1). The study area was stratified by census block based on proximity to three major water bodies (the Hampton-Seabrook Estuary, the Great Bay Estuary, and the Piscataqua River) and inclusion within the Seacoast Region. An Address Based Sample was purchased from Marketing Systems Group in Horsham, PA. Addresses were included or excluded based on recommendations and specifications for general population studies. For example, seasonal addresses were included, PO Boxes were only included if they were the only way to get mail, and addresses where mail is delivered by a private firm contracted by the USPS (U.S. Postal Service; highway contract) were also included. Following the Dillman Tailored Design Method (Dillman et al., 2014), potential respondents were contacted up to five times, including a letter of invitation with a survey link and unique ID, follow-up reminder postcards, and a paper version of the survey. Potential respondents were also provided a \$2 incentive enclosed with the first invitation letter.

Strata	Sample Size	Towns included in Selected Census Block Groups
Piscataqua	1,500	Dover, Eliot (ME), Kittery (ME), Kittery Point (ME), Newcastle, Portsmouth, Rollinsford, Somersworth, South Berwick (ME)
Great Bay	1,500	Dover, Durham, Exeter, Greenland, Madbury, Newfields, Newington, Newmarket, Portsmouth, Stratham
Hampton-Seabrook	1,500	Hampton, Hampton Falls, Salisbury (MA), Seabrook
Other coastal NH	1,500	Dover, Durham, Exeter, Hampton, Newmarket, North Hampton, Portsmouth, Rye, Rye Beach, Seabrook, Stratham
Rockingham/Strafford Counties (inland)1F2	3,000	Atkinson, Auburn, Barrington, Brentwood, Candia, Chester, Danville, Deerfield, Derry, East Derry, East Kingston, Epping, Exeter, Farmington, Fremont, Hampstead, Kensington, Kingston, Lee, Londonderry, Middleton, Milton, New Durham, Newton, Northwood, Nottingham, Plaistow, Raymond, Rochester, Salem, Sandown, Somersworth, Strafford, Windham

Table 1.	Strata,	Sample Si	ize, and	Communities. ¹
	0	00	20) 00	

From a sample of 9,000 households, 2,050 completed the survey, but 50 respondents were removed as they answered less than half of the survey, leading to an overall response rate of 22.2%. There were 1,445 respondents from the Seacoast region, 398 respondents from inland New Hampshire, 134 respondents from York County, ME, and 23 respondents from Essex County, MA. The rest of this report will focus on results from New Hampshire residents and provide comparisons between Seacoast and inland residents.

Responses were weighted using iterative proportional fitting (raking) to account for the complex sample design, nonresponse, and other factors affecting imbalance between the population and the sample. The following control variables (U.S. Census Bureau, 2019) were used as weighting factors: sex, age group, race (White), ethnicity (Hispanic), education, and household income. Weights were trimmed during iteration to be no less than 0.2 and no greater than five in order to reduce the mean squared error of key outcome estimates (Kolenikov, 2014). Unless otherwise stated, all values presented are weighted.

3. Resident Characteristics

Seacoast and inland residents have similar demographic characteristics (Table 2). However, there are a few key differences. Seacoast residents are less likely to be white, are likely to be older, more educated, and have high household incomes, and they are more likely to rent and have lived in the Seacoast/their town longer (Table 2).

¹ Some towns are listed in more than one stratum based on their location within the strata's geographic boundary.

² This sample was proportionately selected among Rockingham and Strafford county selected block groups.

Table 2. Weighted respondent characteristics by geography, including significance tests. Standard errors in parentheses.

Characteristic		Seacoast	Inland	Significance test
Live on/near water	Yes	0.54 (0.02)	0.53 (0.04)	t=0.75, p=0.45
Seacoast	Year-round resident	0.93 (0.01)		
resident/visitor	Visitor		1.00 (0.00)	
Sex	Female	0.51 (0.02)	0.44 (0.04)	t=1.40, p=0.16
Age	Years	57.6 (0.7)	56.2 (1.5)	t=1.88, p=0.08
Race	White	0.94 (0.01)	0.99 (0.00)	t=3.25, p<0.01
Ethnicity	Hispanic	0.09 (0.02)	0.04 (0.02)	t=1.01, p=0.32
	Less than 9th grade	0.00 (0.00)	0.01 (0.01)	
	9th to 12th grade, no diploma	0.02 (0.01)	0.08 (0.03)	
	High school graduate	0.07 (0.01)	0.11 (0.02)	
Education level	Some college, no degree	0.25 (0.02)	0.31 (0.04)	F=2.62, p=0.03
	Associate's degree	0.15 (0.02)	0.14 (0.02)	
	Bachelor's degree	0.18 (0.01)	0.13 (0.02)	
	Graduate or professional degree	0.33 (0.02)	0.23 (0.03)	
Employment status	Employed	0.63 (0.02)	0.58 (0.04)	t=0.65, p=0.52
	Less than \$10,000	0.03 (0.01)	0.03 (0.02)	
	\$10,000 to \$19,999	0.04 (0.01)	0.06 (0.02)	-
	\$20,000 to \$29,999	0.06 (0.01)	0.05 (0.01)	
	\$30,000 to \$39,999	0.06 (0.01)	0.08 (0.02)	
	\$40,000 to \$49,999	0.06 (0.01)	0.11 (0.03)	
Household income	\$50,000 to \$59,999	0.07 (0.01)	0.09 (0.02)	F=1.64, p=0.10
	\$60,000 to \$74,999	0.07 (0.01)	0.08 (0.02)	
	\$75,000 to \$99,999	0.11 (0.01)	0.12 (0.02)	
	\$100,000 - \$149,999	0.16 (0.01)	0.13 (0.03)	
	\$150,000 to \$199,999	0.19 (0.02)	0.20 (0.03)	
	\$200,000 or more	0.14 (0.01)	0.04 (0.01)	
Tenure	Seacoast/Town	27.0 (0.8)	19.2 (1.1)	t=6.12, p<0.01
renure	State	30.5 (0.8)	32.1 (1.5)	t=0.57, p=0.57
Ownership status	Rent	0.21 (0.02)	0.11 (0.02)	E-2 20 n-0 04
ownersnip status	Own	0.75 (0.02)	0.83 (0.03)	г-з.20, µ=0.04
Household	Total	2.4 (0.1)	2.5 (0.1)	t=1.24, p=0.22
Household size	Children	0.3 (0.0)	0.4 (0.1)	t=0.68, p=0.50

4. Question Summaries³

How much of a problem do you think each of the following are in your community?

Respondents were asked to rate four coastal hazards in terms of how problematic they are for their community (Figure 2). Seacoast residents appear to be generally concerned about all four coastal hazards, whereas inland residents are generally less concerned (12.38 <F<56.39, p<0.01). In particular, they are less likely to believe shoreline erosion and coastal flooding are problems compared to riverine flooding and coastal storms (3.82<t<6.98, p<0.01).



Figure 2. Seacoast and inland residents' perceptions of the community problem level of coastal storms, coastal flooding, riverine flooding, and shoreline erosion.

³ The choice experiment questions (16-29) are excluded as they require statistical modeling to properly interpret.

How do you think the following will change in the next 10 years?

Respondents were asked to indicate how they believed coastal hazards would change in the future (Figure 3). Both Seacoast and inland residents believe that coastal hazards will increase in the future, with some uncertainty regarding riverine flooding (2.44<t<8.06, p<0.01). However, inland residents are more likely to believe coastal hazards will decrease or stay about the same (3.37 <F<4.27, p<0.02) than Seacoast residents.



Figure 3. Seacoast and inland residents' perceptions of how coastal storms, coastal flooding, riverine flooding, and shoreline erosion will change in the next 10 years.

Has your home or property been damaged from the following in the past five years?

Respondents were asked if their home or property had been damaged from coastal hazards (Figure 4). Few New Hampshire residents have experienced damages from coastal hazards. Seacoast residents are less likely to have experienced past damage from riverine flooding (F=3.76, p<0.03) and coastal storms (F=5.20, p<0.01) than inland residents.



Figure 4. Whether Seacoast and inland residents have experienced damages from coastal storms, coastal flooding, riverine flooding, or shoreline erosion in the past five years.

Have any of the following been done on your home or property in the past five years?

Respondents were asked if they had adapted their home or property in the past (Figure 5). Seacoast residents are more likely to have installed shoreline walls or riprap (F=5.63, p=0.02), planted restored, or preserved natural shoreline (F=4.35, p=0.04), put all or part of their property into a conservation easement (F=7.24, p<0.01), or installed wet floodproofing (F=3.30, p=0.07) than inland residents.



Figure 5. Whether Seacoast or inland residents have adapted to coastal hazards in the past five years.

How likely are you to do any of the following on your home or property in the next 10 years?

Respondents were asked how likely they were to adapt their home or property in the future (Figure 6). New Hampshire residents are generally not likely to intend to adapt on their home or property. Seacoast residents are more likely to intend to install shoreline walls or riprap (F=3.05, p=0.03), plant, restore, or preserve natural shoreline (F=3.23, p=0.01), put all or part of your property into a conservation easement (F=2.78, p=0.04), improve drainage (F=4.32, p<0.02), install wet floodproofing (F=2.32, p=0.06), install sump pumps (F=3.75, p<0.01), or move away because of flooding, storms, and/or erosion (F=2.47, p=0.05) than inland residents.



Figure 6. Seacoast and inland residents' intentions to adapt to coastal hazards in the next 10 years.

To what degree would the following factors make you more likely to install shoreline walls or riprap on your home or property?

