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## PREFACE

This report was prepared under contract (81-ABC-00267) by A. Lono Lyman, Inc. for Hawaii Opinion, Inc. of Honolulu, Hawaii. The purpose of the project was to present an economic analysis of the Hawaii longline and handline fishing fleets based on survey data provided by Hawaii Opinion (Southwest Fisheries Center Administrative Report H-84-7C).

The data utilized in this analysis represent one point in time, the 1981 fishing year. The economic analysis was limited by three significant constraints: Reluctance on the part of commercial fishers to reveal sales revenue information, difficulties in identifying components of the longline and handline fleets, and a lack of historical time-series information on the economic performance of these fleets. The latter limitation is mediated by previous work done on the longline fleet (cf., Ashan, Ba11, and Davidson, Costs and earnings of tuna vessels in Hawaii, University of Hawaii Sea Grant Report AR-72-01, 1972) and by a recent paper on Hawaii's Northwestern Hawaiian Islands bottom fish handline fishery (cf., Hau, Economic analysis of deep bottomfishing in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, University of Hawaii Sea Grant Report MR-84-01, 1984). Nonetheless, the data and the analysis contained in this report should be used with care.

Despite these limitations, the economic and financial analysis provides the most recent baseline from which to evaluate fisheries development and management decisions, and from which to extend the information required for more precise analysis. As a contract report, the statements, findings, conclusions, and recommendations cointained in this report are those of the contractors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Marine Fisheries Service.

Samuel G. Pooley
Industry Economist
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## I - INTRODUCTION

A. Lono Lyman, Inc. was engaged as a subcontractor by Hawai Opinion to provide financial and economic analysis in conjunction with the cost-earnings study contracted for by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMIFS), OMB number 0648-0117. Hawaii Opinion was the prime contractor for the study responsible for the conduct of the study survey, preparing a computer tape of the survey results, and preparing the final report(s) for the study including incorporation of this narrative material with any material that Hawaii Opinion may add.

The primary responsibility of A. Lono Lyman, Inc. was to establish proto-types based on the cost-earnings data derived from the survey. A secondary area of responsibility was to provide a narrative summary that described the model and its output, to discuss the historical perspective of the longline and handline fisheries and the current status of the fisheries economic performance, and to discuss the potential impacts of a limited number of management and development policies.

## REVISED SCOPE OF REPORT

Hawaii Opinion's proposal to conduct the work had anticipated that one general proto-type would be developed for each of four fisheries involved in the study. These fisheries were: the longline fishery, the palu ahi and the ika-sibi tuna handine fisheries, and the deep sea bottomfish fishery.

Limited response to the survey questions concerning revenues and the failure to explicitly query the palu ahi and the ika-sibi tuna handine fisheries made it necessary to modify both the cost-earnings and policy/management analysis. The need to make these revisions results from several problems beyond our control including the following:
(1) The data sets for both longline and handline fisheries were found to be of little analytical value because very limited revenue data was obtained. For the longline, only two of the interviews had any revenue data. In the case of the handines, less than $50 \%$ of the observations have any sales data, presenting a serious degrees of freedom problem for testing most fishery economic models. It should be noted that the degree of inconsistency and non-response for the handine vessels should not be unexpected. It is almost always difficult to collect economic information relating to parttime or non-commercial activities.
(2) There was no question in the survey instrument that directly relates to distinguishing between the ika-sibi and Paluahi fishing techniques used by tuna handiine fishermen. It had been presumed that the distinction between the two types of tuna fishermen could be determined based on the species of the fish they caught. However, this proved not to be the case.
(3) Additionally, one can only use catch and/or sales data, to the extent it is provided by respondents, to infer which fishermen are primarily involved in the tuna handline fishery and which are primarily involved in the deep sea bottom fishery.

The factors cited above resulted in the need to concentrate on analysis of variable costs per day at sea. The cost-earnings analysis is limited to analysis of the volume weight of fish required to breakeven and to achieve a $25 \%$ return on investment. The analysis of fishery management and development policies, presented in Chapter $V$, focuses on policies related to maintaining sustainable yields, reducing costs, and increasing per unit sales prices.

