

AN EVALUATION OF CLEANUP TECHNOLOGIES POTENTIALLY APPLICABLE TO EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL CLEANUP OPERATIONS IN 1990

1990

FINAL REPORT

29 MARCH 1990

## PREPARED FOR:

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION Hazardous Materials Response Branch Ocean Assessments Division Office of Oceanography and Marine Assessment Seattle, Washington 98115

#### PREPARED BY:

Advanced Technology, Inc. 2121 Crystal Drive, Suite 200 Arlington, Virginia 22202 (703) 769-3376

LIBRARY Kecatologed MAR - 8 1994 N.O.A.A. U.S. Dept. of Commerce

and

Continental Shelf Associates, Inc. 7607 EastMark Drive, Suite 250 College Station, Texas 77840 (409) 696-5493

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

LIST	OF TABLES
ACKNO	WLEDGEMENTS
1.0	INTRODUCTION
2.0	DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARIES OF SHORELINE TYPES
	"PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND"
	2.1 INTRODUCTION
	2.2 EXPOSED ROCKY SHORES
	2.3 EXPOSED WAVE-CUT PLATFORMS
	2.4 SAND BEACHES
	2.5 MIXED SAND AND GRAVEL BEACHES
	2.6 GRAVEL BEACHES
	2.7 SHELTERED ROCKY SHORES
	2.8 FYPOSED TIDAL FLATS
	2.9 SHELLERED TIDAL FLATS
	2.10 MARSHES
3.0	SHORELINE TREATMENT METHODS
	3.1 INTRODUCTION
	3.2 GENERIC DESCRIPTION OF TREATMENT METHODS
	3.2.1 Manual Removal
	3.2.2 Washing/Flooding with Cold Water ("Deluge")
	3.2.2 Washing/Flooding with cold water ( beidge )
	2.2.4 Cold Water/Low Pressure Washing
	3.2.4 Cold water/High Pressure washing
	3.2.5 warm water/Moderate-to-High Pressure washing 12
	3.2.6 Hot Flushing with Hand Wands and Vacuum 1
	3.2.7 Passive Collection Sorbents
	3.2.8 Vacuum
	3.2.9 Hot Water Injection
	3.2.10 Disking of Sand Beaches
	3.2.11 Sediment Removal
	3.2.12 Shoreline Removal, Cleansing, and Replacement . 18
	3.2.13 Relocation to Surf Zone
	3.2.14 Burning
	3.2.15 Chemical Treatment
	$2.2.15 \text{ Chemical Heatment} \cdot \cdot$
	3.2.17 Natural Recovery
	3.3 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED TREATMENTS BY SHORELINE TYPES,
	LOGISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS, AND REPRESENTATIVE
	CLEANUP COSTS
4.0	WORKSHOP SUMMARY OF CLEANUP TECHNOLOGIES
	4.1 INTRODUCTION
	4.2 PHYSICAL TECHNOLOGIES
	4.3 CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGIES
	4.4 BIOREMEDIATION
	4.5 SIIMMARY
	T.5 DOMINICE
5.0	LITERATURE CITED

APPENDIX A SUMMARY AND DISPOSITION OF OIL SPILL CLEANUP PROCESS AND PRODUCT PROPOSALS RECEIVED BY THE U.S. COAST GUARD RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CENTER . . A-1

# LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	Description		
3.1	Logistical considerations for cleanup treatment methods	24	
3.2	Representative Alaskan oil spill cleanup costs	26	

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This deliverable was prepared for the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Office of Oceanography and Marine Assessment, Ocean Assessments Division, Hazardous Materials Response Branch (Seattle, WA) by Advanced Technology, Inc. (ATI) and Continental Shelf Associates, Inc. (CSA) under NOAA Quick Response Task Order No. 55ABNC900022. The Contracting Officer's Technical Representative for NOAA was Mr. David Kennedy. Contractual management was overseen by Dr. Bijan Izadi of ATI (Arlington, VA), the prime contractor. Technical project management was overseen by Mr. Brian Balcom of CSA (Ventura, CA).

This final report on cleanup technologies was authored by Dr. Bela James of CSA (College Station, TX) and Mr. Jim O'Brien of O'Brien's Oil Pollution Service (Gretna, LA). Text pertaining to beach types present within Prince William Sound, AK was prepared by Dr. Walter J. Sexton of Athena Technologies, Inc. (Columbia, SC). ATI and CSA wish to thank several individuals associated with government agencies, industry, or the private sector who provided assistance and support to CSA in the securing of data either contained or referenced within this report, including:

Mr.	Tom Callahan	NOAA			
Dr.	Erich Gundlach	E-Tec	ch, Inc.		
Dr.	Robert Hiltabrand	U.S.	Coast Guard		
Mr.	Henry Hubble	Exxon	L		
Mr.	Hans Jahns	Exxon	L		
Mr.	John Janssen	U.S.	Coast Guard		
Mr.	David Kennedy	NOAA			
Dr.	Hap Pritchard	U.S.	Environmental	Protection	Agency
Mr.	Pete Tebeau	U.S.	Coast Guard		
Mr.	Richard Valentinetti	U.S.	Environmental	Protection	Agency

Information derived from the EXXON VALDEZ Cleanup Technology Workshop held at the Hilton Hotel, Anchorage, AK on 28-30 November 1989 has been integrated into this final report. ATI and CSA would like to thank all of the panel members and presenters for their participation and contribution to the Workshop, as well as Mr. John Robinson of NOAA who served as Moderator. ATI and CSA would also like to thank those individuals who provided assistance with the coordination and conduct of the Workshop, including:

Ms.	Karon Gleason	NOAA
Ms.	Lori Harris	NOAA
Ms.	Pamela Hudson	CSA
Mr.	David Kennedy	NOAA

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document addresses the possible cleanup technologies that could be applicable for use on the EXXON VALDEZ oil spill response in 1990. The focus of the report is on the generic aspects of the available technologies and not on an in-depth review of specific devices or products.

Evaluation and testing of specific devices and products has been underway by, among others, Exxon, U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center (USCGR&DC), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), and the State of Alaska. The status of this testing and evaluation process was presented at the Cleanup Technology Review Workshop in Anchorage, Alaska on 28-30 November 1989, and proceedings of the workshop are presented in NOAA (1990).

The USCGR&DC was the designated clearinghouse for proposals and suggestions submitted to the Federal Government and State of Alaska. The USCGR&DC's evaluation effort was coordinated with Exxon and the USEPA. As part of their task, the USCGR&DC reviewed the proposals and divided them into two general categories: 1) existing technologies, products, and resources, and 2) new technologies. Information on existing technologies, products, and resources were forwarded directly to Exxon. The USCGR&DC further divided the new technologies into categories of 1) having the potential for immediate testing or evaluation for application in Prince William Sound, 2) having potential for future investigation and development as a longer term R&D effort, and 3) not having the potential in either the short or long term. A summary of the proposals retained by the Coast Guard for further review and those forwarded to Exxon is given in Appendix A.

Within Section 2.0 of this report is a brief description of the physical aspects of the various shoreline types along with the predicted and observed physical impacts oil could have on these environs. Following the description of the shoreline types, Section 3.0 addresses the description of oil cleanup treatment methods that may be applicable to future efforts in Prince William Sound. The items addressed for each treatment method include 1) the objective of the method, 2) technical description of the method, 3) applicable shoreline types, 4) when to use the method, 5) biological constraints of the method, and 6) probable intertidal and subtidal environmental effects if the method is used. At the end of Section 3.0 is 1) a matrix that summarizes applicability of each cleanup method for the various types of shorelines, 2) a table that addresses logistical considerations of cleanup methods, and 3) a table that presents representative Alaskan cleanup costs. The final section of the report (Section 4.0) provides a summary of the 28-30 November 1989 Cleanup Technology Workshop that was held in Anchorage, Alaska as presented by the three panel discussions: Physical Technologies, Chemical Technologies, and Bioremediation.

## 2.0 DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARIES OF SHORELINE TYPES "PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND"

#### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

The shoreline descriptive summaries of the shoreline types for Prince William Sound and the predicted physical oil impact is a summary of several published sources including shoreline types as outlined in the "EXXON VALDEZ Oil Spill Field Shoreline Treatment Manual (NOAA, 1989)" and several Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI) atlases published by NOAA/RPI for the following areas: Prince William Sound, Southern Alaska Peninsula, and the Outer Coasts of Oregon, Washington, and Hawaii. This information is complemented by field observations made during the summer of 1989 and during the NOAA winter monitoring program (1989-90) by the authors in Prince William Sound and surrounding vicinity.

The type of shoreline and degree of exposure to waves and currents are one basis for selecting appropriate treatment techniques. The shoreline types in Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska range from exposed, vertical rocky shores to sheltered tidal flats and marshes. Each shoreline type has a particular tendency for penetration and persistence of oil. Oil tends to penetrate deeper with increasing grain size. Most of the oiled beaches are composed of mixtures of gravel and bedrock. The gravel beaches in the spill area vary in clast size from pebbles to boulders. These beaches have variable substrates beneath the gravel ranging from mixed sand and gravel to sand mixed with mud. There are few sand beaches in the impacted area except in the vicinity of the Alaska Peninsula.

The persistence of oil in the spill area is directly related to the degree of exposure to waves and tidal currents and the level of oiling. In Prince William Sound, the classification of a shoreline as exposed or sheltered is relative in that much of the Sound is sheltered from significant wave activity most of the year. In general, the shorelines along the embayments within the Sound are considered to be sheltered.

#### 2.2 EXPOSED ROCKY SHORES

#### Physical Description

- \* Exposed rocky shores are common and frequently found along headlands and offshore islands throughout the Gulf of Alaska and Prince William Sound.
- They are composed of steeply dipping to near vertical bedrock, with little to no beach present.
- \* They are frequently exposed to moderate to high waves.
- \* Rock surfaces are commonly colonized by barnacles, mussels, algae, and various species of rockweed.

2

- \* On more-exposed shores:
  - Most commonly, oil will be held offshore by waves reflecting off the steep cliffs.
  - Deposited light oils would be removed rapidly by wave action; heavier sticky oils are likely to remain longer.
- \* On less-exposed shores:
  - Oil removal would depend upon storm frequency and oil character.
- \* Oil would tend to adhere more readily to the rough, porous rock surfaces.
- Impacts to intertidal organisms are expected to be of short duration.

### 2.3 EXPOSED WAVE-CUT PLATFORMS

#### Physical Description

- Exposed wave-cut platforms are common in the study area.
- They are composed of wave-cut rock terraces, generally exposed to high waves.
- \* This shoreline type is commonly backed by a steep rock scarp and may be very wide due to large tidal ranges.
- The rock surface is irregular, with numerous tidal pools which support large populations of encrusting plants and animals.
- Often wave-cut platforms have narrow, perched mixed-sediment beaches at the top of terrace.

## Predicted Physical Impact

- \* Oil may be transported across the platform and accumulate along the high-tide swash line.
- Heavy oils and tar balls would tend to persist and seep into crevices and depressions, especially on porous, irregular rock.
- Persistence is generally limited to days or weeks, as a function of wave energy.
- Tidal pool organisms may be killed, but recovery can be rapid.

## 2.4 SAND BEACHES

- \* Sand beaches include fine-, medium- and coarse-grained sediments and are not common throughout the study area.
- Found primarily fronting the Copper River Delta, Alaska Peninsula, Trinity Island and at outwash/streams.

\* Beach slope and width will vary with grain size and tidal range. Beach width and slope increases with tidal range and grain size.

## Predicted Physical Impact

- During small spills, oil tends to be deposited at the high-tide swash line.
- \* Large spills will cover the entire beach face.
- Initial oil penetration will vary from 10 to 25 cm in accordance with the particle size of the beach; fine sands, shallow penetration; coarse sands, deeper penetration.
- \* Oil can be buried rapidly by clean sand to depths of 50 cm or more.

## 2.5 MIXED SAND AND GRAVEL BEACHES

## Physical Description

- \* These beaches are common throughout the spill area.
- \* They are composed of a mixture of sand and gravel of varying sizes. Gravel shapes (round to angular) may vary considerably from beach to beach.
- \* They are present in both sheltered and exposed areas.
- \* They often occur as pocket beaches between headlands, spits and perched beaches on bedrock platforms.
- The larger gravel may provide habitat for mussels, barnacles and rockweed.

## Predicted Physical Impact

- \* During the large spills, oil will spread across the entire beach face.
- \* Burial of oil may be deep at the high-tide berm.
- \* Oil penetration may be high with greatest penetration in coarse, well-sorted sediments (mostly gravel).
- \* Along sheltered shorelines, oil penetration and persistence can be great.
- \* Along exposed shorelines wave induced sediment movement/transport will remove the oil more rapidly.

## 2.6 GRAVEL BEACHES

- \* Gravel beaches are common throughout the area and frequently occur in areas with rocky shores.
- Composed of gravel of varying sizes (pebbles to boulders).
- \* Gravel shapes may vary from round to angular as related to exposure and tectonics.
- Smaller gravel is dynamic and is easily transported.

- Oil on gravel beaches would coat individual clasts and penetrate to several tens of centimeters into the substrate.
- \* Depth of penetration is somewhat controlled by substrate sediment characteristics (mud, sand, gravel).
- \* Penetration would be greatest in areas of largest grain sizes and poorest sorting.
- \* In low-energy areas, buried oil will tend to seep out, generating sheens that can recontaminate the shoreline.
- \* With heavy oiling the entire beach face may be covered.
- If oil is left to harden, an asphalt/gravel pavement may result.
- \* Oiled angular granules will take longer to naturally degrade than round granules in a similar setting.

## 2.7 <u>SHELTERED ROCKY SHORES</u>

## Physical Description

- Common within the more sheltered coves and bays in the spill area (i.e., Snugg Harbor, Bay of Isles).
- Sheltered rocky shores occur as vertical rock walls (bedrock outcrops) and gravel-strewn ledges and platforms.
- They are usually heavily encrusted with barnacles, mussels, rockweed and other encrusting organisms.

## Predicted Physical Impact

- Oil tends to adhere to rocky surfaces and penetrate into cracks and joints in bedrock outcrops.
- \* Even light accumulations can persist for years, especially between rocks.
- Heavy accumulations can coat the entire intertidal community.
- Biota living on the bedrock (barnacles, mussels) would be impacted.

### 2.8 EXPOSED TIDAL FLATS

- Visible only at low tide and composed of mixed sediments (sand, gravel) with only slight amounts of mud.
- Exposed to moderate to low wave energies and/or tidal currents.
- \* Not common in the study area but found in the vicinity of Copper River Delta front and scattered elsewhere.
- Most commonly found along shorelines with active outwash/streams with abundant sand/gravel sediment sources.

- \* Heaviest concentrations will be along the high-tide line above the tidal flat.
- \* Most oil will be transported across the flat with the rising tide.
- \* Heavy oil accumulations will cover the flat during low tide.
- \* Biological impacts may be severe.

## 2.9 SHELTERED TIDAL FLATS

### Physical Description

- \* Very common in the vicinity of the Copper River Delta and the upper portions of many fjords.
- \* Composed of mostly soft muds with only minor amounts of sand and gravel.
- \* Wave activity is low; these tidal flats may be exposed to moderate tidal currents.
- \* Found scattered throughout the spill area in calm waters sheltered from major wave activity.
- \* Sheltered tidal flats usually contain abundant epifauna and enfauna and are critical habitat for seasonally migrating birds.