Respondents were asked to rate the effect of different factors on their likelihood of installing shoreline walls or riprap on their home or property (Figure 7). The factors that are more important for Seacoast residents than inland residents are: the effectiveness at reducing damage from flooding (F=2.88, p=0.04), municipal or state regulations and ordinances (F=3.67, p=0.01), whether their neighbors have installed shoreline walls or riprap (F=2.49, p=0.07), and installation costs (F=2.39, p=0.07).



Figure 7. The effects of key factors on Seacoast and inland residents' likelihood to install shoreline walls or riprap.

To what degree would the following factors make you more likely to plant, restore, or preserve the natural shoreline on your home or property?

Respondents were asked to rate the effect of different factors on their likelihood of planting, restoring, or preserving the natural shoreline on their home or property (Figure 8). The factors that are more important for Seacoast residents than inland residents are: the effectiveness at reducing damage from flooding (F=3.34, p=0.02), the effectiveness at reducing damage from erosion (F=2.83, p=0.04), municipal or state regulations and ordinances (F=2.38, p=0.07), and whether their neighbors have planted, restored or preserved the natural shoreline (F=3.47, p=0.02) are more likely to make Seacoast residents plant, restore or preserve the natural shoreline than inland residents.



Figure 8. The effects of key factors on Seacoast and inland residents' likelihood to plant, restore, or preserve the natural shoreline.

How supportive would you be of each potential policy or ordinance?

Respondents were asked how supportive they would be of policies or ordinances related to buffer lands (Figure 9). Seacoast and inland residents are generally supportive of each potential policy or ordinance related to buffer lands. Seacoast residents are more supportive of limiting fertilizer and pesticide use within buffer lands (F=2.93, p=0.01), restricting new development within buffer lands (F=2.69, p=0.02), and restricting the cutting or removal of natural vegetation within buffer lands (F=2.24, p=0.05).



Figure 9. Seacoast and inland residents' support levels for potential policies or ordinances related to buffer lands.

How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues?

Respondents were asked how often they used certain methods to get information on local environmental issues (Figure 10). Seacoast and inland residents are most likely to get information on local environmental issues directly from people or from websites/apps for news outlets. There are no significant differences between regions (0.81<F<1.79, 0.13<p<0.52).



Figure 10. Seacoast and inland residents' methods for getting information on local environmental issues.

How credible do you consider these informational sources on local environmental issues?

Respondents were asked to rate how credible they consider certain informational sources on local environmental issues (Figure 11). Seacoast and inland residents are least likely to find the Federal government a credible source on local environmental issues. Seacoast residents are more likely to find local government (F=2.00, p=0.09), non-profit organizations (F=3.20, p=0.01), and academic institutions (F=2.96, p=0.02) to be credible than inland residents.



Figure 11. Seacoast and inland residents' perceived credibility of informational sources on local environmental issues.

Place Attachment

Residents were asked to read a series of place attachment statements about the Seacoast Region and indicate their level of agreement or disagreement (Figure 12 and Figure 13). Seacoast Residents are more place attached than inland residents (F=2.51<F<35.35, p<0.04).



Figure 12. Seacoast residents' responses to place attachment items.



Figure 13. Inland residents' responses to place attachment items.

When considering options to manage coastal New Hampshire shorelines, how important to you are each of the following?

Respondents were asked to rate the importance of New Hampshire shoreline management outcomes (Figure 14 and Figure 15). Seacoast residents believe the following are more important when considering options to manage coastal New Hampshire shorelines than inland residents: protecting private homes and property (F=3.72, p<0.01), protecting recreation areas (F=2.82, p=0.02), protecting natural areas and habitat (F=3.50, p=0.01), and protecting public services (F=3.30, p=0.01).



Figure 14. Seacoast residents' preferences for management outcomes.



Figure 15. Inland residents' preferences for management outcomes.

References

- Dillman, D. A., J. D. Smyth and L. M. Christian (2014). Internet, Phone, Mail, and Mixed-Mode Surveys: The Tailored Design Method. Hoboken, New Jersey, Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Kolenikov, S. (2014). "Calibrating Survey Data using Iterative Proportional Fitting (Raking)." The Stata Journal: Promoting communications on statistics and Stata 14(1): 22-59. doi: 10.1177/1536867x1401400104
- NOAA Coastal Change Analysis Program (C-CAP). 2016. "Regional Land Cover Database." Electronic dataset. Charleston, SC: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Office for Coastal Management.
- Rockingham Planning Commission, "Limiting Impervious Surface Cover and Protecting Water Resources through Better Site Design and Planning" (2005). PREP Reports & Publications. 196. https://scholars.unh.edu/prep/196

U.S. Census Bureau. (2020). "2020 Decennial Census."

Appendices

Appendix A. Summary tables

			Seacoast	Residents	Inland R	esidents
Survey Question		Response Options	Mean	SM	Mean	SM
		Not at all	0.05	0.01	0.26	0.03
		Minor	0.22	0.02	0.34	0.03
	Coastal storms	Moderate	0.49	0.02	0.31	0.03
		Major	0.20	0.01	0.06	0.01
		Unsure	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01
		Not at all	0.08	0.01	0.45	0.04
		Minor	0.25	0.02	0.24	0.03
	Coastal flooding	Moderate	0.39	0.02	0.20	0.03
How much of a		Major	0.24	0.02	0.08	0.02
problem do you think		Unsure	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.01
each of the following		Not at all	0.09	0.01	0.22	0.03
are in your		Minor	0.27	0.02	0.32	0.03
community?	Riverine flooding	Moderate	0.34	0.02	0.34	0.03
		Maior	0.14	0.01	0.07	0.01
		Unsure	0.16	0.02	0.06	0.02
		Not at all	0.10	0.01	0.42	0.04
		Minor	0.19	0.01	0.21	0.03
	Shoreline erosion	Moderate	0.15	0.02	0.23	0.03
		Major	0.32	0.02	0.25	0.03
			0.01	0.02	0.10	0.02
		Decrease	0.07	0.01	0.04	0.02
		Stav about the same	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
	Coastal storms	Increase	0.24	0.02	0.54	0.03
		Unsure	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.01
	Coastal flooding	Decrease	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
		Stav about the same	0.19	0.01	0.30	0.03
		Increase	0.77	0.02	0.64	0.03
How do you think the		Unsure	0.04	0.01	0.05	0.01
following will change		Decrease	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
In the next 10 years?	Riverine flooding	Stay about the same	0.25	0.02	0.33	0.03
		Increase	0.60	0.02	0.55	0.04
		Unsure	0.15	0.02	0.11	0.02
		Decrease	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
	Sharaling gracian	Stay about the same	0.18	0.01	0.29	0.03
		Increase	0.76	0.02	0.64	0.03
		Unsure	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.01
		No	0.86	0.01	0.93	0.02
	Coastal storms	Yes	0.10	0.01	0.03	0.01
		Unsure	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.02
		No	0.92	0.01	0.96	0.02
Has your home or	Coastal flooding	Yes	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01
property been		Unsure	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.01
following in the past		No	0.91	0.01	0.96	0.01
five years?	Riverine flooding	Yes	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01
inve years:		Unsure	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.01
		No	0.91	0.01	0.93	0.02
	Shoreline erosion	Yes	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.02
		Unsure	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.01

Table A1. Weighted means and standard errors (SE) for each survey question by geography. "--" indicates no observations.

			Seacoast Residents		Inland Residents	
Survey Question		Response Options	Mean	SM	Mean	SM
	Install shoreline walls or riprap	Yes	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.01
Have any of the	Plant, restore, or preserve natural shoreline	Yes	0.17	0.02	0.08	0.03
following been done	Put all or part of your property into a conservation easement	Yes	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00
property in the past	Improve drainage	Yes	0.27	0.02	0.24	0.03
five years?	Raise all or part of your house	Yes	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01
ive years.	Install wet floodproofing	Yes	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.01
	Install sump pumps	Yes	0.17	0.01	0.12	0.02
		Not at all	0.81	0.02	0.89	0.03
	Install shoreline walls or rinran (rocky	Slightly	0.07	0.01	0.07	0.02
	material placed along a shoreline)	Moderately	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.00
		Very	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.00
		Extremely	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
		Not at all	0.65	0.02	0.76	0.05
		Slightly	0.12	0.01	0.15	0.04
	Plant, restore, or preserve natural shoreline	Moderately	0.12	0.02	0.06	0.02
		Very	0.08	0.01	0.03	0.01
		Extremely	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01
		Not at all	0.91	0.01	0.96	0.01
	Put all or part of your property into a	Slightly	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.01
	conservation easement	Moderately	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01
	conscivation casement	Very	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Extremely	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Not at all	0.49	0.02	0.52	0.04
	Improve drainage (for example, drainage	Slightly	0.24	0.02	0.34	0.04
How likely are you to	ditches/nines)	Moderately	0.17	0.01	0.07	0.02
do any of the		Very	0.07	0.01	0.04	0.01
following on your		Extremely	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01
home or property in		Not at all	0.94	0.01	0.96	0.01
the next 10 years?		Slightly	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.01
	Raise all or part of your house	Moderately	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01
		Very	0.00	0.00		
		Extremely	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Not at all	0.80	0.02	0.87	0.03
	Install wet floodproofing (for example,	Slightly	0.11	0.01	0.10	0.03
	flood vents)	Noderately	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.01
		Very	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00
		Extremely Not at all	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00
		NOT at all	0.09	0.02	0.76	0.05
	Install sump numps	Moderately	0.10	0.01	0.17	0.03
		Verv	0.08	0.01	0.02	0.01
		Extremely	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01
		Not at all	0.84	0.01	0.91	0.02
		Slightly	0.09	0.01	0.06	0.02
	Move away because of flooding, storms,	Moderately	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.00
	and/or erosion	Verv	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00
		Extremely	0.02	0.01		
		No effect	0.00	0.00	0.59	0.05
	Effectiveness at reducing damage from	Minor effect	0.47	0.03	0.33	0.03
	flooding	Moderate effect	0.19	0.02	0.15	0.04
To what degree		Major effect	0.21	0.02	0.11	0.04
would the following		No effect	0.48	0.03	0.54	0.05
factors make you	Effectiveness at reducing damage from	Minor effect	0.14	0.02	0.17	0.04
more likely to install	shoreline erosion	Moderate effect	0.21	0.02	0.12	0.03
shoreline walls or		Major effect	0.17	0.02	0.17	0.04
riprap on your home		No effect	0.56	0.03	0.67	0.05
or property?	My or my family's attachment to the	Minor effect	0.16	0.02	0.13	0.03
	property	Moderate effect	0.15	0.02	0.10	0.03
	h. choi ()	Major effect	0.13	0.02	0.11	0.03

