

- web in the northern Gulf of Mexico
- 3 Melissa M. Baustian<sup>a,\*</sup>, Sibel Bargu<sup>b</sup>, Wendy Morrison<sup>c</sup>, Chelsea Sexton<sup>d</sup>, Nancy N. Rabalais<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The Water Institute of the Gulf, 1110 River Road South, Suite 200, Baton Rouge, LA 70825,

USA

- <sup>b</sup>Department of Oceanography and Coastal Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge,
- LA, 70803, USA
- <sup>c</sup> Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium, 8124 Highway 56, Chauvin, Louisiana, 70344, USA
- <sup>d</sup>Odum School of Ecology, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, 30602, USA

- \*corresponding author.
- E-mail address: melissa.m.baustian@gmail.com (M. Baustian)

- 
- *Keywords: Pseudo-nitzschia,* domoic acid*, Paraprionospio pinnata*, Atlantic croaker, benthic food webs, northern Gulf of Mexico
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

- 
- 

# **Graphical Abstract**







- 
- 

## **Highlights**



- 
- 

## **Abstract**

A somewhat disparate, yet temporally cohesive, set of phytoplankton abundance,

microphytobenthos, including the diatom *Pseudo-nitzschia*, benthic infauna, and sediment toxin data were used to develop a theory for the transfer of domoic acid (DA) from the toxic diatom to the benthos in the highly productive waters of the northern Gulf of Mexico near the Mississippi River plume. Archived samples and new data were used to test the theory that DA is likely to be incorporated into benthic consumers. High spring abundances of potentially toxic *Pseudo-nitzschia* diatoms were simultaneously present in the surface waters, bottom waters and on the seafloor. Examination of the gut contents of a typical deposit-feeding and suspension-feeding polychaete, *Paraprionospio pinnata,* during similar periods of high *Pseudo-nitzschia* abundance in surface water indicated consumption of the diatoms. Demersal fishes, particularly Atlantic croaker, are known to consume these polychaetes, with a potential for transfer of DA to even higher trophic levels. These findings warrant a theory to be tested with further studies about the trophic linkage of a phytoplankton toxin into the benthic food web.

#### **Keywords**

*Pseudo-nitzschia,* domoic acid*, Paraprionospio pinnata*, Atlantic croaker, benthic food webs, northern Gulf of Mexico

#### **1. Introduction**

The northern Gulf of Mexico is a productive, but eutrophied and often hypoxic, coastal ecosystem dominated by outflows of the Mississippi and Atchafalaya rivers (Turner and Rabalais, 1994). High primary production occurs in the spring during and following high freshwater discharge and nutrient loads (Lohrenz et al., 1990; Justić et al., 2003; Lehrter et al., 2009). In the spring and late summer, chain-forming diatoms, such as *Skeletonema*, *Chaetoceros*  and *Pseudo-nitzschia* dominate the surface water phytoplankton community (Dortch et al., 1997; Dortch et al., 2001; Baustian et al., 2011). The sinking phytoplankton chains, along with aggregates and fecal pellets, contribute to the flux of organic matter to the seafloor, provide a food source for benthic fauna (review byVigilant and Silver, 2007), and fuel the microbially-mediated oxygen consumption that depletes the bottom water of oxygen (Turner and Allen, 1982; Murrell and Lehrter, 2011; Turner et al., 2012). *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. have increasingly contributed to primary production in the surface waters of the northern Gulf of Mexico (Parsons et al., 2002) and worldwide (Sellner et al., 2003;

Silver et al., 2010), coincident with the increasing anthropogenic nitrate-N loading to coastal

waters (Hallegraeff, 1993; Parsons et al., 2002; Heisler et al., 2008). This pennate diatom is of

- concern to living resources, including humans, because some species of *Pseudo-nitzschia*
- produce the neurotoxin domoic acid (DA) that is responsible for amnesic shellfish poisoning

(Bates et al., 1989). High cellular and net production rates of DA are evident in cultures of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. collected off the Louisiana coast (Pan et al., 2001). Detectable DA concentrations have been observed in water samples from the northern Gulf of Mexico (Parsons et al., 2013; Bargu et al., 2016) and tissues of suspension-feeding gulf menhaden (Del Rio et al., 2010), and their predators, such as bottlenose dolphins (Fire et al., 2011) and sharks (Del Rio, 2009).

