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## ABSTRACT

Anglers who purchased a Texas saltwater fishing stamp during its first year of issuance between January 1 and July 31 , 1986 were sent a mail survey inquiring about their general demographics, attitudes toward management tools, fishing motivations, species preferences and annual expenditures. Two-thirds of Texas saltwater anglers responding were residents of Texas coastal counties. Nearly $45 \%$ have been fishing in saltwater for over 20 yearg. Most (447) fished in saltwater 13 or fewer days the previous year. About 157 of anglers reported fishing outside of Texas. Anglers were supportive of stocking fish in saltwater and minimum size limits as management tools and were most opposed to "slot limits" and the prohibition of certain types of bait. "For relaxation," "To be outdoors," and "To get away Erom the regular routine" were ranked as the most important reasons for fishing; "Obtaining a trophy fish" and winning a trophy were ranked as least important. Anglers agreed with the phrases "I usually eat the fish I catch" and "I like to fish where there are several kinds of fish to catch" and disagreed most with "I want to keep all the fish I catch" and "I usually give away the fish i catch." Spotted seatrout (Cynoscion nebulosus), red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus), and flounder (Paralichthys lethostigma or P. albiqutta) were the most sought fishes by Texas saltwater anglers. Most saltwater fishing items bought by respondents were purchased in Texas and used predominantly for saltwater fishing. On average, Texas anglers spent approximately $\$ 1,500 / y e a r$ in Texas for saltwater fishing gear and equipment.

## INTRODUCTION

During the early development of fisheries management, scientific efforts were generally limited to the collection and analysis of biological data. Management activities progressed from regulation of gear as a means to reduce user conflict (with or without scientific basis) to the goal of maximum sustainable yield (MSY) to ensure adequate reproduction and recruitment and to maximize yield in terms of weight (Gulland 1977). Little consideration, however, has been given to the human dimensions of fisheries management (Voiland and Dutcweiler 1984).

This has been the case despite a consensus of thought since the $1960^{\prime} s$ that successful management depends as much on solving "people problems" as on solving biological problems (Bryan 1976). Leading resource scholars have argued that natural resources are managed by managing people (o'Riordan 1971, Clawson 1972); similarly, the necessity of understanding the human component has been stated within the fisheries community (Ditton 1977, Orbach 1980, Aron 1982).

Christy and Scott (1965) suggested that maximum net economic yield should replace MSY as the objective for fisheries management. The Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 specified a goal of optimum yield (OY), defined as the yield that would produce the greatest overall benefit to the nation with respect to food production and recreational opportunity. This was to be based on MSY as modified by relevant economic, social and ecological factors. Fisheries management thus needs to consider not only biological and ecological factors, but economic and social factors as well. This is especially true with respect to recreational fisheries, for if management for "the greatest benefit to society" is to succeed, managers must be concerned with user satisfaction and public atticudes toward regulatory policies. This is. vital since any policy, no matter how scientifically sound, will be rejected and fail if it is not in accord with fundamental views held by the public (Vanderpool 1986, Matlock et al. 1988).

The Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) has conducted routine onsite interviews of saltwater sport-boat anglers (creel surveys) since 1974 (Osburn et al. 1988). These creel surveys have been used to estimate annual sport landings and pressure, and to monitor species and size composition of the landings. Except for 1 year (Texas Department of Water Resources 1979), these surveys were not used to collect social and economic data on a routine basis until 1987. Several studies of the social and economic aspects of the sport fishery existed, but were primarily concerned with identifiable subgroups of Eishermen (Graefe and Ditton 1976; Ditton et al. 1977, 1980; Ditton and Holland 1984; Ditton and Loomis 1985; Ditton and Arneson-Bewley 1986; Fedler and Ditton 1986; Ditton and Loomis 1988), and not the full population of Texas saltwater recreational anglers.

In response to the need for social and economic information, TPWD and the Department of Recreation and Parks at Texas A\&M University (TAMU) conducted during 1986 the first in a series of mail surveys of licensed saltwater anglers. This gurvey, and those to follow, were designed to obtain annual social and economic information on saltwater anglers and their activity. This information will be used for improved fisheries management through 1 ) moni-
toring and prediction of public response to regulations and other management tools, 2) allocation and prediction of economic impacts due to management action, 3) design of management programs to maximize angler satisfaction, 4) education of anglers and 5) prediction of demand for different resources over time.

The objective of this report is to summarize the data from the first mail survey. This summary includes a demographic profile of Texas recreational saltwater anglers, their attitudes towards management tools, fishing motiva= tions, species preferences, level of satisfaction and annual expenditures. The report also presents data relevant to evaluating the survey instrument, sample sizes and the use of the saltwater sport fishing stamp as a sampling frame, for use in improving future surveys.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Approximately 218,000 people purchased a saitwater sport fishing stamp during its first year of issuance between January 1 and July 31, 1986. Using these sales receipts as the sampling frame, a random sample of 6,371 stamp purchasers was manually selected (Sheskin 1985). The saltwater sport fishing stamp cost $\$ 5.00$ and was required (in addition to a valid fishing license) of all persons who fished in salc and coastal waters for non-comercial purposes. In 1986, this included those anglers $<17$ and $>65$ who were also required to hold a fishing license which was issued free of charge. Purchasers' names and addresses were listed on sheets (up to 18 names per sheet) that license vendors sent to TPWD. Using a randomly selected starting point, the last name listed on every 34 th sheet was included in the sample. Only legible names and addresses were included in the sample. Records not legible were replaced with the preceding name on the list. A computerized list of the selected stamp purchasers was prepared.

A mail questionnaire was developed to collect information on angler demographics, previous experience, fishing participation, level of investment, attitudes and motivations and orientation to fisheries management efforts. Questions were based on previous research efforts and designed to provide managers with useful information.

First, a social and economic profile of Texas saltwater anglers was sought with questions regarding age, gender, income, residence location and length of residence. Saltwater angler responses regarding age were categorized inco six age groups with 10 -year categories. Anglers were asked for their approximate annual household incomes using standard $\$ 10,000$ categories to $\$ 99,999$. These categories were developed to be broad enough co not invade personal privacy yet managerially ugeful. Saltwater anglers were categorized first according to their three-digit U.S. Postal Service zip code, secondly, whether or not they resided in Texas and, if so, whether or not they resided in one of the 18 counties with coastal waters within their boundaries. Finally, saltwater anglers were asked how long they had lived continuously in Texas; these responses were grouped using 10 -year categories to 59.

Two questions were used to collect information on the level of fishing experience among saltwater anglers. Firgt, anglers were asked how many years they had fished in saltwater; their responses were grouped using 10 -year categories. Second, anglers were asked to compare their fishing ability to
that of other anglers in general using three nominal categories (less skilled, equally skilled and more skilled).

