American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

Review Article

The Effects of Elevated Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide on the Vineyard System of *Vitis vinifera*: A Review

Molly E Clemens, 1* Alessandra Zuniga, 1 and Walter Oechel²

- ¹San Diego State University and University of California Davis Joint Doctoral Program in Ecology, San Diego CA
- 6 92182; and ²Global Change Research Group, Department of Biology, San Diego State University, San Diego CA
- 7 92182.

1

2

3

4

- 8 *Corresponding author (mclemens@ucdavis.edu; phone: 585-485-9691)
- 9 Acknowledgments: The authors thank Susanne Tittmann for her time discussing the GrapeFACE project at
- Geisenheim, Germany. The authors also thank Stefano Poni for the in-depth discussion of leaf removal as a climate
- change mitigation strategy. The authors did not have any industry interest conflicting with this work.
- Manuscript submitted June 2, 2021, revised Oct 8, 2021, accepted Oct 21, 2021
- 13 Copyright © 2021 by the American Society for Enology and Viticulture. All rights reserved
- By downloading and/or receiving this article, you agree to the Disclaimer of Warranties and Liability. The full
- statement of the Disclaimers is available at http://www.ajevonline.org/content/proprietary-rights-notice-ajev-
- online. If you do not agree to the Disclaimers, do not download and/or accept this article.

17

- Abstract: Global atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations will continue increasing throughout the
- 19 next century, with profound impacts on agriculture. The literature concerning the effects of climate
- 20 change on viticulture has largely focused on the isolated impacts of variables such as temperature and
- 21 soil water deficit. Likewise, the research on the effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on grapevines is
- stunted at the categorical level, chiefly because of the difficulty of experimentally controlling the
- 23 gaseous environment in situ for the years necessary to replicate the vineyard system in a future climate
- 24 condition. Despite numerous studies on the short-term influence of environmental and cultural factors on
- 25 grapevine development at elevated carbon dioxide, the long-term impacts remain poorly understood.
- 26 The lack of field based elevated CO₂ experiments in the United States is an added challenge to
- 27 predicting viticultural changes, particularly in California. This review focuses on the systemic impact of
- atmospheric CO₂ on *Vitis vinifera*, synthesizing physiological, phenological, and plant-pest interactions.

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

- Major findings from this synthesis inform of a predicted increase in pest pressure, advanced phenological timing, transient increase in water use efficiency for grapevine, and changes in grape berry chemistry. While water use efficiency is highly desirable, the prediction for current winegrape growing regions is a transient increase in water use efficiency subsequently limited by a lack of available soil water. Grapevine is influenced by the negative synergistic effects of heat, drought, and elevated CO₂, which will alter cultural practices including harvest and pest/disease control, with downstream effects on winemaking. Several options for adaptation are discussed including leaf removal, planting alternative varieties and selective breeding of new varieties.
- Key words: carbon storage, climate change, elevated CO₂, phenology, physiology, viticultural impact, water use, yield

39 Introduction

Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide levels are well documented by the International Panels on climate change, and carbon dioxide is expected to reach levels between 530 and 720 mg/L by the year 2100 according to intermediate scenarios (IPCC 2014). The last time Earth experienced levels of carbon dioxide consistently above 400 mg/L was the early Miocene era, approximately 23 million years ago (Pearson and Palmer 2000). The earliest agriculture was cultivated between 23,000 and 12,000 years ago (Weiss et al. 2004), with the earliest grape domestication estimated between 6,000 and 9,000 years ago (Terral et al. 2009). Grapevine has historically been sensitive to changes in climate, including the "Little Ice Age" in Europe (Mariani et al. 2018) and the more recent heat waves of the 21st century (Galat Giorgi et al. 2019, Venios et al. 2020, Bertamini et al. 2021).

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

While grapevine is typically cultivated in regions with wet winters and dry summers, increasing events of severe water stress will impede growth and reduce quality and yield in grapevine under climate change (Chaves et al. 2010, Mosedale et al. 2016, Scholasch and Rienth 2019, Morales-Castilla et al. 2020). Mean climate projections underestimate the impact of climate change on grapevine, in particular the impact of extreme temperature spikes/drops in areas growing premier winegrapes, currently characterized by few days with extreme heat or cold (White et al. 2006, Parker et al. 2020). While vines in Mediterranean areas will have to adapt to a more variable climate, elevated CO2 will compound the effects of heat and drought stress at a global scale, impacting the quality and quantity of grapevine yield (Jones et al. 2005, Schultz 2010, Mosedale et al. 2016, Van Leeuwen and Darriet 2016, Bertamini et al. 2021). Carbon dioxide levels present a relatively novel challenge as they have been increasing at an unprecedented rate since the start of the Industrial Revolution (IPCC 2014). Winegrapes are one of the most culturally and economically important crops worldwide, with an annual production of 60 million tons of fruit annually, the highest monetary value of fruit crops, and wine being part of the UNESCO intangible cultural heritage of humanity (Vivier and Pretorius 2002, Owens 2008, Ponti et al. 2018, Delrot et al. 2020, Santos et al. 2020). While wild grapevines can be very resilient to abiotic stress, domesticated winegrapes are far more sensitive; a result of the meticulous conservation of berry phenotype with emphasis on flavor over stress tolerance since 400 BC (Terral et al. 2009, Mariani et al. 2018). While this careful preservation of grape berry phenotype benefits the culture and industry of winegrape growing, as an ecological system the vineyard is vulnerable to a changing climate and elevated atmospheric CO₂ levels (Jones 2005). Heat, elevated carbon dioxide, and limited water availability are necessary for cultivating quality grapes, however, studies on their interactive effects indicate these will have a negative synergistic

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

impact on grapevine (Lobell et al. 2006, Edwards et al. 2017, Galat Giorgi et al. 2019). The variety-specific responses to these environmental conditions introduces further variability to any study of grapevine response to future climate (Wohlfahrt et al. 2017), while variability in viticultural production is often viewed as undesirable. The varying physiology of cultivars and the long-term perennial nature of grapevine creates a challenging subject for adaptation studies; we expect that any adaptation will be much slower than that of annual crops (Lobell et al. 2006, Venios et al. 2020).

This review synthesizes recent literature published on the direct effects of elevated carbon dioxide on grapevine physiology, as well as the indirect effects on phenology and ecological responses of grapevines, including studies of the interactive effects of climate variables. This synthesis focused on literature specific to grapevine, and in addition, included studies on Arabidopsis to explore relevant hypotheses illustrating mechanisms of carbon dynamics in C3 plants. Results were compared from the four predominant experimental approaches; growth chambers, greenhouses, open top chambers, and Free Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE), all evaluated for predictive value. Finally, this review concludes by discussing potential research necessary for understanding the future of growing grapevine with elevated CO₂ and adaptive viticultural management.