Suspension-feeding bivalves are the major vector of DA to humans through consumption of shellfish. Eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) are exposed to *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. in northern Gulf of Mexico estuaries but to date no significant measurable concentrations of DA have been observed in them (Dortch et al., 1997; Macintyre et al., 2011; O'Dea, 2012). Oysters, however, retain less DA than other bivalves through preferential rejection of toxic *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. during feeding (Mafra Jr et al., 2009). Other pathways for the incorporation of DA into higher organisms are through benthic-feeding and benthopelagic-feeding flatfishes (Viligant and Silver, 2007). Those reported to feed exclusively on polychaetes incorporated more DA than those with benthopelagic-feeding habits consuming sediments with *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells, fecal pellets containing *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells, or flocculent material including *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells. The higher DA levels in those feeding on polychaetes indicate that these invertebrates may be an important vector of the toxin in benthic communities. Gut content analyses on benthic feeding fish with measurable DA concentrations identify prey primarily as clams/mussels, crustaceans, and polychaetes (Mazzillo et al., 2010). The polychaetes in these studies were not identified to species, nor were their DA levels measured or presence of *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells in the polychaete guts verified.



**2. Materials and methods** 

Archived phytoplankton, microphytobenthos, polychaete infaunal, and sediment samples collected from prior studies (Baustian and Rabalais, 2009; Baustian et al., 2011, 2013) were used to test a theory for trophic transfer of DA into the benthic food web. Not all three community types were sampled at the same time, but data sets were examined in groups (phytoplankton vs. microphytobenthos; phytoplankton vs. polychaete gut contents; microphytobenthos vs. DA) for temporal variability of *Pseudo-nitzschia* and its toxin*.*

2.1 Phytoplankton and microphytobenthos

Phytoplankton and microphytobenthos were sampled from the northern Gulf of Mexico inner continental shelf on a transect south of Terrebonne Bay, LA about 100 km west of the Mississippi River Delta (Fig. 1) in an area that is characteristically hypoxic in the bottom water during summer (Rabalais et al., 2007; Baustian et al., 2011) and where high abundances of *Pseudo-nitzschia* occur in spring (Dortch et al., 1997; Parsons et al., 2013; Bargu et al., 2016). Phytoplankton in surface water samples and microphytobenthos in the top 0.5 cm of surficial sediments from box cores were enumerated at stations C4 (∼14 m depth, 28:57.00′ N, 90:31.46′ W), C6B (~20 m depth, 28:52.18′ N, 90:28.04′ W) and C8 (∼23 m depth, 28:47.30′ N, 90:16.60′ W) at approximately bimonthly intervals from July 2006 to July 2008 (Fig. 1). Epifluorescence microscopy (Olympus BH-2-RFCA) with blue and green excitation with 0.03% proflavin vital stained slides were used to highlight the chloroplasts and nuclei for identification of viable cells (Baustian et al., 2011). Archived surface phytoplankton samples that were collected monthly 155 from September 2003 to February 2004 at stations C6B and C6C (within 1 km of each other,  $\sim$ 20 m depth) from March to October 2004 (see Fig. 1) were used to enumerate *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells for comparison to the polychaete gut analyses.

#### 2.2 Scanning electron microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to identify the species of *Pseudo-nitzschia* in the 2006 to 2008 surface water, bottom water, and sediment samples if epifluorescence microscopy methodologies observed high densities of *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells. Samples were concentrated onto 1.2-µm pore size isopore polycarbonate membrane filters (Millipore) (Bargu et al., 2008). Salt was removed by rinsing with DI water under low vacuum pressure (150 mm Hg). To remove organic material, 2-3 drops of saturated KMnO4 were added until the filters were covered and allowed to digest for 30 min. Samples were then treated with 3 ml of 12N HCl until the color became clear or held for 60 minutes to complete the oxidation process. Cleaned samples were rinsed twice with DI water and filters were mounted onto SEM stubs with double-sided tape. Mounted filters were air-dried in a desiccator for 24 h and sputter coated with gold palladium. All SEM micrographs were obtained with a Cambridge Stereoscan 260 scanning electron 22 microscope at 10 kV.

