

THE EFFECTS OF THREE POST-PROCESSING DIPS ON THE SHELF LIFE OF FRESH CALICO SCALLOPS Sea Grant Depository

Technical Report 85-3

by Keith W. Gates, Jackie G. EuDaly, Amanda S. Parker, and Laura A. Pittman

> The University of Georgia Marine Extension Service P. O. Box Z Brunswick, Georgia 31523

NATIONAL SEA GRANT DEPOSITORY PELL LIBRARY BUILDING URI, NARRAGANSETT BAY CAMPUS NARRAGANSETT, RI 02882

The Technical Report Series of the Georgia Marine Science Center is issued by the Georgia Sea Grant College Program and the Marine Extension Service of the University of Georgia on Skidaway Island (P. O. Box 13687, Savannah, GA 31416). It was established to provide dissemination of technical information and progress reports resulting from marine studies and investigations mainly by staff and faculty of the University System of Georgia. In addition, it is intended for the presentation of techniques and methods, reduced data, and general information of interest to industry, local, regional, and state government and the public. Information contained in these reports is in the public domain. If this publication is cited, it should be cited as an unpublished manuscript. (Sea Grant College Program, Grant #04-8M01-175). 1985

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Mr. Thomas Brown of the Calico Seafood Company, Darien, Georgia for his cooperation and assistance and for supplying the scallops used in the study. The technical assistance of the following individuals was greatly appreciated: Ms. Kathy Bennett. Mr. Paul Christian, Ms. Sandy Gale, Mr. Mike Harris, Mr. Antoine Johnson, Mr. Jack Rivers, Mr. Tom Shierling, and Mr. Samuel Stephens.

This publication is a result of work sponsored by the University of Georgia and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, through the National Sea Grant Program (Grant #04-8M01-175). The U.S. Government is authorized to produce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation that might appear hereon.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgments	i
Abstract	· v
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	ix
List of Appendices	xi
Introduction	1
Methods	1
Results	4
Discussion	53
Conclusions	56
References	59
Appendices	61

i٧

ABSTRACT

At the request of a local scallop processor, the Marine Extension Service evaluated the effects of three post-processing dips: one percent sodium bisulfite, 100 ppm calcium hypochlorite (HTH), and 20 ppm chlorine dioxide (Odocine) on the shelf life of fresh calico scallops packed in one gallon plastic containers and held on ice. The following parameters were monitored over a 35day period: pH, ammonium, trimethylamine, total aerobic plate count, total fecal streptococci plate count, MPN total coliforms, MPN <u>E. coli</u>, and MPN coagulase positive staphylococci. Additionally, a trained sensory panel rated the samples for odor and appearance characteristics. Untreated, HTH, and Odocine samples exceeded a plate count of 500,000 organisms/g following 12 days of storage, while bisulfite samples remained below 500,000 organisms/g through 26 days of iced storage.

vi

LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	ire	Page
1.	pH levels for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops	5
2.	Mean ammonium levels for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops	6
3.	Mean trimethylamine levels for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops	9
4.	Mean aerobic plate counts for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops	12
5.	Mean fecal streptococci plate counts for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine and untreated scallops	14
6.	MPN total coliform organisms for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops	16
7.	MPN <u>E. coli</u> organisms for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops	17
8.	MPN coagulase positive staphylococci organisms for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops	18
9.	Mean briny scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	20
10.	Mean sweet scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	22
11.	Mean ammonia scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	24
12.	Mean post room scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	27
13.	Mean putrid scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	30
14.	Mean sour scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	32

Figure

Page

15.	Mean fishy scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	35
16.	Mean consumer odor scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	37
17.	Mean slimy scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	39
18.	Mean light-dark scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	42
19.	Mean firmness scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	44
20.	Mean adhesiveness scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	47
21.	Mean wetness scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	49
22,	Mean consumer appearance scores for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, untreated, and frozen control scallops	51

LIST OF TABLES

Table		
1.	Mean ammonium levels of the experimental scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	7
2.	Mean trimethylamine levels of the experimental scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	10
3.	Mean aerobic plate counts of the experimental scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	13
4.	Mean fecal streptococci plate counts of the experimental scallops. Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	15
5.	Mean briny levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	21
6.	Mean sweet levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	23
7.	Mean ammonia levels of experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	25
8.	Mean post room odor levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	28
9.	Mean putrid levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	31
10.	Mean sour levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	33
11.	Mean fishy levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	36

Tabl	<u>e</u>	Page
12.	Mean consumer rating odor levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	38
13.	Mean slimy levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	40
14.	Mean light-dark levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	43
15.	Mean firmness levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	45
16.	Mean adhesiveness levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	48
17.	Mean wetness levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	50
18.	Mean consumer appearance levels of the experimental and control scallops, Tukey's studentized range test, and pooled standard errors	52

-

-

-

-

.

-

-

-

-

-

-

.

-

.

.

-

.

LIST OF APPENDICES

Арр	endix	Page
Α.	Ammonium means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	62
Β.	TMA means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	63
c.	Aerobic plate count means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	64
D.	Fecal streptococci means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	65
Ε.	Briny means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	66
F.	Sweat means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	67
G.	Ammonia means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	68
н.	Post room means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	69
١.	Putrid means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	70
J.	Sour means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	71
К.	Fishy means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	72
L.	Consumer rating odor means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	73
Μ.	Slimy means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	74
Ν.	Light-dark means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	75
ο.	Firmness means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	76

|--|

•

<u>App</u>	endix	Page
Ρ.	Adhesiveness means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	77
Q.	Wetness means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean	78

R. Consumer rating appearance means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean 79

INTRODUCTION

The recent rapid expansion of the calico scallop (Argopecten gibbus) industry from less than five million pounds processed in 1979 to greater than 15 million pounds processed in 1981 generated an intense interest in scallops among coastal Georgia seafood companies. Four new scallop plants were established in Georgia by the summer of 1982. Georgia processors, new to the business, requested advisory assistance from the Marine Extension Service. In addition to handling, sanitation, and quality control assistance, one processor requested that three post-processing treatments be evaluated for their effects on the shelf life of fresh scallops. In the spring of 1983, a study was initiated to determine the effects of three post-processing dips on the shelf life of scallops held on ice: (1) one percent sodium bisulfite, (2) 100 ppm calcium hypochlorite (HTH, Olin Corporation), and (3) 20 ppm chlorine dioxide (Odocine, ODCO Laboratories, Inc.).

METHODS

The scallops (300-400 count) used in the study were caught off the coast of Cape Canaveral, Florida on 20 March 1983. transported aboard trucks to Darien, Georgia, and processed by a commercial mechanical shucking line on 21 March 1983. Following shucking and inspection, the scallops passed through an iced brine tank that reduced the meat temperature to 8.5°C. The scallops were drained and hand packed in one gallon (3.63 kg) plastic containers. The contents of three separate containers were each dipped into 14 liters of one of the following solutions for 30 seconds: (1) one percent sodium bisulfite (pH = 5.13). (2) 100 ppm calcium hypochlorite (HTH) (pH = 9.51), or (3) 20 ppm chlorine dioxide (Odocine) (pH = 7.92). The scallops were drained, packed in fresh plastic containers, and placed on ice. The iced containers were held in a refrigerator at 4°C for the duration of the study. The coolers containing the iced scallop containers drained continuously. Fresh ice was added as needed. An untreated control one gallon (3.63 kg) container was also placed on ice. Small subsamples of the same lot of scallops were placed in Whirl-pak bags, frozen (-23°C), and used as sensory control samples for later organoleptic evaluations.

Bisulfite, HTH, Odocine and untreated samples were evaluated chemically, microbiologically, and organoleptically at the end of 1, 2, 4, 7, 11, 14, and 16 days. Panel members deemed all but bisulfite and frozen control samples organoleptically spoiled and

unfit for additional evaluation at the end of 16 days. Bisulfite scallops continued to be sampled through 18, 21, 25, 28, 30, 32, and 35 days of storage organoleptically and through day 32 microbiologically. Frozen control samples were monitored through 30 days of storage before the supply of product was exhausted. Each sample was analyzed in duplicate for ammonium (Ward <u>et al.</u>, 1978) and trimethylamine (Chang <u>et al.</u>, 1976) concentrations. A single composite sample was used to determine pH levels. The following microbiological analyses were completed in duplicate: aerobic plate counts (FDA, 1978), and fecal streptococci (enterococci) plate counts (Speck, 1976). MPN total coliforms, MPN total <u>E. coli</u>, and MPN coagulase positive staphylococci populations were also determined.

Staff of the Marine Extension Service were presented with fresh scallop samples, some of which had been artificially aged through storage at room temperature. Over a one-week period, the participants evaluated the samples and developed modified aroma and appearance profiles to characterize the scallops. A continuous sensory scale of 0 to 5 described each aroma or appearance characteristic. A score of 0 indicated lack of detection by a panel member for a given trait, while a score of 5 indicated the strongest impression for that trait (Cardello, 1981), (Civille and Szczesniak, 1973), (Civille and Liska, 1975). Additionally, each sample was evaluated for aroma and appearance on a consumer-based scale from 0 to 5, with a score of 5 indicating the greatest level of acceptance. The following aroma characteristics were defined:

- Briny Smell: The aromatics associated with the smell of clean fresh seaweed and ocean air.
- (2) Sweet: The sweet fragrance, minus the associated aromatics of many products, such as cooked fresh fish.
- (3) Ammonia: The characteristic odor of ammonia. A sharp irritation to the nostrils.
- (4) Post Room Odor: The aroma associated with the viscera of freshly killed animals.
- (5) Putrid: The aromatics associated with decaying fish and meat products.
- (6) Sour: The aromatics associated with vinegar or lemon.
- (7) Fishy: The aromatics associated with seafood that is beginning to age, but is not yet old or spoiled.

(8) Consumer Rating: A general evaluation of the product from a consumer's viewpoint. An excellent scallop in the freshest state would rate 5.

The following appearance characteristics were defined:

- Slimy: The amount of moist sticky substance coating the individual scallops.
- (2) Light-Dark: The color of the scallop ranging from white (0) to grey (5).
- (3) Firmness: The textural appearance and tactile sensation of the scallop. Zero indicated poor shape definition and a mushy feeling to the touch. Five indicated a well-defined shape with a turgid appearance and a firm feeling to the touch.
- (4) Adhesiveness: The tendency of individual scallops to clump together and stay that way. A score of 5 indicated a cohesive mass.
- (5) Wetness: The amount of free moisture on the surface and drained from the scallops. Zero characterized a dry sample.
- (6) Consumer Rating: A general evaluation of the product from a consumer's viewpoint. An excellent scallop rated 5.

A trained six-member sensory panel evaluated each sample for aroma and appearance. Single scallop samples were presented to each panel member utilizing a single blind experimental design.

All chemical, microbiological, and organoleptic data sets containing two or more values for each dependent variable were analyzed statistically with the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) (Ray, 1982). The methods included the General Linear Regression Model utilizing an analysis of variance procedure to compare the dependent variables for each day of storage with the three experimental groups and one control group. Dependent variable means were compared for significant differences at the 0.05 level using Tukey's studentized range test (HSD) (Ray, 1982). Every treatment completed in duplicate was analyzed by a regression analysis on each dependent variable against time, for the first 16 days of the storage study. Additionally, bisulfite scallop data were analyzed for each dependent taste panel variable over 35 days of storage and over 32 days of storage for the dependent chemical and microbiological variables. A significant correlation between a dependent variable and time was considered for probability values p less than 0.05.

In the remainder of the paper, all significant differences will refer to p less than 0.05. Significant differences among means will refer to Tukey's studentized range test, and significant regressions will refer to a standard linear regression model (Ray, 1982). Means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean are listed in Appendices A through R.

RESULTS

A. pH

The pH values of the treated and untreated scallops showed few consistent differences throughout the storage period (Figure 1). The HTH, Odocine, and untreated sample pH values decreased from 6.85 to 6.63, from 6.80 to 6.64, and from 6.80 to 6.61, respectively, over 16 days of iced storage. The scallops dipped in one percent sodium bisulfite had an initial pH of 6.90, which dropped to 6.64 on the fourth day of storage, increased to 6.90 by day 13 of storage, and returned to 6.83 on day 35 of storage. Tukey's studentized range test and the regression analysis were not used to analyze the pH data.

B. Ammonium

Mean ammonium concentrations determined for HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops increased over the 16 days of monitored storage, but showed no significant differences among treatments (Figure 2, Table 1). Initial and final levels for the three treatments were 11.5-22.1 mg/100g, 10.5-18.8 mg/100g, and 11.4-18.0 mg/100g, respectively. The bisulfite sample had ammonium levels that exceeded the values determined for the other samples on all occasions. An initial mean ammonium concentration of 51.50 mg/100g increased to 180 mg/100g by day 16, 285 mg/100g by day 18, dropped to 187.5 mg/100g by day 30, and increased to 233 mg/100g by day 35 (Figure 2). Ammonium levels were significantly greater in the bisulfite samples than in the other samples on days 1, 4, 7, 11, and 14 (Table 1).