A series of questions sought information on saltwater angler participation in sport fishing. First, anglers were asked to report the number of days they fished in the previous 12 months in three major categories (freshwater, saltwater bays and saltwater gulf). Second, saltwater anglers were asked to indicate the three kinds of fish they preferred to catch in saltwater in Texas (first choice, second choice and third choice). Third, saltwater anglers were asked to choose among five alternate responses regarding with whom they fished most often (by yourself, friends, family, family and friends together and club). Fourth, saltwater anglers were asked if they participated in saltwater tournaments and, if so, the number they fished per year. Finally, saltwater anglers were asked if they had fished outside of the state and, if so, to identify their destination for each trip taken, the number of days spent there, species sought and total trip expenditures.

Saltwater anglers were asked about their investment in equipment used for sport fishing. First, saltwater anglers were asked if they or someone in their household owned a powerboat and, if so, the length of the longest boat owned. Second, saltwarer anglers were asked if they had purchased one or more pieces of indicated outdoor equipment during the previous 12 months; and if an expenditure was made, the purchase price of each item, whether it was purchased in Texas and the percent of time used for saltwater fishing.

Orientation towards catching fish was investigated with a scale developed by Graefe (1977, 1980) to understand four sub-dimensions of consumption: number of fish caught, type of fish caught, disposition of catch and general orientation toward catching "something". Anglers were asked to indicate the extent to which they agreed with each attitudinal statement on a Likert-type scale. Also, 16 motive statements for saltwater fishing were rated by each respondent. Anglers were asked to indicate the importance of each statement as a reason for fishing using a Likert-type scale. Ten motive statements dealt with the generic benefits sought in most outdoor recreation activities (activity-general). The statements were single-item measures of the following Driver (1977) domains: physical rest, escape physical pressures, escape daily routine, relationshipg with nature, escape role overloads, family togetherness, social contacts, exploration, achievement-competence testing and equipment. In addition, six motive statements dealt with experience elements associated only with sport fishing (activity-specific): "To obtain fish for eating", "For the experience of the catch", "To obtain a trophy fish", "To be close to the sea", "For the challenge or sport" and "To obtain a trophy". Driver (1977) and Driver and Cooksey (1978) documented the reliability and validity of the activity-general scales.

Three questions were included in the questionnaire to explore the degree of support for agency management efforts. First, using a Likert-type scale, saltwater anglers were asked whether or not they supported each of 10 management tools used by TPWD for managing saltwater fisheries. Second, anglers were asked to what extent they used nine sources of saltwater fishing information using a Likert-type scale. The information sources investigated ranged from interpersonal contact to formal media outlets including information provided by TPWD. Third, in an effort to understand angler commitment to
resource conservation, they were asked if they caught a tagged fish whether or not they would report the tag to the appropriate authorities.

Finally, two open-ended questions were used to give saltwater anglers an opportunity to tell us what was important to them. first, saltwater anglers were asked to describe their most memorable saltwater fishing trip. Their responses were content analyzed and up to five trip characteristics listed per angler. Content assignments were either activity-specific or activitygeneral. Finally, galtwater anglers were asked if there was "anything else they would like to share with us? ${ }^{\text {t" }}$ Responses (up to 5 per respondent) of saltwater anglers were content analyzed and grouped according co whether they were positive or negative.

A preliminary questionnaire was pre-tested with 310 Texas saltwater stamp purchasers between June 24 and July 31 , 1986. Response rate after one mailing and a follow-up postcard was approximately $48 \%$.

Data were requested from each selected stamp purchaser using a 12 page, 21 question mail questionnaire (Appendix A) becween September 16 and November 4, 1986. This time period was selected to reduce recall bias since the majoricy of saltwater fishing takes place in the spring and summer (Ditton and Graefe 1978). With survey procedures based partly on Dillman (1978) and partly on experience gained through previous data collections (Ditton and Gramann 1987, Ditton and Loomis 1988), the survey was personalized as much as possible to enhance response rate. For example, letters were personally addressed to each angler using "mailmerge" techniques and personally signed with the names of those responsible for the survey. Finally, addresses were typed directly on the envelopes. When nondeliverables are excluded from considetation, a final response rate of $71.2 \%$ was obtained (Figure 1).

Questionnaires were checked for completeness of response; $1.5 \%$ of the questionnaires returned were not usable since respondents reported they had not fished in che previous 12 months. Location of residence, species preference and open-ended questions were coded by project personnel. Next, data were entered into a computer file and error checked. Frequency distributions for all variables were generated as a final check against error.

RESULTS

## Demographics

In 1986, the Texas saltwater angling community was dominated by 20-49 year-old middle-class males from coastal urban areas (Table l, Figure B.lB.2). Female anglers comprised about $20 \%$ of the Texas saltwater anglers. Twothirds of all saltwater anglers resided in coastal counties (Table 2). Five percent of the respondents were from out-of-state and an additional $4 \%$ indicated that although they currently reside in Texas, they were not permanent Texas residents (Table 3). The majority (59\%) of Texas saltwater anglers were from urban areas on the coast, primarily from Houston (23\%), Corpus Chrigti (13\%) and the Beaumont area (7\%), while $17 \%$ where from two major inland population centers, Dallas-Fort Worth (4\%) and San Antonio (13z) (Table 4). Twelve percent of Texas saltwater anglers had lived in Texas less than 10 years although almost $75 \%$ had lived in Texas for over 20 years (Table 5 ,

Figure B.3). About $63 \%$ of the respondents had household incomes between $\$ 20,000$ and $\$ 59,999$ (Table 6).

## Participation and Experience

Texas saltwater anglers indicated a strong commitment to saltwater fishing in terms of number of days fished and number of years they had participated in the sport. Texas saltwater anglers fished an average of 24.4 days in saltwater and an average of 10.1 days in freshwater (Table 7). Twenty-five percent of the respondents fished over 33 days in saltwater in the previous year. Seventy-eight percent of the respondents fished from a boat and 80\% from shore in saltwater bays at least once; almost $47 \%$ of the respondents fished from boats in the Gulf of Mexico and $60 \%$ fished from shore in the gulf. Fifty-four percent of respondents' households owned a power boat in 1986 (Table 8); most were 16 to 26 feet in length (Table 8, Figure B.4). Most respondents ( $71 \%$ ) had fished in saltwater for 10 or more years (Table 9 , Figure B. S); about $63 \%$ felt they were equally skilled when compared to other anglers (Table 10).

Respondents generally did not participate competitively in saltwater fishing. More than $90 \%$ of saltwater anglers fished most often with family and/or friends (Table ll). Only $10 \%$ of Texas saltwater anglers fished in saltwater tournaments (Table 12); the majority of those who did participate fished in only 1 (47\%) or 2 (32\%) events during 1986. Respondents indicated that they relied on a variety of sources for information on fishing (Table 13). Word of mouth through other anglers and bait and tackle shops were reportedly used most often (lots of use or a great deal of use) followed by written media (newspaper articles, TPWD materials and magazine articles). Least used were fishing clubs.