## REPORT ORGANIZATION

Chapter II of this report briefly discusses the historical perspective of the longline and handine fisheries and the current status of the fisheries' economic performance. Chapters III and IV provides a narrative summary of the financial and economic analysis for the longline and handine survey data, respectively. Chapter $V$ presents a sensitivity analysis and discusses selected management and development policies.

## II - HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

During the 1940 's and through the $1960^{\prime} s, H a w a i{ }^{\prime}$ s fishing industry experienced either losses or no growth in the volume weight of the catch landed. It was not until the early 1970's that a renewed interest in the State's fisheries began to emerge and not until the second half of the seventies that this interest resulted in actual gains in investment, employment, and productivity. The following sections discuss the various fisheries considered in this study.

## LONGLINE FISHERY

The longline fishery, often referred to as the flagline fishery, experienced substantial decline in the number of vessels over the past 30 years. Despite this decline, it appears that the fleet is experiencing renewed growth, given that seven longliners have been added to the fleet since 1969. Additionally, the market remains strong for the longline yellowfin tuna catch, and the development of air-freighted exports of ahi to Japan has increased the demand for, and price of, the longliners' catch.

The longline fishery's main catch are yellowfin and bigeye tuna. The Hawaii Fisheries Development Plan estimates that the potential for yellowfin and bigeye is an additional 11 to 25 million pounds, and that shark and billfish is two to four million pounds. By comparison, in 1976 the estimated catch of these species by longline fishermen was less than one-half million pounds. This suggests that substantial resources remain for further development of the fishery.

Longline fishing occurs at depths of 150 to 300 feet depending on the season and the species. Most fishing takes place within 50 miles of the main Hawaiian Islands, although the larger vessels will occasionally take longer trips to distant grounds.

The longline fleet consists of two relatively distinct segments: single fishery, traditional sampan vessels, and multi fishery vessels which use longline gear in particular fishing activities. Although growth of the latter segment has reportedly been substantial in recent years, the Hawai i Opinion survey, used in this report, concentrated on the traditional sampan vessels.

## PALU AHI AND IKA-SIBI FISHERIES

The palu ahi and ika-sibi fisheries are the primary types of tuna handline fisheries. The number of fishermen involved in both methods of fishing has increased substantially over the last ten years and further expansion could occur in the future. The tuna handine fishermen use relatively small vessels and typically have two or three crew members.

Until recently, relatively few if any fishermen practiced the ancient Hawai ian palu ahi method of handine fishing. By 1979 an estimated 100 full and part time fishermen used the method. The palu ahi technique involves the use of a stone sinker which takes a hook on a line and mashed chum (palu) to a depth of 100 to 150 feet. The stone and chum are then released causing a feeding frenzy among fish in the area and the possibility of a catch.

Similarly, as recently as 1971 there were only three or four vessels using the ika-sibi method. By 1979 , there were an estimated 100 full time ika-sibi vessels with an estimated $80 \%$ based on the island of Hawaii. Very similar to palu ahi, ika-sibi fishermen use a line lowered to depths of about 100 feet. Ikasibi, however, is done at night and the bait is either imported frozen squid or fresh squid caught by using a lighted jig or a gaff. The squid is attached to the hook and dropped to the desired depth.

The primary catch for the tuna handine fisheries is also yellowfin and bigeye tuna. In 1973, the ika-sibi fishery produced landings of 196,00 pounds and by 1975 the catch had increased to 341,000 pounds. By 1979 , the combined palu ahi and ika-sibi catch exceeded one million pounds. The Hawaii Fisheries Development Plan estimates the potential for tuna handine fisheries at three to five million pounds of additional landings.

## DEEP SEA BOTTONFISH FISHERY

There is not much solid data regarding the number of vessels distinctly engaged in bot tomfishing. As many as 1,000 vessels may be identified as handline vessels but many of them are primarily ika-sibi or palu ahi vessels. Like the tuna handine fishery, they are relatively small sized vessels and differ significantly from the larger bottomfish vessels studied by Hau (1983).