2.3 Polychaete gut contents

Archived *P. pinnata* (macrobenthos stored in ethanol) were collected monthly from September 2003 to October 2004 at station C6B (Baustian and Rabalais, 2009) for gut content analyses that were consistent in time to surface phytoplankton samples. This station was examined for microphytobenthos by Baustian et al. (2011) and is also part of a long-term phytoplankton community monitoring data base (Dortch et al., 1997; Parsons et al., 2015, http://dx.doi.org/10.7266/N7PK0D3S).

Two individuals of *P. pinnata* were selected as replicates from each monthly macrobenthos sample based on two criteria: (1) an intact body and (2) the polychaetes were similar in length. Only one intact *P. pinnata* was available for February 2004. The polychaetes were dissolved using a method adapted from Del Rio et al. (2010) and Bargu et al. (2008) that involved a strong oxidizer (KMnO4) and acid (HCl). The number of treatments depended on the length of the polychaete, with small polychaetes requiring two treatments and the large polychaetes requiring up to five treatments. The digestion process dissolved the organic material but not the silica diatom frustules in the gut. Light microscopy (Olympus BH-2-RFCA) at 400x magnification was used to identify diatoms from the gut content digestions. The entire area under the cover slip was scanned for whole and partial *Pseudo-nitzschia* valves and were counted according to Schrader and Gersonde (1978) that required presence of at least half of a valve to be enumerated. Units are mean number of *Pseudo-nitzschia* valves (observed from two polychaetes).

2.4 Sediment DA analysis

Archived sediment samples (depths of 2.5 – 3 cm from stations C6B and C8 from 21 March 2007 and 12 May 2008) were stored in -80°C until analyzed for DA in June 2016 following similar methods of Bargu et al. (2016). Aliquots of up to 3 g of the sediment were extracted applying a 1:4 ratio of sediment to 50% aqueous MeOH. Samples were sonicated on ice for 2 197 min, centrifuged for 10 min at 5000 RPM, and the supernatant was filtered (0.22  $\mu$ m) into clean centrifuge tubes. A competitive enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ASP Direct cELISA Kit, Biosense Laboratories AS, Norway) was used to quantify DA concentrations. Each sample was run in duplicate at multiple dilutions according to the manufacturer's specifications. The

absorbance data for each sample were collected using a micro-plate spectrophotometer set at a wavelength of 450 nm. The sediment from which DA was extracted was dried and weighed to 203 . obtain concentrations of DA in ng g dry sed<sup>-1</sup>.

**3. Results** 

3.1 Seasonal and spatial distribution of *Pseudo-nitzschia*

*Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. from the June 2006 to July 2008 samples at all stations ranged from non-

208 detectable to 4 x 10<sup>6</sup> cells  $1^{-1}$  in surface water, from non-detectable to 1.2 x 10<sup>6</sup> cells  $1^{-1}$  in the

209 bottom water and from non-detectable to  $4 \times 10^2$  cells g dry sed<sup>-1</sup> on the sediment surface (Fig.

2). Peak surface-water abundances of cells were evident in the spring of 2007 and 2008 at all

stations (Fig. 2A)*. Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. contributed 68%, 79%, and 86% to the total cell density

of the surface waters in May 2007 at stations C4, C6B, and C8, respectively.

Spring cell density peaks were present in the bottom water for most of the stations in 2007 and

2008, with the peaks corresponding to the surface-water cell density peaks (Fig. 2B). Bottom-

water *Pseudo-nitzschia* densities at stations C4 and C8 were also highest in May 2007 and

contributed 70%, 54%, and 73% of the May 2007 bottom-water total cell density at stations C4,

217 C6B, and C8, respectively.

*Pseudo-nitzschia* cells were observed on the sediment surface on three occasions, all during the spring months and only at two stations (C4 and C6B) from 2006 to 2008 (Fig. 2C). Observations with light and epifluorescence microscopy indicated they were still in chain forms and had visible chloroplasts, thus considered viable. Sediment *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells were present at



#### 3.2 SEM identifications

The SEM verified that most of the *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells in the 2006 to 2008 surface water,

bottom water, and sediment surface samples belonged to the *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex

with the two common species being *P. calliantha* and *P. pseudodelicatissima. P. calliantha* was

identified in the surface (Fig. 4A) and bottom water of station C4 in May 2007 and in the bottom

water of C4 in May 2008. *P. pseudodelicatissima* was found in the surface water (Fig. 4A) and

bottom water at station C4 in May 2007. Valves belonging to the *P. pseudodelicatissima* 

complex were common in sediments from station C6B in March 2007, and were also identified

from sediments at station C4 in May 2008 (Fig. 4C and 4D). Some of the *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells

from sediments at station C6B in May 2007 did not digest completely, which prevented

identification to the species level (Fig. 4B).