The sample treated with bisulfite exhibited a significant regression correlation ($r^2 = 0.632$) between ammonium concentration and time for the first 16 days of storage and for the total 32 days of iced storage ($r^2 = 0.607$). The HTH and Odocine samples exhibited significant ammonium correlations with time ($r^2 = 0.332$,







Figure 2. Mean ammonium levels for bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops

Ammonium mg/100g

Days of Storage	Mean	Treatment	Days of <u>Storage</u>	Mean	Treatment
1	A 51.50 B 11.50 B 11.40 B 10.45 PSE = 2.146	Bisulfite HTH Untreated Odocine	14	A 125.00 B 19.95 B 19.50 B 17.50 PSE = 1.263	Bisulfite Odocine HTH Untreated
2	No significa difference PSE = 3.744	ant e	16	No significa difference PSE = 17.505	nt
4	A 74.00 B 10 35	Bisulfite	18	_	_
	B 9.30 B 8.50	Odocine HTH	21		
	PSE = 0.284		23	—	
7	A 120.00 B 16.50	Bisulfite HTH	25	- -	
	B 16.15 B 16.00	Odocine Untreated	28		
	PSE = 6.31		30		
11	A 106.50 B 11.50	Bisulfite Untreated	32		
	B 10.15 B 9.65 PSE = 0.416	HTH Odocine	35		

Table 1. Mean ammonium levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different. $r^2 = 0.430$) for the first 16 days of the study. No significant correlation was observed for the untreated samples.

C. Trimethylamine

Mean trimethylamine (TMA) levels increased for all monitored samples over the first 16 days of storage (Figure 3), with a rapid rise in TMA levels between days 11 and 16 of storage. Mean TMA levels on days 1, 11, and 16 were: 6.98, 6.86, and 36.65 mg/100g, untreated; 9.98, 14.36, and 36.65 mg/100g, HTH; 8.75, 7.63, and 34.58 mg/100g, Odocine; and 16.57, 24.24, and 49.65 mg/100g, bisulfite. Bisulfite sample TMA levels fell to 17.44 mg/100g by day 23, but increased to 43.74 mg/100g by day 35 of storage. TMA levels in the bisulfite scallops were significantly greater than those found in the other scallops following two, four, and seven days (Table 2). At day one, the bisulfite scallops had significantly more TMA than the Odocine or untreated samples, but were not significantly different from the HTH samples. On day 14. both the bisulfite and untreated samples contained significantly greater TMA than the other scallops. All scallop samples had a significant positive correlation between TMA levels and storage time over the first 16 days of storage. The r^2 values were: 0.564, bisulfite: 0.420, HTH: 0.403, Odocine: and 0.604. untreated. TMA levels determined for the bisulfite samples correlated positively $(r^2 = 0.200)$ for 35 days of storage.

D. Aerobic Plate Count

The mean number of bacteria detected in the samples increased over the first 16 days of storage for the following samples: untreated, 2.06 x 10⁵ (log 5.31) to 7.20 x 10⁶ (log 6.86) organisms/g; HTH, 1.29 x 10⁵ (log 5.11) to 1.11 x 10⁷ (log 7.05) organisms/g; and Odocine, 1.85 x 10⁵ (log 5.27) to 1.43 x 10⁷ (log 7.16) organisms/g. During the first 16 days of storage, the bisulfite scallop aerobjc plate counts decreased from 1.84×10^{9} (10g 5.26) to 5.20 x 10^4 (10g 4.72) organisms/g (Figure 4). The aerobic plate count values continued to decrease through day 23, reaching a minimum of 1.11 x 10^4 (log 4.05) organisms/g. The plate counts then increased to a maximum value of 2.01 x 10^{\prime} (log 7.30) organisms/g by day 35. The following treated scallops exceeded FDA aerobic plate count guidelines (5.00×10^{2}) organisms/g, Cockey, 1983): HTH and Odocine samples at 11 days of storage (5.15 x 10^5 and 5.30 x 10^5 organisms/g), untreated at 14 days (2.13 x 10^6 organisms/g), and bisulfite at 28 days (3.64 x 10^b organisms/g) (Figure 4). Tukey's studentized range test detected significant differences among the treatment means on days 1, 4, 7, and 11 (Table 3). On day one, the HTH sample had a significantly lower plate count than the other scallops. The bisulfite sample population was significantly less than the





Trimethylamine mg/100g

Days of			Days of		
Storage	Mean	Treatment	Storage	Mean	Treatment
1	A 16.67 BA 9.98 B 8.75 B 6.98 PSE = 0.65	Bisulfite HTH Odocine Untreated O	14	A 21.87 A 21.28 B 10.40 B 10.28 PSE = 0.61	Bisulfite Untreated Odocine HTH 3
2	A 13.48 B 8.98 B 7.33 B 6.86	Bisulfite HTH Odocine Untreated	16	No signifi differen PSE = 1.35	cant ce 6
	PSE = 0.27	9	18		
4	A 16.55 B 9.16	Bisulfite Untreated	21	 _	
	B 8.98 B 8.22	HTH Odocine	23		
	PSE = 0.64	1	25		
7	A 17.14 B 6.08	Bisulfite HTH	28	-	
	B 5.62 B 5.32	Untreated Odocine	30		
	PSE = 0.24	6	32		
11	No signifi differen PSE = 1.87	cant Ice 12	35	_	

Table 2. Mean Trimethylamine levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE). Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different. Odocine or untreated samples by day four, less than the HTH samples on day seven, and less than all samples on day 11. For the first 16 days of storage, the bisulfite scallops showed a significant negative correlation ($r^2 = 0.587$). The increase in aerobic plate counts over time for the HTH and Odocine samples correlated significantly for 16 days of storage with $r^2 = 0.647$ and $r^2 = 0.540$. The untreated sample bacterial levels did not correlate significantly with time. Over 32 days of storage, the bisulfite samples exhibited no significant correlation between time and aerobic plate counts. Growth of microorganisms in all samples appeared to be a threshold response. A logarithmic growth phase was initiated at seven days for the HTH, Odocine, and untreated samples, and at 23 days for the bisulfite samples.

E. Fecal Streptococci Plate Counts

All sample fecal streptococci levels, except for the HTH scallops, decreased in numbers over the entire storage period. Initial and final fecal streptococci populations were: 3,800-1,470 organisms/g, bisulfite: 385-725 organisms/g, HTH; 3,800-1,542 organisms/g, Odocine; and 4,100-3,450 organisms/g, untreated (Figure 5). From day four of storage through day 14, the bisulfite samples had significantly fewer mean organisms/g than one or more of the other scallop samples (Table 4). No other consistent differences among means were noted. Bisulfite and Odocine scallop samples had significant negative correlations $(r^2 = 0.386, r^2 = 0.342)$ over the first 16 days of storage.

F. MPN Total Coliform and E. coli Organisms

Total coliform levels increased with time for all scallop samples except the bisulfite product, which registered a net decrease (Figure 6). Initial and fina) MPN total coliform levels were: 79-240 organisms/g, HTH; 240-542 organisms/g, Odocine; and 34-348 organisms/g, untreated. Initial bisulfite populations of 348 organisms/g dropped to 23 organism/g by day 16 and to less than 2 organisms/g by day 32. All samples exceeded the FDA Shellfish Guideline of less than 23 organisms/g during the storage study. All <u>E. coli</u> determinations were negative except for the untreated sample collected on day one with an MPN of 2 (Figure 7). No <u>E. coli</u> organisms are permitted in shellfish according to FDA quidelines (Cockey, 1983).

G. MPN Coagulase Positive Staphylococci

Four or less coagulase positive organisms per gram were detected from all collected samples (Figure 8). No consistent pattern was determined for the data. No sample exceeded Georgia guidelines of 100 coagulase positive staphylococci per gram.





Aerobic Plate Count Org/g

Days of			Days of		
Storage	Mean	Treatment	Storage	Mean	Treatment
1	A 2.06 \times 10 ⁵ A 1.84 \times 10 ⁵ A 1.84 \times 10 ⁵ B 1.29 \times 10 ⁵	Untreated Odocine Bisulfite HTH	14	No significant difference PSE = 8.73 x ⁻¹	t 10 ⁴
2	PSE = 4.18 x No significar	10 ³ nt	16	No significant difference PSE = 6.89 x	t 10 ⁴
	difference PSE = 6.61 x	10 ³	18		
4	A 1.62 x 10^5	Odocine Untreated	21		
	BA 1.17 \times 10 ⁵ B 6.45 \times 10 ⁴	HTH Bisulfite	23		
	PSE = 5.81 x	10 ³	25		
7	A 1.36×10^5 BA 1.24×10^5	HTH Odocine	28		
	BA 1.01 × 10 ⁵ B 7.80 × 10 ⁴	Untreated Bisulfite	30		
	$PSE = 5.12 \times$	10 ³	32		
11	A 5.30 x 10 ⁵ A 5.15 x 10 ⁵ A 3.95 x 10 ⁵ B 3.65 x 10 ⁴ PSE = 2.72 x	Odocine HTH Untreated Bisulfite 10 ⁴	35		

Table 3. Mean aerobic plate counts significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.





Fecal Streptococci Org/g

Days of Storage	Mean	Treatment	Days of Storage	Mean	Treatment
1	A 4.10 \times 10 ³ A 3.80 \times 10 ³ A 3.80 \times 10 ³ B 385 PSE = 258	Untreated Bisulfite Odocine HTN	14	A 1.43 x 10 ³ BA 1.22 x 10 ³ BA 805 B 545 PSE = 65	Odocine Untreated HTH Bisulfite
4	No significant difference PSE = 67		16	No significant difference PSE = 290	L
7	A 1.60 x 10^3 BA 1.33 x 10^3 B 1.02 x 10^3 B 825 PSE = 44	Odocine Untreated HTH	18		
			21		
			23		
11	A 1.37 x 10 ³ A 1.26 x 10 ³ A 1.22 x 10 ³ B 655 PSE = 32	HTH Untreated Odocine Bisulfite	25		-
			28		
			30		
			32		
			35		_

Table 4. Mean fecal streptococci plate counts significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.











H. Briny

The perceived briny odor of all scallop samples decreased with time. Initial and final mean values were 3.00-0.17, HTH; 3.00-0.17, Odocine; 3.00-0.17, untreated; and 3.33-1.92, frozen control. Initial bisulfite levels of 3.33 dropped to 1.67 by day 16 and 0.67 on day 35 (Figure 9). The briny aroma decreased rapidly following 11 days of storage. Briny levels on days 11, 14, and 16 were 1.83, 0.67, 0.17, HTH: 2.00, 0.67, 0.17, Odocine: and 2.50, 0.00, and 0.17, untreated. The bisulfite scallops briny score decreased rapidly from 1.67 on day 25 to 0.67 on day 35. HTH, Odocine, and untreated samples had significantly lower mean briny ratings than the frozen control or bisulfite scallops by days 14 and 16 (Table 5). The briny aroma of the bisulfite samples remained below that of the frozen control samples from day four through day 30, but was significantly less than the control samples on days 16, 18, 23, 28, and 30 (Table 5). Bisulfite, HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops had a significant negative briny correlation with time for the first 16 days of storage, $r^2 = 0.333$, $r^2 = 0.742$, $r^2 = 0.764$ and $r^2 = 0.680$. Over 35 days of storage, a significant negative correlation $(r^2 = 0.418)$ was determined for the briny aroma of the bisulfite sample.

I. Sweet

The mean levels of sweetness determined for the frozen control, HTH, and untreated samples decreased over the first 16 days of storage (Figure 10). The initial and final perceived values were 1.50-0.67, frozen control; 1.67-0.50, HTH; and 2.00-0.83, untreated. The Odocine samples returned to the initial value of 1.00 after 16 days, while the bisulfite scallops increased from 1.00 to 1.67. Over 35 days of storage the bisulfite samples decreased from 1.00 to 0.83 with a minimum value of 0.33 on day 18. Few significant differences among the means of the treatments on a daily basis were noted (Table 6). No consistent pattern was determined. Over a 16 day period, the HTH sample exhibited a significant negative correlation between sweetness and time ($r^2 = 0.193$). The bisulfite scallops decreased significantly in sweetness with respect to time over 35 days of iced storage $(r^2 = 0.142)$. No additional correlations were apparent.

J. <u>Ammonia</u>

Final perceived ammonia levels were greater than initial levels in all cases (Figure 11). Following 16 days of storage, Odocine samples and untreated samples increased from mean initial ammonia values of 0 to 1.75 and 0 to 1.83. Frozen control, HTH, and bisulfite readings were the same on day 16 as on day one, at 0,





8r	i	ny
----	---	----

Days of	Mean	Trestment	Days of Storage	Masn	Trestment
3 COL age	nean	Treacment	Scorage	nean	Teacment
1	No significant difference		16	A 2.83 B 1.67	Frozen Control Bisulfite
	PSE = 0.	154		C 0.1/ C 0.17	HIH Odocine
2	2 No significant difference PSE = 0.111			C 0.17 PSE = 0.	Untreated 789
			19		
4	A 3.33 A 3.33	HTH Odocine	10	B 1.67 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite 186
	A 3.33 BA 2.50 B 2.33	Untreated Frozen Control Bisulfite	21	No signi differ	ficant ence
	PSE = 0.	095		PSE = 0.	253
7	No signi differ PSE = 0	ficant ence 115	23	A 2.08 B 1.00 PSE = 0	Frozen Control Bisulfite
	132 - 0.			130 - 01	. 50
11	11 A 3.33 Frozen Cor BA 2.50 Untreated B 2.00 Odocine		25	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 186
	B 1.83 B 1.83 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite HTH 106	28	A 2.75 B 1.00 PSE = 0	Frozen Control Bisulfite
14	A 2.83	Frozen Control	20	ruc - 0.	202
	A 2.17 B 0.67 B 0.67	Bisuifice HTH Odocine	30	A 1.92 B 0.50 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite
	B 0.00 PSE = 0.	Untreated	32		
			35	—	

Table 5. Mean briny levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.