Despite the lack of historical data, it is generally regarded that the deep sea bottomfish fishery has grown in the last decade. One factor in the growth is the increased price paid for the bottomfish species. Another factor in the growth is the increased cost of fuel for trolling which has led to fishermen turning from trolling to bottomfishing.

The Hawai Fisheries Development Plan reports that the waters surrounding the larger islands in the Hawaian chain have been fished to a point that either approaches or exceeds the sustainable yield for bottomfish. The Northwestern Hawaian Islands may allow for an expansion of this fishery, but this involves larger-sized vessels than those used in the main island fishery.

The fishing technique used by the deep sea bottom ifh fishermen is very similar to that used by the tuna handine fishermen. Deep sea lines are used with hooks and chum bait. The fishing depths, however, are much greater, ranging from 180 to 900 feet. The primary catch of the deep sea fisheries is opakapaka, onaga, and uku.

## OVERVIEW

The longline fleet survey conducted by Hawaii Opinion interviewed the captains and owners of Oahu based longliners. The sample for the longline survey was provided by NMFS staff. A total of thirty eight vessel names and owners, statewide, represented the universe for the survey. This included both "flagline", part-time, and small scale vessels using modified longline gear. Data collection was conducted from June 30 to July 30 , 1982. A total of thirteen interviews were completed on Oahu. Additional attempts were made to recontact respondents between December 7 and 15,1982 , in those cases where editing revealed incomplete or missing information. Of the remaining twenty five vessels for which surveys were not completed, Hawaii Opinion could not contact twelve during the survey period, and the remaining thirteen were not based on Oahu during the survey period. The longline survey had a poor response rate to questions concerning asset value, financing, revenues, and expenses. Thus our analysis is limited by the reluctance on the part of respondents to provide information. In addition, given that interviews were completed with less than half of the the thirty eight vessels in the longline sample, sampling bias may be reflected in the data that was provided. Our perception is that the vessels sampled comprise the traditional sampan style of longline fishing, and not the newer multi-purpose vessels.

## FLEET DESCRIPTION

Based on the surveys completed, Table III-A summarizes selected attributes of the longline fleet. As indicated, the longline fleet vessels have a mean length of 61 feet and mean net tonnage of 25.5 tons. The mean age of the vessels in mid1982 was fifteen years, indicating that the typical boat was built in the mid-1950s. Of the thirteen interviews completed, respondents indicated that one vessel was built prior to 1940 , and another five were built during the period 1940 to 1949.

## TABLE III-A

Selected Attributes of the Longline Fleet

Length of boat
Net Tonnage
Age of vessel
Year vessel built
61.2 feet
25.50 tons
14.8 years

1956
13

Source: Hawaii Opinion, H-83-11C.

The predominant form of ownership for the longline respondents was that of a sole proprietorship. Of the thirteen longline respondents, eight, representing $62 \%$ of total, operated as sole proprietorships. The remaining five longline respondents were distributed amongst partnership, corporate and other forms of ownership.

## TABLE III-B

Vessel Ownership for Longline Respondents

|  | Respondents <br> Providing <br> Data | Distribution <br> Of Respondents |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sole Proprietorship | 8 | $61.5 \%$ |
| Partnership | 1 | 7.7 |
| Corporation | 2 | 15.4 |
| Other |  | 15.4 |

Source: Hawai i Opinion, $\mathrm{H}-83-11 \mathrm{C}$.

The form of ownership generally affects the method of financing used for the vessels, inasmuch as sole proprietorships and partnerships generally rely on personal and investor financing, respectively. Table III-C indicates that of the thirteen respondents, $62 \%$ indicated that they had used personal funds to finance a portion of the vessel costs, and $85 \%$ had used funds from other investors. Funds derived from a bank loan were used for $46 \%$ of the vessels. Government guaranteed loans were used for only two
vessels representing $15 \%$ of the total. According to Hawai Opinion's summary, the distribution of funds used to finance the cost of a vessel indicates a mean of $47 \%$ of the funds being derived from personal resources and $45 \%$ from loans provided by banks. It is presumed that the remaining $8 \%$ is derived from other investors, government guaranteed loans, and/or other sources.