Impacts on the Vine and Berry Composition

87 Physiology

The physiological advantage of increased atmospheric carbon available for crops such as grapevine must be weighed against other factors likely to cooccur in the context of climate change, including water scarcity and temperature increases (Gray et al. 2016, Faralli et al. 2017). The literature asserts that the RUBISCO of C3 plants, including grapevines, are currently limited by ambient CO₂

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

substrate (Long and Drake 1992, Ainsworth and Rogers 2007) and any increases should stimulate carbon assimilation rates and increase vegetative growth (Bowes 1993), in the absence of other stressors. However, grapevine specific studies provide evidence for down regulation of net photosynthesis as vines acclimate to higher carbon environments (Salazar-Parra et al. 2014, Rangel da Silva et al. 2017). Salazar-Parra et al. (2012) observed a transient increase in maximum photosynthesis in grapevine at elevated CO₂, but this effect dissipated over time. A short-term study in a temperature gradient greenhouse at 700 mg/L CO₂ showed grapevine photosynthesis increased around the time of veraison (Arrizabalaga-Arriazu et al. 2020), however studies of this duration are more reflective of a high dose of carbon enrichment rather than simulating future climate scenarios.

One possible explanation for photosynthetic down regulation, i.e. acclimation, is lowered capacity of the photochemical machinery due to reductions in nitrogen concentrations in the leaf (Luo et al. 1994, Moutinho-Pereira et al. 2009), limiting the activity of the enzyme RUBISCO. Species that are not nitrogen fixing such as grapevine are more likely to experience acclimation in elevated CO₂ environments because of limited RUBISCO content (Ainsworth et al. 2002). The nitrogen dilution effect is well documented in other crop species, therefore in grapevine, nitrogen use efficiency could increase in elevated CO₂ environments because RUBISCO acclimation allows for nitrogen to be redistributed for other growth in the vine, however, FACE experiments documented nitrogen gains lower than predicted (Leaky et al. 2009).

The long-term impact of elevated CO₂ on rates of grapevine photosynthesis has been shown to be dependent on other climate factors such as temperature and water availability (Wohlfahrt et al. 2018). Water scarcity, a concomitant climate change variable with elevated CO₂, can impact the carbon storage in trunks of vines, as demonstrated in fruit tree orchards, and in turn, drought stress can be partially

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

relieved in elevated CO₂ scenarios (Paudel et al. 2018). Three general physiological responses will benefit grapevine in an elevated CO₂ climate with limited water availability; starting with partial stomatal closure limiting water loss, a subsequent increase in soil water content as transpiration decreases, and an increase of starch storage to provide for drought recovery (Salazar-Parra et al. 2015, Paudel et al. 2018). Acclimation to elevated CO₂ will decrease rates of assimilation, while starch reserves increase, as the carbon sink may be driving rates of photosynthesis rather than carbon availability driving metabolism (Li et al. 2020). Therefore, the widespread observed reduction in stomatal conductance and density (Rangel da Silva et al. 2017, Kizildeniz et al. 2018) may have a greater impact on grapevine water use efficiency (WUE) from decreasing transpiration rather than increasing carbon assimilation.

In the past ten years, grapevine physiology research under elevated CO₂ has focused on the impacts on WUE defined as carbon assimilated per unit of water transpired. Grapevine relies on stomatal aperture to facilitate cooling and CO₂ uptake, releasing latent heat as the plant reaches physiological temperature thresholds; however, closure is essential to avoid detrimental water loss, heat damage, and reduced photosynthate production (Martínez-Lüscher et al. 2016b). With higher levels of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, stomata can facilitate a lower water per CO₂ molecular exchange, increasing the leaf level WUE (Figure 1). An early study of grapevine under elevated CO₂ treatment for one season found no significant effect on stomatal conductance (g_s) and transpiration (Moutinho-Pereira et al. 2009). Subsequently, a study using 650 mg/L in a similar open top chamber treatment found g_s and transpiration decreased at elevated CO₂ (Edwards et al.2017). In contrast, at only at 500 mg/L, higher g_s and transpiration rates were observed in grapevines in a consistently elevated CO₂ environment for three consecutive seasons (Wohlfahrt et al. 2018). On a morphological level, multiple studies have documented the reduction in stomatal density in several varieties of grapevine (Moutinho-Pereira et al. 2009, Rogiers

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

et al. 2011, Rangel da Silva et al. 2017). Scaling intrinsic water use efficiency to the whole plant level will require documenting changes in microclimate as well as morphology, such as stomatal density and leaf area (Medrano et al. 2015).

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

Further complicating predictions of WUE, combination studies of either elevated temperature and/or reduced soil water availability with elevated CO₂ reveals synergistic effects. In an open top chamber (OTC) study, combining temperature and CO2 did not result in gs being significantly reduced, contrary to results of elevated CO₂ alone (Edwards et al. 2017). When latent heat is trapped, overheating subsequently decreases the activity of RUBISCO activase, for most plants at temperatures higher than 37°C (Crafts-Brandner and Salvucci 2000), and in grapevine between 35-40°C, varying by species (Luo et al. 2011, Salazar-Parra et al. 2012). The elevated CO₂ and temperature treatments showed an increase in transpiration (Edwards et al. 2016), and the effects of drought were only temporarily delayed (Rangel da Silva et al. 2017). Temperature and elevated CO₂ had an additive effect on plant leaf area for multiple grapevine clones (Arrizabalaga-Arriazu et al. 2020), highlighting that overall higher leaf area without increased WUE could be detrimental for heat stressed vines. Measurements of predawn water potential were more negative in vines at elevated CO₂, indicating the demand for soil water availability of vines with increased productivity (Wohlfahrt et al. 2018). Notedly, the production of fine roots was positively impacted by an elevated CO₂ treatment, which would theoretically increase water absorption of water available (Reddy et al. 2018).

There remain inconsistent predictions of the effects of elevated CO₂ on grapevine whole plant water use efficiency, which seem to be contingent upon other factors such as soil water availability, temperature, and variety of grapevine. With the evidence from these studies of elevated CO₂ and combination studies of soil water availability and temperature, grapevines most likely will not benefit

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

from a long-term increase in photosynthesis under elevated CO₂. The lack of soil water available and biological temperature thresholds for RUBISCO will limit the gains in photosynthesis, and more likely the vines will struggle to release latent heat as temperatures rise.

Phenology

Grapevine phenology is categorized into four life cycle stages of periodic development: budburst, flowering, veraison, and maturation. The grapevine phenological cycle is a two-year process; bud formation occurs in the first year which develop into shoots in the second year. Therefore, clusters are significantly impacted by the previous year's climate (Vasconcelos et al. 2009). For grapevine grown at elevated CO₂, advances in phenology compound significantly over seasons (Edwards et al. 2017). This is likely the result of stored carbon photosynthate from the productive previous year. As a result, it can take several years to observe the effects of elevated CO₂ on grapevine phenology (Edwards et al. 2017), which leads to the question of: "To what extent does elevated CO₂ impact the timing of phenological stages over the long-term?"

Studies of Arabidopsis, another C3 flowering plant, provide insight to the mechanisms of phenological changes observed in grapevine. Excess carbohydrates may act similarly to phytohormones to delay the upregulation of genes involved in flowering time, as well as cell wall invertases in the meristem that downregulate photosynthesis under treatments of elevated CO₂, which leads to earlier flowering (Springer and Ward 2007). For grapevine, it is possible that excess photosynthate could trigger early flowering through the transfer of carbohydrates from leaves. One of the most robust findings to support this hypothesis is that growth under elevated CO₂ results in increased carbohydrate reserves in plants (Kizildeniz et al. 2021).

