The Newport River Estuarine System

CIRCULATING COPY Sea Grant Depository

William W. Kirby-Smith John D. Costlow

Duke University Marine Laboratory Beaufort, North Carolina 28516

1. 经一个数

 λ

NATIONAL STA SEARCH BELGIAZORY
PELL I AL MARIA CALL IS
URI, NATA Anni CALL IS CALIPUS NARRAGANSETT, RI 02852

UNC-SG-89-04

Table of **Contents**

- **5** Preface
- Thanks To
- List of Tables
- 8
 6 Thanks To

7 List of Tables

8 List of Figures List of Figures
- Summary and Recommendations
- **11** History of Scientific Research
- 13 The Newport River Estuarine System
- **13 Geography**
- 15 **Bathymetry**
- 18 Tides and Hydrography
- $24¹$ Mixing and Exchange Rates
- 25 Temperature
- 28 **Salinity**
- 33 Rainfall
- 33 Stream Discharge
- 34 Dissolved Oxygen
- 36 pH
- 36 Light
- 38 **Sediments**
- 45 Trace Metals
- 48 Nutrients
- 53 References

Preface The Newport River Estuarine System

(NRES) is a relatively small, shallow, coastal plains estuary located near the center of the **North Carolina coast south of Cape Lookout. Its nauonal and intemauonal reputation as a site for marine research is thc result of the close proximity of four major eteach organizations. These are the National Marine Fisheries Service NMFS! Labcraaary, the Ncrth Garolina Division** of Marine Fisheries, the Duke University Marine **Latrciratory DUML!, and the University of North Carolina Institute of Marine Sciences. Many scientists are engaged in research** at **these facilities, and most of them make some use of** the NRES, if only to supply organisms or seawa**tcr for laboratory experiments,**

> **TTiis report is a summary of what is known** about the Newport System, Carteret County, North Carolina, based upon publications cover**ing 125 years of scientific inquiry, The report is a technical publication designed** to **provide background information to scientists, students and interested laymen and to provide the technical basis for understanding the impacts of human is: tivities in and around this esmary. Much information used in this report** comes **from unpub**lished sources, such as doctoral and masters degree dissertations and technical reports to gov**ertimcnt agencies, Few scientific journals** are interested in publishing detailed site specific observations because few scientists find such mate**rial useful in their research. Furthermore, most scientists workmg in the NRES or any other area** are interested in generalizing their research find**ings in an effort to answer larger questions. Thus much of the data reported in journals tends to be** condensed. However, unabridged data is often invaluable when site specific questions or prob**lems arise, The effort is made here to preserve** this information.

> **TTiere are several problems with referencing** unpublished sources, not the least of which is the availability of these as primary literature sources. **This is especially a pmblem with one widely** used source for information here, the annual re**ports of' the National Marine Fisheries Service Laboraaxy. Beaufort,** N,C, **961-1982!. These** papers were written as progress reports of ongoing research and were not designed to be primary **literature sources:** they carry the caveat on their title page 'For Administrative Use Only." Al**though many of the papers in these reports have been published elsewhere, there are cases where this is not so or where interesting details have been ohscuted by summarizing the miginal data. In an effort to avoid the loss of valuable information, these data have been included bere wbete necessary, even though the original reference**

may be diFicult to find. Readers will have to make their own judgment as to whether or not **such data are useful.**

The report presented here relies primarily upon work published up through 1980. Several more recent publications have been added where **they have been brought to he attention** of **the author. As a result of this project, a detailed bibliography of over 1,400 references dating 1&60 to** 1980 has been assembled and is available from **the Natural History Resource Center of the Duke University Marine Laboratory.**

Thanks To | A targe number of people have contributed directly and indirectly to this project. We acknowledge the hundreds of research projects and individuals whose work provided the raw rnaterial. much unpublished, fcr this synthesis. A number of staff horn aU the heal marine research and educational facilities provided helpful comments and discussion of dnrfts at various stages in this preparation. J.S. Kazarian, P. Fowler, J. Ustach, **K.** Sandoy, andE, Barber spent untold hours developing the original bibliography and typing references, H, Nearing did the word processing,

The UNC Sea Grant College Program provided major funding for this project with addi **~** tional support from Duke University.

L/st Of Tables

- 13 Table 1-Approximate areas of land and water in the watershed of the three parts of the Newport River estuarine system (km²).
- 24 Table 2--Characteristics of the nontidal (resid**ttai!** currents in thc Narrows of the Newport River estuary averaged over several tidal cycks for four distinct time periods.
- 28 **Table 3** Mean monthly seawater density (salinity) readings based upon daily (5 p.m.) readings at Pivers Island, 1913 to 1914 by Hoyt (1920).
- 29 **Tabk** 4 Maximum and minimum salinities **recorded** at Pivers Island for the period 1924 to 1928 based upon daily hydrometer readings.
- 31 **Table 5** Fluctuations in salinity (ppt) at five stations in the Newport River estuary from October 1969 to October 1970.
- 33 **Table 6-Rainfall (in inches) for the Newport** River estuarine area
- Table 7-Percent saturation with oxygen of sur-น โ face (S) and bottom (b) waters as a function of month (1960-1961) for five stations located in the Newport River estuary.
- 40 I **Tabk** 8 Mean Sccchi depth as **a** function of location in Ihe Newport River estuarine system.
- Table 9---The depth of sediment accumulated in 44 salt marshes over the nomarsh base as a function of Iocatice within thc estuary.
- 48 Table 10-The flux of trace metals through populations of juvenile fish in the Newport River estuary during summer months.
- 48 Table 11-Mass balance (Kg/yr) of trace metals **in the Newport River estuary.**
- Table 12-Nutrient concentrations (µg.at/l) dur-50 i ing warm (May to October) and cool (November to April) periods for three areas in the Newport **River estuary.**
- Table 13-Average nutrient concentrations (un) $50¹$ I) in three areas of the Newport River estuary.
- Table 14 **Nutrient concentrations (um/l)** in a $50₁$ natural swamp stream and the headwaters of the North River between Feb. 1975 and Sept. 1976.

List of **Figures**

- 14 **Figure 1—Map showing the location and major** features of the Newport River Estuarine System. 16 **Figure 2**—Bathymetric map of the NRES. 17 **4** 18 **F1gure 3A < 3B** Typical cross sections of the lower Newport River estuary, **Figure 4** Eastern and central part of the Bogue 19 I Sound part of the Newport River estuary, 20 **Figure 5**-Back Sound and North River part of the Newport River estuary. 23 **Figure 6** Surface tidal currents (m/sec) on ebb and flood tides in the Newport River estuary. 25 **Figure 7**—Exchange ratios and flushing times (r/t) for segments of the Newport River estuary. 27 **Figure 8-Maximum and minimum daily water** temperatures in the Beaufort Channel at the Duke Marine Lab dock 1977-1979. 30 Figure 9—Patterns in time and space of salinity (ppt) at five locations in the Newport estuary. 32 **Figure 10** - Short-term variations in salinity (ppt) in the Newport River estuary. 35 **Figure** 11 Patterns m time and space in dissolved oxygen (ppm) at five locations in the Newport River estuary. 37 **Figure 12** Patterns in time and space of pH at five locations in the Newport River estuary. 39 **Figure 13** Patterns in time and space of visibility (Secchi) depth in meters) at five stations in the Newport River estuary. 41 **Figure 14** - Gross lithology of the sediments of the Newport River estuary. 42 **Figurc 1~Mean** grain **size** of sedimiutts of **the** Newport River estuary. 43 **Figure 16** Gross lithology of sediments of the North River estuary. 49 **Figurc 17** Madd of fluxes of manganese (Mn), iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn) in the NRES.
	- 52 **Figure 18** Diel variations in nutrients in water collected off the Duke Marine Lab dock.

SLImmary & Recomrnendatioo

Thc Newport River **Estuarine Syslctti (NRES)**, a small shallow coastal plain estuary, is **located in central eastern North Carolina just** south of Cape Lookout. The system is tripartite **cratsisting of the Newport River estuary proper Back SouagNorth River estuary to the east and** Bogue Sound (a lagoon) to the west. All share a **otxnmon opening to thc sea, Beaufort Inlet. AI**though physically small, the system has received considerable attention because of more than 100 years of scientific research. This research is pri**mwily tbc poduct of wort done at four marine** science laboratories: The National Marine Fish**eries Service, the** N.C. **Division of Marine Ftishcries, Duke University Marine Latxuatcry and thc** University of North Carolina Institute of Marine Sciences. No single research project has at**tempted to describe either the physical/chemical** environment or the biology of the entire system. But rather the numerous projects have been sepa**faled** by subject matter, time and/or space within the system. Much of the research has used the **NRES** as a place to conduct research and has not addressed the specific character of the system.

This **report synthesizes what is known of the physical and chemical nature ofthc NRES. In the** process of preparing this paper an extensive bibli**graphyy of approximately 1,400 papers was as**sembled. From those selected, approximately **1,400 that dealt with the physical/chemical envi**ronment or the field biology of organisms or eco**logical systems of thc NRES. A cotnputerized bibliography of thcsc rcfcrenccs is available from thc Natuisd History Resource Center of the Duke** University Marine Laboratory. Of the approxi**atelyy &00 references 116 have been used here to discuss thc physical and chemical characlerization of the NRES,**

The NRES is a relatively smail estuary with a total area of approximately 163 km² (63 mi²) draining a land area of 595 km²(230 mi²). Geologically the system consists of an unconsolidated **mixture of sand, silt and day with oyster reefs providing the only natural hard substrate, Thc NRES is very shdhw with an average depth of 1 m 3 ft!, a maximum depth in natural channels of approximately 6 m (20 ft) and a depth in** dredged channels up to 12 m (40 ft). The middle **and upper portions of the cauuuine system are** relatively flat with depths of 1 to 2 m (3-6 ft) at low tide. In the upper reaches in the rivers and streams, water depths of up to 4 m (12 ft) are encountered. Numerous marshes and intertidal shoals are common in the lower estuary and along the edges of the upper estuary. Detailed and accurate mapping of the location and aerial extent of the marine habitats, especially the salt marshes. intertidal shoals and open water has not been

done. Historical aerial photography (1940-present) should be used to provide detailed maps and quantify changes in the system that may be nanu**ntl and tnan-made. Suchmapping tbouldinclude** changes in land use in the past 100 years that **might have an impact upon the natural system'**

Hydrography of the NRES is controlled by semi-diurnal tides of approximately 1 m $(3 ft)$ height. The time and height of the tides decrease **as thc llde wave moves upstream from thc mouth to the headwater of the system. Currents are** greatest in the channels near the inlet, decrease in the shallow central sections and increase again in **the narrow riverine headwaters. It has been cstirnated that approxknatciy 43 pcrceru of thc total** high tide volume of the water moves in and out of the estuary with each tide. The system is nearly **vertiicaHy homogenous and weil mixed except in** the riverine headwaters where fresh surface water frequently overfies more saline bottom water. **Residence time of the water depends upon the rate of fresh water inflow coupled with wind- and tide-induced mixing. For water entering at** the narrows, the average flushing time through the **estuary to Beaufort Inlet has been estimated to be approximately** 12 **ddal cydes or six days. Dis- ~ies exist in cstinuucs of total volume and intertidal volume of thc NRES. An accurate de**scription of water volume and mixing dynamics, **under different wind and tidal regimes, is needed** to adequately describe natural biological proc**esses and human impacts, ln addition, the history of dredging activities in theNRES has notbeen** written and a detailed investigation of how dredg**ing may have influenced the physical and cbernical chars~ties of thc NRES has nut been done. These remain primary research needs for** our understanding of how the estuary functions.

Water temperatures in thc NRES vary with air **temperattne on a scandal basis reaching a** mean minimum temperature of approximately 5 C (40 F) in late January and early February and a mean maximum of approximately 30 C(86 F) in **buc July and carly August During the spring and faII rapid shifts of 5 C to 10 C & F to 1& F! can** occur. Patterns in daily, seasonal and annual water temperature in the NRES are well known and require no additional study.

Salinity is highly variable in the upper estu**ary where it is frequently 0 ppt folkrwing hcavy** rains or wet periods and more than 36 ppt during droughts. Rapid changes of 20 ppt in one hour **are possible. In the lower estuary, salinities ate** higher and less variable, approaching seawater salinities (34 ppt). At any one place, tides com**monly caum changes of3 to 5 ppt. Sahnities in** Bogue Sound are higher and less variable than **those in the Newport River Estuary. Salinitics ate**

influenced by local rainfall and rate of evapora**and me!qrirationin surrounding marshcs. Armual rainfall is equally distributed throughout** the year with no pronounced wet or dry season. However lower temperatures reduce evaporation and transpiration, so that salinities are generally **knver in thc winter/spring compared with the** summer/fall. The importance of salinity in deter**mining the biological nature of the various parts of the NRES is obvious. Any hydrographic cudy** should include descriptions of salinity. Specifically needed is a description of how watershed development and the presence of the dredged channel through Beaufort Inlet influence temporal and spatial patterns of salinity throughout the NRES. In addition, a stream discharge monitor**ing station should bc placed in the headwaters of the Newport River,**

Dissolved oxygen is generally at or near **saturation due, to tidal and wind mixing. Some** low oxygen values occur in bottom water or pro-**!ected embayments during thc summer. Dis**solved oxygen should be a concern in assessing **further watershed development. especially in areas of restricted circulation during summer. However, no additional studies of dissolved oxygen are nccdcd except as may relate to specific questions.**

The pH of NRES waters is generally **8** to 8 2 **except in the low sahnity sections where** pH **var**ies between 6 and 7. No additional studies of pH are needed to understand pH values in the NRES.

Light penetration in lhc NRES is low, generally between 1 to 2 m $(3-6 \text{ ft})$. It is greatly influ-**~ aced by wind-generated wave rcsusfensions of** silts and by phytoplankton. Clearest periods are **in Late fall and carly winter, Minimum values are corn!!Kin in thc shallow upper estuarine areas** with increasing transparency upstream into fresh **water and in the Lower estuary near the inlet. At** any one place the water is usually much clearer at **high tide than at Low tide. Due to deepening** channels or increasing suspended sediments, decreases in light penetration influence primary pro**thiction and thus should be an irnpartant part of** assessing environmental impacts of any new ac**tivities within the NRES and its adjaccru d!aiisage basin.**

Bottom sediments in the NRES are generally sand and shell fragments in the lower estuary and varying mixtures of silt, clay and sand in the upper estuary. Organic content is inversely related **to mean grain size. Morc protected areas gener**ally have finer sediments. Sedimentation rates are similar to other East Coast estuaries with rates of 3 to 4 mm/year (0.12- 0.16 in/yr). Sedimenta**tion rates are higher in eclgrass beds and marshcs.** Dredging dramatically increases rates of sedi-

mentation in areas nearby. Additional suxlies of scdimcnts of the **Newport River and North River are not needed except as they are related to specific local questions. A detailed study of the sedi**ments on Bogue Sound is needed.

Extensive investigations of trace metals have. resulted in a wealth of data for distribution and cycling of the elemems in water, sediments and tagimisms. These data are the results of studies by thc National Marine Fisheries Labcxatory that have been aimed at understanding the behavior of trace metals in all estuaries. These studies will **cmitinuc to provide data on trace metal cycles in lhe NRES.**

Inorganic plant nutrients (N and P) are generally low in abundanc. But the system has mod**erate productivity so that recycling is probably** important and rapid. Major inputs of nutrients are **river, rainfall and nmoff horn adjacent land. As** with most estuaries, the NRES is slightly nitrogen limited in terms of primary productivity. An as**sessment of the impacts of additional nutrient Loading of the NRES** is **needed. Such an assessment should describe how development may have changed nutricnts in the past as well as looking toward the effects of increased evelopment in the future, Recent publications irom a National Science Foundation project at the Duke Univer**sity Marine Laboratory promise to answer a num**ber of questions cceccrning nutrient cycling** in **the NRES.**

In summary **the major gaps in our knowledge** of the physical nature of the NRES are (1) the **lack of detailed habitat mapping with a historical** perspective and (2) an extremely limited under**standing of the hydrography of the system, espe**cially with regards to wind and tide effects on water movements. This knowledge is particularly **needed to assess impacts of land development, population growth and industrial expansion in the watershed,**

History of **Scientific** Research

The following brief account of the history of scientific research in the NRES is excerpted from an unpublished manuscript by Dr. and Mrs. I.E. Gray, both deceased. It was written with the help of their daughter Sally Gray.

The history of published research in the NRES began more than a century ago with a short publication by William Stimpson (1860) entitled "A Trip to Beaufort, N.C." He described collections of mollusks and decapods that he and Theodore Gill gathered during March of that year. These two scientists were interested in adding to the collections of the Smithsonian Institution from a region of the coast known to be strongly influenced by the Gulf Stream. In the 1870s, a series of five publications written by two medical doctors serving at FL Macon described the terrestrial and aquatic fauna of the NRES area (Coues 1871a,b; Yarrow 1877; Cones and Yarrow 1878a,b). Also during the 1870s, two famous ichthyologists, David Starr Jordan and Charles H. Gilbert, worked in the area and published two papers on the fishes of Beaufort Harbor (Jordan and Gilbert 1879; Jordan 1886).