			Seacoast Residents		Inland Residents	
Survey Question		Response Options	Mean	SM	Mean	SM
		No effect	0.44	0.03	0.60	0.05
	Municipal or state regulations and	Minor effect	0.15	0.02	0.11	0.03
	ordinances	Moderate effect	0.21	0.02	0.15	0.04
Continued		Major effect	0.20	0.02	0.14	0.04
Continueu		No effect	0.57	0.03	0.72	0.05
To what degree	If my neighbors have installed shoreline	Minor effect	0.20	0.02	0.11	0.03
would the following	walls or riprap	Moderate effect	0.16	0.02	0.14	0.04
factors make you		Major effect	0.07	0.01	0.03	0.02
more likely to install		No effect	0.62	0.03	0.69	0.05
shoroling walls or	The way shoreline walls or ripran look	Minor effect	0.22	0.02	0.18	0.04
rinran on your homo	The way shoreline wails of hiprap look	Moderate effect	0.11	0.02	0.09	0.03
or property?		Major effect	0.05	0.01	0.04	0.03
or property?		No effect	0.48	0.03	0.61	0.05
	Cast of installing charaling walls or ringan	Minor effect	0.13	0.02	0.10	0.03
	Cost of installing shoreline walls of riprap	Moderate effect	0.19	0.02	0.14	0.04
		Major effect	0.19	0.02	0.15	0.04
		No effect	0.36	0.03	0.48	0.06
	Effectiveness at reducing damage from	Minor effect	0.15	0.02	0.14	0.04
	flooding	Moderate effect	0.25	0.02	0.29	0.05
		Major effect	0.24	0.02	0.09	0.02
		No effect	0.37	0.03	0.52	0.05
	Effectiveness at reducing damage from	Minor effect	0.12	0.02	0.52	0.03
	choreline erosion	Modorato offect	0.12	0.02	0.14	0.04
		Major offect	0.20	0.02	0.10	0.04
		Najor enect	0.25	0.02	0.15	0.04
		NO effect	0.40	0.03	0.60	0.05
	property	Minor effect	0.18	0.02	0.13	0.03
To what degree		Moderate effect	0.23	0.02	0.17	0.04
would the following		Major effect	0.14	0.02	0.11	0.03
factors make you		No effect	0.39	0.03	0.44	0.06
more likely to plant,	Municipal or state regulations and	Minor effect	0.16	0.02	0.21	0.04
restore, or preserve	ordinances	Moderate effect	0.24	0.02	0.24	0.05
the natural		Major effect	0.20	0.02	0.11	0.03
shoreline on your		No effect	0.48	0.03	0.64	0.05
home or property?	If my neighbors have planted, restored, or	Minor effect	0.23	0.02	0.20	0.04
	preserved the natural shoreline	Moderate effect	0.21	0.02	0.14	0.04
		Major effect	0.08	0.01	0.02	0.01
		No effect	0.41	0.03	0.50	0.06
		Minor effect	0.19	0.02	0.21	0.04
	The way the natural shoreline looks	Moderate effect	0.25	0.02	0.23	0.05
		Major effect	0.14	0.02	0.06	0.03
		No effect	0.40	0.03	0.48	0.06
	Cost of planting, restoring, or preserving	Minor effect	0.17	0.02	0.17	0.04
	the natural shoreline	Moderate effect	0.24	0.02	0.24	0.04
		Major effect	0.24	0.02	0.24	0.04
		Strongly opposed	0.13	0.02	0.11	0.01
		Onnose	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01
	Limit fertilizer and pesticide use within	Neither	0.07	0.01	0.07	0.02
	buffor lands	Supportivo	0.07	0.01	0.05	0.02
How supportive		Supportive Strongly supportive	0.54	0.02	0.30	0.03
would you be of each			0.52	0.02	0.40	0.04
notential policy or		Strongly opposed	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
ordinance?		Onnose	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.01
or unitance !	Restrict new development within huffer	Neither	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
	lands (dovelopment setback)	Supportivo	0.07	0.01	0.08	0.02
		Strongly supportive	0.51	0.02	0.30	0.03
		Strongly supportive	0.52	0.02	0.42	0.04
		Unsure	0.04	0.01	0.05	0.02

