FLSGP-T-78-009 C2

-

 $\sim -$

5

Ð

LOAN COPY ONLY

CIRCULATING COPY

FLORIDA SEA GRANI PROGRAM

PRIMARY ECONOMIC IMPACT OF THE FLORIDA COMMERCIAL FISHING SECTOR

by Fred J. Prochaska and R. Allen Morris

Report Number 25

November 1978



PRIMARY ECONOMIC IMPACT OF THE FLORIDA COMMERCIAL FISHING SECTOR

Fred J. Prochaska Associate Professor

and

R. Allen Morris Research Associate

Food and Resource Economics Department Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences University of Florida Gainesville, Florida 32611

Report Number 25 State University System of Florida Sea Grant College November 1978

TABLE OF CONTENTS

P	age
Introduction	1
Research Procedures and Definitions	2
Economic Impact	2
Primary Economic Impact	3
Procedures	4
Cost and returns budgets	4
Adjustments for price changes	5
Estimation of impact	6
Commercial Fishing	7
Estimated Impacts	7
Total Fishery	7
Red Snapper - Grouper	11
Mullet	1
King Mackerel	4
Spanish Mackerel	4
· Shrimp,	19
Spiny Lobster	19
Blue Crab.	9
Seven Major Fisheries	23
Other Florida Species	23
Output Effect	· • ?6
Summary and Conclusions	20
	.0

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		Page
1	Commercial marine landings, Florida, 1973-1975	8
2	Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with Florida's commercial fish harvesting sector, 1975	10
3	Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with the Florida red snapper-grouper fishery, 1975	12
4	Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with the Florida mullet fishery, 1975	13
5	Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with the Florida king mackerel hook and line fishery, 1975	15- 1 6
6	Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with the Florida Spanish mackerel net fishery 1975	. 17-18
7	Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with the Florida shrimp fishery, 1975	20
8.	Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with the Florida spiny lobster fishery, 1975	21- 22
9	Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with the Florida blue crab fishery, 1975	24
10	Expenditures, income, and primary economic impact per \$100 of fish sales in Florida's seven major fisheries, 1975	25
11	Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with all Florida species other than the main seven, 1975	27

. . .

LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

T	<u>able</u>		<u>Page</u>
	A1	Estimated expenditures for the Florida red snapper- grouper fishery, 1974 and 1975	30
	A2	Annual cost and returns for Florida Gulf of Mexico red snapper-grouper vessels by length class and production area, 1975	31
	A3	Estimated annual costs and returns for Florida Gulf of Mexico red snapper-grouper vessels, 1975	32
	A4	Estimated expenditures for the Florida mullet fishery, 1971 and 1975	33-34
	A5	Average costs and returns for 26-feet Cedar Key (Florida) mullet vessels, 1971 and 1975	35-36
	A6	Average costs and returns for Florida king mackerel hook and line boats, 1976	3 7-3 8
	A7	Average costs and returns for Florida Spanish mackerel net boats, 1975	39-40
	A 8	Estimated average annual costs and returns for Florida Gulf of Mexico shrimp vessels, 1973 and 1975	41-43
	A9	Estimated expenditures for the Florida spiny lobster fishery, 1973-1974 average and 1975	44-45
	01A	Costs and returns analysis for Florida keys lobster boats and vessels, 1973-1974 average and 1975	46-47
	A11	Estimated expenditures for the Florida blue crab fishery, 1971 and 1975	48
	A12	Costs and returns for 26-feet Cedar Key (Florida) crab vessels, 1971 and 1975	49

PRIMARY ECONOMIC IMPACT OF THE FLORIDA COMMERCIAL FISHING SECTOR

by

Fred J. Prochaska and R. Allen Morris*

INTRODUCTION

Commercial fishing is one of the many important uses made of Florida marine resources. Value of dockside landings is an indication of the economic value generated from using marine resources for commercial fish production. However, this is only the initial value attributable to the marine resource entering the commercial fishery. Additional sales, incomes and employment are generated in the economy which are not reflected in dockside values. Furthermore, dockside values are gross values and as such do not identify specifically important items such as incomes and sales generated directly by fishermen. These details and additional estimates are of extreme importance for several reasons.

Florida marine resources do not have an infinite capacity to satisfy increasing demands placed on them. There is increasing competition for the resources. Competition is between various users including commercial fishing, sport fishing, and boating, as well as competition among commercial fishermen. Allocation of marine resources can be sufficiently accomplished through the market place when private property rights are clearly defined. Since this is often not the case for marine resources, allocation may require decisions by public officials. They must determine use priorities. To determine priorities, several questions must be answered. With respect to commercial fisheries, these questions are: How important is the commercial fishing industry to other industries in the economy? What levels of income and employment are a direct result of commercial fishing activities? How is output and sales in all Florida industries affected by the commercial fishing industry? Answers to these questions provide the basic information required to determine the "benefits" and "costs" associated with the various uses made of Florida marine resources.

^{*}Fred J. Prochaska is an Associate Professor and R. Allen Morris is a Research Associate. Both are with the Food and Resource Economics Department, University of Florida.

The specific objectives of this report are to (1) identify the quantity and value of commercial marine landings for the 1972-1975 production period, (2) determine expenditures and sales directly generated by commercial marine fisheries, and (3) assess the economic impact in terms of sales, income, employment and output. The basic analysis and data reported in this publication will serve as an input into further research and numerous decision making activities by both the public and private sectors. The research provides a data base for evaluation of fishery management plans required by the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976. Both state legislators and management personnel require this information when regulating specific fisheries and deciding on use priorities such as the allocation of fish between sport and commercial users. Industries supplying inputs to the commercial fishing industry may use the information as an indicator of the relative importance of individual fisheries.

The remainder of this report is organized into four sections. First, research procedures and definitions are discussed. In the second section, a review of current marine landings is presented. The third section contains estimates of the economic impact. The final section is a summary and conclusion of the report.

RESEARCH PROCEDURES AND DEFINITIONS

Economic Impact

One method of determining the economic value or importance of an industry to a national or regional economy is to estimate the economic impact of the industry on that economy. Economic impact may be defined as "the effect of a general change in a region's economy or the effect on the whole of a change in part of its economy" [15]. Each dollar invested by a given industry affects regional and/or national output, income, and employment. A change in investment will change these factors by an amplified amount. The magnitude of effect within an economy resulting from a change in part of the economy is governed by the degree of interdependency that exists among the various industries (sectors) within that economy. Economic impact, when considered in terms of output effect, shows the effect of changes in output in a particular industry or sector¹ on the output of all other industries or sectors in the economy. This effect consists of several "rounds" of impact. For example, the first round of impact involves only the industry of interest (primary industry) and the industries that directly interact with that particular industry (secondary industries). Subsequent rounds involve estimates based on the interaction of these secondary industries with other industries, and the interaction of these other industries with still other industries, until the effect originating in the designated primary industry is measured throughout the economy.

.....

2

.

¹A "sector" is an aggregation of industries, and will be used interchangeably with "industry" in this study.

It should be understood that measurement of economic impact is not the same as estimation of gross regional or national product, which attempts to measure net changes in value and seeks to avoid double counting. Economic impact does include some double counting in the summation of the numerous and varied rounds of effect throughout the economy resulting from an initial change.

Primary Economic Impact

Primary economic impact is defined in this study as the direct economic effect resulting from economic activity in a given sector, industry, or subsector of an industry. However, it is not the same as "direct effect" used in input-output analysis. Primary economic impact is estimated as sales of the study sector plus expenditures for inputs by this sector. Sales represent the economic activity generated in the study sector and expenditures represent the total economic value of all goods and services purchased from other sectors in the economy. Expenditures are a measure of economic activity generated by the study sector in sectors where the expenditures are made. Therefore, the degree of interdependence between the study sector and other sectors in the economy is embodied in primary economic impact.

Primary economic impact, like total economic impact, is not the same as estimation of gross regional or national product, which measures net changes and avoids double counting. Primary economic impact does include some double counting of net changes in that it seeks to estimate economic activity generated rather than net changes in values of goods and services.

Primary economic impact differs from total economic impact in the estimation of interaction among sectors in an economy. For estimation of primary economic impact in this study, final demand was considered simply as demand for goods and services. Consequently, distinguishing between demand from consumers of retail products and consumers of wholesale products in the form of inputs to a production process (which originates as final demand) was not important for the purpose of this study.

Primary economic impact measures both the direct sales of the study sector to other sectors in the economy, and the inputs purchased by the study sector directly from all other sectors in the economy. It doesn't estimate the total economic activity resulting from interactions between the sectors selling inputs to the study sector and other sectors in the economy. However, the purchase price for an input represents the total economic value of that input. This reflects net changes in economic value between the sector selling the input and the sectors with which this "input-selling" sector interacts. Consequently, a partial representation of all economic activity generated (that portion reflected by net changes in value at each level) by the study sector is embodied in the primary economic impact estimate.

Total purchases of inputs by a given sector are often not known. Estimation of inputs purchased in proportion to a given volume of sales enables estimation of total input purchase requirements. "Primary technical coefficients" in this study show dollar purchases of inputs per \$100 of output (sales) by the sector being analyzed. These coefficients are based on the assumption that there is a constant linear relationship between the purchases of a sector for inputs and the sales (outputs) of that sector. Primary technical coefficients are presented as "expenditures per \$100 sales" in the Empirical Analysis and Results and Estimated Impacts sections of this report. Economic impacts estimated and presented in this report are summarized as follows:

- Primary economic impact is the direct economic effects resulting from economic activity in a given sector or industry. It is estimated as sales of the study sector plus expenditures for inputs by this sector. Primary economic impact for the commercial fishing sector is not necessarily restricted.
- 2) State output effect is the total sales generated in the state economy from changes in fish sales by fishermen in the Florida economy. It is calculated with a state output multiplier. State output multipliers for a particular sector show how much the value of total sales in all sectors of the state will change as the result of a change in sales of the study sector. Output multipliers for this study were obtained from the Florida inputoutput model. The effects are restricted to the state.
- <u>National output effect</u> is the total sales generated in the national economy from changes in fish sales by fishermen in the Florida economy. It is theoretically the same as state output effect discussed above, and is calculated with a national output multiplier.

Estimation of the primary economic impact and income generated ("impact") by the commercial fishing sector required organization of data into budgets representing sales of fish and purchases of inputs associated with these sales. Crew wages and captains' salaries were considered part of income generated rather than expenditures. Sales less total expenditures was designated as income, which includes wages, salaries, payrolls, profits, etc. Profits were included in the income category because they may be used for personal income or invested back into the business at the discretion of the recipient.

Procedures

<u>Cost and returns budgets</u>. The nature of the commercial fish harvesting sector is such that only a few of the more than 80 reported species of finfish and shellfish landed in Florida are the main species sought. The remaining species are incidental catch resulting from the fishing effort directed at the main or "target" species. For example, more than 30 species are landed annually by Spanish mackerel and king mackerel fishermen and at least eight species are caught annually in the mullet fishery. Consequently, budgets were only needed for the target species. These budgets yielded sufficient data to estimate expenditures, income, and primary economic impact for all the other species. It should be noted that while all target species were in the group of "most valuable species" (1975 landings greater than \$1.0 million), some most valuable species such as sea trout² were at least in part, incidental catches of other fisheries. Some species were also complimentary fisheries in that they were pursued in the "off" season of species normally fished. Consequently, value of the fishery is not necessarily an indication of whether it is a primarily sought-after (target) species or an incidental catch. Consultation with experienced commercial fishermen and professional marina personnel allowed determination of "target" species.

Cost and return budgets were previously estimated for five of the seven species designated as target species [1, 2, 7, 9, 13, 16, and 17]. These were red snapper and grouper (Appendices A1 - A3), mullet (Appendices A4 - A5), shrimp (Appendix A8), spiny lobster (Appendices A9 - A10), and blue crab (Appendices A11 - A12). Budgets for king mackerel (Appendix A6) and Spanish mackerel (Appendix A7) the other two target species, were developed through field work as part of this study [5,14].

Although estimates of expenditures by the Florida fishing sector were based on data from Florida commercial fishermen, there were no data available to determine which portions (if any) of the fishermen's expenditures were in Florida industries and which portions were to out-of-state industries. Consequently, total estimates of expenditures and primary economic impact are not restricted to Florida. However, it is estimated that only a small percentage of the expenditures were made directly to out-of-state industries. Therefore, most of the estimated primary economic impact applies specifically to Florida.

Adjustments for price changes. The varying dates of the available budgets required the use of wholesale and retail price indices to convert the data to 1975 dollars. The maximum time period updated was four years because the earliest budgets were completed in 1971. License fees, loans, and other similar fixed costs were assumed to change little, if any, over the four-year time period and were not altered unless information from the original budgets indicated they should be. Annual depreciation was calculated as the difference between original cost and salvage value divided by years of life. It was assumed that original cost and salvage values inflated through time at similar rates and thus would offset any effects of short-term inflation (four years maximum) on annual depreciation rates. Annual depreciation and interest on investment was adjusted for shrimp because 17 percent of shrimping equipment was replaced over the time period involved [7]. Depreciation estimated in the original budgets was used for the other principle fisheries.