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

The sugars produced by photosynthesis contribute only a fraction of the source of carbon needed for rapid growth and development from budbreak to flowering and sugar accumulation in berries at veraison, the remaining needed for these growth spurts is mobilized from long-term storage of total nonstructural carbohydrates (TNC) in trunks and roots (Zufferey et al. 2012). Over several growing seasons, storage of carbohydrates in the trunk will be impacted by elevated CO₂ (Lebon et al. 2008) and could therefore contribute to shifts in phenology. In a greenhouse study of fruiting cuttings where sugar accumulation in berries was measured, elevated CO₂ increased the rate of ripening correlated with the photosynthetic rate (and was only slightly mediated by UV-B treatments) (Martínez-Lüscher et al. 2015). The effect of elevated CO₂ on phenology was greater than the treatment of temperature elevated by 4°C (Martínez-Lüscher et al. 2016b). Therefore, an increase in total nonstructural carbohydrates could be a driver of advances in phenology long term, on its own, as well as with concomitant increases in growing season temperatures.

Carbohydrate reserves regulate the growth and differentiation of flowers, which only occurs after the grapevine shoot is resource independent from the rest of the vine (Lebon et al. 2008, Vasconcelos et al. 2009). These findings suggest that with an increase in carbon reserves stored as starch in roots, trunks and canes, second season shoots may grow faster and achieve independence earlier in the growing season. This could contribute to early flowering as a result of lifted competition for resources between vegetative and reproductive growth. In contrast, long-term studies in grapevine decreasing the leaf to fruit ratios (measured as light-exposed leaf area to fruit) decreased essential reserves of the TNC in the roots (Zufferey et al. 2012). The well-known viticultural technique of strategic leaf removal has been shown to delay maturation, highlighting the importance of carbon availability for phenological development (Poni et al. 2006, Parker 2012, Parker et al. 2014).

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

production.

While the mechanism for phenological shifts in grapevine grown under elevated CO₂ is understudied, these shifts have been quantified using FACE experiments. The combination of elevated CO₂ and temperature in open top field chambers caused an advance in flowering time by three days and veraison by two weeks (Edwards et al. 2016). The impact of elevated CO₂ on phenological timing is greatest during the period between fruit set to veraison and this impact increases when combined with a temperature treatment (Martínez-Lüscher et al. 2016a, Arrizabalaga-Arriazu et al. 2020). During fruit set, elevated CO₂ treatments with and without temperature treatments increased total soluble solids (hastening maturation), as well as decreased anthocyanins and malic acid concentration, which would contribute to an earlier veraison and harvest (Salazar-Parra et al. 2010). However, the impact of high temperature may have a greater impact on this phenological period (Arrizabalaga-Arriazu et al. 2020). The quality of fruit harvested is the utmost concern when considering advanced phenology. Grapevines vulnerable to frost damage will suffer from early budburst, with subsequent losses in yield (Fraga et al. 2016). One consequence of increased shoot vigor at elevated CO₂ is the expected increase in bud fertility, which will likely increase the number of flowers per vine (Figure 1) (Delrot et al. 2020, Bindi et al. 2001). Changes in cluster density and phenological timing impact the carefully articulated annual harvest. Unbalanced sugar/acid ratios resulting from early harvest decrease the quality of grapes and wine produced, discussed further in the "Berry and Wine Chemistry" section below (Jones et al. 2005, Jones 2013). Shifting the lifecycle of grapevine will have a global impact on winegrape

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

Berry and wine chemistry

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

Fruit composition is a major area of concern for growers and winemakers alike, especially aromatic compounds. The changes in pest interactions, physiology, and timing of veraison in response to elevated CO₂ will collectively impact the resulting grape and wine quality (Ollat et al. 2017). For successful wines, in the grape berry there is a balance of acid and sugar at harvest. Increasing atmospheric carbon available impacts the balance as ripening advances and sugar accumulation is accelerated (Martínez de Toda et al. 2014). Flavonoids and anthocyanins are important for the flavor, color, and mouthfeel of wine. The molecular analysis from the original Italian FACE experiments showed increases in total flavonoids, total anthocyanins, and total non-anthocyanin flavonoids in the wine produced with carbon enriched grapes grown at 700 mg/L (Bindi et al. 2001), which typically would affect the color and mouthfeel of wine. Interestingly, a subsequent experiment using 500 mg/L CO₂ open top chambers determined there were significant increases in ethyl 2-methylbutyrate (apple), isoamyl acetate (burnt), ethyl hexanoate (apple, pineapple), ethyl octanoate (fruit/fat), butyric acid (rancid), and isovaleric acid (rancid) concentrations and a significant decrease in ethyl acetate (fruity) concentration in wines produced from enriched CO₂ grapes after one year (Goncalves et al. 2008), which contribute to the balance of floral and fruity characteristics in wines (Francis 2012). In the second year they found lower methionol (raw potato), 1-octanol (alcohol), and 4-ethylguaiacol (smoke), and they found higher ethyl lactate (butter) and linalool (floral) concentrations, although these changes in berry chemistry did not appear significantly in the quality of wine produced (Goncalves et al. 2008). Despite the chemical changes in berries at harvest, Goncalves et al. (2008) determined there was not a significant impact on the quality of wine even with the changes in molecular components of the juices, similar to the early studies led by Bindi et al. (2001) (Table 1).

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

Although the changes observed in compounds contributing to flavor have been noted as so far insignificant for quality, a major concern for winemakers is the increase in alcohol content resulting from an increase in sugar concentrations in berries, as a result of higher CO₂ concentrations (Van Leeuwen and Darriet 2016, Teslić et al. 2018, Delrot et al. 2020, Ubeda et al. 2020). In the past, winemakers have added sugar to the fermentation to increase the final alcohol percentage (chaptalization where legal), depending on legal regulations for winemaking. However, in recent years winemakers have begun removing sugar through processes like reverse osmosis in order to prevent alcohol levels from rising (Christmann et al. 2017, Delrot et al. 2020). Overall, elevated CO₂ is altering the balance of sugar accumulation, the levels tartaric and malic acids in berries and wine, and the impact on wine quality continues to be investigated (Table 1) (Gonçalves et al. 2008, Pons et al. 2017).

The most recent FACE studies on grapes continue to evaluate the berry chemistry and quality developing over years of exposure to elevated CO₂. The GrapeFACE in Germany analyzed must from grapes after pressing and did not find a significant increase in sugar content from conditions of carbon enrichment (Wohlfahrt et al. 2018). The Gonçalves (2008) study also concluded that changes in water availability and heat stress could change their predictions in wine quality. We should expect that with the shifts in phenology and physiological changes to berries, early harvest will impact the quality of grapes in terms of reaching maturation too quickly (Martínez-Lüscher et al. 2016a). Viticulturists could also anticipate altered physiological demands to have long-term impacts on berry quality (Pons et al. 2017).