Sweet

Days of <u>Storage</u>	Mean	Treatment	Days of <u>Storage</u>	Mean	Treatment
1	A 2.00 BA 1.67 B 1.00 B 1.00	Untreated HTH Bisulfite Odocine	18	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant Tence 149
	PSE = 0.	105	21	No signi differ	ficant
2	No signi differ	ficant ence		PSE = 0.	.083
	PSE = 0.	110	23	No signi differ	ficant ence
4	No signi differ	ficant ence		PSE = 0.	.031
	PSE = 0.	107	25	No signi differ	ficant ence
7	No signi differ	ficant ence		PSE = 0.	.139
	PSE = 0.	099	28	No signi differ	ficant ence
11	No signi differ	ficant ence		PSE ≖ 0.	.146
	PSE = 0.	076	30	A 1.33 B 0.17	Frozen Control Bisulfite
14	No signi differ	ficant ence		PSE = 0.	134
	PSE = O.	129	32		
16	A 1.67 BA 1.00 BA 0.83 BA 0.67 B 0.50 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Odocine Untreated Frozen Control HTH 110	35		

Table 6. Mean sweet levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.





Ammonia

Days of Storage	Mean	Treatment	Days of Storage	Mean	Treatment
1	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 042	18	A 0.50 B 0.00 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 112
2	No signi differ P\$E = 0.	ficant ence 054	21	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 105
4	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 471	23	A 0.17 B 0.00 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 833
7	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 870	25	A 1.17 B 0.00 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 239
11	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant rence 06ô	23	A 1.58 B 0.33 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 215
14	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 054	30	A 1.33 8 0.33 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 200
16	A 1.33 A 1.75	Untreated Odocine	32		
	B 0.17 B 0.00 B 0.00 PSE = 0.	HTH Bisulfite Frozen Control 113	35		

Table 7. Mean ammonia levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE). Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

0.17, and 0, respectively. Bisulfite samples increased to 2.25 at the end of 35 days. Frozen control samples reached 0.33 at the end of 30 days. Following 16 days of storage, untreated and Odocine scallops had significantly greater ammonia scores than the remaining samples (Table 7). On days 18, 23, 25, 28, and 30, the bisulfite samples had significantly higher ammonia ratings than the frozen control samples.

Significant positive correlations were found for the following treatments with time over the first 16 days of storage: Odocine $(r^2 = 0.292)$, untreated $(r^2 = 0.238)$, and bisulfite $(r^2 = 0.133)$. The 35-day storage period for the bisulfite sample produced a significant positive correlation $(r^2 = 0.351)$ between perceived ammonia and time.

K. Post Room Odor

Final post room odor scores were greater than those values determined on the first day of storage for all treatments (Figure 12). Over the first 16 days of storage, all treatments except for the frozen control sample registered a net increase in mean scores. The frozen control scallops remained unchanged at 0.17. The HTH, Odocine, untreated, and bisulfite scallop scores increased over the 16-day period from: from 0.67 to 2.42, from 0.50 to 3.33, from 0.67 to 3.33, and from 0 to 0.83, respectively. Post room odor perceived from HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops increased sharply from day 11 through day 16. On days 11, 14, and 16, the following post room odor scores were determined: 0.83. 2.33, 2.42, HTH; 0.50, 2.42, 3.33, Odocine; and 0.50, 3.58, 3.33, untreated. At the end of 30 and 35 days, respectively, the bisulfite and frozen control scores were 1.50 and 0.67 post room odor units. Table 8 shows significantly lower mean post room odor for the bisulfite and frozen control samples than the other scallops on days 14 and 16. Bisulfite scallops had significantly greater post room odor levels than the frozen control samples on days 18, 23, and 28 (Table 8).

No significant correlation between time and post room odor was determined for the frozen control samples. Bisulfite scallops showed a low ($r^2 = 0.085$) but significant positive correlation with time over 35 days of storage. HTH, Odocine, and untreated post room odor correlated significantly with time over 16 days of storage: $r^2 = 0.471$, $r^2 = 0.292$, and $r^2 = 0.569$, respectively.

L. Putrid

Mean putrid levels determined by the sensory panel increased rapidly for HTH, Odocine, and untreated samples from zero through day 11 to 0.83, 1.08, and 1.83 on day 14, with final values of





Post Room Odor

Days of			Days of		
Storage	Mean	Treatment	Storage	Меап	Treatment
1	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 113	16	A 3.33 A 3.33 A 2.42 B 0.82	Odocine Untreated HTH Rightfite
2	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 089		B 0.03 B 0.17 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control 113
ц	A 1.67 BA 1.17 CB 0.83	Bisulfite HTH Odocine	18	A 1.33 B 0.17 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 134
	CB 0.83 C 0.17 PSE = 0.	Untreated Frozen Control 079	21	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 227
7	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 088	23	A 1.33 B 0.67 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 149
11	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 093	25	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 227
14	A 3.58 A 2.42 A 2.33	Untreated Odocine HTH Rightfite	28	A 1.33 B 0.67 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 149
	B 0.67 B 0.67 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control 141	30	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 186
			32		
			35		

Table 8. Mean post room odor levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different. 2.08, 1.83, and 2.83 on day 16 (Figure 13). Putrid scores remained at zero for the bisulfite samples through day 28, and increased to 0.67 by day 35. Frozen control scallops scored zero for all sample determinations. By day 14, the untreated scallops had a significantly greater putrid rating than HTH, bisulfite, or frozen control samples (Table 9). The Odocine sample level was significantly greater than the bisulfite or frozen control samples. By day 16, the untreated scallops had significantly greater mean putrid ratings than all other samples, with HTH and Odocine levels greater than those determined for bisulfite and frozen control scallops (Table 9).

Frozen control samples showed no significant correlation between putrid levels and storage time. Following 16 days of storage, HTH, Odocine, and untreated samples had significant positive putrid correlations with time: $r^2 = 0.546$, $r^2 = 0.589$, and $r^2 = 0.625$. Bisulfite samples had a low ($r^2 = 0.140$) but significant correlation between the parameter and storage time at the end of 35 days.

M. Sour

Mean sour levels for HTH, Odocine, and untreated samples remained at zero through 11 days of storage, then increased to 0.50 for all samples by day 16 (Figure 14). Bisulfite scallops reached a mean sour level of 0.17 by day 11, dropped back to zero by day 16, and reached 0.17 on days 32 and 35. Table 10 indicates no significant differences among mean sour values by treatment throughout the storage study. Significant correlations between the sour rating and time were determined over the first 16 days of storage for HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops: $r^2 = 0.163$, r^2 = 0.130, and $r^2 = 0.154$.

N. Fishy

The mean fishy odor determined by the sensory panel was erratic for all sample treatments (Figure 15). The frozen control level began at a mean of 1.17, peaked at 1.50 on days seven and 18, and ended at 0.50 on day 30. The HTH scallops began at 0.67, peaked at 1.17 by day two and ended at 0.83 on day 16. The initial fishy level for the Odocine scallops was 0.50, which increased to a maximum of 1.42 by day seven and ended on day 16 with a value of 1.33. The initial untreated sample rating of 1.00 reached 1.50 by day 11 and ended at 1.42 on day 16. The bisulfite sample began at 1.50, reached 3.17 by day 30, and returned to 1.50 by day 35. Although Table 11 shows several significant differences among means on days 11, 16, and 30, no consistent pattern was observed. A significant but low correlation





Putrid

Days of Storage	<u>!</u>	lean	Treatment	Days of <u>Storage</u>	Mean	Treatment	
1	No P :	o signi differ SE = 0.	ficant ence 000	18	No signi differ PSE = 0.1	ficant ence 000	
2	No P:	o signi differ SE = 0.	ficant ence 000	21	No signi differ PSE = 0.0	ficant ence 000	
4	No P :	o signi differ SE ≖ 0.	ficant ence 000	23	No signi differ PSE = 0.0	ficant ence 000	
7	No significant difference PSE = 0.000			25	No significant difference PSE = 0.000		
11	No P :	o signi differ SE = 0.	ficant ence 000	28	No signi differ PSE = 0.0	ficant ence 000	
14	A BA CB C C C	1.83 1.08 0.83 0.00 0.00 SE = 0.	Untreated Odocine HTH Bisulfite Frozen Control 102	30 32	No signin differ PSE = 0.0	ficant ence 083 	
16	A B C C P	2.83 2.08 1.83 0.00 0.00 SE = 0.	Untreated HTH Odocine Bisulfite Frozen Control 067	35			

Table 9. Mean putrid levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.





Sour

Days of Storage	Mean	Treatment	Days of Storage	Mean	Treatment
1	No sign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .000	18	Nosign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .000
2	No sign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .000	21	Nosign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .000
4	No sign differ PSE = 0	ificant rence .000	23	No sign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .000
7	No sign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .000	25	No sign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .000
11	Nosign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .105	28	No sign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .000
14	No sign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .093	30	No sign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .000
16	No sign diffe	ificant rence	32	=	—
	PSE = 0	.118	35		

Table 10. Mean sour levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

33

 $(r^2 = 0.165)$ was determined for the fishy ratings of the bisulfite sample during the first 16 days of storage.

0. Consumer Rating, Odor

The overall consumer rating for the frozen control sample changed very little through 32 days of storage, with initial and final values of 3.50 and 3.42 (Figure 16). The overall rating for HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops began at 3.33, 3.83, and 3.67, reached values of 3.83, 3.75, and 3.92 by day 11, and then rapidly declined to 2.33, 2.50, and 2.08 by day 14 and 1.42, 1.83, and 1.17 by day 16. Bisulfite sample ratings declined gradually from 3.83 on day one to 3.42 by day 16 and 1.88 by day 35. Table 12 shows no significant differences among treatment means until day 11 of storage. The frozen control and untreated mean consumer odor ratings were significantly greater than those determined for the bisulfite scallops. On day 14, the frozen control sample had a significantly higher rating than the Odocine, HTH, and untreated samples. The perceived quality of the bisulfite scallops was significantly greater than the untreated sample. By day 16, the frozen control and bisulfite scallops were rated significantly higher than the other samples. Frozen control samples rated better consumer odor scores than the bisulfite samples on days 18, 23, 25, 28, and 30. Significant negative correlations between the overall consumer rating and storage time for the first 16 days were obtained for HTH $(r^2 = 0.475)$, Odocine $(r^2 = 0.661)$, and untreated $(r^2 = 0.496)$ scallops. Bisulfite samples had a significant negative correlation over 35 days of storage, r^2 = 0.429.

P. Slimy

The mean slimy appearance rating for all samples increased between the initial and final values. The untreated sample fell from an initial value of 3.17 to 1.00 by day four, reached 1.92 on day 11, 2.83 on day 14, and 3.33 by day 16. The HTH and Odocine scallops increased respectively from a mean rating of 0.67 to 3.42 and from 0.83 to 3.42 by day 16 of storage (Figure 17). The most rapid increase for both samples occurred through days 11, 14, and 16. HTH and Odocine values were recorded as 1.67, 2.50, 3.42; and 1.92, 2.75, 3.42, respectively. Bisulfite samples increased from 0.83 to 2.33 by day 16 and to 3.17 by day 35. Frozen control samples increased from 1.33 to a peak sliminess of 2.17 on day 16 and a final level of 1.67 by day 30. The mean slimy rating for the bisulfite sample was significantly higher than the frozen control sample from days 21 through 30 (Table 13). Significant regression correlations were determined for all sample treatments through day 16. The following significant r^2 values were recorded for 16 days of storage: 0.581, bisulfite: 0.566, HTH; 0.576, Odocine;





Fishy

Days of			Days of		
Storage	Mean	Treatment	Storage	Mean	Treatment
1	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ificant ence 147	16	A 1.42 BA 1.33 BA 0.83	Untreated Odocine HTH Riculfite
2	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ificant ence .099		BA 0.50 B 0.17 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control
4	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ificant Tence 122	18	No signi differ PSE = 0,	rence , 201
7	A 2.50 BA 1.50 BA 1.42	Bisulfite Frozen Control Odocine	21	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ificant rence .201
	BA 1.08 B 1.00 PSE = 0.	Untreated HTH 157	23	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ificant rence .212
11	A 2.08 BA 1.50 BA 1.17 BA 0.83	Bisulfite Untreated Odocine Frozen Control	25	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ificant rence .154
14	B 0.67 PSE = 0.	HTH 138	28	No signi differ PSE = 0,	ificant rence ,150
14	differ PSE = 0	.097	30	A 3.17 B 0.50 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control .230
			32		
			35		

Table 11. Mean fishy levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.





Consumer Rating, Odor

Days of Storage	Mean	Treatment	Days of Storage	Mean	Treatment
1	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 095	16	A 3.92 A 3.42 B 1.83 B 1.42	Frozen Control Bisulfite Odocine
2	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 107		B 1.67 PSE = 0.	Untreated
4	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 091	18	A 4.00 8 3.50 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control Bisulfite 065
7	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 054	21	A 3.33 B 3.17 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control Bisulfite 149
11	A 4.00 A 3.92 BA 3.83	Frozen Control Untreated HTH	23	A 3.33 B 2.58 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control Bisulfite 133
	BA 3.75 B 3.25 PSE = 0.	Odocine Bisulfite 071	25	A 3.50 B 2.58 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control Bisulfite 164
14	A 3.92 BA 3.42 CB 2.50	Frozen Control Bisulfite Odocine	28	A 3.67 B 2.67 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control Bisulfite 175
	C 2.08 PSE = 0.	Untreated 118	30	A 3.42 B 2.58 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control Bisulfite 142
			32		
			35		

Table 12. Mean consumer rating odor levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.