Price indices were chosen based on the type of expenditure. For example, a petroleum products index was used for fuel, a food index was used for groceries, and a motor oil index was used for oil. In some instances, appropriate indices were more difficult to determine. A simple average of the indices for wood and concrete was used for lobster traps. A simple average of the indices for cotton products and man-made fiber textiles was used for nets because these are the primary construction materials for fishing nets. Wholesale price indices were normally used because fishermen purchase most inputs in relatively large quantities at wholesale prices. However, retail

²Sea Trout is an incidental catch some parts of the year and a main species or target catch other parts of the year. This is also true for some of the other species designated as incidental catch in this study.

price indices were used for insurance, groceries, bookkeeping and business services, and other items usually purchased at retail price levels. A wholesale price index for all commodities was used for bait.

The indices used to adjust expenditure items are reported with the cost and returns budgets for the seven major (target) species in Appendix A. The procedures used to update the budgets are more completely developed in the footnotes to the respective budgets in Appendix A.

Estimation of Impact. The cost and returns budgets developed and presented in Appendix A were the basis for the construction of "impact" tables. Impact tables were organized into categories of expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact. Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact were computed per 100 pounds of fish landed and per \$100 value of fish landed (primary technical coefficients). Industries interacting with the commercial fishing sector are interested in how much commercial fishermen purchase from them per dollar unit of fish sales. Also, fishermen and wholesale fish dealers are interested in incomes generated or certain expenditures like ice or fishing gear per unit quantity of fish landed. Although these two estimates are related, including both estimates is more directly useful to a larger audience. When price of a given species is less than \$1.00 per pound, then expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact per 100 pounds of the species landed are less than per \$100 value of the species landed. When price of the species is greater than \$1.00 per pound, the reverse is true. Computing expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact per 100 pounds of fish landed and per \$100 value of fish landed enables estimation of the primary economic impact for any region or county in Florida if the quantity and/or value of fish landed in that region are known. Finally, state totals were calculated based on total quantity and value of Florida landings of specific species in 1975.

Impact tables differ from cost and returns budgets in that crew wages or shares were not included as expenditures but as part of income in the impact tables. Prices in the budgets usually differ from average Florida prices for a particular species because sample respondents received more or less than state averages. All sales computed for the impact tables were based on average Florida prices, values, and quantities. In instances where budgets contained sales of "other" fish and the species comprising "other" fish were not known, reported average prices for "other" fish in the budget were used as estimates of state average prices for "other" fish. Total state landings of "other" fish in any budget was calculated based on the proportion of "other" fish to the main (target) species in the budget.

When one species was landed by more than one fishery for which budgets were developed, the total state landings of the species were allocated to the budgeted fisheries according to the relative share of total state catch reported in individual budgets. Total quantity of other species and incidental catch was subtracted from total state landings not accounted for by the seven target species. The impact of this residual was determined by specific species' impact tables and combinations of species impact tables that best approximated expenditures for the species being analyzed. Total primary economic impact for the total Florida commercial fishing sector was estimated as the sum of all individual projections.

. ..

COMMERCIAL FISHING

Over 10,500 commercial fishermen land marine species in Florida [8]. Approximately 70 percent earn over 50 percent of their income from commercial fishing.³ These fishermen fished 1,841 vessels and 4,051 boats in 1974 [18].

During the last three years for which data were reported, 1973-1975, Florida fishermen landed an average of 167.1 million pounds valued at \$68.1 million (Table 1). Yearly variation in landings is substantial.⁴ Thirteen species are currently landed that are individually valued over \$1.0 million annually (Table 1). Shrimp landings average 31.2 million pounds at an annual average value of \$28.1 million. Spiny lobsters are second in importance with average value of landings equal to \$11.6 million. Value of spiny lobster landings have decreased to approximately one-half their previous levels because of a discontinuance of U.S. citizens fishing in Bahamian waters.

Red snapper and black mullet landings each averaged over \$3.4 million during 1972-1975. Grouper, king mackerel, and blue crab landings are between \$2.0 and \$2.7 million annually. The remaining species valued over \$1.0 million in 1975 were Spanish mackerel, stone crabs, calico scallops, pompano, spotted sea trout, and oysters. Calico scallops are the last species to enter the \$1.0 million and over annual category.

In addition to investments in 5,892 fishing craft, Florida fishermen also made substantial investments for gear and equipment used in the commercial fishery. The total number of gear units employed in the fishery exceeds 500,000 [12]. Gear units consist of pots, traps, trawls, nets, etc. These fixed investments along with the variable expenses associated with fishing contribute to the total economic impact of the commercial fisheries.

Industries interacting with the Florida commercial fishing sector include suppliers of gasoline, diesel, oil, ice, bait, fishing gear, rain coats, boots, gloves, groceries, boats, vessels, diesel and gasoline engines, electronic equipment, engine parts, paint, propellers, insurance, repair services on electronic equipment, boats, and engines, etc. In addition, industries providing docking facilities, bookkeeping services, financial services and credit, and government services such as boat registration interact with the commercial fishing sector.

ESTIMATED IMPACTS

Total Fishery

The largest expenditure in the fishing sector was \$14.3 million for repairs, maintenance, and depreciation (Table 2). This expenditure category

³For a complete description of Florida commercial fishermen see [12].

 4 For a complete analysis of trends in commercial marine landings see [3, 4, 8, 10, 11].

Fishery 1973 1974 Fishery 1974 1974 Pounds Dollars Dollars Pounds Dollars Pounds Total 164,462.6 62,495.6 174,202.6 68,093.1 162,6 Major Species: 5,536.7 1,702.1 6,700.2 2,352.6 7,9 Groupers 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 Black Mullet 29,279.2 3,216.0 27,883.1 3,434.4 25,7 Spanish Mackerel 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 Black Mullet 29,377.2 1,578.9 17,604.5 2,197.5 16,2 Spanish Mackerel 9,397.2 1,578.9 17,604.5 2,197.5 16,2 Stone Crabs 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 13,382.2 7,4 Strimp 29,197.6 26,247.9 24,577.3 32,65 Strimp 29,197.6 2,1 1,074.4 587.8 Shrimp 21,107.4.5 2,197.5 16,2 Spotted Sea Trout 2,892.1 1,484.4 1,074.4 587.8 Spotted Sea Trout 2,892.1 1,484.4 1,074.4 5716.3 Spotted Sea T	Ye	ar		
Pounds Doillars Pounds Doillars Pounds Total 164,462.6 62,495.6 174,202.6 68,093.1 162,6 Major Species: 5,536.7 1,702.1 6,700.2 2,352.6 7,9 Major Species: 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 Major Species: 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 Ring Mackerel 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 Black Mullet 29,279.2 3,216.0 27,883.1 3,434.4 25,7 Spanish Mackerel 9,397.2 1,578.9 17,604.5 2,197.5 16,92 Spanish Mackerel 9,397.2 1,558.9 17,604.5 2,197.5 16,92 Spanish Mackerel 1,678.9 1,664.1 10,612.0 1,902.8 10,7 Stone Crabs 13,511.9 1,667.1 1,825.5 2,926.6 7,4 Spiny Lobsters 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 1,8399.6 2,1	1974	1975	1972-	75 average
Total 164,462.6 62,495.6 174,202.6 68,093.1 162,6 Major Species: 5,536.7 1,702.1 6,700.2 2,352.6 7,9 Groupers 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 King Mackerel 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 Black Mullet 29,279.2 3,216.0 27,883.1 3,434.4 25,7 Spanish Mackerel 9,397.2 1,578.9 17,604.5 2,197.5 16,9 Blue Crabs 13,511.9 1,678.9 17,604.5 2,197.5 16,9 2,1 Stone Crabs 13,511.9 1,678.9 17,604.5 2,197.5 16,9 2,1 Stone Crabs 13,511.9 1,678.9 17,604.5 2,197.5 16,9 2,1 Stone Crabs 13,511.9 1,678.9 1,269.6 1,332.2 2,326.6 1,332.2 2,1 Stone Crabs 13,511.9 1,425.5 2,590.6 1,899.6 2,1 1,597.5 16,9 2,1 Stone Crabs 20,197.6 26,247.9 32,453.9 <	Pounds Dollars	Pounds Dolla	rs Pounds	Dollars
Total 164,462.6 62,495.6 174,202.6 68,093.1 162,6 Major Species: 5,536.7 1,702.1 6,700.2 2,352.6 7,9 Major Species: 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 Ring Mackerel 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 Black Mullet 29,279.2 3,216.0 27,883.1 3,434.4 25,7 Black Mullet 29,279.2 3,216.0 27,883.1 3,434.4 25,7 Black Mullet 29,397.2 1,536.6 10,612.0 1,902.8 10,7 Spanish Mackerel 9,397.2 1,536.6 1,7604.5 2,197.5 16,9 Stone Crabs 13,511.9 1,661.1 10,874.1 13,382.2 7,4 Stone Crabs 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 13,382.2 7,4 Spiny Lobsters 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 13,382.2 7,4 Spiny Lobsters 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 13,322.2 7,4 Spiny Lobsters 11,517.7 1,484.4 1,	spunod 000 L	and Dollars		
Major Species: 5,536.7 1,702.1 6,700.2 2,352.6 7,9 Groupers 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 King Mackerel 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 Black Mullet 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 Spanish Mackerel 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 Blue Crabs 13,511.9 1,536.6 10,612.0 1,902.8 10,7 Spanish Mackerel 9,397.2 1,536.6 10,612.0 1,902.8 10,7 Spanish Mackerel 9,397.2 1,536.6 10,612.0 1,902.8 10,7 Stone Crabs 13,511.9 1,678.9 1,425.5 2,590.6 1,899.6 2,1 Strimp Lobsters 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 13,382.2 7,4 Shrimp 29,197.6 26,247.9 32,453.9 24,737.3 32,6 7,4 Shrimp 29,197.6 26,247.9 32,453.9 24,737.3 32,6 6,2 Shrimp	174,202.6 68,093.1	162,655.8 73,73	701 , 701,707	.0 68,106.8
Groupers 5,536.7 1,702.1 6,700.2 2,352.6 7,9 King Mackerel 5,928.8 2,134.7 10,401.2 3,271.9 6,3 Black Mullet 29,279.2 3,216.0 27,883.1 3,434.4 25,7 Spanish Mackerel 9,397.2 1,536.6 10,612.0 1,902.8 10,7 Stone Crabs 13,511.9 1,678.9 1,7604.5 2,197.5 16,9 Stone Loabs 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 13,382.2 7,4 Spiny Lobsters 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 13,382.2 7,4 Spiny Lobsters 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 13,382.2 7,4 Spiny Lobsters 1.251.7 1,484.4 1,074.4 587.8 1,5 Pompano 1,251.7 1,484.4 1,802.5<				
King Mackerel5,928.82,134.710,401.23,271.96,3Black Mullet29,279.23,216.027,883.13,434.425,7Spanish Mackerel9,397.21,536.610,612.01,902.810,7Spanish Mackerel9,397.21,536.610,612.01,902.810,7Stone Crabs13,511.91,678.917,604.52,197.516,9Stone Crabs2,087.81,425.52,590.61,899.62,1Stone Crabs29,197.626,247.932,453.924,737.332,6Shrimp29,197.626,247.932,453.924,737.332,6Shrimp1,251.71,484.41,074.4587.81,5Pompano1,251.71,484.41,802.51,332,6Spotted Sea Trout 2,892.11,104.52,919.01,150.72,7Spotted Sea Trout 2,892.11,104.52,919.01,150.72,7Spotted Sea Trout 2,892.11,104.52,919.01,150.72,7Spotted Sea Trout 2,892.11,104.52,919.01,150.72,7Spotted Sea Trout 2,892.11,303.15,168.93,976.35,1	6,700.2 2,352.6	7,913.0 3,17	8.2 5,983	.3 2,711.0
Black Mullet 29,279.2 3,216.0 27,883.1 3,434.4 25,7 Spanish Mackerel 9,397.2 1,536.6 10,612.0 1,902.8 10,7 Blue Crabs 13,511.9 1,678.9 17,604.5 2,197.5 16,9 Stone Crabs 2,087.8 1,425.5 2,590.6 1,899.6 2,1 Spiny Lobsters 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 13,382.2 7,4 Shrimp 29,197.6 26,247.9 32,453.9 24,737.3 32,0 Calico Scallops 1.6 2.1 1,074.4 587.8 1,5 Pompano 1,251.7 1,484.4 1,802.5 1,802.5 1,5 Red Snapper 4,088.4 3,093.1 5,168.9 3,976.3 5,1 Red Snapper 4,088.4 3,093.1 5,168.9 3,976.3 5,1	10,401.2 3,271.9	6,319.2 2,35		.7 2,587.1
Spanish Mackerel 9,397.2 1,536.6 10,612.0 1,902.8 10,1 Blue Crabs 13,511.9 1,678.9 17,604.5 2,197.5 16,9 Stone Crabs 2,087.8 1,425.5 2,590.6 1,899.6 2,1 Stone Crabs 2,087.8 1,425.5 2,590.6 1,899.6 2,1 Spiny Lobsters 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 13,382.2 7,4 Shrimp 29,197.6 26,247.9 32,453.9 24,737.3 32,6 Shrimp 29,197.6 26,247.9 32,453.9 24,737.3 32,6 Pompano 1.251.7 1,484.4 1,074.4 587.8 1,5 Pompano 1,251.7 1,484.4 1,802.5 1,50.7 2,7 Spotted Sea Trout 2,992.1 1,104.5 2,919.0 1,150.7 2,7 Red Snapper 4,088.4 3,093.1 5,168.9 3,976.3 5,1	27,883.1 3,434.4	25,748.5 3,68	/.5 2/,636	.9 3,446.0
Stone Crabs 2,087.8 1,425.5 2,590.6 1,899.6 2,1 Spiny Lobsters 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 13,382.2 7,4 Shrimp 29,197.6 26,247.9 32,453.9 24,737.3 32,6 Shrimp 29,197.6 26,247.9 32,453.9 24,737.3 32,6 Calico Scallops 1.6 2.1 1,074.4 587.8 1,5 Pompano 1,251.7 1,484.4 1,802.5 1,3 2,7 Red Snapper 4,088.4 3,093.1 5,168.9 3,976.3 5,1	10,612.0 1,902.8 17,604.5 2,197.5	10,/65.9 1,86 16,992.0 2,22	2.6 10,258 1.5 16,036	.4 2,032.6
Stone Crabs 2,08/.8 1,425.5 2,590.0 1,697.0 2,1 Spiny Lobsters 11,171.7 11,661.1 10,874.1 13,382.2 7,4 Shrimp 29,197.6 26,247.9 32,453.9 24,737.3 32,0 Shrimp 29,197.6 26,247.9 32,453.9 24,737.3 32,0 Calico Scallops 1.6 2.1 1,074.4 587.8 1,5 Pompano 1,251.7 1,484.4 1,802.5 1,3 32,6 Spotted Sea Trout 2,892.1 1,104.5 2,919.0 1,150.7 2,7 Red Snapper 4,088.4 3,093.1 5,168.9 3,976.3 5,1			1 2 2 2 2	7 1 708 g
Spring Lobsters 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,	2,590.0 1,899.0 10,877 1 13,382 2	7 408 4 9 86	3 0 9 8 8 8	.1 11.635.4
Calico Scallops 1.6 2.1 1,074.4 587.8 1,9 Pompano 1,251.7 1,484.4 1,802.5 1,3 1,3 Pompano 1,251.7 1,484.4 1,802.5 1,3 1,3 Spotted Sea Trout 2,892.1 1,104.5 2,919.0 1,150.7 2,7 Red Snapper 4,088.4 3,093.1 5,168.9 3,976.3 5,1	32,453.9 24,737.3	32,076.4 33,40	1.4 31,242	.6 28,137.9
Pompano 1,251.7 1,484.4 1,802.5 1,302.5 1,3 Spotted Sea Trout 2,892.1 1,104.5 2,919.0 1,150.7 2,7 Red Snapper 4,088.4 3,093.1 5,168.9 3,976.3 5,1	1,074.4 587.8	1,992.2 1,24	9.5 1,022	.7 613.3
Spotted Sea Trout 2,892.1 1,104.5 2,919.0 1,150.7 2,7 Red Snapper 4,088.4 3,093.1 5,168.9 3,976.3 5,1	1,802.5 1,802.5	1,328.3 1,48	9.8 1,46(.8 1,592.2
Red Snapper 4,088.4 3,093.1 5,168.9 3,976.3 5,1 0	2,919.0 1,150.7	2,704.3 1,15	5.9 2,838	.5 1,136.9
	5,168.9 3,976.3	5,137.6 4,39	5.5 4,798	3 3,821.6
USSERS 2,331.3 1,333.0 2,121.4 1,003.6 43	2,751.4 1,609.2	2,213.1 1,25	9.6 2,49	3.0 1,487.3