Pest and disease pressure

In contrast to the ecological pressures discussed above, the rates of some fungal infections may be reduced in elevated CO₂ scenarios. With higher carbon allocation to roots, grapevine mycorrhizal colonization may be promoted by elevated CO₂ (Torres et al. 2018), which has been shown to protect

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

grapevine against the nematode *Xiphinema index* by stimulating defense gene response (Hao et al. 2012). A study of elevated CO₂ on several varieties of grapevine seedlings showed a reduced severity of the infection of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv *viticola*, a vector of bacterial canker in immature grapevine (Table 1) (Conceição et al. 2017). This may be the result of lower stomatal conductance (gs); with stomatal aperture reduced, there is less opportunity for bacteria to invade the leaf pores (Conceição et al. 2017, Kizildeniz et al. 2018). Also, researchers recorded a reduced instance and severity of powdery mildew infection in cv Barbera, at elevated CO₂ (Table 1) (Pugliese et al. 2010). The Geisenheim GrapeFACE site recorded changes in the bunch architecture but did not see an increase in the frequency of B. cinera, botrytis bunch rot, a necrotrophic fungus, occurrence (Wohlfahrt et al. 2018). Changes in leaf chemistry phenotype, specifically carbon content, (e.g. higher soluble carbohydrates due to higher carbon dioxide levels), will increase the pressure of grapevine pests in future climates. Increasing available carbon dioxide, without a concomitant increase in nutrient levels in the soil, leads to an increase in C:N ratios in leaves (Figure 1) (Hunter 2001, Ainsworth and Long 2004, Moutinho-Pereira et al. 2009, Arrizabalaga-Arriazu et al. 2020, Kizildeniz et al. 2021). Insects consume at higher rates when nitrogen has been diluted to meet their nitrogen intake needs and chewing insect pests will generally eat more leaf tissue in elevated carbon dioxide scenarios (Hunter 2001). Elevated CO₂ increased individual survival rates and increased the fecundity of female mealybugs, which eat phloem of grapevine damaging the temporal and perennial plant tissue (Bordeu et al. 2012, Schulze-Sylvester and Reineke 2019, Schulze-Sylvester, Corronca and Paris 2021). The European grapevine moth, Lobesia botrana, is a major problem for European vineyards, affecting both the berries and flowers of grapevines; and has already invaded North and South American vineyards (Reineke and

Selim 2019). L. botrana is also responsible for spreading Ochratoxin A-producing Aspergillus fungi,

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

which typically spikes in occurrence during hotter and drier years (Mondani et al. 2020). At higher temperatures simulating future climate conditions, *L. botrana* female growth rate and pupal mass increased (Iltis et al. 2018), while researchers found a down regulation of expression of ethyleneresponsive factors, which suggests grapevines can become more vulnerable to herbivory or abiotic stress under future climate change as these are the major stress and defense response factors (Reineke and Selim 2019).

A comprehensive study of soil and elevated CO₂ showed the decomposition pathway is altered by the carbon-, nitrogen-, and phosphorus-acquiring enzymes in the soil with a significant increase in nematode density (Thakur et al. 2019). More than 4,000 plant-parasitic nematodes exist, posing a well-known global issue for grapevine, reducing total crop production by 8.8-14.6%, and one of the worst threats from the nematode *Xiphinema index* is GLRV (Grapevine Leaf Roll Virus) (Andret-Link et al. 2017). Under elevated CO₂ conditions, if ethylene is suppressed and salicylic acid is increased, it is likely that grapevine will struggle with an increase in pest and disease vectors such as nematodes and fungi (Reineke and Selim 2019). Grapevines largely rely on human intervention for defense against pests and diseases (Pertot et al. 2017), and this reliance could increase in future climates. Consider the grapevine "immune system" as weakened in terms of chemical defense, but some altered carbon dynamics under elevated CO₂ may be beneficial for reducing severity of pest pressure.

304 Discussion

An anticipated management solution to phenological shifts is planting later ripening and stress tolerant alternative varieties. Government response to climate change will determine the actions European growers are allowed to take to adapt to climate change, considering the current trials of alternative varieties

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

planted in small diversity blocks in France as a positive example (Morales-Castilla et al. 2020). Ancient varieties being tested in temperature gradient greenhouses in Spain for response to combination stresses of drought, heat, and elevated CO₂ showed greater resiliency to stress and did not shift phenological timing, although this was a short-term experiment (Antolín et al. 2021, Goicoechea et al. 2021). In some cases, alternative varieties may be hybrid crosses between existing cultivars and later ripening varieties. However, hypothetical crosses between very late ripening varieties were modelled and still struggle to be late-ripening enough to endure the predicted 23-day shift and potential increase of 7°C expected by the end of this century for major wine grape growing areas (Duchêne et al. 2010). Alternative varieties can be identified by oenological and ecological principals that make them suitable candidates for replacing existing cultivars, such as flavor profile and ability to survive long term through stressful climate change conditions (Antolín et al. 2021, Goicoechea et al. 2021). The challenge of adapting new varieties is highlighted by current popular varieties struggling with increases in growing season temperatures (Jones 2021), however a combination of diversity block trials and greenhouse experiments will guide predictions of the best alternatives (Wolkovich et al. 2018).

Our present knowledge of grapevine climate niches is limited relative to the vast diversity of cultivars (Duchêne et al. 2010). With California as an example, there are many potential late ripening varieties suitable as alternatives to early ripening Chardonnay that have yet to be tested in diversity blocks (Wolkovich et al. 2018). Even clones can have a varied response to climate change variables (Arrizabalaga-Arriazu et al. 2020). Varieties with heat and drought tolerance traits are a starting point for elevated CO₂ studies, as we expand from understanding the mechanisms of change into exploring mitigation strategies. Exploring the vast diversity of grapevine using diversity plots is a straightforward

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

ecological approach, which could be enhanced by evaluating the success of plants under several biotic 329 330 and abiotic stresses predicted for the future. Many studies on the impacts of leaf removal suggest that manipulating canopy cover is an effective 331 way to mitigate phenological shifts caused by climate change (Martínez de Toda et al. 2014, Parker 2012). 332 333 Leaf removal at pre-bloom positively influences cell division in inflorescence, by reducing sugar transport and decreasing flower fertility, which mitigates cluster compactness (Lebon et al. 2004, VanderWeide et 334 al. 2020). Not only can leaf removal aid in delaying phenology, but other positive impacts also include 335 336 increasing acid to sugar ratio at harvest, increasing production of anthocyanins and flavonoids, and decreasing incidence of bunch rot disease (Kliewer and Smart 1989, Martínez de Toda et al. 2014, 337 VanderWeide et al. 2020). 338 Ecologists generally study a system's responses and interactions, and viticulturists need this system 339 perspective for the challenges presented by climate change. Our understanding of the effects of elevated 340 CO₂ on the vineyard system is profoundly complicated by the interactive effects of other biotic and abiotic 341 stressors. From an ecological perspective, long-term FACE studies are the most realistic predictors of 342 response to elevated CO₂. Advocating for long-term agroecological studies is necessary to evaluate the 343 top-down and bottom-up impacts of higher carbon availability on pest/disease interactions, grapevine 344 growth and phenology dynamics, and the resulting quality of wine produced. 345 Grapevine physiology will be impacted by elevated carbon dioxide, increasing temperatures, and 346 extreme heat events during the growing season (De Cortázar-Atauri et al. 2017, Ugaglia et al. 2019). 347 FACE experiments highlight the necessity of water availability for grapevines to take advantage of 348 increased carbon dioxide for productivity. Soil water availability impacts the opening of stomata, and in 349 the case of GrapeFACE, the vines had increased g_s with more CO₂ available (Wohlfahrt et al. 2018).