## 3.3 Polychaete gut contents

*Pseudo-nitzschia* were found in the guts of *P. pinnata* and ranged from undetected to an average of six valves per monthly sample of two digested worms (Fig. 3). Approximately one third of *Pseudo-nitzschia* valves were intact and the rest were fragmented. The occurrence of *Pseudo-nitzschia* valves in *P. pinnata* sometimes paralleled the peak abundance of *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells in the surface waters (Fig. 3) but the correlation with surface water abundance and gut contents 249 was weak  $(r^2 = 0.01, p = 0.69, n = 14)$ . *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. comprised an average 15% of total water column diatom abundance for the study period, but they represented only 0 to 7% of the total diatoms (centric, pennate, and *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp.) in the polychaete guts. 3.4 Sediment DA analysis 253 Domoic acid concentrations were below the detection limit  $(5.17 \text{ ng g dry sed}^{-1})$  from the C8 254 sediment sample in 21 March 2007 and were above detection limit ( $> 0.17$  ng g dry sed<sup>-1</sup>) in sediment samples at station C6B in both 21 March 2007 and 12 May 2008 (Table 1). The DA concentrations were still detected in sediments from station C6B in May 2008 even though the sediment surface at both stations did not have *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells observed (Table 1). No

sediment samples were available from station C4.

#### **Discussion**

Benthic-pelagic coupling of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. through ingestion by the polychaete

*Paraprionospio pinnata*, provides a likely mechanism for transfer of the diatom toxin, DA, into

the benthic food web of the northern Gulf of Mexico. Peak *Pseudo-nitzschia* abundance in the

surface water in spring 2007 were followed by peaks of viable *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells in the bottom water and on the sediment surface. This pattern indicates that *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells were sinking to the seafloor at stations C4 and C6B in depths of 14 and 20 m. However, the highest sediment abundance of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. in 2007 preceded the surface peak abundance at the same site by two months, indicating the coarse temporal sampling regime may have missed a prior surface *Pseudo-nitzschia* bloom. Alternatively, if *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. have resting stages, they may act as a reservoir or inoculum for the water column above them (Lelong et al., 2012). *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells were not present on the surface sediments during the spring at station C8 (23 m water depth), even though the highest surface-water density of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. was at station C8 in May 2007. Station C8 (with sandier sediment than C4 and C6B) did not become hypoxic during the summer of 2007 and 2008 (Baustian et al., 2011), which may indicate that the carbon flux, including cells of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. may have been low at this depth. In addition, few to no *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells were observed on the sediment surface during mid to late July 2006, 2007 and 2008 along the Louisiana continental shelf suggesting that *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. were more common on the sediment surface during periods of high spring surface production.

The common *Pseudo-nitzschia* species found on the sediment surface, based on SEM analyses, were *P. calliantha* and *P. pseudodelicatissima*, which are confirmed DA producers in the northern Gulf of Mexico (Parsons et al., 1999; Pan et al., 2001; Del Rio et al., 2010). Thus, sinking, viable cells belonging to the *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex have the potential to transport DA to benthic communities. If the toxic cells of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. become lysed, the dissolved form of DA could remain in the sediment for some time by binding to silts and

clays (Burns and Ferry, 2007), which are common in the muddy sediments of stations C4 and C6B (Baustian et al., 2011). There is uncertainty in the varying toxin levels in the viable and senescent cells and the amount of time that DA contamination persists in the sediment, but sediment DA was present at station C6B and intact cells were found in the guts of

*Paraprionospio pinnata*.