S 1	in	nγ
------------	----	----

-

Days of Storage	Mean	Treatment	Days of Storage	Mean	Treatment
1	A 3.17 B 0.83 B 0.83 B 0.67	Untreated Bisulfite Odocine HTH	16	No signi diffe PSE = 0.	ificant rence 146
	PSE = 0.	.110	18	No signi diffe	ificant rence
2	No signi differ	ificant ence		PSE = 0.	.172
	PSE = 0.	.092	21	A 2.58 B 2.00	Bisulfite Frozen Contro}
4	No signi differ	ificant ence		PSE = 0.	.634
_	PSE = 0.	.131	23	A 2.17 B 1.17	Bisulfite Frozen Control
7	A 1.92 BA 1.83	HTH Frozen Control	l	PSE = 0.	.207
	BA 1.75 BA 1.42 B 0.67 PSE = 0.	Untreated Odocine Bisulfite 134	25	A 3.03 B 1.58 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 146
		-	28	A-3.08	Bisulfite
11	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ificant Tence 109		B 2.00 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control .059
14	No signi differ	ificant rence	30	A 2.92 B 1.67 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 146
	PSE = 0.	152	32		
			35		

Table 13. Mean slimy levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter not significantly different. 0.475, untreated; and 0.146, frozen control. The bisulfite samples correlated significantly with time over 35 days of storage, $r^2 = 0.622$.

Q. Light-Dark

The final mean light-dark levels for all scallops were greater than the sensory panel evaluations on day one (Figure 18). The following mean light-dark ratings were recorded for days one and 16: 2.00-2.33, bisulfite; 1.83-3.08, HTH; 1.50-2.92, Odocine; 2.00-2.92, untreated; and 2.50-3.00, frozen control. After 30 and 35 days of storage the frozen control and bisulfite ratings were 3.25 and 3.00, respectively. Table 14 indicates that the bisulfite sample was significantly lighter than the frozen control sample on days 7, 18, 21, and 25. On day 16, the HTH sample was significantly darker than the bisulfite sample. Significant positive correlations were determined for the HTH ($r^2 = 0.229$), Odocine ($r^2 = 0.298$), and untreated ($r^2 = 0.299$) samples for the first 16 days of storage. The bisulfite scallops correlated positively for 35 days of storage ($r^2 = 0.186$).

R. Firmness

Panel members perceived a decrease in mean firmness from days 1 through 16 for HTH (3.50-3.08), Odocine (3.67-2.92), and untreated (3.50-2.17) scallops (Figure 19). Bisulfite samples decreased rapidly in firmness ratings from day one (3.83) to day four (1.50), increased to 3.83 by day 16, and ended the study at 2.42 on day 35. Frozen control firmness levels decreased slightly from 2.67 to 2.50 during 30 days of storage. The mean firmness score for bisulfite scallops on day four was significantly less than for all other samples (Table 15). By day 16, the bisulfite samples were significantly firmer than the Odocine or untreated samples. Small but significant negative correlations were determined between firmness and time for all samples; $r^2 = 0.052$, bisulfite (35 days); $r^2 = 0.110$, HTH; $r^2 = 0.282$, Odocine; $r^2 = 0.335$, untreated; and $r^2 = 0.182$, frozen control.

S. Adhesiveness

Frozen control and untreated scallops exhibited a gradual increase in adhesiveness over 30 and 16 days of storage, 1.33-2.17 (frozen control) and 1.33-2.50 (untreated), (Figure 20). Initial HTH, Odocine, and bisulfite mean scores fell rapidly from day one to reach minimum values: HTH, 2.83-2.08 (day 7); Odocine, 2.83-1.83 (day 7); and bisulfite 3.83-2.00 (day 4); and then increased to 2.75 (HTH, day 16), 2.83 (Odocine, day 16), 2.83 (bisulfite, day 16) and 3.08 (bisulfite, day 35). Frozen control samples were significantly less adhesive than the other treatments throughout





Light-Dark

Days of			Days o	f	
Storage	Mean	Treatment	Storage	Mean	Treatment
1	No signi differ	ficant ence	16	A 3.08 BA 2.92	HTH Frozen Control
	PSE = 0.	115		BA 2.92 BA 2.92	Odocine Untreated
2	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 106		B 2.33 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite 070
4	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 133	18	A 3.00 B 2.25 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control Bisulfite .085
7	A 2.50 BA 2.33 BA 2.25	Frozen Control HTH Odocine	21	A 3.25 B 2.08 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control Bisulfite .095
	BA 2.17 B 1.58 PSE = 0.	Untreated Bisulfite 095	23	No signi diffe PSE = 0,	ficant rence 139
11	No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence .081	25	A 3.50 B 2.75 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control Bisulfite .125
14	No signi differ PSE = 0,	ficant Tence 1095	28	No signi diffe PSE = 0.	ificant rence .113
			30	No sign differ PSE = 0,	ificant rence 175
			32		
			35	_	

Table 14. Mean light-dark levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.





Firmness

Days of			Days of			
Storage	<u>Mean</u>	Treatment	Storage	<u>1</u>	<u>tean</u>	Treatment
1	No signi differ	ificant rence	16	A BA	3.83 3.25	Bisulfite Frozen Control
	PSE = 0.	.104		BA	3.08	НТН
				CB	2.92	Odocine
2	A 3.83	Odocine		С	2.17	Untreated
	A 3.67	Bisulfite		PS	SE = 0.	.093
	A 3.67	нтн				
	A 3.67	Untreated	18	No	o signi	ficant
	B 2.67	Frozen Control			differ	ence
	PSE = 0.	.091		PS	SE = 0.	.154
4	A 3.67	нтн	21	No	signi	ficant
	A 3.67	Odocine			differ	ence
	A 3.33	Untreated		P S	SE = 0.	134
	A 3.00	Frozen Control				
	B 1,50	Bisulfite	23	No	> signi	ficant
	PSE = 0.	.134			differ	ence
_				PS	SE = 0.	136
7	No signi	ificant				
	differ	ence	25	No	> signi	ficant
	PSE = 0.	. 102			differ	ence
				PS	5E =0.1	46
11	No signi	ficant				
	differ	rence	28	No	> signi	ficant
	PSE = 0.	,113			differ	ence
				PS	SE = 0.	115
14	No signi	ficant				_
	differ	rence	30	No	signi	ficant
					differ	ence
				PS	E = 0.	144
			32			
			35			

Table 15. Mean firmness levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

the study except for days 16, 18, and 30 (Table 16). No other significant differences were determined among treatment means. Significant positive regression correlations were determined for frozen control ($r^2 = 0.200$), HTH ($r^2 = 0.224$), Odocine ($r^2 = 0.194$), and untreated ($r^2 = 0.216$) samples for the first 16 days of storage. A very small but significant regression correlation was determined for the bisulfite samples over 35 days of storage ($r^2 = 0.082$).

T. <u>Wetness</u>

Mean wetness levels determined by panel members increased from days two through 16 for the Odocine (2.33-3.67) and untreated (2.67-4.17) samples (Figure 21). HTH samples increased from 2.67 (day 2) to a maximum wetness of 3.67 on day 11 and decreased to 3.17 by day 16. Initial and final wetness levels for the bisulfite scallops were both 2.75 with a minimum of 2.25 (day 7) and a maximum of 3.83 (day 4). The frozen control sample exhibited no consistent pattern, with an initial reading of 4.17 and a final reading of 3.50 (day 30). On day two, the frozen control sample had a significantly greater wetness rating than the other samples (Table 17). On day four, the frozen control sample wetness was significantly greater than the Odocine and untreated scallops and on day seven, greater than the Odocine and bisulfite scallops. By day 16, both the untreated and frozen control samples scored significantly higher wetness values than the bisulfite sample. Wetness levels for the frozen control samples were significantly greater than the bisulfite scallops on days 18 and 25. Significant positive wetness regression correlations were determined for the Odocine $(r^2 = 0.164)$ and untreated ($r^2 = 0.635$) samples during the first 16 days of storage.

U. Consumer Rating, Appearance

The consumer rating, by appearance, decreased from initial to final scores for all samples except the frozen control scallops: HTH, 4.17-2.50 (1-16 days); Odocine, 4.33-2.25 (1-16 days); untreated, 3.75-1.67 (1-16 days); bisulfite, 4.33-2.42 (1-35 days); and frozen control, 2.83-3.33 (1-30 days). Consumer appearance ratings decreased most rapidly on days 11, 14, and 16 for the following samples: HTH, 3.33, 3.00, 2.50; Odocine, 3.83, 2.75, 2.25; and untreated, 3.75, 2.58, 1.67 (Figure 22). By day 14, (Table 18) the Odocine and untreated consumer appearance ratings were significantly less than those determined for the frozen control sample. Following 16 days of storage, the bisulfite scallops rated significantly higher than the HTH, Odocine, or untreated samples. Significant negative correlations between storage time and appearance ratings were determined for





Adhes iveness

Days of			Days of		
Storage	Mean	Treatment	Storage	Mean	Treatment
1	A 3.83 A 2.83 A 2.83 B 1.33 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite HTH Odocine Untreated 128	14	A 2.92 A 2.92 A 2.92 BA 2.58 B 1.83	Bisulfite HTH Odocine Untreated Frozen Control
2	A 2.67 BA 2.17 BA 2.00 BA 1.83 B 1 33	Bisulfite HTH Odocine Untreated Erozen Control	16	PSE = 0. No signi differ PSE 0.09	ficant rence 1
	PSE = 0.	,092	18	No signi differ	ficant ence
4	A 2.67 BA 2.17	Odocine HTH	24	PSE = 0 .	118
	BA 2.00 BA 1.67 B 1.33 PSF = 0 .	Bisulfite Untreated Frozen Control .120	21	A 2.92 B 2.08 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control 142
7	A 2.50 A 2.42 BA 2.08	Bisulfite Untreated HTH	23	A 2.58 A 1.83 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 184
	BA 1.83 B 1.33 PSE = 0	Odocine Frozen Control .097	25	A 3.42 B 1.83 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 113
11	A 2.92 BA 2.33 BA 2.33 BA 2.17	Bisulfite HTH Untreated Odocine	28	A 2.67 B 2.00 PSE = 0.	Bisulfite Frozen Control 105
	BA 2.17 B 1.50 PSE = 0	Frozen Control .098	30	No signi diffe PSE = 0.	ificant rence .134
			32		
			35		—
Table	16 Mean	adhesiveness le	vels sig	nificantly	different at

Table 16. Mean adhesiveness levels significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.





Wetness

Days of Storage	Mean	Treatment	Days of <u>Storage</u>	Mean	Treatment
1			16	A 4.17 A 4.17	Frozen Control Untreated
2	A 4.17	Frozen Control		BA 3.67	Odocine
	B 2.75	Bisulfite		BA 3.17	нтн
	B 2.67	НТН		8 2.67	Bisulfite
	B 2.67	Untreated		PSE = 0.	111
	B 2.33	Odocine			
	PSE = 0 .	101	18	A 3.75	Frozen Control
1.		F		B 2.75	Bisulfite
4	A 4.50 Frozen Control BA 3.83 Bisulfite			P2F = 0.	177
	BA 3.50	HTH	21	No signi	ficant
	B 3.17 Odocine B 2.83 Untreated			difference	
				PSE = 0.	201
	PSE = 0.	124			.
7	a 1. 00		23	No signi	ficant
/	A 4.00	Frozen Control			ence aal
	DA 3.33	Untropted		PSE = 0.	224
	B 2.67	Odocine	25	A L 25	Erozen Control
	B 2.25	Bisulfite		8 2.83	Risulfite
	PSE = 0.	124		PSE = 0.	176
11	No significant		28	No signi	ficant
	difference			differ	ence
	PSE = 0.	121		PSE = 0.	167
14	No significant difference		30	No signi	ficant
				differ	ence
	PSE = 0.	177		PSE = 0.	187
			32		_
			35		

Table 17. Mean wetness levels significantly different at the 0.05 level, Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.