350

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

Grapevines may need more water under future climate conditions of elevated CO₂ and temperature, while precipitation is expected to decrease in most of the wine growing regions of the world. Desiccation threatens vines through water loss from latent cooling under elevated temperature, resulting in higher cumulative water loss even when operating at higher water use efficiency. The modulating response of stomata documented across literature is dependent on the soil water availability and temperature regimes (Arrizabalaga-Arriazu et al. 2020). In this synthesis, the varying levels of CO₂, ambient temperatures, and duration of these experiments could have contributed to these contrasting results of stomatal behavior, as well as the conditions of the chambers and greenhouses, versus FACE infrastructure. Physiological response to abiotic stresses in future climate change conditions is likely to weaken grapevine, creating a vulnerability for biotic stresses such as pests. Overall, chewing pest pressure is anticipated to increase as carbon dioxide and temperature increase (Reineke and Selim 2019). It is unknown whether pest pressure can be compensated by the predicted increase in foliar growth and the effect of lower nutrient density on the populations of pests. The growing season for grapes may require drastic changes in viticultural practices to manage pests, alleviate heat and drought stress, and predict harvest dates. Fungal infections are responsible for the lion's share of crop damage, with most of the elevated CO₂ studies focusing on yield, it is critical to gain more insight into the response of specific fungal pressures will decrease in the future. One of the biggest challenges for grape growers will be the shifts in phenological timing, with the potential for frost at early budbreak, alterations in cluster formation and density, and compromising harvest with early maturation. Many of the short-term experiments described here did not find significant effects on phenology and yield, while long term studies account for acclimation and

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

compounding effects of seasonal exposure to elevated carbon dioxide. Predictions of overall vineyard response to climate change are more accurate when experiments are field based, multi-seasonal, and combine the variables of water availability and temperature.

376 Conclusion

373

374

375

377

378

379

380

381

382

A combination of the impacts of pest pressure, phenology, and physiology predict a much different future environment for growing grapes. Elevated carbon dioxide is a pervasive threat to the vineyard system because it fuels undesirable growth. Grapevine will sustain the impacts of elevated carbon dioxide for generations, as a perennial crop with a rich memory and sensitive expression of climate. We can strengthen the vineyard system by introducing more diverse cultivars, with an ideal candidate fitting the profile of heat and drought tolerant, late ripening, with strong pest resistance.

383 Literature Cited

- Ainsworth EA, Davey PA, Bernacchi CJ, Dermody OC, Heaton EA, Moore DJ, Morgan PB, Naidu SL,
- Yoo Ra HS, Zhu XG and Curtis PS. 2002. A meta-analysis of elevated CO₂ effects on soybean (*Glycine*
- max) physiology, growth and yield. Glob Chang Biol 8: 695-709.
- Ainsworth EA and Long SP. 2004. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment
- 388 (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant
- production to rising CO₂. New Phytol 165: 351-372.
- Ainsworth EA and Rogers A. 2007. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising
- [CO₂]: mechanisms and environmental interactions. Plant Cell Environ 3:258-70.
- Andret-Link P, Marmonier A, Belval L, Hleibieh K, Ritzenthaler C and Demangeat G. 2017. Ectoparasitic
- 393 nematode vectors of grapevine viruses. In Grapevine Viruses: Molecular Biology, Diagnostics and
- Management. Pp. 505-529. Springer, Cham.
- 395 Antolín MC, Toledo M, Pascual I, Irigoyen JJ, Goicoechea N. 2021. The exploitation of local Vitis vinifera
- L. biodiversity as a valuable tool to cope with climate change maintaining berry quality. Plants 10: 71.

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

- 397 Arrizabalaga-Arriazu M, Morales F, Irigoyen JJ, Hilbert G, Pascual I. 2020. Growth performance and
- carbon partitioning of grapevine Tempranillo clones under simulated climate change scenarios: Elevated
- 399 CO₂ and temperature. J Plant Physiol: 153-226.
- Bertamini M, Faralli M, Varotto C, Grando MS, and Cappellin L. 2021. Leaf Monoterpene Emission
- Limits Photosynthetic Downregulation under Heat Stress in Field-Grown Grapevine. Plants 10: 181.
- 402 Bindi M, Fibbi L and Miglietta F. 2001. Free Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE) of grapevine (Vitis vinifera
- 403 L.): II. Growth and quality of grape and wine in response to elevated CO₂ concentrations. Eur J Agron
- 404 14: 145-155.
- Bordeu E, Troncoso DO, Zaviezo T. 2012. Influence of mealybug (*Pseudococcus* spp.)-infested bunches
- on wine quality in Carmenere and Chardonnay grapes. Int J Food Sci Tech 47:232–239.
- Bowes G. 1993. Facing the inevitable plants and increasing atmospheric CO₂. Annu Rev Plant Physiol
- 408 44: 309–332.
- 409 Christmann M, Schmitt M, and Pasch L. 2017. Managing climate change: Optimising cool climate wine
- styles: Impact of dramatic climatic change on traditional viticultural and oenological practices. Wine
- 411 Viti J 32: 20-22.
- 412 Conceição JL, Angelotti F, Peixoto AR and Ghini R. 2017. Infection by *Xanthomonas campestris* pv.
- viticola under temperature increase and carbon dioxide concentrations. Com Sci 8:214-20.
- 414 Crafts-Brandner SJ and Salvucci ME. 2000. Rubisco activase constrains the photosynthetic potential of
- leaves at high temperature and CO₂. Proc Natl Acad Sci 97: 13430-13435.
- De Cortázar-Atauri IG, Duchêne E, Destrac-Irvine A, Barbeau G, De Rességuier L, Lacombe T, Parker
- A, Saurin N and Van Leeuwen C. 2017. Grapevine phenology in France: from past observations to
- future evolutions in the context of climate change. OENO One 51:115-126.
- Delrot S, Grimplet J, Carbonell-Bejerano P, Schwandner A, Bert PF, Bavaresco L, Dalla Costa L, Di
- Gaspero G, Duchêne E, Hausmann L and Malnoy M. 2020. Genetic and Genomic Approaches for
- 421 Adaptation of Grapevine to Climate Change. Genomic Designing Climate-Smart Fruit Crops: 157-270.
- Duchêne E, Huard F, Dumas V, Schneider C and Merdinoglu D. 2010. The challenge of adapting
- grapevine varieties to climate change. Clim Res 41:193-204.