Probably because of the geographical location and accessibility of marine habitats, the Marine Laboratory of Johns Hopkins University was established in Beaufort in the summer of 1880. The new laboratory led to a dramatic increase in the number of publications based upon research done in the NRES. Between 1880 and 1886, William Keith Brooks, his associates and students worked out of a large rented house located at what was then the extreme eastern end of Beaufort. The results of these investigations appeared in "Studies from the Biological Laboratory of the Johns Hopkins University" and in the Johns Hopkins University Circular. All the papers were published in 1887 under the title "Notes on the Fauna of Beaufort, N.C." Although the laboratory did not remain continuously at Beaufort after 1886, scientists from Johns Hookins continued to work in this area until the mid-twentieth century.

In addition to the interest created by the basic research activities in the NRES, the importance of fisheries resources in Carteret County aided in the establishment of a laboratory by the U.S. Fish Commission. Based upon information from the N.C. Geological Survey (a state organization that directed scientific projects on the coast), in 1899 North Carolina fisheries were twice as important in number of people employed and value of products as all other South Atlantic states combined. Carteret County was the most important county in the state. Because of this commercial importance, state geologist

Joseph Austin Holmes asked the U.S. Fish Commission, headed by George M. Bowers, to establish a research station. In 1899 a U.S. Fishcries Laboratory was authorized, the second such laboratory in the United States. Henry Van Peters Wilson was appointed as director. Wilson, a Johns Hopkins scientist who was teaching at the University of North Carolina, had worked in Beaufort in 1886 and was familiar with the area. In Wilson's own words:

"Some nine miles from Beaufort Inlet the coastline makes a sharp right-angled bend, with Cape Lookout at the angle. From the end of the cape a narrow lineof shoals ex tends much farther out. The cape and its submerged continuation form a wall, as it were, reaching seaward for fifteen miles. Cape Lookout itself is so shaped as to embrace a bay, a quiet and beautiful sheet of water, Lookout Bight. The coast configura tion thus forms a remarkable natural trap into which fish, migrating northwards, fall. It is doubtful whether a better place can be found anywhere on our coast for the carry ing out of observations on oceanic species and on bay and river species during the oce anic period of their life."

The first scientists associated with the newly authorized laboratory worked from a rented building in the summer of 1899. From Johns Hopkins came such well-known scientists as William K. Brooks, William C. Coker, Gilman A. Drew, Caswell Grave and D.S. Johnson. In addition, Robert E. Coker from the Goldsboro public schools, John Irving Hamaker from Trinity College in Durham and E.B. Wilson from Columbia University joined the group. In 1901, Winterton C. Curtis from the University of Missouri and Thomas Hunt Morgan from Bryn Mawr College were also present.

In May of 1900, the U.S. Congress authorized construction of a building to house the Fisheries Laboratory. This was completed in 1902 on Pivers Island across the bay from Beaufort. Caswell Grave was then in charge of the facility. The U.S. Fisheries Laboratory was established to provide scientific data used in development of commercial fisheries. The most important lines of early research were concerned with oyster and diamondhack terrapin culture. Robert E. Coker, who later founded the University of North Carolina Laboratory in Morehead City, served as custodian of the Fisheries Laboratory from 1902 through 1904. He received his Ph.D. degree from Johns Hopkins University in 1906 and worked with the U.S. Bureau of Fisheries until 1923

when he joined the faculty of the University of North Carolina in Chapel Hill as professor of zoology.

A second laboratory located in Morehead City houses the Narth Carolina Divisian of Marine Fisheries. Their programs began in 1915 with the establishment of the North Carolina **Fisheries Canmission Bard.** In 1927, **the Divi**sion of Commercial Fisheries was established, **and in 1964 the research and development action was started. James T. Brown and Edward G. McCoy werc the fhst biologists in his ec**tion. Research at the Division of Marine Fisher**ies is ccntcred around studies that suppart the development and rcgulatian of commercial and** sports fisheries specific to North Carolina.

The Duke University Marine Labaratory was founded in 1938 in Bcaufart, N.C.. by **Arthur** Sperry Pearse, a zoologist at Duke **University. Pearse, a professor at. Duke since 1926,** had traveled extensively and worked on marine organisms in a number of locations worldwide. Hc began his research in North Carolina at the **US Fishcrics Lal.'ioratory in Beaufort and was sa impressed by thc location that he decided to es**tablish a laboratory for the training of students in **marine biology. Pearse convinced the university to purchase the** vacant land on Pivers Ishnd to the south of the U.S. Fisheries Laboratory and to construct a laboratory for the training of students and for basic marine research. He felt **that** such training and basic research would complement **the mme practical fishcrics** investigations carried **aut at the 5sheries laboratory.**

In 1944, Robert E. Cakcr of the University of North Carolina in Chapel Hill began to work with the North Carolina Department of Conservation and Development (formerly the Geologi**cal Survey and now the Department af Natural** Resources and Community Development) to de**velop the Institute of Fisheries Rearch. This** laboratory began operations in 1947 in Morehead **City** at **the site of a famer** Marine Carps tectian base. The aim of the original laboratory was to promote the development of North Carolina **marine fisheries** through the application of basic **sci**ence and economics to fisheries questions. The laboratory, now named the Institute of Marine **Sciences of thc University of Nanh Carolina, has** become more broadly based in its research ac**tivitics with programs of basic and appliedre**search as well as teaching.

In addition to the four marine research labo**ratories** in Ihc **area,** there arc two facilities **de**voted to public education in marine affairs. The N.C. Maritime Museum in Beaufort has exten**sive displays af local marianne history and the staff sponsa field trips for laymen ad student**

groups to nearby estuarine habitats. The N.C. Aquarium at Pine Knoll Shores has extensive displays of live marine organisms and sponsors a number of public education activities throughout **thc year.**

Geography **The Newport River Estuar-**

ine System

 \mathbf{r}

The Newport River Estuarine System (Figure 1) consists of three major parts: (1) the Newport River estuary that extends from the Beaufort Inlet inland to a point near the town of Newport; (2) a portion of Bogue Sound extending from Beaufort Inlet west to a point west of Broad Creek near Intracoastal Waterway Marker 33 (Brett 1963; FJ. Schwartz personal communication); (3) Back Sound and North River from Beaufort Inlet to a line between "Bottle Run Point" and Harkers Island and including the "Straits" as far east as Browns Island (personal observation). Because the geographical limits of these three parts are not hydrographically distinct from adiacent systems, the establishment of these boundaries is arbitrary. However, for the sake of discussion these are the limits used in this publication.

The tripartite nature of the NRES is typical of the estuarine systems in southern North Carolina where elongated barrier islands are broken by inlets in the vicinity of small coastal-plain rivers. Each tripartite system consists of one branch extending perpendicular to the coast and ending in a small river with the other two branches extending laterally as high salinity sounds behind the barrier islands. These two lateral branches separate hydrologically from adjacent systems at the time and place where tidal flows meet between two inlets.

Knowledge of the relative proportion of land to water (Table 1) found in each of the three subsystems of the NRES is important in understanding the variability in the physical and chemical characteristics of the estuary as influenced by freshwater inflows. All three major parts of the NRES fit Pritchard's (1967) definition of an esmary, a definition that emphasizes the importance of fresh water derived from land drainage to reduce salinities. The most estuarine in character of the three subsystems of the NRES is the Newport River Estuary. It has a land area 11 times as large as the open water area. The Newport River drains a large coastal plain swamp/ forest, a part of the Croatan National Forest Bogue Sound, with a land/water ratio of 0.97, is more of a lagoon than an estuary. Numerous small creeks empty into Bogue Sound all along its length. Each of these could be classified as miniature estuaries during periods of rainfall or when surface groundwater causes fresh water to seen into them. At other times they can be considered tidal embayments with salinities little different from the open waters of Bogue Sound. The third area, Back Sound and North River. shares characteristics of both of the other two narts of the NRES. Back Sound resembles Bogue Sound, and the North River is more similar to the Newport River Estuary. In overall area. the Newport River estuary is the smallest (31 km², 12 mi²) of the three parts; Back Sound/ North River (60 km², 23 mi²) and Bogue Sound $(77 \text{ km}^2; 30 \text{ m})^2$ are two to three times its size.

The physical features of the NRES are defined by unconsolidated sediments (sand and mud) and are thus subject to rapid short-term changes due to movements of these sediments. In the past and continuing to the present day, changes in sea level have resulted in alteration of the size, shape and position of the NRES. A summary of changes in the past 250 years in the lower estuary is given by Klavans (1983). Beaufort Inlet has been open since 1708 (El-Ashry et al. 1968). It migrated approximately 150 m (500 ft) to the northwest between 1866 and 1948 (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1948). Further evidence of inlet migration can be found in the position of the islands and marshes in

1. From the Beaufort Inlet to Newport (Wolfe (1975).

2. From Marker 33 between Broad Creek and Goose Creek to the Beaufort Inlet (estimated from topographic maps).

3. From Bottle Run Point on Shackleford Banks to Harkers Island, Browns Island across the Straits, including all of North River to the Beaufort Inlet (estimated from topographic maps).

Table 1.

Approximate areas of land and water in the watershed of the three parts of the NRES (km²). For locations see Figure 1.

Map showing the
location and major
features of the
NRES.

 $\frac{1}{2}$ and

 14

Back Sound. They suggest a continuous west**ward migration of the irdct. Recent changes in the inlet 949-1971! include an addition of 2,4** km (1.5 mi) of land to the western end of Shack-**Leford Banks and a 0.16 km (0.1 mi) recession of thc eastern tip of Bogue Banks. Annual dredging** and construction of rock jetties has stabilized the position of Beaufort Inlet. Other major man**made changes in channels and shoals over the past hundred years have included: (1) increasing the size and depth of rhe main ships channel into the Masthead City state port and turning basin (Dredged materials were deposited on the natural charirnels and marshcs at Ihe northeastern cnd of Bogue Banks, This restricts he. flow of water in** and out of Bogue Sound); (2) dredging of Tay-**Iors Creek and Beaufort Harbor (This changes the elevation of lhe adjacent** shoal **with thc addi**tion of dredged materials); (3) dredging of the Intracoastal Waterway, thus creating a channel **that connects the Newport River Estuary with thc Ncuse River Estuary** to **thc north and Bogue Sound with the White Oak River Estuary to thc** west; (4) constructing of the Morehead City-**Beaufort Causeway in 1927. This restricts thc flow of water in and out of the Newport** River Estuary to two narrow channels.)

Bathymetry

The NRES is a shallow body **of** water **averaging approximately 1 m (3 ft) in depth at mean low water with a few deeper channels (Figure 2). Klavans 983! published cross-sectional diagrams of the lower part of the NRES thar provide** vertically exaggerated pictures of depth profiles along seven transects (Figure 3). Most of the navigational channels are maintained by dredg**ing, and only a fcw are maintaired by natund** scouring of the tidal currents. Frequency of **dredgmg is site-specific with some places requiring dredging every year, Others may ordy** be dredged occasionally,

Close to the inlet the position and size of the **unmainuuncd channels and the adjaccru shoals varies dramaticaliy from year to year as the** strong tidal currents move the sand. Away from the inlet, the natural channels are more stable, but even here a strong gale or hurricane will often result in a rapid shift in position. As would **be expected. thc stmngest Mal flows and thc** greatest mass movement of water follows the channels (for example, see Kazarian 1983).

The bathymetry of the Newport River estu**ery** (Figure 2) is relatively simple. The lower es**tuary, identified as that area from Beaufort Inlet** to a line drawn between the mouth of Core Creek and Crab Point, consists of a complex of natural

and man-made channels running through a group **of rnarshcs and islands Figure 3; Transccls A D!. The main ship channel leads rhrough thc in let to the N,C, Suue Port at Morehead Gty and** has a project depth of 12.2 m (40 ft). Beginning just south of the Newport River bridge and running northward is a segment of the Intracoastal **Waterway with a project depth of** 3.7 **m** (12 ft) **. The waterway passes between ihe Ncwport rnaishes and Phillips Island, continuing north anti** west into Core Creek and connecting with the **Ncuse River via a canal. Occasional dredging** is **done at places in the waterway to maintain thc** project depth. A second navigational channel with a project depth of 4.6 m (15 ft) begins just south of Radio Island and continues into **Beaufort Harbar where it splits into two branches.** One branch passes up Taylors Creek **and out into thc North River.** Thc **other pages north through Gallants Channel under the** Beaufort bridge, and rejoins the waterway just **northeast of Phillips Island.**

There are several natural channels in the lower estuary. One of these branches off the wa**terway just north of the Newport River bridge and passes between Crab Point and the Newport rnarshes, This Crab Point thoroughfare has a depth of up to 6 m (20 ft) but shoals to 1 m (3 ft) as it enters the main body of thc open estuary. A second natural channel passes from the Newport River bridge to the** east **of Phillips Island and continues north across thc Gallants Channel extension of the** Inuaccrastal **Waterway branch to Beaufort.** This channel is over 6 m (20 ft) deep **in places. A third natural channel begins as an extension of Gallants Channel and runs along the eastern side of thc estuary, turns to the west arid** crosses the waterway and then shoals to 1.2 m (4) ft) as it enters the main body of the open estuary. This channel reaches depths of 3 to 5 m (10-15) **f't!.**

The upper portion of the Newport River estuary consists of an open, smooth-bottom shallow area. Its deepest portion, approximately 2 m **.6 ft!, is in the middle. Progretsing up thc estuary to the west, the depths become shadower. At thc western cnd of this portion there ate several oyster eefs. Thc largest, Cross Rock, extends from the north shore of the estuary three** quarters of the way across toward the south **share. Cross Rock is cxposcd atlow tide but mostly covered at norrmd high ide. Tire water** west of Cross Rock is extremely shallow, averaging less than 30 cm (1 ft) in depth at low tide. **Then much of ihc area is exposed as a large uru**form mudflat. The river-dominated portion of **the estuary begins at thc point where the estuary** suddenly narrows (appropriately named the Nar**Figure 2.**
Bathymetric map
of the Newport
River estuary
(depth in meters).

Figure 3A,

Typical cross sections of the lower **NRES** f redrawn from Klavans, 1983)

rows) to approximately 20 m (65 ft) width and deepens to 2 to 4 m (6.6-13.1 ft). This channel extends in a meandering fashion through marsh and forest becoming more and more riverine in nature until, near the town of Newport, it be**ames a smail coastal pbins river.**

The intertidal and subtidal portions of Bo**gue Sound are shown in Rgurc** 4, **At thc eastern cnd af the sound is the state part with maintained** depth of approximately 12 m (40 ft). The lntra**coasttd Waterway with a project depth of 3.7 m 2 ft! runs west from thc port along thc nonh** shore of the sound (Figure 3; Transect E). The

remainder of thc area is shaDow averaging 1 m ft! deep with some shalknvcr sand shoals and a few deeper "holes" that may be 3 to 4 m (9-12) **ft! dccp. The, sound is restricasd atits eastern cnd** by marshes and dredge- spoil islands.

The Back Sound and North River part of thc NRES (Figure 5) consists in its lower section of a series of branching tidally scoured channels 5 to 7 m (15-20 ft) deep (Figure 3; Transects F-G). The position of many of these channels is con**stantly shifting, particularly in thc area between Bird Shoal and Shacklcford Banks, Two large** $marsh$ areas, Middle Marsh and the North River

marghes, restrict the water surface area in the lower portion of this area. The eastern boundary of this part of the NRES cannot be fixed in space or time since waters of Back Sound and the Straits are freely connected with those of Core Sound. Although it has not been studied, the exlers of water exchange across this boundary is probably dependent upon the tides acting together with variations in wind speed and direc-

The North River is a well-defined body of water. There are several small, undredged channels in its lower portion. In the upper part of the North River, there is no main channel and the water is very shallow, averaging 1 m (3 ft) deep south of the US 70 causeway and bridge and less

than 30 cm (1 ft) deep north of the bridge. The estuary ends in a number of small tidal creeks that drain the surrounding swamp forest. Except for a short bridge, the upper most part of the North River is almost completely isolated by the US 70 causeway. The impact of this causeway has not been investigated.

Tides and Hydrography

The hydrography of the NRES is in large part determined by semidiumal (twice daily) tides of approximately 1 m (3 ft) height. The recent study by Klavans (1983) of the lower NRES has provided a detailed picture of the tides and tidal currents of the system. In addition, volumes

Figure 4. Eastern and central part of the Bogue Sound part of the NRES.

of water movement, tidal exchange rates and tidal currents have been studied in the Newport River estnary (Brett 1963, Cronin 1979, Culliney 1969, Hettler 1974, Hyle 1976, Jennings et al. 1970, Mohammad 1961, Pinschmidt 1963) and to a lesser extent in Bogue Sound (Brett 1963).

Hyle (1976) showed that sea bed drifters released in the Beaufort Inlet can be carried into Bogue and Back Sounds as well as the main body of the Newport River estuary, thus confirming the observed tidal flow of water into and out of these areas apparent to everyone who has had experience on these waters. In addition, it is common knowledge that the patterns and volumes of water flow within the shallow sounds is profoundly influenced by wind speed and direction. For instance, during prolonged strong northeasterly winds, water will move from the Parntico Sound through Core Sound to Back Sound and then out the Beaufort Inlet (Thayer 1969, Gutsell 1930). No doubt strong southwesterly winds will reverse this flow. Similar

19

flow patterns probably occur in Bogue Sound also, especially with winds from the east (unusual) or the west. There have been no quantitative studies of these wind-induced flows.