Survey Question Mean Stm Mean Stm Mean Stm Mean Stm Mean Stm Mean Stm Strongly opposed 0.03 0.01 0.07 0.02 Continued Restrict the cutting or removal of natural vegetation within buffer lands Strongly supportive 0.03 0.01 0.09 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.09 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.09 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.0				Seacoast	Residents	Inland R	esidents
Continued Restrict the cutting or removal of natural vegetation within buffer lands Strongly opposed 0.03 0.01 0.08 0.02 Continued Newspeation within buffer lands Supportive 0.46 0.02 0.37 0.04 How supportive would you be of each potential points Purchase buffer lands for conservation by potential points Strongly opposed 0.03 0.01 0.05 0.03 0.01 Potential points Purchase buffer lands for conservation by potential points Strongly opposed 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.05 0.03 Potential points 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.05 0.03 0.01 0.05 0.03 Potential points Strongly opposed 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.06 0.03 0.01 0.06 0.03 0.01 Potential points Strongly opposed 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.06 0.03 0.01 0.06 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02	Survey Question		Response Options	Mean	SM	Mean	SM
Continued Restrict the cutting or removal of natural vegetation within buffer lands Nether 0.05 0.01 0.08 0.02 Continued Nether 0.07 0.01 0.08 0.02 How supportive would you be of each potential policy or orivate organizations Strongly supportive 0.03 0.01 0.05 0.01 Would you be of each potential policy or orivate organizations Supportive 0.35 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.01 Reduce property taxes on privately supportive undefer lands Strongly supportive 0.39 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.01 0.03 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Strongly opposed</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.07</td> <td>0.02</td>			Strongly opposed	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.02
Restrict the cutting or removal of natural vegetation within buffer lands Neither 0.07 0.01 0.02 Continued Supportive 0.35 0.02 0.37 0.04 How supportive would you be of each potential polity or ordinance? Purchase buffer lands for conservation by multic or private organizations Strongly supportive 0.35 0.02 0.36 0.01 Reduce property taxes on privately own ordinance? Reduce property taxes on privately own buffer lands Strongly supportive 0.36 0.01 0.05 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications Supportive 0.36 0.01 0.05 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications Never 0.16 0.03 0.01 0.02 Newspapers, other print publications Supportive 0.34 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications Offen 0.33 0.02 0.34 0.03 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications Offen 0.34 0.02 0.03 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications Offen 0.34 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Oppose</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>0.02</td>			Oppose	0.05	0.01	0.08	0.02
Continued vegetation within buffer lands Supportive Unsure 0.35 (0.04 (0.02) 0.37 (0.02) 0.04 (0.02) 0.03 (0.01) 0.02 (0.03) 0.01 (0.05) 0.01 (0.05) How supportive would you be of each potential policy or ordinance? Purchase buffer lands for conservation by public or private organizations Strongly supportive (0.03) 0.01 0.05 0.01 Reduce property taxes on privately owned buffer lands Korngly supportive (0.02) 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03		Restrict the cutting or removal of natural	Neither	0.07	0.01	0.09	0.02
Continued Strongly supportive Unsure 0.46 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 How supportive would you be of each potential policy or dinance? Purchase buffer lands for conservation by public or private organizations Neither 0.12 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.04 0.03 0.01 0.04 0.03 0.03 0.01 0.04 0.03 0.01 0.05		vegetation within buffer lands	Supportive	0.35	0.02	0.37	0.04
Continued Insure 0.04 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 How supportive would you be of each potential policy or ordinance? public or private organizations Neither 0.12 0.01 0.05 0.01 Reduce property taxes on privately owned buffer lands Krongly opposed 0.07 0.01 0.05 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications Strongly opposed 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications Strongly opposed 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications Strongly opposed 0.02 0.32 0.03 0.02 Newspapers, other print publications Strongly opposed 0.02 0.32 0.03 0.02 0.32 0.03 0.02 0.32 0.03 0.02 0.32 0.03 0.02 0.32 0.03 0.02 0.32 0.03 0.02 0.33 0.02 0.33 0.02 0.33 0.02 0.33 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.04 0.03			Strongly supportive	0.46	0.02	0.35	0.03
Continued Strongly opposed 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.00 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.02 0			Unsure	0.04	0.01	0.05	0.01
How supportive would you be of each potential policy or ordinance? Public or private organizations Oppose Network 0.03 0.01 0.05 0.03 refase buffer lands for conservation by potential policy or ordinance? 0.35 0.02 0.36 0.03 Reduce property taxes on privately owned buffer lands Strongly opposed 0.07 0.01 0.05 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications Strongly opposed 0.30 0.02 0.31 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Strongly opposed 0.30 0.02 0.31 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Sometimes 0.31 0.02 0.31 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Sometimes 0.31 0.02 0.27 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Sometimes 0.31 0.02 0.34 0.03 Tv Never 0.17 0.02 0.27 0.20 0.27 0.03 0.02 Never 0.31 0.03 0.02 0.38 0.04 0.04 0.01 <	Continued		Strongly opposed	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02
How supportive would you be of each potential policy or ordinance? Purchase buffer lands for conservation by public or private organizations Neither 0.12 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.31 0.03 ordinance? Jusure 0.07 0.01 0.09 0.02 0.01 0.09 0.02 ordinance? Reduce property taxes on privately owned buffer lands Neither 0.15 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.03 0.0			Oppose	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.01
would you be of each potential policy or ordinance? public or private organizations Supportive strongly supportive Unsure 0.03 0.02 0.36 0.03 0.03 0.00 reduce property taxes on privately owned buffer lands Strongly opposed 00pose 0.01 0.03 0.01 Neither 0.15 0.01 0.03 0.01 Neither 0.15 0.01 0.05 0.03 Neither 0.15 0.01 0.05 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications Newer 0.12 0.02 0.31 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications 0.16 0.03 0.02 0.34 0.03 Offen 0.26 0.02 0.34 0.03 0.04 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications 0.36 0.02 0.34 0.03 0.02 0.34 0.03 Always 0.05 0.01 0.15 0.02 0.04 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications 0.33 0.02 0.40 0.01 0.15 0.02 0.34 0.03 </td <td>How supportive</td> <td>Purchase buffer lands for conservation by</td> <td>Neither</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.03</td>	How supportive	Purchase buffer lands for conservation by	Neither	0.12	0.01	0.15	0.03
potential policy or ordinance? Newspaces Strongly supportive Unsure 0.39 0.07 0.01 0.01 0.09 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.02 0.02 Reduce property taxes on privately owned buffer lands Reduce property taxes on privately owned buffer lands Nether 0.15 0.01 0.05 0.01 Strongly supportive 0.34 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.01 Strongly supportive 0.34 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.01 Strongly supportive 0.34 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Sometimes 0.31 0.02 0.27 0.03 Often 0.26 0.02 0.27 0.03 0.04 0.04 Never 0.17 0.02 0.27 0.03 0.04 0.04 TV Sometimes 0.33 0.02 0.25 0.03 Websites/apps for news outlets Sometimes 0.33 0.02 0.25 0.03 wethe following methods to get information on local envirommethal information on local envirommethalisuses?	would you be of each	public or private organizations	Supportive	0.35	0.02	0.36	0.03
ordinance? Unsure 0.07 0.01 0.09 0.02 Reduce property taxes on privately owned buffer lands Strongly opposed Oppose 0.07 0.01 0.03 0.01 Neither 0.15 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.03 0.01 Neither 0.16 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.02 0.32 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Newspapers, 0.16 0.02 0.16 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.03 Always 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.03 Always 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.04 0.01 Tv Social media Never 0.16 0.01 0.12 0.02 websites/apps for news outlets Sometimes 0.33 0.02 0.31 0.03 Never 0.26 0.02 0.28 0.02 <	potential policy or		Strongly supportive	0.39	0.02	0.31	0.03
Strongly opposed puffer lands 0.02 (Oppose 0.00 (Oppose 0.01 (Oppose 0.03 (Oppose 0.01 (Oppose 0.01 (Oppose 0.01 (Oppose 0.01 (Oppose 0.01 (Oppose 0.01 (Oppose 0.01 (Oppose 0.01 (Oppose 0.01 (Oppose	ordinance?		Unsure	0.07	0.01	0.09	0.02
How often do you use the following methods to get information local environmental issues? Reduce property taxes on privately owned buffer lands Oppose Neither Strongly supportive Unsure 0.07 0.30 0.02 0.32 0.03 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Never Never Rarely 0.12 0.01 0.03 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Often Often Rarely 0.22 0.02 0.27 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Often Often Rarely 0.16 0.03 0.02 0.20 0.20 Newspapers, other print publications Often Always 0.05 0.01 0.04 0.01 Never 0.17 0.02 0.15 0.02 0.02 0.02 Never 0.11 0.01 0.15 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.04 Never 0.11 0.02 0.15 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.02 0.03 Never 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.01 <td></td> <td></td> <td>Strongly opposed</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>0.01</td>			Strongly opposed	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.01
Reduce property taxes on privately owned buffer lands Neither Supportive 0.15 0.01 0.16 0.03 Supportive 0.34 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.03 Unsure 0.12 0.01 0.13 0.03 News congly supportive 0.16 0.02 0.03 0.03 News congly supportive 0.16 0.02 0.16 0.03 News congly supportive 0.31 0.02 0.27 0.03 News congly supportive 0.31 0.02 0.27 0.03 News congly supportive 0.31 0.02 0.27 0.03 News congression 0.31 0.02 0.34 0.03 News construction 0.16 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.03 Never 0.17 0.02 0.15 0.03 0.02 0.03 Never 0.16 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 No constructions Sometimes 0.33 0.02 0.03 0.01 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Oppose</td> <td>0.07</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.01</td>			Oppose	0.07	0.01	0.05	0.01
buffer lands Supportive Strongly supportive Unsure 0.34 0.30 0.02 0.32 0.31 0.33 0.03 0.02 Newspapers, other print publications Never 0.16 0.02 0.22 0.22 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Sometimes 0.31 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.32 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Sometimes 0.31 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Sometimes 0.31 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.01 Newspapers, other print publications Sometimes 0.33 0.02 0.34 0.03 Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.03 0.02 0.04 0.04 Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02		Reduce property taxes on privately owned	Neither	0.15	0.01	0.16	0.03
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? News and the second price delivational based delivati based delivational based delivati based delivational b		buffer lands	Supportive	0.34	0.02	0.31	0.03
How often do you use the following environmental issues? Newspapers, other print publications Never Never 0.16 0.02 0.02 0.03 How often do you use the following environmental issues? Newspapers, other print publications Never 0.16 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.03 How often do you use the following environmental issues? Never 0.17 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.01 Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.02 Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.01 Never 0.17 0.02 0.01 0.05 0.01 Never 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 Never 0.33 0.02 0.33 0.04 0.01 Never 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 Never 0.26 0.02 0.31 0.03 Never 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.02 Never			Strongly supportive	0.30	0.02	0.32	0.03
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? Newspapers, other print publications Never Newspapers, other print publications Never Rarely 0.12 0.01 0.02 0.33 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications Often Often 0.26 0.02 0.27 0.03 Never 0.16 0.01 0.02 0.34 0.03 0.04 0.01 Never 0.16 0.01 0.02 0.15 0.03 0.04 0.01 Never 0.16 0.01 0.02 0.15 0.02 0.25 0.03 Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.02 0.15 0.02 Websites/apps for news outlets Sometimes 0.34 0.02 0.38 0.04 Always 0.06 0.01 0.12 0.01 0.12 0.02 Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Never 0.26 0.02 0.34 0.04 0.04 Often 0.26 0.02 0.03 0.01				0.30	0.02	0.32	0.03
How often do you use the following methods to get information no local environmental issues? Newspapers, other print publications Never Names Never 0.16 0.22 0.02 0.22 0.03 0.22 0.03 0.22 0.03 0.20 0.03 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications 0.31 0.02 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications 0.31 0.02 0.20 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 Newspapers, other print publications 0.32 0.01 0.04 0.01 0.04 0.03 Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.03 0.02 0.40 0.04 Never 0.16 0.01 0.12 0.02 0.25 0.03 Always 0.06 0.01 0.12 0.02 0.22 0.02 Never 0.11 0.01 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.02 Never 0.16 0.01 0.02 0.17 0.02 0.17 0.02 0.17 0.03			Never	0.12	0.01	0.15	0.03
How often do you use the following information on local environmental issues? Newspapers, other print publications Sometimes Sometimes 0.12 0.02 0.12 0.03 How often do you use the following information on local environmental issues? Newspapers, other print publications Sometimes Sometimes 0.05 0.01 0.04 0.01 Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.02 0.03 Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.02 Never 0.16 0.01 0.02 0.02 Never 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 Never 0.06 0.01 0.07 0.02 Never 0.26 0.02 0.28 0.03 Never 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.02 Never 0.26 0.02 0.21 0.03 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Never</td><td>0.16</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.16</td><td>0.03</td></t<>			Never	0.16	0.02	0.16	0.03
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? Newspapers, other print publications Always No.12 Often 0.32 0.05 0.01 0.04 0.03 0.01 How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? TV Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.03 Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.04 Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.04 Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.03			Rarely	0.22	0.02	0.27	0.03
How often do you 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.13 TV Never 0.17 0.02 0.15 0.03 Never 0.16 0.01 0.04 0.01 TV Sometimes 0.33 0.02 0.02 0.03 How often do you Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.02 Merey 0.16 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.03 Merey 0.16 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.03 Merey 0.16 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.03 Merey 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.01 0.12 0.02 Merey 0.34 0.02 0.38 0.04 0.01 0.07 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.01 Merey 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.17 0.03 Methods to get information on local media 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01		Newspapers, other print publications	Sometimes	0.31	0.02	0.34	0.03
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? Newer Newer 0.17 0.02 0.15 0.03 Never 0.17 0.02 0.15 0.02 Never 0.16 0.01 0.15 0.02 Never 0.33 0.02 0.40 0.04 Never 0.17 0.02 0.25 0.03 Never 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 Never 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 Never 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 Never 0.34 0.02 0.31 0.03 Always 0.07 0.01 0.07 0.02 Never 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.02 Never 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.02 Social media Sometimes 0.28 0.02 0.17 0.02 Never 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 Always </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Often</td> <td>0.26</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>0.03</td>			Often	0.26	0.02	0.20	0.03
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? TV Never Never Never 0.17 (0.17) 0.02 (0.10) 0.03 (0.10) 0.04 (0.04) 0.04 (0.04) How often do you use the following methods to get information on local family, neighbors) Never Never 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 Never Never 0.34 0.02 0.38 0.04 Never Never 0.37 0.02 0.31 0.03 Never Never 0.37 0.02 0.31 0.03 Never Never 0.26 0.02 0.28 0.03 Never Never 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.02 Never 0.04 0.01 0.03 0.01 Never 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.02 Never 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.03			Always	0.05	0.01	0.04	0.01
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? TV Rarely Social media Rarely (Crean (Crean) 0.16 (Crean) 0.11 (Crean) 0.01 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.01 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.01 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.01 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.01 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.01 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.01 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.01 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) 0.02 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) 0.03 (Crean) <td></td> <td></td> <td>Never</td> <td>0.17</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.03</td>			Never	0.17	0.02	0.15	0.03
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? TV Sometimes often 0.33 0.27 0.02 0.02 0.035 0.01 0.05 0.01 Never 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 Websites/apps for news outlets Never 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 Never 0.33 0.02 0.34 0.02 0.03 0.04 How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? Social media Never 0.26 0.02 0.38 0.04 Never 0.26 0.02 0.34 0.03 0.01 0.07 0.02 Social media Social media Never 0.26 0.02 0.34 0.04 Mays 0.04 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 Issues? Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Never 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.01		тv	Rarely	0.16	0.01	0.15	0.02
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? Never Newer 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 Never 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.003 0.00 0.07 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.01 0.07 0.02 0.02 0.17 0.02 0.02 0.17 0.02 0.02 0.17 0.02 0.02 0.17 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.17 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02			Sometimes	0.33	0.02	0.40	0.04
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? Newer Media Always Never Always 0.06 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 0.12 0.02 0.02 Newer Rarely 0.37 0.02 0.31 0.03 Social media Never Often 0.37 0.02 0.11 0.01 Never Mebsites/apps for news outlets Never Always 0.07 0.01 0.07 0.02 Social media Social media Never Never 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.02 Social media Social media Social media Never Never 0.06 0.01 0.03 0.01 Issues? Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Rarely 0.16 0.01 0.02 0.01 Never 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.03 Community bulletin board Never 0.31 0.02 0.03 0.03 Always 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 An organized educational workshop or meeting Mever </td <td></td> <td>Often</td> <td>0.27</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>0.03</td>			Often	0.27	0.02	0.25	0.03
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? Websites/apps for news outlets Never Always 0.11 0.01 0.12 0.02 Never 0.34 0.02 0.38 0.04 Mebsites/apps for news outlets Sometimes 0.34 0.02 0.31 0.03 Mebsites/apps for news outlets Mever 0.26 0.02 0.31 0.03 Mebsites/apps for news outlets Never 0.26 0.02 0.28 0.03 Mebsites/apps for news outlets Never 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.02 Mebsites/apps for news outlets Social media Never 0.22 0.02 0.17 0.02 Social media Never 0.04 0.01 0.03 0.01 Issues? Never 0.06 0.01 0.09 0.02 Messites? Never 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.03 Messites? 0.48 0.02 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.03 Messites? <			Always	0.06	0.01	0.05	0.01
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? Websites/apps for news outlets Rarely Sometimes 0.34 0.02 0.38 0.04 Never 0.17 0.01 0.07 0.02 0.31 0.03 Never 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.02 0.34 0.04 Never 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.02 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01		Websites/apps for news outlets	Never	0.11	0.01	0.12	0.02
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? Websites/apps for news outlets Sometimes often 0.34 0.02 0.38 0.04 New often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? Never 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.02 Social media Social media Sometimes 0.28 0.02 0.17 0.02 Mever 0.04 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.02 Issues? Social media Social media Never 0.06 0.01 0.09 0.02 Mever 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.03 Mever 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.03 Mays 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.03 Mays 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.03 0.03 Mays 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.00			Rarely	0.12	0.01	0.12	0.02
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? Always 0.07 0.02 0.07 0.02 Social media Social media Never 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.02 Information on local environmental issues? Social media Social media Social media 0.07 0.02 0.17 0.02 Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Never 0.06 0.01 0.09 0.02 Never 0.06 0.01 0.09 0.02 Always 0.04 0.01 0.03 0.01 Mever 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.03 Always 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 An organized educational workshop or meeting Never			Sometimes	0.34	0.02	0.38	0.04
How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? accession social media Always 0.07 0.01 0.07 0.02 Never 0.26 0.02 0.17 0.02 environmental issues? Social media Social media Social media Social media Never 0.28 0.02 0.17 0.02 Provide the provide of the provid			Often	0.37	0.02	0.31	0.03
Noticitie Never 0.26 0.02 0.28 0.03 methods to get information on local environmental issues? Social media Never 0.20 0.02 0.17 0.02 Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Often 0.22 0.02 0.17 0.03 Never 0.06 0.01 0.09 0.02 Never 0.06 0.01 0.09 0.02 Never 0.06 0.01 0.09 0.02 Never 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.03 Never 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 Never 0.31 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 Never 0.33 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	How often do you		Always	0.07	0.01	0.07	0.02
Base of the following methods to get information on local environmental issues? Social media Rarely 0.20 0.02 0.17 0.02 Information on local environmental issues? Social media Social media Sometimes 0.28 0.02 0.17 0.03 Issues? Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Never 0.06 0.01 0.09 0.02 Never 0.06 0.01 0.09 0.02 0.03 0.01 Never 0.06 0.01 0.22 0.02 0.03 0.01 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.02 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 Community bulletin board Sometimes 0.27 0.02 0.30 0.03 Always 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 An organized educational works	use the following		Never	0.26	0.02	0.28	0.03
Social media Social media Sometimes 0.28 0.02 0.34 0.04 environmental issues? Social media Sometimes 0.22 0.02 0.17 0.03 Jissues? Always 0.04 0.01 0.03 0.01 Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Never 0.06 0.01 0.22 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.09 0.02 Always 0.06 0.01 0.22 0.03 Mever 0.06 0.01 0.22 0.03 Sometimes 0.48 0.02 0.46 0.04 Often 0.26 0.02 0.20 0.33 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 Always 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 Always 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 An organized educational workshop or meeting Never	methods to get		Rarely	0.20	0.02	0.17	0.02
Introduction Often 0.22 0.02 0.17 0.03 environmental issues? Always 0.04 0.01 0.03 0.01 Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Never 0.06 0.01 0.22 0.03 0.01 Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Never 0.16 0.01 0.22 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.09 0.02 Rarely 0.16 0.01 0.22 0.03 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 Never 0.31 0.02 0.33 0.02 0.31 0.03 Community bulletin board Sometimes 0.27 0.02 0.30 0.03 Always 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 An organized educational workshop or meeting Sometimes 0.20 0.02 0.13 0.02	information on local	Social media	Sometimes	0.28	0.02	0.34	0.04
environmental issues? Always 0.04 0.01 0.03 0.01 issues? Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Never 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.03 Sometimes 0.48 0.02 0.46 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.22 0.03 0.04 0.01 0.22 0.03 Gometimes 0.48 0.02 0.46 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 Rarely 0.33 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.03 0.03 Sometimes 0.27 0.02 0.30 0.03 0.01 0.01 Always 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 An organized educational workshop or meeting Mever 0.44 0.02	onvironmontal		Often	0.22	0.02	0.17	0.03
Issues? Never 0.06 0.01 0.09 0.02 Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Rarely 0.16 0.01 0.22 0.03 Sometimes 0.48 0.02 0.46 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 Community bulletin board Never 0.31 0.02 0.31 0.03 Sometimes 0.27 0.02 0.31 0.03 0.03 An organized educational workshop or meeting Never 0.44 0.02 0.51 0.04 An organized educational workshop or meeting Never 0.44 0.02 0.51 0.04 An organized educational workshop or meeting Often 0.32 0.02 0.13 0.02 Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01	issues		Always	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.01
Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors) Rarely 0.16 0.01 0.22 0.03 Sometimes 0.48 0.02 0.46 0.04 Often 0.26 0.02 0.20 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 Never 0.31 0.02 0.32 0.03 Rarely 0.33 0.02 0.31 0.03 Community bulletin board Sometimes 0.27 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.08 0.01 0.06 0.02 0.01 0.06 0.02 An organized educational workshop or meeting Never 0.44 0.02 0.51 0.04 An organized educational workshop or meeting Often 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.03 Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01	ISSUES!		Never	0.06	0.01	0.09	0.02
Infective from people (for example, mends, family, neighbors) Sometimes 0.48 0.02 0.46 0.04 Image: Gamma family, neighbors) Often 0.26 0.02 0.20 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02 0.01 Never 0.31 0.02 0.31 0.02 0.31 0.03 Community bulletin board Sometimes 0.27 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.08 0.01 0.06 0.02 An organized educational workshop or meeting Never 0.44 0.02 0.51 0.04 An organized educational workshop or meeting Often 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.03 An organized educational workshop or meeting Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01		Diverthy from a could (for evenue to friends	Rarely	0.16	0.01	0.22	0.03
Tamily, neignoors) Often 0.26 0.02 0.03 Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 Never 0.31 0.02 0.32 0.03 Rarely 0.33 0.02 0.31 0.03 Community bulletin board Sometimes 0.27 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.08 0.01 0.06 0.02 Always 0.01 0.06 0.02 Always 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 An organized educational workshop or meeting Rarely 0.32 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.02 An organized educational workshop or meeting Rarely 0.32 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.02		Directly from people (for example, friends,	Sometimes	0.48	0.02	0.46	0.04
Always 0.04 0.01 0.02 0.01 Never 0.31 0.02 0.32 0.03 Rarely 0.33 0.02 0.31 0.03 Community bulletin board Sometimes 0.27 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.08 0.01 0.06 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.01 Always 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 Marges 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 An organized educational workshop or meeting Marely 0.32 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.02 Always 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.02		family, neighbors)	Often	0.26	0.02	0.20	0.03
Never Rarely 0.31 0.02 0.32 0.03 Community bulletin board Rarely 0.33 0.02 0.31 0.03 Sometimes 0.27 0.02 0.30 0.03 0.03 Often 0.08 0.01 0.06 0.02 Always 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 Meeting 0.32 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.44 0.02 0.51 0.04 Rarely 0.32 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.05 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 An organized educational workshop or meeting Never 0.44 0.02 0.51 0.04 Never 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.03			Always	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.01
Rarely 0.33 0.02 0.31 0.03 Community bulletin board Sometimes 0.27 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.08 0.01 0.06 0.02 Always 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 More reeting 0.32 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.04 0.02 0.51 0.04 An organized educational workshop or meeting Never 0.44 0.02 0.51 0.04 An organized educational workshop or meeting Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01			Never	0.31	0.02	0.32	0.03
Community bulletin board Sometimes 0.27 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.08 0.01 0.06 0.02 Always 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 An organized educational workshop or meeting Never 0.44 0.02 0.51 0.04 Rarely 0.32 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01			Rarely	0.33	0.02	0.31	0.03
Often 0.08 0.01 0.06 0.02 Always 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 An organized educational workshop or meeting Never 0.44 0.02 0.51 0.04 Rarely 0.32 0.02 0.30 0.03 0.03 Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.02		Community bulletin board	Sometimes	0.27	0.02	0.30	0.03
Always 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 An organized educational workshop or meeting Never 0.44 0.02 0.51 0.04 An organized educational workshop or meeting Rarely 0.32 0.02 0.30 0.03 Sometimes 0.20 0.02 0.13 0.02 Often 0.05 0.01 0.00 0.00		,	Often	0.08	0.01	0.06	0.02
An organized educational workshop or meeting Never 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.01 An organized educational workshop or meeting Never 0.44 0.02 0.51 0.04 Sometimes 0.20 0.02 0.13 0.02 Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01			Always	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01
An organized educational workshop or meeting Rarely 0.32 0.02 0.30 0.03 Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.02			Never	0.44	0.02	0.51	0.04
An organized educational workshop or meeting Norethy 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.03 Sometimes 0.20 0.02 0.13 0.02 Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01			Barely	0.32	0.02	0.30	0.03
meeting Often 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 Always 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00		An organized educational workshop or	Sometimes	0.20	0.02	0.33	0.03
		meeting	Often	0.05	0.02	0.15	0.02
			Always	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00