Surface deposit-feeding and suspension-feeding polychaetes, such as *P. pinnata*, are the most abundant benthic infauna in this area (Baustian and Rabalais, 2009) and are a likely vector to transport diatom toxins to upper trophic levels of the benthic food web in northern Gulf of Mexico as well as elsewhere (Vigilant and Silver, 2007). The gut content analyses of *P. pinnata* from our study area included cells of *Pseudo-nitzschia*, but the highest abundances were not during the spring months of 2004 as expected, which could simply be due to the low number of polychaetes that were analyzed. In addition, the spring 2004 surface water *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. 298 abundances (~ 6 x 10<sup>5</sup> cells l<sup>-1</sup>) were not as high as the spring 2007 surface water abundances (~3  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells l<sup>-1</sup>) that represent bloom conditions. With low surface-water cell abundances, the probability of these cells fluxing to the sediment and being incorporated into polychaetes could be low*.* These polychaetes can reject food brought to their pharynx by their palps, and may reject up to 50% of the food collected (Dauer, 1985). For example, fecal pellets and cyanobacteria were never selected during deposit feeding and flocculent material was commonly ingested by specimens from Chesapeake Bay (Dauer, 1985). *Pseudo-nitzschia* are likely present in the flocculent material of the northern Gulf of Mexico, which is probably the source of consumed cells by polychaetes.

One-third of the *Pseudo-nitzschia* valves were intact in the guts of *P. pinnata*, indicating they may have been viable and contained DA. It is not known what the rate of deterioration of the *Pseudo-nitzschia* frustule after cell senescence is or how long ingested viable valves persist. However, it is known that intact *Pseudo-nitzschia* frustules are identifiable in at least 50-year old sediment samples (Parsons et al., 2002) and that dissolution is unlikely for frustules retained in historic sediments. Broken frustules on the sediment surface could directly contribute to the sediment toxin pool and contribute to accumulation through trophic transfer. Fish, sediment, snails, and dolphins were detected with DA in the eastern Gulf of Mexico and DA trophic transfer was likely occurring in that region (Twiner et al., 2011). Demersal predators of the northern Gulf of Mexico, such as penaeid shrimp, blue crabs, Atlantic croaker, and cownose rays are known to prey upon polychaetes and bivalves (Baustian et al., 2009; Craig et al., 2010) and could be affected by toxins that commonly occur within three trophic levels (Trainer et al., 319 2012), for example: DA in *Pseudo-nitzschia*  $\rightarrow$  polychaete  $\rightarrow$  Atlantic croaker. The presence of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. in the gut contents of *P. pinnata* supports the theory that these worms and other infauna are potential vectors of DA to higher trophic levels as in other benthic communities. A finer temporal approach using our techniques coupled with particle traps and demersal fish assessments would better define the coupling of DA toxins from spring blooms of the *Pseudo-nitzschia pseudodelicatissima* complex to benthic consumers and further transfer through the food web.

- 
- 

## **Acknowledgments**

- Special thanks to the members of the N.N. Rabalais Laboratory (N. Atilla, J. Lasseigne, L. Pride,
- D. Richardi, E. Robinson, A. Sapp and T. Widgeon), the R.E. Turner Laboratory (J. Lee and C.
- Milan) and the R/V *Pelican* captain and crew for helping collect water and sediment samples.
- Thanks to R.E. Turner, R.J. Stevenson, and T. Carruthers for comments on earlier drafts.
- Funding was provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA),
- Center for Sponsored Coastal Ocean Research (CSCOR) grant numbers NA06NPS4780197,
- NA09NOS4780204 and NA16OP2670 to N.N. Rabalais and the Louisiana Board of Regents
- under award number LEQSF(2007-10)-RD-A-02 to S. Bargu and N.N. Rabalais. Gut content
- analysis of polychaetes was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No.
- OCE-1063036 for Research Experiences for Undergraduates. We appreciate the reviewers and

editors for providing useful comments that strengthened our paper.

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

- 
- 
- 



- Bargu, S., Baustian, M.M., Rabalais, N., Del Rio, R., Von Korff, B., Turner, R.E., 2016.
- Influence of the Mississippi River on *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. abundance and toxicity in
- Louisiana coastal waters. Estuaries Coasts 39, 1345-1356.
- Bargu, S., Powell, C., Wang, Z., Doucette, G., Silver, M.W., 2008. Note on the occurrence of *Pseudo-nitzschia australis* and domoic acid in squid from Monterey Bay, California (USA). Harmful Algae 7, 45-51.
- Bates, S.S., Bird, C.J., de Freitas, A.S.W., Foxall, R., Gilgan, M., Hanic, L.A., Johnson, G.R.,
- McCulloch, A.W., Odense, P., Pocklington, R., Quilliam, M.A., Sim, P.G., Smith, J.C.,
- Rao, D.V.S., Todd, E.C.D., Walter, J.A., Wright, J.L.C., 1989. Pennate diatom *Nitzschia*
- *pungens* as the primary source of domoic acid, a toxin in shellfish from eastern Prince
- Edward Island, Canada. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 46(7), 1203-1215.
- Baustian, M.M., Craig, J.K., Rabalais, N.N., 2009. Effects of summer 2003 hypoxia on macrobenthos and Atlantic croaker foraging selectivity in the northern Gulf of Mexico. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 381, S31-S37.