Source: Computed from [6, 18].

-

included purchases of fishing craft, engines, electronic equipment, paint, propellers, and engine parts. The present value of capital investments per fishing firm (1.5 boats or vessels) averaged \$27,301.60 in 1974. This represents the total present value per firm for all gear including boats and/or vessels [12]. Assuming the average value of capital investments for the firms is the same as the \$27,301.60 from the 1974 sample, total value of investments in craft and gear in the Florida fishing sector is estimated to be approximately \$114 million in 1975. This does not include investments in wholesaling and processing facilities or in fishing gear replaced annually such as rain gear, boots, hooks, and other expendable items.

The second largest expenditure was for fuel and oil at \$13.3 million. A previous study showed that over 18 percent of Florida commercial fishermen owned two boats or vessels in 1974 [12]. Thirty-two percent of these craft were diesel and 68 percent were gasoline powered. The average diesel powered craft consumed 14,993 gallons of fuel while the average gasoline powered craft consumed only 1,417 gallons in 1974. This is probably because the larger and farther ranging craft were diesel powered rather than there being more fuel efficiency associated with gasoline powered craft. Using the 32 percent diesel and 68 percent gasoline craft proportion gives 4,007 gasoline and 1,885 diesel powered craft in Florida in 1974. Multiplying these estimates by the estimated average fuel usage of gasoline and diesel, respectively, yields projected fuel needs of 6.04 million gallons of gasoline and 30.1 million gallons of diesel annually by Florida commercial fishermen. Using a 1975 average price of \$.50 per gallon for gasoline and 3.35 per gallon for diesel, the data from [12] vield estimated total fuel expenditures of \$12.7 million for the Florida fishing sector. This estimate compares favorably with the \$13.3 million estimated expenditures for fuel and oil presented in lable 2.

Ice, bait, and interest on loans, the smallest expenditure categories, accounted for a total of 12.1 percent of total expenditures. Interest on loans was \$1.9 million in 1975. A recent study [12] indicates that approximately 69 percent of the fishermen had one or more loans outstanding in 1974. Local banks, the most important loan source, accounted for approximately 58.6 percent of all loans. A total of 23.5 percent borrowed from local fishhouses, 6.1 percent were indebted to the National Marine Fisheries Service, and 3.4 percent and 2.7 percent, respectively, obtained loans from the Production Credit Associations and the Small Business Administration. Also, approximately 5.7 percent obtained loans from "other" sources [12].

Every \$100 sales of fish by the Florida commercial fishing sector in 1975 generated sales in industries selling inputs to commercial fishermen of \$60.83 (Table 11). Each 100 pounds of fish landed resulted in these other industries selling \$31.20 to Florida commercial fishermen. Incomes generated, consisting of wages, salaries, crew shares, profits, etc., were \$31.17 per \$100 or \$14.13 per 100 pounds of fish sold. There was an estimated primary economic impact of \$168.83 for every \$100 of fish and \$76.53 for every 100 pounds of fish sold in Florida in 1975.

Florida landings of finfish and shellfish in 1975 were sold for \$73.7 million for industries supplying inputs to commercial fishermen (Table 2). This activity also generated \$23 million of incomes in the commercial fishing sector. The total primary economic impact was estimated to be over \$124 million for Florida's commercial fishing sector. This estimate of primary economic impact is 1.69 times sales at dockside.

Item	Dollars per 100 pounds fish landed	Dollars per \$1 fish landed	00 State total (dollars)
Expenditures:			
Fuel and oil Ice Bait Fishing gear ^a Supplies ^D Repair, maintenance and degreciation ^C Overhead Interest on loans	8.199 1.092 1.507 3.896 2.060 8.775 4.491 1.182 31.202	18.088 2.409 3.325 8.594 4.544 19.357 9.906 2.607 68.830	13,336,567.22 1,775,885.96 2,451,669.84 6,336,433.72 3,350,004.12 14,272,599.36 7,304,126.35 1,921,836.94 50,749,123.51
Sales:	51.202	00.000	00,, 10,120101
Total fish and shell- fish	45.330	100.000	73,731,696.00
Income: ^e	14.128	31.170	22,982,572.49
Primary economic impact	: ^f 76.532	168.830	124,480,819.51

Table 2.	Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact
	associated with Florida's commercial fish catching
	sector, 1975

^aConsists of purchases, repairs, maintenance, and depreciation for all types of fishing gear (nets, reels, traps, hooks, etc.).

^bConsists of rain coats, boots, gloves, groceries, etc.

^CConsists of purchases of boats, vessels, engines, electronic equipment, engine parts, paint, propellers, etc. Also consists of repairs and maintenance such as rebuilding and repairing engines, painting boat hulls, replacing damaged propellers, etc.

dConsists of dockage fees, licenses, bookkeeping costs, payroll taxes, insurance, accounting fees, transportation costs, packing charges, spotter plane (when used), boat registration costs, etc.

^eIncome is sales of fish less expenditures, and includes wages, crew shares, salaries, and profits.

[†]Primary economic impact is computed as expenditures plus sales of fish.

Although there are more than 80 reported species of finfish and shellfish in the commercial fishing sector, the seven target species account for approximately 85 percent of the "impact" of the entire sector. The "impacts" of each of these seven species are presented in the following sections. The final section is a comparison of impacts by major (target) species.

<u>Red Snapper-Grouper</u>. Estimates of expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact for the Florida red snapper-grouper fishery were computed and projected to state totals from cost and returns budgets representative of Florida West Coast red snapper-grouper fishermen in 1974 and 1975 (Appendices Al-A3). The West Coast red snapper-grouper fisheries represented 88 percent of the 1975 state landings of red snapper and grouper.

Major expenditures in the red snapper-grouper fishery were for repairs and maintenance, groceries, bait, and fuel and oil (Table 3). Repairs and maintenance cost was \$1.3 million which was more than twice as large as any other major expenditure item and represented over 25 percent of total expenditures.

For each \$100 of fish sales in the red snapper-grouper fishery, other industries sold \$47.33 of items such as fuel and oil, ice, fishing craft, engines, fishing gear, etc. These sales of fish also generated \$52.67 of wages, crew shares, captains' salaries, profits, etc. Sales of \$100 by this fishery resulted in an estimated primary economic impact of \$147.33 in 1975.

Florida red snapper-grouper fishermen sold approximately \$8.5 million of fish, which then generated over \$4 million for industries supplying inputs to this fishery. This activity generated \$4.5 million of incomes. The primary economic impact was estimated at approximately \$12.5 million for the red snapper-grouper fishery in 1975.

<u>Mullet</u>. Cost and returns budgets based on data from mullet fishermen in Cedar Key, Florida, Bradenton, Florida, and "other" mullet fishermen located throughout the state⁵ in 1971 and 1974 were the basis of the impact table for this fishery (Appendices A4-A5). The data from these budgets were adjusted to be representative of the 1975 state landings of mullet.

Net replacement and depreciation, consisting of purchases of nets, lead weights, net repair materials, and other fishing gear was \$1.0 million and was the largest individual expenditure in 1975 by the mullet fishery (Table 4). Repair and maintenance, and fuel were the second and third largest expenditures, respectively. These three categories together accounted for 82.6 percent of the total expenditures by the mullet fishery.

Every \$100 sales by the Florida mullet fishery generated \$62.12 of sales in industries supplying inputs to this fishery which resulted in approximately \$2.4 million of sales statewide directly generated in these other industries. Mullet sales of \$100 produced \$37.88 of incomes which projects to a state

⁵Data for "other" mullet fishermen were taken from the survey reported in [12].

- - -

Item po	Dollars per 100 Dunds fish landed ^a	Dollars per \$10 fish landed ^D	0 State total ^c (dollars)
Expenditures:			
Fuel and oil	3.383	6.198	524,897.47
Groceries	3.993	7.316	619,543.49
Bait	3.873	7.096	600.924.60
Ice	1.749	3.204	271.370.29
Repairs and maintenance	8.220	15.060	1.275.393.80
Depreciation	3.244	5.943	503.330.60
License	.062	.114	9.619.76
Interest	.636	1.165	98,680,10
Insurance	.553	1.013	85,802.04
Docking fee	.119	.218	18,463.73
Total	25.832	47.327	4,008,025.88
Sales:			
Red snapper	85.556	100.000	1.395.500.00
Grouper	40.164	100.000	3,178,215,00
Other fish	36.306	100.000	894,990.00
Totald	54.581	100.000 8	3,468,705.00
Income: ^e	28.749	52.673	,460,679.12
Primary economic impact: ^f	80.413	147.327 12	2,476,730.88

Table 3. Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with the Florida red snapper-grouper fishery, 1975

^aBased on total landings of 85,360.90 pounds of fish (Appendix A3). ^bSee Appendices B2-B3.

^CBased on state landings.

dTotal sales represents 100 pounds of a mixture of fish in proportion to the average catch. Therefore, total sales per 100 pounds of fish is not the sum of sales per 100 pounds of each species sold.

^eIncome is sales of fish less expenditures, and includes wages, crew shares, salaries, and profits.

^fPrimary economic impact is computed as expenditures plus sales of fish.

Derived from: Appendices A1-A3.

Item	Dollars per 100 pounds fish landed ^a	Dollars per fish land	r \$100 State Jed ^D total ^C (dollars)
Expenditures:			
Net replacement and			
depreciation	3.852	26.741	1,045,668.25
Ice	. 497	3.450	134,916.18
Fuel	1.305	9.059	354,256.77
Repair and maintenance	2.235	15.515	606,715.61
Supplies	.714	4.957	193,823.24
Interest on loans	. 168	1.166	44,674.89
Depreciation on boats	.132	.916	35,832.87
License	.020	. 139	5,429.22
Accounting	.026	.180	7,057.99
Total	8.949	62.123	2,428,375.02
Sales:			
Mullet	14.370	100.000	3,821,287.00
Other fish ^o	16.086	100.000	89,102.84
Totald	14.405	100.000	3,910,389.84
Income: ^e	5.456	37.877	1,482,014.82
Primary economic impact:	f 23.354	162.123	6,338,764.86

Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact Table 4. associated with the Florida mullet fishery, 1975

^aBased on total landings of 49,000 pounds of fish (Appendix A5). ^bSee Appendices B2-B3.