Physically and hydrographically, the Newport River estuary, considered here as a part of the NRES, can itself be subdivided into two distinct parts. The main body of the estuary extends from the Beaufort Inlet to the Narrows (Figure 2) and is roughly 15 km (9.3 mi) in length and 4 to 5 km (2.5-3.1 mi) in width in its broadest segment (Mohammad 1961). This main section has an area of 26 km² (10 mi²) (Hyle 1976). Jennings et al. (1970) said that this portion of the estuary had an average low tide depth of about 1 m (3 ft) and a tidal range of 0.8 m (2.6 ft) . Hyle (1976) calculated a mean high water depth of 1.2 $m(3.9 \text{ ft})$ and a high tide volume between the Beaufort and Morehead City causeway and the Narrows of 31 x 10⁴m³ (40.5 x 10⁶ yd²). Low water volume was calculated to be $17 \times 10^6 \text{m}^3$ $(22.2 \times 10^6 \text{ yd}^3)$, giving a tidal volume of 14 x

 $10⁶m³$ (18.3 x 10⁶ yd³) which is 45 percent of the total high tide volume. Based upon these calculations, nearly one half of the volume of the main **part of the estuary moves in and out with each tide.**

The second part of the estuary, that which continues above the Nanows, differs ignificantly from **the main body of the estuary. This** section consists of a narrow rectangular channel which meanders through a combination of low salinity salunarsh (Spartina cynosuroides) and pine forest for approximately 27 km (16.8 mi). The exact point at which the estuary ends and the **river begins varies, depending upon how far upsueam the tidal flow of sca water extends. The** tidal flow of water up and down the lower por**tion of this section of the estuary is very suong.** Cronin (1979) estimated that 1×10^6 m³ (1.3 x 10⁶) **yd'! of water moves through tis narrow channel** with each half tidal cycle,

Mohammad 961! calculated that the entire Newport River estuary from Beaufort inlet to the town of Newport contained 73 x 10⁶m³ (95.4 x **1 y' ytP! of water at low tide and had an intertidal** volume of $29 \times 10^6 \text{m}^3$ (37.9 x 10⁶ yd³). This would equal a high tide volume of 102 x 10⁴m³ (133 x 10⁶ yd³). Subtracting Hyle's (1976) esti**mate of the** high **tide volume of that segment** of **the estuary from** the **causeway** to **thc Narrows 1 x I y'm', 40.5 x IV' yd'! from Mohainmad's 961! volume of the total** Newport **estuary** 02 $x 10⁶$ m³; 133 x 10^{6} γ d³) results in an estimate that **the** portion **of the Newport River estuary lying between the Beaufort Inlet and the causeway** combined with that above the Narrows has a high tide volume of approximately 71×10^4 m³ **92.5 x 1 y'** yd'!. **This volume is not possible given the sizes and** depths **of these two seginents,** Since neither Hyle (1976) nor Mohammad **961! show the steps in their calculations, it is** not clear which is the more appropriate set of figures to use.

Estimates of the total volume of the NRES **csn be made using tbe areas from Table l. As**suming an average depth of 1 m (3.28 ft) at low **tide then the estimated volume of the Newport River estuary, Bogue Sound and Back Sound/ North River estuary pmtions of the area would bc 31 x 10⁶m³ (40.5 x 10⁶ yd³), 77 x 10⁶m³ (101) x** 10⁶ yd³), and 60 x 10⁶m³ (78.4 x 10⁶ yd³), respectively. Thus the total volume of the NRES **would be 168 x 10⁶m³ (220 x 10⁶ yd³)**

Hettler (1974) measured the volume of wa**ter entering the Newport River esmary north of the Beaufort/Morehead City causeway on a flood tide on August 21, 1973. He measured the flow using synoptic current meter uansects across four channels: the channel under the Newport River**

bridge, thc channel under the Beaufort bridge, the channel under the Pivers Island bridge and the channel between Beaufort and Pivcts Island, Adding the flow under the Newport River bridge and the Beaufort bridge gave the torsi flood tide volume of 42 x 10⁴m³ (54.9 x 10⁴) **yd'!. This volume is ttuee times that of Hyle** (1976) , who calculated a volume of 14×10^4 m³ $(18.3 \times 10^6 \text{ yd}^3)$ between the causeway and the Narrows, and 1.4 times that of Mohammad **961!, who esumated a tidal volume of29 x** $10⁴m³$ (37.9 x 10^{\bullet} yd^p) for the entire estuary from the inlet to Newport. Hettler's (1974) data **aihwedhim to calculate the percentage of the** total flow passing through each of the four chan**nels; 87 pcrccru under the Newport River** bridge and 13 percent under the Beaufort bridge. **The 13 percent was made up of 6 percent flowing between Pivers Iland and Beattfort, with 7 percent Ilowing under the Pivets Iland bridge.**

According to Klavans (1983), the tides in the NRES begin as a semidiumal (twice a day) **tide** arriving **at Bcaufort InlcL Because the tides** are mainly lunar, each successive wave occurs **approximately 25 minutes later. The tidal wave travels as a progressive damped wave at an av**erage speed of approximately 2 knots (1.02 m/s) sec) as it progresses up the estuary. Away from the inlet the tide becomes mixed, mainly semidiumal, meaning that there is a slight difference **between the height of successive high cr low stands** of **water. Moving inland away from the inlet he height of the tide wave decreases.** In **the Newport River estuary. this attenuation** is low, averaging 0.1 ft/mi (1.9 cm/km), because **thc wave is partially reflected off the northern shore. Attenuarion in Bogue and Back sounds i** much greater, averaging 0.4 ft/mi (7.6 cm/km), because there is no reflection. Due to frictional **drag, the maximum flood artd ebb tide currents** precede the times of high and low water. At the **inlet, maxitnum flood currents ocxur 1.4 hours before high water, and maximutn ebb currents occur 1,8 hours befae low water. Three miles upsueam in thc rniddle of thc Newport River estuary maximum flood currents precede high water by 3.1 hours, and maximum ebb currents** precede low water by 2.8 hours.

In addition to the very detailed studies published by Klavans (1983), more simplified information on the tides in several parts of the system is available from two annual publications of the **Nationttl Ocean Survey, National Oceamc and** Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department **of Commerce: Tide Tab/erfor the East Coast** of *North and South America and Tidal Current* Tables for the Atlantic Coast of North America. **Fcr the most part, the data in these volumes are**

restricted to locations near the inlets. According to these tables, the mean tide range at Pivers Island is 0.91 m (3.0 ft) , and the spring tide is 1.1 m (3.6 ft). Maximum current velocities in the chanrels near Beaufort inlet range from 50 to 100 cm/sec (1-2 knots). According to Mohammad (1961), the tide tables for 1960 indicated a mean and spring tide range of 0.76 m (2.5 ft) and 0.91 m (3.0 ft) , respectively. This suggests that the nidal ranges have increased over the past two decades, perhaps as a result of increases in the

depth and width of the channel through the inIet.
As discussed by Klavans (1983), the times for high and low tides are delayed in proportion
to the distance away from the inlet with he wave moving at an average speed of approximately 2 knots (1.02 m/sec) . In the most extreme case, Mohammad (1961) found that near Newport the time of low tide was delayed 3 hours and the
time of high tide 2.5 hours from the tides near the inlet. At a station located 1 km (0.62 mi) upstream from the Narrows, Cullincy (1969) reported that the tides were one to two hours later than those predicted for Pivers Island. Brett (1963) reported that the tides in the center of Bo-gue Sound occurred approximately three hours later than the tides at the Beaufort Inlet. Con-
tinuous records of tides are made by the National Ocean Survey tide station at the Duke University Marine Laboratory, and the records are available
from the NOS office in Rockville, Maryland. In **hem** tie NOS oQ rcc in Rockville, Maryland. In **addition, for** tie past 22 years the U5, Army Corps of Engineers has kept **a** record every eight hours of tide height and current direction at he **Cote Creek** bridge.

Freshwater flow can be an important con-
pributor to current velocities above the mouth of the Narrows. However, where the estuary wid**ens** below the Narrows, tidal and wind drive currents are responsible for most of tie mass water movements. In addition to the tidal current vehocities reported in the U.S. Department of Cornmerce Tidal Current Tables, there have been several research reports that include measurements af **tidal** cumcnts. Near Bcaufat Inlet, Klavans (1983) reported mean maximum flood **speeds of 1.0 m/scc** 28 **ft/sec!** and **mean** maximum cbb speeds of 0.91 m/sec (2.98 ft/sec). .98 fl/sec!. **Speeds then** tkccnmscd at **statior»** krcatcd up **the** estuary 5nm the inlet. and the flood or cbb speed depended upon station location.

Mohammad (1961) measured surface current speed and direction on the ebb and flood tide at 13 locations between Beaufort Inlet and Newport (Figure 6). Strongest currents were found in
the middle of the main ship channel near Beaufort Inlet and in the Intracoastal Waterway between Beaufort Inlet and Core Creek (1.1-0.35

 m/sec ; 3.6-1.14 f(/sec). Currents within the Narrows were somewhat weaker (0.38-0.21 m/sec: $1.25-0.69$ ft/sec) and the currents in the shallow open estuary were the weakest measured (0.37- 0.06 m/sec; $1.21 - 0.20$ ft/sec). Surface current velocities were slightly higher on the ebb as compared to the Good tide. Due to the interference of wind-generated currents, Mohammad (1961) did not measure tidal currents when wind velocities exceeded 16 km/hr (10 mi/hr).

Culliney (1969) in an appendix to his thesis lists current velocity data from four locations between Pivers Island and the Narrows. He measured velocities hourly far one complete tidal cycle during four seasons. Maximum current vekorities ranged from 0.84 to 1.65 km/hr (0.23- 0.45 m/sec; 0.75 -1.48 ft/sec), considerably less than those observed by Mohammad (1961). However, Culliney's stations were located out of thc main axis of tie channels and would be expected to have lower current velocities. Culliney (1969) also noted that at his station located in the middle of the estuary the winds strongly influenced thc velocity direction and speed) of the surface currents.

Tidal currents have been discussed m great detail by Cronin (1979) for the Narrows (Table 2). He reported currents that might be considered "typical" for estuarine waters: stronger flows downstream and longer cbb flow times near the surface. Flood tidal currents were stronger and longer lasting near the bouom. He averaged the currents at 0.5 m (1.64 ft) depths over a number of tidal cycles in an effort to calculate the residual nontidal current (the net speed and distance traveled) and the depth of no net motion depth at which aII the water flowing downstream past a point on the ebb would flow upstream on the flood). After the second day of his first study (Table 2), there was massive freshwater runoff following heavy rains. This runoff resulted in a large downstream residual current (net flow) and a large average displacement per tidal cycle. Differences between surface and bottom illustrate the still present, but mostly overpowered, bonom Good tide water movement. The last study (#4, Table 2) shows conditions that are probably more typical for the estuary. At this time the average residual current downstream was on the surface and upstream was on the bottom with a "depth of no net motion" in between. Maximum tidal currents averaged approximately 0.50 m/sec (1.64 ft/sec) except during the period of heavy runoff when current velocities measured greater than 0.50 m/sec on the ebb tide and less than 0.50 m/scc an thc flood tide,

'Ibe data on currents in Bogue Sound are fewer than for the Newport River estuary. Klavans (1983) had two stations in the Intracoas**el Waterway in Bogue Sound. At the Atlaruic Beach bridge, maximum flood speed was 0.73 m/sec -.39 ft/sec! and maximum ebb speed was 0.74 m/sec (2.43 ft/sec). South of Sugarioaf Is**land maximum speeds were 0.58 m/sec (1.90 ft/ **sec) and 0.83 m/sec (2.72 ft/sec), respectively.** Brett (1963) reported maximum tidal current ve**locities in the eastern end of Bogue Sound of 1.1** mph (0.50 m/sec; 1.64 ft/sec) and maximum ve**locities of 0.46 mph (0.20 m/sec; 0.66 ft/sec) in**

the rniddle section of the sound.

In the Back Sound and North River portion of the NRES, Klavans (1983) had two stations. In the channel between Middle Marsh and Carrot **Island, he reported a maximum flood speed of** 0.44 m/sec (1.44 ft/sec) and a maximum ebb speed of 0.66 m/sec (2.16 ft/sec). In a channel between Shackleford and Middle Marsh, the speeds were 0.71 m/sec (2.33 ft/sec) flood and 0.54 m/sec (1.77 ft/sec) ebb. Fonseca et al. (1983) reported an average maximum tidal velocity of 0.45 m/sec (1.48 ft/sec) at a site near **Middle Marsh,**

Mixing and Exchange Rates

The NRES is usually well-mixed vertically by the winds and tidal currents. Occasionally, slight density gradients exist in the shallow open waters during periods of calm winds and/or heavy rainfall. More frequently, slight density stratification can be found in the deeper channels due to small differences in temperature and/or salinity between surface and bottom waters. Only in the protected and deep headwaters, such as the estuary above the Narrows, does strong density stratification occur very often. The NRES can thus be classified as a typical vertically homogeneous estuary.

Several estimates of exchange rates and horizontal mixing have been made for the Newport River estuary. Mohammad (1961) estimated an exchange ratio of 28 percent, with this ratio defined as the proportion of water moving seaward during each tidal cycle that does not return on the following flood ride. He assumed complete mixing of waters within the estuary and no return into the inlet of water that exited on the ebb tide. These two assumptions are undoubtedly false. Mohammad's exchange ratios should be considered as a theoretical maximum. Jennings

et al. (1970) estimated flushing times, i.e. the time necessary for material entering the system via the Newport River to pass through the estuary. These flushing rate calculations were based upon estimates of river flow rates, tidal volume and bathymetry of the estuary. The report used a modified tidal prism method but showed no calculations and gave no references for the method. Their flushing times varied from 4.5 days (8.7 tidal cycles) during a period of estimated high river flow (11.2 m³/sec, 17.8x10⁶ ft³/tidal cycle) to 9.6 days (18.4 tidal cycles) during estimated periods of low flow (0.4 m³/sec, 0.6x10^s ft³/tidal cycle) with a mean of 6.4 days (12.3 tidal cycles). Jennings et al. (1970) also suggested that there was some exchange of water with the Neuse River estuary via the Core Creek Canal, but they did not quantify it.

The most complete estimates of flushing rates have been made by Hyle (1976). He used the tidal prism method of Ketchum (1951), plotting high tide, low tide and tidal prism volumes as a function of distance down the estuary from the Narrows to the Beaufort/Morehead City causeway (Figure 2). He then divided the estuary into seven segments using the tidal prism method. Exchange ratios for each segment were

Figure 7. Exchange ratios and flushing times (rit) for segments of the Newport River estuary (from Ayle, 1976!.

calculated by $r = P_y/(P_x + V_y)$, where r_a is the exchange ratio, P_{μ} is the intertidal volume, and V_{μ} is the low tide volume of the "n"th segment. Hyle apparently used the same technique as Mohammad (1961), except that Hyle divided the estuary into seven segments. Mohammad considered the whole estuary as one segment.

The results of Hyle's (1976) calculations (Figure 7) show flushing times increasing downstream as the volume of the segments becomes greater. Total flushing time was estimated to be 12.06 **tidal** cydes of 626 days, using 1.925 tidal cycles/day as a conversion factor. This flushing time is **very** close to the mean of 12.3 tidal cycles estimated by Jennings et al. (1970).

These estimates assume that none of the tidal water ebbing out of the lower segment returns on the next flood tide and that complete

mixing occurs within a segment. Thus within each segment these exchange rates should be considered theoretical maximum rates.

Mixing and exchange rates **for** Bogue Sound and for the Back Sound!'North River area have not been estimated. Hyle's (1976) sea bed drifter data and Kazarian's (1983) dye movement data show that water from the Newport River estuary is probably mixed into the two other parts of the system with every tidal cyde. The rare of this mixing and how it is influenced by winds π mains to be investigated.

Temperature

The water temperature data available for the NRES is voluminous. The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and the Duke Univer-

sity Marine Laboratory (DUML) maintain records of water temperature in the Beaufort Channel. The University of North Carolina Institute of Marine Sciences (IMS) keeps records of water temperature in Bogue Sound. And most of the field studies that have been done in the area report temperatures. The earliest complete annual record of water temperatures (Coker 1923) could serve as a predictor of the annual cycle of ternperatures today.

The most extensive set of temperature data is that of the NMFS laboratory. This data set consists of a computerized summary of continuous recordings from five stations in the Newport River estuary in the late 1960s and 1970s (1) . Willis, in preparation).

Water temperature in the NRES varies seasonally with changes in air temperature. Since the estuarine system is very shallow, it has a relatively large surface to volume ratio. This ratio coupled with the rapid tidal and wind mixing means that water temperatures can change very rapidly in response to changes in air temperature. Figure 8 (Kirby-Smith 1982) is a plot of maximum and minimum daily water temperatures for a three-year period taken at Pivers Island. The data illustrate three types of temporal variability in water temperatures. On a daily basis there is usually a 1 C to 3 C difference between maximum and minimum temperature associated with the tides. In the winter (January-February), maximum temperatures usually coincide with high tide (oceanic water); minimum, with low tides (estuarine water). The reverse is true during the summer (July-August). A second temporal cycle is evident in Figure 7 as a one to two work "saw tooth" pattern. These weekly variations are the result of passing weather fronts that during the spring and fall can result in a 10 C change in water temperature over a few days. In the spring and fall, the passing fronts cause an approximate 5 C change over periods of one week. If the data shown in Figure 8 were plotted as biweekly or monthly mean water temperatures, then the curves would be smoothed and would show a very regular pattern of seasonal changes with minimum biweekly mean temperatures near 5 C in January and February and maximum biwockly mean temperatures near 29 C in July and August.

