# **@AGU PUBLICATIONS**

### *Atmospheres*

#### Supporting Information for

# *Daytime Oxidized Reactive Nitrogen Partitioning in Western U.S. Wildfire Smoke Plumes*

Julieta F. Juncosa Calahorrano<sup>1</sup>, Jakob Lindaas<sup>1</sup>, Katelyn O'Dell<sup>1</sup>, Brett B. Palm<sup>3</sup>, Qiaoyun Peng<sup>3</sup>, Frank Flocke<sup>2</sup>, Ilana B. Pollack<sup>1</sup>, Lauren A. Garofalo<sup>4</sup>, Delphine K. Farmer<sup>4</sup>, Jeffrey R. Pierce<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrey L. Collett, Jr.<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Weinheimer<sup>2</sup>, Teresa Campos<sup>2</sup>, Rebecca S. Hornbrook<sup>2</sup>, Samuel R. Hall<sup>2</sup>, Kirk Ullmann<sup>2</sup>, Matson A. Pothier<sup>4</sup>, Eric C. Apel<sup>2</sup>, Wade Permar<sup>5</sup>, Lu Hu<sup>5</sup>, Alan J. Hills<sup>2</sup>, Deedee Montzka<sup>2</sup>, Geoff Tyndall<sup>2</sup>, Joel A. Thornton<sup>4</sup>, and Emily V. Fischer<sup>1</sup>

1 Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA 2 Atmospheric Chemistry Observations & Modeling Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA

3 Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

4 Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA

5 Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Montana, Missoula, MT, USA

**Contents of this file**

Table S1 to S1 Text S1 to S2 Figures S1 to S13

Table S1. Instrument details and contact information for measurements described in section 2.2

Molecules(s)	<b>Instrument</b>	PI,	<b>Detection Limit,</b>
		Contact,	Uncertainty
		Institution,	
		Reference	
CO	<b>QC-TILDAS</b>	Teresa Campos,	$\overline{1}00$ pptv,
		campos@ucar.edu,	$0.6$ ppbv
		NCAR,	
		(Lebegue et al., 2016)	
CO	Picarro	Teresa Campos,	30 pptv,
		campos@ucar.edu,	NA
		NCAR,	
NO	2-channel	Andy Wienheimer,	$100$ pptv,
	chemiluminescence	wein@ucar.edu,	6%
		NCAR,	
		(Ridley and Grahek, 1990)	
NO2	2-channel	Andy Wienheimer,	$\overline{1}40$ pptv,
	chemiluminescence	wein@ucar.edu,	12%
		NCAR,	
		(Ridley and Grahek, 1990)	
<b>HONO</b>	I-CIMS	Joel A. Thornton,	20.5 pptv,
		joelt@uw.edu,	30%
		University of Washington,	
HNO3	I-CIMS	(Lee et al., 2014) Joel A. Thornton,	13.5 pptv,
		joelt@uw.edu,	30%
		University of Washington,	
		(Lee et al., 2014)	
Org $N(g)$	I-CIMS	Joel A. Thornton,	NA,
		joelt@uw.edu,	Factor of 2
		University of Washington,	
		(Lee et al., 2014)	
PAN	PAN-I-CIMS	Frank Flocke,	20 pptv,
		ffl@ucar.edu,	12%
		NCAR,	
		(Zheng et al., $2011$ )	
<b>PPN</b>	PAN-I-CIMS	Frank Flocke,	20 pptv,
		ffl@ucar.edu,	12%
		NCAR,	
		(Zheng et al., 2011)	
pNO3	HR-ToF-AMS	Delphine Farmer,	$< 0.12$ ug m-3,
		Delphine.farmer@colosttate.edu,	35%
		Colorado State University,	
		(Bahreini et al., 2016)	
<b>NVOC</b>	PTR-ToF-MS	Lu Hu,	NA,
		Lu.hu@mso.umt.edu,	50%
		University of Montana,	
		(Sekimoto et al., 2017)	
<b>HCN</b>	<b>TOGA</b>	Eric Apel,	5 pptv,
		apel@ucar.edu,	20%
		NCAR,	





Figure S1. Partitioning of  $\Sigma NO_y$  as a function of physical age (h) in the most concentrated cores of western U.S. wildfire smoke plumes sampled in a pseudo-lagrangian fashion during WE-CAN 2018. Each of the plumes included in this figure are associated with a specifically targeted fire (see Figure 1). The observations shown here correspond to sampling in the center of the plume, which is defined as the portion of each plume transect where CO is above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of that transect. To calculate the enhancement of each species, a crossing-specific background mixing ratio for each individual species is subtracted.