			Seacoast Residents		Inland Residents	
Survey Question		Response Options	Mean	SM	Mean	SM
		Not at all	0.04	0.01	0.07	0.02
		Slightly	0.17	0.02	0.23	0.03
	Local government	Moderately	0.45	0.02	0.40	0.04
		Very	0.29	0.02	0.26	0.03
		Extremely	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.01
		Not at all	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.02
		Slightly	0.19	0.02	0.22	0.03
	State government	Moderately	0.46	0.02	0.46	0.04
		Very	0.26	0.02	0.24	0.03
		Extremely	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.01
How credible do you		Not at all	0.14	0.01	0.22	0.03
informational		Slightly	0.25	0.02	0.23	0.03
	Federal government	Moderately	0.38	0.02	0.34	0.03
sources on local		Very	0.19	0.01	0.18	0.03
iscuss		Extremely	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01
155065!		Not at all	0.06	0.01	0.08	0.02
		Slightly	0.13	0.01	0.21	0.03
	Non-profit organizations	Moderately	0.34	0.02	0.37	0.04
		Very	0.39	0.02	0.28	0.03
		Extremely	0.08	0.01	0.07	0.02
		Not at all	0.06	0.01	0.08	0.02
		Slightly	0.09	0.01	0.14	0.03
	Academic institutions	Moderately	0.24	0.02	0.32	0.03
		Verv	0.41	0.02	0.34	0.03
		Extremely	0.19	0.01	0.12	0.02
		Strongly disagree	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02
	I feel the Seacoast region of New	Disagree	0.04	0.00	0.03	0.02
		Neither	0.01	0.01	0.22	0.02
	Hampshire is a part of me.	Agree	0.41	0.02	0.37	0.04
		Strongly agree	0.38	0.02	0.17	0.03
			0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00
		Strongly disagree	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02
		Disagree	0.03	0.01	0.10	0.02
	Lidentify strongly with the Seacoast region	Neither	0.16	0.01	0.32	0.03
	of New Hampshire.	Agree	0.41	0.02	0.34	0.04
Delaw is a list of		Strongly agree	0.39	0.02	0.17	0.03
Below is a list of		Unsure	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01
statements about the		Strongly disagree	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01
Now Hampshire		Disagree	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
Rew Hampshile.	The Seacoast region of New Hampshire	Neither	0.07	0.01	0.17	0.03
statement and state	means a lot to me.	Agree	0.38	0.02	0.49	0.04
your level of		Strongly agree	0.53	0.02	0.30	0.03
agreement or		Unsure	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
disagreement		Strongly disagree	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01
disagreement.		Disagree	0.05	0.01	0.14	0.03
	The Seacoast region of New Hampshire is	Neither	0.21	0.01	0.40	0.04
	the best place for the activities I like to do.	Agree	0.43	0.02	0.31	0.04
		Strongly agree	0.29	0.02	0.12	0.02
		Unsure	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01
		Strongly disagree	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.02
		Disagree	0.15	0.01	0.20	0.03
	No other place can compare to the	Neither	0.23	0.02	0.30	0.03
	Seacoast region of New Hampshire.	Agree	0.30	0.02	0.27	0.03
		Strongly agree	0.26	0.02	0.17	0.03
		Unsure	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01