## Predicted Physical Impact

- \* Oil is most likely to be transported across the tidal flat and deposited along the high-tide line flat fringe.
- Heavy accumulations can cover much of the tidal-flat surface, but penetration will not occur into the water-saturated sediments of the flat.
- In areas of high suspended sediment content, sorption of oil can contaminate sediments that are eventually deposited on the flat.
- \* If oiled, oil may persist for many years.
- \* Biological damage may be severe to both residents and users of the flat.

## 2.10 MARSHES

- \* Found commonly as a broad fringing marsh along the Copper River Delta.
- \* Also found at heads of fjords and sheltered settings throughout the spill site (more common along the Alaska Peninsula) nearly always fronted by tidal flats.
- \* March grasses grow on a mixed-sediment substrate often composed of mud or mixed mud and sand.
- Very sheltered from wave and tidal activity.

- \* Small amounts of oil will contaminate the outer marsh fringe only, natural removal by wave and tidal flushing can occur within months.
- Heavy oiling will cover more area and persist for many years.
- \* Large spring tides can transport oil deep into the marsh, contaminating areas above normal flushing.
- \* Oil, particularly heavy oils, tend to adhere readily to marsh grasses.
- \* Resident biota, including bird life, is likely to be oiled and possibly killed.

### 3.0 SHORELINE TREATMENT METHODS

## 3.1 INTRODUCTION

This section of the report presents a generic description of the shoreline treatment methods that have a potential of being used in the EXXON VALDEZ cleanup in the spring and summer of 1990. Parts of Section 3.2 were derived from "EXXON VALDEZ Oil Spill Field Shoreline Treatment Manual (NOAA, 1989)." (Selection of the proper treatment method will depend, among other things, on the weathered condition of the oil, amount of oil contamination, depth of oil penetration, type of shoreline, potential impact to the shoreline by cleanup equipment, biological resources that may be affected by the cleanup activity, effect on water quality, and the potential presence of cultural resources. Following the description of possible treatment methods is 1) a matrix which summarizes the recommended treatment by shoreline type, 2) a table that addresses logistical considerations of cleanup methods, and 3) a table that presents representative Alaskan cleanup costs.

#### 3.2 GENERIC DESCRIPTION OF TREATMENT METHODS

#### 3.2.1 Manual Removal

#### Objective:

Removal of surface oil with hand tools and manual labor.

### Technical Description:

Removal of surface oil and oily debris by manual means (hands, rakes, shovels). No mechanized means are employed, with the exception of all-terrain vehicles, wheelbarrows, etc., where approved.

## Applicable Shoreline Types:

Can be used on all shoreline types other than mud flats and salt marshes.

## When to Use:

Generally used on lightly or very lightly oiled shorelines when oil can be easily removed by this non-mechanical means. Can be used to remove heavy oil accumulation when other techniques are not allowed.

## Biological Constraints:

Removes some organisms from the substrate and may crush others.

## Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - Minimal if surface disturbance by cleanup activities and work force movement is limited. Subtidal - None.

## 3.2.2 Washing/Flooding with Cold Water ("Deluge")

#### Objective:

Float surface oil, and wash oil from crevices and interstices of rocks to water's edge for pickup. Uses large volumes of ambient seawater at low pressure.

## Technical Description:

A large diameter header pipe with holes in it is placed parallel to the shoreline above the oiled area. A flexible perforated header hose is used to better conform to the actual shoreline profile. Ambient temperature seawater is pumped through the pipe and it flows across the surface toward the water's edge. On porous beaches, water flows through the substrate washing loose oil ahead of it or floating it to the surface, then transporting is downslope. Flow is maintained as long as necessary to remove the majority of the free oil. Oil is trapped by booms and picked up with a skimmer or other suitable equipment.

## Applicable Shoreline Types:

Boulder, cobble, gravel, coarse sand mixed with sediment, and rock. Generally not applicable to mud, vegetated upland, or steep rocky shorelines.

#### When to Use:

This method can be used where shoreline is heavily oiled over large areas, especially if oil is fluid and not tightly adherent to rocks. It can also be used if oil has penetrated in cobble or boulder shorelines. This method is frequently used in conjunction with other washing techniques (low or high pressure, cold or warm water). If oil has weathered, this technique can be used in conjunction with other treatment methods (injection or chemical treatment).

## Biological Constraints:

Not appropriate at creek mouths.

## Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - Habitat may be physically disturbed as sand and gravel components are mixed and transported. Organisms may be flushed into lower tidal zones.

Subtidal - Some sediment may be transported to shallow subtidal areas and bury benthic organisms.

## 3.2.3 Cold Water/Low Pressure Washing

## Objective:

On weathered oil, this treatment method is mainly used to flush oil downslope that has been loosened by other methods.

## Technical Description:

Water is pumped through hoses while nozzle pressures are kept low (less than 50 psi) by controlling flow at the nozzle. Washing begins at the top of the shoreline above the oil and oil is washed downslope. This system can also direct the flow of water and oil down to the water's edge. Oil is trapped by booms and picked up with a skimmer or other suitable equipment.

## Applicable Shoreline Types:

Boulder through coarse sand beaches, coarse mixed sediment and rock. Can be used to flush floating or loose oil out of tide pools and crevices. In conjunction with other methods, Cold Water/Low Pressure Washing may be applicable to fine sediments, marshes and wetlands, or vegetated upland shorelines.

#### When to Use:

This method is often used in conjunction with "deluge" flooding and other shoreline treatment methods.

### Biological Constraints:

May not be appropriate for fine-grained (sand to mud) sediment, marshes, tidal flats or vegetated upland shorelines, unless pressure is kept low enough to minimize disturbance and transport of sediment.

## Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - Disturbs fine-grained materials and may expose organisms living in tubes or burrows. May drive oil deeper into the substratum if water jet is improperly applied (e.g., if pressure is too high, "deluge" flooding is not used, etc.). If containment methods are not sufficient, contamination may be flushed into lower intertidal zones that would otherwise not be oiled.

Subtidal - No significant effects expected.

## 3.2.4 Cold Water/High Pressure Washing

## Objective:

On weathered oil, this treatment method is mainly used to flush oil downslope that has been loosened by other methods. On unweathered oil this treatment method can remove oil that has adhered to rocks or has become buried in the substrate and flush it downslope to the shoreline for pickup.

### Technical Description:

Similar to low pressure washing except that water pressure is greater (up to 100 psi). High pressure spray will better remove oil that has adhered to rocks, and can agitate the substrate up to large cobble size to expose buried oil. Oil is trapped by booms and picked up with a skimmer or other suitable equipment.

### Applicable Shoreline Types:

Boulder, cobble, and rock. May have limited application for gravel, sand, and mixed beaches. Can be used to flush floating or loose oil out of tide pools, and crevices in rock environments.

#### When to Use:

Where adherent oil must be removed, but destruction of biological communities is to be minimized; where oil has penetrated relatively deeply below the surface; in rugged rocky areas that are extensively and heavily oiled but not biologically sensitive.

#### Biological Constraints:

Not appropriate for fine-grained (gravel to mud) sediments or vegetated shorelines, or for shoreline where destruction of biological communities must be avoided.

#### Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - Removes many organisms near the surface. Thoroughly agitates sediments so that burrows and tubes are destroyed, and buries organisms. May drive oil deeper into the substratum if water jet is improperly applied. If containment methods are not sufficient, contamination may be flushed into lower intertidal zones.

Subtidal - No effect expected.

## 3.2.5 Warm Water/Moderate-to-High Pressure Washing

#### Objective:

Mobilize thick and weathered oil adhered to rock surfaces prior to flushing it down shore for pickup.

#### Technical Description:

Heated seawater is applied at moderate to high pressure (generally up to 100 psi) to mobilize weathered oil that has adhered to rocks. The warm water may be sufficient to flush the oil down the beach. If not, "deluge" flooding and additional low or high pressure washing can be used to float the oil to the shoreline for pickup.

#### Applicable Shoreline Types:

Boulder, cobble and rock shorelines that are heavily oiled. Generally not appropriate for sedimentary habitats, because mobilized oil may percolate through the sediments.

#### When to Use:

Where oil is weathered and/or difficult to remove by ambient temperature high pressure water or other physical means; oiling is heavy and/or extensive on potentially productive biological communities.

## Biological Constraints:

Not applicable where biological communities are to be protected or in fish-spawning areas.

#### Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - Can kill or remove most organisms. If containment methods are not sufficient, contamination may be flushed into lower intertidal zones that would otherwise not be oiled.

Subtidal - No effects expected.

## 3.2.6 Hot Flushing with Hand Wands and Vacuum

#### Objective:

Dislodge trapped oil from inaccessible locations and surfaces not amenable to mechanical removal.

## Technical Description:

Entails using two basic pieces of equipment: water heater with relatively high temperatures available (up to 140°F) and high pressure supporting wand devices to wash off oil. Used without water flooding, this procedure requires immediate use of vacuum to remove oil as it runs from rocks and soil. The vacuum can either be from something like a supersucker or a smaller, portable type, sitting on the shoreline.

#### Applicable Shoreline Types:

Best used where shoreline is relatively inaccessible and under conditions where warm water flushing is not considered feasible or desirable.

## When to Use:

Not applicable to sandy beaches or in locations where getting such equipment on to or close to shoreline is difficult.

## Biological Constraints:

Not appropriate where wholesale removal of attached organisms from the surface is unacceptable. Removed oil must be recovered to prevent further oiling of adjacent environments. Generally not appropriate in fish streams.

#### Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - All attached organisms will be removed. There is a chance for the released oil to contaminate the lower intertidal zone. Improper methods could drive the oil further into the substrate. Deeply penetrated oil will remain, with the threat of re-oiling.

Subtidal - If fine-grained materials are present, they could be transported to the adjacent subtidal zone.

#### 3.2.7 Passive Collection Sorbents

#### Objective:

Removal of nonsticky oil by sorption onto oleophilic materials placed in the intertidal zone.

## Technical Description:

Sorbent material is placed on the surface of the shoreline substrate allowing it to sorb oil at a rate dependent on the type of sorbent. Removal of oil is dependent on the capacity of the particular sorbent. Only recoverable sorbent materials can be used. Use of peat is still in the demonstration phase.

## Applicable Shoreline Types:

Can be used on any shoreline type.

## When to Use:

When the shoreline oil is mobile and transport of oil is expected on or off the site. The oil must be of such a viscosity and thickness to be sorbed by the sorbent material and be released by the substrate. Not applicable on highly weathered oil.

### Biological Constraints:

None, other than the fact that the method can be slow thus allowing oil to remain in critical habitats during sensitive periods of time.

## Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - None, except for the amount of oil remaining on the shoreline after the sorbents are no longer effective.

Subtidal - None.

## 3.2.8 Vacuum

### Objective:

Remove free oil from the shoreline surface.

#### Technical Description:

Use of a suction head, hose, pump, and storage tank to recover free oil from the shoreline surface. The equipment can range from small, portable units which fill individual 55-gal drums to large supersuckers that are truck-mounted and that can lift large rocks.

### Applicable Shoreline Types:

Can be used on any shoreline type, including rocky headlands.

#### When to Use:

When there are large volumes of free oil. Not effective on highly weathered oil.

## Biological Constraints:

Areas where foot traffic and equipment operation should be restricted.

#### Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - Minimal impacts if used properly and minimal substrate is removed.

Subtidal - None.

#### 3.2.9 Hot Water Injection

#### Objective:

Removal of oil which has penetrated into the shoreline sediments by injection of hot water below the sediment surface to flush it to the surface for collection.

#### Technical Description:

A series of small diameter (1 to 2 in.) perforated lances connected to a manifold are driven into the substrate. Hot water is injected through the lances and the released oil floats to the surface for removal by skimmers or sorbents. The effective area of flushing is a function of the grain size, sorting, and heterogeneity of the beach sediments.

## Applicable Shoreline Types:

Mixed sand and gravel beaches only. On mostly gravel and larger-sized sediments, the high porosity will result in a very small radius of flushing around each well point.

#### When to Use:

This method is appropriate for heavily oiled beaches where the oil has penetrated deeply, below that depth of effective agitation by high-pressure washing with fire hoses.

## Biological Constraints:

Should not be used in areas where sensitive biological resources have been identified to occur in the intertidal zone or where sedimentation of fish streams could occur.

#### Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - If containment methods are not sufficient, contamination may be flushed into lower intertidal zones that would otherwise not be oiled.

Subtidal - Under certain conditions, there could be transport of fine-grained sediment to the subtidal areas, smothering bottom communities and/or depositing contaminated sediments.

## 3.2.10 Disking of Sand Beaches

### Objective:

To turn the sediments over or break up lightly oiled layers in order to enhance the natural degradation of the oil.

## Technical Description:

The beach sediments are rototilled or otherwise mechanically mixed. This process can be repeated over time to further speed the rate of degradation.

#### Applicable Shoreline Types:

Works best on sandy beaches. Could be used on mixed sand and gravel beaches.

## When to Use:

Most applicable on shorelines with light oil contamination. On heavily oiled beaches, there is the potential for mixing large amounts of oil into the substrate which may prolong oil residence time.

#### **Biological Constraints:**

Could not be used on beaches near shellfish-harvest or fish-spawning areas because of the potential for constant release of oil and oiled sediments.

## Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - Because oil is mixed into the sediments, this process could further expose organisms that lived below the original layer of oil. If mixing is repeated over time, it could delay the reestablishment of organisms.

Subtidal - There is a potential for release of contaminated sediments to the offshore zone.

## 3.2.11 Sediment Removal

#### Objective:

Removal of surface-oiled sediments.

### Technical Description:

Oiled sediments are removed by manually using hand tools or mechanically using various kinds of motorized equipment. The oiled material must be transported and disposed of off-site.

## Applicable Shoreline Types:

Can be used on sand, pebble, and cobble beaches depending on limitations of manpower and specific equipment.

#### When to Use:

This method is to be considered only when very limited amounts of oiled sediments have to be removed. Should not be considered where beach erosion may result. Care should be taken to remove the sediments only to the depth of oil penetration, which can be difficult with heavy equipment on gravel beaches.

#### Biological Constraints:

Use of mechanized equipment may be restricted by adjacent habitats. Generally not appropriate in or near fish-spawning areas.

#### Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - The equipment is heavy and large, and is manpower- and support-intensive. Transportation to site may entail aircraft, land vehicle, or barge movement to shoreline. May be detrimental if excessive shoreline is removed without replacement. All organisms resident in the beach will be affected. Subtidal - Release of oil and fine-grained oily sediments to the water during sediment removal activities. This will be of concern because of tidal flushing of the excavated beach surface.

#### 3.2.12 Shoreline Removal, Cleansing, and Replacement

## Objective:

To remove oiled surface material, cleanse it, and replace it onto the shoreline.

#### Technical Description:

Removal of the oiled substrate into a cleansing container. Methods may include hot water or a cleansing solution with physical agitation. After oil removal, the shoreline material is returned to the shoreline. An example of this treatment method is the Cabana/Carazza Rock Washer.

#### Applicable Shoreline Types:

Sand, pebble, gravel, etc., depending on the limitations of the cleaning processing equipment. The equipment must be placed close to the beach, with a backshore large enough to support the cleanup equipment.

#### When to Use:

Applicable to shorelines where removal of sediment is undesired and other cleanup techniques are likely to be ineffective. If cleansing solutions are used, then they must be properly disposed.