^CBased on state landings.

^dTotal sales represents 100 pounds of a mixture of fish in propor-tion to the average catch. Therefore, total sales per 100 pounds of fish is not the sum of sales per 100 pounds of each species sold.

^eIncome is sales less expenditures, and includes wages, crew shares, salaries, and profits.

^fPrimary economic impact is computed as expenditures plus sales of fish.

Derived from: Appendices A4-A5.

total of \$1.5 million in wages, salaries, and profits. The estimated primary economic impact resulting from this \$100 of sales was \$162.12. The state total primary economic impact was projected to be \$6.3 million in 1975, as a result of the fishing activities of the Florida mullet fishery.

<u>King Mackerel</u>. Economic impact estimates for the Florida king mackerel fishery were based on budgets developed for Florida East Coast hook-and-line king mackerel fishermen in 1976 (Appendix A6). Florida East Coast landings of king mackerel represented 59 percent of total state landings of king mackerel in 1975.

Fuel expenditures of \$0.5 million were the largest single type of expenditure in the Florida king mackerel fishery (Table 5). Depreciation and repairs, and maintenance were second and third, respectively. These three expenditures together represented 72.5 percent of total expenditures.

A total of \$45.89 was spent in other industries for inputs and services for every \$100 sales in the king mackerel fishery. These mackerel sales generated \$54.11 of income. There was an estimated primary economic impact of \$145.89 resulting from each \$100 sales of Florida landed king mackerel in 1975.6

In 1975, Florida king mackerel fishermen sold \$3.6 million of fish which generated \$1.7 million of sales in industries selling inputs to this fishery. The fishery also generated almost \$2 million in incomes. The primary economic impact was estimated to be approximately \$5.3 million for Florida's king mackerel fishery in 1975.

<u>Spanish Mackerel</u>. Economic impact estimates for the Spanish mackerel fishery were made from budgetary data representative of Florida East Coast Spanish mackerel net fishermen in 1976 (Appendix A7). Florida East Coast landings of Spanish mackerel represented 48 percent of 1975 Florida landings of this species.

The largest expenditure in the Spanish mackerel fishery was for repairs, maintenance, and depreciation on nets (Table 6). This \$219,408 was primarily for purchases of monofilament line, lead weights, and other similar net repairing materials in 1975. This expenditure represented 22.7 percent of total expenditures in the Spanish mackerel fishery. Spotter plane expenses and fuel were the second and third largest expenditures, respectively. Spotter plane expenses consist of the boat captain sharing a percent of the value of the catch with an airplane pilot who spots schools of Spanish mackerel around which fishermen set their nets.

Fish sales of \$100 in this fishery generated \$38.96 of sales in other industries. This projects to a state total of nearly \$1.0 million. The same \$100 of sales generated wages, salaries, and profits (incomes) of \$61.04 which

⁶These budgets were for the 1976 production year. Total state projections were based on 1975 landings, giving a 1975 impact estimate. King mackerel net boat fisheries began around 1975 and have since become an important part of the fishery.

Item	Dollars per 100 pounds fish landed ^a	Dollars per \$](ffsh landed ^D	0 State tota1 ^C (dollars)
Expenditures:			
Ice	1.008	2.488	89,999.31
Bait	.877	2.164	78,302.97
Fuel	5.203	12.841	464,550.02
011	. 205	. 506	18,303.43
Fishing gear:			
Paravanes	.098	.242	8,749.93
Wire	.281	.693	25,089.09
Hooks and spoons	. 184	. 454	16,428.45
Swivels and snaps	- 059	.146	5,267.82
Other gear	. 505	1.246	45,088.94
Raincoats and boots	.080	.197	7,142.80
Gloves	.391	.965	34,910.45
Repairs and maintenance:			
Hull and propeller	1.244	3.070	111,070.58
Engine (and ofl change)	1.136	2.804	101,427.80
Electronic equipment	.553	1.365	49,374.62
Electric reels	. 205	.506	18,303.43
Other gear	.016	.039	1,428.56
Depreciation:			
Engine	1.776	4.383	158,570.22
Hull	2.038	5.030	181,962.90
Electronic equipment	1.079	2.663	96,338.55
Electric reels	. 233	. 575	20,803.41
Boat registration	.056	.138	4,999.96
Insurance	. 397	.980	35,466.16
Interest on loans	. 364	.898	32,499.75
Bookkeeping costs	.087	.215	7,767.80
Dockage fee	.518	1.278	46,249.65
Total	18.593	45.886 1.	660.076.60

Table 5. Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with the Florida king mackerel hook and line fishery, 1975

15

.

Table 5. continued

Item	Dollars per 100 pounds fish landed ^a	Dollars per \$1 (fish land ed^D	0 State total ^c (dollars)
Sales:			
King mackerel ^b Spanish mackerel ^b Bluefish ^b Other fjsh ^b Total ^d	37.265 17.301 13.882 50.034 40.520	100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000 100.000	2,354,861.06 12,507.24 7,253.31 1,243,210.57 3,617,832.18
Income: ^e	21.927	54.114	1,957,755.58
Primary economic impact: ^f	59.113	145.886	5,277,908.78

^aBased on total landings of 52,193.56 pounds of fish (Appendix A6). ^bSee Appendices B1 and B3.

^CBased on state landings.

^dTotal sales represents 100 pounds of a mixture of fish in proportion to the average catch. Therefore, total sales per 100 pounds of fish is not the sum of sales per 100 pounds of each species sold.

^eIncome is sales of fish less expenditures, and includes wages, crew shares, salaries, and profits.

^fPrimary economic impact is computed as expenditures plus sales of fish.

Derived from: Appendix A6.

Item	Dollars per 100 pounds fish landed ^a	Dollars pe fish lan	r \$100 State ded ^D total (dollars)
Expenditures:			
Fuel	1.295	7.052	174,636.55
011	.044	. 240	5,933.60
Spotter plane	1.569	8.544	211,586.67
Rain gear and gloves	.225	1.225	30,342.26
Ice	.371	2.020	50,031.01
Insurance	. 165	. 898	22,250.99
Interest on loans	. 310	1.688	41,804.89
Overhead	. 048	. 261	6,473.01
Boat registration Hull:	.007	.038	943.68
Repair and maintenand	ce ,174	.948	23,464.68
Depreciation	.332	1.808	44,771.69
Engine: Repair, maintenance, depreciation	and .872	4.748	1 17 ,59 3.10
Electronic equipment			
Repair and maintenanc	e .050	. 272	6,742.72
Depreciation Nets	.065	. 345	8,765.54
Repair and maintenanc	e 336	1.830	45.311.10
Depreciation	1,291	7.030	174.097.13
Total	7.154	38.956	964,748.92
Sales:			
Spanish mackerel ^b	17.301	100.000	1,850,109.25
Bluefish ^b .	13.882	100.000	195,014.09
Other fish ^D	31.099	100.000	431,341.34
Totald	18.364	100.000	2,467,464.68

Table 6. Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with the Florida Spanish mackerel net fishery, 1975

Table 6. continued

Item	Dollars per 100 pounds fish landed ^a	Dollars per \$10 fish landed ^b	0 State total ^C (dollars)
Income: e	11.210	61.044 1,4	571,715.76
Primary economic impact: ^f	25.518	138.956 3,4	41,213.60

^aBased on total landings of 434,790.99 of fish (Appendix A7). ^bSee Appendices B1 and B3.

^CBased on state landings.

^dTotal sales represents 100 pounds of a mixture of fish in proportion to the average catch. Therefore, total sales per 100 pounds of fish is not the sum of sales per 100 pounds of each species sold.

^eIncome is sales of fish less expenditures, and includes wages, crew shares, salaries, and profits.

^fPrimary economic impact is computed as expenditures plus sales of fish.

Derived from: Appendix A7.

was projected to a state total of \$1.5 million. There was an estimated primary economic impact of \$138.96 per \$100 sales in this fishery. State total primary economic impact was estimated to be \$3.4 million for the Florida Spanish mackerel fishery in 1975.

<u>Shrimp</u>. Impact estimates for the Florida shrimp fishery were derived and projected to state totals from 1973 cost and returns budgets representative of Florida West Coast shrimp fishermen (Appendix A8). Florida West Coast landings of shrimp represented 91 percent of the 1975 Florida landings of shrimp.

Fuel, repairs and maintenance, and depreciation expenditures totaled \$16.4 million in 1975 and represented 60.3 percent of total expenditures in Florida's shrimp fishery (Table 7). The smallest expenditures were for nets, payroll taxes, and overhead (utilities, business services, etc.) which together accounted for only nine percent of total expenditures even though they amounted to almost \$3.0 million in 1975.

Florida shrimp fishermen spent \$85.86 in other industries for each \$100 of shrimp sales. This generated incomes of \$14.14. There was an estimated primary economic impact of \$185.86 for every \$100 sales of Florida Shrimp.

Commercial shrimpers in Florida sold shrimp valued at \$31.8 million in 1975. This, in turn, generated sales in other industries of \$27.3 million. Commercial fishing activities by the Florida shrimp fishery generated incomes of \$4.5 million in 1975. The primary economic impact of the shrimp fishery was estimated to be almost \$60 million in 1975.

<u>Spiny Lobster</u>. Estimates of expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact for the Florida spiny lobster fishery were computed from budgets based on 1973-1974 surveys of lobster fishermen located primarily in Monroe County (Appendices A9-A10). Data from these budgets were assumed to be representative of the total Florida landings. Approximately 70 percent of Florida landings of spiny lobsters are landed in Monroe County.

Depreciation was the greatest expenditure in the spiny lobster fishery, accounting for 43.7 percent of total expenditures (Table 8). Traps lost and fuel were the second and third largest expenditures, respectively, and together amounted to approximately \$1.5 million. These three items jointly accounted for 74.7 percent of total expenditures.

Each \$100 of spiny lobsters sold generated sales in other industries of \$47.65 which projected to a state total of \$4.7 million. Sales of \$100 by this fishery generated \$52.35 of incomes which was projected to \$5.2 million at the state level. There was an estimated primary economic impact of \$147.65 for every \$100 sales of spiny lobsters in 1975. The primary economic impact for the total Florida spiny lobster fishery was estimated to be approximately \$14.6 million in 1975.

<u>Blue Crab</u>. A 1972 cost and returns budget based on data from blue crab fishermen in Cedar Key, Florida enabled the computation and projection of state primary impacts for this fishery (Appendices All-Al2). Data from this

Item	Dollars per 100 pounds shrimp landed ^a	Dollars per shrimp la	\$ 100 nded ^b	State total ^c (dollars)
Expenditures:				
Ice Fuel Nets Supplies and groceries Repairs and maintenance Payroll taxes Packing Insurance Depreciation Overhead Interest	4.887 46.502 3.136 9.644 20.896 4.215 8.000 13.744 17.302 5.358 6.817	2.987 28.418 1.916 5.894 12.770 2.576 4.889 8.400 10.574 3.274 4.166	9,0 60 1,8 4,0 1,5 2,66 3,3 1,0 3,3 1,0 3	48,572.87 26,096.91 08,701.56 71,912.58 55,939.98 18,136.82 52,810.10 57,727.75 58,340.04 9,994.56 3.188.31
Total	140.501	85.864	27,27	1,421.48
Sales:				
Shrimp	163.633	100.000	31,76	1,329.00
Income: ^d	23.132	14.136	4,48	9,907.52
Primary economic impact: ^e	304.134	185.864	59,03	2,750.48

Table 7.	Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic	impact
	associated with the Florida shrimp fishery, 1975	

^aLandings of shrimp are shown on a heads-off basis. Heads off = (heads on)(.625). Also, based on 41,521.94 pounds of shrimp landed in the budget (Appendix A8).

^bSee Appendix B3.

^CBased on total state heads-off landings and sales of shrimp.

d. Income is sales of shrimp less expenditures, and includes wages, crew shares, salaries, and profits.

^ePrimary economic impact is computed as expenditures plus sales of shrimp.

Derived from: Appendix A8.

· ..

Item	Dollars per 100 pounds spiny lobster landed ^a	Dollars per \$10 spiny lobster landed ^D	0 State total ^c (dollars)
Expenditures:			
Fuel	6.796	5,105	503.478 19
0il and oil change	1.857	1,395	137.574 90
Groceries	1.453	1.091	107,644 76
Bait	2.655	1.994	196.694 32
Brushes	.136	.102	10,075 49
Gloves	.638	.513	50,599,71
Transportation	.188	.141	13,927,88
Puller operating cost	. 129	.097	9 556 90
Rain gear	. 364	.273	26,966,75
Traps lost	12.879	9.674	954,134,15
Repairs:			
Hull	2.123	1,595	157,281,37
Engine	3.461	2,600	256,406,42
Gear	. 330	.248	24,447,88
Depreciation:			
Trap	14.390	10.809	1.066.075.81
Hull	6.135	4.608	454,508,35
Engine	5.028	3.777	372,496,82
Gear	2.152	1.616	159.429.82
License	.616	. 463	45.636.05
Interest on loans	1.505	1.130	111,497,16
Insurance	. 556	.418	41,190.98
Total	63.436	47.649	4,699,623.71
ales:			
Spiny lobster	133.132	100.000	9,862,987.00

Table 8. Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with the Florida spiny lobster fishery, 1975

Table 8. continued

Item	Dollars per 100 pounds spiny lobster landed ^a	Dollars per \$1 spiny lobster landed ^D	00 State total ^c (dollars)
Income: ^d	69.696	52.351 5	,163,363.29
Primary economic impact: ^e	196.568	147.649 14	,562,610.71

^aBased on total landings in budget of 12,828 pounds of spiny lobster (Appendix AlO).