TABLE II I-C
Method of Financing for Longline Respondents(1)

Personal Funds
Respondents
Providing Data

Distribution Of Respondents

8
$61.5 \%$
Other Investors 11 84.6\%

Bank Loan 6
46 . $2 \%$
Government Guaranteed Loan
2
$15.4 \%$
Other
1
$7.7 \%$
(1) Responses reflect multiple methods of financing.

Source: Hawaii Opinion, H-83-11C.

## PURCHASE PRICE AND ESTIMATE OF CURRENT VALUE OF VESSEL

Table III-D presents a summary of the mean purchase value and estimated current value of longline vessels. The table also includes the computed standard error of the mean and the number of respondents. The standarderror of the mean is used to estimate the population mean at a $50.0 \%$ confidence interval. The upper and lower limits of the interval represent an estimate of the upper and lower quartiles of a distribution of sample means drawn from the population of longline fishermen. There is a fifty percent (50\%) likelihood that the unknown population mean will lie within the interval. There is a twenty five percent (25\%) likelihood that the population mean is below the lower limit, and a similar likelihood that it is above the upper limit.

TABLE III-D
MEAN AND 50\% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL
PURCHASE PRICE AND ESTIMATED CURRENT VALUE OF VESSEL FOR ALL LONGLINE RESPONDENTS

|  | Nean | Std Error of Mean | Est. Miean at Lower Limit | 50.0\% Conf. <br> Upper Limit | Respanses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchase Price of Boat. | \$94,537 | 38,973 | \$67,256 | \$121,818 | 11 |
| Ourrent Value of Boat | 170,318 | 46,964 | 137,444 | 203,193 | 11 |

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.

Table III-D indicates that the typical longline vessel required an initial capital investment of just under $\$ 100,000$, and that respondents estimate that the current value of the vessels have appreciated. The mean purchase price of the long line
vessels is $\$ 94,537$ with the estimated mean at the lower and upper limits of the $50 \%$ confidence intervals $\$ 67,256$ and $\$ 121,818$, respectively. The mean estimated value of the long line vessels is $\$ 170,318$ with the estimated mean at the lower and upper limits of the $50 \%$ confidence intervals $\$ 137,318$ and $\$ 203,193$, respectively. The rate of change between the mean purchase price and the mean estimated current value of the vessels is $4.00 \%$ annually. The $4 \%$ rate of change is less than the rate of inflation, and suggest that the "real" value of the vessels, after considering the impact of inflation, has actually been depreciating.

## TRIP ANALYSIS

Table III-E indicates that during a typical month the longline respondents made 2.77 trips per month with an average duration of 6.19 days per trip. Multiplying the average number of trips per month by the average duration of the typical trip indicates that on the average 206 days annualy were spent at sea, representing $56 \%$ of the year. The estimatedmean at the lower limit of the $50 \%$ confidence interval indicates an average of 2.59 trips per month lasting 5.89 days per trip, representing 183 days at sea per year or $50 \%$ of the year. The estimated mean at the upper limit indicates an average of 2.95 trips per month lasting 6.49 days per trip, representing 230 days at sea per year or $63 \%$ of the year.

TABLE III-E
TRIP ANALYSIS
FOR ALL LONGLINE RESPONDENTS

|  | Mean | Standar Error of ivean | Est. Mean at Lower Limit | $50.0 \%$ Canf. Upper Limit | Responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nunber of Fishing Trips Average Mbnth | 2.77 | . 26 | 2.59 | 2.95 | 13 |
| Duration of Fishing Trip (days) | 6.19 | . 43 | 5.89 | 6.49 | 13 |
| Days at Sea Per Year | 205.78 |  | 183.22 | 229.61 |  |

$\begin{aligned} \text { Sources: } & \text { Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. } \\ & \text { Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc. }\end{aligned}$

CAPITAL, FIXED AND VARIABLE EXPENSES
Table III-F presents summary statistics for capital, fixed and variable expenses indicated by longline respondents. The data indicate that total capital, fixed and variable cost of the respondents averaged $\$ 170,200$. Capital and fixed expenses represented $\$ 24,450$, or $14.4 \%$ of the total, and variable expenses represented $\$ 145,800$, or $85.6 \%$ of the total. The estimated mean for total capital, fixed, and variable expenses at the $50.0 \%$ confidence interval indicated a lower limit of $\$ 139,500$ andan upper limit of $\$ 200,900$.