The seasonal pattern in mean weekly water temperatures was analyzed in detail by Henter and Chester (1982) from 1962 to 1981. Based upon the results of their analyses, weekly mean water temperatures can best be predicted by the following equation:

 $T_e = 17.88 - 5.20$ Sin(2PiW/52) - 7.94 Cos(2PiW/52)

where W is the week of the year (1-52). They also found a strong correlation $(r^2 = 0.97)$ between mean weekly air and water temperatures on an annual cycle. However, examination of the relationship when mean weekly water temperatures were below 12 C showed a much poorer relationship between air and water temperatures $(r^2 = 0.67)$.

In addition to the regular seasonal differences in temperature, there are significant but unpredictable differences from year to year (Figure 8). For instance, the winter of 1976-1977 was extremely cold but short. A minimum temperature of near 0 C occurred on January 25, 1977. However the temperature had warmed to 12 C by March 1. In 1978, the winter temperatures were not as cold, but the winter lasted longer. In contrast, 1979 would be considered a mild winter with only a few days when the water temperature remained below $5C$ with a very rapid warming in the last week of February. Summer differences also occur from year to year, although the maximum temperature recorded is usually around 30 C. In 1983, a record-breaking heat wave during the late summer resulted in maximum daily water temperatures at Pivers Island remaining at or above 30 C from about mid-July to mid-September (Duke Marine Laboratory records). The highest water temperature recorded was 32.8 C on August 22 which, using he DUML data, was a record for the Beaufort Channel for the period 1970 to 1984. Furgeson (personal communication) reports similar highs (32.5 C) during late August and early September 1970.

In addition to its variability in time, temperature also varies significantly in space in the Newport River estuary. Furgeson (personal communication) has observed a 10 C change over a 1 km distance between the Narrows and Cross Rock. Other spacial variability occurs in the shallow water covering the intertidal flats. In the summer with a low tide in the middle of the day the shallow waters over the flats may be 5 C warmer than the nearby channels, and temperatures in isolated tide pools may be 10 C warmer (personal observation). In the winter, the reverse is true.

Temperatures in the open waters of the estuary vary much less from place to place. Pinschmidt (1963) found slightly cooler temperatures summer and winter in the fresh headwaters of the estuary near Newport, but he also noted very little differences in temperatures among locations in the main body of the estuary. Rice and Furgeson (1975) compared a continuous record of temperature from a location near Phillips Island in Gallants Channel (Station 1) with that at

Figure 8.

 \mathfrak{f}

Í

Maximum and minimum daily water temperatures in the Beaufort Channel at the **Duke University** Marine Laboratory dock for 1977 to 1979 (from Kirby-Smith, 1982).

a location above the Narrows at Fishing Camp (Station 5). At Station 1, the mean annual temperanne was 19.0 C with a range of 31.0 C to 2.1 C and a maximum hourly gradient of 4.0 C. At Station 5, the mean was 18.0 C , the range was 32.5 C to 0.0 C, and the maximum hourly gradient was 6.2 C. Mohammad (1961) and Hyle (1976) remarked that there was little difference in temperature among their stations in the estuary. The very significant differences in temperature between locations as shown in Hyle (1976) are probably due to sampling on two separate dates. Brett (1963) reported relatively high temperatures (32 C to 33 C) for Bogue Sound in September 1959. This data suggests that Bogue Sound may have slightly higher summer maximum temperatures as compared with waters off Pivers Island.

The only long-term recorded water temperature for the North River is a computerized set of data from 1971 to the present. These data are taken once a month (March-November) at two stations, one at the U.S. 70/Bridge and a second upstream where the system narrows.

Salinity

Salinity, the total amount of dissolved solids in one kilogram of seawater, is expressed here as ppt (parts per thousand). Estuaries are defined, in part, as places where seawater is measurably diluted by fresh water. Since the time, place and amount of fresh water entering the NRES from precipitation and runoff from rivers and creeks is predictable only within wide limits, it is not surprising that satinity is highly variable in time and

space. It might be expected that the ultimate limits on salinities in an estuary would be from fresh water (0 ppt) to open ocean seawater (34.5 ppt). However, in the NRES evaporation in the summer can frequently raise salinity in the protected waters of the estuary and sounds to 36 to 38 ppt.

Next to temperature, salinity has been the most commonly recorded environmental variable in the published literature relevant to the NRES. These records vary from detailed tidal cycle studies at one time and place (for example, Cronin 1979) to 17-year monthly averages (Williams and Deubler 1968). The most extensive salinity record collected in the NRES was at the NMFS laboratory, which collected continuous records of salinity at five stations over a several years during the late 1960s and 1970s (J. Willis, in preparation). The stations in this study were located as follows: (1) Gallants Channel across from Phillips Island, (2) in the middle of the estuary opposite the mouth of Core Creek, (3) in the middle of the estuary opposite Harlowe Creek, (4) in the small channel of the southern tip of Cross Rock, and (5) at Fishing Camp approximately 4 km upstream from the Narrows.

Historically, the Winslow (1886) published the earliest report that dealt with salinity. He measured specific gravities at different tidal stages and seasons. Wheeler (1910) quantitatively analyzed the chemical composition and reported specific gravities for four samples of seawater from the Newport River estuary. Hoyt (1920) reported maximum, minimum and mean monthly seawater density for 1913 to 1914 at Pivers Island (Table 3). The most extensive (temporally) early report of salinity published for

Table 3. Mean monthly seawater density readings based upon daily (5 p.m.) readings taken at Pivers Island from 1913 to 1914 by Hoyt (1920). Salinities are estimates using Hoyi's (1920) mean monthly temperatures (Hoyt's Table 9 for 1913-1914) as the temperature at the time of density measurement combined with Zerbe and Taylor (1953) seawater temperature and density reduction tables.

Table 4.

Maximum and minimum salinities recorded at Pivers Island from 1924 to 1928 and based on daily hydrometer readings (Guisell 1930).

the NRES was that of Gutsell (1930). He presented a summary of four years (1924-1928) of data from daily hydrometer (specific gravity converted to salinity) readings at Pivers Island (Table 4). Williams and Deubler (1968) provided long-term salinity data, particularly for Bogue Sound. Unpublished records of salinity are currently kept for Bogue Sound at the Institute of Marine Sciences and, on occasion, at the Duke University Marine Laboratory for the Beaufort Channel. Numerous records of salinity (discrete samples taken periodically) exist in the published record (Allwein 1966, Culliney 1969, Brett 1963, Chestnut 1952, Committo 1976, Hyle 1976, Kruczynski 1971, 1973, Maturo 1956, Mohammad 1961, Pinschmidt 1963, Schwartz and Chestnut 1973, Williams et al. 1973).

The temporal and spacial variability of salinity in the NRES are well illustrated in Figure 9 (Pinschmidt 1963). Monthly means of weekly collections, obtained alternately at low and high tides, are presented for five stations located in a transect from Beaufort Inlet to Newport. Surface and bottom salinities are presented for a one-year period, August 1960 to July 1961. Although the extremes may not have been measured by Pinschmidt (1963), his data (Figure 9) are representative of the patterns that have been observed in the estnary. Salinity near the Beaufort Inlet is high with relatively little variation, averaging between 30 and 35 ppt. Moving up the estuary the salinity drops and becomes more variable. Beyond the Narrows, salinities fall to near zero at Newport. In general, bottom salinities are slightly higher than surface salinities, suggesting some stratification. However, because windmixing is so strong in these shallow waters (at

least below the Narrows), this slight stratification probably has little biological ignificance. Seasonally, salinities are usually lower in the winter and spring due to lower evaporation and transpiration in he marshes. However, temporal pattern of rainfall does not have a strong seasonal component, and occasional decreases in salinity can be expected during any month of the year.

Rice and Ferguson (1975) published a oneyear summary (Table 5) of the salinity data collected from the five stations in the Newport River estuary. Cross Rock and the estuary opposite Core Creek had the greatest range in salinities (30 ppt), but Fishing Camp had the greatest maximum hourly gradient, 20.0 ppt, a value not much different from the annual range, 26.3 ppt. Data from the estuary opposite Core Creek are more variable than those from stations upstream or downstream. This suggests a possible influence of Neuse River estuary water moving into the Newport via the Intracoastal Waterway. Ignoring this station, it is apparent that salinity variability increases upstream on an annual range and in maximum hourly gradients. In the future, more detailed analysis of these data will probably address the question of temporal and spatial variability in greater detail (J. Willis, in preparation).

The greatest documented short-term reductions in salinities occurred following very heavy rainfall in the auturnn (possibly associated with a tropical storm). A measurement of 6 ppt was taken at Pivers Island in September 1924 (Gutsell 1930) and 10.2 ppt at Pivers Island following a hurricane in September 1955 (Wells 1958). Higher than oceanic salinities are occasionally recorded during summer months associated with drought and high evaporation (Gutsell 1930,

Figure 9. Patterns in time and space of salinity (ppi) at five locations in the Newport River estuary. Data are monthly means of weekly collections obtained alternately at low and high tides at five stations located in the Newport River estwary (from Pinschmidt, 1963).

Table 5.

Fluctuations in salinity (ppt) at f stations in the **Newport River** estuary from Oct 1969 to Oct. 197 (Rice and Fergu 1975)

Culliney 1969, Krucsynski 1971, Thayer et al. 1980). The highest published salinity of open waters in the NRES is 49.7 ppt at Cross Rock in November 1974 (Committo 1976). However it is unlikely that any appreciable volume of water had such a salinity for more than a few hours due to the rapid mixing of estuarine waters.

Salinities of Bogue Sound water are generally higher and less variable than those at Pivers Island in the lower Newport River estuary (Williams and Deubler 1968). A monthly mean salinity comparison between Pivers Island (1947-1949) and Bogue Sound at the Institute of Marine Science (1949-1966) indicates that seawater had mean low values in March of 25.5 ppt at Pivers Island and 29.7 ppt at Bogue Sound and mean high values of 33.0 ppt in July at Pivers Island and 33.7 ppt in June at Bogue Sound. Kruczynski (1973) measured salinities along a transect through Bogue Sound from Bogue to Beaufort Inlets on three dates. The data show decreasing salinities from the two inlets with a minimum value closer to Beaufort than Bogue Iniet. Brett (1963) observed a 1 to 2 ppt increase in salinity from the north to the south shore of Bogue Sound and attributed this to freshwater runoff from the creeks along the mainland (north) shore. Campbell (1973) measured salinity in Gales Creek, located off Bogue Sound, for one year. His data have a typical estuarine pattern: high values at the mouth of the creek, fresh water on the surface of the headwaters, frequent stratification in the upper reaches and much lower salinities throughout the system following heavy rainfall.

The N.C. Division of Marine Fisheries has collected the most extensive set of salinity data for the North River. It has data from two stations, #46-U.S. 70 Bridge and CC5 upstream where the estuary narrows. The data, taken from 1971 to 1986, consists of data taken once a month from March through November. Those data indicate that salinity in the North River is very similar to that in the Newport River with upstream values from 0 ppt to over 30 ppt depending upon local rainfall. Some salinity data for the Back Sound/North River area are given in Williams et al. (1973). Data from Thayer et al.

(1980) suggest that salinities are relatively high (average 34-35 ppt) in the area between Beaufort Inlet and Harkers Island. A horizontal salinity gradient must occur in the North River estuary. Except for the headwaters (Kirby-Smith and Barber 1979), no detailed studies of salinity or other water quality parameters are available for this arca.

Short-term (tidal) variations in salinity can be very significant depending upon the location. Culliney's (1969) data from his 12-hour tidal cycle studies (Figure 10) illustrate the tidal variability in salinity as a function of location in the estuary. In the Newport River estuary, the least salinity variability associated with the tides was found near the inlet (Station 1) and again in the middle of the estuary between Harlow Creek and Lawton Point (Station 3). Greater salinity variations existed at Station 2, and the greatest variability observed was at the Narrows (Station 4). The low variability in tidal salinity changes in the middle of the estuary was also noted by Hyle (1976). Jennings et al. (1970) suggested that drainage from the Neuse River via Core Creek canal may be responsible for the high variability in the area between Phillips Island and the entrance to Core Creek. Such increased variability near Core Creek was also present in the data of Rice and Ferguson (1975). It had a maximum hourly gradient of 12.8 ppt. Palumbo (1978) recorded means and standard deviations for salinity over a 24-hour period at three locations and three dates in 1978 in the Newport River estuary. His data showed very low salinities and low variability at a station half way up the Narrows, intermediate but highly variable salinity at a point between the Narrows and Cross Rock, and high salinities with low variability at Pivers Island. Cronin (1979) occupied stations 2 to 4 km (1.2 - 2.5 mi) upstream from the mouth of the Narrows on four occasions. He sampled every hour at 0.5 m (1.6 ft) depth intervals over fourday periods. At the beginning of his study 1, salinities were oscillating between 2 ppt to 3 ppt at low tide and up to 28 ppt at high tide. Following heavy rainfall, the salinities dropped to near zero on low and high tides. More typical for this area are Cronin's (1979) studies 2 to 4 that show sa-

Figure 10. Short-term variations in salinity (ppt) in the Newport River estuary. Data (maximun. minimum, range) are from 12-hour tidal cycles during the four seasons (from Culliney, 1969).

linity varying over 15 ppt to 20 ppt during a tidal cycle. As discussed above, this location in the Narrows has the most variable salinities that have been reported (Rice and Ferguson 1975) for the Newport River estuary and a maximum hourly gradient of 20.0 ppt.

Possible long-term shifts in average salinities in the Newport River estuary were discussed by Culliney (1969). To explain a shift in shipworm species present at Pivers Island over a 70-year period, Cuilliney (1969) compared his salinity data with that of Atwood and Johnson (1924). He indicated that salinities had increased significantly at Pivers Island. He attributed this

shift to the enlargement of the main ships channel through Beaufort Inlet and thus an increased tidal flow. Other sets of salinity data support the idea that salinities in the Newport River are higher on the average today than they were prior to the 1930s. Table 3 presents data from 1913 to 1914 (Hoyt 1920) collected at Pivers Island. It suggests that the maximum, minimum and mean salinities are lower than the recent values collected at the Duke Marine Laboratory dock on Pivers Island by the author. A more extensive set of early data (Table 4) comes from Gutsell (1930). These data are based upon summaries of daily hydrometer readings taken at Pivers Island

for several years. Comparing these data with the recent data, the maximum salinity values for the summer months 1925 to 1928 are similar to those recorded recently. But the minimum values are almost always lower, particularly during the winter months. These data thus support Culliney's (1969) suggestion. One set of data that does not support this idea is that of Allwein (1966). She measured high tide salinity values in the main channel between Radio Island and Beaufort Inlet once a week from 1964 to 1965. Her values ranged from a high near 32 ppt in August 1964 and 1965 to a low of approximately 22 ppt in July 1964. Because her samples were all taken just inside the inlet at high tide, it is probable that there was a systematic error 3 ppt to 4 ppt lower than actually existed. But despite this error, her data suggest a considerably lower salinity regime than exists at present.

It is possible that what appears to be longterm shifts in salinity are in fact alternating wet and dry periods that may span several years. By comparing salinity records with rainfall and/or river runoff data, perhaps we could answer the question of whether or not long-term salinity changes have occurred in the Newport River estuary. Furthermore, a detailed study of the effects of channel enlargement via dredging might suggest whether the changes in physical circulation could have resulted in salinity changes. However, one potential problem with the salinity record lies in the possibility of systematic errors in the original measurements due to differences in the techniques used over the years.

Rainfall

Rainfall data for the NRES (Table 6) sug-

gest that there is little seasonality to the pattern of precipitation in eastern North Carolina. There is n o pronounced wet or dry season. The greatest average monthly rainfall occurs in the late summer and is associated with afternoon and evening thunderstorms. The least rainfall is in the spring. However, because of the low evaporation and transpiration rates in the winter (December-February), this time is considered the wettest. Also runoff into the estuary is probably greater because the soil is frequently saturated. The U.S. Department of Commerce's National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration is the primary source for rainfall data. Local sources vary. Although currently the Atlantic Beach Police Department, the University of North Carolina Institute of Marine Sciences, and Open Grounds Farm, Inc. maintain daily records. Data are also available from the Cherry Point Marine Air Base in Havelock, N.C. They log meterological data every hour.

Stream Discharge

Discharge data are not kept for any streams entering the NRES and the nearest station is located on the Neuse River at Kinston. These data, available from the U.S. Geological Survey, would be of no value in the NRES except that water can flow from the Neuse River estuary into the Newport River via the Core Creek Canal. As mentioned previously, tide height and current direction data are recorded every eight hours by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers at the Core Creek bridge. The Kinston/Neuse River data are indicative of regional (Southeastern U.S.) rainfall patterns and thus might be useful interpreting long-term trends in salinity.

Table 6.

Rainfall (in inches) for the NRES. Data for 1966 to 1968 (from Culliney, 1969) represent an average of Morehead City and Cherry Point Marine Base data. The data for 1975 to 1976 are from Kirby-Smith and Barber (1979) taken at Open Grounds Farm about 8 miles from the Newport River estuary. The 10year average is from Cape Hatteras (NOAA 1977).