*truncatus*) stranding during an unusual mortality event in Texas, USA. Harmful Algae 10(3), 330-336.



- Hallegraeff, G.M., 1993. A review of harmful algal blooms and their apparent global increase. Physologia 32(2), 79-99.
- Heisler, J., Glibert, P.M., Burkholder, J.M., Anderson, D.M., Cochlan, W., Dennison, W.C.,
- Dortch, Q., Gobler, C.J., Heil, C.A., Humphries, E., Lewitus, A., Magnien, R., Marshall, H.G., Sellner, K., Stockwell, D.A., Stoecker, D.K., Suddleson, M., 2008. Eutrophication and harmful algal blooms: A scientific consensus. Harmful Algae 8(1), 3-13.
- Justić, D., Turner, R.E., Rabalais, N.N., 2003. Climatic influences on riverine nitrate flux:

Implications for coastal marine eutrophication and hypoxia. Estuaries Coasts 26, 1-11.

- Lehrter, J.C., Murrell, M.C., Kurtz, J.C., 2009. Interactions between freshwater input, light, and phytoplankton dynamics on the Louisiana continental shelf. Cont. Shelf Res. 29(15), 1861-1872.
- Lelong, A., Hégaret, H., Soudant, P., Bates, S.S., 2012. *Pseudo-nitzschia* (Bacillariophyceae) species, domoic acid and amnesic shellfish poisoning: revisiting previous paradigms. Phycologia 51(2), 168-216.





17, 129-176.



Vigilant, V., Silver, M., 2007. Domoic acid in benthic flatfish on the continental shelf of

Monterey Bay, California, USA. Mar. Biol. 151(6), 2053-2062.

Figures



488<br>489

Fig. 1. Station C4, C6A, C6B, C6C, and C8 locations west of the Mississippi River in the

northern Gulf of Mexico.



**Fig. 2.** *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. cells in the A) surface water (n= 39), B) bottom water (n= 39) and C) sediment surface (n= 38) at stations C4, C6B and C8 from June 2006 to July 2008. Circled points indicate scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were taken of surface water (station C6B in May 2007 and station C4 in May 2008), bottom water (station C4 in May 2007 and station C6B in May 2008) and sediment surface samples (station C6B in March 2007 and May 2007, station C4 in May 2008).



**Fig. 3.** *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. (PN) abundances in surface water samples (data from Dortch et al. unpubl. data) and in gut contents of polychaete *P. pinnata* (mean of two) at station C6B from August 2003 to December 2004. Surface water samples were collected at station C6B until February 2004 (dashed line) when the sampling was relocated a short distance (within 1 km east) away to station C6C (see Fig. 1 for station locations).



**Fig. 4**. Scanning electron microscopy images of A) *P. pseudodelicatissima* complex (*P. calliantha* and *P. pseudodelicatissima* with presence of single row of poroids within the striae, a central nodulus is present in both species) from the surface water of station C4 in May 2007, B) unidentifiable *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. from the sediment surface of station C6B in May 2007, C) valve of unidentifiable *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. from the sediment surface at station C6B in March 2007 (note other pennate and centric diatoms) and D) valve of *P.* cf. *pseudodelicatissima*  complex on the sediment surface at station C4 in May 2008.

**Table 1.** Presence of *Pseudo-nitzschia* cells (PN, cells g dry sed.<sup>-1</sup>) and domoic acid

518 concentrations (DA, ng g dry sed.<sup>-1</sup>) from sediment samples (depths of 2.5 to 3 cm) collected at

519 stations C6B and C8 in spring months of 2007 and 2008. No archived sediment samples were

520 available from station C4. DA symbols of "-" indicate sediment samples were analyzed but

521 concentrations were below the detection limit  $(6.17 \text{ ng g dry sed}^{-1})$ , "+" indicates sediment

522 samples were analyzed and were above detection limit ( $> 0.17$  ng g dry sed<sup>-1</sup>), ND = no data.



523