# TABLE III-F <br> MEAN AND 50\% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CAPITAL, FIXED AND VARIABLE EXPENSES FOR ALL LONGLINE RESPONDENTS 


(1) The share of fish paid to crew and captain is reported in the above table as a wage that does not vary relative to revenue.

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.

VARIABLE EXPENSES PER DAY AT SEA
Table III-G presents the estimated variable expenses per day at sea based on the annual variable costs and the estimated days at sea. The mean variable expenses are estimated to be $\$ 708$ per day at sea. The mean at the lower and upper limits of the $50 \%$ confidence intervals are estimated to be $\$ 650$ and $\$ 751$ per day at sea, respectively.

The analysis presented in Table IIl-G indicates that repairs account for $14 \%$ of the total variable costs reflecting both the relative age of the sampled vessels (15 years), and the fact that going to sea is rough on vessels. The average fuel and oil cost represents $8 \%$ of total variable costs. Gear costs represent $6 \%$ of total variable costs. Bait and ice represent a total of $12 \%$, and auction and unloading fees represent $15 \%$ of total variable costs. The share of fish paid to crew and captain, representing $39 \%$ of variable cost, is reported in the table as a wage that does not vary relative to revenue.

## TABLE_II-G <br> VARIABLE EXPENSE ANALYSIS PER DAY AT SEA FOR ALL LONGLINE RESPONDENTS

|  | Mean | Est. Mean at Lower Limit | $50.0 \%$ Conf. Upper Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Repairs: |  |  |  |
| Engine | \$53.11 | \$47.44 | \$57.33 |
| Hull | 21.44 | 21.51 | 21.27 |
| Electronic | 4.46 | 3.79 | 4.98 |
| Fish Equipment | 22.70 | 20.72 | 24.15 |
| Sub Total | \$101.72 | \$93.46 | \$107.74 |
| Fuel and Oil | \$56.18 | \$51.10 | \$59.93 |
| Fishing Gear | 43.11 | 45.82 | 40.71 |
| Bait | 62.19 | 68.63 | 56.70 |
| Ice | 22.80 | 20.93 | 24.16 |
| Food \& Provisions | 40.44 | 34.85 | 44.67 |
| Auction and Unloading Fees | 103.95 | 100.86 | 105.84 |
| Sub Total | \$328.68 | \$322. 20 | \$332.01 |
| Share of Fish Paid to |  |  |  |
| Crew and Captain(1)..... | \$277.97 | \$234.11 | \$311.43 |
| TOTAL VARIABLE EXPENSES | \$708.37 | \$649.77 | \$751.18 |

(1) The share of fish paid to crew and captain is reportedin the above table as a wage that does not vary relative to revenue.

Sources: Data from Hawai Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.

## BREAKEVEN AND RETURN ON INVESTMENT ANALYSIS

Since only two of the longline respondents provided revenue data, the breakeven and return on investment analysis, summarized in this section, makes the a priori assumption that the volume of fish caught and the resulting revenues earned are primarily dependent on the $t i m e ~ s p e n t ~ a t ~ s e a . ~ I n ~ m a k i n g ~ t h i s ~ a s s u m p t i o n, ~$ it is acknowledged that the volume weight is also dependent on other variables, such as the amount of gear used, the intensity of fishing effort, and the skill of the captain. These variables are not considered in the analysis.