The majority of saltwater anglers indicated a preference for catching red drum (Scianops ocellatus) and sported seatrout (Cynoscion nebulosus) (Table 14). Flounder (Paralichthys sp.) was the third preferred species. All other species ranked below $5 \%$ in preference.

About $15 \%$ of the anglers took out-of-state fishing trips in the previous 12 months (Table 15). The most popular destinations in terms of anglers and trips were Louisiana, Florida, Mexico, Colorado, and Oklahoma. Those five destinations accounted for more than $63 \%$ of traveling anglers and $50 \%$ of the number of out-of-state trips. Bass and t-out were targeted most by saltwater anglers on out-of-state fishing trips. Except for salmon (Salmonidae), the species targeted during out-of-state trips were all available in Texas (Table 16).

## Motivations and Attitudes

Although Texas saltwater anglers generally rated activity-specific items as less important than activity-general items as motivations for fishing, responses to questions regarding their consumptive attitudes indicated that catching and keeping fish is important to their fishing experience. Eight motivational items including "for relaxation," "to be outdoor," "to get away from the regular routine," "for the experience of the catch," "to experience natural surroundings," "for the challenge or sport," "to get away from the demands of other people" and "for family relaxation" were rated very to
extremely important by the majority of the respondents (Table 17). Only two of these items are specific to fishing. More than $1 / 2$ of the respondents rated "to obtain a trophy fish" and "to win a trophy" as not at all imporcant. Over $85 \%$ of the respondents indicated that they eat the fish they catch (Table 18). Most saltwater anglers also agreed with statements such as "I like co fish where there are several kinds of fish to catch", that "a fishing trip can be successful even if no fish are caught", "the more fish I catch, the happier $I$ am" and "I would rather catch one or two big fish than ten smaller fish". Responses to ocher fish-related items were more neutral or indicated disagreement. The majority disagreed with stacements that "I want to keep all the fish I catch" and "I usually give away the fish I catch".

Attitudes toward management tools used by TPWD were most positive for stocking and minimum size limits and most negative for prohibition of certain types of bait and slot limits (Table 19). Most saltwater anglers supported stocking, minimum size limits, bag limits, prohibition of certain sportfishing gears, restricted areas, closed seasons and maximum size limits. More than $50 \%$ of the repondents were neutral or opposed to slot limits, prohibition of types of bait and not being able to retain certain species in certain areas. Almost 997 of the saltwater anglers reported that they would report catching tagged fish (Table 20).

## Expenditures

Saltwarer anglers spent approximately $\$ 1,500$ per angler on fishing tackle, camping equipment, boats, and vehicles in the previous 12 months. Expenditures on boating equipment accounted for $76 \%$, vehicles accounted for $15 \%$, fishing tackle accounted for $7 \%$, and camping equipment and other equipment made up $2 \%$ of the total average annual expenditures (Table 21 ). 0 . $20 \%$ of the items in each category were purchased in Texas and many of the purchases (fishing equipment and boating equipment items) were used primarily for saltwater fishing, Rods, reels, and lures were purchased more often than any of the other equipment. (Table 21, Appendix $C$ ). The average cost per item ranged Erom $\$ 1.53$ for a lure color selector to a $\$ 2,850$ for vehicle expenditures. Total annual average expendicures per angler were $\$ 1,492$.

## Angler Feedback

Saltwater angler responses to the open-ended question on their most memorable saltwater fishing trip indicated overwhelmingly that some aspect of the catch was most important (Table 22), although the size and number of fish caught were apparently less related to a memorable trip. Over $45 \%$ of all responses to this question described a catch-related or specific species trip aspect. All other categories were mentioned on less than $10 \%$ of the responses. When asked if there was anything else they would like to share, anglers were positive towards the stocking of fish, catch and release and current regulations, and negative toward commercial fishing and the saltwater sport fishing stamp requirement (Table 23). The saltwater fishing stamp was listed most often, followed by comments related to commercial fishing and current regulations.

## Survey instrument evaluation

A majority of survey questions had less than 150 non-respondents/item (Table 24). In addition to the two open-ended questions, four questions had a particularly high rate of non-response: 1) number of days fished in saltwater gulf from a boat, 2) number of days fished in saltwater gulf from shore or piers, 3) number of days of fishing outside the state of Texas (where fishing was the primary motivation for the trip) and 4) the number of years anglers have lived continuously in Texas.

## DISCUSSION

Study results are not directly applicable to licensed anglers who fished saltwater and for whatever reason did not purchase a saltwater fishing stamp. Previous estimates of over 1 million saltwater anglers in Texas (National Marine Fisheries Service 1980, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1989, Green et al. 1982) contrast with the sampling frame ( $\mathrm{N}=218,000$ ) from which our sample was drawn. Possible explanations for this include: 1) the purchase of the saltwater stamp was first required starting January 1986, 4 months after the $1985-86$ license year had begun, 2) initial resistance to a new license and an additional $\$ 5.00 \mathrm{fee}, 3$ ) anglers might not have known the new stamp was required and 4) anglers may have taken a chance on lenient enforcement until the license year ended. As predicted by adoption-diffusion theory (Rogers and Shoemaker 1971), it may take some time for the saltwater stamp to be "adopted" by licensed saltwater anglers.

Until the saltwater stamp is sufficiently accepted, statewide surveys using the stamp for sampling are likely to over represent more committed anglers as evidenced by their avidity levels, boat ownership and tournament participation rates. As a group, the statewide sample exhibited a higher level of avidity in 1986 ( 24 saltwater days/angler/year) than the general population of Texas saltwater anglers in 1985 ( 12 days/angler/year) (U.S. Fish and Wildifife Service 1989). The extremely high number of days fished/angler/ year is also probably due to the high level of nontesponse to sections of question 2 asking where participants fished; i.e. a category was left blank although the repondent intended a zero but did not take the time to fill in the line. In terms of avidity the statewide sample of saltwater anglers was more like the group of saltwater boat anglers studied previously by Graefe (1980). When sample results for tournament participation were extrapolated to the population, about 21,800 anglers participated in $>1$ saltwater tournament/ year. This appears higher than the 15,500 anglers who reportedly participated in saltwater tournaments in Texas in 1983 (Christian and Trimm 1986). A1so, the rate of boat ownership (54\%) for the statewide sample exceeded that of the general angler population (48\%) in Texas in 1985 (U.S. Fish and Wildife Service 1989).

More resident saltwater anglers in Texas (15\%) reported an out-of-state fishing trip (freshwater or saltwater) in the previous 12 months than reported for state residents in 1985 (7\%) (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1989). The exportation of angling activity constitutes a sizable loss of related expenditures and economic impact. As Texas is promoted as a tourism destination, both nonresident and resident saltwater anglers need to be better informed of fishing opportunities in the state.