^bSee Appendix B3.

^CBased on state landings and sales of spiny lobster.

dIncome is sales of spiny lobster less expenditures, and includes wages, crew shares, salaries, and profits.

^ePrimary economic impact is computed as expenditures plus sales of fish.

Derived from: Appendices A9-A10.

.

. _

budget were representative of the Florida West Coast blue crab fishery. West Coast landings of blue crabs in 1975 accounted for 75 percent of Florida blue crab landings.

Major expenditures in the blue crab fishery were for bait, trap replacement, and fuel (Table 9). These expenditures totaled \$1.7 million and represented 89.7 percent of total expenditures.

For every \$100 of blue crabs sold, other industries sold inputs valued at \$86.18 to this fishery. Also, these sales generated \$13.82 of incomes. There was an estimated primary economic impact of \$186.18 for each \$100 sales of the Florida blue crab fishery in 1975.

Florida blue crab fishermen sold \$2.2 million of crabs in 1975, which then generated sales of \$1.9 million in other industries. This activity created over \$300 thousand in wages, salaries, profits, etc. There was an estimated primary economic impact of \$4.1 million in 1975 as a result of commercial blue crab fishing in Florida.

Seven Major Fisheries. The previously discussed seven major fisheries accounted for approximately 85 percent of the expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact of the Florida commercial fishing sector in 1975. Table 10 presents a comparison per \$100 of fish sales among these seven fisheries. The shrimp fishery generated the greatest expenditures per \$100 of sales of shrimp in four of the categories: fuel and oil; repairs, maintenance, and depreciation; overhead; interest on loans. The mullet fishery led other fisheries in ice sales generated and fishing gear sales generated with \$3.45 and \$26.74 per \$100 of sales for ice and fishing gear, respectively. The greatest expenditures for bait were \$41.79 per \$100 of sales by the blue crab fishery. Red snapper-grouper fishermen spent the most for supplies at \$7.32 per \$100 of sales.

The blue crab fishery had the greatest total expenditures per \$100 sales while the Spanish mackerel fishery had the least. Incomes generated by the Spanish mackerel fishery at \$61.04 per \$100 fish sales, were the largest among these seven fisheries. The blue crab fishery had the greatest primary economic impact per \$100 of sales among these fisheries.

Total expenditures, income, sales and incomes generated may be compared for the seven fisheries by comparing the totals presented in Tables 3 through 9. This comparison considers both dollars generated per \$100 sales and total sales of fish products by the fishery. The shrimp fishery generated the greatest expenditures, sales, and primary economic impact. The spiny lobster fishery generated the most total income.

Other Florida Species. Estimates of expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact for the remaining species were computed from specific species impact tables and combinations of species impact tables (from the seven major fisheries) that "best" approximated expenditures for the species being analyzed. These were then aggregated into one impact table for other species.

Item	Dollars per 100 pounds blue crab landed ^a	Dollars per \$10 blue crab landed	0 State b total ^C (dollars)
Expenditures:			
Bait Trap replacement Fuel Vessel repair Transportation Supplies Interest on investment Depreciation License Accounting	5.467 2.719 1.929 .602 .230 .154 .100 .050 .010 .013	41.790 20.784 14.745 4.602 1.758 1.177 .764 .382 .076 .099	929,065.10 462,068.41 327,815.36 102,304.22 39,086.33 26,170.85 16,994.06 8,497.03 1,699.41 2,209.23
Total	11.274	86.177 1	,915,910.00
Sales:			
Blue crab	13.082	100.000 2	,223,180.00
Income: ^d	1.808	13.823	307,270.00
Primary economic impact: ^e	24.356	186.177 4	,139,090.00

Table 9. Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with the Florida blue crab fishery, 1975

^aBased on total landings in budget of 100,000 pounds of blue crab (Appendix A12).

^bSee Appendix B3.

^CBased on state landings and sales of blue crabs.

^dIncome is sales of blue crabs less expenditures, and includes wages, crew shares, salaries, and profits.

^eprimary economic impact is computed as expenditures plus sales of fish.

Derived from: Appendices All-Al2.

	Red snapper and grouper	Mullet	King mackerel	Spanish mackerel	Shrimp	Spiny lobster	Blue crab
Expenditures:		5	op	llars	1		
Fuel and oil	6.198 2 204	9.059	13.347	7.292	28.418	6.500	14.745
tce	7, 096	0.430	2,164		102.7	1,994	41.790
Fishing gear ^a	2.347 ^b	26.741	3.901	8.860	1.916	22.546	20.784
Supplies ^C	7.316	4.957	1.162	1.225	5.894	1.877	1.177
Repair, maintenance, and depreciation ^d	18.656	16.431	19.315	8.130	23.344	12.580	4.984
Overheade	1.345	.319	2.611	9.741	19.139	1.022	1.933
Interest on loans	1.165	1.166	898.	1.688	4.166	1.130	.764
Total	47.327	62.123	45.886	38.956	85.864	47.649	86.177
Income:	52.673	37.877	54.114	61.044	14.136	52.351	13.823
Primary economic impact:	147.327	162.123	145.886	138.956	185.864	147.649	186.177
^a Includes expenditu fishing gear lasting lon ^b The impact table f comparison table estimat	res for fishing ger than one ye or red snapper- es of fishing g	gear, as ar. grouper ; ear exper	s well as t shows no f	epairs, maf ishing gear ere derived	ntenance, expenditu from repa	and depre- ires. Howe irrs, mainto	ciation on ver, for this enance, and
depreciation. These der repairs, maintenance, an	ivations were build depreciation	ased on i in other	the relation hook and	onships of f line fisheri	ʻishing ge ies simila	ar expendi Ir to red s	tures to napper and
grouper. ^C Consists of rain c	oats, boots, gl	oves, gr	oceries, e	ц.			

^dConsists of purchases of boats, vessels, engines, electronic equipment, etc. Also consists of repairs and maintenance such as rebuilding engines, painting boat hulls, replacing propellers, etc.

^eConsists of dockage fees, licenses, bookkeeping costs, payro¹ taxes, insurance, accounting fees, transportation costs, packing charges, spotter plane expenses, boat registration costs, etc. Repairs, maintenance and depreciation, fuel and oil, and fishing gear were the three greatest expenditure categories for all "other" species of finfish and shellfish in Florida (Table 11). These expenditures accounted for approximately 72 percent of total expenditures. Interest on loans, ice, and supplies were the smallest expenditures. Supplies consisted of rain coats, boots, gloves, groceries, etc. These three smallest expenditure categories accounted for 11.7 percent of total expenditures which amounted to approximately \$914 thousand.

Each \$100 sales of "other" fish resulted in expenditures in other industries of \$68.36, incomes generated of \$31.64, and a primary economic impact of \$168.36. Commercial fishermen landing species in Florida other than those landed by the seven major fisheries sold approximately \$11.4 million of fish. This directly generated \$7.8 million of sales in other industries and \$3.6 million in income. There was an estimated primary economic impact of \$19.2 million in 1975 resulting from Florida landings of species in this category.

Output Effect

Primary economic impacts presented above have the advantage of identifying expenditures to specific industries for specified items. However, there were two disadvantages at this level of disaggregation. First, the impacts could not be restricted to state, region, or county. Second, the third, fourth, etc. round effects could not be estimated to determine "total" effects. Output multipliers, although based on highly aggregated estimates, do enable estimation of total impacts within specific geographical regions.

An output multiplier for the Florida fishing sector was obtained.⁷ This output multiplier was based on data derived from disaggregating the sector representing "forestry and fishing" in the Florida input-output model.

The estimated output multiplier expresses the magnitude of change in value of total output of all sectors (industries) in Florida associated with a change in output (sales) of fish in Florida. For example, this output multiplier of 1.40173 means that each dollar of sales of fish at dockside results in approximately \$1.40 of output (sales) in all of Florida.

Applying this multiplier to the fish catching sector with sales of \$74.7 million in 1975 gives an estimated output effect of \$103.4 million on the Florida economy. Thus relationships among factors of production remained unchanged from 1973 to 1975. Data for this output multiplier include interactions among the Florida fishing sector and other industries within Florida only (computed from Florida input-output model). Estimates of primary economic impact in this study included data about all industries that directly interact with the Florida commercial fishing sector, and were not constrained to Florida locations. However, the primary economic impact estimated for Florida's commercial fishing sector at \$124.5 million was close in magnitude to

⁷Obtained from Clemson University by Dr. David Mulkey, Assistant Professor of Food and Resource Economics, University of Florida.

Item I	Dollars per 100 Dounds fish landed	Dollars per \$100 fish landed	State total (dollars)
Expenditures:			
Fuel and oil Ice Bait Fishing gear ^a Supplies ^D Repairs, maintenance, and depreciation ^C Overhead Interest on loans Total	4.271 .667 1.535 3.411 .904 d 5.660 1.471 .599 18.518	15.766 2.463 5.667 12.593 3.338 20.894 5.430 2.213 68.364	1,799,024.02 280,996.30 646,682.85 1,436,950.96 380,947.23 2,384,226.48 619,616.28 252,497.78 7,800,941.90
Sales:			
All species except those landed by the main seve fisheries	en 27.090	100.000	11,410,808.30
Income: ^e	8.572	31.636	3,609,866.40
Primary economic impact: f	45.608	168.364	19,211,750.20

Table 11. Expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact associated with all Florida species other than the main seven, 1975

^aConsists of purchases, repairs, maintenance, and depreciation for all types of fishing gear (nets. reels, traps, hooks, etc.).

^bConsists of rain coats, boots, gloves, groceries, etc.

^CConsists of purchases of boats, vessels, engines, electronic equipment, engine parts, paint, propellers, etc. Also consists of repairs and maintenance such as rebuilding and repairing engines, painting boat hulls, replacing damaged propellers, etc.

^dConsists of dockage fees, licenses, bookkeeping costs, payroll taxes, insurance, accounting fees, transportation costs, packing charges, spotter plane (when used), boat registration costs, etc.

^eIncome is sales of fish less expenditures, and includes wages, crew shares, salaries, and profits.

^fPrimary economic impact is computed as expenditures plus sales of fish.

the above estimate of output effect. At the national level the fish catching multiplier is 2.22 [20]. Using this estimate, Florida landed fish and shellfish in 1975 generated sales in the U.S. equal to \$163.7 million. This provides a measure of the importance of the Florida fishing sector to the U.S.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The Florida commercial fishing sector annually lands in excess of 160 million pounds of finfish and shellfish. These landings were valued at almost \$74 million at dockside in 1975. The two most valuable species are shrimp and spiny lobsters. Over 10,500 commercial fishermen using 5,892 boats and vessels participate in the fishing sector. Budgets developed for the seven major species landed in Florida were used to determine expenditures, income, and primary economic impact for the Florida commercial fishing sector. Although there are over 85 species of fish landed in Florida annually, these seven species and the associated incidental catches of other fish accounted for approximately 85 percent of total Florida landings in 1975. Cost and returns budgets for these species were adjusted to 1975 dollars using various wholesale and retail price indices. Estimates of expenditures, sales, income, and primary economic impact per 100 pounds, per \$100, and for the state total were computed for each of the seven fisheries. The 15 percent not accounted for by the seven major fisheries was estimated from individual species and combinations of species budgets from the major seven fisheries.

The largest expenditure by the commercial fishing sector was \$14.3 million for repairs, maintenance, and depreciation. These expenditures were incurred for boats and vessels, engines, electronic equipment, propellers, paint, engine parts, etc. In 1974, the present value of capital investments in these boats, vessels, and gear was estimated at approximately \$114 million. The second largest expenditure was for fuel. Fuel expenditures in 1975 by Florida commercial fishermen were projected to be \$12.7 million for 6.04 million gallons of gasoline and 30.1 million gallons of diesel.

Ice, bait, and interest on loans were the smallest expenditures by Florida commercial fishermen in 1975. Approximately 69 percent of the commercial fishermen in 1974 were estimated to have one or more loans outstanding. The most important loan source was local banks which accounted for 58.6 percent of all loans.

The Florida commercial fishing sector sold \$73.7 million of finfish and shellfish in 1975. These sales generated \$50.7 million for industries supplying inputs to commercial fishermen. Fishing activities also generated \$23 million of incomes in the form of wages, profits, and salaries to captains, boat owners, and crew. The primary economic impact of the Florida commercial fishing sector was estimated to be \$124.5 million in 1975.