Jennings et al. (1970) estimated stream flow in the Newport River for 1968 based upon rainfall, temperatureand the area of the watershed. Monthly values ranged from a low of 0.4 m³/sec (0.52 yd⁹/sec) in August to a high of 11.2 m³/sec (14.6 yd³/sec) in January. The annual (January-December 1968) average was 4.2 m3/sec (5.5 yd⁹/sec). Evans (1977) states that the average flow of the Newport River is 3.6 m³/sec (4.7 yd³/ sec). This estimate was based upon the data of Jennings et al. (1970) and used November 1967 to October 1968 for averaging.

Dissolved Oxygen

The amount of dissolved oxygen is an important indicator of the biological activity in the water and the ability of the water to support life. Oxygen enters the water through diffusion across the air/water interface and is subsequently mixed through the water column. Oxygen also enters the water via the photosynthetic activities of green plants during the daylight hours. Almost all organisms, plant and animal, require oxygen for metabolism. However, some organisms are more tolerant of low oxygen concentrations than others. At saturation, the amount of oxygen in the water increases with decreasing temperature and decreasing salinity. In addition to the actual amount of oxygen in the water, the percent oxygen saturation of the water is often reported. This is a normalizing technique that allows comparisons of oxygen from samples which differ in temperature and salinity.

Oxygen has rarely been reported in field studies of the NRES. Four systematic studies renort oxygen concentrations over time at several places. Mohammad (1961), Pinschmidt (1963). and Hyle (1976) provided data for the Newton River estuary. Campbell (1973) presented detailed oxygen data for Gales Creek estuary off Bogue Sound. The oxygen concentrations reported in the first three studies are very similar so only those of Pinschmidt (1963) will be discussed (Figure 11). In the main body of the Newport River estuary (stations 1 to 3) the oxygen concentrations in surface water were all high, ranging from 6 mg/l to 10 mg/l (ppm) denending upon seasonal temperatures. At station 3, in the middle of the estuary, the bottom waters were 1 mg/l to 2 mg/l lower in oxygen than surface waters during the summer. This was the same location that had the least salinity variability with tidal changes and the weakest tidal currents. Thus low bottom oxygen concentrations in the summer at station 3 were probably a result of reduced mixing. Upstream of the mouth of the Narrows (stations 4 and 5), oxygen values decreased dramatically, especially during the summer when they ranged between 1 mg/l and 4 mg/ I in surface and bottom waters. These low oxygen values may be the result of high bacterial metabolism due to the high concentrations of dissolved and particulate organic material found entering the system in the fresh water of the river. Campbell (1973) observed the same general pattern of oxygen concentrations in Gales Creek off Bogue Sound. Kirby-Smith and Barber (1979) reported consistently low oxygen values in fresh waters entering the headwaters of North River.

A more ecologically meaningful way to examine oxygen concentrations is in terms of per-

Table 7.

Percent saturation with $or *real* of surface $(S)$$ and bottom (B) waters (1960-1961) for five siations in the NRES (Fig. 9). Data were calculated using the oxygen concentration. temperature and salinity from Pinschmidt (1963) combined with oxygen saturation values of seawater (temperature and salinity) from a table by W. Van Winkle, College of William and Mary, based upon formulae in Green and Carriu (1967).

Averages of N (number) samples are presented except those $*$ were N=1 and $*$ were N=2.

Figure 11.
Patterns in time and space in dissolved oxygen (ppm) at five locations in the Newport River estuary. Data are monthly means of weekly collections obtained alternately at low and high tides (from Pinschmidt, 1963).

cent saturation of the water. Table 7 contains data recalculated from Pinschmidt (1963) to give mean monthly percent saturation of oxygen in the surface and bottom at each station. Several generalizations can be made from this data. (1) The open waters of the Newport River estuary have average oxygen saturation values of 90 to 100 percent year-round with values greater than 100 percent frequently occurring, probably as a result of either rapid changes in temperature or high phytoplankton productivity. (2) The average bottom water percent saturation values are almost always lower than the surface values, suggesting a relatively high biological oxygen demand (BOD) in the water column and sediments. (3) Up the smaller protected rivers and creeks from the main body of the estuary, the percent saturation values drop and are especially low in surface and bottom waters during the summer months.

Low oxygen concentrations or percent samrations occur naturally in several situations in the NRES: (1) in the bottom water of vertically stratified areas in the summer, where mixing and diffusion of oxygen from the surface cannot keep up with the BOD of the sediments and bottom water; (2) in eutrophic (nutrient-enriched) areas where supersaturation with oxygen may occur during the day when the plants are actively photosynthesizing, followed by near total depletion of oxygen during the night as the plants and animals use the oxygen faster than it can diffuse through the air/water interface; (3) in organic rich sediments where the bacterial use of oxygen exceeds diffusion or transport of oxygen into the sediments.

It is probable that many summer or early fall fish kills frequently reported in North Carolina estuaries are associated with morning (7 to 9 a.m.) oxygen minima and with the mixing of oxygen-depleted hottom waters with surface waters such that for a short time the water column may have too little oxygen for some species of fish. This would be particularly evident if there had been an accumulation of hydrogen sulfide in the bottom waters. It would cause a chemical oxygen demand as the deoxygenated water mixed with the oxygenated water. The oxygendepletion would be quickly eliminated by diffusion of oxygen from the atmosphere into the water and photosynthesis during the day, leaving no clue to the dead fish.

pH

The inverse log of the hydrogen ion concentration (pH) is used as a measure of the acidity (pH less than 7) and alkalinity (pH greater than

7) of natural waters. Seawater normally has a pH of 8.2, which is slightly alkaline. It resists changes in pH primarily through a carbonate/bicarbonate and ion buffering system. The fresh waters of coastal plain streams have a pH averaging 5 to 6 (Kuenzler et al. 1977). Small "black water" streams draining the pocosin swamp forests in Carteret County are naturally extremely acidic with an average pH of 4.2 (range of 3.4 to 5.4), and fresh water draining from developed land has a pH of near 7 (Kirby-Smith and Barber 1979). In addition to the effects of fresh water runoff, pH can also be influenced by the productivity of the water. Uptake of CO₂ by plants can raise the pH while respiration of organisms produces CO₂, lowering the pH. Changes in CO₂ due to productivity and respiration and the associated shifts in pH are most noticeable in small protected bodies of water with slow mixing (Odum and Hoskin 1958).

Figure 12 presents monthly mean pH values for five stations in the Newport River estuary (Pinschmidt 1963). The pH of the high salinity open waters of the estuary is fairly constant with values of 8 to 8.2. In areas where salinities are lowered, the pH begins to drop, reflecting the additions of more acidic fresh water. In the freshwater section of the Newport River near Newport, the pH varies between 6 and 7. Other investigations have reported very similar results (Wells 1958, Mohammad 1961, Campbell 1973, Culliney 1979, Palumbo and Ferguson 1979), and there is no reason to doubt that pH follows the same trends throughout the NRES. Diurnal changes in pH can be expected, especially during warmer months. Cycles of primary production and respiration cause increases in $CO₂$ at night and decreases during the day. Thus minimum pH values would be found in the early morning and maximum values in the early evening.

Light

The transparency of estuarine waters is important because of the influence of light on rates of primary productivity and the effects of light on the behavior of organisms. Transparency is most frequently measured by seechi disc, although electronic instruments are sometimes used to measure the quantity and quality of light as a function of water depth. Seechi depth is defined as the water depth at which a 30 cm white disc disappears from view as it is lowered through the water.

In addition to the water molecules, there are dissolved and particulate materials that result in decreased light penetration in estuarine waters. The high concentrations of dissolved humic ac-

ids in the freshwater streams entering **the NRES result inwater that is clear but has avery dark yeUow colcr. Thcsc streams are often referred to as "black water" because fram a distance the** streams appear black. For several days follow**ing very hcavy rainfsU and runoff, it is possible** to see this discolared water throughout the entire estnary.

The particulate matter that causes decreased light penetration consists of a wide size range of nonliving (silts, clays, organic detritus) and living (bacteria, phytoplankton, zooplankton) materials. By far the greatest weight of suspended materials is silts and clays (Fisher 1975). However, during high productivity, increased stand**ing stocks of pbytoplanktan can subsamtiafiy de**crease light penetration. Often during the fall **and winter, rapid debases inwater temperature** result in very clear waters, probably as a result of **phytoplanktae settling out of tie water column,**

Rice and Ferguson (1975) point out that the light entering the NRES varies seasonally with average local noon (meridian) intensities of 87 **Klux in tie winter December - January! and 170 Klux in the summer May -July!.** Morc **impartandy far plant production, daylight is longer in** the summer (14 hours 30 minutes) than in the **winter approximately 10 hours!, However, Rice** and Ferguson (1975) go on to state that, within **tie water column, turbidity extremes due ia silt and plankton have a greater impact on light** availability than does seasonal change.

Near the Beaufart Inlet in the main ships channel. Sutcliffe 950! measured sccchi depths **every two weeks for a two-year period and repaved these as extinction coefficients Extinclion Caefficicnt ~** 1.7/Sccchi **depth inm!, At** high tide, he found (recalculated here from ex**ertion coefficients! a maximum sccchi depth of** 3.7 m (12.1 ft), a minimum depth of 0.55 m (1.8) ft) and an average depth of 2.0 m (6.6 ft). At low **lide these values werc as follows; a maximum of 3.6 m (11.8 ft), a minimum of 0.76 m (2.5 ft) and** an average of 1.4 m (4.6 ft).

Pinschmidt (1963) recorded decreasing light penetration from Beaufort Inlet to the headwaters **of the estuary near Newpat Figure 13!. He re**marked that the greatest unbidity was associated with the shallow, open water just downstream **fium the Narmws, where tie wind, waves and tides kept hc silt in suspcemon. Pinschmidt** (1963) also remarked that the low light penetration in the river near Newport was due to dissolved reddish-brown humic materials, not to suspended particulates. From Figure 13, it is clear that transparency is greatest during the win**ter and hast during the spring and summer, probably as a result of decreased phytoplankton**

abundance during the **winter, At Station I in the Beaufort** Inlet, **a second peak in transparency occurred during tie early summer of1961 but was** nat **observed at thc other stations. 'Itus may have been the result of a predominance of continental shelf water in** the **inht at that time, It has been observed that extremely char Gulf Sueam-like** water with associated *Sargassum* weed sometimes appears in the lower Newport River in the **late spring and early summer. At** such **times,** light penetration can be at least as great as 4 m **3 ft! persmd observation!.**

Williams (1966) reported average extinction coefficients for two time periods (May to September and September to May, 1964-1965) at a number of stations in the NRES Core Sound **gable 8!. These data, which are based upon I** I **samples during the are- year period, have been** recalculated as secchi depths. These values are similar to those of Pinschmidt (1963), which show decreasing light penetration from the inlet **to** the **headwaters of de estuancs. WiUtams nouxl liule difference between sumtner and winter values, probably because of the relatively fcw** samples taken. North River is seen to be very similar to the Newport River in transparency.

Breu (1963) periodically measured secchi **depth along several tiansects across Bogue Sound during a one-year period. Hc repertcd that the disc was almost always visible on the bottom, thus it provided liule useful quantitative informa**tion on water transparency. However, Brett **963! quaiimively described the turbidity, suu**ing that the water was usually murky or cloudy, **espcciaUy during thc warmer months. During** the winter, beginning with the onset of cold **~, thc water became clear enough tosec sheUs an the bottom in 8 ff -,4 m! of water.**

Fonseca et al. (1985) measured light attenu**ation coeff icients over a** 12-month **period at two kxatians in Back Sound. At Shacklefard Shoal, the average coefficient was 3.99, equivalent to a** secchi depth of 0.42 m (1.4 ft). In a Middle **Marsh embaymcat the average coefficicnt was** 5.26, equivalent to a secchi depth of 0.32 m (1.0 m) ft). Except for a consistent increase in water **clariry in the winter, there was no obvious seasonal pattern to the hght cxtinctian.**

Sediments

The characteristics of the sediments of an **estuary are extremely impatant in determining tie type of biokigical community that wiU be** found in any particular place. The bottom of the NRES consists primarily of unconsolidated sedi**ments with varying mixtures of pebble- and granuh-sized sheU fragments 4 mrn - 2 inm;**

Figure 13. Patterns in time and space of visibility (Secchi depth in meters) at five stations in the NRES. Data are monthly means of weekly collections obtained alternately at low and high tides (from Pinschmidt, 1963).

≔

2.52 - 0.79 in), sand (2 mm - .063 mm; 0.79 -0.025 in), silt (.063 mm - .004 mm; 0.025 -0.0016 in) and clay (less than 0.004 mm; 0.0016 in). There are man-made hard substrates (jetties, sea walls, pilings), but except for oyster reefs there are no natural hard substrates or rock outcrops.

Sediments are most frequently described by measurement of size distribution of particles and mineral content (mineralogy). In addition, such characteristics as roundness, percent calcium carbonate (shell fragment) and percent organic matter are occasionally determined. The particle size distribution and the percent organic matter are the most important variables that relate to the biological activity on and within the sediments.

There are a number of published reports dealing with the sediments of the NRES. Grave (1901) discussed sedimentation relative to oyster reefs in the area. Batten (1959, 1962) described the patterns of sediment distribution in the vicinity of the Beaufort Inlet. Johnson (1959) published an extensive account of the sediments of the Newport River estuary. This was followed by a very similar report (Edwards 1961) for sediments of the North River estuary. Brett (1963) characterized the sediments along a series of transects in Bogue Sound. Park (1971) described the mineralogy of sediments throughout the NRES. Price et al. (1972) described sediment size distribution at 10 stations in the NRES. Folger (1972) provided some information on sediments in the area. Price et al. (1976) graphically presented a picture of the size distribution and organic content of sediments collected along six transects across the NRES. Chester et al. (1983) published the same data in tabular form. Thayer et al. (1980) report sediment size and organic

content for seven stations in the NRES. Sediment accumulation rates have been measured in salt marshes (Williams and Murdock 1972) and eclgrass beds (Thayer and LaCroix 1974). Changes in sediment composition in areas adjacent to maintenance dredging activities were examined by Thayer et al. (1974). Klavans (1983) summarized what is known about sediment transport in and around Beaufort Inlet. He discussed in detail sediment movement in response to tidal currents in that area. Fonseca et al. (1985) measured sediment flux rates over a 50day period at eight sites in and near Middle Marsh at the mouth of North River.

The most complete description of the surficial sediments in the NRES is that of Johnson (1959). He used qualitative microscopic examination to (gross lithology) describe 184 samples from throughout the Newport River estuary. The results of this investigation (Figure 14) show that, in general, the farther away from the inlet and the more protected the habitat, the finer the sediments. Silt and clay sediments dominate only in the middle or deeper portion of the upper estuary and in the protected salt marsh creeks. In addition, there is a very extensive intertidal and subtidal mud bottom at the mouth of the Narrows that is predominately silt/clay. Johnson (1959), Price et al. (1976) and Chester et al. (1983) have reported somewhat sandy sediments in the shallower areas bordering the north and south shores of the upper part of the estuary. This is probably due to erosion of the land and wave resuspension and removal of silts and clays. Sediments under the open waters in the middle and lower part of the estuary are all sand. Johnson (1959) performed a quantitative size analysis on 63 of his samples; calculated the per-

Table 8.

Mean Secchi depth as a function of location in the **NRES** (recalculated from Williams 1966 numbers in parentheses are his station numbers). Mean depths are for a section of the estuary, not the depth at the point sampled.

cent sand, silt and clay; and produced a map showing the distribution of these sediments (Figure 15). These data provide a quantitative confirmation of the qualitative observation of gross lithology.

On their six transects of the NRES, Price et al. (1976) and Chester et al. (1983) found a similar pattern in size distribution of sediments as did Johnson (1959). They reported that the sediments of the estuary were characterized by well sorted, fine grained particles with a maximum diameter of 0.25 to 0.50 mm (0.099-0.197 in: fine to medium sand). The upper estuary and Calico Creek have areas with high silt/clay content in some of the samples. In these muddy sediments, sand averaged 51 percent (range: 16 percent to 92 percent), silt averaged 38 percent (range: 3 percent to 68 percent) and clay averaged 11 percent (range: 5 percent to 14 percent). Organic matter and organic carbon content of the sediments had a strong positive correlation with silt/clay content and an equally strong negative correlation with percent sand. Organic carbon is usually about 50 percent of organic matter. In the upper part of the estuary, organic matter ranged from 1 percent near the shore to 10 percent in the deeper waters in the center of this section. Organic carbon values range from less than I percent to greater than 4 percent in the same area. In the middle and lower part of the estuary (except Calico Creek), sand content of the sediment averaged 90.6 percent (range: 81%-93%). silt averaged 3.5percent and clay averaged 5.9 percent. Organic matter and organic carbon content were low, averaging 0.7 percent and 0.4 percent respectively.

According to Johnson (1959), the calcium carbonate content of the sediments in the NRES ranged from 0.10 percent to 100 percent (in an oyster reef). Half of his samples had less than 1

Figure 15. Mean grain size of sediments of the Newport River estuary (from Johnson, 1959).

percent calcium carbonate and over 90 percent had less than 5 percent calcium carbonate. Although not sampled by Johnson (1959) or others, there are a number of areas in the bottoms of the deeper channels in the lower part of the estuary where large accumulations of very coarse shell occur (personal observation). The size distribution in these areas has not been determined, but many of the shell and shell fragments are several centimeters across. These subtidal shell reefs are formed by tidal transport of gastropod and pelecypod shells that accumulate in the channels and are kept free of finer sediments by the strong tidal currents. The shells are continuously destroyed by the boring activities of algae, sponges and bivalves so that there must be a continuous resupply of fresh shell to maintain these reefs.