While a higher percentage of Texas residents appear to be taking out-ofstate fishing trips, the vast majority of fishing related expenditures for equipment occurs within the state. The statewide average annual expenditure of $\$ 1500$ per saltwater fisherman is considerably higher than the $\$ 567$ average expenditure reported for the general population of Texas saltwater anglers surveyed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) (1989). Only three out of the eight categories surveyed by the USFWS, i.e. fishing equipment, auxillary equipment and special equipment categories, were included in this study; thus a lower average expenditure could have been expected in the statewide survey. Avidity levels of survey respondents or larger sample size (especially for expensive items) may explain the differences between the USFWS and this survey.

The survey resulted in a high level of response; procedural changes in how the survey was conducted should be avoided in future efforts to maintain this level of response. According to Dillman (1978) efforts to de-personalize mail surveys (i.e., elimination of personal salutation or handwritten signatures and the use of mailing labels) would be expected to reduce the rate of response achieved. The response rate of $71 \%$ was consistent with the average response rate of $74 \%$ reported by Dillman (1978) for 48 previous studies that used his "rotal design method." No check for non-response bias was made because previous checks have generally shown that survey results have overrepresented anglers with an interest in the subject matter, greater ability and more overall fishing experience (Ditton and Holland 1984).
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Table 1. Number and percent of saltwater anglers by gender and age category.

| ```Age category (years)``` | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | no. | 7 | no. | 7 |
| $<20$ | 86 | 2.5 | 13 | 1.6 | 99 | 2.4 |
| 20-29 | 681 | 20.2 | 193 | 23.8 | 874 | 20.9 |
| 30-39 | 1096 | 32.5 | 233 | 28.7 | 1329 | 31.8 |
| 40-49 | 686 | 20.3 | 146 | 18.0 | 832 | 19.9 |
| 50-59 | 505 | 15.0 | 154 | 19.0 | 659 | 15.8 |
| $\geq 60$ | 319 | 9.5 | 72 | 8.9 | 391 | 9.3 |
| Total | 3373 | 100.0 | 811 | 100.0 | 4184 | 100.1 |

Table 2. Distribution of responses to: What is the zip code of your current home residence?

| Response | no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Coastal counties ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2760 | 66.6 |
| Non-coastal counties | 1188 | 28.7 |
| Outside of Texas | 194 | 4.7 |
| Total | 4142 | 100.0 |

a $_{\text {Includes }}$ the 18 counties adjacent to the coast.

Table 3. Distribution of responses to: Are you currently living in Texas. even if you are not a resident of Texas?

| Response | no. | $z$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Texas | 3717 | 91.3 |
| Other | 360 | 8.7 |
| Total | 4137 | 100.0 |

Table 4. Number and percent of saltwater anglers by their three-digit postal Zip Code.

| Zip Code/Post Office |  | no. | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 796 | Abilene | 11 | 0.3 |
| 786 | Austin | 79 | 1.9 |
| 787 | Austin | 102 | 2.5 |
| 789 | Austin | 23 | 0.6 |
| 776 | Beaumont | 188 | 4.5 |
| 777 | Beaumont | 107 | 2.6 |
| 718 | Bryan | 32 | 0.8 |
| 173 | Conroe | 119 | 2.9 |
| 783 | Corpus Christi | 231 | 5.6 |
| 784 | Corpus Christi | 305 | 7.4 |
| 750 | Dallas | 45 | 1.1 |
| 751 | Dallas | 22 | 0.5 |
| 752 | Dallas | 36 | 0.9 |
| 760 | Fort Worth | 46 | 1.1 |
| 761 | Fort Worth | 24 | 0.6 |
| 770 | Houston | 681 | 16.4 |
| 774 | Houston | 283 | 6.8 |
| 794 | Lubbock | 10 | 0.2 |
| 759 | Lufkin | 15 | 0.4 |
| 785 | Mcallen | 224 | 5.4 |
| 769 | Midland | 10 | 0.2 |
| 97 | Midland | 17 | 0.4 |
| 775 | Pasadena | 518 | 12.5 |
| 780 | San Antonio | 59 | 1.4 |
| 781 | San Antonio | 119 | 2.9 |
| 782 | San Antonio | 354 | 8.5 |
| 788 | San Antonio | 11 | 0.3 |
| 765 | Temple | 29 | 0.7 |
| 757 | Tyler | 13 | 0.3 |
| 779 | Victoria | 151 | 3.6 |
| 766 | Waco | 11 | 0.3 |
| 767 | Waco | 11 | 0.3 |
|  | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 256 | 6.2 |
|  | Tocal | 4142 | 100.0 |

[^0]Table 5. Number and percent of saltwater anglers by length of residence in Texas.

| Number of years | no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 449 | 12.2 |
| $10-19$ | 477 | 13.0 |
| $20-29$ | 842 | 22.9 |
| $30-39$ | 924 | 25.2 |
| $40-49$ | 498 | 13.6 |
| $50-59$ | 310 | 8.4 |
| $\geq 60$ | 172 | 4.7 |
| Total | 3672 | 100.0 |

Table 6. Number and percent of saltwater anglers by household income category.

| Income category (Dollars) | no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 10,000 | 284 | 7.1 |
| $10,000-19,999$ | 585 | 14.7 |
| $20,000-29,999$ | 786 | 19.7 |
| $30,000-39,999$ | 800 | 20.0 |
| $40,000-49,999$ | 563 | 14.1 |
| $50,000-59,999$ | 366 | 9.2 |
| $60,000-69,999$ | 231 | 5.8 |
| $70,000-79,999$ | 120 | 3.0 |
| $80,000-89,999$ | 56 | 1.4 |
| $90,000-99,999$ | 36 | 0.9 |
| $\geq 100,000$ | 165 | 4992 |
| Total |  | 100.0 |

Table 7. Number and percent of saltwater anglers by reported number of days spent fishing in freshwater and saltwater boys and gulf by boat, shore, or pier reported during previous 12 months.


Table 8. Distribution of saltwater anglers by response to: Do you or someone in your household own a power boat?

| Response | no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 2274 | 54.4 |
| No | 1905 | 45.6 |
| Total | 4179 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |
| If yes, what length is the longest one? |  |  |
| Length (ft) | no. |  |
| $<16$ | 559 | 24.6 |
| $16-26$ | 1663 | 73.1 |
| $27-40$ | 44 | 1.9 |
| 41 | 8 | 0.4 |
| Total | 2274 | 100.0 |

Table 9. Number and percent of saltwater anglers by the number of years they have been fishing in saltwater.

| Number of years | no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| 0 | 25 | 0.6 |
| $1-9$ | 1174 | 28.7 |
| $10-19$ | 1065 | 26.0 |
| $20-29$ | 939 | 22.9 |
| $30-39$ | 581 | 14.2 |
| $40-49$ | 217 | 5.3 |
| $50-59$ | 86 | 2.1 |
| $\geq 60$ | 7 | 0.2 |
| Total | 4094 | 100.0 |