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

- Edwards EJ, Unwin DJ, Sommer KJ, Downey MO and Mollah M. 2016. The response of commercially
- managed, field grown, grapevines (Vitis vinifera L.) to a simulated future climate consisting of elevated
- 426 CO₂ in combination with elevated air temperature. Acta Hortic: 103-110.
- Edwards E, Unwin D, Kilmister R and Treeby M. 2017. Multi-seasonal effects of warming and elevated
- 428 CO₂ on the physiology, growth and production of mature, field grown, Shiraz grapevines. OENO One
- 429 51: 127-132.
- Faralli M, Grove IG, Hare MC, Kettlewell PS, Fiorani F. 2017. Rising CO₂ from historical
- concentrations enhances the physiological performance of *Brassica napus* seedlings under optimal water
- supply but not under reduced water availability. Plant Cell Environ 40:317-25.
- Fraga H, De Cortázar Atauri IG, Malheiro AC and Santos JA. 2016. Modelling climate change impacts
- on viticultural yield, phenology and stress conditions in Europe. Glob Chang Biol 22: 3774-3788.
- Francis L. 2012. Fermentation-derived aroma compounds and grape-derived monoterpenes. Appl
- 436 Microbiol Biotechnol 96:601-618.
- Galat Giorgi E, Sadras VO, Keller M and Perez Peña J. 2019. Interactive effects of high temperature and
- water deficit on Malbec grapevines. Aust J Grape Wine Res 25: 345-356.
- 439 Goicoechea N, Jiménez L, Prieto E, Gogorcena Y, Pascual I, Irigoyen JJ and Antolín MC. 2021.
- 440 Assessment of Nutritional and Quality Properties of Leaves and Musts in Three Local Spanish
- Grapevine Varieties Undergoing Controlled Climate Change Scenarios. Plants 10: 1198.
- Gonçalves B, Falco V, Moutinho-Pereira J, Bacelar E, Peixoto F and Correia C. 2008. Effects of
- elevated CO₂ on grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.): volatile composition, phenolic content, and in vitro
- antioxidant activity of red wine. J Ag Food Chem 57: 265-273.
- Gray SB, Dermody O, Klein SP, Locke AM, Mcgrath JM, Paul RE, Rosenthal DM, Ruiz-Vera UM,
- Siebers MH, Strellner R, Ainsworth EA. 2016. Intensifying drought eliminates the expected benefits of
- elevated carbon dioxide for soybean. Nature Plants 9:1-8.
- Hunter MD. 2001. Effects of elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide on insect–plant interactions. Agric
- 449 For Entomol 3: 153-159.
- 450 Iltis C, Martel G, Thiéry D, Moreau J and Louâpre P. 2018. When warmer means weaker: high
- temperatures reduce behavioural and immune defenses of the larvae of a major grapevine pest. J Pest Sci
- 452 91: 1315-1326.

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2014. Climate change 2014: Synthesis report:
- 454 Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental
- 455 Panel on Climate Change.
- Jones GV. 2013. Winegrape phenology. In Phenology: An integrative environmental science. Springer,
- 457 Dordrecht: 563-584
- Jones GV. 2021. Wine Production and Climate Change. In World Scientific Encyclopedia of Climate
- Change: Case Studies of Climate Risk, Action, and Opportunity Volume 2: pp. 177-184.
- Jones GV, White MA, Cooper OR and Storchmann K. 2005. Climate change and global wine quality.
- 461 Climatic Change 73:319-343.
- Kizildeniz T, Mekni I, Santesteban H, Pascual I, Morales F and Irigoyen JJ. 2015. Effects of climate
- change including elevated CO₂ concentrations, temperature and water deficit on growth, water status,
- and yield quality of grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cultivars. Agr Water Manage 159:155 164.
- Kizildeniz T, Irigoyen JJ, Pascual I and Morales F. 2018. Simulating the impact of climate change
- 466 (elevated CO₂ and temperature, and water deficit) on the growth of red and white Tempranillo grapevine
- in three consecutive growing seasons (2013–2015). Agr Water Manage 202: 220-230.
- 468 Kizildeniz T, Pascual I, Irigoven JJ and Morales F. 2021. Future CO₂, warming and water deficit impact
- white and red Tempranillo grapevine: Photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂ and biomass
- 470 allocation. Physiol Plant.
- Kliewer W and Smart R. 1989. Canopy manipulation for optimizing vine microclimate, crop yield and
- composition of grapes C.J. Wright (Ed.), Manipulation of Fruiting, Butterworth & Co. Publishers. 275-
- 473 291.
- Leakey AD, Ainsworth EA, Bernacchi CJ, Rogers A, Long SP and Ort DR. 2009. Elevated CO₂ effects
- on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons from FACE. J Exp Bot :2859-76.
- Lebon G, Wojnarowiez G, Holzapfel B, Fontaine F, Vaillant-Gaveau N, Clément C. 2008. Sugars and
- flowering in the grapevine (*Vitis vinifera L.*). J Exp Bot 59:2565-2578.
- Li YM, Forney C, Bondada B, Leng F and Xie ZS. 2020. The Molecular Regulation of Carbon Sink
- Strength in Grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.). Front Plant Sci:11.

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

- Lobell DB, Field CB, Cahill KN and Bonfils C. 2006. Impacts of future climate change on California
- perennial crop yields: Model projections with climate and crop uncertainties. Agric For Meteorol 141:
- 482 208-218.
- Long SP and Drake BG. 1992. Photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation and rising atmospheric CO₂
- 484 concentrations. Crop Photosynthesis: spatial and temporal determinants. Elsevier: 69 101.
- Luo Y, Field CB and Mooney HA. 1994. Predicting responses of photosynthesis and root fraction to
- elevated CO₂: interactions among carbon, nitrogen and growth. Plant Cell Enviro 17: 1195–1204.
- Luo HB, Ma L, Xi HF, Duan W, Li SH, Loescher W, Wang JF, Wang LJ. 2011. Photosynthetic
- responses to heat treatments at different temperatures and following recovery in grapevine (Vitis
- 489 amurensis L.) leaves. PLoS One: 23-33.
- 490 Mariani L, Cola G, Maghradze D, Failla O and Zavatti F. 2018. Influence of climate cycles on grapevine
- domestication and ancient migrations in Eurasia. Sci Total Enviro 635:1240-1254.
- Martínez-Lüscher J, Morales F, Sánchez-Díaz M, Delrot S, Aguirreolea J, Gomès E, Pascual I. 2015.
- Climate change conditions (elevated CO₂ and temperature) and UV-B radiation affect grapevine (Vitis
- 494 *vinifera* cv. Tempranillo) leaf carbon assimilation, altering fruit ripening rates. Plant Science 236:168-
- 495 76.
- 496 Martínez-Lüscher J, Kizildeniz T, Vučetić V, Dai Z, Luedeling E, van Leeuwen C, Gomès E, Pascual I,
- 497 Irigoyen JJ, Morales F and Delrot S. 2016b. Sensitivity of grapevine phenology to water availability,
- 498 temperature and CO₂ concentration. Front Enviro Science 4:48.
- Martínez-Lüscher J, Sánchez-Díaz M, Delrot S, Aguirreolea J, Pascual I and Gomès E. 2016a.
- 500 Ultraviolet-B alleviates the uncoupling effect of elevated CO₂ and increased temperature on grape berry
- 501 (Vitis vinifera cv. Tempranillo) anthocyanin and sugar accumulation. Aust J Grape Wine Res 22: 87–95.
- Martínez de Toda F, Sancha JC, Zheng W and Balda P. 2014. Leaf area reduction by trimming, a
- growing technique to restore the anthocyanins: sugars ratio decoupled by the warming climate. Vitis
- 504 53:189–192.
- Medrano H, Tomás M, Martorell S, Flexas J, Hernández E, Rosselló J, Pou A, Escalona JM, Bota J.
- 506 2015. From leaf to whole-plant water use efficiency (WUE) in complex canopies: Limitations of leaf
- WUE as a selection target. Crop J. 3:220-8.