Edwards (1961) collected 265 sediment samples from the North River estuary. His detailed analyses yielded results that were very similar to those of Johnson (1959), suggesting that the North River and Newport River estuaries are very similar sedimentary environments. A map (Figure 16) of sediment size distributions supports this conclusion.

The sediments of Bogue Sound have not been as extensively studied as those of the NRES. Brett's (1963) data suggest that most of the central and eastern end of the sound is covered with fine to medium sand with less than I percent organic matter.

Johnson (1959), Edwards (1961), Brett (1963) and Park (1971) examined the mineralogy of the clay size sediment particles from the NRES. Johnson (1959) reported finding only illite and chlorite. Edwards (1961) reported illite, chlorite and quartz, but Brett (1963) reported quartz, kaolinite and illite as the most important

Figure 16. Gross lithology of sediments of the North River estuary (from Edwards, 1961).

minerals (in that order). Park (1971) said that illite was the most abundant mineral. The mineralogy of the sands differs considerably from that of clays. In analyzing the mineralogy of 12 sand samples from the NRES, Johnson (1959) found that quartz (93.6 percent), plagioclase (3.5 percent), and feldspar (2.9 percent) made up the light mineral portion of the samples. The heavy minerals were less than 1 percent of the total sand fraction. Ilmenite, rutile and zircon were the chief heavy minerals. Traces of monazite, apatite, scrpentine and horn blende were also mesent. Johnson (1959) reported a decrease in illite seaward. He attributed this to the increased transportation time due to the greater specific gravity for this mineral compared to rutile and zircon. Similar results for sands and heavy minerals were reported by Edwards (1961).

Johnson (1959) discussed the source and transport of sediments within the NRES. He says that most of the material contributed by the small rivers and creeks is in the silt/clay size range because of the low gradient of the coastal plain. The sediment accumulated at the mouth of the Newport River (Narrows) is silt, clayey silt, sandy silt and silty sand. There have been no studies of sediment input from the river that might be due to the "salting" out or flocculation of clay-sized particles. However, Hanson and Crumley (1980) discuss the formation of ironrich organic particles that are created as fresh and salt water mix and are incorporated into sediments. Johnson (1959) suggests that most of the sand originated in the sea with some coming from erosion of adjacent sand "cliffs" and by wind transport. Sediments are distributed by the waves and tide-generated currents, and the size distribution is directly correlated with the local hydrodynamic regime: the stronger the currents the coarser the sediments. Edwards (1961) states that most of the sediments of the NRES consist of reworked Pliestocene deposits with some material brought into the estuary from streams, tidal currents and winds. Shells, Foraminifera and plant debris make up the component of these sediments which were formed within the estuary. Wolfe et al. (1973) estimated the rate of

sedimentation in the NRES as varying between 1 and 4 mm/yr $(0.039 - 0.173$ in/yr), with the greater rate occurring in the upper estuary near the Narrows. According to Evans (1977), this rate is close to the rate of sea level rise of 2.5 to 3.5 mm/yr $(0.99 - 1.38$ in/yr) along this coast during the last 30 years. Evans further states that this would explain the lack of significant change in depth profiles in the upper estuary over the past 100 years seen when comparing charts of the area from 1870 and 1968. Williams and Murdock (1972) examined sedimentation rates in salt marshes on 45 transects (260 stations) in the NRES and adjacent Core Sound. They placed sediment collectors on the surface of the marsh and collected these one year later. Two hundred six samples were recovered and the mean rate of sedimentation calculated to be 1.36 kg dry matter per meter squared per year (2.52 lb/yd²yr). The range in values was 0.01 kg/m²yr - 30.4 kg/m²yr (0.019 - 56.4 lb/yd²yr) with two-thirds of the stations having rates less than 1.00 kg/m²yr (1.86) lb/yd²yr). The rates fit a lognormal distribution. Rates were greatest in the low marsh and decreased towards the high marsh. Sedimentation rates greater than 5.0 kg/m²yr (9.28 lb/yd²yr) were said to be atypical for the estuary as a whole, with the highest rates only observed at the mouth of the Newport River (Narrows) and near areas that were dredged during the study. The sediment deposited in the lower marsh was mainly mineral (inorganic silt and clay). The organic content increased in the higher parts of the marsh. Using a graduated steel rod, Williams and Murdock (1972) also estimated the depth of marsh sediments over the non-marsh base. These results (Table 9) suggest that the rate of sedimentation is greatest at the headwaters of the estuary and decreases as you approach the sea, even in protected environments.

Fonseca et al. (1985) examined sediment flux rates at eight sites in and near Middle Marsh during an experimental seagrass planting project. Values ranged from no flux at a protected site to a maximum of 0.661 cm/day (0.260 in/day) on the deeper portion of the eastern half of a shoal between Middle Marsh and Shackleford Banks.

Table 9.

The depth of sediment accumulated in salt marshes over the nonmarsh base as a function of location within the estuary (Williams and Murdock, 1972).

Thayer and LaCroix (1974) studied the influence of eelgrass (Zostera marina) on sediment **deposition, using a technique similar to WiHiams** and Murdock (1972). They placed their traps in front of, within and behind an celgrass bed located on the south side of Phillips Island. Sediment accumulation rates were highly variable **and ranged horn** 1 **to** 57 **mm/yr ,039 - 2.25 in/ yr! during thc winter and spring and fiom ncgalive to 78 mm/yr .07 in/yr! during the summer** and fall. Rates averaged 5 mm/yr (1.97 in/yr) in front of the grass bed, 23 mm/yr (0.91 in/yr) within the bod and 33 mm/yr (1.30 in/yr) behind **the bcd in an area between thc bed and the island. Thc average for thc whole embaymcnt was** 20 mm/yr (0.79 in/yr). They said that their val**ues were much higher than those rported for open estuarine** I **mm/yr -** 4 **mm/yr, 0.039 - 0,158** in/yr). Fonseca et al. (1985) found increases in **sediment height of**32 **mm** .26 **in! in** 234 **days** and 34 mm (1.34 in) in 424 days following the **transplantation of cclgrass** onto **a shoal in Back Sound near Shacklcford** Banks.

Thayer et al. (1974) studied the changes in sediment characteristics due to maintenance **dredging along a transect that crossed a channel** in the Intracoastal Waterway in the lower NRES. They found a decrease in percent silt, clay, or**ganic matter and organic carbon ad ao increase** in percent sand. They attributed these changes to **thc winnowing of silts and clays** from **the dis**turbed material, thus leaving only the fine sands **setdc on the adjacent undredgcd bouom,**

Trace Metals

11x: disuibufion, abundance and cycling **of some uacc metals have been investigaled in the NRES** because of the importance of metals as **micronutrients iron, zinc, copper, manganese! and because of their potential or actual toxicity copper, mercury, chromium, cadmium, zinc,** lead). Most of our knowledge is the result of re**search done at the NMFS laboratory over thc past 25 years, This work began as an effort to** understand the fate of radioisotopes of metals in the estuarine ecosystem and continued as a study of the flux, bioavailability and toxicity of trace **metals in esnuuine and coastal waters. This research has provided a wealth of information on** the reservoirs of metals (particularly iron, manganese, copper and zinc) throughout the estuar**watcr, sediments and tx ganisms. In addition,** there have been several processes-oriented proj**ects and efforts to model flux cs of meals** in **thc natural environment.**

The concentration of trace metals in the water of the NRES has been discussed in dctaiL

Wilhs 962! reported a zmc concentranon of approximately 10 µg/I (parts per billion) and Williams et al. (1964) measured zinc concentrations of 2000 μ g/l to 14 μ g/l. Cross et al. (1969, 1970) measured the concentration of iron, manganese and zinc in unfiltered sea water and found that iron and manganese decreased in concentration from the headwaters of the estuary to the sea. In their samples, manganese had mean val**ues which decreased from 20 to 3.3 tig/I, with** iron decreasing from 300 to 39 µg/l. The con**centration of zinc mmaincd relatively consuet, 0.6 to 09 pg/I. They found significant temporal fluctuations, but they wae not related to tem**perature or season. Evans (1977) presented data on concentrations of iron, manganese, copper and zinc in filtered seawater on three dates (October, February, April) along a transect that ex**ended from the hcadwatcrs of thc estuary to Piv**ers Island. He observed an increase in manga**nese from an average of 8 lig/1 inthe river to** 15 to 20 μ g/l in the upper estuary followed by a de**crease to 2** pgl **at Pivers Iland. He observed a dramsric decrease in dissolved iron in the river** water (approximately 250 µg/l) as it mixed with seawater in the estuary, probably due to flocculation (particle formation). Hanson and Crumley (1980) reported the same behavior of dissolved iron in the NRES. According to Evans (1977), this behavior of dissolved iron when it reaches **seawater had been almost universaHy reported and thaefcre was not surprising, Copper had a** low concentration in the river $(1 \mu g/l)$ followed in the upper estuary either by an increase to ap**proximately 5 tig/I** or **by a gradual decrease.** Copper concentrations in the lower estuary were less than 1 µg/l. Dissolved zinc behaved similarty to copper with river concentrations of 1 to 2 μ g/I and a lower estuary value of less than 1 μ g/I Evans (1977) also examined the suspended par**ticulate fraction for nacc metals. Particulate** manganese increased from less than 1 to 4 µg/g (parts per million) dry matter in the river to approximately 8 to 16 µg/g in the mid-estuary, de**ceasing again to less than 4 pg/I in thc tower estuary. Hc found thc conoamatian of particulate iron to be about 40 mg/g throughout thcestuary.** Particulate copper was approximately 17 μ g/g and particulate zinc approximately 100 µg/g. Hanson and Crumley (1980) reported the ratio of particulate to dissolved iron increased from 0.47 **m the river to 97 m the lower estuary.**

The concentration of trace metals in sediments of the NRES has been the subject of several reports. Williams et al. (1964) reported zinc concentrations of 1.4 to 7.0 µg/g dry sediment. **He said that his sediment zinc repxescntcd 93 percent of the exchangeable zinc and that this**

scdimcm zinc buffered changes inzinc in the water. Cross ct aL 969, 1970! measured iron, manganese and zinc in sediment at three loca**tions: fresh water, upper estuary and lower estuary. They faund canccnuatioos of iron fram near 4NN Itg/g to less than 100 Itg/g. Iron in** sediments increased from sand to mud and in**creased with a decrease in salinity and an increase inparticle size. Manganese concentra**tions ranged from less than 10 µg/g to 200 µg/g **with thc same pattern of distributiott asiron. Zinc valor. ranged horn less than I Itg/g to 30 Itg/g. The highest values werc associated with mud and fresh water. Upper and lower estuarine scdinamts had lower concentrations and these werc similar to each other. The coacmtratians of ail trace metals Quctuatcd duough time but** with no seasonal (temperature) pattern. Whaling et al. (1977) analyzed sediment samples col**lected on** several **occasions over a five-year period in transects up two tidal** creeks **ut tite NRES. Cahco Cleek, located just norih of Morchcad** City, receives the effluent from secondary treated sewage, but Turner Creek, located on the west **side of** the **North River just north of Beaufort,** does not receive effluent from a treatment plant, **They give several sets of results** that **differ fom** each other depending upon the date of collection. tion. **As an example of their data, the roam values for thc concentration of metals in 20 sediment samples taken in May 1974 along a transect** up **ihe creeks were as foUows: for Calico Crcck- Irg/g dry weight! mercury 0.46, cadmium 1,1, chromium 26, copper 36, iron 14300, lead** 42, manganese 69 and zinc 155; for Turner Creek**mercury 0.09, cadmium 0.5, chromium 9, capper 11, iran 7800, lead 16, manganese 18 and zinc 105. Wolfe** et **al. 975, 1976! studied the distri**bution of trace metals in sediments from an **cclgrass bed at Phillips Island. They found an** average of 17 gg manganese, 2125 μ g/g iron, 2.5 **Itg/g capper and 9.75 Ixg/g zinc, They also sepantcd the sedirncnt into size fractions with sieves and found that hc anncesttratiaa of metals** greatly increased with decreasing sediment size. All these data emphasize the importance of location and sediment characteristics in trace metal **content**

Trace metal concentrations have been reported for a number of organisms inhabiting the Newport River estuary. These include algae **Wolfe ct aL 1975, 1976; Gutknech 1964!, cclgrass Drifmcyer 1980; Dtibneycr et al, 1977, 1978, 1980; Wolfe et al. 1975, 1976!, marsh grasses Williams and Murdoch 1969; Ustach 1969; Whalmg et al. 1977.. Drifrneyer 1980; Drifmcycr ct al. 1980; Drifmeycr and Redd** 1981; Drifmeyer and Rublee 1981), nemertina

 Wolfe ct al. 1975. 1976!, polychaeta Cross et al, 1970!, gasoofeda Wolfe etal. **1975, 1976;** Whaling et al. 1977), bivalves (Willis 1962; **Wolfe ct** aL **1969, 1975, 1976; Wolfe 1970;** Cross et al. 1972; Whaling et al. 1977; Willis and Jones 1977; Willis and Bargh 1978), amphipoda **Wolfe ctal. 1975, 1976!, decapoda Willis** 1962; Wolfe et al. 1975, 1976), echinodermata **Wolfe ct al. 1975, 1976; Drifmeyer 1980!, mnicata Wolfe etal. 1975, 1976!. fish Cross and Brooks 1970, 1973; Cross etal. 1971, 1973, 1974; Willis 1962; Wolfe et al. 1975. 1976! and birds Hardy ct al, 1975!.**

The concentrations of essential trace metals **Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zo!** in **the major organisms of thc eelgrass community Wolfe etal. 1975, 1976! provide an example of what isknown of the abundance of these meals in thc biota of the NRES. In general, thcsequence in oncentration from higher ta lower was iron, manganese, zinc and copper. Copper and zinc had the least vari**ability within and among different groups of or**ganisrns while iron and manganese concentrations were much more variabl. Sediments had concentrations of these metals somewhat similar to those in**the **orgartisms. In general, thc firer** the sediment the greater the metals concentra-

Same of the uace **metaI studies in the Nevpott River estuary have involved studies ar estimates of IIaxcs and transport processes in the** field. Williams et al. (1964) found that there was a **rapid exchange of zux; between the sediment** and water that involved sediment and microor**ganisms, T1te rate of exchange was higher at** higher temperatures. Evans (1977) and Evans et al. (1977) found that the concentration of dissolved manganese in the estuary where the salin**ity was 4to 14 ppt was in excess of what** would be **predicted based upon a conservative mxing of freshwater and seawater. They suggested that** dissolved manganese becomes fixed to particles **in the lower estuary and that these particles are returned up the estuaty on the bouom where** some of the manganese is reduced and dissolved **&om particles and put back into solution. Sand- ~ rs 975, 1978! found a similar phcnomcnon in Calico Creek where dissolved manganese was** higher than could be predicted based upon con**servative mixing. His data indicated that distur**bance of the sediment probably accounted for **most of thc flux into the water column.**

The potential biological availability of cop**pcr and cadmium in the Newport River was in**vestigated by Sunda and Lewis (1976). They **found that 98 to 99 percent of the copper in the river is botntd to complexing ligards, probably to the humic and/or fuivic acids dissolved in the**

water. Because this binding increases with increasing pH but decreases with increasing salinity and decreasing dissolved organic matter, the availability of unbound copper (biologically active) is complex (Sunda and Lewis 1977). Cadmium is bound to a much lesser extent than conper. Sunda et al. (1978) and Sunda and Lewis (1979) confirmed that copper was bound to organic ligands in the Newport River. They discuss the two most chemically different sources of fresh water entering the river. One source of watex is runoff from the land through surface soils. This produces water with low pH, a high concentration of dissolved organic matter and a low concentration of calcium and magnesium. The other source of water is groundwater seepage from deeper in the soils. It has near neutral pH, low concentration of dissolved organics and high concentration of calcium and magnesium. They developed the hypothesis that copper in the surface waters is kept from being complexed with the organic matter by the low pH. As this water is buffered in the river, the pH rises and the copper complexes with the dissolved organic matter. As the groundwater seepage dilutes the surface runoff, the concentration of dissolved organic matter is diluted and the complexation of the copper levels off. Evans (1977) noted some increase in dissolved copper (at times) in the lower salinity part of the estuary. He suggested that resuspension of sediments might cause a release of copper in pore waters in the upper estuary. Hanson and Crumley (1980) found that dissolved iron in the fresh water of the Newport River had a very strong non-conservative decrease upon reaching the lower river and the upper estuary, even though the salinity was still 0 ppt. The ratio of particulate to dissolved iron increased from 0.45 to 97 in a seaward direction. The dissolved iron in the river is probably mostly colloidal ferric oxide associated with the dissolved organic matter. In the lower river and upper estuary, this material flocculates (forms aggregated particulate matter), producing an iron-rich particle that can sediment out. This flocculation could be due to changing chemistry in the lower river and/or to microbial particle building.

Changes in trace metal concentration through time and space have been examined in marsh grasses, eelgrass and several organisms. William and Murdoch (1969) found that the concentrations of zinc, manganese and iron increased in dead Spartina alterniflora compared to living plants. Drifmeyer (1980) and Drifmeyer et al. (1981) noted increases in concentration and total amounts of trace metals (manganese, iron, copper and zinc) as the decomposition of Spartina alterniflora progressed.