Table 10. Number and percent of saltwater anglers by perceived fishing ability compared to other anglers.

| Ability category | no. | $\mathbf{z}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less skilled | 1018 | 24.3 |
| Equally skilled | 2625 | 62.8 |
| More skilled | 539 | 12.9 |
| Total | 4182 | 100.0 |

Table 11. Number and percent of saltwater anglers by type of group they fished with most often: ranked by frequency.

| Group | no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Family \& friends together | 1454 | 34.9 |
| Friends | 1203 | 28.8 |
| Family | 1182 | 28.3 |
| By yourself | 327 | 7.8 |
| C1ub | 6 | 0.1 |
|  | 4172 | 99.9 |

Table 12. Distribution of responses to: Do you participate in saltwater fishing tournaments.

| Response | no. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 437 | 10.4 |
| No | 3724 | 89.6 |
| Total | 4169 | 100.0 |

If yes, how many tournaments did you participate in since this time last year?

| Number of tournaments | no. | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | 0.5 |
| 1 | 203 | 46.5 |
| 2 | 139 | 31.8 |
| 3 | 56 | 12.8 |
| 4 | 14 | 3.2 |
| $\geq 5$ | 23 | 5.3 |
| Total | 437 | 100.1 |

Table 13. Percent of saltwater anglers by the extent they used different types of saltwater fishing information.

| Type of information | Value ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | no. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Conments and opinions of other anglers | 6.5 | 7.2 | 44.8 | 29.2 | 12.3 | 4138 |
| Texas Parks and Wildife magazine | 28.6 | 16.5 | 34.6 | 14.4 | 5.8 | 4114 |
| Other information provided by Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (brochures. etc) | 22.2 | 19.4 | 36.5 | 14.8 | 7.1 | 4121 |
| Newspaper articles | 13.9 | 18.2 | 40.1 | 20.9 | 6.8 | 4145 |
| Magazine articles | 20.1 | 21.2 | 38.5 | 15.1 | 5.0 | 4133 |
| Bait and tackle shops | 13.3 | 16.7 | 37.7 | 23.2 | 9.0 | 4141 |
| Fishing clubs | 67.7 | 17.1 | 10.6 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 4101 |
| Radio shows | 45.1 | 21.1 | 23.8 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 4122 |
| Television shows | 29.5 | 19.9 | 31.5 | 12.8 | 6.3 | 4142 |

$a_{1}=$ no use; $2=$ little use; $3=$ some use; $4=10 t s$ of use; 5 = a great deal of use.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {The }}$ no 1 isted for each item reflects the number that responded to each item.

Table 14. Number and percent of saltwater anglers by saltwater species most preferred: ranked by first choice percentages.

| Species | 1st Choice |  | 2nd Choice |  | 3rd Choice |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | no. | 7 | no. | 7 | no. | \% |
| Spotted seatrout ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1520 | 37.7 | 1340 | 33.8 | 548 | 15.1 |
| Red drum | 1472 | 36.5 | 1414 | 35.7 | 525 | 14.4 |
| Flounder | 446 | 11.1 | 570 | 14.4 | 1357 | 37.3 |
| King mackerel | 160 | 4.0 | 90 | 2.3 | 148 | 4.1 |
| Red smapper | 108 | 2.7 | 85 | 2.1 | 136 | 3.7 |
| Drum (unspecified) | 72 | 1.8 | 99 | 2.5 | 256 | 7.0 |
| Shark (unspecified) | 45 | 1.1 | 56 | 1.4 | 112 | 3.1 |
| Catfish (unspecified) | 34 | 0.8 | 47 | 1.2 | 81 | 2.2 |
| Others ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 174 | 4.3 | 263 | 6.6 | 478 | 13.1 |
| Total | $4031{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 100.0 | 3964 | 100.0 | 3641 | 100.0 |

a 84 anglers did not give a first choice, 251 anglers did not give a second choice and 574 anglers did not give a third choice.
$b$ Anglers identified species preferences with common names.
c other species included Amberjack, anything, Barracuda, Billfish, Black drum, Blacktip shark, Blue marlin, Bluefish, Cobia, Croaker, Dolphin, Florida pompano, Gafftopsail catfish, Halibut, King salmon, Marlin, Sailfish, Salmon, Sand bass, Sand trout, Sea bass, Sea trout, Sheepshead, Snapper, Snook, Spanish mackerel, Tarpon and Tuna.

Table 15. Distribution of trips taken out of state during the previous twelve months by destination.

| State or Country | no. of trips | \% | no. of anglers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Days Fished/Trip } \end{gathered}$ | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Louisiana | 161 | 18.9 | 147 | 7.1 | 0.9 |
| Florida | 86 | 10.1 | 80 | 9.3 | 0.8 |
| Mexico | 71 | 8.3 | 65 | 8.2 | 2.1 |
| Colorado | 58 | 6.8 | 56 | 11.4 | 1.7 |
| Okl ahoma | 49 | 5.7 | 45 | 8.8 | 2.0 |
| Arkansas | 39 | 4.6 | 39 | 6.8 | 0.7 |
| Canada | 32 | 3.7 | 31 | 8.1 | 0.9 |
| New Mexico | 27 | 3.2 | 24 | 8.0 | 1.9 |
| Missouri | 24 | 2.8 | 20 | 6.7 | 1.0 |
| Wyoming | 24 | 2.8 | 21 | 6.7 | 1.6 |
| Alaska | 21 | 2.5 | 19 | 6.8 | 1.1 |
| California | 21 | 2.5 | 18 | 10.6 | 5.7 |
| Mississippi | 21 | 2.5 | 21 | 5.1 | 04 |
| Minnesota | 16 | 1.9 | 15 | 8.6 | 1.8 |
| Montana | 14 | 1.6 | 14 | 20.5(7.0) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 11.3 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 190 | 22.2 | 111 | 8.9 | 1.2 |
| Total | $854^{\text {a }}$ | 100.0 | $726^{\text {e }}$ | 8.5 | 0.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Total number of out-of-state fishing trips reported by 624 anglers.
${ }^{b} 41$ trips had missing information for days fished. Mean number of days fished/trip was based on 813 trips.
c States and other jurisdictions with less than 10 fishing trips were aggregated in the other category. There were 38 other states or jurisdictions named.
d Figure in parenthesis is after disregarding 3 outliers.
e Total exceeds number of anglers taking out-of-state trips because multiple responses were possible.