Figure S2. Partitioning of  $\Sigma NO_y$  as a function of physical age (h) outside the most concentrated part of western U.S. wildfire smoke plumes sampled in a pseudo-lagrangian fashion during WE-CAN 2018. Each of the plumes included in this figure are associated with a specifically targeted fire (see Figure 1). The observations shown here correspond to sampling in the portion of each plume crossing where CO is between the  $25<sup>th</sup>$  and the  $75<sup>th</sup>$ percentile of that crossing (defined as edges of the plume). To calculate the enhancement of each species, a crossing-specific background mixing ratio for each individual species is subtracted.



Figure S3. Partitioning of  $\Sigma NO<sub>y</sub>$  as a function of physical age (h) in the wings of western U.S. wildfire smoke plumes sampled in a pseudo-lagrangian fashion during WE-CAN 2018. Each of the plumes included in this figure are associated with a specifically targeted fire (see Figure 1). The observations shown here correspond to sampling in the edges of the plume, which is defined as the portion of each plume crossing where CO is between the 5<sup>th</sup> and the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile of that crossing (defined as wings of the plume). To calculate the enhancement of each species, a crossing-specific background mixing ratio for each individual species is subtracted.



Plume age [min]

Figure S4. Normalized  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in plume cores as a function of plume age [min] for specific fires. The average e-folding time for  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  is 90 minutes.



Distance from fire [km] Figure S5. Normalized  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in plume cores as a function of distance from the fire [km] for

specific fires. The average e-folding distance for  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  is 40 kilometers downwind.



Figure S6. NO to NO<sub>2</sub> ratios in the center, outside the center, and the edges of western US wildfires smoke plumes. The colors of the whisker plots represent different times of the day where blue signifies samples collected before 3 PM (LT), orange signifies samples collected between 3 PM and 5 PM (LT), and green signifies samples collected after 5 PM (LT).



Figure S7. Photolysis rates of a)  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  (jNO<sub>2</sub>) and b) HONO (jHONO) in the different sections (i.e., cores, wings, edges, and background) of 146 fresh plume transects sampled during WE-CAN (see Section 2.3.1 for details). The core of the plume is defined as the section of a plume transect where  $CO > 75<sup>th</sup>$  percentile. The edges of the plume are defined as the section of a plume transect where  $25^{\text{th}} > \text{CO} > 75^{\text{th}}$ . The wings of the plume are defined as the section of a plume transect where  $5<sup>th</sup> > CO > 25<sup>th</sup>$ . Finally, background air is defined as the section of a plume transect where  $CO < 5<sup>th</sup>$ . The colors of the whisker plots represent different times of the day where blue signifies samples collected between 1 and 3 PM (LT), orange signifies samples collected between 3 and 5 PM (LT), and green signifies samples collected between 5 and 7 PM (LT).



Figure S8. Partitioning of ∑Org N (dark orange bars in Figure 3) as a function of physical age (h) in the western U.S. wildfire smoke plumes sampled in a pseudo-lagrangian fashion during WE-CAN. The different Org N species have been grouped by their carbon atom number. Molecules with  $> 10$  carbon atoms are depicted in grey. Each of the plumes included in this figure are associated with a specifically targeted fire (see Figure 1). The observations shown here correspond to sampling in the entire plume, which is defined as the portion of each plume transect where CO is above the  $5<sup>th</sup>$ percentile of that transect. To calculate the enhancement of each species, a transectspecific background mixing ratio for each individual species is subtracted.



Figure S9. Partitioning of ∑Org N (dark orange bars in Figure 4) as a function of physical age (h) in the Bear Trap Fire and Taylor Creek Fire smoke plumes sampled during WE-CAN. These smoke plumes were sampled in a pseudo-lagrangian fashion twice. Here we present the average of the plume transects from both samples binned by their corresponding physical age. A transect-specific background mixing ratio for each individual species was subtracted. The numbers above each bar signify the individual transects through the plume in each physical age bin.



Physical age [min]

Figure S10. *p*NO<sub>3</sub> to PAN ratios at the core of the plume for the Bear Trap Fire (blue) and the Taylor Creek Fires (orange) smoke plumes as a function of physical age

## Text S1

Equation 1 was used to estimate the concentration of OH.  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are toluene and benzene, respectively.  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are the rate coefficients for the association reaction with OH for toluene and benzene, respectively.

$$
ln\left(\frac{\Delta X1}{\Delta X2}\right)_t = -[OH](k_1 - k_2)t + ln\left(\frac{\Delta X1}{\Delta X2}\right)_0
$$
 (Eq. S1)



(Age of smoke) $x(k_{tol} - k_{ben})$ [cm<sup>3</sup>molecules<sup>-1</sup>]

Figure S11. Plot of Eq. S1 for the Bear Trap Fire smoke plume. Mixing ratios for toluene and benzene are at the core of the plume. The slope of the fit provides an estimate for the OH concentration at the core of the plume.