Consumer Rating, Appearance

		Days of		
Mean	Treatment	<u>Storage</u>	Mean	Treatment
No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 161	16	A 3.58 BA 2.83 CB 2.50 CB 2.25	Bisulfite Frozen Control HTH Odocine
A 4.33 A 4.17 A 4.00 BA 3.67 B 2.83 PSE = 0.	Odocine HTH Bisulfite Untreated Frozen Control 105	18	C 1.67 PSE = 0. No signi differ PSE = 0.	Untreated 091 ficant rence 118
No significant difference PSE = 0.140		21	No significant difference PSE = 0.137	
No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 068	23	A 3.33 B 2.67 PSE = 0.	Frozen Control Bisulfite 118
No signi differ PSE = 0.	ficant ence 079	25	No sign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .117
A 4.00 BA 3.58 BA 3.00 B 2.75 B 2.58 PSE = 0.1	Frozen Control Bisulfite HTH Odocine Untreated 111	28	No sign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .121
		30	No sign diffe PSE = 0	ificant rence .154
		32		_
		35		
	<u>Mean</u> No signi differ PSE = 0. A 4.33 A 4.17 A 4.00 BA 3.67 B 2.83 PSE = 0. No signi differ PSE = 0. No signi	<pre>Mean Treatment No significant difference PSE = 0.161 A 4.33 Odocine A 4.17 HTH A 4.00 Bisulfite BA 3.67 Untreated B 2.83 Frozen Control PSE = 0.105 No significant difference PSE = 0.140 No significant difference PSE = 0.068 No significant difference PSE = 0.068 No significant difference PSE = 0.079 A 4.00 Frozen Control BA 3.58 Bisulfite BA 3.00 HTH B 2.75 Odocine B 2.58 Untreated PSE = 0.111</pre>	Days of StorageMeanTreatmentStorageNo significant16differencePSE = 0.161A 4.33OdocineA 4.17HTHA 4.00BisulfiteBA 3.67UntreatedBA 3.67UntreatedPSE = 0.105No significant21differencePSE = 0.140No significant23differencePSE = 0.068No significant25PSE = 0.06825No significant25BA 3.58BisulfiteBA 3.58BisulfiteBA 3.00HTHB 2.75OdocineB 2.58UntreatedJOPSE = 0.111323235	Days of MeanMeanTreatmentStorageMeanNo significant16A 3.58 BA 2.83 PSE = 0.16116A 3.58 BA 2.83 CB 2.25A 4.33OdocineC 1.67 A 4.17A 4.00Bisulfite BA 3.67Untreated18BA 2.83Frozen Control differ PSE = 0.105No significant differ PSE = 0.14021No significant difference21No significant differ PSE = 0.14023No significant difference23A 3.33 difference B 2.67PSE = 0.068PSE = 0.000No significant differ PSE = 0.07925No significant difference PSE = 0.07928No significant differ differ PSE = 0.079A 4.00Frozen Control PSE = 0.07928No significant differ PSE = 0.32A 4.00Frozen Control Differ PSE = 0.11128No significant differ PSE = 0.32A 5.8Bisulfite Disulfite B 2.58Untreated Differ <b< td=""></b<>

Table 18. Mean consumer ratings for appearance significantly different at the 0.05 level and pooled standard error (PSE), Tukey's studentized range test. Means with the same letter are not significantly different. all but the frozen control sample: HTH, $r^2 = 0.298$; Odocine, $r^2 = 0.583$; untreated, $r^2 = 0.412$; and bisulfite, $r^2 = 0.139$ (16 days) and $r^2 = 0.514$ (35 days).

DISCUSSION

Several of the analyses and sensory panel descriptors failed to differentiate scallop quality over time or the effects of the various treatments. The following parameters fit into the above category.

The pH levels (Figure 1) of the experimental and control scallops were slightly greater than the values reported by Waters (1964) over 16 days of storage. Waters' samples increased in pH with time from 6.55 to 6.65. The HTH, Odocine, and untreated samples decreased approximately 0.2 pH units over 16 days: from 6.80 to 6.61, from 6.85 to 6.63, and from 6.80 to 6.64. The bisulfite scallops had an initial pH value of 6.90 and a final pH value of 6.83. The pH values did not serve as an effective indicator of spoilage.

Ammonium (Figure 2) levels determined for the bisulfite samples were greater than all other sample levels (significantly so on days 1, 4, 7, 11, and 14), but no correlation with quality was demonstrated (Table 1).

Fecal streptococci plate counts, MPN <u>E. coli</u> or MPN coagulase positive staphylococci results failed to define product quality or show treatment differences (Figures 5, 7, and 8). MPN total coliform levels decreased from 348 to less than 2 organisms/g over 35 days of bisulfite scallop storage. The coliform levels of other samples increased with time, and all exceeded the FDA (Cockey, 1983) guidelines of an MPN equal to or less than 23 organisms/g during the study (Figure 6).

Sensory evaluations of sweet (Figure 10), ammonia (Figure 11), sour (Figure 14), and fishy (Figure 15) odors failed to differentiate product quality or treatments (Tables 6, 7, 10, and 11). The appearance characteristics of slimy (Figure 18), light-dark (Figure 19), adhesiveness (Figure 21), and wetness (Figure 22) did not prove useful (Tables 13, 14, 16, and 17). Although firmness (Figure 26) did not distinguish treatment or product quality following the iced storage of scallops, the significantly lower rating on day four for the bisulfite sample indicated a possible marketing problem (Table 15). The initial firmness rating fell from 3.67 to 1.50 by day four, but returned to 3.50 by day seven. Of the 22 monitored chemical, microbiological, and organoleptic parameters, only seven proved useful in differentiating the quality of scallops during the storage study: aerobic plate count, TMA, briny odor, post room odor, putrid odor, consumer odor rating, and the appearance rating.

Using FDA's 500,000 (log 5.70) organisms/g guideline (Cockey, 1983) as a quality cut-off standard for shellfish necessitates a maximum shelf life of 11 days for the HTH and Odocine samples, 12 days for the untreated sample, and 25 days for the bisulfite sample (Figure 4). Bacterial growth moved into a logarithmic phase by day 11 for the HTH, Odocine, and untreated samples, and by day 25 for the bisulfite samples.

The TMA data for the HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops paralleled the aerobic plate count results, with a rapid increase in levels from day 11 to day 16: HTH, from 6.86 to 36.65 mg/100g; Odocine, from 14.36 to 36.65 mg/100g; and untreated, from 7.63 to 34.58 mg/100g (Figure 3). The bisulfite samples exhibited two TMA peaks, one at 16 days (49.65 mg/100g) that decreased to 17.44 mg/100g TMA on day 23, and increased to 43.74 mg/100g by day 35. The second peak reflected bacterial growth; the first did not. The results indicated enzymatic release of TMA followed by bacterial production (Martin, et al., 1982). The TMA level determined for the bisulfite samples exceeded the levels determined for all other samples on all sample days and was significantly greater (Table 2) than the other samples on days two, four, and seven. Significant positive correlations existed for TMA and storage time for HTH ($r^2 = 0.420$), Odocine ($r^2 =$ 0.403), and untreated $(r^2 = 0.604)$ samples over 16 days of storage. Positive significant correlation coefficients were determined for bisulfite samples through days 16 ($r^2 = 0.564$) and $35 (r^2 = 0.200).$

The sensory results from the briny odor determination were similar to the TMA and plate count data. HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallop briny scores decreased rapidly through days 11, 14, and 16. Mean values were respectively: 1.83, 0.67, 0.17, HTH; 2.00, 0.67, 0.17, Odocine; and 2.50, 0.00, 0.17, untreated. HTH, Odocine, and untreated briny levels were significantly less than the bisulfite and frozen control sample ratings on days 14 and 16 (Table 5). The bisulfite sample briny ratings decreased rapidly from day 25 (1.67) to day 35 (0.67) and were significantly less than those for the frozen control samples on days 18, 23, 28, and 30 (Table 3). Significant negative correlations were determined for HTH ($r^2 = 0.742$), Odocine ($r^2 = 0.764$), untreated ($r^2 = 0.680$), and bisulfite ($r^2 = 0.418$) samples over the storage period. The rapid decline in HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallop quality between days 11 and 16 was mirrored by the increase in post room odor scores. On days 11, 15, and 16, the odor scores were as follows: 0.83, 2.33, 2.42, HTH; 0.50, 2.42, 3.33, Odocine; and 0.50, 3.58, 3.33, untreated (Figure 12). Bisulfite and frozen control samples had significantly lower post room odor ratings than the preceding samples (Table 8). Significant positive regression correlations were obtained for HTH ($r^2 =$ 0.471), Odocine ($r^2 = 0.292$), and untreated ($r^2 = 0.569$) samples for the first 16 days of storage. The bisulfite samples scores increased over 35 days of storage, from 0.00 to 1.50. No quality break was discovered; however, the odor levels of the bisulfite scallops were significantly greater than those of the frozen control samples on days 4, 18, 23, and 28 (Table 8).

The putrid levels determined for all samples displayed a threshold response on day 14 for HTH, Odocine, and untreated samples and on day 30 for the bisulfite treated samples (Figure 13). For days 11, 14, and 16, the following responses were recorded: 0.00, 0.83, 2.08, HTH; 0.00, 1.08, 1.83, Odocine; and 0.00, 1.83, 2.83, untreated. On day 14, the Odocine and untreated sample odor levels were significantly greater than the bisulfite or untreated scallops (Table 9). On day 16, the bisulfite and frozen control samples had significantly lower scores than the other samples (Table 9). Putrid regression correlations were significant over 16 days for HTH ($r^2 = 0.546$), Odocine ($r^2 = 0.589$) and untreated ($r^2 = 0.625$) scallops. Bisulfite sample putrid odor ratings increased from 0.00 on day 28 to 0.67 by day 35.

The consumer aroma rating declined rapidly from day 11 through day 16 for the HTH, Odocine, and untreated samples (Figure 16). The aroma ratings on days 11, 14, and 16 were as follows: 3.83, 2.33, 1.42, HTH; 3.75, 2.50, 1.83, Odocine; and 3.92, 2.08, 1.17, untreated. On day 14, the preceding samples had significantly lower consumer odor ratings than the frozen control samples and by day 16, significantly lower ratings than the frozen control and bisuifite samples (Table 12). Significant regression correlations were determined over 16 days of storage for HTH ($r^2 =$ 0.475), Odocine ($r^2 = 0.661$), and untreated ($r^2 = 0.496$) scallops. The bisulfite sample scores declined over 35 days of storage with no sharp quality break: day 1 = 3.83, day 16 = 3.42, and day 35 = 1.88. The samples had significantly lower ratings than the frozen control scallops on days 23 through 30. A significant negative regression correlation was determined for 35 days of storage ($r^2 =$ 0.429).

The consumer appearance rating exhibited the steepest decline for HTH, Odocine, and untreated samples between 11 and 16 days of storage (Figure 23). On days 11, 14, and 16, the following scores were recorded: 3.33, 3.00, 2.50, HTH; 3.83, 2.75, 2.25, Odocine; and 3.75, 2.58, 1.67, untreated. By day 16, the preceding sample ratings were significantly less than the bisulfite scallops (Table 18). Significant negative regression correlations were determined over 16 days of storage for the HTH ($r^2 = 0.298$), Odocine ($r^2 = 0.583$), and untreated samples ($r^2 = 0.412$). Bisulfite samples decreased gradually with storage time from 4.33 (day 1) to 2.42 (day 35) and had a significant negative regression correlation over the 35-day period ($r^2 = 0.514$).

CONCLUSIONS

The treatment of fresh calico scallops (<u>Argopecten gibbus</u>) with three post-processing dips - one percent sodium bisulfite, 100 ppm calcium hypochlorite (HTH), and 20 ppm chlorine dioxide (Odocine) - resulted in usable iced shelf lives of 25 days, 11 days, and 11 days, respectively. The experimental results compared with a 12-day shelf life for untreated scallops. Shelf life was arbitrarily defined as the time required for a sample to exceed an aerobic plate count of 500,000 organisms/g, FDA's shellfish guideline (Cockey, 1983).

Of the monitored chemical parameters, trimethylamine (TMA) levels proved to be a good quality indicator for HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops but not for bisulfite scallops. TMA levels rose rapidly following 11 days of storage for all samples. The bisulfite scallops exhibited two TMA peaks - one at 16 days and one at 35 days. The first peak probably represented enzymatic release of TMA, and the second, bacterial release (Martin <u>et al.</u>, 1982). Although TMA levels were greater in the bisulfite scallops than in the other samples, no sensory data correlated with the high TMA levels. Maximum TMA levels for all samples compared with the concentrations (greater than 50 mg/100g) determined by Waters (1964) for untreated scallops.

Four of the odor characteristics monitored by the sensory panel proved to be good indicators of scallop quality when compared to aerobic plate counts. Briny, post room, putrid, and consumer odor ratings each expressed the rapid quality deterioration experienced by HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallop samples between 11 and 16 days of storage. Significant differences between the above samples and frozen control and/or bisulfite scallops were determined for each odor characteristic. Briny was the only odor characteristic inversely related to rapid bacterial growth exhibited by the bisulfite scallops following 25 days of storage. Briny levels were significantly less than those determined for frozen control samples monitored at the same time.

Consumer appearance rating was the only visual characteristic that detected quality deterioration in the HTH, Odocine, and untreated scallops by 16 days of storage. The ratings were significantly less than those determined for the bisulfite sample. The characteristic did not successfully determine quality deterioration in the bisulfite sample.

The treatment of calico scallops with a one percent sodium bisulfite dip for 30 seconds extended the product shelf life from 12 to 25 days. The scallops remained microbiologically and organoleptically acceptable for that period.