They found that bacteria were not responsible for the increase in metals, but they were not able to rule out the influence of other microorganisms (yeasts, fungi and algae). Drifmeyer et al. (1977, 1978, 1980a,b) investigated the cycling of trace metals (manganese, iron, copper and zinc) in eelgrass, Zostera marina. Based upon their results and those of Drifmeyer (1980) on metals in the sea urchin, Lytechinus variegatus, it was concluded that direct herbivory was not a significant route of metal transfer within the community and that metal flux out of the community via the export of particulate dead and live eelgrass was extremely important to cycling in the estuarine system. Eelgrass growth, senescence and decomposition represent the most significant part of the biological cycling of these metals in the eelgrass ecosystem. Cross et al. (1971) examined changes in trace metals (manganese, iron, and zinc) in young estuarine fish during their period of most rapid growth. They examined juvenile croaker (Micropogon undulatus), spot (Leiostomus xanthurus), pinfish (Lagodon rhomboides), ban anchovy (Anchoa mitchilli) and Atlantic menhaden (Brevoortia tyrannus), five fish which constitute over 90 percent of the juvenile fish in the NRES (Turner et al. 1971; Turner and Johnson 1973). They found that the concentration of all three metals decreased with increasing size (age), except manganese in the anchovy (no change) and manganese in the menhaden (increased). Cross et al. (1974) examined the flux of manganese, iron, copper and zinc in total populations of menhaden, spot and pinfish in the estuary for the summer months (Table 10). These data indicate that, except for copper in pinfish, there is very low assimilation of iron, manganese and copper by the fish, but a large flux of these metals through ingestion and egestion. This flux is probably important in that fish feces are incorporated into surface sediments where they may be ingested by deposit feeding animals. Thus, these fish are an effective means of keeping these metals cycling within the estuary, but are not significant in the export of metals out of the estnary. There is a substantial assimilation of ingested zinc by menhaden and pinfish and of ingested copper by pinfish. Significant quantities of these metals can thus be transported through the estuary and the coastal waters as these fish migrate.

There have been two attempts to model the flux of trace metals in the Newport River ecosysterns. Jennings et al. (1970) estimated the mass balance of manganese, iron and zinc in the entire estuary (Table $\bar{1}$). They used a simplified box model representing the estuary with imports from river water and exports from sedimentation.

Table 10. The flux of trace metals through populations of juvenile fish in the Newport River estuary during summer months (Cross et al., 1974). I=ingestion. A=assimilation and E=esestion.

tidal flushing, biological export and commercial fishing. Covering a one-year period (November 1967 - October 1968), tables of data are presented that include total import and export of metals in water, export in commercially harvested species and export by emigration of species. Their mass balance (Table 11) suggests that biological exports are insignificant compared to those due to physical processes. They did not include imports in seawater and organisms or from sediments that might be entering via the river. In a series of publications, Wolfe (1974a,b, 1975) and Wolfe et al. (1973) examined reservoirs and fluxes of manganese, iron and zinc in the biological and physical components of the estuary. Figure 17 (redrawn from Wolfe et al. 1973. Wolfe 1975) shows that the largest reservoirs and fluxes of metals involve the sediments, water and Spartina, with small reservoirs and fluxes in the other biota. Wolfe et al. (1973) and Wolfe (1975) describe the cycle of zinc more detail than that of the other metals, particularly with reference to various biotic components. They suggest that the biological cycling of zinc in the estuary is not closely tied to the sediment/water reservoirs and fluxes. They further discuss the problems with using the

model to predict the fates and effects of an acute release of zinc into the natural environment. This discussion is especially useful in predicting the behavior, upon acute release, of many materials in the estuary.

Nutrients

As defined here nutrients are materials dissolved in seawater that are necessary for the primary productivity of plants. Nutrients include inorganic and organic compounds that (e.g. carbon dioxide) occur in such abundance that their availability seldom limits primary productivity. The materials most frequently studied as potentially limiting to productivity in estuaries are ions and compounds of nitrogen (nitrate, nitrite, ammonia, urea, primary amines), phosphorus (orthphosphate, organic phosphate) and silicon (silicate ion). Dissolved nitrogen and phosphorus are needed by all plants and silicon is also needed by diatoms, the most dominant phytoplankton group in the lower NRES. In general, the elements are rapidly cycled within the ecosystem due to excretion by animals and decomposition.

The concentration of nutrients in the NRES

Table 11. Mass balance (Kg/ yr) of trace metals in the Newport River estuary (Jennings et al., 1970).

is variable in time and space. In a discussion of the studies of Calico Creek, Sanders and Kuenzler (1979) summarized nutrient data available from Thayer (1969) for the Newport River estuary and from Campbell (1973) for Gales Creek. These data (Table 12) show that Calico Creek, which receives effluent from the Morehead City Sewage Treatment Plant, has relatively high (non-limiting) concentrations of phosphorus and nitrogen. Gales Creek is a small estuary off Bogue Sound that has its freshwater origins in drainage from the Croatan Forest. Nitrogen concentrations in Gales Creek (Campbell 1973) were moderate, and phosphate values were low. However, the atomic ratios of nitrogen to phosphorus would still suggest a nitrogen-limited system. Average nutrient concentrations for the

NRES (Thayer 1969) were low, suggesting that phytoplankton productivity is always nutrientlimited in this system.

Smith (1976) measured ammonia and urea (a form of organic nitrogen) concentrations at high and low tides from 1973 to 1974 at Pivers Island. Average ammonia concentration was approximately 1μ g at N/I (range: near 0.0 to 3.28 ug.at N/l) and average urea concentration was approximately 2 µg.at N/l (range: near 0 to 3.25 ug at N/l). She suggested that urea may be a significant form of nitrogen available to phytoplankton in the estuary.

Thayer (1971) compared average nutrient concentrations in three areas of the NRES: the freshwater of the Newport River, the Newport River estuary and North River (Table 13). Nutri-

Table 12.

Nutrient concentration (u.e.atil) during warm (May-Oct.) and cool (Nov. $-$ Apr.) periods for three areas in the NRES. Walues are means and ranges with "nd"=not detectable (from Sanders and Kuenzler, 1979).

Table 13.

Average nutrient concentrations (um/l) in three areas of the NRES. Warm=May to Sept., cool=Sept. to May, DIP=dissolved inorganic phosphate. DOP=dissolved organic phosphorus. PP=particulate phosphorus. Phosphorus is the annual average. (From Thayer, 1971.)

ent concentration in all three areas was low to moderate with slightly higher values in the freshwater area. Nitrogen to phosphorus ratios were generally less than 8, indicating a nitrogen limitation on productivity.

Kirby-Smith and Barber (1979) found relatively high nutrient concentrations in freshwater runoff from developed land into the headwaters of the North River, but natural swamp forest drainage had very low concentrations of phosphorus and nitrogen (Table 14). These data on nitrogen and phosphorus suggest that as population and development has increased around the NRES, the nutrient inputs have increased substantially, even though concentrations in the open estuarine waters remain low enough that phytoplankton productivity would be almost always nutrient-limited.

There are few data available on the concentration of silicon, probably because concentrations are so high that there is no indication that they would ever limit diatom production. Rosenberg (1981) reported concentrations of silicon of 10 to 20 µg at Si/l in a diel study at Pivers Island. Kirby-Smith and Barber (1979) measured silicate on two occasions in natural swamp stream waters and the headwaters of the North River and reported values greater than 90 µg.at Si/l.

Table, 14

Nutrient concentrations (um/m) in a natural swamp stree and the headwaters the North River recorded between Feb.1975 and Sept. 1976. "nd" = not detected. (Kirby-Sn and Barber, 1979).

Data available on seasonal patterns of nutrient concentrations suggest that, in general, there is not a great difference in levels of phosphorus and nitrogen during the warmer months than during the coider months (Table 14). Thayer (1971) found that phosphorus and nitrate concentrations did have peaks in the late spring and early summer and that ammonia peaked in the late spring/ early summer and again in the late fall. Rosenberg (1981) examined both diel and seasonal changes in nutrient concentrations in water pumped from the Beaufort channel at Pivers Island. Seasonal patterns and concentrations were similar to those of Thayer (1971) except that ammonia was four times as concentrated. Simultaneous measurements at the pump intake and inthe outflow of the seawater system showed that this ammonia was generated in the seawater system, possibly as a result of excretion by fouling organisms within the pipes. In his diel studies, Rosenberg (1981) found that nitrate, nitrite and silicate showed little variability over a 48-hour period, but the concentration of ammonia, primary amines (an organic form of dissolved nitrogen) and phosphate increased during the night and decreased during the day (Figure 18). He attributed the increase at night to an increased rate of zooplankton excretion as they grazed on phytoplankton, coupled with a decrease in primary productivity (no light). The decrease in the day was attributed to rapid primary productivity, resulting in rapid uptake of those nutrients regenerated during the night. Chlorophyll a estimates of phytoplankton biomass supported this interpretation.

From 1982 to 1983, J. Ramus and his students at the Duke University Marine Laboratory investigated the nutrient and phytoplankton dynamics of the Newport River estuary by sampling a station in the middle of the estuary (north of Crab Point) every hour for two weeks on a seasonal basis. Litaker and Ramus (1983) report a strong tidal component in nutrient concentrations and phytoplankton abundance, with high values associated with low salinities and low values with high salinities. In addition, Litaker et al. (in press) observed the strong diel variability previously noted by Rosenberg (1981). Ammonium concentrations reached a maximum greater than 2 ug-at/l several hours after dawn and a minimum of less than 0.5 ug-at/l in the early afternoon. Chlorophyll a concentrations were greatest in the afternoon with a mean of 22 µg/l and lowest around dawn with a mean of 12 ug/l. The changes were due to zooplankton grazing and phytoplankton growth, data which suggest that biological factors are extremely important in controlling primary productivity through the

process of rapid nutrient regeneration.

Nutrient concentrations in pore waters of sediments in the Newport River estuary have been investigated, particularly in relation to eelgrass beds. Kenworthy (1981), Kenworthy et al. (1980, 1982) and Kenworthy and Thayer (1978, 1979, 1980) found that the pore water in sediments outside eelgrass beds had an ammonia concentration of 50 µg.at N/l. But inside the beds this value increased to an average of 250 ug.at N/I. Ammonia concentration increased from the surface of the sediments to a depth of 6 to 9 cm after which it remained relatively constant, Bosso (1978) reported that the nitrate values in pore waters were approximately the same as those in the overlying water column.

There are only a few studies that deal with nutrient fluxes in the NRES. Thayer (1970) investigated the flux rates of phosphorus among the different forms in which it is found in the water column. His results indicated that there is a rapid exchange among dissolved inorganic phosphorus, dissolved organic phosphorus and particulate phosphorus. He suggested that dissolved inorganic phosphorus is not likely to become limiting to phytoplankton since it is readily replaced from the other forms of phosphorus as it is used. Smith (1976) studied the regeneration of nitrogen (arrimonia and urea) by zooplankton and found that it accounts for only 16 percent of the nitrogen necessary for phytoplankton. She concluded that zooplankton probably play only a minor role in nutrient cycling in the estuary. However, the data of Sterns (1983) suggest that Smith's (1976) zooplankton biomass were greatly underestimated (by as much as a factor of 10) which would mean that nitrogen regeneration by zooplankton is probably the most significant source of this element in the Newport River estuary.

In the only study to date on the effects of benthic organisms in the NRES on nutrient regeneration, Bosso (1979) found that the common mud snail, Illinassa obsoleta probably plays only a small role in nitrogen regeneration from sediments to the water column, primarily by its burrowing activities.

Figure 18. Diel variations in nutrients in water collected off the **Dute University** Marine Laboratory dock (from Rosenberg, 1981).

 52

Cited

References | Ocurrence and seasonal distribution of hydromedusae of Beaufort, N.C. M.A. thesis, Duke University Marine Laboratory. **Beaufort**

- Arwood, W.G. and A.A. Johnson. 1924. Marine structures. Their deterioration and preservation. National Research Council, Washington, D.C. 534 pp.
- Batten, R.W. 1959. The sediments of the Beaufort Inlet area, North Carolina, Ph.D. thesis, University of North Carolina Department of Geology.

1962. The sediments of the Beaufort Inlet area, North Carolina. Southeast, Geol. 3:191-205.

- Bosso, D.G. 1978. Distribution of interstitial water nutrients and Hyanassa obsoleta in intertidal flats. Ann. Rept. NOAA, July 1, 1978. pp. 200-211.
- Brett, C.E. 1963. Relationships between marine invertebrate infauna distribution and sediment type distribution in Bogue Sound, North Carolina. Ph.D. thesis, University of North Carolina, Department of Geology.
- Campbell, P.H. 1973. The phytoplankton of Gales Creek with emphasis on the taxonomy and ecology of estuarine phytoflagellates. Studies on brackish water phytoplankton. UNC Sea Grant Publ. UNC-SG-73-07. pp. $1-360.$
- Chester, A.J., R.L. Ferguson and G.W. Thayer. 1983. Environmental gradients and benthic macminvertebrate distribution in a shallow North Carolina estuary. Bull. Mar. Sci. 33(2):282-295.
- Coker, R.E. 1923. Breeding habits of Limnoria at Beaufort, N.C. J. Elisha Mitchell Sci. Soc. 39:95-100.
- Commito, J.A. 1976. Predation, competition, life-history strategies and the regulation of estuarine soft-bottom community structure. Ph.D. thesis, Duke University, Durham.
- Cronin, T.W. 1979. Factors contributing to the retention of larvae of the crab Rhithropan opeus harrisii in the Newport River estuary, North Carolina. Ph.D. thesis, Duke University, Durham.
- Cross, F.A. and J.H. Brooks. 1970. Concentrations of manganese, iron, copper, and zinc in estuarine fish. Cent. Est. Menhaden Res. Ann. Rept to AEC, July 1, 1970. pp. 71-80.
	- and J.H. Brooks. 1973. Concentrations of manganese, iron, and zinc in juveniles of five estuarine-dependent fishes. In: DJ. Nelson (ed.), Radionuclides in Ecosystems. Proceedings of the National Symposium on Radioecology, 3rd, Oak Ridge, Tenn., 1971, Vol. 2. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Oak Ridge, Tenn.
	- T.W. Duke and J.W. Willis. 1969. Biogeochemistry of trace elements in a constal plain estuary: Distribution of man garnese, iron and zinc in sediments, water, and polychaetous worms. Radiobiol. Lab. Ann. Rept. to AEC, August 1, 1969. pp. 109-138.
	- . T.W. Duke and J.W. Willis. 1970. Biogeochemistry of trace elements in a constal plain estuary: Distribution of manganese, iron and zinc in sediments, water, and nol vehaetous worms. Chesapeake Sci. 11(4):221-234.
	- . J.H. Brooks, L.H. Hardy and J.M. Lewis. 1971. Concentrations of Mn, Fe, and Zn in estuarine fish. Cent. Est. Menha den Res. Ann. Rept., to AEC July 1, 1971. pp. 34-46.
	- ., J.M. Lewis, L.H. Hardy and M.Y. Jones. 1972. Partitioning of Mn, Fe, Cu and Zn among four species of filter-feeding bivalve molluscs. Atl. Est. Fish. Cent. Ann. Rept. to AEC, July 1, 1972. pp. 33-51.
	- , J.M. Willis, L.H. Hardy, N.Y. Jones and J.M. Lewis. 1974. Role of juvenile fish in cycling of Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn in a coastal plain estuary. NOAA Ann. Rept., July 1, 1974. pp. 25-44.
	- , L.H. Hardy and N.Y. Jones. 1973. Distribution of Mn, Fe, Zn and Cu among 36 species of adult fish from coastal waters of North Carolina. Atl. Est. Fish. Cent. Ann. **Rept.** to AEC, July 1, 1973. pp. 25-37.
- Culliney, J.L. 1969. Larval biology and recruitment of the shipworms Teredo Pawalis and Bankia gouldi in the Newport estuary, North Carolina. Ph.D. thesis, Duke University, Durham.

Drifmeyer, J.E. 1980. Trace elements in estuarine plant detritus. Ph.D. thesis, Uni versity of Virginia, Charlottesville.

and B. Redd. 1981. Geographic variability in trace element levels in Spartina alterniflora. Estuaries, Coastal. and Shelf Science 13:709-716.

and P.A. Rublee. 1981. Mn. Fe. Cu and Zn in Spartina alterniflora detritus and microorganisms. Botanica Marina 24:251-256.

.G.W. Thayer, F.A. Cross and J.C. Zieman, 1978. Cycling of Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn in an eelgrass (Zostera marina L.) bed. NOAA Ann. Rept., July 1, 1978. pp. 549-596.

, G.W. Thayer and F.A. Cross. 1977. Trace elements in eelgrass, Zostera marina: Preliminary report. NOAA Ann. Rept., July 1, 1977. pp. 148-158.

, G.W. Thayer and F.A. Cross. 1980. Ur chin, Lytechinus variegatus, grazing on eelgrass, Zostera marina. NOAA Ann. Rept., Beaufort Lab., July 1, 1980. pp. 214-216.

P.A. Rublee, G.W. Thaver and F.A. Cross. 1980. Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn in Spartina alterniflora detritus. NOAA Ann. Rept. Beaufort Lab., July 1, 1980. pp. 500-516.

G.W. Thaver, F.A. Cross and J.C. Zieman. 1980. Cycling of Mn, Fe, Cu, and Zn by celgrass, Zostera marina L. Am. J. BoL 67:1089-1096.