## Breakeven Analysis

Breakeven analysis is based on relationships between costs and revenues and is useful in determining at which point revenues will cover total costs. Two sets of analysis were prepared. The first set is based on the linear relationship between expenses and revenues. The second set is based on the estimated volume weight of fishing activity necessary to cover total costs, assuming different periods of fishing activity ranging from one day to 300 days at sea.

Breakeven Analysis Depicting Revenues and Expenses
Illustration III-A is a graph showing the linear relationship between total expenses relative to the revenues that would be derived based on different assumptions regarding average daily catch rates and average price per pound. The graph illustrates the impact of a $25 \%$ change in either the average daily catch or the average price of fish per pound.

"Revenue Line A" assumes revenues of $\$ 800$ per day at sea and "Revenue Line $B^{\prime \prime}$ assumes revenues of $\$ 1,000$ per day. The breakeven analysis depicted in lllustration III-A indicates that Revenue Line B would be profitable after approximately 85 days at sea, while Revenue Line A would not be profitable until after approximately 215 days at sea. The analysis illustrates the sensitivity of the effort required to break even relative to the factors determining average revenue per trip. The principal factors are the volume weight of fish caught per day and the average price per pound of fish sold.

## Analysis Depicting Average Catch to Breakeven

Table III-H summarizes and Illustration lil-B depicts a graphic plotting of the breakeven analysis for the mean value of all longline responses and the estimated means at the $50 \%$ confidence interval based on ansumed average price to the seller of $\$ 2.00$ per pound. The analysis computes the average daily catch required to breakeven per day at seafor periods of 10 to 300 days annually. As would be expected, the fixed costs require a relatively high breakeven point for relatively short annual periods at sea. The breakeven point decreases at a declining rate as relative portion of the year spent at sea increases. Based on the mean value, after 100 days per year at sea, the breakeven point is 476 pounds per day at sea, after 200 days at sea it decreases to 415 pounds per day, and after 300 days it is 395 pounds per day.

YOUNDS OF FISH REQUIRED TO BREAKEVEN AT $\$ 2.00$ PER POUND BASED ON AN ANALYSIS OF ALL LONGLINE RESPONDENTS

| Days |  | 50\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Sea | Mean Value | Lower Lmt | Upper Lmt. |
| Per Year | \$2.00/1b. | \$2.00/1b. | \$2.00/1b |
| 10 | 1,576 | 1,348 | 1,796 |
| 20 | 965 | 836 | 1,086 |
| 30 | 761 | 666 | 849 |
| 40 | 660 | 581 | 731 |
| 50 | 599 | 529 | 660 |
| 60 | 558 | 495 | 612 |
| 70 | 529 | 471 | 579 |
| 80 | 507 | 453 | 553 |
| 90 | 490 | 439 | 533 |
| 100 | 476 | 427 | 518 |
| 110 | 465 | 418 | 505 |
| 120 | 456 | 410 | 494 |
| 130 | 448 | 404 | 485 |
| 140 | 441 | 398 | 477 |
| 150 | 436 | 393 | 470 |
| 160 | 431 | 389 | 464 |
| 170 | 426 | 385 | 459 |
| 180 | 422 | 382 | 455 |
| 190 | 418 | 379 | 450 |
| 200 | 415 | 376 | 447 |
| 210 | 412 | 374 | 443 |
| 220 | 410 | 371 | 440 |
| 230 | 407 | 369 | 437 |
| 240 | 405 | 368 | 435 |
| 250 | 403 | 366 | 432 |
| 260 | 401 | 364 | 430 |
| 270 | 399 | 363 | 428 |
| 280 | 398 | 361 | 426 |
| 290 | 396 | 360 | 425 |
| 300 | 395 | 359 | 423 |

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.


Table III-I summarizes and Illustration III-C depicts a graphic plotting of the breakeven analysis based on the mean of all longline responses and assumes average prices to the seller of $\$ 1.50, \$ 2.00$, and $\$ 2.50$ per pound of fish. The analysis computes the average daily catch required to break even for periods ranging between 10 and 300 days at sea annually. As would be expected, the fixed costs require a relatively high breakeven point for relatively short annual periods at sea, and the breakeven point decreases at a declining rate as the days per year spent at sea increases. Also, as would be expected, there is an inverse relationship between average price per pound and the volume weight of catch required to break even. For example, a $25 \%$ decrease in price from $\$ 2.00$ to $\$ 1.50$ per pound results in a $33 \%$ increase in the breakeven point, while a $33 \%$ increase in price from $\$ 1.50$ to $\$ 2.00$ results in a $25 \%$ decrease in the breakeven point.