#### Biological Constraints:

Generally unacceptable for areas used for spawning by salmon and herring. There may be site-specific constraints related to the severe displacement of the beach sediments. Replaced materials must be free of oil and toxic substances.

### Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - May be detrimental if excessive shoreline is removed without replacement. All organisms resident in the beach will be affected. The equipment can be heavy and large, and is manpower- and support-intensive. Transportation to site may entail aircraft, land vehicle, or barge movement to the shoreline. Due to size and difficulty in relocation, the material must be transported to the machine for processing, contributing to the disruption of the shoreline. Subtidal - There may be release of oil and fine-grained oily sediments to the water during sediment removal activities. This will be of concern because of tidal flushing of the excavated beach surface.

## 3.2.13 Relocation to Surf Zone

### Objective:

Use natural cleansing action of waves to remove oil which has penetrated deep into beach sediments (mostly gravel and cobble) which is not removed by surface cleaning efforts.

## Technical Description:

Physically move beach sediments into surf tidal zone to enhance natural cleansing action. The action of sediment transfer to the low water line of the beach is solely to allow the wave to toss the sediments back onto the berm at the high-tide line.

#### Applicable Shoreline Types:

Moderate-to-high energy shorelines composed of gravel and cobbles which are lightly to moderately oiled.

#### When to Use:

On gravel and cobble beaches which are not heavily oiled and where flushing techniques have not been successful in removing oil which has penetrated into the upper beach face. There MUST be large enough waves on the beach to provide the energy for agitation of the sediments. This can include seasonal storm-generated waves, as long as there is enough wave energy at the shoreline segment being considered. The sediments cannot be pushed onto unoiled areas of the beach.

### Biological Constraints:

Not to be used on beaches that are used for salmon and herring spawning, or areas where natural flushing may be low at certain times of the year or very sensitive for other reasons, because the oil may be released to the environment without recovery. This technique is not appropriate for heavily oiled beaches that are not located along the outer, exposed shoreline. Not to be used on shorelines with highly productive attached organisms, such as mussel beds, barnacles, and seaweed.

#### Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - All organisms that use the beach will be either displaced or destroyed. There will be a period of time before the natural beach profile is restored. Removal of stream banks will alter the hydrological regime of the stream.

Subtidal - Any oil that is released will be introduced into the water column, but because of the high-energy conditions, the oil will be widely dispersed.

## 3.2.14 Burning

#### Objective:

<u>In situ</u> burning of oiled vegetation and free standing pools of oil.

## Technical Description:

The upwind part of the area to be burned is ignited and allowed to burn downwind. The amount of ignition material needed depends on the weathered condition of the oil.

#### Applicable Shoreline Types:

Can be used on any substrate or vegetation where sufficient oil has collected to sustain ignition.

#### When to Use:

Generally used when other methods are not environmentally acceptable.

### Biological Constraints:

Burning will kill surface organisms caught in the burn area.

## Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - Causes heavy air pollution, adds heat to substrate, can cause erosion if root systems are damaged, residual matter may be somewhat toxic (heavy metals).

Subtidal - Possible temporary and local effects on water quality from residual material.

#### 3.2.15 Chemical Treatment

## Objective:

Increase the efficiency of oil removal from contaminated shorelines.

### Technical Description:

Weak chemical dispersants are applied to the substrate, as a presoak and/or a flushing solution, to wet the substrate so that other treatment methods are more efficient (over time) and effective. Loosened oil is trapped by booms and picked up with a skimmer or other suitable equipment.

## Applicable Shoreline Types:

Can be used on any beach type.

### When to Use:

When oil is weathered, thick, or penetrated into the sediments. This approach may be most applicable when flushing becomes more difficult with more weathered oil.

#### **Biological Constraints:**

Will require extensive biological testing for toxicity and water-quality sampling prior to receiving approval for use.

## Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - Possibly short-term toxic effects to organisms on the substrate and in the nearshore water column.

Subtidal - It is likely that some of the oil will be dispersed into the water column and not recovered by booms or sorbents.

## 3.2.16 Bioremediation

### Objective:

To accelerate the degradation rates of oil by natural microbial communities.

## Technical Description:

Accelerated biodegradation of oil can be achieved by 1) addition of nutrients (principally nitrogen and phosphorus) to enhance natural biodegradation, 2) addition of chemicals to the oil to render the oil more accessible to microorganisms, 3) addition of indigenous microorganisms to increase bacterial biomass, and 4) a combination of the above.

## Applicable Shoreline Types:

Can be used on any beach type, but may be less effective on high energy shorelines where the applied substances are rapidly flushed away. In these latter environs, weak concentrations of the additive dissolved in saltwater can be periodically sprinkled or sprayed on the affected area.

#### When to Use:

Bioremediation techniques can be used when the oil has penetrated into the substrate or where other physical removal methods are ineffective. It may also be used as a secondary treatment method to enhance the natural removal of oil left by physical cleanup efforts.

#### **Biological Constraints:**

Additives may cause short-term water-quality problems or undesirable algae production.

#### Environmental Effects:

Intertidal - Algal blooms and short-term water-quality problems due to added nutrient levels.

Subtidal - Same as above.

### 3.2.17 Natural Recovery

#### Objective:

Let the natural energy of the environment and natural microbial activity degrade the oil.

#### Technical Description:

No action is taken. The oil is left to degrade naturally.

## Applicable Shoreline Types:

Can be used most effectively on high energy beaches (primarily gravel, cobble, and boulder) where wave, tidal action, and microbial activity will degrade the oil. May also be applicable to other shoreline types. When to Use:

Recommended for areas where other types of treatment would be more harmful to the environment.

## Biological Constraints:

Natural recovery may allow oil to remain in critical habitats for a prolonged period of time.

#### Environmental Effects:

There will be potential toxic effects and smothering of organisms by the oil.

## 3.3 <u>SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED TREATMENTS BY SHORELINE TYPES,</u> LOGISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS, AND REPRESENTATIVE CLEANUP COSTS

Final selection of the preferred treatment method or combination of methods will depend, among other things, on the weathered condition of the oil, amount of oil contamination, depth of oil penetration, type of shoreline, potential impact to the shoreline by cleanup equipment, biological resources that may be affected by the cleanup activity, effect on water quality, and the potential presence of cultural resources. Further considerations of cleanup technologies and their applicability to spring 1990 are addressed in Section 4.0. Table 3.1 presents logistical considerations of cleanup methods, and Table 3.2 gives representative Alaskan cleanup costs under the categories of labor, materials and supplies, and equipment.

TREATMENT METHOD	EQUIPMENT	PERSONNEL	LOGISTICAL SUPPORT
HAND CLEANING	Sorbent pads Sorbent rolls Sorbaid Viscous sweeps Assorted hand tools: rakes, shovels, scoops 2 Jon boats	23 Laborers/ operators 1 Foreman 1 Supervisor 4 Boat operators	1 Personnel support boat (26-30 ft) per work unit 1 Mothership (180-200 ft, USCG COI) for berthing and food for 1 work unit 1 Supply barge
HOT WATER WASH WITH HAND WANDS	<pre>2 Hot water pressure washers 4 Hand wands 1 LCV 500 ft Containment boom 1000 ft Sorbent 1 Small portable skimmer 2 Pumps (2 in.) 2 Jon boats</pre>	10 Laborers/ operators 1 Foreman 1 Supervisor 4 Boat operators	<pre>1 Personnel support boat (26-30 ft) per work unit 1 Mothership (180-200 ft, USCG COI) for berthing and food for 2 work units 1 Supply barge</pre>
TILLING/ REWORKING	<pre>1 Track-type tractor 1 Discing machine 1 Barge with large gate 1 Tug boat 1 Jon boat</pre>	<pre>2 Equipment operators 4 Laborers/ operators 5 Boat operators/ crew 1 Foreman 1 Supervisor</pre>	<pre>1 Personnel support boat (26-30 ft) per work unit 1 Mothership (180-200 ft, USCG COI) for berthing and food for 2-3 work units 1 Supply barge</pre>
RELOCATION TO THE SURF	2 Track hoes 1 Barge with large gate 1 Tug boat 500 ft Containment boom 1000 ft Sorbent 1 Small portable skimmer 2 Jon boats	<pre>4 Equipment operators 10 Laborers/ operators 5 Boat operators/ crew 1 Foreman 1 Supervisor</pre>	<pre>1 Personnel support boat (26-30 ft) per work unit 1 Mothership (180-200 ft, USCG COI) for berthing and food for 1-2 work units 1 Supply barge</pre>
MAXIBARGE HOT WATER PRESSURE WASH	<pre>1 Barge (40 ft x 120 ft) 1 Tug boat 4 Jon boats 20,000 ft sorbent boom 10,000 ft containment boom 6 Hot water heaters Portable skimmers Crane mounted sprayers 8 - 10 Pumps 1 Crew trailer</pre>	18 Laborers/ operators 12 Boat operators 2 Foremen 2 Supervisors	<pre>2 Personnel support boats (26-30 ft) per work unit 1 Mothership (180-200 ft, USCG COI) for berthing and food for 1 work unit 2 Supply barges</pre>

Table 3.1. Logistical considerations for cleanup treatment methods.

Table 3.1. (Continued)

TREATMENT METHOD	EQUIPMENT	PERSONNEL	LOGISTICAL SUPPORT
OMNIBARGE HOT WATER PRESSURE WASH	<pre>1 Barge (30 ft x 60 ft) Tug boat 2 Jon boats Electrical power plant 6 Hot water heaters 4 - 5 pumps Portable skimmer 10,000 ft Sorbent boom 10,000 ft Containment boom Crane mounted sprayer</pre>	12 Laborers/ operators 6 Boat operators 2 Foremen 2 Supervisors	<pre>1 Personnel support boat (26-30 ft) per work unit 1 Mothership (180-200 ft, USCG COI) for berthing and food for 1 work unit 1 Supply barge</pre>
MINIBARGE HOT WATER PRESSURE WASH	<pre>1 Landing Craft Vessel (LCV) 1 Jon boat 2 Hot water heaters 2 - 3 pumps Portable skimmer 2,000 ft Sorbent boom 1,000 ft Containment boom</pre>	12 Laborers/ operators 3 Boat operators 1 Foreman 1 Supervisor	<pre>1 Personnel support boat (26-30 ft) per work unit 1 Mothership (180-200 ft, USCG COI) for berthing and food for 1-2 work units 1 Supply barge</pre>
BIOREMEDIATION, OLEOPHILIC	1 LCV Oleophilic fertilizer Airless sprayers Heaters	6 Laborers/ operators 3 Boat operators 1 Foreman 1 Supervisor	1 Mothership (180-200 ft, USCG COI) for berthing and food for 2-3 work units 1 Supply barge
BIOREMEDIATION, SPRINKLER	1 LCV Liquid or water soluble fertilizer Sprinklers, pipe, fittings Pumps and mixer	AFTER INSTALLATION 4 Laborers/ operators 3 Boat operators 1 Foreman 1 Supervisor	1 Mothership (180-200 ft, USCG COI) for berthing and food for 2-3 work units 1 Supply barge

Table 3.2 Representative Alaskan oil spill cleanup costs.

Labor Rates (dollars per non-overtime hour) Laborer/Deckhand \$16 - \$24 Technical specialist/Operator \$25 - \$29 Foreman/Supervisor \$30 - \$35 Senior Supervisor/Advisor \$35 - \$70 Material and Supplies (dollars as indicated) Personnel protective gear \$30 - \$50 per man per day \$1.25 per ft per day Boom, 18 in. Sorbent boom, 8 in. \$140 per 40 ft bale Sorbent pads \$70 per 20 lb bale Sorbaid \$100 per 100 head bale \$350 per 100 ft bale Viscous sweep Rakes, shovels, scoops \$15 - \$30 each, one-time charge Equipment rental rates (dollars as indicated) Helicopter, 206 Bell \$500 per hour Airplane, Beaver \$500 per hour Airplane, Twin Otter \$850 per hour \$5,500 per day including fuel Mothership, 180 - 200 ft Landing Craft Vessel (LCV) \$4,500 - \$7,000 per day Support boat, 26 - 30 ft \$2,200 - \$3,000 per day

Tug boat\$1,800 - \$3,000 per dayJon boat, 18 ft\$200 per dayPumps, 2 - 4 in.\$100 - \$200 per daySkimmers, portable\$500 - \$1,000 per dayHot water pressure washer\$800 - \$1,000 per dayTrack-type tractors\$600 - \$800 per day

## 4.0 WORKSHOP SUMMARY OF CLEANUP TECHNOLOGIES

### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

A Cleanup Technology Review Workshop, sponsored by NOAA, was held in Anchorage, Alaska, on 28-30 November 1989 (NOAA, 1990). Workshop participants included representatives of industry; federal, state, and local governmental agencies; and private organizations. The objectives of the workshop were to 1) present ideas and recommendations for possible cleanup activities for 1990, 2) strive toward reaching a consensus of what cleanup activities to pursue, and 3) view the status of the shoreline from various vantage points. Discussions on cleanup technology were essentially divided into three generic sessions: Physical Technologies, Chemical Technologies, and Biological/Bioremediation Technologies. At the end of each of the three sessions there was a panel discussion which focused on available technology that could be applicable for use in 1990. A summary of these panel discussions is presented in the following sections.

## 4.2 PHYSICAL TECHNOLOGIES

After review of the treatment methods given in Section 3.0, the panel discussion on Physical Technology subdivided treatment methods into those applicable for oiled debris, surface oil, subsurface oil, and asphalt pavement. The panel concluded that the only practical method to deal with oiled debris was Pickup/Removal and subsequent disposal.

By 1990, surface oil probably will be weathered to such an extent that manual removal (wiping with sorbents) will not be practical except in very specific and localized areas. Within the realm of physical technologies, Flushing and Washing is probably the only general methodology that can be used for removal of surface oil. This methodology involves the application of volumes of water, under various combinations of pressure and heat, to the oiled surface. The oil which is removed is generally washed to a collection point at the base of the shoreline. This technique was used on a grand scale during the summer and fall of 1989. Methodology ranged from application by hand-wand to application by crane-mounted sprayers (Omni-boom sprayers) that were mounted on a 40-ft Landing Craft Vessel (LCV) or a 120-ft barge (Maxibarge). It was the consensus of the Panel that this may have limited application on weathered oil. The Panel discussed the following possible negative effects of this technology: disruption of the biological recolonization that has taken place during the winter, washing sediment off the beaches, and damaging the "green zone" with heated water. Use of this and other technologies must be in concert with the timing of the regions faunal reproductive cycles. Negative effects on the "green zone" can be diminished by using a cold-water deluge system at the base of the wash zone.

Physical technologies available for removal or for the aid of removal of subsurface oil include: Excavation/Replacement, Tilling/Reworking, and Water Injection/Flushing. The process of Excavation/Replacement involves the removal of the oiled subsurface layer and replacing it with clean material to restore the shoreline. This could be applicable for small isolated areas where it would be practical to remove the subsurface material, clean it in some way, and return the cleaned material to its original location. Removal of the subsurface material and replacing it with new material would not only necessitate finding and getting approval of a borrow site, but it would also pose a disposal problem for the material that is removed. The process of Excavation/Replacement will disturb archeological sites, destroy associated biological resources, and may significantly change the geomorphology of the site.

Tilling/Reworking, commonly referred to as land farming, involves the use of agricultural-type plows and/or harrows to bring the subsurface material to the surface to facilitate the use of other methods or technologies, i.e., flushing and washing, natural degradation, bioremediation techniques, etc. Tilling/Reworking will disturb archeological sites and could affect the biological environment.