Comparing the seven major fisheries, the blue crab fishery had the greatest expenditures per \$100 sales. The blue crab fishery had the smallest incomes generated per \$100 sales but the greatest primary economic impact per \$100 sales. The Spanish mackerel fishery generated the most income per \$100 sales. The shrimp factory had the greatest total expenditures, sales, and primary economic impact of these seven fisheries. The estimated primary economic impact for the commercial fishing sector of \$124.5 million was not limited to industry transactions in Florida, and was approximately 20 percent greater than the output effect which was estimated to be \$103.4 million using the fish harvesting output multiplier for Florida. Using the 1967 national fish harvesting output multiplier of 2.22 [20], Florida landings of finfish and shellfish in 1975 generated sales (output effect) in the U.S. equal to \$163.7 million. This estimate is a measure of the relative importance of the Florida fish catching sector to the United States.

xpense item	Index type ⁸	1974	1975	791.	t costs	2	975 -costs
		index	index	Four 42-47 feet vessels	Six 57-59 feet vessels	Four 42-47 feet vessels	Six 57-69 fe vessels
					100	lars	
uel and oil	Petroleum products, refined (U)	223.4	257.5	2,207.00	4,053.00	2,543.88	4,671.65
roceries	Food (R)	161.7	175.4	2.721.00	5.211 00	2 GET E4	t ets en
aft	All commodities (W)	160.1	174.9	1,978.00	5.955.00	2,160,85	007.200 c
4	All commodities-less farm products (U)	156.8	173.4	1,171.00	2,317.00	1,294.97	2,562.29
epairs and maintenance	All commodities-less	156.8	173.4	4,084.00	8,762.00	4,516.36	9,689.61
rew shares ^b	22.833 percent of gross (4 boats)			9,443.00	20,865.00	10,361.11	22,351.02
	ZI.503 percent of gross (6 boars)						
epreciation				2,770.00	3,842.00	2,770.00	3.842.00
i cense i teres t				52.00	55.00	52.00	55.00
surance	All commodities-less farm products (W)	156.8	173.4	326.00	8 .0	793.00 360.51	0.00 0.00
Total costs				25,545.00	51,060.00	27,804.22	55,329.56

Estimated expenditures for the Florida red snapper-grouper fishery, 1974 and 1975

Table Al.

Derived from: 1.

Cato, James C. and Fred J. Prochaska. "A Statistical and Budgetary Economic Analysis of Florida Based Gulf of Me Red Snapper-Grouper Vessels by Size and Location, 1974 and 1975." <u>Marine Fisheries Keview</u>, Parer 1**269, N**ov. 1977.

U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. <u>Monthly Labor Review</u>, 1975-1976. ŝ

Cost and returns estimates for two of the four categories were available in 1975 dollars. Therefore, only two of the categories had to be converted from 1974 to 1975 dollars. Note:

APPENDIX A

	e	8 feet to 47 fe	et (small)		ŭ	6 feet to 69 i	feet (large)	
Item	Northern Gulf	(four craft)	Southeas tern Gulf	(six craft)	Northera Gulf	(six craft)	Southeastern Gulf	(four craft)
	Pounds	Dollars ^d	Pounds	Dollars ^a	Pounds	Doilars ^a	Pounds	Dollars ^a
Returns :								
Red snapper	32,654	38,614.70	13, 195	11,243.00	92,955	89,875.39	15.599	13.067.00
Grouper	28,325	14,884.22	43,334	17,281.00	4,409	2,290.50	51,518	20, 203, 00
total	64,970	45,377.80	62,725	1,860,00 30,384,00	<u> 32,424</u> 129,828	103,940.34	73,005	2,289.00 35,548.00
Variable costs:								
Fuel and oil		2.543.98		1.759 00		4 671 66		2 348 DO
Grocentes		2,951.54		2,166.00		5,652.50		2.364.00
Bait		2,160.85		1,804.00		6,505.49		1,907.00
Ice		1,294.97		836.00		2,562.29		1,072.00
Kepairs and Maintenance (real charae		4,515.35		6,349.00 A 200 00		9,689.61		6,511.00
Total		23,828.71		17,213.00		51,432.56		22, 170, 00
Fixed costs:								
Depreciation		2,770.00		1,875.00		3.842.00		2.500.00
License		52.00		52.00		55.00		52.00
Interest		793.00		200.00		0.00		1,620.00
[nsurance		360.51		533.00		0.00		1,200.00
Docking fee Total		00 0		230.00		8.0		165.00
1 DIG		16.676.8		2,890.00		3,897.00		5,537.00
Total costs		27,804.22		20,103.00		55,329.56		27,707.00
Total net return to captain a	nd owner ^b	17,573.58		10,281.00		48,610.78		7,841.00

vessels hy length returns for Florida Gulf of Mexico red snanner-drouper Annual cost and Tahle A2 in average West Coast price and average budget price. The price for "other fish" was estimated as the price of other fish reported in the budget with percentage adjustments for the difference in the budget price of other fish and the 1975 average West Coast price of all fin-fish except red snapper and grouper.

^bTotal net returns to captain and owner represent captains' salaries and returns to owners labor, management, and investment. It is computed as total returns less total costs. Derived from: Cato, James C. and Fred J. Prochaska. "A Statistical and Budgetary Economic Analysis of Florida Based Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper-Grouper Vessels by Size and Location, 1974 and 1975." <u>Marine Fisheries Review</u>., Paper 1269, Nov. 1977.

Pounds	Dollars
41,507.60 30,291.50 <u>13,561.80</u> 85,360.90	38,671.86 12,888.89 <u>4,923.71</u> 56,484.46
	2,887.57 3,408.66 3,306.42 1,492.88 7,017.01 11,680.83 29,793.37
	2,769.10 52.90 542.60 472.00 102.00 3,938.60 33,731.97 22,752,49
	Pounds 41,507.60 30,291.50 13,561.80 85,360.90

Table A3. Estimated average annual costs and returns for Florida Gulf of Mexico red snapper-grouper vessels, 1975.

^aThis budget is a weighted average of budgets presented in Table A2. Weights were the number of vessels in each category.

Derived from: Cato, James C. and Fred J. Prochaska. "A Statistical and Budgetary Economic Analysis of Florida Based Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper-Grouper Vessels by Size and Location, 1974 and 1975." Marine Fisheries Review, Paper 1269, November 1977.

Expense item	Index type ^d	1971 index	1975 index	1971 costs	1975 costs
				Dollar	
Net replacement and depreciation ^b				1,887.48	1,887.48
Ice	All commodities-less farm products (W)	114.0	173.4	160.00	243.37
Fuel (gasoline) ^c Repair and maintenance	All commodities-less	114.0	173.4	280.30 720.00	639.45 1,095.16
Supplies	All commodities-less farm products (M)	114.0	173.4	230.00	349.84
Interest on loans ^d Opportunity cost on				82.41	82.41
investment ^e Depreciation on boats ^f	•			486.16 64.47	486.16 64 47
License Accounting Titi	Services (R)	128.4	166.6	10.00	10.00 12.98
I O CALL COSTS				3,930.82	4,871.32

+ * * Fetimatod. Table &A

Prive Inues and A genotes retail price index.

^bNet replacement and depreciation was estimated based on the relationship of net investment to total investment in boat and nets from source 1 below as follows: Total investment in nets and boats was divided into investment in nets. This was then multiplied by an estimated investment in boats and nets of \$5,685.71 (from seven Florida mullet fishermen from survey data). The resulting product was then divided by 2.5 years, which was the estimated remaining life of the nets.

^C Fuel costfor 1975 was estimated by obtaining the average gallons of fuel usage per pound ish caught for seven Florida allet fishermen from survey data and multiplying this by 49,000 bunds of catch. This was the multiplied by an average 1975 price of $$.50$ per gallon of gasol uel cost for 1971 was estimate by deflating the 1975 fuel cost by the wholesale price index f asoline. ^d Interest on loans was estimated to be 1.4495 percent by dividing 10 percent of total invento the average interest on loans, estimated from other similar finfish budgets. This 1.4495 as then multiplied by the total value of investment, which gave an estimated interest on loan: 82.41.
bunds of catch. This was the multiplied by an average 1975 price of \$.50 per gallon of gasol del cost for 1971 was estimate by deflating the 1975 fuel cost by the wholesale price index f asoline. ^d Interest on loans was estimated to be 1.4495 percent by dividing 10 percent of total inve nto the average interest on loans, estimated from other similar finfish budgets. This 1.4495 as then multiplied by the total value of investment, which gave an estimated interest on loan 82.41.
^d Interest on loans was estimated to be 1.4495 percent by dividing 10 percent of total inve to the average interest on loans, estimated from other similar finfish budgets. This 1.4495 as then multiplied by the total value of investment, which gave an estimated interest on loans 82.41. ^e Opportunity cost on investment represents 10 percent of the total value of investment mi
^d Interest on loans was estimated to be 1.4495 percent by dividing 10 percent of total invento the average interest on loans, estimated from other similar finfish budgets. This 1.4495 as then multiplied by the total value of investment, which gave an estimated interest on loans 82.41.
nco the average interest on loans, estimated from other similar finitsh budgets. This 1.4495 as then multiplied by the total value of investment, which gave an estimated interest on loans 82.41. ^e Opportunity cost on investment represents 10 percent of the total value of investment mi
^e Opportunity cost on investment represents 10 percent of the total value of investment mi
82.41 charged to interest on loans.
^f Depreciation on boats was estimated hased on the relationship of investment in boats to not nvestment in boats and nets from source 1 below as follows: Total investment in boats and ne as divided into investment in boats. This was then multiplied by an estimated investment in t
nd nets of \$5,685.71 (from seven Florida mullet fishermen from survey data). The resulting pu as then divided by 15 years, which was the estimated remaining life of the boats.
erived from:]. Anderson, C. L. and R. H. McNutt. Costs and Returns in Commercial Fishing. Fishing-Florida, A Case Study. State University System of Florida Cooperat Extension Service Marine Advisory Program, SUSF-SG-73-002. Lake Alfred: 19
 Smith, Frederick J. and Fred J. Prochaska. Marine Economics Data: 26-Foot Key (Florida) Mullet Vessel. U.R.I. Marine Advisory Service, Sea Grant Pro- Marine Memorandum No. 12. Narragansett: February, 1972.
3. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Monthly Labor Review

Item	1971	1975
Returns: ^a		
Mullet		
Pounds	48,000	48,000
Dollars ^a	3,840.00	7,042.56
Other fish		1 000
Pounds	1,000	1,000
Dollars ^a	120.00	160.86
Total		
Pounds	49,000	49,000
Dollars ^a	3,960.00	7,203.42
Costs:		
11 1 1 1	00	ollars
Variable costs:	1 887 48	1 887 AR
Net replacement and depreciation	1,007.40	243 37
lce	280 30	639 45
Fuel (gasoline)	720.00	1 095 16
Repair and maintenance	230.00	349 R4
Supplies	2 277 70	A 215 20
Total variable costs	3,211.10	4,210.30
Fixed costs:	02.41	02 41
Interest on loans	82.41	02.41
Opporturity cost on investment	480.10	400.10
Depreciation on boats	04.4/	10 00
License	10.00	10.00
Accounting		- 12.90
Total fixed costs	053.04	000.02
Total costs	3,930.82	4,871.32
Total returns less variable costs	682.22	2,98 8.12
Return to operator labor and management (total returns less total costs)	29.18	2,332.10

Table A5-- Average costs and returns for 26-feet Cedar Key (Florida) mullet vessels, 1971 and 1975

••••

Table A5.--continued

Item	1971	1975
Return to investment (total returns less all costs except interest on investment and less management charge) ^b	dol -4,202.25	lars -3,478.23

Returns were estimated as the product of quantity of mullet and average 1975 Florida West Coast mullet price plus the product of quantity of other fish and the estimated average 1975 price of other fish. West Coast average price of mullet was used for 1975 budget price because West Coast average price for 1971 differed from the 1971 budget price by less than \$.01. The 1975 average price of other fish was estimated by adjusting the 1971 budget price of other fish by the percentage increase in West Coast price, between 1971 and 1975, of the species of other fish caught by seven Florida mullet fishermen (from survey data).

^bManagement charge is the value of operators' management in alternative employment. It was estimated from surveys of mullet fishermen to be \$4,800 in 1971, and adjusted by the consumer price index for "all items," to be \$6,378.90 in 1975.

Derived from: 1.

- Anderson, C.L. and R.H. McNutt. <u>Costs and Returns in</u> <u>Commercial Fishing. Mullet Fishing-Florida, A Case</u> <u>Study</u>. State University System of Florida Cooperative Extension Service Marine Advisory Program, SUSF-SG-73-002. Lake Alfred: 1971.
 - Smith, Frederick J. and Fred J. Prochaska. <u>Marine</u> <u>Economics Data: 20-Foot Cedar Key (Florida) Mullet</u> <u>Vessel</u>. U.R.I. Marine Advisory Service, Sea Grant Program. Marine Memorandum No. 12. Narragansett: February, 1972.

Item	Average ^a
Returns:	
King mackerel	
Pounds	36,940.53
Dollars	17,894.73
Spanish mackerel	400 71
Pounds	422.71
Dollars	/6.03
Bluefish	216 02
Pounds	39 02
Dollars	36.02
Uther fish	14 513 49
Pounas	7,261,68
DOLLATS Total	1,201100
Pounds	52,193,56
Dollars	25,270.46
Costs:	- dollars -
Variable costs:	
Ice	526.23
Bait	457.69
Fuel	2,715.52
011	106.94
Paravanes	51.24
Wire	146.88
Hopks and spoons	96.10 20.61
Swivels and snaps	30.01
Other gear	203.44
Raincoats and boots	41.70
Gloves	204.15
Repairs and maincenance:	649 20
Hull and propeller	592.73
Engine (and Dil Chanye)	288.63
Electronic equipment	106.97
Other gear ^b	8.41
Total variable costs	6,286.44

Table A6.--Average costs and returns for Florida king mackerel hook and line boats, 1976

· · ·

verage ~
ollars
27.16
53.87
63.33
21.62
29.41
07.32
90.12
45.25
70.15
18.23
04.67
55.79
(

Table A6.--continued

^aEstimated from surveys taken from 10 Florida East Coast hook and line boat operators during February, 1977.