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

- Morales-Castilla I, de Cortázar-Atauri IG, Cook BI, Lacombe T, Parker A, Van Leeuwen C, Nicholas
- 509 KA and Wolkovich EM. 2020. Diversity buffers winegrowing regions from climate change losses. Proc
- 510 Natl Acad Sci.
- Mosedale JR, Abernethy KE, Smart RE, Wilson RJ and Maclean IM. 2016. Climate change impacts and
- adaptive strategies: lessons from the grapevine. Glob Chang Biol 22:3814-3828.
- Moutinho-Pereira J, Alves BG, Bacelar E, Cunha JB, Couro J and Correia CM. 2009. Effects of elevated
- 514 CO₂, on grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.): Physiological and yield attributes. Vitis 48: 159-165.
- Ollat N, Van Leeuwen C, de Cortazar Atauri IG and Touzard JM. 2017. The challenging issue of
- climate change for sustainable grape and wine production. OENO One 51:59-60.
- Owens CL. 2008. Grapes. Temperate Fruit Crop Breeding. pp. 197-233 Springer, Dordrecht.
- Parker A. 2012. Modelling phenology and maturation of the grapevine *Vitis vinifera L*.: varietal
- differences and the role of leaf area to fruit weight ratio manipulations (Doctoral dissertation, Lincoln
- 520 University).
- Parker AK, Hofmann RW, van Leeuwen C, McLachlan ARG and Trought MCT. 2014. Leaf area to fruit
- 522 mass ratio determines the time of veraison in Sauvignon Blanc and Pinot Noir grapevines. Aust J Grape
- 523 Wine Res 20: 422–431.
- Parker LE, McElrone AJ, Ostoja SM and Forrestel EJ. 2020. Extreme heat effects on perennial crops
- and strategies for sustaining future production. Plant Sci 295: 110397.
- Paudel I, Halpern M, Wagner Y, Raveh E, Yermiyahu U, Hoch G and Klein T. 2018. Elevated CO₂
- 527 compensates for drought effects in lemon saplings via stomatal downregulation, increased soil moisture,
- and increased wood carbon storage. Enviro Exp Bot 148:117-127.
- Pearson PN and Palmer MR. 2000. Atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations over the past 60 million
- 530 years. Nature: 695.
- Pertot I, Caffi T, Rossi V, Mugnai L, Hoffmann C, Grando MS, Gary C, Lafond D, Duso C, Thiery D
- and Mazzoni V. 2017. A critical review of plant protection tools for reducing pesticide use on grapevine
- and new perspectives for the implementation of IPM in viticulture. Crop Prot 97: 70-84.
- Poni S, Casalini L, Bernizzoni F, Civardi S. and Intrieri C. 2006. Effects of early defoliation on shoot
- photosynthesis, yield components, and grape composition. Am J Enol Vitic 57: 397-407.

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

- Pons A, Allamy L, Schüttler A, Rauhut D, Thibon C and Darriet P. 2017. What is the expected impact
- of climate change on wine aroma compounds and their precursors in grape? OENO One 51: 141-146.
- Ponti L, Gutierrez AP, Boggia A and Neteler M, 2018. Analysis of grape production in the face of
- climate change. Climate 6: 20.
- Pugliese MA, Gullino ML, Garibaldil A. 2010. Effects of elevated CO₂ and temperature on interactions
- of grapevine and powdery mildew: first results under phytotron conditions. J Plant Dis Prot117:9-14.
- Rangel da Silva J, Patterson AE, Rodriguez WP, Campostrini E, and Griffin KL. 2017. Photosynthetic
- acclimation to CO₂ combined with partial root-zone drying results in improved water use efficiency,
- drought tolerance, and leaf carbon balance of grapevines (*Vitis labrusca*). Environ Exp Bot 134:82–95.
- Reddy LS, Reddy AGK, Vanaja M, Maruthi V and Latha KV. 2018. Effect of elevated CO₂ and
- 546 temperature on root length and root diameter of cuttings of grape varieties under face and fate facilities.
- 547 Plant Arch 18:661-664.
- Reineke A and Selim M. 2019. Elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations alter grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*)
- 549 systemic transcriptional response to European grapevine moth (*Lobesia botrana*) herbivory. Sci Rep
- 550 9:1-12.
- Rogiers SY, Hardie WJ and Smith JP. 2011. Stomatal density of grapevine leaves (Vitis vinifera L.)
- responds to soil temperature and atmospheric carbon dioxide. Aust J Grape Wine Res 17:147–152.
- Salazar-Parra CS, Aguirreolea J, Sánchez-Díaz M, Irigoyen JJ and Morales F. 2010. Effects of climate
- change scenarios on Tempranillo grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) ripening: response to a combination of
- elevated CO₂ and temperature, and moderate drought. Plant Soil 337: 179-191.
- Salazar-Parra C, Aguirreolea J, Sanchez-Diaz M, Irigoyen JJ and Morales F. 2012. Photosynthetic
- response of Tempranillo grapevine to climate change scenarios. Annals Appl Bio 161: 277 292.
- Salazar-Parra C, Aranjuelo I, Pascual I, Erice G, Sanz-Saenz A, Aguirreolea J, Sanchez-Diaz M,
- Irigoyen JJ and Morales F. 2015. Carbon balance, partitioning and photosynthetic acclimation in fruit-
- bearing grapevine (Vitis vinifera L. cv. Tempranillo) grown under simulated climate change (elevated
- 561 CO₂, elevated temperature, and moderate drought) scenarios in temperature gradient greenhouses. J
- 562 Plant Physiol 174:97 109.
- Santos JA, Fraga H, Malheiro AC, Moutinho-Pereira J, Dinis LT, Correia C, Moriondo M, Leolini L,
- Dibari C, Costafreda-Aumedes S and Kartschall T. 2020. A review of the potential climate change
- impacts and adaptation options for European viticulture. Appl Sci 10:3092.

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

- Schulze-Sylvester M, Corronca JA and Paris CI. 2021. Vine mealybugs disrupt biomass allocation in
- 567 grapevine. OENO One 55:93-103.
- Schulze-Sylvester M and Reineke A. 2019. Elevated CO₂ levels impact fitness traits of vine mealybug
- 569 Planococcus ficus Signoret, but not its parasitoid Leptomastix dactylopii Howard. Agronomy 9: 326.
- 570 Springer CJ and Ward JK. 2007. Flowering time and elevated atmospheric CO₂. New Phytol 176:243-
- 571 255.
- 572 Thakur MP, Del Real IM, Cesarz S, Steinauer K, Reich PB, Hobbie S, Ciobanu M, Rich R, Worm K and
- 573 Eisenhauer N. 2019. Soil microbial, nematode, and enzymatic responses to elevated CO₂, N fertilization,
- warming, and reduced precipitation. Soil Bio Biochem 135:184-193.
- 575 Terral JF, Tabard E, Bouby L, Ivorra S, Pastor T, Figueiral I, Picq S, Chevance JB, Jung C, Fabre I and
- 576 Tardy C. 2009. Evolution and history of grapevine (Vitis vinifera) under domestication: new
- 577 morphometric perspectives to understand seed domestication syndrome and reveal origins of ancient
- European cultivars. Annals Bot 105: 443-455.
- Teslić N, Zinzani G, Parpinello GP and Versari A. 2018. Climate change trends, grape production, and
- 580 potential alcohol concentration in wine from the "Romagna Sangiovese" appellation area (Italy). Theor
- 581 App Climat 131:793-803.
- Torres N, Antolín MC and Goicoechea N. 2018. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis as a promising
- resource for improving berry quality in grapevines under changing environments. Front Plant Sci 9:897.
- Ubeda C, Hornedo-Ortega R, Cerezo AB, Garcia-Parrilla MC and Troncoso AM. 2020. Chemical
- hazards in grapes and wine, climate change and challenges to face. Food Chemistry 314: 126-222.
- Ugaglia AA, Cardebat JM and Jiao L. 2019. The French Wine Industry. The Palgrave Handbook of
- 587 Wine Industry Economics: 17-46.
- Vasconcelos MC, Greven M, Winefield CS, Trought MC and Raw V. 2009. The flowering process of
- *Vitis vinifera*: a review. Am J Enol Vitic 60:411-434.
- VanderWeide J, Gottschalk C, Schultze SR, Nasrollahiazar E, Poni S and Sabbatini P. 2020. Impacts of
- 591 pre-bloom leaf removal on wine grape production and quality parameters: A systematic review and
- meta-analysis. Front Plant Sci: 11.
- Van Leeuwen C and Darriet P. 2016. The impact of climate change on viticulture and wine quality. J
- 594 Wine Econ 11:150-167.