The Water Injection technique involves introducing water into the subsurface by either perforated pipe or rods. Injection of warm water mobilizes the subsurface oil then the associated flushing moves the oil to a collection location. This technique generally mobilizes the oil in an inverted-cone area immediately around the injector rod and is thus applicable only in very limited, heavily oiled areas where the injector rods can be driven into the subsurface. One problem with this technique is that instead of rising to the immediate surface, mobilized oil may migrate laterally. Environmental concerns are similar to those expressed for other warm to hot water applications.

Physical technology applicable to mitigate the formation of asphalt pavement includes: 1) physical removal of the pavement, and 2) breaking up the pavement by tilling. Breaking the pavement into small pieces will enhance natural degradation through bacterial activity and through abrasion by wave action.

In summary, the panel on physical technologies concluded that each method has its own site specific applicability, and treatment plans for 1990 must be based upon the 1) shoreline type, 2) amount of oil present, 3) weathered condition of the oil, and 4) depth of oil penetration.

#### 4.3 CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGIES

The panel on chemical technologies explained that chemicals would only be used as an adjunct to the physical methods because, with the exception of bioremediation chemicals, which are considered under a separate heading, there are no available chemical methods that can be used by themselves. If used with the surface action physical process of flushing/washing, chemicals can be used to enhance oil removal either by decreasing the time or mechanical energy required in accomplishing generally accepted physical processes. The panel concluded that with the present approval process and considering modifications that have been recommended, it will be difficult to use or even plan on using chemicals to any great extent in the spring of 1990. The only chemical that has gone through a partial approval process is the beach cleaner, Corexit 9580. Corexit 9580 is a hydrocarbon-based formula that acts as a lifting agent to remove oil from rock surfaces. Once the oil is loosened, it is washed downslope where ideally it is contained with booms and collected with sorbents or skimmers. The panel recommended additional testing of Corexit 9580 under environmental conditions that would be conducive to the containment and recovery of the oil that has been removed from the shoreline. In the case of subsurface oil removal, chemicals can be used to enhance oil removal after employing the physical processes of excavation/replacement or tilling/reworking. The panel did not recommend subsurface injection of chemicals.

## 4.4 BIOREMEDIATION

The panel discussed four categories of bioremediation formulations: oleophilic fertilizers, slow release fertilizers, fertilizers in aqueous solution, and inoculant. The oleophilic fertilizer discussed was Inipol EAP22, which is manufactured by Elf Aquitaine Company, France. The main ingredients in Inipol EAP22 are oleic acid and urea, along with chemicals to maintain them in an emulsion. Results of testing Inipol EAP22 in Prince William Sound showed substantial visual improvement of the shoreline surface. The product was not directly applied to the subsurface, and any improvement to the subsurface from the surface application could not be determined. The panel was concerned that 1) there is not enough information on how the product actually works and 2) there is also a lack of information on its efficiency on weathered oil.

Several formulations of slow release fertilizers were tested in Prince William Sound. These formulations were manufactured into the shape and size of briquettes and were generally placed into mesh bags for application to the shoreline. The panel concluded that these fertilizers were easy to apply to the shoreline and had potential for getting nutrients into the subsurface; however, an even dosage was difficult to control. One of the most promising techniques in the use of bioremediation fertilizers is to dissolve the fertilizer in seawater and apply the solution to the shoreline via a sprinkler system. This technique allows control over aerial coverage and dose rate. Preliminary results suggest this technique enhances both surface and subsurface biodegradation. Under the heading of Inoculation, the panel discussed shoreline applications of enzymes; mixed or pure cultures of bacteria, fungi, and yeast; and genetically engineered organisms. Tests in the natural environment show indigenous fauna and flora to be adequate in type and quantity. The major problems with inoculation are 1) selecting the type of inoculant and 2) obtaining the public acceptance of the possibility of introducing foreign organisms into the Alaskan environment.

#### 4.5 <u>SUMMARY</u>

Panel members concluded that there would be no new cleanup technologies available for the spring of 1990, and due to the time required for approval using the in-place and proposed protocols, it is unlikely that additional chemical agents could be used in 1990. Essentially, the technologies available for use in 1990 are those which

were used in 1989. Due to the more weathered condition of the oil, and if it is decided that natural recovery is not occurring fast enough, more aggressive use of available cleanup techniques will have to be employed in 1990 than were used in 1989. Removal of the surface oil by flushing and washing will require higher water pressures and temperatures than were used in 1989. If the physical technology of excavation/removal or tilling/reworking is used, then the use of a chemical could be used to enhance oil recovery. Of great concern was the possibility of extensive pavement formation. Mitigation techniques for pavement formation include physical removal or breaking the pavement into smaller pieces that will be agitated and subsequently degraded by natural weathering processes. Bioremediation may be the only feasible technology available for wide-spread mitigation of subsurface oil, and the most promising technique may be to apply an aqueous solution to the shoreline with a sprinkler system. It was the consensus of the panels that there is no one technique for treating all the oiled shoreline, but that it must be a systems approach involving matching the proper combination of physical, chemical, and biological treatments with a specific shoreline condition. Monitoring of the shoreline conditions during winter and early spring should provide information on the condition of the shoreline. This information can be used by the resource trustees to determine: 1) where the rate of natural cleaning is adequate, and 2) where cleanup intervention is needed and how aggressive the cleanup technique should be.

30
#### 5.0 LITERATURE CITED

- NOAA. 1989. EXXON VALDEZ Oil Spill Field Shoreline Treatment Manual. Interagency Shoreline Cleanup Committee. 3 June 1989 with 25 July 1989 revisions. Made available by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Hazardous Material Response Branch, Seattle, Washington.
- NOAA. 1990. EXXON VALDEZ Cleanup Technology Workshop. 28-30 November 1989. Anchorage, Alaska. Transcribed minutes of the proceedings. Made available by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Hazardous Material Response Branch, Seattle, Washington. 2 March 1990. 88 pp.

APPENDIX A

U.S. Department of Transportation

United States Coast Guard 200 100 100 100 100 100 Commanding Officer U.S. Coast Guard Research & Development Center Avery Point Groton, CT 06340-6096 Phone: (203) 441-2650 Staff Symbol:

NOV. TO RECO

Mr. Bela James Continental Shelf Associates, Inc. 7607 East Mark Drive Suite 250 College Station, Texas 77840

Dear Mr. James:

As discussed in telephone conversations with myself and DR. Bob Hiltabrand, we are forwarding summary information on the proposals we have reviewed this summer as input to the upcoming NOAA workshop in Anchorage. To summarize our involvement in this effort, in April the R&D Center undertook the task of acting as a clearing point for proposals and suggestions submitted to the federal government and State of Alaska on the cleanup of the EXXON VALDEZ oil spill. Our original intent was to coordinate the review of proposals and suggestions for new technologies. In addition, we also received information on existing technologies, products and resources offered for use in the cleanup effort, as well as letters with inquiries and opinions on the spill.

Our original strategy for handling this information was to categorize and disseminate the proposals to the appropriate group for further action. Suggestions for new technologies were reviewed and divided into categories of having potential for immediate test and evaluation for application in the Valdez cleanup effort, potential for future investigation and development as a longer term R&D effort, or not having potential in either the short or long term based on our current knowledge of cleanup technology. Information on existing technologies, products and resources were forwarded directly to Exxon in Houston.

In reviewing various proposals for immediate test and evaluation in Alaska, we coordinated our efforts with the Environmental Protection Agency Labs in Edison, NJ, and Exxon Research and Engineering in Florham Park, NJ. On May 31, I met with EPA and Exxon in Edison for an initial review of proposals received to Although numerous proposals were discussed in general, the date. review effort focused on the current strategy (removing oil from the shoreline without allowing it to re-enter the water), using the current tactic (chemical presoaking, followed by flushing of the beach with dispersant and water, and containing and recovering the oil at the water's edge). Based on this, the concensus recommendation was to focus initially on the chemical/dispersant tests originally proposed by Exxon, and proceed with further review and recommendations based on the results of these tests. These tests were conducted by Exxon in Alaska throughout the summer as monitored by the NOAA SSC and

Shoreline Cleanup Committee, and to our knowledge resulted in the limited application of COREXIT 9580 on the beaches.

In addition, as the R&D Center had limited expertise in bioremediation, we coordinated review of these proposals with the EPA Labs in Edison, NJ and Cincinatti, OH. Of the proposals submitted to them for review, five were recommended for further consideration by the EPA/Exxon Bioremediation Task Force in Alaska. These were forwarded to the Task Force, but to our knowledge these bioremediation methods were not tested in Alaska.

The proposals summarized in enclosures 1 and 2 represent less than half of the 625 proposals we received; the others we have been excluded as not workable or not applicable to the cleanup effort in Alaska. Enclosure (1) summarizes proposals that we have retained here at the R&D Center for followup review. Enclosure (2) summarizes proposals that were forwarded on to Exxon as they described currently available, off-the-shelf equipment and technology. If you want us to provide copies of the proposals summarized in enclosure (1) for the workshop, please let us know. Also, if you need additional information on the proposals or our review process, please contact me or Dr. Hiltabrand.

Sincerely;

P. A. TEBEAU Lieutenant Commander U. S. Coast Guard

Encl: (1) Summary of Proposals Retained at R&DC Summary of Proposals to Exxon

Copy to: Dr. Dave Kennedy, NOAA SSC Seattle w/encl

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF PROPOSALS RECEIVED BY U.S. COAST GUARD RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CENTER

Page

PROPOSALS RETAINED AT U.S. COAST GUARD RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CENTER

Incineration	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			A-5
Bioremediatio	n	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		A-6
Collection -	Che	emi	Ca	11		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•				•				A-13
Collection -	Phy	/si	Ca	1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		A-17
Collection -	Shi	Lp	ту	pe	=/1	le	sse	21	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	A-21
Collection - 1	Mec	cha	ni	LCa	11	a	nd	Ve	25	se]	L	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•			•	A-24
Skimmer - Boom	n					•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	A-27
Hull Patch			•	•		•	•	•			•			•				•	•	•							A-33
Oil Movement	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	A-34
Cleanup - Wild	dli	fe	2	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	A-35
Miscellaneous													•	•			•		•	•							A-36

## PROPOSALS FORWARDED TO EXXON

Incineration				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	A-39
Bioremediatio	on			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•		•	A-40
Collection -	Che	emic	al	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•			•	•	•	•	•	•	A-41
Collection -	Phy	ysic	al	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•		•			•	A-45
Collection -	Shi	ір Т	ype	≥/ĭ	/es	sse	21	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	A-51
Collection -	Med	chan	ica	11	ar	nd	Ve	ess	se!	L	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		A-54
Miscellaneous	3.				•						•	•			•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•		A-57

· · •

#### INCINERATION

Angelina B. Salvador Physical Sciences, Inc. (PSI) Research Park, P.O. Box 3100 Andover, MA 01810-7100

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Helicopter-borne lasers to burn off oil spills. Tested Kanata, Ontario, by Environment Canada. Actual results not known, but ignition did take place.

Walter Kuhr, Sr., President Dona Karen Marine Fisheries, Inc. Suite 211 4215 21st Avenue West Seattle, WA 98199

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Incineration of oil using ship (APOLLO ONE) proposed by commercial fisherman. No data on temperatures/quantity burned/hour. Contact company for details.

Clem Cody Cody & Associates, Inc. 7510 Wake Robin Drive Cleveland, OH 44130

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Pontoon designed to pull in oils, seaweed, kelp and vaporize it at 3000° to 7000°C. That is hot! Plasma gasification to burn debris/oil. Requirements may be too demanding; electrical drawings of apparatus included. Material type unknown to hold this amount of heat.

Bobby G. Walston, CEO A Fair Cleaning Co., Inc. 228 Davey Street Bloomfield, NJ 07003

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil burning concept, hand drawings, pump sludge to burn, laboratory study. No data.

#### BIOREMEDIATION

Richard J. Kersey Senior Vice President Unitech International, Inc. 12651 S. Dixie Hwy, Suite 315 Miami, FL 33156

CROSS REFERENCE: Christian Basler Alaska Pacific Distributors 123 W. 53rd Avenue Anchorage, AK 99518

> G. Thomas Braznell Alfa Products Co. 5990 S.W. Ninth Street Fort Lauderdale, FL 33317

COMMENTS: Rolfzyme concentrate on NCP product schedule; agent is multi-enzyme causing natural biocatalytic action on oilbioremediation product for cleanup. Proposal reviewed by EPA Labs in Edison and Cincinnati and forwarded to EPA/EXXON Bioremediation Task Force in Alaska as NCP approved using indigenous organisms.

Ed Cronick, President Sound Environmental Services, Inc. 7851 Atlanta Avenue Anchorage, AK 99516

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Boelsing process for hydrocarbon cleanup, bioremediation, transforms liquid to solid phase (oil) using limestone, animal fats. Not on NCP product schedule; used on AMOCO CADIZ incident. Concerns were raised by EPA over potential as sinking agent, and exothermic reaction. Sound Environmental has adjusted buoyancy to prevent sinking and is proceeding with aggressive development effort. SSC and Shoreline Committee have reviewed technology.

Mr. Randy Daniel, President
DPR, Inc. (Diversified Petroleum Recovery, Inc.)
P.O. Box 561
Clifton, TX 76634

COMMENTS: Vegetable oil recovery technique. NCP product schedule listing probably not required. Tested in April, 1989, University of Arkansas. May use Power Vac system to collect material after application. Proposal forwarded to SSC for review as wildlife cleaning agent.

Joseph F. Jennings Waste Microbes, Inc. P.O. Box 500541 Houston, TX 77250-0541

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Bioremediation using dried bacteria culture, field application testing required. Reviewed by EPA Labs in Edison and Cincinnati. Not recommended to EPA/Exxon Task Force for use in AK as involves non-indigenous organisms.

George R. Wilcox Vice President, Marketing & Promotion GBR Bioreactors Ltd 611 Manitou Road, S.W. Calgary, Alberta Canada T2G 4C2

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Bioremediation used on Esso Arrow spill, Nova Scotia. Proposal for beaches, description of bacteria, application required. Not on NCP List. Reviewed by EPA Labs Edison/Cincinnati. Proposal well-developed. Forwarded to EPA/Exxon Task Force in AK for further consideration.

Michael Hunter, Chairman and CEO Hunter-Wells International, Inc. 700 Brooklawn Avenue Bridgeport, CT 06604

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Siberian Organism. Uses pseudomonas putida-36 bacteria by dry powder bioremediation on water or beaches application. Not on NCP list. Reviewed by EPA Labs Edison/Cincinnati. Organism may prove indigenous to Prince William Sound. Soviets report good results. Forwarded to EPA/Exxon Task Force in AK for further consideration.

Ralph Guttman POLYBAC Corporation 954 Marcon Blvd Allentown, PA 18103

CROSS REFERENCE: Jim Elsey POLYBAC Corporation 8800 University Parkway Suite B-3 Pensacola, FL 32514

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Product Petrobac, bioremediation, bacteria application. Permit may be required for field use - used on Eleni-V spill in Britain. Has experience in using CTX-10 BIOX as biodegradation product. Product is on NCP Product Schedule.