^bRepair and maintenance on other gear represents the repair and maintenance on nets by one fisherman in the sample who used nets.

Item	Average ^a	
Returns:		
Spanish mackerel	244 770 54	
Pounds	344,//9.04 61 670 14	
Dollars	01,0/9,14	
Bluefish	A6 009 27	
Pounds	6 066 23	
Dollars	0,000.23	
Other	43 013 19	
Pounds	13.376.47	
Uollars	10,0101	
lotal Dounds	434,790,99	
Pollart	81,121.83	
portars		
Costs:	- dollars -	
Variable costs:	×	
Fuel	5,629.15	
011	190.06	
Crew share ^D	26,866.80	
Other labor	2,014.42 c.000.20	
Spotter plane	0,820.20	
Rain gear and gloves	970.21	
Ice	1,013.14	
Total variable costs	44,709.98	
Fixed costs:		
Insurance	716.75	
Interest	.1,347.5/	
Overhead	208.21	
Boat registration	30.40	
Hull:	750 00	
Repair and maintenance	/ 38.UJ 1 //1 20	
Depreciation	1,441,JZ	
Engine:	3 701 47	
Repair, maintenance, and depreciation	3,131171	

Table A7.--Average costs and returns for Florida Spanish mackerel net boats, 1975

Table A7.--continued

Item	Average ^a
Electronic equipment: Repair and maintenance Depreciation Nets: Repair and maintenance Depreciation	dollars 219.27 283.70 1,461.35 5,612.65
Total fixed costs	15,870.79 60,580.77
Net return	20,541.06

^aEstimated from surveys taken from 13 Florida East Coast net boat operators during February, 1977. ^bCrew share includes groceries provided for the crew.

40

.

Table A8Estimated a 1973 and 19	verage annual costs and 175	return	s for Florida G	ulf of Mexico s	hrimp vessels.
Item	Index type ^a 197	3 index	1975 index	1973 average ^b	1975 average
Re turns :					
Pounds				41,521.94	41,521.94
Price per pound (dollars) Dollars				1.66 ^d 69,295.97	1.72 ^d 71,430.19
Costs:				dollar	2
Variable costs: Ice	All commodities-less	129.90	173.40	1,520.26	2,029.35
Fuel Nets ^e	tarm products (W) Diesel fuel (W) Average of cotton	139.70 132.70	309.40 148.80	8,718.20 1,161.06	19,308.60 1,301.93
	products and man- made fiber pro- ducts (W)				
Supplies and proceries	All commodities (W)	134.70	174.90	3,084.14	4,004.57
Repairs and	All commodities-less	129.90	173.40	6,499.74	8,676.33
maintenance Crew shares ^f	Tarm products (W) 35 percent of total			24,253.59	25,000.57
Payroll taxes ^g	value of landings Seven percent of crew	_		1,697.75	1,750.04
Packing ^h	shares		\$8.00 per 100	2,158.43	3, 321.76
Total variable	costs		in-sopau sound	49,093.17	65,393.15

Item	Index type ^a	1973 index	1975 index	1973 average ^b	1975 average
Fixed costs:				dollars	1 9 9 1
Insurance	All commodities-less	129.90	173.40	4,275.00	5,706.58
Depreciation ⁱ	Index for lumber, metal, and diesel	144.20	176.83	6,917.89	7,184.01
0verhead ^j	engines (W) All commodities-less	129.90	173.40	1,666.51	2,224.58
Interest (9 per- cent) ^k	Index for lumber, metal and diesel	144.20	176.83	2,725.80	2,830.65
Total fixed cost	engines (W) ts		1	15,585.20	17,945.82
Total costs				64,678.37	83,338.97
Total profit ^l				4,617.60 -	-11,908.78
^a w denotes wholes ^b A weighted avera	ale price index and R d ge was used where weigh	enotes reta ts were the	il price in number of	dex. vessels in each	category.
CWeighted average average pounds into we budget shrimp price an shrimp price was estin based on the differenc	e 1973 (heads-off) "bud eighted average dollars nd 1973 average Florida mated as the sum of 197 ce in the 1973 average 1	Jet" shrimp The perce West Coast 5 average We Mest Coast Actor 2	price was e entage diffe price was t sst Coast pr price and 19	stimated by divi rence in weighte then determined. ice and the perc 173 weighted aver	iding weighted ed average 1973 The 1975 budget centage adjustment rage budget price.
	USED IN CALCULATION WER	2 11.0005 di	1 CU21.14 DI	CIAL DUB SIAL TO	 respectively.

Table A8.--continued

CNETS WERE INCLUDED WITH GROCEFIES AND SUPPLIES IN THE BUDGET FROM WHICH TABLE A8 WAS TAKEN (source below). However, cost and returns data from other budgets separated these items. The ratio of nets to total nets, groceries, and supplies was estimated to by 27 35 percent rouse.
quently, 27.35 percent of the weighted average estimate for nets, supplies, and groceries was used as the estimate for nets, and the remainder was used for groceries and supplies.
^f Based on a share agreement of 35 percent of total dollar returns. ^g Based on payroll taxes of seven percent of crew shares. ^{hp} acking was \$8.00 per 100 pounds in 1975
¹ Between 1973 and 1975 shrimp fishermen replaced 17 percent of their equipment [6]. The 17 percent they replaced was inflated with a wholesale price index based on a simple average of the price indices for lumber, metal, and diesel engines.
^J Includes office, professional, and license expenses. ^k Interest on investment is based on 17 percent of the equipment being replaced over the time period and is computed in the same manner as depreciation in footnote i above. ¹ Total profit is calculated as total returns less total costs.
Derived from: 1. Griffin, Wade L. and N.J. Wardlaw. <u>Economic Analysis of Costs and Returns of</u> <u>Gulf of Mexico Shrimp Vessels</u> . Texas A & M University, Department of Agricultural Economics. College Station: 1973.
 U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. <u>Monthly Labor Review</u>, 1974- 1976.
3. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Wholesale Prices and Price Indexes, 1974-1976.

-		Inc	lex	Cost	
cxpense item	index type	1973-1974	1975	1973-1974	1975
				dollars	1
Fuel	Petroleum products. refined (W) 176.05	257.50	596.00	871,74
Oil and oil change	Motor oil (W)	133.75	153.90	207.00	238.19
Groceries	All food (R)	151.55	175.40	161.00	186.34
Bait	All commodities (W)	147.40	174.90	287.00	340.54
Brushes	Industrial brushes (W)	130.80	152.00	15.00	17.43
Gloves	Gloves (W)	169.15	190.10	78.00	87.66
Transportation	Transportation services (R)	139.40	152.70	22.00	24.10
Puller operation costs	All commodities (W)	147.40	174.90	14.00	16.61
Rain gear	Water repellant topcoats (W)	131.10	136.20	45.00	46.75
Traps lost	Average of wood and concrete	161.65	174.10	1,534.00	1,652.15
Crew wages ^b Repairs:	Labor wage rate index	140.40	161.20	1,528.00	1,746.29
Hull	Average of wood, metal, and C.P.I. for all items (W) and	157.72	174.57	246.00	272.28
Engine	Average of gas and diesel engines and C.P.I. for all itoms (U) and (D)	137.59	169.70	360.00	444.01
Gear	All commodities-less farm products (W)	143.35	173.40	35.00	42.34
Total repairs				641.00	758.63

Table A9.--Estimated expenditures for the Florida spiny lobster fishery, 1973-1974 average and 1975

Expense item	Index type ^a	Index	Cost	
		1973-1974 1975	1973-1974	1975
Depreciation: Trap Hull Engine Gear	81			1.846.00 787.00 645.00 276.00
Total depreciation			3,554.00	3,554.00
License Interest on loans Insurance	All commodities-less farm products (W)	143.35 173.40	79.00 193.00 59.00	79.00 193.00 71.37
Total costs			9,013.00	9,883.80
^a W denotes whole ^b Crew were paid divided by seven equa for food and kindred and 1975 yields \$8.00 worked gives crew wag	esale price index and R denotes r \$7.00 per hour in the 1973-1974 b als the hours worked. Adjusting products (taken from Monthly Lab 0 per hour for 1975. This \$8.00 ges for 1975 of \$1,746.29.	etail price index. C. udget. The total an this \$7.00 per hour t or Review from source per hour multiplied t	.P.I. denotes con nual dollars paío by the labor wage e 2 below betweer by the estimated	nsumer price index. I to the crew e rate increase 1 1973-1974 218.2857 hours
Derived from: l. Pr SE Ma	rochaska, F. J. and J.S. Williams Diny Lobster Fishery By Boat and arine Advisory Bulletin. SUSF-SG	. Economic Analysis Vessel Size. Florid -76-004. Gainesvill	of Cost and Retu a Cooperative Ext e: 1976.	<u>urns in the</u> tension Service
2. U.	.S. Department of Labor, Bureau o	f Labor Statistics.	Monthly Labor Re	<u>eview</u> , 1974-1976.
з. ц.	.S. Department of Labor, Bureau o <u>idexes</u> , 1974-1976.	f Labor Statistics.	Wholesale Prices	s and Price

Table A9.--continued

and resserve, received		
Item	1973-1974 ^a	1975
Returns:		
Lobster: Pounds Dollars ^b Crabs (dollars) ^b Other (dollars) ^b Total (dollars) ^b	12,828 13,848.00 3,378.00 4,731.00 21,957.00	12,828 17,078.12
Costs (lobster fishing):	dolla	rs
Variable costs: Fuel Oil and oil change Groceries Bait Brush Gloves Transportation Puller operating cost Rain gear Traps lost Crew wages Repairs: ^d Hull Engine Gear Total repairs	596.00 207.00 161.00 287.00 15.00 78.00 22.00 14.00 45.00 $1,534.00$ $1,528.00$ 246.00 360.00 350 -641.00 $5.128.00$	871.74 238.19 186.34 340.54 17.43 87.66 24.10 16.61 46.75 1,652.15 1,746.29 272.28 444.01 42.34 758.63 5,986.43
Total variable costs	5,120.00	- ,
Fixed costs: Depreciation: ^C Traps Hull Engine Gear	1,846.00 787.00 645.00 276.00	1,846.00 787.00 645.00 276.00
Total depreciation	3,554.00	3,554.00

Table AlO.--Costs and returns analysis for Florida keys lobster boats and vessels, 1973-1974 average and 1975

-

Table A10.--continued

Item	1973-1974 ^a	1975
	do	
License Interest on loans Insurance	79.00 193.00 59.00	79.00 193.00 <u>71.37</u>
Total fixed costs	3,885.00	3,897.37
Total costs	9,013.00	9,883.80
Net return to lobster fishing: ^d Above total variable costs Above total costs	8,720.00 4,835.00	11,091.69 7,194.32

^aA stratified sample of 25 fishing craft was included in this analysis. This represents a weighted average of these craftfor 1973-1974.

^bReturns were estimated by calculating the state average 1975 dockside price of spiny lobsters and multiplying this by the pounds caught in each budget. Different portions of total landings of lobsters were estimated for 1973 than for 1974, so an average of 1973-1974 state prices was not used for comparison with the prices received in the 1973-1974 budgets. These budgets were based on samples from Monroe County, but Monroe County average prices were not available for 1975 at the time of this study. Therefore, state average prices were used for the 1975 estimates. State average price differed from Monroe County average price from 1971 to 1974 by less than \$.02, so state average price for 1975 was assumed to be a good approximation of Monroe County average prices for 1975. Dollars were estimated by multiplying pounds (quantity) by the 1975 state average dockside price for lobsters.

^CRepair and depreciation on hull, engine, and gear (other than traps) were prorated according to percent of income earned from lobster fishing. Interest and insurance were prorated in the same manner.

^dNet returns include cost and returns for lobster fishing only.

Derived from: Prochaska, F. J. and J. S. Williams. <u>Economic Analysis of</u> <u>Cost and Returns in the Spiny Lobster Fishery By Boat and</u> <u>Vessel Size.</u> Florida Cooperative Extension Service Marine Advisory Bulletin. SUSF-SG-76-004. Gainesville: 1976.