Figure S12. Plot of Eq. S1 for the Taylor Creek Fire smoke plume. Mixing ratios for toluene and benzene are at the core of the plume. The slope of the fit provides an estimate for the OH concentration at the core of the plume.



Figure S13. Partitioning of  $\sum_{v} NO_v$  within smoke-impacted and smoke-free samples within the full WE-CAN dataset. TOGA time-base samples are binned by an approximate chemical age: young  $(1 - 1)$  day of aging), medium  $(1 - 3)$  days of aging) and old  $(2 \cdot 3)$  days of aging) as described in Section 2.3.2. Samples are also binned by sampling altitude, and the average temperature of the samples in each category is provided. No background is subtracted; the columns in the left panels represent the partitioning of absolute mixing ratios (note different y-axis) and are shown as percentages in the right panels. The number above each bar represents the number of individual TOGA time segments in category, not individual plume transects. The majority of samples were collected between 3 and 5 km ASL. A smaller number of samples were collected below 3 km, due to safety and logistical constraints of operating the aircraft in low visibility conditions and high terrain elevation on where most of the fires were located. The samples that are substantially influenced by fresh urban emissions (see Section 2.3.2) are not included in this analysis. The scheme described in section 2.3.2 would not identify agriculturally influenced samples. Note that the majority of the young and medium plumes (*i.e.,* leftmost bars) coincide with the plumes presented in Figure 3.

#### Text S2

Briefly, smoke-free samples are characterized by a larger contribution from  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  to  $\Sigma_{\text{NO}_y}$  at all altitudes (~40 - 65%, decreases with altitude). Inversely, the  $p_{\text{NO}_3}$ contribution to  $\sum NO_y$  increases with altitude (consistent with the thermodynamically favorable conditions for NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> formation), but it accounts for a small fraction  $(5\%)$ of the  $\sum NO_y$  measured. NO<sub>x</sub> is between (10-30%) of the  $\sum NO_y$  measured with a larger contribution above  $3 \text{ km}$  ( $>20\%$ ). In the smoke-free WE-CAN samples, PAN and PPN contribution to the  $\sum NO_y$  increases with altitude. We note that the WE-CAN smoke-free samples are not broadly representative of the summertime western U.S. atmosphere. The average T/RH/altitude of these samples are 273 K, 20% and 4.5 km ASL respectively and were largely collected outside of urbanized areas.

Across the smoke-impacted dataset, the contribution of  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  to  $\sum NO<sub>y</sub>$  increases as the plumes age below 5 km. The relative contribution of HNO<sub>3</sub> is largest below 3 km ( $\sim$ 20 -30%). Background mixing ratios have not been subtracted from the data presented in Figure S13, thus the summary of  $NO<sub>y</sub>$  species include contributions from both smoke and other sources (*e.g.,* anthropogenic emissions and their subsequent chemistry). The relative contribution of smoke is smallest in the most dilute, and often oldest, smokeimpacted air masses. Samples below 3 km also do not carry much weight in the summary of fresh plumes presented in Figure 3. Furthermore, the volatilization of HNO3 from ammonium nitrate  $(NH_4NO_3)$  aerosol into the gas phase is more favorable under warmer temperatures (lower altitudes) (Stelson and Seinfeld, 1982; Matsumoto and Tunaka, 1996). However, there are other potential forms of inorganic and organic  $pNO<sub>3</sub>$  in these samples. There is no  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$  present in smoke above 5 km, consistent with Figure 3 and the temperature dependency of the  $NH_3$ -HNO<sub>3</sub> system that favors  $NH_4NO_3$  at lower temperatures. The measured contribution of  $pNO<sub>3</sub>$  to  $\sum NO<sub>y</sub>$  varies with altitude. Figure S13 shows that, above 3 km,  $pNO_3$  is generally of comparable abundance to  $NO_x$  in the younger smoke-impacted samples and more than twice as abundant as  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  in the old smoke-impacted samples. Below 3 km the  $pNO<sub>3</sub>$  contribution decreases as the smokeimpacted samples age and dilute. Between 3 and 5 km (middle row of Figure 5) the

contribution of  $pNO_3$  to  $\sum NO_y$  remains approximately constant (~15 - 24%) in all smoke impacted samples, while its contribution increases with more age above 5 km.