			Seacoast Residents		Inland Residents	
Survey Question		Response Options	Mean	SM	Mean	SM
		Strongly disagree	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01
	Leader and dates in the Conservation of	Disagree	0.10	0.01	0.16	0.03
	I enjoy activities in the seacoast region of	Neither	0.21	0.01	0.36	0.04
	New Hampshire more than in any other	Agree	0.37	0.02	0.29	0.04
	place.	Strongly agree	0.28	0.02	0.15	0.03
		Unsure	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01
		Strongly disagree	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
		Disagroo	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
	The Seacoast region of New Hampshire	Noithor	0.02	0.01	0.11	0.03
	contributes to the character of my	Agroo	0.07	0.01	0.32	0.03
	community.	Agree	0.45	0.02	0.34	0.04
		Strongly agree	0.45	0.02	0.17	0.03
		Unsure	0.01	0.00	0.06	0.02
		Strongly disagree	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.02
		Disagree	0.05	0.01	0.10	0.02
	I feel a strong sense of community in the	Neither	0.16	0.01	0.31	0.03
	Seacoast region of New Hampshire.	Agree	0.44	0.02	0.42	0.04
Continued		Strongly agree	0.32	0.02	0.08	0.02
		Unsure	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.02
Below is a list of		Strongly disagree	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01
statements about the		Dicagroo	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01
Seacoast region of	I feel connected to the other people who	Disagree	0.05	0.01	0.11	0.02
New Hampshire.	live in the Seacoast region of New	Neither	0.18	0.01	0.33	0.03
Please read each	Hampshire.	Agree	0.47	0.02	0.39	0.04
statement and state		Strongly agree	0.28	0.02	0.12	0.02
your level of		Unsure	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.02
agreement or		Strongly disagree	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01
disagreement	I would feel less attached to the Seacoast	Disagree	0.05	0.01	0.06	0.02
uisagi eement.	region of New Hampshire if the native	Neither	0.12	0.01	0.21	0.03
	plants and animals that live here	Agree	0.35	0.02	0.38	0.04
	disappeared.	Strongly agree	0.42	0.02	0.26	0.03
			0.03	0.01	0.05	0.02
		Strongly disagree	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.02
		Disagroo	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.02
	I learn a lot about myself when spending	Noithor	0.05	0.01	0.11	0.03
	time in the natural environment in the	Agree	0.22	0.02	0.30	0.04
	Seacoast region of New Hampshire.	Agree	0.41	0.02	0.33	0.04
		Strongly agree	0.28	0.02	0.11	0.02
		Unsure	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01
		Strongly disagree	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	It is important to protect the natural	Disagree	0.00	0.00		
	environment in the Seacoast region of New	Neither	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01
	Hampshiro	Agree	0.26	0.02	0.36	0.04
		Strongly agree	0.71	0.02	0.60	0.04
		Unsure	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Not at all	0.04	0.01	0.10	0.02
		Slightly	0.11	0.01	0.16	0.03
	Private homes and property are protected	Moderately	0.32	0.02	0.31	0.03
		Verv	0.36	0.02	0.27	0.03
When considering		Extremely	0.30	0.01	0.16	0.03
options to manage		Not at all	0.05	0.01	0.10	0.05
coastal New		Slightly	0.05	0.01	0.10	0.02
Hampshire	Government respects the right of private	Modoratoly	0.13	0.02	0.12	0.02
shorelines, how	landowners to use and develop their land	Voru	0.34	0.02	0.31	0.03
important to you are		very	0.23	0.02	0.29	0.03
each of the		Extremely	0.19	0.02	0.18	0.03
following?		Not at all	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01
	Recreational areas such as heaches and	Slightly	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.02
	narks are protected	Moderately	0.14	0.01	0.22	0.03
	purks are protected	Very	0.46	0.02	0.45	0.04
		Extremely	0.37	0.02	0.27	0.03