## TABLE III-I

POUNDS OF FISH REQUIRED TO BREAKEVEN AT SELECTED PRICES BASED ON THE MEAN FOR ALL LONGLINE RESPONDENTS

| Days |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Sea | -------Po | Per Day At | Sea------- |
| Per Year | \$1.50/1b. | \$2.00/1b. | \$2.50/1b |
| 10 | 2,101 | 1,576 | 1,261 |
| 20 | 1,287 | 965 | 772 |
| 30 | 1,015 | 761 | 609 |
| 40 | 879 | 660 | 528 |
| 50 | 798 | 599 | 479 |
| 60 | 744 | 558 | 446 |
| 70 | 705 | 529 | 423 |
| 80 | 676 | 507 | 406 |
| 90 | 653 | 490 | 392 |
| 100 | 635 | 476 | 381 |
| 110 | 620 | 465 | 372 |
| 120 | 608 | 456 | 365 |
| 130 | 598 | 448 | 359 |
| 140 | 589 | 441 | 353 |
| 150 | 581 | 436 | 349 |
| 160 | 574 | 431 | 344 |
| 170 | 568 | 426 | 341 |
| 180 | 563 | 422 | 338 |
| 190 | 558 | 418 | 335 |
| 200 | 554 | 415 | 332 |
| 210 | 550 | 412 | 330 |
| 220 | 546 | 410 | 328 |
| 230 | 543 | 407 | 326 |
| 240 | 540 | 405 | 324 |
| 250 | 537 | 403 | 322 |
| 260 | 535 | 401 | 321 |
| 270 | 533 | 399 | 320 |
| 280 | 530 | 398 | 318 |
| 290 | 528 | 396 | 317 |
| 300 | 527 | 395 | 316 |

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.
ILlustration ili-c
LONGLINE BREAKEVEN AT SELECTED PRICES TO SELLER


The rate of return required by investors may be thought of as being comprised of three parts: the risk-free rate, a premium for business risk, and a premium for financial risk. The riskfree rate is the rate of return that could be earned on United States Treasury securities. The premium for business risk is attributable to the possible fluctuation of future operating income, and the premium for financial risk is attributable to the fluctuation of future earnings available to the proprietor, partners, or shareholders.

The analysis of return on initial investment is to estimate the volume weight of fishing activity necessary to cover an assumed $25 \%$ return on initial investment, and the total fixed, capital and variable costs assuming different periods of fishing activity ranging from one day to 300 days at sea. Two sets of analysis were prepared and are briefly discussed below.

Table III-J summarizes and Illustration III-D depicts a graphic ploting of the return on initial investment analysis for the mean value of all longline responses and the estimated means at the $50 \%$ confidence interval based on an assumed $25 \%$ rate of return on initial investment and average price to the seller of $\$ 2.00$ per pound. The analysis computes the average catch per day at sea required to achieve a $25 \%$ return for periods of 10 to 300 days annually. As would be expected, the catch required to achieve a $25 \%$ return on initial investment decreases at a declining rate as the days per year spent at sea increases. Based on