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

- Venios X, Korkas E, Nisiotou A and Banilas G. 2020. Grapevine Responses to Heat Stress and Global
- 596 Warming. Plants 9: 1754.
- 597 Vivier MA and Pretorius IS. 2002. Genetically tailored grapevines for the wine industry. Trends Biot
- 598 20:472-478.
- Weiss E, Kislev ME, Simchoni O and Nadel D. 2004. Small-grained wild grasses as staple food at the
- 23,000-year-old site of Ohalo II, Israel. Econ Bot 58:125-134.
- White MA, Diffenbaugh NS, Jones GV, Pal JS, Giorgi F. 2006. Extreme heat reduces and shifts United
- States premium wine production in the 21st century. Proc Natl Acad Sci 30:17-22.
- Wohlfahrt Y, Tittmann S and Stoll M. 2017. Physiological and yield performance of Vitis vinifera L.
- 604 cvs. (Riesling and Cabernet Sauvignon) under Free Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment (FACE).
- 605 Conference: GiESCO 20th International Meeting 2017, At Mendoza, Argentina.
- Wohlfahrt Y, Smith JP, Tittmann S, Honermeier B and Stoll M. 2018. Primary productivity and
- 607 physiological responses of *Vitis vinifera* L. cvs. under Free Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment (FACE).
- 608 Eur J Agr 101: 149-162.
- Wolkovich EM, de Cortázar-Atauri IG, Morales-Castilla I, Nicholas KA and Lacombe T. 2018. From
- Pinot to Xinomavro in the world's future wine-growing regions. Nat Clim Change 8: 29-37.
- Zufferey V, Murisier F, Vivin P, Belcher S, Lorenzini F, Spring JL and Viret O. 2012. Carbohydrate
- reserves in grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.'Chasselas'): the influence of the leaf to fruit ratio. Vitis 51:103-
- 613 110.

614

615

616

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

Table 1 Studies of carbon enrichment with grapevine, using temperature growth chambers (GC), greenhouse (GH), temperature gradient greenhouses (TGG), open top chambers (OTC) and Free Air Carbon Enrichment (FACE) with significant findings are summarized here. The contrast in results for photosynthetic response is likely due to the duration of the studies and the material used (fruiting cuttings for the Salazar-Parra et al. 2015 study versus field grown vines for Wohlfahrt et al. 2017, 2018). Photosynthesis (A_{net}) increased in response to elevated CO₂ in all of these studies. However, the downstream impact on phenology has unclear results, as the Edwards FACE studies (2016, 2017) showed a significant impact on the timing of veraison, while the more recent temperature gradient greenhouse study by Arrizabalaga-Arriazu et al. 2020 did not. Few studies document long-term impacts on phenology, and there have been no studies in the United States using FACE.

Citation	eCO ₂ levels (mg/L)	Method	Notable Results	Location
Bindi et al. 2001	550 and 700	FACE	 vegetative growth No significant impact on wine quality (20 year old vines)^a 	Italy
Gonçalves et al. 2008	500	OTC	No significant impact on wine quality	Portugal
Moutinho- Pereira et al. 2009	500	OTC	 Net photosynthetic rate (A) ↑ Intrinsic water use efficiency (A/gs) ↑ Leaf thickness ↑ Mg concentration ↑ C/N, K/N and Mg/N ratios ↓ Stomatal density and N concentration 	Portugal
Pugliese et al. 2010	800	GC	 Chlorophyll content ↑ Instance and severity of powdery mildew increased for cv. Moscato ↓ Instance and severity of powdery mildew increased for cv. Barbera 	Italy
Salazar-Parra et al. 2012	700	GH	Reactive Oxygen SpeciesNo significant change in photosynthetic pigments	Spain
Salazar-Parra et al. 2015	700	TGG	■No effect on photosynthetic rates Stomatal conductance and transpiration at 20 days	Spain
Martínez- Lüscher et al. 2015	700	GH	↑ Photosynthesis (as A _{net}) ↑ Dark respiration ↓ Photorespiration ↑ Chlorophyll a and b content ↑ Ripening rates	Spain

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

Martínez- Lüscher et al. 2016a	700	TGG	↑Advanced phenology with and without combination of elevated temperature, with cultivar specific response	Spain
Edwards et al. 2016, 2017	650	ОТС	↑ Anthesis and veraison advanced in the third season ↑ Photosynthesis (as A _{sat})	Australia
Rangel da Silva et al. 2017	800	GC	 ↓ 18% reduction in leaf nitrogen content ↓ 25% reduction in stomatal density ★ Generally increased drought tolerance 	USA
Conceição et al. 2017	770	GC	Decreased infection of bacterial disease of <i>Xanthomonas campestris</i> pv <i>viticola</i>	Brazil
Wohlfahrt et al. 2017, 2018	480 - 500 (+20% ambient)	FACE	 net assimilation rates intrinsic water use efficiency (WUEi) pre-dawn leaf water potential bunch compactness, weight, and length Ethylene signals and ethylene responsive factors 	Germany
Kizildeniz et al. 2018	700	TGG	 ↓ g_s, with additive effect of temperature and drought ↑ Stimulated more vegetative than reproductive growth ► WUE increases did not compensate for water stress 	Spain
Reineke and Selim 2019	500	FACE	 ethylene signalling hormones defensive compounds, including salicylic acid vulnerability to moth <i>L. botrana</i> 	Germany
Arrizabalaga- Arriazu et al. 2020	700	TGG	 Phenology and cluster traits not significantly impacted ↑ Increased leaf area at maturity ↑ Photosynthesis (A_{net}) ↓ Stomatal conductance 	Spain
			 ↑ indicates increase ↓ indicates decrease — indicated no change 	

American Journal of Enology and Viticulture (AJEV). doi: 10.5344/ajev.2021.21029

AJEV Papers in Press are peer-reviewed, accepted articles that have not yet been published in a print issue of the journal or edited or formatted, but may be cited by DOI. The final version may contain substantive or nonsubstantive changes.

Figure 1 At a biophysiological level, elevated CO₂ affects the production and storage of sugars (total non-structural carbohydrates) and the balance of growth. Indirect effects of rising CO₂ levels catalyze top-down effects of increased C:N ratios with subsequent increases in herbivory. Grapevine phenology is a sensitive two-year cycle of growth spurts and acid degradation before harvest, with profound impacts on grape berry quality when the timing is shifted. Intrinsic water use efficiency at the leaf level increases as stomatal conductance decreases and more carbon is available per water molecule lost. However, water use efficiency at the whole plant level depends on soil water available, which will vary depending on microclimate and future climate conditions.