G. Scott Miller (in association with Worne Biotechnology, Inc.) Specialty Chemicals Division Formula IV Corporation 9755 North 90th Street Suite 200 Scottsdale, AZ 84258

## **CROSS REFERENCE:**

Stephan Jacob, President Maxwell Mountain Enterprises P.O. Box 16 Saltspring Island, British Columbia Canada VOS 1E0

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Toxigon TM2000, polyphasic suspension agent, forms emulsion with oil, accelerates natural biodegradation; listed on NCP product schedule. Use mycozym system Nutripac, nutrient available for system. Uses include oil, cyanide waste water, halogenated hydrocarbons; material data sheets available. Contact company for details.

Fred Jacobson Lossie Pacific, Inc. 12832 N.E. 83rd Kirkland, WA 98033

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Bioremediation, corn cob, NH<sup>3</sup> N<sup>2</sup> base with activated sludge. Could be used as fertilizing agent. Material Safety Data Sheets available. Not on NCP Product Schedule.

Kermit J. Lee, Jr. Energenesis Development Corporation 104 Berkeley Drive Syracuse, NY 13210

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Bioremediation; use microorganisms. No description of process via slurry. Permit may be required for field tests.

Ron Browning-Nash INSATECH Riverside Corporate Center Suite 106 240 Corporate Blvd Norfolk, VA 23502

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Biological remediation, laboratory tested, lack of field data. PETRODEG-100 on NCP product schedule. Reviewed by EPA Labs in Edison/Cincinnati. Not recommended to EPA/Exxon Task Force in AK as involves non-indigenous organism.

Thomas Kataoka MT-Bio Chemicals, Inc. 650 Steeprock Drive Downsview, Ontario M3J 2X1, Canada

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Bioremediation "Hi-Clean 2001" aerobic bacteria; lack of control data. Will not work under cold temperatures; needs research here. Reviewed by EPA Labs in Edison/Cincinnati. Not recommended to EPA/Exxon Task Force in AK as involves nonindigenous organism.

George L. Lucas Microbe Masters, Inc. 11814 Coursey Blvd, Suite 285 Baton Rouge, LA 70816

**COMMENTS:** Micro Pro "D", enzymes, bioremediation. Micro Pro "Detoxifier 6" may not pass safety requirements. Dry and liquid bacterial cultures available. Physical properties available. Reviewed by EPA Labs in Edison/Cincinnati. Not recommended to EPA/Exxon Task Force in AK as involves non-indigenous organisms.

G. Mitchell Olds
Merkert Laboratories, Inc.
18 Perimeter Park Drive, Suite 108
Atlanta, GA 30341

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: ML-21 hydrocarbon oil digestant. Limited to longshort chain hydrocarbons. Applied by suspension. Not on NCP Product Schedule. Reviewed by EPA Labs in Edison/Cincinnati. Not recommended to EPA/Exxon Task Force as involves non-indigenous organism.

Susan Sakaki URIBE & Associates 262 Grand Avenue, Suite 210 Oakland, CA 94610

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Begin study from scratch - literature survey, then tests, field measurements. No specific plan. General and scientific procedures already known.

Norman L. Easley BCA Biological Complex Australia 11607 S.W. Military Road Portland, OR 97219

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: BCA - Actizyme - used in Australia solving sludge/fat buildup problems. Enzyme product, oil effectiveness not known; "maybe" it would not be effective. Not on NCP Product Schedule.

G. Thomas Braznell
Alfa Products Co.
5990 S.W. Ninth Street
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33317

<u>COMMENTS</u>: De-Skum enzyme formulation, beach specialty cleanup biocatalytic liquid concentrate biodegrade digest oil - catalyst. Rolfzyme concentrate. Rolfzyme on NCP Product Schedule, See Cross-Reference.

CROSS REFERENCE: Richard J. Kersey Senior Vice President Unitech International, Inc. 12651 S. Dixie Hwy, Suite 315 Miami, FL 33156

> Christian Basler Alaska Pacific Distributors 123 W. 53rd Avenue Anchorage, AK 99518

Miles W. Dean Sherwyn & Assoc. Ltd P.O. Box 201341 Anchorage, AK 99520

<u>COMMENTS</u>: HELO - high enzyme liquid organic cleaner. Not on NCP product schedule. Common name: coconut diathanolamide. Physical properties available. Health hazard unknown.

Professor Yechezkel Barenholz Department of Biochemistry The Hebrew University Hadassah Medical School P.O.B. 1172, Code 91010 Jerusalem, Israel

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Use liposomes in cleanup. Toxicological test must be performed. More data required. More RDT&E required.

Robert Penney, President Penland Sales, Inc. 3620 Penland Parkway Anchorage, AK 99508-2033

<u>COMMENTS</u>: BY-PAS biodegradable synthetic cleaning agent distributor. Toxic data missing. Components described on material data sheet. Not on NCP product schedule.

Bill Rolfing Marketing Director Dr. Stays Enzyme Systems, Inc. 631 Carnation Place Oxnard, CA 93030

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Enzyme floculation system; four compatible enzymes, 65°-125° pH (5-9) anaerobic-aerobic application. No details for oil application, toxicity unknown. May warrant environmental controlled use; details unknown. Not on NCP product schedule. Reviewed by EPA Labs in Edison/Cincinnati. Forwarded to EPA/Exxon Task Force recommending further inquiry.

Jerry Dale Rusher Rusher's Services HC33 Box 2866 Wasilla, AK 99687

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Environmental 75, diatomaceous earth, actually adsorbant. Information on this known for years. Will work on oil, but cleanup procedures are a problem after application.

Wesley G. Smith, President BBC Systems, Inc. 2298-C Alahao Place Honolulu, HI 96819

<u>COMMENTS</u>: PX-700C biodegradable concentrate. Material data sheet available. Not on NCP product schedule. Increase cell metabolism for bioremediation review.

Joseph A. Resnick R.D. #1, Box 415A Natrona Heights, PA 15065

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Bioremediation, laboratory study of ideal species of microbe. NASA involvement, massive quantities available. Permit to apply may be required. Microcapsulation technology of cells involved. Possible future R&D.

RADM George Lively Blue Sky Chemicals 15770 N. Dallas Parkway, #600 Dallas, TX 75248

<u>COMMENTS</u>: "Oil Spill Eater," bactozyme. Same as Rolfzyme listed on EPA NCP list. Proposer compares to Corexit 9580, Inipol, EAP22, biodegradation product. Cross-reference to other Rolfzyme listings.

Hirotaka Gion, President Gion Corporation 3-11, South 11, West 8, Cho-ku, Sapporo 064, Japan

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Biopack I, bioremediation 520 varieties, enzyme, bacteria. Decomposition to water/carbon dioxide. Destroys smell. Not on NCP list.

J. Leon Potter Environmental Engineering Consultants, Inc. 2323 W. 7th Place Stillwater, OK 74074

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Biodegradation, product. Listed on NCP Product Schedule. Uses nitrogen, phosphorous, microorganisms. Absorbent corncob fibers; brand name - "EEC" Oil Removal Concept. Data available. Problem response pH 7.0-60 - may not work in seawater. Attached professional qualifications.

Dan K. Kuykendall Vice President Alpha Environmental 517 3rd Street, S.E. Washington, DC 20003

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Alpha, microorganisms in bentonite. Powder application developed by Carl Oppenheimer. Very worthy of future investigations; meets most criteria for application. Oxygen catalyst supports oil degradation. Works in anerobic environment and could be used below surface in beaches.

### COLLECTION - CHEMICAL (DISPERSANT-DEGREASER)

G. Troy Mallett, President Delta-Omega Technologies, Ltd P.O. Box 81518 Lafayette, LA 70598-1518

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Omni-Clean dispersing agent. Water-based; listed on NCP Product Schedule.

Mr. Fairleigh S. Dickinson, Jr. 185 Ridge Road Rutherford, NJ 07070

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Experimental oil recovery; dispersant. No data. Tested at LaBrea tar pits.

Mary Lynn Dressell Gail's Maintenance 259 N. Capitol Avenue 193 San Jose, CA 95127

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Wetting agent, material data sheet, data sparse. NOKOMIS #3 (F4), not a soap or detergent, used in storage tank cleaning. Not on NCP list; general discussion of use.

Lee Northcutt Vice President Cabot Chemical Corporation P.O. Box 9632 Brea, CA 92622

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Cabot Formula X-266 cleaner/degreaser. Spray by highpressure nozzle; biodegradable. Toxicity information available. Not on NCP list.

Pat Doughty Mirachem Corporation 2107 East 5th Street Tempe, AZ 85281

**CROSS REFERENCE:** Jim Edwards, MIRACHEM

<u>COMMENTS</u>: MIRACHEM 100 Cleaner/Degreaser, water soluble, tested non-toxic. Not on NCP product schedule; toxic data available. Not a solvent; disposal after use may be problem. Aqueous emulsion, water, detergent, stabilizers, may require pH adjustment during application. Product biodegradable. Protect from freezing. COLLECTION - CHEMICAL (DISPERSANT-DEGREASER) (CONT'D)

Junius Hayes, III Pyrocap, Inc. 6551 Loisdale CT, Suite 400 Springfield, VA 22150

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Emulsifier, PYROCAP. Patent. Not on NCP product schedule.

Mr. Ron L. Hodge Pacific Northwest Chiller Controls, Inc. P.O. Box 58143 Tukwila, WA 98188

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Product to clean oil; no data. Not on NCP product list. No information.

Andrew Nixon, President Pan-Thor Technologies P.O. Box 207 West Cornwall, CT 06796

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Wants to test surfactant, trade name may be Xiphydor. No data. Not on NCP product schedule. Activ 8000 - highly synergistic detergent - emulsification - biodegradable, toxic data available.

Mr. R. Winstanley Henkel Corporation 300 Brookside Avenue Ambler, PA 19002

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Alkyl polyglycoside surfactant APG 325. Biodegradable, toxicity unknown; non-sensitive to temperature, general physical data presented. Not on NCP product list.

Mr. H.A. Berger, President Titan Laboratories 1240 Mtn. View-Alviso Road Sunnyvale, CA 94089

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Solvent base oil cleaner, Oil-Flo. Degreaser, water soluble. Has been used in California. Emulsifies grease/oil. Non flammable. Safety data sheet available. Contains aromatic hydrocarbons and ether. Toxicity unknown. Not on NCP product schedule. Vapor problem? COLLECTION - CHEMICAL (DISPERSANT-DEGREASER) (CONT'D)

Jimmy Gravois Jeanway Chemicals, Inc. P.O. Box 1521 Gramercy, LA 70052

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Z-100 industrial strength cleaner/degreaser. Data available. No NCP product schedule listing. LD50 toxicity, 2.7g/Kg. Problem?

Todd Gaylord 10900 S.W. Avery Street P.O. Box 1149 Tualatin, OR 97062-1149

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Formula G-510, concentrated oil cleaner, biodegradable. No NCP product schedule listing. Toxicity tested; irritation to skin.

Paul Marks 20 Ferrier Street Markham, Ontario L3R 2Z5 Canada

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Solution 2000 degreaser. Material data sheet available; physical data present. Available in quantity. L-12 concentrate added. Not on NCP product schedule.

Novar International Corporation 5191 Oceanus Drive Huntington Beach, CA 92649

Representatives: Mr. Ian Dickson & Mr. Luke Fontana

<u>COMMENTS</u>: CN-110 surfactant chemical for shoreline cleanup. Water soluble polymer, does not emulsify; oil floats or suspends itself on water. Listed on NCP Product Schedule. Demonstrated in lab at R&D Center showed some potential but full-scale potential unknown as it was not tested on beaches in AK. Reviewed and lab tested by Exxon. Toxicity information available. Should be considered with other alternative chemicals. COLLECTION - CHEMICAL (DISPERSANT-DEGREASER) (CONT'D)

Bioversal U.S.A. Inc. 1703 Victoria Drive Mount Prospect, IL 60056

Representative: Mr. Charles L. Wilde

<u>COMMENTS:</u> Bioversal, new chemical developed in West Germany. Biodegradable and low toxicity. Tested and approved in West Germany for cleaning both oil and water spills. Acts as both dispersant and biodegradation enhancer. Listed on NCP Product Schedule. Reviewed and lab tested by Exxon, but not tested in AK. Should be considered with other alternative chemicals. COLLECTION - PHYSICAL (SOLIDIFICATION - ABSORBENT, ETC.)

John Ryan American Thruster 7777 Fay Avenue, Suite K-232 La Jolla, CA 92037

<u>COMMENTS</u>: High pressure nozzle, air/water application, in development stage; may control oil movement in water.

Huey Cain Huey Cain Enterprises, Inc. 3436 North Kennicott, Suite 150 Arlington Heights, IL 60004

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Patent, using clays to absorb oil and sink or collect it from surface of the water; boom and ship used in application process. Concept known since 1972.

James B. Verrastro Matusick, Spadafora & Verrastro Attorneys and Counsellors at Law Suite 500 42 Delaware Avenue Buffalo, NY 14202

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Application to crude oil, immediate coagulation on spillage.

Mr. Robert D. Ellis Ellis Marine Systems P.O. Box 340 Flora, IL 62839

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Invention, undersurface discharge of sorbents and flotable material. Performance standards discussed; general information.

Joel Hughes Vice President - Operations Container Products Corporation P.O. Box 3767 Wilmington, NC 28406

<u>COMMENTS</u>: "KELLY Decontamination System" - spray hot water at oil, strong vacuum applied, breaks up oil on contaminated surface. Cleanup may be problem after application? No data. COLLECTION - PHYSICAL (SOLIDIFICATION - ABSORBENT, ETC.) (CONT'D)

E. LeRoy Swindell Mechanical Research & Development Associates P.O. Box 36, Tower Hill Road Millbrook, NY 12545

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Two patents: (1) Environmental Aerial Dispensing Device - dispense dry absorbent material, applied directly to the oil; (2) Marine Material Collecting Means, removes absorbent and oil. Patent drawings - discussion available. Proposer deems proprietary.

Larry F. Thompson Vice President, Operations Tricor Envirobonds, Ltd P.O. Box 36 Gaylord, MI 49735

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Material bonds oil forming rubber-like mass for collection. May be used as fuel later. Does not sink. Required field tests of collection procedures. Would require NCP Product Schedule listing.

Ken Marshbank Project Development Northwest Processing, Inc. P.O. Box 940 1707 Alexander Avenue Tacoma, WA 98401-0940

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Developing pilot plant to separate hydrocarbon from other sources, sediments, natural material. Concept uses existing dredge technology. May have promise in specific areas.

Mrs. A. Lee Nicodemus Helios Research Corporation 38 Dakin Street Mumford, NY 14511

<u>COMMENTS</u>: HelioPac (pressure amplifier condenser). Steam clean, automatic dispensing of chemicals, modified for siphoning/vacuuming. Dept. of Energy testing as backup safety pump. Available commercially. COLLECTION - PHYSICAL (SOLIDIFICATION - ABSORBENT, ETC.) (CONT'D)

John Akiskalian, President Eneresource, Inc. P.O. Box 6630 Santa Barbara, CA 93160-6630

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil separation system; helicopter using nozzle for some application. "Westol" process for cleaning oil, ultrasonic frequency application. Product being introduced for NCP product schedule approval.

Sture Eriksson CEC A/S P.B. 149 3160 Stokke Norway

<u>COMMENTS</u>: General discussion using artificial seaweed mats to collect oil, with oil boom assistance. Has tested in Norway. Recent design involves suspending synthetic fronds from floats to create porous barrier i.e. catches oil but lets water through. May have potential as future R&D.