Edwards, R.W. 1961. The sediments of the North River estuary. M.S. thesis, University of North Carolina, Dept of Geology. 68 pp.

El-Ashry, M.T. and H.R. Wanless. 1968. Photo interpretation of shoreline changes between Cape Hatteras and Cape Fear (North Carolina). Marine Geology 6:347-379.

Evans, D.W. 1977. Exchange of manganese. iron, copper and zinc between dissolved and particulate forms in the Newport River estuary, North Carolina. Ph.D. thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis.

N.H. Cutshal, F.A. Cross and D.A. Wolfe. 1977. Manganese cycling in the Newport River estnary, North Carolina. Est. Coast. Mar. Sci. 5(1):71-80.

Folger, D.W. 1972. Characteristics of estuarine sediments of the United States. U.S. Geological Survey Prof. Paper No. 742. 94 pp.

Fonseca, M.S., W.J. Kenworthy, G.W. Thayer, D.Y. Heller and K.M. Cheap. 1985. Transplanting of the seagrasses Zostera marina and Halodule wright for sediment stabilization and habitat development on the east coast of the United States. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Technical Report EL-85-9. 49p + tables.

.J.C. Zieman, G.W. Thayer and J.S. Fisher, 1983. The role of current velocity in structuring eelgrass (Zostera marina L.) meadows. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Sci. 17:367-380.

- Grave, C. 1901. The oyster reefs of North Carolina, a geological and economic study. Johns Hopkins Circ. 20:50-53.
- Green, E.J. and D.E. Carritt. 1967. New tables for oxygen saturation of seawater. J. Mar. Res. 25:140-147.
- Gutknecht, J.W. 1964. Accumulation of radi onuclides by plants. NOAA Ann. Rept., April 1, 1964. pp. 55-70.
- Gutsell, J.S. 1930. Natural history of the bay scallop. U.S. Bur. Fish. Bull. 46:569-632.
- Hanson, P.J. and P.H. Crumley. 1980. Estuarine geochemistry of iron and organic matter studied in the Newport River estuary. North Carolina. NOAA Beaufort Lab. Ann. Rept., July 1, 1980. pp. 538-567.
- Hardy, L.H., F.A. Cross, J.M. Willis, N.Y. Jones and P.M. Keney. 1975. Concentrations of Mn, Fe, Cu, nd Zn in muscle and liver of estuarine birds. NOAA Ann. Rept., July 1, 1975. pp. 101-110.
- Hettler, W.F. 1974. The relative contribution of water volume of the Pivers Island channel to the total flow of water into the Newport River estuary, North Carolina. NOAA Ann. Rept., July 1974. pp. 343-345.
- and A.J. Chester. 1982. The relationship of winter temperature and spring landings of pink shrimp, Penaeus duorarum, in North Carolina. Fishery Bulletin 80(4):761-768.

Hoyt, W.D. 1920. Marine algae of Beaufort, North Carolina and adjacent regions. U.S. Fish. Bur. Bull. 36:367-556.

Hyle, R.A. 1976. Fishes of the Newport River estuary, North Carolina. Their composition, seasonality and community structure, 1970-72. Ph.D. thesis, University of North Carolina.

Jennings, C.D., J.N. Willis and J.M. Lewis. 1970. Hydrography of the Newport River estuary: A preliminary report. Cent. Est. Menhaden Res. Ann. Rept. to AEC, July 1, 1970. pp. 66-70.

Johnson, F.K. 1959. The sediments of the Newport River estuary. M.S. thesis, University of North Carolina, Dept. of Geology.

Kazarian, J.S. 1983. Newport River Dye Study: An Analysis of Water Movement. Report to the North Carolina Coastal Management Program, Project #CEIP 80-01, contract #C-1193.35 P.

Kenworthy, W.J. 1981. The interrelationship between seagrasses, Zostera marina and Halodule wrightii, and the physical and chemical properties of sediments in a mid-Atlantic coastal plain estuary near Beaufort, North Carolina (USA). M.S. thesis, University of Virginia

and G.W. Thayer. 1978. Plant-sediment interactions along gradients in Zostera marina beds. Biol. Lab. Ann. Rept. to U.S. Dept. Energy, July 1, 1978. pp. 130-145.

and G.W. Thayer. 1979. Growth of seagrasses, Zostera marina and Halodule wrightii in relation to some edaphic characteristics of a mid-Atlantic estuary, U.S.A. NOAA Ann. Rept., July 1, 1979. pp. 175-202.

and G.W. Thayer. 1980. Zostera marina, a functional intermediate in the cycle of nitrogen in estuarine fisheries habitats. NOAA Beaufort Lab. Ann. Rept., July 1, 1980. pp. 102-119.

, M.S. Fonseca, J. Homziak and G.W. Thayer. 1980. Development of a transplanted seagrass (Zostera marina L.) meadow in Back Sound, Carteret County, North Carolina. In: D.P. Cole (ed.), Proceedings of the 7th Annual Conference on Wetland Restoration and Creation. Tampa Port Authority, Tampa, Florida.

J.C. Zieman and G.W. Thayer. 1982. Evidence for the influence of seagrasses on the benthic nitrogen cycle in a coastal plain estuary near Beaufort, North Carolina (USA). Oecologia 54:152-158.

Ketchurn, B.H. 1951. The exchange of fresh and salt waters in tidal estuaries. J. Mar. Res. 10:18-38.

Kirby-Smith, W.W. 1982. Effects of thermal additions on the dynamics of fouling communities at Beaufort, North Carolina. Environmental Protection Agency, Report on Grant R-803856. pp. 1-

and R.T. Barber. 1979. The water quality ramifications in estuaries of converting forest to intensive agriculture. Water Resources Research Institute of the University of North Carolina Rept. #79-148.

Klavans, A.S. 1983. Tidal hydrodynamics and sediment transport in Beaufort Inlet, North Carolina. U.S. Dept. Commerce, NOAA Technical Report NOS 100, 119 pp.

Kruczynski, W.L. 1971. The relationship of the pea crab Pinnotheres maculatus Say, with the scallops, Argopecten irradians concentricus (Say) and Argopecten gibbus (Linne). Ph.D. thesis, University of North Carolina, Department of Zoology, Chapel Hill.

. 1973. Distribution and abundance of Pinnotheres maculatus Say in Bogue Sound, North Carolina. Biol. Bull. 145:482-491.

Kuenzler, E.J., P.J. Mulholland, L.A. Ruley, and R.P. Sniffen. 1977. Water quality in North Carolina coastal plains streams and effects of channelization. Report #127. Water Resources Research Institute of the University of North Carolina, Raleigh, N.C. 160 pp.

Litaker, W., C.S. Duke, B.E. Kenney and J. Ramus. 1987. Short-term environmental variability and phytoplankton abundance in a shallow tidal estuary. I. Winter and summer. Marine Biology 96:115-121.

Mohammad, M.-B. 1961. Larval distribution of three species of Balanomorpha in relation to some chemico-physical factors. Ph.D. thesis, Dake University, Durham.

NOAA. 1977. Climatological Data, North Carolina 82:1-12.

- Odum, H.T. and C.M. Hoskin. 1958. Comparative studies on the metabolism of marine waters. Contrib. Mar. Sci. Vol. 5. University of Texas, Inst. Mar. Sci., Port Aransas. pp. 16-46.
- Palambo, A.V. 1978. Dynamics of suspended bacteria in the Newport River estuary; Methods and preliminary data. NOAA Arm. Rept., July 1, 1978. pp. 73-92.

and R.L. Ferguson. 1979. Dynamics of bacterioplankton in the Newport River estuary. NOAA Ann. Rept., July 1, 1979. pp. 52-123. Park, B. 1971. Mineralogy of recent sediments of North Carolina sounds and estuaries. Ph.D. thesis, University of North Carolina, Geology Department, Chanel Hill.

- Panschmidt, W.C. 1963. Distribution of crablarvae in relation to some environmental conditions in the Newport River estuary, North Carolina. Ph.D. thesis, Duke University, Durham.
- Price, T.J., R.B. Williams, G.W. Thayer and T.G. Roberts. 1972. Species composition and biomass of benthos and particle size distribution of sediments in the open water areas of the Newport River estuary. Atl. Est. Fish. Cent. Ann. Rept. to AEC, July 1, 1972. pp. 166-185.
- , D.M. Staub and G.W. Thayer. 1976. Zonation of sediment types in the Newport River estuary: A prelude to benthos data integration. All. Est. Fish. Cent. Ann. Rept. to ERDA, July 1, 1976. pp. 186-203.
- Pritchard, D.W. 1967. What is an estuary: Physical viewpoint. Pp. 3-5 in G.H. Lauff (ed.), Estuaries. Amer. Assoc. Adv. Sci. Publ. #83, Washington, D.C.
- Rice, T.R. and R.L. Ferguson. 1975. Response of estuarine phytoplankton to environmental conditions. Pp. 1-43 in F.J. Vernberg (ed.), Physiological Ecology of Estuarine Organisms. Belle W. Baruch Library in Marine Science #3. University of South Carolina Press. Columbia, South Carolina.
- Rosenberg, G.G. 1981. Ecological growth strategies in the seaweeds Gracilaria foliifera (Rhodophyceae) and Ulva sp. (Chloro-

phyceae). Ph.D. thesis, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut.

- Sanders, J.G. 1975. Variations and interactions of manganese and phytoplankton in Calico Crock, North Carolina. M.S. thesis, University of North Carolina, Curriculum in Marine Sciences.
- . 1978. The sources of dissolved manganese to Calico Creek, North Carolina. Estuarine Coastal Mar. Sci. 6:231-238.
- and E.J. Kuenzler, 1979. Phytoplankton. population dynamics and productivity in a sewage-enriched tidal creek in North Carolina. Estuaries 2:87-96.
- Smith, S.L. 1976. The role of zooplankton in the nitrogen dynamics of marine systems. Ph.D. thesis, Duke University, Durham.
- Steams, D.E. 1983. Control of nocturnal vertical migration in the calinoid copepod Acartia tonsa Dana in the Newport River estuary, North Carolina. Ph.D. thesis, Duke University, Department of Zoology, Durham, NC 360 pp.
- Sunda, W.G. and J.M. Lewis. 1976. Determination of the binding of copper and cadmium in a nyer-estuarine system using ion-selective electrodes. Atl. Est. Fish. Cent. Ann. Rept. to ERDA, July 1, 1976. pp. 53-77.
- and J.M. Lewis. 1977. Effect of complexation by natural organic matter in the toxicity of copper to phytoplankton. NOAA Beaufort Lab. Ann. Rept., July 1, 1977. pp. 47-58.
- and J.M. Lewis. 1979. Natural factors controlling the complexation of copper in the Newport River and its tributaries. NOAA Beaufort Lab. Ann. Rept., July 1, 1979. pp. 567-580.
- P.J. Hanson, J.M. Lewis and L.A. Flanagan, 1978. Chemical speciation of copper in river water: Effect of total copper, pH, carbonate, and dissolved organic matter. NOAA Beaufort Lab. Ann. Rept., July 1, 1978. pp. 642-684.
- Sutcliffe, W.H. 1950. A qualitative and quantiative study of the surface zooplankton at Beaufort, North Carolina. Ph.D. thesis, Duke University, Durham.

Thayer, G.W. 1969. Phytoplankton production and factors influencing production in the shallow estuaries near Beaufort, North Carolina. Ph.D. thesis, North Carolina State University, Raleigh.

1970. Phosphorous flux in an estuarine system. Cent. Est. Menhaden Res. Ann. Rept. to AEC, July 1, 1970, pp. 98-110.

. 1971. Phytoplankton production and the distribution of nutrients in a shallow unstratified estuarine system near Beaufort, North Carolina. Chesapeake Sci. 12:240-253.

1974. Identity and regulation of nutrients limiting phytoplanition production in the shallow estuaries near Beaufort, North Carolina. Occologia (Berl.) 14:75-92.

and M.W. Lacroix, 1974. Influence of eelgrass on sediment deposition. NOAA Ann. Rept., July 1, 1974. pp. 151-158.

, R.L. Ferguson and T.J. Price. 1974. Benthic parameters of Gallants Channel prior to and after maintenance dredging. Atl. Est. Fish. Cent. Ann. Rept. to AEC, July 1, 1974. pp. 199-120.

, M.S. Fonseca, M.W. Lacroix and M.B. Murdoch. 1980. Decomposition of Zostera marina leaves under different environmental conditions. NOAA Beaufort Lab. Ann. Rept.. July 1, 1980. pp. 64-78.

Turner, W.R. and G.N. Johnson, 1973. Distribution and relative abundance of fishes in Newport River, North Carolina. U.S. Nat. Mar. Fish. Ser., Special Scientific Report, Fisherics, No. 666.

G.N. Johnson, R.L. Garner and J.E. Watson. 1971. Distribution and relative abundance of fishes in Newport River, North Carolina. NOAA Ann. Rept., July 1, 1971. pp. 134-172.

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1948. North Carolina shoreline, beach erosion study. House Document #763. 80th Congress, 2nd Session. 33 pp.
- Ustach, J.F. 1969. The decomposition of Spartina alterniflora. M.S. thesis, North Carolina State University, Raleigh.

Wells, H.W. 1958. A study of the fauna of oys-

ter beds with special reference to the salinity factor. Ph.D. thesis, Duke University, Durham.

- Whaling, P.J., R.T. Barber and J.C. Paul. 1977. The distribution of toxic metals in marine ecosystems as a result of sewage disposal and natural processes. Water Resour. Res. Inst. of the University of North Carolina, Rept. No. 123.
- Williams, A.B. and E.E. Deubler. 1968. A tenyear study of meroplankton in North Carolina estuaries. Assessment of environmental factors and sampling success among bothid flounders and penacid shrimp. Chesapeake Sci. 9:27-41.
- G.S. Posner, W.J. Woods and E.E. Deubler. 1973. A hydrographic atlas of larger North Carolina sounds. University of North Carolina Sea Grant Publ., UNC-SG-73-02.
- Williams, R.B. 1966. Annual phytoplanktonic production in a system of shallow temperate estuaries. Pp. 699-716 in H. Barnes (ed.), Some Contemporary Studies in Marine Science. George Allen and Univ. Ltd., London.
- and M.B. Murdoch. 1969. The potential importance of Spartina alterniflora in conveying zinc, manganese, and iron into estuarine food chains. Pp. 431-439 in D.J. Nelson and F.C. Evans (eds.), Natl. Symp. Radioecol., 2nd, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1967. Proc. U.S. Atomic Energy Comm., Oak Ridge, Tenn.
- and M.B. Murdoch. 1972. The rate of sedimentation in salt marshes near Beaufort, North Carolina Atl. Est. Fish. Cent. Ann. Rept. to AEC, July 1, 1972. pp. 278-292.
- M.B. Murdoch and L.K. Thomas. 1964. Productivity. NOAA Ann. Rept., April 1, 1964. pp. 10-39.
- Willis, J.N. 1988. Salinity and temperature variability in the Newport River estuary. NMFS-NOAA Laboratory, Beaufort, North Carolina (in preparation)
- . 1962. Chemistry. Radiobiol. Lab. Ann. Rept. to AEC, April 1, 1962. pp. 47-57. __ and N.Y. Jones. 1977. The relative verti-

cal distribution of heavy metals in the American ovster in the Newport River estuary. North Carolina. Atl. Est. Fish. Cent. Ann. Rept. to ERDA, July 1, 1977. pp. 110-112.

and N.Y. Bargh. 1978. The relative vertical distribution of four trace metals in the American oyster in the Newport River estuary. NOAA Beaufort Lab. Ann. Rept., July 1, 1978. pp. 537-548.

Wolfe, D.A. 1970. Levels of stable zinc and zinc-65 in Crassostrea virginica from North Carolina. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 27:47-57.

. 1974. The cycling of zinc in the Newport River estuary, North Carolina. Pp. 79-99 in FJ. Vernberg and W.B. Vernberg (eds.), Pollution and Physiology of Marine Organisms. Academic Press, New York.

1974. Modeling the distribution and cycling of metallic elements in the Newport River estuary. NOAA Beaufort Lab. Ann. Rept., July 1, 1974. pp. 45-82.

 \sim 1975. Modeling the distribution and cycling of metallic elements in estuarine ecosystems. Pp. 645-671 in L.E. Cronin (ed.), Estuarine Research, Vol. 1. Academic Press. New **York**

., F.A. Cross and C.D. Jennings. 1973. The flux of Mn, Fe, and Zn in an estuarine ecosystem. Radioactive contamination of the marine environment. International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna. pp. 159-175.

.J.M. Lewis and J.H. Brooks. 1969. Zinc. in oysters. Beaufort Radiobiol. Lab. Rept. to AEC, August 1, 1969. pp. 80-108.

.G.A. Thayer and S.M. Adams. 1975. Manganese, iron, copper and zinc in an eelgrass (Zostera marina) community. NOAA Beaufort Lab. Ann. Rept., July 1, 1975. pp. 19-47.

G.A. Thayer and S.M. Adams. 1976. Manganese, iron, copper and zinc in an ecigrass (Zostera marina) community. Pp. 256-270 in C.E. Cushing (ed.), Radioecology and Energy Resources. Proc. Natl. Symp. Radioecology, 4th, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon. Ecol. Soc. Amer. Spec. Publ. No. 1.

Zerbe, W.B. and C.B. Taylor. 1953. Sea water temperature and density reduction tables. U.S. Dept. Commerce, Coast and Geodetic Survey. Special Publ. #298.