Table 16. Distribution of trips taken out of state during the previous twelve months by species sought.

| Species ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | no. | * | no. of anglers | Days | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Fished/Tripb } \end{aligned}$ | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freshwater |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bass | 192 | 24.9 | 155 |  | 7.4 | 0.8 |
| Trout | 181 | 23.4 | 145 |  | 9.7 | 1.1 |
| Walleye | 29 | 3.8 | 25 |  | 9.6 | 1.3 |
| Grappie | 20 | 2.6 | 16 |  | 11.7 | 2.2 |
| Saltwater |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spocted seatrout | 66 | 8.5 | 59 |  | 6.6 | 1.0 |
| Red drum | 47 | 6.1 | 43 |  | 8.0 | 1.5 |
| Salmon | 34 | 4.4 | 31 |  | 7.5 | 09 |
| Sailfish | 21 | 2.7 | 20 |  | 4.2 | 0.6 |
| Tarpon | 15 | 1.9 | 15 |  | 6.9 | 0.8 |
| Catfish | 14 | 1.8 | 13 |  | 13.3 | 4.7 |
| Snapper | 14 | 1.8 | 14 |  | 9.4 | 2.9 |
| Flounder | 13 | 1.7 | 12 |  | 9.3 | 3.4 |
| Fresh and Saltwater |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 126 | 16.3 | 108 |  | 9.6 | 1.1 |
| Total | $772{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 100.0 | $656^{\text {e }}$ |  | 8.5 | 0.5 |

a Anglers reported species sought with common names.
b 120 rrips had missing information for days fished. Mean number of days fished/trip was based on 652 trips.
C Species with less than 10 fishing trips are aggregated into other category. Other species included Billfish, Bluefish, Bluegill, Bonefish, Bream, Bullhead, Catfish, Dolphin, Flathead, Jewfish, Kingfish, King salmon, Little tunny, Northern pike, Perch, Pike, Shark, Snook, Spanish mackerel and Tuna.
d
Total number of out-of-state fishing trips reported by 624 anglers;
e Total exceeds number of anglers taking out-of-state trips because multiple responses were possible.

Table 17. Percent of saltwater anglers by the importance they attribute to various reasons why people fish in saltwater.

| Reasons why people fish | Value ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | no. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| To be outdoors | 2.8 | 5.5 | 21.3 | 41.9 | 28.4 | 4127 |
| For family recreation | 8.3 | 13.7 | 26.8 | 33.0 | 18.1 | 4086 |
| To experience new and different things | 14.4 | 18.1 | 30.3 | 24.7 | 12.5 | 4059 |
| For relaxation | 2.5 | 4.4 | 13.5 | 36.5 | 43.2 | 4060 |
| To be close to the sed | 17.7 | 18,0 | 25.9 | 20.6 | 17.8 | 4033 |
| To obtain fish for eating | 12.9 | 19.6 | 34.6 | 18.2 | 14.6 | 4142 |
| To get away from the demands of other people | 13.8 | 11.3 | 19.1 | 26.7 | 29.1 | 4091 |
| For the experience of the catch | 5.4 | 9.0 | 23.3 | 32.4 | 29.9 | 4069 |
| To test my equipment | 41.0 | 25.8 | 21.6 | 7.9 | 3.8 | 4053 |
| To be with friends - | 10.0 | 12.2 | 29.9 | 30.8 | 17.1 | 4080 |
| To experience natural surroundings | 6.5 | 9.8 | 25.9 | 33.4 | 24.4 | 4093 |
| To win a trophy | 76.8 | 11.4 | 7.5 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 4072 |
| To develop my skills | 23.5 | 19.6 | 28.9 | 18.0 | 10.0 | 4075 |
| To get away from the regular routine | 5.4 | 6.5 | 20.2 | 35.1 | 32.7 | 4052 |
| To obtain a "trophy" tish | 53.8 | 16.1 | 16.3 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 4058 |
| For the challenge or sport | 11.3 | 9.8 | 25.3 | 28.2 | 25.3 | 4124 |

[^1]Table 18. Percent of saltwater anglers by the extent they agree or disagree with statements
about sport fishing in saltwater. about sport fishing in saltwater.

| Statement | $V a l u e{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | no. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| The more fish l catch, the happier I am | 5.4 | 13.6 | 22.6 | 40.0 | 18.1 | 4125 |
| A fishing trip can be successful even if no fish are caught | 5.2 | 12.1 | 14.1 | 49.1 | 19.2 | 4126 |
| When I go fishing, i'm just as happy as if I don't catch a fish | 11.3 | 36.7 | 21.1 | 22.3 | 8.6 | 4115 |
| I usually eat the fish I catch | 2.4 | 4.1 | 7.7 | 39.3 | 46.4 | 3959 |
| A successful fishing trip is one in which many fish are caught | 6.6 | 24.0 | 26.4 | 30.7 | 12.4 | 4052 |
| I would rather catch one or two big fish than ten smaller fish | 4.8 | 17.7 | 25.8 | 31.6 | 20.2 | 4125 |
| It doesn't matter to me what type of fish l catch | 11.6 | 34.3 | 20.5 | 27.0 | 6.5 | 4106 |
| The bigger the ffsh 1 catch, the better the fishing trip | 5.3 | 23.0 | 26.3 | 31.9 | 13.5 | 4080 |
| I'ru just as happy if 1 don't keep the fish 1 catch | 12.5 | 28.4 | 22.1 | 28.2 | 8.7 | 4057 |
| I Ilke to fish where there are several kinds of fish to catch | 0.7 | 2.2 | 13.3 | 54.6 | 29.2 | 4070 |
| I want to keep all the fish I cotch | 16.2 | 40.7 | 22.8 | 13.2 | 7.1 | 4125 |
| I catch fish for sport and pleasure rather than for food | 11.2 | 25.7 | 27.8 | 24.7 | 10.5 | 4116 |
| I'm just as happy if 1 release the fish 1 catch | 9.7 | 27.8 | 27.2 | 25.7 | 9.6 | 4120 |
| I usually give away the fish l catch | 23.0 | 43.5 | 23.3 | 7.5 | 2.7 | 4116 |

Table 19. Percent of sattwater anglers by support or opposition to management tools used by the Texas Parks and Wildife Department.

|  | value ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | no. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Releasing fish below a certain fength (minimum size limit) | 3.1 | 5.3 | 8.5 | 38.1 | 45.0 | 4170 |
| ```Releasing fish above a certain length (maximum size limit)``` | 10.2 | 17.7 | 18.0 | 27.9 | 26.1 | 4161 |
| Releasing fish within a certain length range, but keeping the fish below and above this range (slot limit) | 9.9 | 17.4 | 36.4 | 22.7 | 13.6 | 4081 |
| Being able to keep only a certain number of fish you catch in a day (daily bag limit) | 6.1 | 9.3 | 10.8 | 40.9 | 33.0 | 4160 |
| Not being able to fish in certain restricted areas | 7.4 | 12.1 | 23.4 | 35.8 | 21.3 | 4154 |
| Having certain fishing areas closed during part of the year (closed season) | 8.7 | 13.3 | 24.4 | 33.7 | 19.8 | 4147 |
| Prohibiting the use of certain types of sport fishing gear | 6.1 | 10.5 | 26.8 | 32.3 | 24.4 | 4144 |
| Prohibiting the use of certain types of bait | 10.5 | 24.4 | 33.1 | 21.3 | 13.7 | 4139 |
| Not being able to retain certain species in certain areas | 7.3 | 13.3 | 31.7 | 30.9 | 16.9 | 4126 |
| Stocking fish in saltwater | 1.4 | 2.1 | 12.5 | 30.2 | 53.9 | 4154 |