Garvan P. Bucaria Wildlife Biologist Chugach National Forest 201 E. 9th Ave., Suite 206 Anchorage, AK 99501

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Method to purge oil from sand/gravel; used at Valdez spill. No new technology.

Max A. Fonger, President Safety, Inc. 1515 E. Tudor Road, #11 Anchorage, AK 99507

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Petro-Lock, encapsulates oil, gel results. Floats; does not sink. Recovery with shovels and nets. No NCP product schedule listing. No emulsification; biodegradation not addressed.

Mr. Red Decker Bear Pump & Chem. Co. HC-34-6525D Wasilla, AK 99687

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Dilutin, terpene hydrocarbons (safe?). No listing on NCP product schedule, strong oxidizer. Claims biodegradable. Brief description for application.

COLLECTION - PHYSICAL (SOLIDIFICATION - ABSORBENT, ETC.) (CONT'D)

Charles C. Carte, President Sierra High Tech, Inc. First Interstate Bank Bldg, Suite 217 Elko, Nevada 89801

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Safe natural mineral powder, coagulates oil for skimming; will sink. Not on NCP product schedule. EPA regulations exclude sinkers.

Bob Singh 153-A Progress Circle Venice, FL 34292

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Orange Plus, orange extract/water. Wipe oil away after application. Problem with application procedures, household only. Field testing on oil absent. Not on NCP product schedule.

G. Troy Mallett, President Delta-Omega Technologies, Ltd P.O. Box 81518 Lafayette, LA 70598-1518

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Omni-Clean. Material data sheet available. Colloidtype, water based, biodegradable micellular bioremediation application, chemical components known. Non-toxic; listed on NCP product schedule.

J.P. Reyss Commercial Dept., SERTEC S.A. (Societe d'Etudes et de Realisations Techniques) "Le Martinet" 34700 SOUBES France

<u>COMMENTS</u>: NORSOREX, powder, irreversible plastification of hydrocarbons/oils, plastic sponge floats, collected, non-toxic; listed on NCP product list. Interesting concept, cleanup problems after application unknown.

William J. Slater Slater Enterprises 1418 Patterson Anchorage, AK 99504

<u>COMMENTS</u>: GRANCONTROL-O, chemical herder, registered with EPA. Pushes oil to area of mechanical cleanup; subject to weather variables.

## COLLECTION - SHIP TYPE/VESSEL

Keith O. Palmer Marine Debris Management, Inc. P.O. Box 2322 Sarasota, FL 34230-2322

<u>COMMENTS</u>: New feasible design for oil recovery and processing ship (ORPS). Technical overview, design, conceptual drawing. Development required.

Jack H. Berg Pacer Cleaning Equipment 1234 Depot Street Glenview, IL 60025

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Light plastic bag, pumping system for containment, manufacturer.

Peter N. Lang 410 Bayou View Drive Seabrook, TX 77586

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil salvage vessel; contact company for details.

C.T. Humeniuk 813 N. Fairfield Layton, UT 84041

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Proposes skimming, deployment of skimmers, requests readiness "standby." No data; no concept.

James C. Willingham 642 Fenwick Street San Antonio, TX 78239

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Unit available for tankers; enables tanker to "back off" grounded obstacle. No data; no concept; no procedure.

Mr. Dan Leffingwell 1162 S.H. Street Lakeview, OR 97630

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Vortex Vulcrum Keel pontoon; no concept, no drawings, just an idea.

Richard Lazes, President Petro Group, Inc. 804 First Avenue Harvey, LA 70058

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Product "Oil Stop," on-board containment system mounted on tanker. No data.

COLLECTION - SHIP TYPE/VESSEL (CONT'D)

Angelo Thomas Fillios 4422 Robin-Dale Court Wilmington, NC 28405

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Requesting info on how much information to send, containment of oil in tanker's hold, plus crude oil recovery system (inventor). No data; no concept, no drawings.

William R. Hamilton, President RINCON Corporation 3620 Fifth Avenue Newport Beach, CA 92625

<u>COMMENTS</u>: "Water Rake" vessel to clean up oil, catamaran type. News articles; no data, obtain more details.

Mo Husain MH Systems P.O. Box 825 908 Stratford Court Del Mar, CA 92014

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Proprietary MH system - patent pending. Containment, rupture. No data. May be just an idea.

Larry T. Rigdon Vice President, Operations Zapata Gulf Marine Corporation P.O. Box 4240 Houston, TX 77210-4240

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Company has available dedicated offshore vessels for oil response.

Kenneth L. Treiber Columbia Research Corporation 2531 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 22202

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Patent disclosure. Oil collection and removal; ship design.

Michael S. Castro, President Alaska Gulf Marine Services P.O. Box 23-1709 Anchorage, AK 99523

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Develop/construct 7-ship support program for oil spill contingency. Engineering drawing available of ship type.

A-22

# COLLECTION - SHIP TYPE/VESSEL (CONT'D)

Fred M.G. Sullivan, President EROS Environmental Technologies, Inc. 501 -2001 Beach Avenue Vancouver, B.C. V6G 1Z3 Canada

<u>COMMENTS</u>: EROS oil spill recovery system, containment recovery, process separation, storage. Submersible available for oil recovery with remote sensing capability.

M.M. (Bill) Wylie, Manager Wylie Oilspill Recovery Systems 2821 Wentworth Courtenay, B.C. V9N 6B7 Canada

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Informal discussion of invention; no data, concept, procedure. General information on oil/water separator, recovery information available.

Ivan Ivanov 1303 S. Riverview Gardnerville, NV 89410

<u>COMMENTS</u>: System design using envirocraft for skimming, with containment skirt, polyurethane bags, floating platform; general discussion.

<u>CROSS REFERENCE</u>: James B. Hobbs, Zephyr Cove NV, use of life rafts with Mr. Ivanov's envirocraft system.

## COLLECTION - MECHANICAL AND VESSEL

Rodney C. Whitney, President RST Systems, Inc. 408 West Main Street P.O. Box 357 Larose, LA 70373

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Letter, drawing on retrieval/separation process of oil-water (mechanical). RST ships available for use; operate from mother ship.

Robert Surber 612 W. Union Avenue Modesto, CA 95356

<u>COMMENTS</u>: CONFIDENTIAL DISCLOSURE. Porta-dike concept to hold and collect oil spill, different design suggestions, general concept, dike filled with water to stay in place, or inflated with air; boom for both water and beach.

Mr. Robert Steckler Concept Sales, Inc. 8895 N. Military Trail Suite 204D Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Richter pump. Operates in oil and water, using specific gravity; special Richter fluid driver.

W.E. Rothe Rothe Development, Inc. 4614 Sinclair Road San Antonio, TX 78222

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Active company, oil storage devices aboard ships. Replace tankers with rubberized rafts.

Capt. Stig-W Reinlert Liahusgatan 8 S-260 23 KAGEROD Sweden

<u>COMMENTS</u>: At-sea oil recovery system. Multi-purpose Oil Recovery Catamaran. No engineering drawings. General description, with dimensions. Boat could be used for a variety of other missions. COLLECTION - MECHANICAL AND VESSEL (CONT'D)

James Young 504 E. Edgar Avenue Mishawaka, IN 46545

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Ferromagnetic material dispensed over oil slick, collect using electric magnetism method. Material may change density and sink! Suggest testing at OHMSETT. Patent involved. Included brochure on slick bar products. Trans-V AC 500D, ADAPTS, Uniroyal oil salvage containers. Derrick-Linatex dewatering screen. Derrick-Linatex vacu-deck option. Commercial company with variety of product line.

William J. Stanley 371 Dania Avenue Buellton, CA 93427

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Hand drawings, oil spill containment, storage and assembly methods.

Troy Miller, President Computerized Business Enterprises, Inc. 3463 Castleton Way North Lexington, KY 40502

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Wants to meet with people on containment devices. No suggestions, drawings or concept available.

Tony Marchionda Discount Records and Tapes, Inc. 545 Market Street Youngstown, OH 44502

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Patent protected. Oil spill collector, large roller absorbs oil an material deposited in barge. Materials not identified. No tests known. Concept may not be built or tested.

Mr. D.L. McWhorter 1934 Lincoln #14 Port Orchard, WA 98366

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil spill salvage tanker, hand drawings of concept available. Suggest keel tank be flexible. Used in 1977 spill, coast of Mexico. Suggested alterations to present tankers to support concept; claims several systems. Patent not identified.

Rich Herendich Box 4034 Canyon Lake, CA 92380

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil spill reclamation barge, rough draft; baffles used with separate holding tanks.

COLLECTION - MECHANICAL AND VESSEL (CONT'D)

C.E. Ashline P.O. Box 93657 Las Vegas, NV 89193-3657

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Concept for salvage craft for oil pollution (SCOOP). Study proposed, general schematic diagrams, many mechanisms suggested. No concrete engineering diagram. Very general.

John Hammer & Associates 4067 Hardwick Street Suite 197 Lakewood, CA 90712

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Multisep Flotation Separator - spray on oil/water, solidification, density problem may exist? Hard solid waste. Permit may be required. No data.

Alan E. Belcher 59 Maple Avenue #17 Keene, NH 03431-1652

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Self-propelled water craft. Will build prototype for oil debris removal.

Dennis Brovarone Wapiya Corporation 445 Albion Street Denver, CO 80220

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Provides slop oil/oil sludge remediation services using centrifuge, heating technology.

Michael L. Chapman Chapman/Lorey Enterprises 3475 RueDePaul St., N.E. Louisville, OH 44641

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Small working model oil recovery system available. Requires prototype development. May be interesting.

John A. Kalpaxis 61-17 68 Avenue Ridgewood, NY 11385

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Design for oil ship cargo. No data.

Theodore W. Vegh 12956 Arlingford Avenue Baton Rouge, LA 70815

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Blueprint "Proposal for Emergency Recirculation of Spilled Oil," 15 April 1989, using skimmer, containment boom, siphon pump - verbal equipment. Very brief verbal description.

Rodney C. Whitney, President RST Systems, Inc. 408 West Main Street P.O. Box 357 Larose, LA 70373

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Letter, drawing on retrieval/separation process of oil-water (mechanical). RST ships available for use; operate from mother ship.

Mr. Clayton Rodriguez P.O. Box 1895 Metairie, LA 70001

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil skimmer development, oil cleanup barge. Used/tested by Franklyn Oil Spill Recovery Co. Unit available for tests.

E.S. Robbins 221 East South Street Kennett Square, PA 19348

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil skimmer proposal based on gravity, density, surface tension. No drawings; general description. Would require complete engineering investigation.

Harry Pierson, Jr. SCOR-V 109 Spring Street West Bridgewater, MA 02379

<u>COMMENTS</u>: SCOR-V oil recovery device, high volume, all-weather versatile method. Patent protected; gravity flow, oil pumped to main storage tanks. Must build or convert vessels for process. Hand drawings with labels designating piping pumps, chambers, etc. Laboratory brochure with prototype. Results of laboratory application unknown.

William T. Mack The William T. Mack Trust P.O. Box 6112 Tyler, TX 75701

<u>COMMENTS</u>: MAKCO Barrier - Mack Skimmer Separator. Drawings; no correspondence between model and prototype design. Tests conducted in wave tank. Movie film type experiment. Barrier not tested against oil-water mixture. Towing tests conducted. Patent involved. Skimmer designed to accompany barrier. No tests; vague description. Infant stage of concept.

Al Jorgensen A.J. Energy, Inc. P.O. Box 876154 Wasilla, AK 99687

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Wash tank, skimming, trash collector concept. No detail, drawings or data necessary for complete review. Concept in infant stages.

Bruce L. Hutchison Vice President The Glosten Associates, Inc. 600 Mutual Life Bldg, 605 First Ave. Seattle, WA 98104-2224

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil spill containment booms applied, pumping mechanism, skimming floating oil. More detail required. In infant stage. Concept may be used.

Michael G. Johnson 5279 State St., P.O. Box 945 Kelseyville, CA 95451

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Water jets and high-speed skimmer used at OHMSETT, NJ; tested there. Information provided. Recommend use by author who has been employed at OHMSETT.

Joseph B. Garrett 70 Canterbury Road Chatham Township, NJ 07928

12

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Containing tank, density and solubility of water-oil causes separation; separates components before storing. New skimmer design proposed; geometric configuration of tanks discussed, drawings without detail are incorporated.

Joe Greer Suite 211, 4618 JFK Blvd North Little Rock, AK 72116

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Schematic diagram of "portable flexible tank" with laminar flow pump system. Hand drawings, water-oil separated by density and solubility. Concept is generally known.

Jurgen Hanke, President Criteria Petroleum Corporation Dominion Plaza 600 17th Street Denver, CO 80202

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Kreierhoff system, ship mounting skimming/filtration system, jet pumps, self-cleaning filtration apparatus. Apparatus available for testing. Six weeks notification. Filtration system patent protected.

Raymond E. Hutchinson 166 Main Street Cheriton, VA 23316

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Patent application involved; skimmer, drawings by hand. Utilizing conduit pipe system "Valdez Oil Spill Skimmer." Device would have to be constructed/tested on small scale prior to field use.

Larry Frisch Hydro Aeration, Inc. 31031 Center Ridge Road #4 Cleveland, OH 44145

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Floating boom, gas distribution device for inducing currents and circulation to keep oil from direct contact with boom.

Raymond N. Auger Power Shade Corporation 530 E. Bleeker Street Aspen, CO 81611

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Skimming Oil Boom cuts upper layer from water; schematic diagram available. Discussion of mechanics of boom under various conditions. Oil boom concentrates oil slick. Two designs available for perusal. Small-scale testing observed. Results unknown.

Mr. Douglas Bowers #939626 Twin Rivers Correction Center Unit A-5-6 P.O. Box 888 Monroe, WA 98272

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Idea on oil containment boom. No data; no information.

Bruce D. Scoles Infinity Research and Development, Inc. P.O. Box 5533 Helena, MT 59604

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Floating containment barrier; no data, concept, procedures.

John R. Tusson Tusson Research & Engineering Center Belle Chasse, LA 70037-1626

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Rapid open-water oil spill recovery unit; trawling scoop and bag concept. Disposal not addressed.

Mr. Joseph J. Dooley 3718 Frankford Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19124

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Petroleum reclamation ship design, hand drawings, oilseparated from water, siphoned off, booms required. Description vague.

Harold P. DuShane 1774 Greenwood Avenue Trenton, NJ 08609

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Disclosure, apparatus collecting and separating floating liquids, collecting floating debris, catching fish, general concepts discussed with figures. Density and solubility used to separate water/oil. Apparatus would have to be built, new engineering drawings required; details must be dealt with.

Bill Bowers General Manager Vortoil 9391 Grogan's Mill Road, Suite A-5 The Woodlands, TX 77380

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil/water separator, centrifuge concept. Vortoil oily water separators commercially available.

Dr. R.B. Sanders Sanders Resource Associates, Inc. 11661 Rockridge Drive Anchorage, AK 99516

<u>COMMENTS</u>: No concept, no engineering details. Telescopy boom, rubberized tracks and flotation tanks for oil collection.

Louis G. Vallieres 5470 Braesvalley #322 Houston, TX 77096

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil removal. No concept, no data, no procedures. Idea only.

Wayne White St Rt 1, Box 419B Rockport, TX 79382

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil containment, suction boom concept, hand drawing, engineering drawings required. Discussion on use.