The bisulfite treatment provides an effective method to extend the shelf life of scallops that are not expected to reach the market within 10 to 14 days. However, the treatment did cause a significant decrease in firmness by the fourth day of storage. Firmness ratings returned to normal by the seventh day. The treatment should not be used on scallops that will be marketed within one week. Although bisulfite is listed as GRAS by FDA (CRF, 1983), residual bisulfite levels should be determined before the treatment is adopted by the scallop industry. The current maximum FDA residual level is 100 ppm (Federal Register, 1985A). A labeling requirement for bisulfite levels exceeding 10 ppm is proposed (Federal Register, 1985B).
REFERENCES

- Cardello, A. 1981. Psychophysical basis for the classification of fish by flavor, texture, and appearance. Gordon Research Conference on the Chemical Sciences. Andover, NH.
- Chang, G. W., W. L. Chang, and K. B. K. Lew. 1976. Trimethylamine specific ion electrode for fish quality control. J. Food Sci.41: 723-724.
- Civille, G. V. and A. S. Szczesniak. 1973. Guidelines to training a texture profile panel. J. of Textural Studies. 4: 204-223.
- Civille, G. V. and T. H. Liska. 1975. Modifications and applications to food of the General Foods sensory texture profile technique. J. of Textural Studies. 6: 19-31.
- Cockey, R. R. 1983. Bacteriological standards for fresh shellfish and crabmeat for selected states and Canada. Cooperative Shellfish Aquaculture and Technology Laboratory. Crisfield, MD.
- Code of Federal Regulations. 1983. Food and Drugs (21) Parts 170 to 199. Part 182 Substances generally recognized as safe. pp. 359-384. U. S. Government Printing Office. Washington, DC.
- Federal Register. 1985A Notice to Shippers, Distributors, Packers, and Importers of Shrimp Containing Sulfites. Vol. 50. No. 15. pp. 2957-2958.
- Federal Register. 1985B. Food Labeling: Proposed Rule Concerning Sulfiting Agents. Vol. 50. No. 64. pp. 13,306-13,307.
- Food and Drug Administration. 1978. Bacteriological Analytical Manual. pp. IV-1 to IV-10, V-1 to V-6, XI-1 to XI-7. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, DC.
- Martin, R. E., G. J. Flick, C. E. Hebard, and D. R. Ward. [Ed.], 1982. Chemistry and Biochemistry of Marine Food Products. AVI Publishing Co., Inc. Westport, CT.
- Ray, A. A. [Ed.], 1982. SAS User's Guide: Statistics. SAS Institute, Inc. Cary, NC.

- Speck, M. L. [Ed.], 1976. Compendium of Methods for the Microbiological Examination of Foods. American Public Health Association. Washington, DC.
- Ward, D. R., R. Nickelson II, and G. Finne. 1978. Preliminary report on the use of the specific ion electrode (ammonia) in determining the quality of shrimp. Proceedings of the Third Annual Tropical and Subtropical Fisheries Technological Conference of the Americas. pp. 83-88.
- Waters, M. E. 1984. Comparison of chemical and sensory tests for assessing storage life of iced scallops (<u>Pectin gibbus</u>). Fishery Industrial Research, Vol 2(3): 5-10.

APPENDICES

Days of Storage	Treatment	ZI	Mean	Std. Error of Mean	Days of Storage	Treatment	Z	Mean	Std. Error of Mean
-	Untreated	2 12	11.40	0.60	14	Untreated	2 0	17.50	0.50
	Bisuirite Odeeine	∽ ∩	51.50	0.70		bisuitite Odociaci	7	10.01	
	HTH	2 2	11.50	1.00		HTH	2 2	19.50	0.50
2	Untreated	7	29.55	14.95	16	Untreated	2	18.05	0.05
	Bisulfite	7	30.10	0.10		Bisulfite	7	180.00	70.00
	Odocine	2	15.60	0.90		Odocine	2	18.75	1.75
	нтн	7	15.10	0.10		нтн	7	22.15	0.05
4	Untreated	2	10.35	0.05	18	Bisulfite	2	280.00	40.00
	Bisulfite	2	74.00	1.00					
	Odocine	2	9.30	0.20	21	Bisulfite	2	195.00	0.00
	нтн	7	8.50	0.50					
					23	Bisulfite	2	260.00	20.00
7	Untreated	2	16.00	0.00					
	Bisulfite	2	120.00	10.00	25	Bisulfite	2	242.50	17.50
	Odoc i ne	2	16.15	0.85					
	нтн	2	16.50	0.50	28	Bisulfite	7	210.00	20.00
11	Untreated	2	11.50	0.50	30	Bisulfite	2	187.50	17.50
	Bisulfite	2	106.50	1.50					
	Odocine	2	9.65	0.25	32	Bisulfite	2	206.00	16.00
	нтн	2	10.15	0.45					
					35	Bisulfite	7	232.50	12.50

Ammonium, mg/100g

Appendix A. Ammonium means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

1009
/gm
amine,
Trimethy }

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	zi	Mean	of Mean	<u>Storage</u>	Treatment	Zį	Mean	of Mean
	Untreated	2	6.97	0.35	14	Untreated	2	21.27	2.37
	Bisulfite	2	16.67	2.48		Bisulfite	2	21.87	0.59
	Odocine	2	8.75	0.47		Odocine	Ы	10.40	0.23
	нтн	7	9.98	0.52		нТн	7	10.29	0.11
2	Untreated	2	6.85	0.11	16	Untreated	7	36.65	1.17
	Bisulfite	7	13.48	0.71		Bisulfite	2	49.65	4.73
	0docine	2	7.33	0.71		0docine	7	34.57	0.29
	НТН	7	8.99	0.47		нтн	7	36.65	2.37
- 1 -	Untreated	7	9.17	0.89	18	Bisulfite	7	28.67	0.29
	Bisulfite	7	16.55	2.36					
	0docine	7	8.22	0.06	21	Bisulfite	7	20.56	0.48
	нтн	2	8.99	0.47				I	
					23	Bisulfite	7	17.44	2.66
7	Untreated	7	5.61	0.29					
	Bisulfite	2	17.14	0.59	25	Bisulfite	7	24.83	1.19
	0docine	2	5.32	0.71				•	•
	нтн	7	60.9	0.17	28	Bisulfite	2	31.33	0.59
Ξ	Untreated	7	6.85	0.59	30	Bisulfite	7	33.10	1.18
	Bisulfite	7	24.23	5.91					
	Odocine (2	7.63	0.05	32	Bisulfite	2	24.83	0.59
	нтн	2	14.36	4.55				•	
					35	Bisulfite	7	43.64	0.49

Appendix B. TMA means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

organisms/g
Counts,
Plate
Aerobic

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	zI	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	zl	Mean	of Mean
-	Untreated Bisulfite	2 2	2.06×10^{5} 1.84×10^{5}	8.00×10^3 1.10 × 10 ⁴	14	Untreated Bisulfite	2 5	6.35×10^{5} 3.95×10^{4}	3.25×10^5 1.50×10^3
	Odoc i ne	2	1.85×10^{5}	9.50 × 103		Odoc i ne	5	7.05×10^{5}	3.50 × 10 ⁴
	НТН	2	1.29 × 10	2.00 × 10 ²		нтн	2	5.30 × 10 ²	1.20 × 10 ²
2	Untreated	2	1.54×10^{2}	2.50×10^{4}	16	Untreated	2	2.00×10^{5}	1.00×10^{5}
	Bisulfite	2	1.09×10^{2}	8.00×10^{3}		Bisulfite	7	5.20×10^{4}	3.00×10^{2}
	0docine	N	1.63×10^{2}	3.50×10^{3}		Odocine	2	3.50 × 102	5.00×10^{4}
	НТН	2	1.61 × 10 ²	500		НТН	2	5.50 × 10 ⁵	2.50 × 10 ⁵
4	Untreated	7	1.33×10^{5}	7.50×10^{3}	18	Bisulfite	7	4.25×10^{4}	2.50×10^{3}
	Bisulfite	Ч	6.45×10^{4}	1.45×10^{4}				-	I
	0docine	2	1.62×10^{2}	1.10×10^{4}	21	Bisulfite	2	2.04×10^{4}	400
	нтн	2	1.17 × 10 ⁻¹	1.20×10^{4}					
٢	llnt reated	~	1.01 × 105	1 30 × 10 ⁴	23	ßisulfite	2	1.11 × 10 ⁴	20
•	Bisulfite	5	7.80×10^{4}	2.00×10^{3}	25	Bisulfite	2	6.19 × 10 ⁴	3.61×10^{4}
	Odocine	2	1.24×10^{2}	1.40×10^{4}					1
	НТН	7	1.37 × 10 ⁵	6.50 × 10 ⁵	28	Bisulfite	3	1.40 × 10 ⁵	1.20 × 10 ⁵
Ξ	Untreated	2 0	3.95×10^{5}	5.50×10^{4}	30	Bisulfite	7	2.50 × 10 ⁵	2.50 × 10 ⁵
	Odocine	7 1 1	5.30 × 105	4-00 × 104	32	Bisulfite	7	6.00 × 10 ⁵	1.00 × 10 ⁵
		4	201 X 61.4	01 × n<•0	35	Bisulfite	7	ł	ł

Appendix C. Aerobic plate count means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

organisms/g
Streptococci,
Fecal

Days of				Std. Error					Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	ZI	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	Zİ	Mean	of Mean
⊷	Untreated	2	4100	500	14	Untreated	9	1230	165
	Bisulfite	2	3800	006		Bisulfite	9	545	25
	Odocine	7	3800	0		0docine	9	1430	180
	НТН	7	385	35		НТН	9	805	85
7	Untreated	7	1370	140	16	Untreated	9	3450	1150
	Bisulfite	7	1240	140		Bisulfite	9	535	65
	Odoc i ne	7	1940	80		Odocine	9	1550	115
	нтн	7	1590	165		НТН	9	725	45
4	Untreated	2	2010	170	18	Bîsulfite	2	1290	15
	Bisulfite	7	770	140					I
	Odocine	7	1350	95	21	Bisulfite	7	885	55
	HTH	2	1010	4-D				•	
					23	Bisulfite	2	805	115
7	Untreated	7	1330	10					
	Bisulfite	2	825	85	25	Bisulfite	7	990	30
	0docine	Ы	1590	115					
	НТН	2	1010	105	28	Bisulfite	2	995	5
11	Untreated	2	1260	20	30	Bisulfite	2	756	115
	Bisulfite	7	655	25				•	·
	Odocine	2	1210	125	32	Bisulfite	2	1470	260
	НТН	2	1370	10					
					35	Bisulfite	7	ł	ł

Appendix D. Fecal streptococci means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std Freer
Storage	Treatment	zi	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	Zİ	Mean	of Mean
-	Untreated	9	3.00	0.37	14	Untreated	Ś	00.0	00 0
	Bisulfite	9	3.33	0.21		Bisulfite	ی د	2000	20 - 0
	Odocine	9	3.00	0.37		Odocine	<u>م</u> د	24	0.22
	HTH	9	3.00	0.26		HTH	ۍ د	0 2 2 2 0	
	Frozen Control	I		ł		Erayan Control	2	à	
7	Untreated	9	2.83	0.17	16	LINE COLLON		2 2 2 7 7	1
	Bisulfite) (2		0 22	2	הורו בפרפת	• ۵	<u></u>	U.1/
		2				BISUITICE	<u>م</u>	1.67	0.21
		۰ م	2· · · /	0.1/		0docine	ە	0.17	0.17
	HIH	و	2.83	0.31		HTH	9	0.17	0.17
-	Frozen Control	9	3.33	0.21		Frozen Control	9	2.83	0.17
4	Untreated	و	ы. З. 33	0.21	18	Bisulfite		1 67	0 23
	Bisulfite	9	2.33	0.21		Frozen Control	ۍ د	20.0	
	0docine	9	3.33	0.21	21	Rieulfite	2 4	201	
	НТН	<u>ب</u>	1 m 1 m	1 2 1	-	Crosse Creteria	0.	000	₹• 0
	Frozen Control	2	• • •		1	rrozen Lontrol	۰ ם	00.2	0.22
٢		٥.	7.50	0, 22	23	Bisulfite	9	1.00	0.26
•	untreated	ہ م	2.17	0.31		Frozen Control	9	2.08	0.08
	bisuitite	ہ م	2.83	0.31	25	Bisulfite	9	1.67	0.21
	Udocine	۰ ی	3.08	0.27		Frozen Control	9	2.17	0.31
	HTH -	۰	2.83	0.17	28	Bisulfite	9	1.00	0.37
	Frozen Control	۰	3.42	0.20		Frozen Control	9	2.75	0.17
=	Untreated	٥	2.50	0.22	30	Bisulfite	.	0.50	0 24
	Bisulfite	9	1.83	0.31	1	Frozen Control	¢ د	, c	
	0docine	ە	2.00	0.26	32	Bisulfite) (
	НТН	9	1.83	0.17		Riculfita	2		
	Frozen Control	9	3.33	0.21	1		2	10.0	در۷

Appendix E. Briny means, number of samples and standard errors of the mean.

Briny

Davs of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	z!	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	z!	Mean	of Mean
-	Untreated	9	2.00	0.00	14	Untreated	9	1.00	0.37
	Bisulfite	ە	1.00	0.26		Bisulfite	و	1.42	0.27
	Odocine 0	ور	1.00	0.26		0docine	و	1.50	0.22
	HTH	9	1.67	0.21		HTH	9	1.33	0.21
	Frozen Control	1		1		Frozen Control	9	1.50	0.34
2	Untreated	9	1.00	0.26	16	Untreated	9	0.83	0.31
	Bisulfite	و	1.33	0.21		Bisulfite	و	1.67	0.21
	Odocine	ە	1.67	0.17		Odocine	9	1.00	0.26
	нтн	و،	1.67	0.21		нтн	و	0.50	0.22
	Frozen Control	9	1.50	0.34		Frozen Control	9	0.67	0.21
-1	Untreated	9	1.17	0.17	18	Bisulfite	9	0.33	0.21
	Bisulfite	9	1.17	0 + 0		Frozen Control	ور	0.67	0.21
	Odocine	9	1.17	0.17	21	Bisulfite	9	1.00	0.00
	НТН	9	1.33	0.21		Frozen Control	9	0.83	0.17
	Frozen control	9	1.17	0.17	23	Bisulfite	9	0.50	0.22
7	Untreated	9	1.00	0.26		Frozen Control	9	1.08	0.27
	Bisulfite	9	0.83	0.17	25	Bisulfite	9	0.17	0.17
	0docine	9	1.17	0.17		Frozen Control	9	0.50	0.22
	HTH	9	0.83	0.17	28	Bisulfite	9	0.67	0.21
	Frozen Control	9	1.17	0.31		Frozen Control	9	0.92	0.20
11	Untreated	9	1.08	0.27	30	Bisulfite	و	0.17	0.17
	Bisulfite	9	1.17	0.17		Frozen Control	9	1.33	0.21
	Odocine	ও	1.08	0.08	32	Bisulfite	9	0.83	0.17
	HTH	9	1.08	0.08	35	Bisulfite	ور	0.83	0.17
	Frozen Control	9	0.83	0.17					

Appendix F. Sweet means, number of samples and standard errors of the mean.