[^2]Table 20. Number and percent of saltwater anglers as to whether or not they would report catching a tagged fish.

| Response | no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Yes | 4122 | 98.7 |
| No | 53 | 1.3 |
| Total | 4175 | 100.0 |

Table 21. Average expenditures (dollars) per person for Texas saltwater anglers in i986. SW denotes saltwater.

| Description of item(s) | Mean \$spent per person | \& respondents buying at least one | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{b}{\text { mean }} \\ & \text { SWishing } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { spent for } \\ & \hline \text { Purchases } \\ & \text { in Texas } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. 5 spent per person for Texas Sw fishing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tackle |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rods | 49.62 | 75 | 75 | 97 | 36.23 |
| Reels | 39.39 | 35 | 72 | 97 | 27.53 |
| Lures, tackle boxes, landing nets, etc. | 42.37 | 67 | 74 | 98 | 31.06 |
| Live bait equipment | 13.64 | 38 | 85 | 100 | 11.54 |
| Fish attracting lights | 6.73 | 5 | 60 | 100 | 4.00 |
| Lure color selector | 1.53 | 4 | 50 | 92 | 0.76 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 111.12 |
| Camping Equipment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traiter or camper | 191.05 | 5 | $12^{\text {a }}$ | 93 | 22.52 |
| Tents, sleeping bags, lanterns, stoves. ice chests, etc. | 36.83 | 36 | $10^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 98 | 3.67 |
| Suiptotal |  |  |  |  | 26.19 |
| Boating |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electronic equipment; depth finders. fish locators, radio, etc. | 37.02 | 9 | 71 | 90 | 23.32 |
| Boat accessories; anchors, safety equipment, etc. | 20.34 | 20 | 72 | 98 | 14.41 |
| Boats | 925.88 | 22 | 71 | 96 | 625.43 |
| Boat motors | 502.81 | 23 | 72 | 99 | 360.51 |
| Boat trailers | 139.61 | 18 | 76 | 99 | 105.03 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 1,128.70 |
| Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Autos, vans, pickups, RVs, all terrain vehicles | 2,850,41 | 28 | $8^{\text {c }}$ | 95 | 221.36 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 221.36 |
| Other equipment | 28.88 | 12 | $17^{\text {d }}$ | 100 | 4.83 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | 4.83 |
| grand total |  |  |  |  | 1,492.20 |

[^3]Table 22. Distribution of saltwater angler responses to: Briefly describe your most memorable saltwater fishing trip.

| Aspect of trip | no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Catch related | 2728 | 24.4 |
| Specific species | 2445 | 21.8 |
| Social related | 1093 | 9.8 |
| Size of fish | 999 | 8.9 |
| Number of fish | 900 | 8.0 |
| Family | 703 | 6.3 |
| Location specific | 543 | 4.8 |
| Friends | 449 | 4.0 |
| Charter or party | 187 | 1.7 |
| bat related | 159 | 1.4 |
| Traveled offshore | 135 | 1.2 |
| Weather related | 134 | 1.2 |
| Catch and release | 108 | 1.1 |
| Challenge related | 493 | $1203^{\mathrm{a}}$ |

[^4]Table 23. Distribution of selected saltwater angler responses to: Is there anything else you would like to share with us?

| Response | Total | no. | Positive Response |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| no. | Negative Response <br> no. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Related to stocking <br> fish | 86 | 12.4 | 83 | 96.5 | 3 | 3.6 |  |
| Related to commercial <br> fishing | 173 | 25.0 | 2 | 1.2 | 171 | 98.8 |  |
| Related to saltwater <br> fishing stamp | 270 | 39.0 | 43 | 15.9 | 227 | 84.1 |  |
| Related to catch and <br> release of fish | 11 | 1.6 | 9 | 81.8 | 2 | 18.2 |  |
| Related to current <br> regulations | 151 | 21.9 | 100 | 66.2 | 51 | 33.8 |  |
| Total | $691^{\text {a }}$ | 99.9 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]Table 24. Number of non-respondents by angler survey question number.

| Question no. | no. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 121 |
| 2 a | 617 |
| $b$ | 686 |
| c | 837 |
| d | 1219 |
| e | 1128 |
| 3 | 33 |
| 4 a | 88 |
| b | 129 |
| c | 156 |
| d | 155 |
| e | 182 |
| f | 73 |
| g | 124 |
| h | 146 |
| , | 162 |
| j | 135 |
| $k$ | 122 |
| , | 143 |
| m | 140 |
| $n$ | 163 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 157 |
| P | 91 |
| 5 a | 184 |
| b | 251 |
| $c$ | 574 |
| 6 a | 36 |
| 7 a | 90 |
| b | 89 |
| c | 100 |
| d | 256 |
| e | 163 |
| f | 90 |
| 8 | 109 |
| h | 244 |
| i | 158 |
| j | 145 |
| k | 90 |
| k | 99 |
| m | 95 |
| $\square$ | 99 |
| 8 | 54 |
|  | 43 |
| 10 | 3591 |
| Lla | 77 |



Figure 1. Response rate (percents) for the 1986 Texas statewide survey of saltwater anglers.

$n=6371$
a The returned non-usable category includes those who indicated they were
not saltwater anglers.

## 1986 <br> TEXAS SURVEY OF SALTWATER FISHERMEN



TEXAS PARKS AND WILDLIFE DEPARTMENT

TEXAS A\&M UNIVERSTTY
DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843

## QUESTIONNAIRE *

IN THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, PLEASE TELL US ABOUT YOUR FISHING ACTIVITY AND EXPERIENCE

1. How many years have you been fishing fin saltwater?
$\qquad$ YEARS
2. Since this time last year, how many days did you go fishing? NUMBER OF DAYS FISHED
(if none, please enter $O$ )
$\qquad$ IN FRESHWATER
IN SALTWATER BAYS FROM A BOAT
IN SALTWATER BAYS FROM SHDRE OR PIERS
IN SALTWATER GULF FROM A BOAT
IN SALTWATER GULF FROM SHORE DR PIERS
3. How do you compare your fishing ability to that of other fishermen in general?

1 LESS SKILLED
2 EOUALLY SKILLED
3 MDRE SKILLED

5. Name the kincts of fisn you most prefer to cotch in saltwater in Texas.
FIRST CHOICE
SECOND CHOICE
SHIRD CHOICE
6. Do you or someone in your housenold oun a power boat?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1 YES } \\
& 2 \text { NO } \\
& \text { If YES. what length is the longest one? } \\
& \text { FEET }
\end{aligned}
$$

7. please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about sport fishing in saltwater.