Charles Gambel 511 Hector Avenue Metairie, LA 70005

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Patent; containment equipment, flotation unit, booms, engineering drawing description.

Robert Clark L.A.R.C. Marketing, Inc. P.O. Box 2417 Sidney, B.C. V8L 3Y3 Canada

CROSS REFERENCE: Graeme Sorley OSR Systems Ltd 1830 Oak Bay Avenue, Suite 1B Victoria, BC V8R 1C2, Canada

<u>COMMENTS</u>: OSCAR, oil/water separator, not like skimmer; will not sink. Advance skimmer technology?

Robert J. Steinback Centrite 9 N. Five Point Road West Chester, PA 19380

<u>COMMENTS</u>: "Shore Patrol" floating breakwater system, request research funding. No details.

James Young 504 E. Edgar Avenue Mishawaka, IN 46545

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Skimmer design, utilizes magnetic energy field with modified vacuum process; separates oil from water, also centrifugal wheel used. Good general discussion of technology.

Calvin Hollis Hollis Manufacturing Company P.O. Box 16 Spearsville, LA 71277

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil skimming device; patent. Brief description, no details.

## HULL PATCH

Don R. Owen, President SeaPatch, Inc. 800 Gessner, Suite 860 Houston, TX 77024

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Patching system for holed ships; patch material unknown. May use cement and foam for tighter seal; winched tight by mechanical means. Pressure problems of fabric addressed. Patches are available for purchase. Test results in field are not known or described.

Nick Chornyj Chornyj Fiberglass Products and Electrical Contractors Ltd 182 Church Street Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario P6A 3H5 Canada

<u>COMMENTS</u>: General drawing for method to seal hole in hull of ship; suction cups - electromagnet concept - deployed by boom.

Sebree J. Allen 103 N. 7th Street Murray, KY 42071

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Patch plan, mechanical for ship hulls, general discussion; no new ideas.

Amedeo Giallorenzi 25 Hickory Place Apt. D-32 Chatham, NJ 07928

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil containment, "Dracon," sealant, rupture and puncture, patching.

## OIL MOVEMENT

William W. Bannister University of Lowell College of Pure and Applied Science Department of Chemistry One University Avenue Lowell, MA 01854

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Radar reflecting dye markers, follow currents. Use of oleophilic Day-Glo metallic-tinsel enhances sonar signals to detect submerged oil.

Kenneth M. Skinner Everest Geotech, Inc. 10101 S.W. Freeway Houston, TX 77074

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Digital Image Analysis, aerial photography, aerial photographs, infrared.

George Todd Encore Energy, Inc. Suite 616 Meadows Bldg 5646 Milton Dallas, TX 75206

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Hand drawings of off-the-shelf pumping and separation equipment.

#### CLEANUP - WILDLIFE

Joseph M. Jackovich, Chairman Jackovich Industrial & Construction Supply P.O. Box 407 - A.R.R. Fairbanks, AK 99707

<u>COMMENTS</u>: ENERGY PLUS, wildlife cleaning - toxicity unknown. No pertinent information for evaluation. No NCP product listing.

D. Bartoli c/o Embassy Suites Hotel/Miami Airport Miami, FL

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Shore Wash. Cleans wildlife, rock, beaches. No specific data.

Robert L. Klopfenstein, President Envirosolv, Inc. 1840 Southside Blvd Jacksonville, FL 32216

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Re-Entry, non-toxic biodegradable solvent; possible animal cleaning. Non-emulsified solvent. Wildlife application toxicity known; other effects not addressed.

Mr. H.G. Winter H.G. Winter Co. 701 Kings Row, Suite 6A San Jose, CA 95112

CROSS REFERENCE: Kenneth D. Coleman Atlantic Pacific Industries P.O. Box 3827 321 Northlake Blvd, Suite 116B North Palm Beach, FL 33408

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: NOKOMIS-3 used in other countries. Cleans wildlife, port facilities. Material data sheet available. Blend of celloids, sterilants, surfactants, chelates, hyperwetting agents. 99% biodegradable - colloidal - no detergent. Not on NCP product schedule.
#### MISCELLANEOUS

Mr. Frank J. Koczon 51 Cedarcrest Drive Pawtucket, RI 02861

COMMENTS: General statements. No procedure, concepts or data.

Louis Lamont P.O. Box 1776 Key Largo, FL 33037

COMMENTS: Idea on oil cleanup. No procedure, concept or data.

Bruce Tricinella Evans Manufacturing 17812 Jamestown Lane Huntington Beach;, CA 92647

**COMMENTS:** No information.

Sam Verdin 2600 Breton Drive Marrero, LA 70072

COMMENTS: No proposal attached.

Dr. Steven McNabb Social Research Institute 6133 Kensington Drive Anchorage, AK 99504

COMMENTS: Think tank; risk analysis, assessments.

Will Ozier, President Ozier, Perry & Associates 870 Market Street, Suite 1001 San Francisco, CA 94102

COMMENTS: Risk analysis, think tank, assessments.

William T. Mack The William T. Mack Trust P.O. Box 6112 Tyler, TX 75701

Richard Pearson Chester, CT

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Fill oil tankers only 90%; use remaining space for emergency transfer of spilled oil.

## MISCELLANEOUS (CONT'D)

Will Ozier, President Ozier, Perry & Associates 870 Market Street, Suite 1001 San Francisco, CA 94102

COMMENTS: Risk analysis, think tank, assessments.

William T. Mack The William T. Mack Trust P.O. Box 6112 Tyler, TX 75701

Richard Pearson Chester, CT

.

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Fill oil tankers only 90%; use remaining space for emergency transfer of spilled oil.

#### CONSULTANT

John B. Fairbanks, Jr. 3927 South 3030 East Salt Lake City, UT 84124

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Consultant available for oil spills.

## TESTING AVAILABLE

Mr. Emile Arsenault Pollution and Oxidation Consultant 7645, Rousselot Montreal, Quebec H2E 1Z2 Canada

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Consulting available/laboratory testing of biodegradable products.

#### AFTER COLLECTION

Ted Baker Applied Environmental Systems, Inc. 3 Liberty Avenue Marblehead, MA 01945

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Recycle oil sludge - produce material for paving by cold-mix bituminous paving for roads.

• '

## EXXON - INCINERATION

J.D. Hahn Resource Recycling Box 1010 Willow, AK 99688

COMMENTS: Incinerators for cleanup.

Mark Hooper Air Programs Branch EPA, Region X 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101

COMMENTS: Apollo incineration vessel.

Simon Srybnik President and CEO All-American Environmental Corp. 140 53rd Street Brooklyn, NY 11232-4387

COMMENTS: Two rotary kiln incinerators for cleanup.

Robert C. Thomas, Sr. Petroleum Recovery Systems, Inc. 2116 Westchester Drive #08 Bartlett, TN 38184

COMMENTS: Portable retort machine

George M. Vorel Vice President, Engineering Thermojet, Inc. P.O. Box 1799 Butler, PA 16003

COMMENTS: Portable burner system

## EXXON - BIOREMEDIATION

Daniel A. Barash Enzyme Products American, Inc. 8062 Steven-David Drive Strongsville, OH 44136

COMMENTS: Bacto-Zyme product

William Ferguson Ferguson Harbor Service, Inc. P.O. Box 830 Hendersonville, TN 37077-0830

COMMENTS: Environmental remediation

# EXXON - CHEMICAL COLLECTION (DISPERSANT/DEGREASER)

Fritz Kramer CoverFoam Technology, Inc. 3001 Redhill Avenue Bldg 4, Suite 108 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

COMMENTS: 3M Sanifoam for cleanup.

Gene Sterling Vice President, Marketing Gulf Coast - Bio Solve P.O. Box 976 Belleville, TX 77418

COMMENTS: Bio Solve for cleanup.

Lorraine Studin Stutton Corporation P.O. Box 7913 Matairie, LA 70010

COMMENTS: TOPSALL #30 for cleanup.

Jerry Trippe General Technology Applications, Inc. 7720 Mason King Court Manassas, VA 22110

COMMENTS: ELASTOL for cleanup.

Joseph H. Cartoski MARPOL, Inc. of Virginia P.O. Box 569 Norfolk, VA 23501

COMMENTS: ELASTOL oil/water separator

John Kearns Nickey Petroleum Company 1335 Santiago Santa Ana, CA 92701

COMMENTS: Bio Solve for cleanup.

John Levine, President Environmental Security of California 11686 Darlington Avenue #3 Los Angeles, CA 90049

<u>COMMENTS</u>: PHIREX oil dispersant.

## EXXON - CHEMICAL COLLECTION (DISPERSANT/DEGREASER) (CONT'D)

Art McComsey Fire Control Systems, Inc. Drawer 4150 283-7518 Kenai, Alaska 99611

COMMENTS: Bio Solve for cleanup.

Richard L. Mosena, President Mosena Enterprises, Inc. P.O. Box 175 Franklin, VA 23851

COMMENTS: TC-80 tank and bilge cleaning machine.

F. Banka Overseas Business Div. NEOS Company Ltd Sanwa Bldg, 4th Floor 27-17, Hamamatsu-Cho 1-Chome Minato-KU, Tokyo, Japan

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: NEOS dispersants/gelling agent.

Kenneth D. Coleman Atlantic Pacific Industries P.O. Box 3827 321 Northlake Blvd, Suite 116B North Palm Beach, FL 33408

COMMENTS: NOKOMIS-3 oil dispersant.

Mr. Charlie Grimm Polar Supply Co. 5001 Eagle Street Anchorage, AK 99503-7434

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Devprep 88 water-based cleaner.

Harry Jonas, Jr., President Souwest Band Corporation 400 Australian Avenue Suite 725 West Palm Beach, FL 33401

COMMENTS: "Tur-Off" non-toxic oil removal product.

## EXXON - CHEMICAL COLLECTION (DISPERSANT/DEGREASER) (CONT'D)

Jan Storey Manager, Consumer Relations The Murphy-Phoenix Company Corporate Place 25800 Science Park Drive, Suite 200 P.O. Box 22930 Beachwood, OH 44122

COMMENTS: Murphy's Oil Soap for cleanup.

Gary Watkins, President ESI, Inc. P.O. Box 8008, Suite 308 Gloucester, MA 01930

COMMENTS: PHIREX oil dispersant.

Robert W. Cohen Portage Synergetics 89 N. Main Street Akron, OH 44308

COMMENTS: Sun Solve oil emulsifier.

Robert Galanis Fortune Chemical Company 2250 West Desert Cove Warehouse D Phoenix, AZ 85029

COMMENTS: X-IT 5 Cleaner/Degreaser

Roy L. Grimes President, Grimes, Inc. P.O. Box 29322 Oakland, CA 94604

COMMENTS: SEALCRETE cleansing agent.

Don Hass P.O. Box 691439 Tulsa, OK 74169-1439

COMMENTS: BioT solubilizer for cleanup.

## EXXON - CHEMICAL COLLECTION (DISPERSANT/DEGREASER) (CONT'D)

James T. Hasty Zaruba & Associates, Inc. Jordan Creek Center P.O. Box 34316 Juneau, AK 99803

COMMENTS: Moxie Sodium Silicate Solution

Andrew C. Ott Olympic Mountain Products 8300 S. 206th Kent, WA 98031

COMMENTS: Citrasol for cleaning.

Rex Rowell Rowell Manufacturing, Inc. 22322 Acorn Chase Spring, TX 77389

COMMENTS: IC-22 emulsifier.

Ember J. Safford, President Dutch Pride Products 1287 Rainbow Drive P.O. Box 1651 Cottonwood, AZ 86326

COMMENTS: ECO/+ dispersant.

Joyce Williams 118½ William Drive Grand Junction, CO 81503

COMMENTS: Amway Liquid Organic Cleaner

Dave Chase Alfred Karcher, Inc. 155 Easy Street Carol Stream, IL 60188

<u>COMMENTS</u>: High pressure hot water for cleanup.

Juha Hakola, President FINHA Trading Oy Museokatu 9 B 17, 00100 Helsinki, Finland

COMMENTS: Sansorb for cleanup.

Ed O'Beirne III Wolter Environmental Systems, Inc. 1100 Harrison Avenue Cincinnati, OH 45214

COMMENTS: HOT DOG, portable hot water heater for cleanup.

Masami Ohi, Manager Non-Woven Fabrics Dept. Mitsui Petrochemical Industries, Ltd Kasumigaseki Bldg 2-5 Kasumigaseki 3-Chome Chiyoda-Ku, Tokyo, Japan

COMMENTS: Tafnel oil blotter for cleanup.

James W. Ross Albany Industrial Tech. 2224 Three Lakes Road P.O. Box 204 Albany, OR 97321

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Grass seed/straw for cleanup.

Scott Sibriski General Maintenance Co. 10815 J Beaver Dam road Cockeysville, MD 21030

COMMENTS: QWIK-SCRUB for cleanup.

Stephen B. Wishek American Kleaner Mfg. Co., Inc. 9415 Kruse Road Pico Rivera, CA 90660-1474

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Steam cleaning equipment.

Keith W. Adamson, President ROBWEN, Inc. 1945 Blake Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90039

COMMENTS: Flow mix in-line proportioner.

Terry L. Johnson, Alaska Manager Blaze Construction, Inc. P.O. Box 230087 Anchorage, AK 99523

COMMENTS: "Oil Otter" and crude X595 for cleanup.

Joseph Neubauer, President GeoCHEM, Inc. 3200 New Seward Highway, Suite 201 Anchorage, AK 99503

COMMENTS: Elastol cleaning agent.

Mr. Eric Noe Alexander Shane Industries, Inc. 923 Newbury Road Thousand Oaks, CA 91320

COMMENTS: Steam cleaning devices.

Mr. Michael Pipella Vice President Oil Otter, Inc. 4839 East Greenway Road, Suite 231 Scottsdale, AZ 85254

COMMENTS: Oil Otter foam pads.

Leonard O. Walde, President Sigma Enviro Enterprises 140 Spring Road Orinda, CA 94563

**COMMENTS:** SEE-JELL for cleanup.

Richard A. Wilden, General Manager Weber & Sons, Inc. P.O. Box 328 Oakmont, PA 15139

COMMENTS: RAWFLEX absorbent for cleanup.

Marilyn M. Campbell Environmental Sales Director Excel-Mineral Company, Inc. 111 South La Patera Lane P.O. Box 878 Goleta, CA 93116

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Sorbent clay product.

Richard G. McPherson Vice President Newtech Resources 151 Kalmus Drive Bldg C-204 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

COMMENTS: ZORBITUP 97 absorbent material.

Mr. Trevor Millia DuUnn Burt & Partners Thames House Southwark Bridge Road London SE1 0A8

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Oil/water separation system.

Hiroshi Nakano Ajinomoto Co., Inc. Specialty Chemicals Dept. 1-5-8 Kyobasi, Chuo-ku Tokyo 104, Japan

**COMMENTS:** Oil spill gelatinization agent.

John Simmons, President Carbontec Corp. 400 East Broadway, Suite 609 P.O. Box 2252 Bismarck, ND 58501

COMMENTS: CCD chips for cleanup.

Jack H. Berg Pacer Cleaning Equipment 1234 Depot Street Glenview, IL 60025

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Portable steam cleaning.

Mr. David N. Eaden Cole Industrial, Inc. P.O. Box 1336 Lynnwood, WA 98046

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Clever-Brooks portable steamer.

Mr. Bill Folsom F&B Fencing Co. P.O. Box 2048 Palmer, AK 99645

COMMENTS: Steel drums/plastic buckets for cleanup.