	I Tadat turođ	071 indav	1075 index	Cost	
				1/61	1975
				dolla	S
Bai t	All commodities (W)	113.90	174.90	3,560.00	5,466.58
<u>Trap</u> replacement	Steel wire (W)	119.60	216.80	1,500.00	2,/19.05
Fuel Vessel repair	Petroleum products, refined () Lumber, metal, gas engines and C.P.L. for all items, averaged	4) 106-08 1 122.70	175.88	800.00 420.00	1, 328.84 602.03
Transportation Supplies Interest on investment	<pre>(W) and (R). Transportation services (R) All commodities (W) t</pre>	133.00 113.90	152.70 174.90	200.00 100.00 100.00	229.62 153.56 100.00
(10 percent) Depreciation License Accounting	Services (R)	128.40	166.60	50.00 10.00 10.00	50.00 10.00 12.98
Total costs				6,750.00	11,272.67
^a W denotes whole: index.	sale price index and R denotes re	tail price	index. C.P	.I. denotes co	msumer price
Derived from: l. Sm (F1) Mei	ith, Frederick J. and Fred J. Pro <u>orida) Crab Vesse</u> l. U.R.I. Marin morandum No. 13. Narragansett:	chaska. <u>Ma</u> e Advisory February, 1	rine Econom Service, Se 972.	ics Data: 26- a Grant Progra	Foot Cedar Key m. Marine
2. U.	S. Department of Labor, Bureau of	Labor Stat	cistics. Mo	nthly Labor Re	eview. 1972-1976
3. U.	S. Department of Labor, Bureau of dexes, Supplement 1976, Data for	Labor Stat 1975.	cistics. M	olesale Price:	s and Price

Item	1971	1975
Returns:		100 000
Pounds	100,000	100,000
Price per pound ^a (dollars)	80.	12 295 00
Dollars	8,000.00	12,303.00
Costs:	do	11ars
Variable costs:		
Bait	3,560.00	5,466.58
Trap replacement	1,500.00	2,719.06
Fuel	800.00	1,928.84
Vessel repair	420.00	602.03
Transportation	200.00	229.62
Supplies	100.00	153.50
Total variable costs	6,580.00	11,033.03
Fixed costs:		100.00
Interest on investment (10 percent)	100.00	100.00
Depreciation	50.00	50.00
License	10.00	10.00
Accounting	<u>10.00</u>	12.98
Total fixed costs	170.00	172.98
Total costs	6,750.00	11,272.67
Returns above total variable costs	1,420.00	1,285.31
Returns to operator labor and management (returns less total costs)	1,250.00	1,112.33
Returns to investment (gross returns less all costs except interest on investment and less management charge ^e	-4,650.00	-6,761.29

Table Al2.--Costs and returns for 26-feet Cedar Key (Florida) crab vessels. 1971 and 1975

aAverage 1975 West Coast dockside price of blue crab was used because the 1971 budget price differed from 1971 West Coast average price by less than \$.01. Consequently, the average 1975 West Coast price was the best available approximation for 1975 budget price.

bActual number used in calculation was \$.12385.

Cincludes operator's labor at \$30 per day.

dInterest is uniformly charged against all investment, whether or not borrowed.

^eManagement charge is the value of operator's management in alternative employment. It was estimated by cooperating fishermen to be \$6,000 in 1971 and adjusted by the consumer price index for "all items" to be \$7,973.62 in 1975.

Derived from: Smith, Frederick J. and Fred J. Prochaska. <u>Marine Economics</u> <u>Data: 26-Foot Cedar Key (Florida) Crab Vessel</u>. U.R.I. Marine Advisory Service. Sea Grant Program, Marine Memorandum No. 13. Narragansett: February, 1972.

APPENDIX B

ESTIMATION PROCEDURES FOR PRIMARY ECONOMIC IMPACT TABLES

APPENDIX B1

Estimation Procedures for Quantity and Average Price of All Fish Landed by the Florida Spanish Mackerel and King Mackerel Fisheries

Given: Budgets A and B. There is a main species and an incidental catch landed by both budgets. The composition of the incidental catch of these budgets is such that there is at least one species that is landed by both budgets. The projected state total catch of this common species accounted for by these two budgets together is greater than recorded state landings of this species. A residual category for unknown species from the incidental catch category is called "other fish." The following equations show how total state quantity and average prices of all fish landed by budget A were estimated.

$$ASQ_{im} = \alpha (SQ_{im})$$
(1)

where:

ASQ_{im} = total state quantity of incidental species accounted for by the main species fishery of budget a

 α = proportion of incidental catch by budget A

SQ_{im} = total state quantity of species that is incidental catch in both budgets A and B

is estimated using equation (2).

$$\alpha = \frac{\frac{A_{i}}{A_{m}} ASQ_{m}}{\frac{A_{i}}{A_{m}} ASQ_{m}} + (\frac{B_{i}}{B_{m}} BSQ_{m})$$

where:

 $A_i = quantity of incidental catch reported in budget A$ $A_m = quantity of main species catch reproted in budget A$ $ASQ_m = total state landings of main species of budget A$ $B_i = quantity of incidental catch reported in budget B$ $B_m = quantity of main species catch reported in budget B$ $BSQ_m = total state landings of main species of budget B.$

The incidental catch allocated to the main species fishery of budget B is determined by equation (3).

$$BSQ_{im} = SQ_{im} - ASQ_{m}$$
(3)

where:

BSQ_{im} = total state quantity of incidental species accounted for by the main species fishery og budget B.

The budget A main species fishery includes some budget B main species catch. This catch by the budget A fishery is included in the "other fish" category of budget A and this amount is reduced from projected state landings accounted for by the budget B main species fishery.

Average price of all fish in budget A is AP_f and is determined by equation (4). The average price of all fish in budget B is determined the same way.

$$AP_{f} = \frac{(ASP_{m})(ASQ_{m}) + (ASP_{i})(ASQ_{im}) + (ABP_{of})(AQ_{ofi})}{ASQ_{m} + ASQ_{im} + AQ_{ofi}}$$
(4)

where:

 ASP_m = average state price of main species of budget A

 ASP_i = average state price of incidental catch species of budget A

- ABP_{of} = average price of species in the other fish category of budget A
- AQ_{ofi} = estimated quantity of incidental catch species in budget A that went to the other fish category.

۰,

APPENDIX B2

Estimation Procedures for Quantity and Average Price of All Fish Landed by the Florida Red Snapper-Grouper and Mullet Fisheries

Given: Budget A that lands a "main species" and several unknown species called "other fish." The following equations show how projected state quantity of other fish, and average price of all fish landed by the main species fishery of budget A were estimated.

$$QMS_{of} = \frac{(AQ_{of})}{AQ_{ms}} SQ_{ms}$$
(1)

$$AP_{msf} = \frac{(SP_{ms})(SQ_{ms}) + (BP_{of})(QMS_{of})}{SQ_{ms} + QMS_{of}}$$
(2)

where:

QMS_{of} = projected total state quantity of other fish landed by the main species fishery of budget A

 AQ_{of} = quantity of other fish reported in budget A

 AQ_{ms} = quantity of main species reported in budget A

 SQ_{ms} = total state landings of main species from budget A

AP_{msf} = average price of all fish accounted for by main species fishery of budget A

 SP_{ms} = average state price of main species of budget A

 BP_{of} = average price reported by budget A for other fish.

APPENDIX B3

Estimation Procedure for Expenditures, Income, and Primary Economic Impact Per \$100 of Fish Landed in Florida

The following equation shows how expenditures, income, and primary economic impact per \$100 of fish landed were estimated.

$$E = \left(\frac{\$100}{P_f}\right) DQ_f \tag{1}$$

where:

E = expenditures, income, and primary economic impact per \$100 of fish landed

 P_f = average price of fish per 100 pounds of fish

.

 DQ_f = expenditures, income, and primary economic impact per 100 pounds of fish landed (items in column 1 of impact tables in text).

.

.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, C. L. and R. J. McNutt. <u>Costs and Returns in Commercial</u> <u>Fishing: Mullet Fishing in Florida, A Case Study</u>. Cooperative, Extension Service, Marine Advisory Bulletin, SUSF-SG-73-002, Gainesville: University of Florida, 1971.
- [2] Cato, James C. and Fred J. Prochaska. "A Statistical and Budgetary Economic Analysis of Florida Based Gulf of Mexico Red Snapper-Grouper Vessels by Size and Location." Marine Advisory Bulletin, SUSF-SG-75-006, Gainesville: University of Florida, 1975.
- [3] Cato, James C. and Fred J. Prochaska. <u>Landings, Values, and Prices</u> <u>in Commercial Fisheries for the Florida East Coast</u>. Cooperative Extension Service, Marine Advisory Bulletin, SUSG-SG-77-003. Gainesville: University of Florida. September, 1977.
- [4] Cato, James C. and Fred J. Prochaska. <u>Landings, Values and Prices</u> <u>in Commercial Fisheries for the Florida Northwest Coast</u>. Cooperative Extension Service, Marine Advisory Bulletin, SUSG-SG-77-0004. Gainesville: University of Florida. September, 1977, 42 pages.
- [5] Cato, James C., R. Allen Morris, and Fred J. Prochaska. <u>Production</u>, <u>Costs and Earnings by Boat Size: Florida Spanish Mackerel</u> <u>Fishery</u>. Cooperative Extension Service, Marine Advisory Bulletin, MAP-4. Gainesville: University of Florida, March, 1978.
- [6] Florida Department of Natural Resources, Division of Marine Resources. <u>Summary of Florida Commercial Marine Landings</u>. Tallahassee: Annual issues, 1952-1975.
- [7] Griffin, Wade L. and N. J. Wardlaw. <u>Economic Analysis of Costs and Returns of Gulf of Mexico Shrimp Vessels</u>. Department of Agricultural Economics, Texas A & M University. College Station: 1973.
- [8] Prochaska, Fred J. <u>Florida Commercial Marine Fisheries: Growth,</u> <u>Relative Importance and Input Trends, 1952-1972</u>. State University System of Florida, Sea Grant Report No. 11, Gainesville: University of Florida, 1976.
- [9] Prochaska, Fred J. and James C. Cato. <u>Cost and Returns for Gulf of Mexico Commercial Red Snapper-Grouper Vessels by Vessel Size, 1974</u>. Cooperative Extension Service, Marine Advisory Bulletin, SUSF-SG-75-006, 1975.

- [10] Prochaska, Fred J. and James C. Cato. Landings, Values, and Prices in Commercial Fisheries for the Florida Keys Region. Cooperative Extension Service, Marine Advisory Bulletin, SUSG-SG_74-004, Gainesville: University of Florida, March 1973.
- [11] Prochaska, Fred J. and James C. Cato. <u>Landings, Values, and Prices</u> <u>in Commercial Fisheries for the Florida West Coast</u>. Cooperative Extension Service, Marine Advisory Bulletin, SUSF-SG-75-003, May, 1975.
- [12] Prochaska, Fred J. and James C. Cato. An Economic Profile of Florida Commercial Fishing Firms: Fishermen, Commercial Activities, and Financial Considerations. State University System of Florida Sea Grant Report No. 19. Gainesville: February, 1977.
- [13] Prochaska, Fred J. and Joel S. Williams. <u>Economic Analysis of Costs</u> and <u>Returns in the Spiny Lobster Fishery by Boat and Vessel</u> <u>Size</u>. Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Marine Advisory Bulletin, SUSF-SG-76-004. Gainesville: University of Florida, 1976.
- [14] Prochaska, Fred J., R. Allen Morris, and James C. Cato. <u>An Economic Analysis of King Mackerel Production by Hook-and-Line on the Florida Atlantic Coast</u>. Cooperative Extension Service, Marine Advisory Bulletin, MAP-1. Gainesville: University of Florida, October, 1977, 8 pages.
- [15] Rorholm, Niels, H. C. Lampe, Nelson Marshall, and J. F. Farrell. <u>Economics of Marine Resources</u>. Department of Food and Resource Economics, University of Rhode Island Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 396. Kingston: 1967.
- [16] Smith, Frederick J. and Fred J. Prochaska. <u>Marine Economics Data:</u> <u>26-Foot Cedar Key (Florida) Crab Vessel</u>. U.R.I. Marine Advisory Service, Sea Grant Program. Marine Memorandum No. 13. Narragansette: February, 1972.
- [17] Smith, Frederick J. and Fred J. Prochaska. <u>Marine Economics Data:</u> <u>26-Foot Cedar Key (Florida) Mullet Vessel</u>. U.R.I. Marine Advisory Service, Sea Grant Program. Marine Memorandum No. 12. Narragansette: February, 1972.
- [18] U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service (formerly U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries). <u>Fishery Statistics of the United States</u>. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, Annual issues, 1952-1974.
- [19] U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service. <u>Fisheries of the United</u> <u>States, 1976</u>. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, April, 1977.
- [20] U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service and Centaur Management Consultants, Inc. <u>Economic Impacts of the U.S. Commercial Fishing</u> <u>Industry</u>. Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, January, 1975.

The Florida Sea Grant Program is supported by award of the Office of Sea Grant, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, contract number 04-8-M01-76, under provisions of the National Sea Grant College and Programs Act of 1966. The Florida Sea Grant Program was initiated in 1972 with three major components: applied marine research, education and advisory services.

This public document was promulgated at a cost of \$846.250r 28 cents per copy, to provide economic information on Florida's commercial fishing industry. Cost does not include postage and handling.

State University System of Florida Sea Grant Reports are published by the Marine Advisory Program which functions as a component of the Florida Cooperative Extension Service, John T. Woeste, dean, in conducting Cooperative Extension work in Agriculture, Home Economics, and Marine Sciences, State of Florida, U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Commerce, and Boards of County Commissioners, cooperating. Printed and distributed in furtherance of the Acts of Congress of May 8 and June 14, 1914.

> Copies of this and other Florida Sea Grant and Marine Advisory Program publications are available from:

> > Marine Advisory Program GO22 McCarty Hall University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32611