Sweet

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	ZJ	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	Z	Mean	of Mean
***	Untreated	৩	0.00	0.00	14	Untreated	9	00.00	0.00
	Bisulfite	ە	0.00	0.00		Bisulfite	9	0.00	0.00
	Odocine	9	0.00	0.00		Odocine	و	0.17	0.17
	HTH	ە	0.17	0.17		НТН	Q	0.33	0.21
	Frozen Control	I	ł	1		Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00
7	Untreated	9	1.67	0.17	16	Untreated	9	1.83	0.31
	Bisulfite	ە	0.33	0.21		Bisulfite	\$	0.00	0.00
	Odocine	ە	0.00	0.00		Odocine	و	1.75	0.44
	НТН	9	0.00	0.00		нтн	9	0.17	0.17
	Frozen Control	9	00.00	0.00		Frozen Control	و	0.00	0.00
4	Untreated	ە	0.00	0.00	18	Bisulfite	9	0.50	0.22
	Bisulfite	9	0.17	0.17		Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00
	0docine	9	0.00	0.00	21	Bisulfite	9	0.33	0.21
	НТН	9	0.17	0.17		Frozen Control	9	00.0	0.00
	Frozen control	9	0.00	0.00	23	Bisulfite	9	0.17	0.17
7	Untreated	Ś	0.33	0.21		Frozen Control	و	0.0	0.00
	Bisulfite	Ś	0.17	0.17	25	Bisulfite	9	1.17	0.48
	Odocine	9	0.33	0.21		Frozen Control	و	0.00	0.00
	HTH	9	0.33	0.21	28	Bisulfite	و	1.58	0.37
	Frozen Control	ð	0.17	0.17		Frozen Control	9	0.33	0.21
-	Untreated	9	0.17	0.17	30	Bisulfite	و	1.33	0.33
	Bisulfite	৩	0.00	0.00		Frozen Control	9	0.33	0.21
	Odocine	9	0.33	0.21	32	Bisulfite	9	0.83	0.31
	HTH	Ś	0.33	0.21	35	Bisulfite	9	2.25	0.25
	Frozen Control	Q	0.00	0.00					

Ammonia

Appendix G. Ammonia means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

Days of	Troatmont	3	ac o M	Std. Error of Moon	Days of	Treatmont	2		Std. Error of Mean
	ורכסרווכור	2					z		
	Untreated	ور	0.67	0.21	14	Untreated	9	3.58	0.20
	Bisulfite	9	00.0	0.00		Bisulfite	9	3.83	0.31
	Odocine	Ŷ	0.50	0.22		Odocine	9	2.42	0.27
	HTH	9	0.67	0.33		HTH	9	2.33	0.42
	Frozen Control	I	ļ			Frozen Control	و	0.67	0.33
7	Untreated	9	0.50	0.22	16	Untreated	9	3.33	0.31
	Bisulfite	9	0.50	0.22		Bisulfite	৩	0.83	0.17
	Odocine	9	0.17	0.17		Odoc i ne	9	3.33	0.31
	НТН	9	0.33	0.21		HTH	9	2.42	0.27
	Frozen Control	م	0.17	0.17		Frozen Control	م	0.17	0.17
4	Untreated	9	0.83	0.17	18	Bisulfite	9	1.33	0.21
	Bisulfite	9	1.67	0.21		Frozen Control	9	0.17	0.17
	Odocine	و	0.83	0.17	21	Bisulfite	و	1.17	0.40
	HTH	9	1.17	0.17		Frozen Control	9	0.33	0.21
	Frozen control	9	0.17	0.17	23	Bisulfite	ور	1.33	0.21
~	Untreated	و	0.50	0.22		Frozen Control	و	0.67	0.21
	Bisulfite	9	0.17	0.17	25	Bisulfite	Q	0.83	0.40
	Odocine	9	0.17	0.17		Frozen Control	9	0.75	0.36
	HTH	9	0.67	0.21	28	Bisulfite	ა	1.33	0.21
	Frozen Control	ە	0.33	0.21		Frozen Control	9	0.67	0.21
11	Untreated	و	0.50	0.22	30	Bisulfite	9	0.83	1.17
	Bisulfite	Ś	0.33	0.21		Frozen Control	و	0.67	0.33
	Odocine	و	0.50	0.22	32	Bisulfite	9	1.42	0.33
	HTH	9	0.83	0.17	35	Bisulfite	Ŷ	1.50	0.22
	Frozen Control	9	0.67	0.21					

Post Room Odor

Appendix H. Post room means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	ZI	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Ireatment	zl	Mean	of Mean
-	Untreated	9	00.00	0.00	14	Untreated	9	1.83	0.31
	Bisulfite	9	0.00	0.00		Bisulfite	ف	0.00	00.0
	Odocine	9	0.00	0.00		Odocine	9	1.08	0.27
	HTH	و	0.00	0.00		НТН	৩	0.83	0.31
	Frozen Control	ł	ł	ł		Frozen Control	9	0.00	00.0
7	Untreated	9	0.00	0.00	16	Untreated	و ا	2.83	0.28
	Bisulfite	و	0.00	0.00		Bisulfite	9	00.0	0.00
	Odoc i ne	و	0.0	0.00		Odocine	9	1.83	0.17
	НТН	و	0.00	0.00		HTH	ം	2.08	0.08
	Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00		Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00
4	Untreated	و	0.00	0.00	18	Bisulfite	9	00.0	0.00
	Bisulfite	¢	00.0	0.00		Frozen Control	9	0.00	0,00
	Odocine	9	0.00	0.00	21	Bisulfite	9	0.00	0.00
	HTH	Q	0.00	0.00		Frozen Control	9	00.00	0.00
	Frozen control	9	00.00	0.00	23	Bisulfite	9	0.00	0,00
7	Untreated	و	0.00	00.0		Frozen Control	9	00.00	0.00
	Bisulfite	و	00.00	0.00	25	Bisulfite	9	0.00	0.00
	Odocine	9	0.00	0.00		Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00
	НТН	9	0.00	0.00	28	Bisulfite	9	0.00	00.00
	Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00		Frozen Control	و	0.00	00.00
=	Untreated	৩	0.00	00.0	ŝ	Bisulfite	\$	0.17	0.17
	Bisulfite	Q	0.0	0.00		Frozen Control	و	0.00	0.00
	Odocine	و	0.00	0.00	32	Bisulfite	9	0.33	0.33
	нтн	9	0.00	0.00	35	Bisulfite	9	0.67	0.21
	Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00	6 L		F		

Appendix I. Putrid means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

Putrid

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	ZI	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	z,	Mean	of Mean
-	Untreated	9	0.00	0.00	14	Untreated	9	0.50	0.34
	Bisulfite	و	0.00	0.00		Bisulfite	9	0.17	0.17
	Odocine	9	0.00	0.00		Odoc i ne	و	0.17	0.17
	HTH	9	0.00	0.00		нтн	9	0.33	0.21
	Frozen Control	I	١	ł		Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00
2	Untreated	9	0.00	0.00	16	Untreated	و	0.50	0.34
	Bisulfite	9	0.00	0.00		Bisulfite	9	00.00	0.00
	Odocine	9	0.0	0.00		Odocine	و	0.50	0.34
	HTH	9	0.00	0.00		HTH	9	0.50	0.34
	Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00		Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00
4	Untreated	9	0.00	0.00	18	Bisulfite	9	0.00	0.00
	Bisulfite	9	0.00	0.00		Frozen Control	Ŷ	0.00	0.00
	Odocine	9	0.00	0.00	21	Bisulfite	9	00.00	00.00
	НТН	9	0.00	0.00		Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00
	Frozen control	9	00.0	0.00	23	Bisulfite	و	00.00	0.00
2	Untreated	9	0.0	0.00		Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00
	Bisulfite	9	0.00	0.00	25	Bisulfite	9	0.00	0.00
	Odocine	9	0.00	0.00		Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00
	HTH	9	00.0	0.00	28	Bisulfite	9	0.00	0.00
	Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00		Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00
11	Untreated	Ŷ	0.00	0.00	30	Bisulfite	9	0.00	00*0
	Bisulfite	Ś	0.17	0.17		Frozen Control	9	0.00	0.00
	Odocine	9	0.00	0.00	32	Bisulfite	9	0.17	0.17
	нтн	و	0.00	0.00	35	Bisulfite	Q	0.17	0.17
	Frozen Control	9	00.00	0.00					

Appendix J. Sour means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	zl	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	ZI	Mean	of Mean
-	Untreated	9	1.00	0.37	14	Untreated	و	0.50	0.22
	Bisulfite	9	1.50	0.34		Bisulfite	9	0.67	0.21
	Odocine	ം	0.50	0.22		Odocine	9	0.50	0.22
	HTH	9	0.67	0.21		HTH	و	0.67	0.21
	Frozen Control	I	1	1		Frozen Control	و	0.67	0.21
2	Untreated	9	0.67	0.33	16	Untreated	9	1.42	0.37
	Bisulfite	9	1.50	0.22		Bisulfite	9	0.50	0.22
	Odocine	و	0.83	0.17		Odoc ine	9	1.33	•33
	НТН	9	1.17	0.17		HTH	ം	0.83	0.31
	Frozen Control	9	1.17	0.17		Frozen Control	و	0.17	0.17
4	Untreated	و	0.67	0.21	18	Bisulfite	ഴ	1.67	0.33
	Bisulfite	و	1.33	0.21		Frozen Control	9	1.50	0.22
	Odocine	9	0.58	0.20	21	Bisulfite	ഴ	1.50	0.34
	НТН	و	.0	0.37		Frozen Control	9	0.67	0.21
	Frozen control	و	0.67	0.33	23	Bisulfite	9	1.50	0.34
2	Untreated	9	1.08	0.27		Frozen Control	و	0.75	0.25
	Bisulfite	৩	2.50	0.34	25	Bisulfite	9	0.50	0.22
	Odocine	9	1.42	0.20		Frozen Control	و	0.33	0.21
	HTH	9	1.00	0.37	28	Bisulfite	و	0.75	0.25
	Frozen Control	\$	1.50	0.50		Frozen Control	9	1.17	0.17
11	Untreated	9	1.50	0.22	30	Bisulfite	9	3.17	0.31
	Bisulfite	و	2.08	0.24		Frozen Control	و	0.50	0.34
	Odocine	9	1.17	0.31	32	Bisulfite	و	1.17	0.31
	НТН	ە	0.67	0.33	35	Bisulfite	9	1.50	0.34
	Frozen Contro}	9	0.83	0.40	1		I	1	

Fishy

Appendix K. Fishy means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

Odor
Rating,
Consumer

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	z!	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	zI	Mean	of Mean
-	Untreated	9	3.67	0.21	14	Untreated	9	2.08	0.27
	Bisulfite	9	3.83	0.17		Bisulfite	9	3.42	0.15
	Odoc i ne	و	3.83	0.17		0docine	9	2.50	0.13
	HTH	9	3.33	0.21		HTH	Ŷ	2.33	0.44
	Frozen Control	I	ł	-		Frozen Control	و	3.92	0.20
2	Untreated	9	3.83	0.31	16	Untreated	ڢ	1.17	0.21
	Bisulfite	ە	3.50	0.22		Bisulfite	9	3.42	0.27
	Odoc i ne	9	4.17	0.17		Odocine	و	1.83	0.21
	нтн	9	4.00	0.26		HTH	9	1.42	0.20
	Frozen Control	و	3.50	0.22		Frozen Control	9	3.92	0.33
-1	Untreated	9	3.33	0.21	18	Bisulfite	9	3.50	0.13
	Bisulfite	9	3.33	0.21		Frozen Control	9	4.00	0.00
	Odocine	و	3.75	0.17	21	Bisulfite	9	3.17	0.21
	нтн	و	3.33	0.21		Frozen Control	و	3.33	0.21
	Frozen control	ഗ	3.67	0.21	23	Bisulfite	9	2.58	0.15
7	Untreated	و	3.92	0.08		Frozen Control	9	3.33	0.17
	Bisulfite	Q	3.92	0.20	25	Bisulfite	9	2.58	0.27
	Odocine	9	3.92	0.08		Frozen Control	9	3.50	0.18
	нтн	9	3.92	0.08	28	Bisulfite	9	2.67	0.31
	Frozen Control	9	3.38	0.11		Frozen Control	و	3.67	0.17
11	Untreated	9	3.92	0.08	30	Bisulfite	9	2.58	0.20
	Bisulfite	9	3.25	0.11		Frozen Control	9	3.42	0.20
	Odocine	9	3.75	0.17	32	Bisulfite	و	2.50	0.18
	НТН	9	3.83	0.11	35	Bisulfite	9	1.88	0.21
	Frozen Control	9	4.00	0.26					