Mr. C.S. Mirjahangir 15569 Graham Street Huntington Beach, CA 92649

COMMENTS: Absorbent CD 89 for cleanup.

Mr. John Powell SKW/Eskimos, Inc. Anchorage, AK 99518

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Steam generators available.

Mr. Jerry Wendel Industrial Technologies, Inc. 10284 Page Boulevard St. Louis, MO 63132

COMMENTS: Sorbent fabric.

John Akiskalian, President Eneresource, Inc. P.O. Box 6630 Santa Barbara, CA 93160-6630

**COMMENTS:** WETSOL process.

Chris Christiansen Absork International 5924 Daley Street Goleta, CA 93117

**COMMENTS:** Baked cork material for cleanup.

John E. Foster Absorption Corp. P.O. Box 5667 Bellingham, WA 98227

COMMENTS: Absorbent products.

Michael A. Hachez Material Separation, Inc. P.O. Box 2073 Fairbanks, AK 99707

COMMENTS: MI-LO Material Separation System.

Alf Haines Managing Director Gamesea Pty Ltd P.O. Box 171, Tin Can Bay Queensland 4570 Australia

COMMENTS: SOKEROL Organic Oil Absorbent.

Frederick J. Haydock Whitney Chemical, Inc. 8907 Damascus Way West Jordan, UT 84088

COMMENTS: Oil/water separation system.

Kirsti Hveding, President Scan Pacific Enterprises, Inc. P.O. Box 915 Mukilteo, WA 98275

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: FOXTAIL Oil Recovery System.

Darrell R. Kinsey Goliath Marketing Rt. 6, Box 246 Lindale, TX 75771

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Absorbent towels.

Thomas Liu, President PACWEST 1420 E. Cooley Drive, Suite 200N Colton, CA 92324

COMMENTS: Ground-up corn cobs for cleanup.

Gentaro Takeda, President International Natural Resources and Energy Development Corporation (INREDC) Resources Project Dept. c/o Nissho Iwai Corp. Tokyo, Japan

COMMENTS: NEOS AB-3000 for cleanup.

Floyd Wihstutz Longline Fisherman's Supply Co., Inc. 2772 N.E. 1st Street Pompano Beach, FL 33062

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil catcher rope for cleanup.

#### EXXON - COLLECTION VESSELS

Danny Anderson Anderscape, Inc. 666, Sherbrooke Street West 23rd Floor Montreal, Quebec H3A-1E7, Canada

COMMENTS: UNIMOP ANTI-POLLUTION BARGE/CONVEYOR ASSEMBLY.

ROBERT L. BEEGLE, PRESIDENT MARCON INTERNATIONAL, INC. 22 FRONT STREET, P.O. BOX 1170 COUPEVILLE, WA 98239

COMMENTS: BARGE AND LOADING/HANDLING CONTAINERS.

JAMES K. CASH, PRESIDENT CASH'S MACHINE & WELDING 340 E. 76TH AVENUE ANCHORAGE, AK 99518

COMMENTS: TUGS AND VARIOUS EQUIPMENT

HAL B. CHRISTENSEN OPERATIONS MANAGER SANTA BARBARA SEATECH, INC. 6 HARBOR WAY, SUITE 201 SANTA BARBARA, CA 93109

COMMENTS: USE OF VESSEL "SEATECH"

FRED H. ELVSAAS SELDOVIA NATIVE ASSOCIATION, INC. P.O. DRAWER L SELDOVIA, AK 99663

COMMENTS: M/V GLADYS E AND M/V CINMAR AVAILABLE FOR CLEANUP.

JEFF HARALA, VP MDSIX, LTD 2225 4TH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98121

COMMENTS: BARGE AVAILABLE.

## EXXON - COLLECTION VESSELS (CONT'D)

SEC - C. LUHRING SCHIFFSWERFT GMBH & CO. KG POSTFACH 1263 D-2880 BRAKE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

COMMENTS: BOTTSAND TWIN-HULL OIL RECOVERY SHIP

H.H. MUNTE JASTRAM-WERKE GMBH CO. KG POSTFACH 80 05 27 D-2050 HAMBURG 80 FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

COMMENTS: ORAS II OIL RECOVERY VESSEL

EDWARD C. NIEDERMEYER, PRESIDENT NIEDERMEYER-MARTIN CO. 1727 N.F. 11TH AVENUE P.O. BOX 3768 PORTLAND, OR 97208

COMMENTS: BARGES AND SELF-CONTAINED LIVING UNITS

JERRY O. NORMAN WESTERN ALASKA CONTRACTORS, J.V. 6120 A STREET ANCHORAGE, AK 99518-1817

COMMENTS: FLOATING LABORATORY FOR CLEANUP

ROBERT M. SHAHNAZARIAN, PRESIDENT AMERICAN WORKBOATS 618 PILCHARD STREET TERMINAL ISLAND, CA 90731

COMMENTS: TUGBOATS AVAILABLE

RON SMITH GRAND CANYON EXPEDITIONS P.O. BOX 144 KANAB, UT 84741

COMMENTS: PONTOONS/RAFTS FOR CLEANUP.

HENRY L. TOMINGAS INSTITUTIONAL SERVICES 2522 ARCTIC BLVD, SUITE 200 ANCHORAGE, AK 99503

COMMENTS: VESSELS AVAILABLE FOR CLEANUP.

# EXXON - COLLECTION VESSELS (CONT'D)

OLNEY WEBB DON'S BUSINESS SUPPLIES KETCHIKAN, AK 99950

COMMENTS: 40-FOOT FIBERGLASS BOAT AVAILABLE.

#### EXXON - MECHANICAL VESSELS

Claude Duval, President Marine AQUA Tech Division of Sub Aqua Tech, Inc. 7195 Ch. Chambly, St-Hubert Quebec Canada J3Y 3R7

COMMENTS: Deep Sea Oil Boom

Dennis Nottingham, President Peratrovich, Nottingham & Drage, Inc. Engineering Consultants 1508 West 38th Ave, Suite 101 Anchorage, AK 99503

COMMENTS: Deep Water Oil Boom

Ted Palmer TRANSVAC 11851 Dyke Road Richmond, B.C., Canada V7X 4X8

COMMENTS: Vessel and two vacuum pumps available.

Al Avitabile, President Davit Sales, Inc. P.O. Box 232 Jefferson Valley, NY 10535

COMMENTS: OSED oil skimmer for cleanup.

David Badger 9450 Chateaux Coeur D'Alene, ID 83814

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Falcon III hovercraft for cleanup.

Edwin A. Emerson, Jr. Emerson Enterprises, Inc. Star Route 65, Box 89 Norway, ME 04268

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil/water separator

Joseph M. Giefer 4 Crab Cove Funtes Bay, AK 99850

COMMENTS: M/V Cest si Bon available for cleanup.

## EXXON - MECHANICAL VESSELS (CONT'D)

Al Hand, President Commerce Alaska Corp. P.O. Box 92304 Anchorage, AK 99503

COMMENTS: Beach cleaning system.

Brian D. Kelm, Esquire Law Offices of William Bixby P.O. Box 1229 Valdez, AK 99686

COMMENTS: Captain Christian Lint's offloading oil barges.

Kremen Company Attn: Gary 326 "L" Street Anchorage, AK 99501

<u>COMMENTS</u>: K-Boom oil recovery system.

W. Gerald Lott Flo Trend Systems, Inc. 707 Lehman Houston, TX 77018-1513

COMMENTS: Mastr-pump emergency response system.

Frank Meyers, President Kepner Plastics Fabricators, Inc. 3131 Lomita Blvd Torrance, CA 90506

COMMENTS: SeaVac self-propelled skimmer system.

Monosep, Inc. P.O. Box 3604 Lafayette, LA 70502

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Multisep flotation separator.

Ulrich Petersen Niedersachsisches Ministerium fur Wirtschaft, Technologie und Verhehr Friedrichswall 1 3000 Hannover 1 Postfach 101 Federal Republic of Germany

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Twin-hull multipurpose ship available.

# EXXON - MECHANICAL VESSELS (CONT'D)

Paul Preus, President Clean Water, Inc. 204 Horner Street Toms River, NJ 08753

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Sorbent C - Sorbent C filter booms

Kenneth W. Roth, President Aqua-Dozer International, Inc. 940 Lincoln Road, Suite 323 Miami Beach, FL 33139

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Aqua-dozer floating debris dumpster.

Louis E. Shenman United Marine International, Inc. 2337 Lemoine Avenue Fort Lee, NJ 07024

COMMENTS: Trash and debris skimming equipment

Brent Stoehr Dura-Lume Boats P.O. Box 520069 - Mile 3.5 Big Lake, AK 99652

COMMENTS: Shall water oil skimmer available.

Monte D. Stutes, Controller POSCON, Inc. P.O. Box 596 Nederland, TX 77627

COMMENTS: Hydraulic skimmer system.

#### EXXON - MISCELLANEOUS

D.D. Barlow, President National Association of Dredging Contractors (NADC) 1625 I Street, NW, Suite 321 Washington, DC 20006

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Services offered; dredging.

Thomas F. Dalton, President Spill Control Association of America 40 Joni Avenue Hamilton Square, NJ 08690

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Spill Control Association (contractor, personnel available).

Bruce Fenton RFD 1, Box 307 Warren, ME 04864

4

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Suggestion to minimize oil spill impact.

Mr. Eddie Goodman CUDD Pressure Control, Inc. Emergency Management Services P.O. Box 53476 Lafayette, LA 70505

COMMENTS: Fire pump system for cleanup.

William B. Schoephoester 4041 B Street, Suite 207 Anchorage, AK 99503

COMMENTS: 16" cutter suction dredge available.

John J. Stout EIGHT STAR P.O. Box 91556 Anchorage, AK 99509

**COMMENTS:** Manpower and equipment for cleanup.

Richard Hope Environmental Assessment Laboratories P.O. Box 872988 Wasilla, AK 99687-2988

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Laboratory services.

Joel Hughes Vice President - Operations Container Products Corporation P.O. Box 3767 Wilmington, NC 28406

COMMENTS: KELLY Decontamination System.

Richard L. MacDonald Bolder Sand & Gravel, Inc. 11012 Mukilteo Speedway Everett, WA 98204

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Ecology blocks, filter fabric, processing plant for oil cleanup.

Walter Youngblade Vice President, Government Services OHM Corporation 16406 U.S. Route 224 East P.O. Box 551 Findlay, OH 45839-0551

COMMENTS: Personnel and equipment available for cleanup.

John E. Gillis J.C. Company & Associates 5520 Lake Otis, Suite 102 Anchorage, AK 99507

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Hot water generation systems.

Gregory P. Chaney 8477 #1 Thunder Mt. Road Juneau, AK 99801

**COMMENTS:** Suggestion for cleanup.

Mr. Ronald Cooper Alaska Pump & Supply, Inc. 261 East 56th Street Anchorage, AK 99518

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Use of hydraulic pumps.

Randy E. Easley Oil Spill Consultants P.O. Box 220412 Anchorage, AK 99522-0412

COMMENTS: Oil spill consultants.

D.W. Lerch, Vice President MARCO Pollution Control 2300 West Commodore Way Seattle, WA 98199

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Expertise in pollution control.

Mr. Peter McPhee Pearson of Alaska, Inc. 5100 Cordova, Suite #206 Anchorage, AK 99503

COMMENTS: Oil spill equipment and manpower available.

Raimund Pfaff Auf dem Damm 8 5910 Kreutztal-5 Federal Republic of Germany

COMMENTS: Vacuum unit and manpower available.

Marc C. Waszkiewicz 3370 Bielmeier Rd., SE Space #56 Port Orchard, WA 98366

COMMENTS: Manpower and expertise available.

Jo Ellen Whaley Founder/Publisher North American Salmon Anglers, Inc., and Salmon Kings Magazine (NASA/SKM) 11127 Old Eagle River Road Eagle River, AK 99577

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Equipment and personnel available.

Mr. Michael K. Able Production Manager Auto Body Shop Alaska Correctional Industries P.O. Box 919 Palmer, AK 99645

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Pump/trailer for cleanup.

Frank DeJens 4X Corporation P.O. Box 509 Neenah, WI 54957-0509

COMMENTS: 4X 2-way radio systems.

Jolene Lombardo USDA Forest Service - Alaska Region Anchorage, AK 99510

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Beach cleaning services.

Mr. Charlie Pickett K&K Recycling, Inc. P.O. Box 10687 Fairbanks, AK 99710

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Inventory listing.

Mr. Harry Pursell Arctic Camps and Equipment P.O. Box 102142 Anchorage, AK 99510

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Proposed office building.

Ajit Shah A.S.I. 1326 W. 12th Street Long Beach, CA 90813

**COMMENTS:** Offshore oil equipment.

John Simpson Martech Construction, Inc. 300 East 54th Avenue Anchorage, AK 99518

**<u>COMMENTS</u>**: Martech land-based boat cleaning station.

Wilford D. Tannehill 12122 Adrian, Unit # 6-212 Garden Grove, CA 92640

COMMENTS: Waste oil cleaning apparatus.

John A. Zitney Robinson Pipe Services, Inc. 2309 E. 28th Street Lorain, OH 44055-2003

COMMENTS: Vacuum trucks for cleaning.

Mr. Ihor Lysyj C-E Environmental, Inc. A Subsidiary of Combustion Engineering, Inc. 4765 Calle Quetzal Camarillo, CA 93010

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Monitor long-term effects.

W.H. Tyson, Jr. Product and Business Development 1874 School Street Moraga, CA 94556

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Claypro oily debris separator.

Dennis G. Kelley, P.E. Phoenix Environmental P.O. Box 1555 Sand Springs, OK 74063

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Waste oil cleaning apparatus.

David Hull Managing Director, ASTCO Box 1933 Taber, Alberta TOK 2GO Canada

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Oil field waste reclaiming equipment.

John E. Klepac Sverdrup Corporation 2600 Denali Street, Suite 501 Anchorage, AK 99503

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Management/cleanup services.

Greg McIntosh, President McIntosh Marine, Inc. 621 Idlewyld Drive Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

<u>COMMENTS</u>: "Mailbox System" for oil removal.

John E. Gillis J.C. Company & Associates 5520 Lake Otis, Suite 102 Anchorage, AK 99507

COMMENTS: Hot water generation systems.

Mr. Terry Flinn Manager, Diving Division Underwater Construction, Inc. 8740 Hartzell Road Anchorage, AK 99507

COMMENTS: Underwater construction technical support.

Ms. Hilde Sanstead Greenpeace U.S.A. P.O. Box 104432 Anchorage, AK 99510

<u>COMMENTS</u>: Frogmat/boom beach cleanup.

Michael L. Stepetin, President Michael Service Corporation 8532 S.E. 17th Avenue Portland, OR 97202-7327

COMMENTS: Oil spill cleanup equipment.

James E. Tobleck, President JET Consulting Corp. P.O. Box #5190 North Muskegon, MI 49445

COMMENTS: Assistance for cleanup.



# NOAA CENTRAL LIBRARY

### DATE DUE:

MAR 25 1994

APR 2 5 1994

MAY 22-1994

AUG | 4 1994

## PLEASE RETURN TO:

CIRCULATION DESK NOAA CENTRAL LIBRARY 1315 EAST-WEST HIGHWAY 2ND FLOOR, SSMC3 SILVER SPRING, MD 20910

TELEPHONE: 301/713-2600