			Seacoast I	Residents	Inland Re	esidents
Survey Question		Response Options	Mean	SM	Mean	SM
		Not at all	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Slightly	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.02
	Natural areas and habitat are protected	Moderately	0.11	0.01	0.13	0.03
		Very	0.43	0.02	0.44	0.04
		Extremely	0.44	0.02	0.37	0.04
		Not at all	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
	The network share star of the unstanformatic	Slightly	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.02
	me natural character of the waterront is	Moderately	0.16	0.02	0.20	0.03
	maintained	Very	0.41	0.02	0.41	0.03
		Extremely	0.38	0.02	0.32	0.04
		Not at all	0.05	0.01	0.06	0.02
		Slightly	0.13	0.01	0.10	0.02
	Taxes and fees paid by my nousehold do	Moderately	0.29	0.02	0.26	0.03
	not increase	Very	0.27	0.02	0.25	0.03
		Extremely	0.27	0.02	0.33	0.03
Continued		Not at all	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01
	Facilities such as police stations and schools are protected	Slightly	0.08	0.01	0.05	0.01
When considering		Moderately	0.25	0.02	0.25	0.03
options to manage		Very	0.42	0.02	0.44	0.04
coastal New		Extremely	0.24	0.02	0.24	0.03
Hampshire		Not at all	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01
shorelines, how		Slightly	0.07	0.01	0.06	0.02
important to you are	Roads and transportation facilities are	Moderately	0.22	0.02	0.26	0.03
each of the	protected	Very	0.40	0.02	0.48	0.04
following?		Extremely	0.29	0.02	0.18	0.03
		Not at all	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01
		Slightly	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01
	Loss of human life is avoided	Moderately	0.07	0.01	0.10	0.02
		Very	0.28	0.02	0.26	0.03
		Extremely	0.61	0.02	0.61	0.03
		Not at all	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01
		Slightly	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.01
	Public services, such as electricity, water,	Moderately	0.15	0.01	0.21	0.03
	and telephone, are protected	Very	0.44	0.02	0.45	0.04
		Extremely	0.36	0.02	0.28	0.03
		Not at all	0.15	0.01	0.16	0.03
		Slightly	0.15	0.01	0.19	0.03
	Flood insurance rates paid by homeowners	Moderately	0.28	0.02	0.24	0.03
	do not increase	Verv	0.24	0.02	0.19	0.03
		Extremely	0.18	0.02	0.23	0.03

Appendix B. Survey Instrument

The following pages in this section is the survey that was distributed for this effort.

The first set of questions will ask about your opinions and experiences related to potential coastal hazards and other local environmental issues.

1. How much of a problem do you think each of the following are in your community?

	Not at all	Minor	Moderate	Major	Unsure
Coastal storms					
Coastal flooding					
Riverine flooding					
Shoreline erosion					

2. How do you think the following will change in the next 10 years?

	Decrease	Stay about the same	Increase	Unsure
Coastal storms				
Coastal flooding				
Riverine flooding				
Shoreline erosion				

3. Do you own or rent property on or near a body of water, such as a river, stream, wetland, pond, or ocean?

- YesNo
- 4. Has your home or property been damaged from the following in the past five years?

	Yes	No	Unsure
Coastal storms			
Coastal flooding			
Riverine flooding			
Shoreline erosion			

5. Have any of the following been done on your home or property in the past five years?

	Yes	No
[ANSWER IF: you own or rent property on or near a body of water] Install shoreline walls or riprap (rocky material placed along a shoreline)		
[ANSWER IF: you own or rent property on or near a body of water] Plant, restore, or preserve the natural shoreline		
Put all or part of your property into a conservation easement		
Improve drainage (for example, drainage ditches/pipes)		
Raise all or part of your house		
Install wet floodproofing (for example, flood vents)		
Install sump pumps		

6. How likely are you to do any of the following on your home or property in the next 10 years?

	Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Very	Extremely
[ANSWER IF: you own or rent property	on or near a l	body of wate	er]		
Install shoreline walls or riprap (rocky material placed along a shoreline)					
[ANSWER IF: you own or rent property	on or near a l	body of wate	er]		
Plant, restore, or preserve the natural shoreline					
Put all or part of your property into a conservation easement					
Improve drainage (for example, drainage ditches/pipes)					
Raise all or part of your house					
Install wet floodproofing (for example, flood vents)					
Install sump pumps					
Move away because of flooding, storms, and/or erosion					

IF you do NOT own or rent property on or near a body of water, GO TO Q9 on PAGE 4

Г

[ANSWER IF: you own or rent property on or near a body of water]

7. To what degree would the following factors make you more likely to **install shoreline walls or riprap** on your home or property?

	No effect	Minor effect	Moderate effect	Major effect
Effectiveness at reducing damage from flooding				
Effectiveness at reducing damage from shoreline erosion				
My or my family's attachment to the property				
Municipal or state regulations and ordinances				
If my neighbors have installed shoreline walls or riprap				
The way shoreline walls or riprap look				
Cost of installing shoreline walls or riprap				

[ANSWER IF: you own or rent property on or near a body of water]

8. To what degree would the following factors make you more likely to **plant, restore, or preserve the natural shoreline** on your home or property?

	No effect	Minor effect	Moderate effect	Major effect
Effectiveness at reducing damage from				
flooding				
Effectiveness at reducing damage from				
shoreline erosion				
My or my family's attachment to the				
property				
Municipal or state regulations and ordinances				
If my neighbors have planted, restored, or preserved the natural shoreline				
The way the natural shoreline looks				
Cost of planting, restoring, or preserving the natural shoreline				

9. Buffer lands are naturally vegetated areas surrounding water bodies. The following is a list of potential policies or ordinances that could be implemented to better manage buffer lands in New Hampshire. How supportive would you be of each potential policy or ordinance?

	Strongly opposed	Opposed	Neither	Supportive	Strongly supportive	Unsure
Limit fertilizer and pesticide use within buffer lands						
Restrict new development within buffer lands (development setback)						
Restrict the cutting or removal of natural vegetation within buffer lands						
Purchase buffer lands for conservation by public or private organizations						
Reduce property taxes on privately owned buffer lands						

10. How often do you use the following methods to get information on local environmental issues?

	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
Newspapers, other print publications					
TV					
Websites/apps for news outlets					
Social media					
Directly from people (for example, friends, family, neighbors)					
Community bulletin board					
An organized educational workshop or meeting					

	Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Very	Extremely
Local government					
State government					
Federal government					
Non-profit organizations					
Academic institutions					

11. How credible do you consider these informational sources on local environmental issues?

This and the following section refer to the Seacoast region of New Hampshire, which is defined by the seventeen coastal zone communities shown in the map below. Regardless of how familiar you are with the Seacoast region of New Hampshire, your opinions are important to us.

- 12. Are you a seasonal or year-round resident of the Seacoast region of New Hampshire?
 - Seasonal resident [GO TO Q14]
 (I live in the Seacoast region of New Hampshire only part of the year)
 - Year-round resident [GO TO Q14]
 (I live in the Seacoast region of New Hampshire all year)
 - Neither [GO TO Q13] (I do not live in the Seacoast region of New Hampshire during any part of the year)
 - 13. Have you ever visited the Seacoast region of New Hampshire?
 - Yes [GO TO Q14]
 - No [GO TO Q15, PAGE 7]



14. Below is a list of statements about the Seacoast region of New Hampshire. Please read each statement and state your level of agreement or disagreement.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Unsure
I feel the Seacoast region of New Hampshire						
is a part of me.						
It is important to protect the natural						
environment in the Seacoast region of New						
Hampshire.						
I feel connected to the other people who						
live in the Seacoast region of New						
Hampshire.						
I enjoy activities in the Seacoast region of						
New Hampshire more than in any other						
place.						
I identify strongly with the Seacoast region						
of New Hampshire.						
I learn a lot about myself when spending						
time in the natural environment in the						
Seacoast region of New Hampshire.						
I feel a strong sense of community in the						
Seacoast region of New Hampshire.						
No other place can compare to the Seacoast						
region of New Hampshire.						
The Seacoast region of New Hampshire						
means a lot to me.						
The Seacoast region of New Hampshire is						
the best place for the activities I like to do.						
The Seacoast region of New Hampshire						
contributes to the character of my						
community.						
I would feel less attached to the Seacoast						
region of New Hampshire if the native plants						
and animals that live here disappeared.						