8. Do you participate in sattwater fishing tournaments?

1 YES
2 NO

If VES. how many tournaments do you participate in each year?

## 9. What type of group do you fish with most often? (mark only one answer plemse)

1 BY YOURSELF
2 FRIEMDS
3 FAMILY
4 FAMILY \& FRIENOS TOGETHER
5 CLUB
10. Have you gone fishing outside the state of Texas in the previous 12 months (where fishing was the primary motivation for the tripl?

1 YES
$2 N O$

11. TO WHAT EXTENT DO YOU MAKE USE OF THE FOLLOWING FOR
SALTWATER FISHING INFORMATION?
12. If you caught a tagged fish, would you report the tag?

1 YES
2 No
13. Rriefly describe your most memorable saltwater fishing trip
14. THE FOLLOWING IS A LIST OF TOOLS USED BY THE TEXAS PARKS AND WILDLIFE DEPARTMENT FQR MANAGING RECREATIONAL SALTHATER FISHERIES.

Please indicate below whether you support or oppast these tools.

16. THE FOLLOWING QUESTION PROVIDES VALUABLE INFORMATION FOR ESTIMATING THE IMPORTANCE DF SALTHATER FISHING TD YOU AND TO THE STATE OF TEXAS. PLEASE HELP US BY EEING ESPECIALLY CAREFUL WITH THIS QUESTION.

Please record your expenditures for the following items if purchased since this time last year. Use mumbered lines to ifst individual purchases. To see how to complete percents for the last column. please refer to the following example:

EXAMPLE: Assume you purchased a boat and use it a total of 100 hours per year. Of this 100 hours, 25 hours were for saltwater fishing in Texas. In this case. $25 \%$ should be allocated to. saltwater fishing.


THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS WILL HELP US TO KNOW MORE ABOUT FISHERMEN. THE INFORMATION YOU PROVIDE WILL REMAIN STRICTLY CONFIDEATIAL. AND YOU WILL NOT BE IDENTIFIED WITH YOUR ANSWERS.
17. What is your age?
$\qquad$
18. are you:

1 MALE
2 FSMALE

19 What is your abproximate annual HOUSEHOLD income before taxes? (circle only one)

| 1 | UNDER $\$ 10.000$ | 7 | $\$ 60.000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 69.999$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | $\$ 10.000 ~ t o ~$ |  |  |  |  |

 RETURN YOUR COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE IN THE STAMPED RETURN ENVELOPE AS SOON AS POSS1BLE.

TEXAS AgM UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS

COLLEGE STATIDN, TX 77843

Appendix B. Distribution data for age by gender, length of residence, length of longest boat owned and number of years fishing in saltwater.

Figure B. 1 Percent of Male Saltwater Anglers by Age ( $n=3373$ )


Age



Age


Figure B. 2 Percent of Female Saltwater Anglers by Age ( $\mathrm{n}=811$ )


Figure B. 3 Percent of Saltwater Anglers by Length of Residence in Texas ( $\mathrm{n}=3672$ )


Figure B. 4 Percent of Saltwater Anglers by Length of Longest Boat Owned ( $n=2274$ )


Length (ft.)


Figure B. 5 Percent of Saltwater Anglers by the Number of Years They Have Been Fishing in Saltwater ( $n=4094$ )



Appendix C. Expenditures on equipment item(s) by survey respondents, 1986, for all species.
Table C-1. Expenditures (dollars) on equipment item(5) by survey respondents, 1986, for alt species. Sw denotes saltwater.

| Description of item(s) | Hean per | $\$$ spent person | Median \$ spent per person | Maximum $\$$ spent | * respondents buying at least one | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { d mean }}{\text { sW }} \\ & \text { fishing } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { spent for } \\ & \text { Purchases } \\ & \text { in Texas } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. \$ spent in Texas per person | Avg. \$ spent per person for SW fishing | Avg. $\$$ spent per person for Texas SW flshing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tackle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rods |  | 49.62 | 30.00 | 890 | 75 | 75 | 97 | 48.17 | 37.13 | 36.23 |
| Rerels |  | 39.39 | 0.00 | 1,500 | 35 | 72 | 97 | 38.35 | 28.43 | 27.53 |
| Lures, tackle boxes. landing nets, etc. |  | 42.37 | 20.00 | 995 | 67 | 74 | 98 | 41.69 | 31.38 | 31.06 |
| Live bait equipment |  | 13.64 | 0.00 | 750 | 38 | 85 | 100 | 13.60 | 11.56 | 11.54 |
| Fish attracting 1 ights |  | 6.73 | 0.00 | B,000 | 5 | 60 | 100 | 6.70 | 4.01 | 4.00 |
| Lure color selector |  | 1.53 | 0.00 | 480 | 4 | 50 | 92 | 1.41 | 0.77 | 0.76 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 111.12 |
| Camping Equipment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traller or camper |  | 191.05 | 0.00 | 30,000 | 5 | $12^{\text {a }}$ | 93 | 177.48 | 22.72 | 22.52 |
| Tents, sleeping bags, lanterns, stoves, ice chests, etc. |  | 36.83 | 0.00 | 1,500 | 36 | $10^{\text {b }}$ | 98 | 36.08 | 3.75 | 3.67 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.19 |
| Boating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electronic equipment; depth finders, fish Iccators, radio, etc. |  | 37.02 | 0.00 | 7,000 | 9 | 11 | 90 | 33.40 | 26.26 | 23.32 |
| Boat accessories; anchors, satety equipment, etc. |  | 20.34 | 0.00 | 900 | 20 | 73 | 98 | 19.93 | 14.78 | 14.41 |
| Boats |  | 925.88 | 0.00 | 65,000 | 22 | 71 | 96 | 890.13 | 659.60 | 625.43 |
| Boat motors |  | 502.81 | 0.00 | 13,000 | 23 | 72 | 99 | 498.10 | 363.48 | 360.51 |
| Boat trailers |  | 139.6 ? | 0.00 | 6,500 | 18 | 76 | 99 | 138.70 | 105.58 | 105.03 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,128.70 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Other caregory includes $Z i p$ Codes with less than 10 respondents.

[^1]:    $a_{1}=$ not ot all important; $2=51 i g h t l y$ important; $3=$ moderately important; $4=$ very important; $5=$ extrenely important.

    GThe no. IIsted for each iten reflects the number that responded to each item.

[^2]:    $\mathbf{a}_{1}=$ strongly oppose; $2=$ oppose; $3=$ neutral; $4=$ support; $5=$ strongly support.
    bThe no. i isted for each item reflects the number that responded to each item.

[^3]:    $a, b, c, d$ The percentage of mean spent for saltwater fishing for these categories was based on the reported number of days fished in Question 2 of the survey (see Appendix A).

[^4]:    ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ Each angler could list up to five responses. Comments were made by 1,101 anglers.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Each angler could list up to five responses. Comments were made by 570 anglers.