Appendix L. Consumer rating, odor means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	z!	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	zi	Mean	of Mean
-	Untreated	9	3.17	0.17	14	Untreated	9	2.83	0.31
	Bisulfite	و	0.83	0.31		Bisulfite	9	2.17	0.31
	0docine	9	0.83	0.17		0docine	و	2.75	0.31
	HTH	9	0.67	0.21		HTH	9	2.50	0.43
	Frozen Control	I	ł	I		Frozen Control	و	1.67	0.33
7	Untreated	৩	1.50	0.22	16	Untreated	9	3.33	0.56
	Bisulfite	9	0.83	0.17		Bisulfite	و	2.33	0.21
	0docine	و	0.67	0.21		Odoc i ne	9	3.42	0.20
	НТН	9	0.67	0.21		HTH	9	3.42	0.20
	Frozen Control	\$	1.33	0.21		Frozen Control	9	2,16	0.31
-7	Untreated	و	1.00	0.26	18	Bisulfite	9	2.33	0.21
	Bisulfite	و	0.50	0.22		Frozen Control	9	2.08	0.27
	Odocine	و	1.67	0.33	21	Bisulfite	9	2.58	0.20
	HTH	9	1.00	0.26		Frozen Control	9	2.00	0.00
	Frozen control	ە	1.00	0.37	23	Bisulfite	9	2.17	0.11
7	Untreated	9	1.75	0.17		Frozen Control	9	1.67	0.40
	Bisulfite	9	0.67	0.21	25	Bisulfite	9	3.03	0.21
	0doc i ne	9	1.42	0.33		Frozen Control	9	1.58	0.20
	нтн	9	1.92	0.33	28	Bisulfite	و	3.08	0.08
	Frozen Control	9	1.83	0.31		Frozen Control	9	2.00	0.13
11	Untreated	و	1.92	0.20	30	Bisulfite	و	2.92	0.20
	Bisulfite	9	1.75	0.25		Frozen Control	و	1.67	0.21
	Odocine Odocine	م	1.92	0.33	32	Bisulfite	و	2.67	0*0
	HTH	Q	1.67	0.21	35	Bisulfite	9	3.17	0.28
	Frozen Control	9	1.67	0.21				•	

Slimy means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean. Appendix M.

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	Z	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	zl	Mean	of Mean
-	Untreated	9	2.00	0.00	14	Untreated	9	2.83	0.17
	Bisulfite	و	2.00	0.26		Bisulfite	9	2.50	0.22
	Odocine	9	1.50	0.22		Odocine	و	2,92	0.08
	НТН	9	1.83	0.31		HTH	9	2.83	0.31
	Frozen Control	ł	ļ	ł		Frozen Control	৩	2.67	0.21
2	Untreated	9	2.33	0.21	16	Untreated	9	2.92	0.20
	Bisulfite	9	2.17	0.17		Bisulfite	و	2.33	0.21
	0docine	ò	2.00	0.26		Odocine	9	2.92	0.08
	нтн	9	2.17	0.31		НТН	9	3.08	0.08
	Frozen Control	9	2.50	0.22		Frozen Control	9	2.92	0.15
7	Untreated	و	1.83	0.17	18	Bisulfite	9	2.25	0.17
	Bisulfite	9	2.17	0.31		Frozen Control	9	9 . 00	0.00
	Odoc i ne	9	2.17	0.31	21	Bisulfite	9	2.08	0.08
	нтн	ہ	2.33	0.33		Frozen Control	و	3.25	0.17
	Frozen control	9	2.50	0.34	23	Bisulfite	9	2.50	0.18
7	Untreated	9	2.17	0.17		Frozen Control	9	2.67	0.21
	Bisulfite	و	1.58	0.20	25	Bisulfite	و	2.75	0.21
	Odocine	9	2.25	0.25		Frozen Control	و	3.50	0.13
	нтн	9	2.33	0.21	28	Bisulfite	9	2.58	0.15
	Frozen Control	9	2.50	0.22		Frozen Control	ଡ଼	2.83	0.17
1	Untreated	9	2.33	0.21	8	Bisulfite	9	2.72	0.31
	Bisulfite	و	2.42	0.20		Frozen Control	9	3.25	0.17
	0docine	9	2.17	0.17	32	Bisulfite	و	2.50	0.22
	HTH	و	2.58	0.15	35	Bisulfite	9	3.00	0.13
	Frozen Control	9	2.17	0.17					

Light-Dark

Appendix N. Light-Dark means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	z)	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	zl	Mean	of Mean
	Untreated	9	3.50	0.22	14	Untreated	9	2.75	0.17
	Bisulfite	ە	3.83	0.17		Bisulfite	م	3.17	0.11
	0docine	9	3.67	0.21		0docine	9	3.17	0.31
	HTH	9	3.50	0.22		HTH	9	3.33	0.31
	Frozen Control	ł		ł		Frozen Control	9	3.50	0.18
2	Untreated	و	3.67	0.21	16	Untreated	9	2.17	0.21
	Bisulfite	9	3.67	0.21		Bisulfite	9	3.83	0.21
	0doc i ne	9	3.83	0.17		Odocine	و	2.92	0.08
	HTH	9	3.67	0.21		HTH	9	3.08	0.15
	Frozen Control	Ś	2.67	0.21		Frozen Control	و	3.25	0.31
4	Untreated	9	3.33	0.42	18	Bisulfite	9	3.33	0.17
	Bisulfite	9	1.50	0.34		Frozen Control	9	3.00	0.26
	0docine	9	3.67	0.21	21	Bisulfite	9	2.33	0.17
	HTH	9	3.67	0.21		Frozen Control	9	2.67	0.21
	Frozen control	9	3.00	0.26	23	Bisulfite	9	2.75	0.25
2	Untreated	9	3.17	0.31		Frozen Control	و	3.17	0.11
	Bisulfite	9	3.50	0.22	25	Bisulfite	9	2.67	0.21
	Odocine	9	3.50	0.22		Frozen Control	و	2.92	2.00
	HTH	9	3.42	0.20	28	Bisulfite	9	2.75	0.21
	Frozen Control	9	2.83	0.17		Frozen Control	9	2.92	0.08
-	Untreated	9	3.17	0.17	30	Bisulfite	9	3.00	0.22
	Bisulfite	9	3.33	0.36		Frozen Control	و	2.50	0.18
	Odocine	و	3.42	0.20	32	Bisulfite	৩	2.33	0.17
	нтн	و	3.33	0.33	35	Bisulfite	9	2.42	2.00
	Frozen Control	9	3.17	0.11					

Appendix 0. Firmness means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

Firmness

N
S I
eu ا
č
ā
5
ŝ
U.
È.
Ð
-

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	ᅬ	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	ZI	Mean	of Mean
-	Untreated	Q	1.33	0.21	14	Untreated	9	2.58	0.37
	Bisulfite	9	3.83	0.17		Bisulfite	و	2.92	0.15
	0docine	و	2.83	0.31		Odoc i ne	9	2.92	0.27
	HTH	9	2.83	0.31		нтн	و	2.92	0.08
	Frozen Control	I	ļ	I		Frozen Control	9	1.83	0.17
2	Untreated	\$	1.83	0.17	16	Untreated	9	2.50	0.22
	Bisulfite	ھ	2.67	0.33		Bisulfite	و	2.83	0.11
	Odocine	9	2.00	0.00		Odocine	9	2.83	0.11
	НТН	9	2.17	0.17		HTH	9	2.75	0.31
	Frozen Control	9	1.33	0.21		Frozen Control	ھ	2.08	0.20
4-	Untreated	9	1.67	0.21	18	Bisulfite	و	2.83	0.11
	Bisulfite	9	2.00	0.37		Frozen Control	9	2.33	0.21
	0docine	9	2.67	0.21	21	Bisulfite	و	2.92	0.20
	нтн	9	2.17	0.17		Frozen Control	9	2.08	0.20
	Frozen control	و	1.33	0.33	23	Bisulfite	9	2.58	0.33
1	Untreated	9	2.42	0.20		Frozen Control	9	1.83	0.17
	Bisulfite	و	2.50	0.22	25	Bisulfite	9	3.42	0.15
	Odocine	৩	1.83	0.17		Frozen Controì	و	1.83	0.17
	нтн	و	2.08	0.27	28	Bisulfite	9	2.67	0.17
	Frozen Control	و	1.33	0.21		Frozen Control	و	2.00	0.13
11	Untreated	و	2.33	0.21	30	Bisulfite	9	2.67	0.25
	Bisulfite	9	2.92	0.27		Frozen Control	9	2.17	0.11
	Odocine	و	2.17	0.17	32	Bisulfite	9	3.00	0.00
	НТН	9	2.33	0.21	35	Bisulfite	\$	3.08	0.08
	Frozen Control	9	1.50	0.22					

Appendix P. Adhesiveness means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

Wetness

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	Z	Mean	of Mean	Storage	Treatment	zI	Mean	of Mean
.	Untreated	t	I	ļ	14	Untreated	9	3.33	0.21
	Bisulfite	ł	ļ	1		Bisulfite	و	2.50	0.34
	Odocine	I	ł	I		Odocine	9	3.17	0-40
	HTH	ţ	ł	ļ		НТН	9	3.08	0.37
	Frozen Control	I	ł	ł		Frozen Control	ە	3.50	0.56
7	Untreated	9	2.67	0.21	16	Untreated	é	4.17	0.17
	Bisulfite	ە	2.75	0.17		Bisulfite	୰	2.67	0.33
	Odocine	9	2.33	0.33		Odocine	9	3.67	0.21
	НТН	9	2.67	0.21		НТН	9	3.17	0.17
	Frozen Control	9	4.17	0.17		Frozen Control	Q	4.17	0.31
-†	Untreated	9	2.83	0.31	18	Bisulfite	\$	2.75	0.17
	Bisulfite	9	3.83	0.17		Frozen Control	م	3.75	0.31
	Odocine	9	3.17	0.31	21	Bisulfite	و	3.17	0.17
	HTH	9	3.50	0.34		Frozen Control	9	4.00	0.37
	Frozen control	9	4.50	0.22	23	Bisuifite	9	2.58	0.20
2	Untreated	و	3.17	0.17		Frozen Control	9	3.17	0.40
	Bisulfite	9	2.25	0.31	25	Bisulfite	و	2.83	0.17
	Odocine	و	2.67	0.33		Frozen Control	و	4.25	0.31
	НТН	9	3.33	0.21	28	Bisulfite	9	3.00	0.13
	Frozen Control	9	4.08	0.33		Frozen Control	9	3.67	0.31
11	Untreated	9	3.33	0.33	30	Bisulfite	و	3.08	0.27
	Bisulfite	9	3.08	0.27		Frozen Control	و	3.50	0.26
	Odocine	9	3.17	0.31	32	Bisulfite	9	2.42	0.33
	HTH	و	3.67	0.25	35	Bisulfite	و	2.75	0.25
	Frozen Control	9	4.17	0.17					

Appendix Q. Wetness means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

Days of				Std. Error	Days of				Std. Error
Storage	Treatment	zl	Mean	of Mean	<u>Storage</u>	Treatment	zI	Mean	of Mean
-	Untreated	9	3.75	0.31	14	Untreated	9	2.58	0.20
	Bisulfite	9	4.33	0.21		Bisulfite	ہ	3.58	0.20
	Odocine	9	4.33	0.42		Odocine	9	2.75	0.21
	нтн	9	4.17	0.31		HTH	9	3.00	0.34
	Frozen Control	t	ł	I		Frozen Control	و	4.00	0.26
2	Untreated	9	3.67	0.21	16	Untreated	9	1.67	0.17
	Bisulfite	9	4.00	0.26		Bisulfite	ە	3.58	0.15
	Odocine Odocine	9	4.33	0.21		Odocine	ە	2.25	0.11
	HTH	9	4.17	0.31		HTH	9	2.50	0.18
	Frozen Control	و	2.83	0.17		Frozen Control	9	2.83	0.33
4	Untreated	9	3.17	0.16	18	Bisulfite	9	3.67	0.17
	Bisulfite	و	4.16	0.40		Frozen Control	Q	3.67	0.17
	Odoc i ne	و	3.83	0.31	21	Bisulfite	ە	3.25	0.17
	нтн	Q	3.17	0.31		Frozen Control	9	2.75	0.21
	Frozen control	و	3.33	0.33	23	Bisulfite	9	2.67	0.17
7	Untreated	و	4.00	0.00		Frozen Control	Q	3.33	0.17
	Bisulfite	ە	4.42	0.20	25	Bisulfite	9	3.17	0.21
	0docine	ە	4.08	0.15		Frozen Control	9	3.28	0.10
	НТН	\$	3.83	0.21	28	Bisulfite	9	2.75	0.17
	Frozen Control	و	3.92	0.08		Frozen Control	9	3.25	0.17
11	Untreated	9	3.75	0.17	30	Bisulfite	9	.00 	0.18
	Bisulfite	৩	3.83	0.11		Frozen Control	9	3.33	0.25
	Odocine	9	3.83	0.17	32	Bisulfite	9	3.00	0.13
	нтн	9	3.33	0.21	35	Bisulfite	Q	2.42	0.20
	Frozen Control	و	3.67	0.21					

Consumer rating, appearance means, number of samples, and standard errors of the mean.

Appendix R.

Consumer Rating, Appearance