There are many different ways to manage estuarine and coastal shorelines in the Seacoast region of New Hampshire. The most common approaches used by coastal communities are (1) hard defenses or armoring and (2) soft or natural defenses.

Hard defenses include seawalls and bulkheads to hold back the sea. Soft defenses include beaches, dunes, wetlands, and other natural areas that have the ability to absorb and slow floodwaters. Both approaches can protect homes, facilities, and transportation, but hard defenses often provide the most effective protection. Flooding can still occur under both approaches in severe storms.





Hard defenses can be costly to build and maintain and can cause natural areas to be lost. As water levels rise, natural areas tend to move landward, but when there are hard defenses in place, there is nowhere for the natural areas to go, and they can be eroded away. Soft defenses can preserve beaches, wetlands, and other natural areas as habitat and public amenities, but can require restrictions on coastal development.

15. When considering options to manage the Seacoast region of New Hampshire shorelines, how important to you are each of the following?

	Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Very	Extremely
Private homes and property are protected					
Government respects the right of private landowners to use and develop their land					
Recreational areas such as beaches and parks are protected					
Natural areas and habitat are protected					
The natural character of the waterfront is maintained					
Taxes and fees paid by my household do not increase					
Facilities such as police stations and schools are protected					
Roads and transportation facilities are protected					
Loss of human life is avoided					
Public services, such as electricity, water, and telephone, are protected					
Flood insurance rates paid by homeowners do not increase					

This rest of this section will ask you to indicate your level of support or opposition to <u>six</u> different policies to manage the shoreline in the Seacoast region of New Hampshire. The technical details of each policy (for example, how/where to build a particular seawall or restore wetlands) would be determined by experts. Each management policy will lead to different outcomes, and we are interested in your opinions on those outcomes.

<u>Treat each policy as separate and independent</u>. Do not compare policies.

Please review each policy carefully. The results of this survey will be given to policy makers in the Seacoast region of New Hampshire to help determine future actions.

16. The proposed policy would...

Increase	the amount of wetlands covered by vegetation			
Maintain	the amount of beach covered by sand dunes			
Maintain	the amount of shoreline shielded by seawalls and coastal armoring			
Decrease	the number of homes protected from flooding during a storm			
Increase	the rate of shoreline erosion			
Funds to implement this policy would come from ONLY residents of the Seacoast region of New				
Hampshire.				

How supportive would you be of this proposed policy? (Circle only ONE number)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Strongly	Opposed	Somewhat	Neutral	Somewhat	Supportive	Strongly
opposed	Opposed	opposed	Neutrai	supportive	Supportive	supportive

16a. How certain are you of the support level you just selected?

1	2	3	4	5
Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Very	Extremely

17. The proposed policy would...

Decrease	the amount of wetlands covered by vegetation
Increase	the amount of beach covered by sand dunes
Maintain	the amount of shoreline shielded by seawalls and coastal armoring
Increase	the number of homes protected from flooding during a storm
Maintain	the rate of shoreline erosion
Funds to im	plement this policy would come from ONLY residents of the Seacoast region of New
Hampshire	

How supportive would you be of this proposed policy? (Circle only ONE number)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
 Strongly	Opposed	Somewhat	Noutral	Somewhat	Supportivo	Strongly
opposed	Opposed	opposed	Neutrai	supportive	Supportive	supportive

17a. How certain are you of the support level you just selected?



Page **8** of **12**

18. The proposed policy would...

Maintain	the amount of wetlands covered by vegetation	
Increase	the amount of beach covered by sand dunes	
_		
Decrease	the amount of shoreline shielded by seawalls and coastal armoring	
Maintain	the number of homes protected from flooding during a storm	
Increase	the rate of shereline eresion	
Increase	the rate of shoreline erosion	
Funds to im	plement this policy would come from ONLY residents of the Seacoast region of New	
Hampshire.		
•		

How supportive would you be of this proposed policy? (Circle only ONE number)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Strongly	Opposed	Somewhat	Neutral	Somewhat	Supportivo	Strongly
opposed		opposed	Neutrai	supportive	Supportive	supportive

18a. How certain are you of the support level you just selected?

1	2	3	4	5
Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Very	Extremely

19. The proposed policy would...

Maintain	the amount of wetlands covered by vegetation
Maintain	the amount of beach covered by sand dunes
Increase	the amount of shoreline shielded by seawalls and coastal armoring
Increase	the number of homes protected from flooding during a storm
Decrease	the rate of shoreline erosion
Funds to im	plement this policy would come from ONLY residents of the Seacoast region of New
Hampshire.	

How supportive would you be of this proposed policy? (Circle only ONE number)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Strongly	Opposed	Somewhat	Neutral	Somewhat	Supportivo	Strongly
opposed	Opposed	opposed	Neutral	supportive	Supportive	supportive

19a. How certain are you of the support level you just selected?



OMB No: 0648-0788

20. The proposed policy would...

Increase	the amount of wetlands covered by vegetation		
Decrease	the amount of beach covered by sand dunes		
Increase	the amount of shoreline shielded by seawalls and coastal armoring		
Maintain	the number of homes protected from flooding during a storm		
Maintain	the rate of shoreline erosion		
Funds to implement this policy would come from ONLY residents of the Seacoast region of New			
Hampshire.			

How supportive would you be of this proposed policy? (Circle only ONE number)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Strongly	Opposed	Somewhat	Neutral	Somewhat	Supportivo	Strongly
opposed		opposed	Neutral	supportive	Supportive	supportive

20a. How certain are you of the support level you just selected?

1	2	3	4	5
Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Very	Extremely

21. The proposed policy would...

Decrease	the amount of wetlands covered by vegetation
Decrease	the amount of beach covered by sand dunes
Decrease	the amount of shoreline shielded by seawalls and coastal armoring
Decrease	the number of homes protected from flooding during a storm
Decrease	the rate of shoreline erosion
Funds to im	plement this policy would come from ONLY residents of the Seacoast region of New
Hampshire.	

How supportive would you be of this proposed policy? (Circle only ONE number)

1	2	3	4	5 6		7
Strongly	Opposed	Somewhat	Somewhat	Supportivo	Strongly	
opposed	Opposed	opposed	neutral	supportive	Supportive	supportive

21a. How certain are you of the support level you just selected?



22. Thinking about the potential management policies you just rated, how strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither	Agree	Strongly agree	Unsure
The survey provided enough information for me to make informed choices.						
I do not believe the proposed management policies are feasible.						
It is important to manage estuarine and coastal lands in the Seacoast region of New Hampshire, no matter how high the costs.						
I am against any more regulations and government spending.						
My household should not have to pay any amount to manage estuarine and coastal lands in the Seacoast region of New Hampshire.						
My choices would be the same if this were being considered by the New Hampshire legislature						

23. How important were each of the following when rating the potential management policies?

	Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Very	Extremely	
I thought I might directly benefit from the policies.						
Wanting to preserve the environment for						
future generations than for myself.						
Believing it is our responsibility to preserve the						
environment.						
So others could enjoy the Seacoast region of						
New Hampshire, rather than for myself.						
[ANSWER IF: You neither live nor have ever visited the Seacoast region of New Hampshire]						
If I may visit the Seacoast region of New						
Hampshire in the next few years.						

This is the final section of the survey. The following questions ensure that <u>all</u> groups are fairly represented. <u>All answers are confidential</u>.

- 24. What is your sex?
 - Male
 - Female
 - Other
- 25. In what year were you born? _____
- 26. Are you Hispanic or Latino?
 - □ Yes
 - □ No
- 27. What is your race? (select all that apply)
 - White/Caucasian
 - □ Black/African American
 - Asian

- □ Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander
- □ American Indian/Alaskan Native

□ Graduate or professional degree

□ Other, please specify _____

□ Some college, no degree

Associate's degree

Bachelor's degree

28. What is the highest level of education you have completed?

- □ Less than 9th grade
- □ 9th to 12th grade, no diploma
- High school graduate (includes equivalency)
- 29. Are you currently employed?
 - Yes
 - □ No
- 30. What was your annual household income in 2020?
 - □ Less than \$10,000
 - □ \$10,000 to \$19,999
 - □ \$20,000 to \$29,999
 - □ \$30,000 to \$39,999
 - □ \$40,000 to \$49,999
 - □ \$50,000 to \$59,999

- □ \$60,000 to \$74,999
- □ \$75,000 to \$99,999
- □ \$100,000 to \$149,999
- □ \$150,000 to \$199,999
- □ \$200,000 or more

31. **[ANSWER IF: You live in the Seacoast region of New Hampshire "Seasonally" or "Year-Round"]** How long have you lived in the Seacoast region of New Hampshire? ______ years

[ANSWER IF: You do not live in the Seacoast region of New Hampshire] How long have you been a resident of your current town? ______ years

32. How long have you been a resident of your current state? ______ years

33. Do you own or rent this residence (where this survey was mailed to)?

- Own
- □ Rent
- Other

34. How many people, including yourself, live in your household? _____

35. How many of these people are at least 18 years old? _____

Please use the space on the back page for additional comments.

Page **12** of **12**

U.S. Department of Commerce

Gina M. Raimondo, *Secretary*

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Richard W. Spinrad, Ph.D., *Under Secretary for Oceans and Atmosphere*

National Ocean Service Nicole LeBoeuf, *Assistant Administrator for National Ocean Service*

The mission of the National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science is to provide managers with scientific information and tools needed to balance society's environmental, social and economic goals. For more information, visit: <u>http://www.coastalscience.noaa.gov/</u>.