POUNDS OF FISH REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE A 25\% RETURN ON INVESTMENT BASED ON AN ANALYSIS OF ALL LONGLINE RESPONDENTS

| DaysAt Sea | -------Pounds Per Day At Sea-------50\% Confidence Interval |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Value | Lower Lmt. | Upper Lmt. |
|  | at $25 \% \mathrm{ROI}$ | at $25 \% \mathrm{ROI}$ | at $25 \% \mathrm{ROI}$ |
| Per Year | \$2.00/lb. | \$2.00/lb | \$2.00/1b. |
| 10 | 2,757 | 2,189 | 3,318 |
| 20 | 1,556 | 1,257 | 1,847 |
| 30 | 1,155 | 946 | 1,357 |
| 40 | 955 | 791 | 1,111 |
| 50 | 835 | 698 | 964 |
| 60 | 755 | 636 | 866 |
| 70 | 697 | 591 | 796 |
| 80 | 655 | 558 | 743 |
| 90 | 621 | 532 | 703 |
| 100 | 594 | 511 | 670 |
| 110 | 573 | 494 | 643 |
| 120 | 554 | 480 | 621 |
| 130 | 539 | 468 | 602 |
| 140 | 526 | 458 | 586 |
| 150 | 514 | 449 | 572 |
| 160 | 504 | 441 | 560 |
| 170 | 496 | 435 | 549 |
| 180 | 488 | 428 | 539 |
| 190 | 481 | 423 | 530 |
| 200 | 474 | 418 | 523 |
| 210 | 469 | 414 | 516 |
| 220 | 463 | 410 | 509 |
| 230 | 459 | 406 | 504 |
| 240 | 454 | 403 | 498 |
| 250 | 450 | 399 | 493 |
| 260 | 447 | 397 | 489 |
| 270 | 443 | 394 | 485 |
| 280 | 440 | 391 | 481 |
| 290 | 437 | 389 | 477 |
| 300 | 434 | 387 | 474 |

Sources: Data from Hawai i Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.
Lbs. Fish Per Day
LONGLINE ANALYSIS BASED ON A $25 \%$ RETURN ON INVESTMENT
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}3800.0 \\ 3600.0 \\ 3 & 0.0 \\ 3400.0 \\ 3300.0 \\ 3200.0\end{array}\right]$
the mean value, after 100 days per year at sea a $25 \%$ return on initial investment is achieved if the average daily volume weight of fish caught equals or exceeds 594 pounds per day at sea. After 200 days at sea the volume weight decreases to 474 pounds per day, and after 300 days it is 434 pounds per day.

Table III-K summarizes and Illustration III-E depicts a graphic plotting of the average daily catch required to achieve a $25 \%$ return on initial investment analysis based on the mean of all longline responses and assumes average prices to the seller of $\$ 1.50, \$ 2.00$, and $\$ 2.50$ per pound of fish. As would be expected, the catch required decreases at a declining rate as the days per year spent at sea increases. Also, as would be expected, there is an inverse relationship between average price per pound and the volume weight of catch required to achieve a $25 \%$ return. A $25 \%$ decrease in price from $\$ 2.00$ to $\$ 1.50$ per pound results in a $33 \%$ increase in the volume weight required to achieve a $25 \%$ return, and a $33 \%$ increase in price from $\$ 1.50$ to $\$ 2.00$ results in a $25 \%$ decrease in the volume weight required.

## AVERAGE DAILY CATCH REQUIRED

TO ACHIEVE A $25 \%$ RETURN ON INVESTMENT AT SELECTED PRICES BASED ON THE MEAN FOR ALL LONGLINE RESPONDENTS

| Days |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Sea | ------ | Per Day |  |
| Per Year | \$1.50/1b. | \$2.00/lb. | \$2.50/lb. |
| 10 | 3,676 | 2,757 | 2,206 |
| 20 | 2,074 | 1,556 | 1,245 |
| 30 | 1,540 | 1,155 | 924 |
| 40 | 1,273 | 955 | 764 |
| 50 | 1,113 | 835 | 668 |
| 60 | 1,006 | 755 | 604 |
| 70 | 930 | 697 | 558 |
| 80 | 873 | 655 | 524 |
| 90 | 828 | 621 | 497 |
| 100 | 793 | 594 | 476 |
| 110 | 764 | 573 | 458 |
| 120 | 739 | 554 | 444 |
| 130 | 719 | 539 | 431 |
| 140 | 701 | 526 | 421 |
| 150 | 686 | 514 | 412 |
| 160 | 672 | 504 | 403 |
| 170 | 661 | 496 | 396 |
| 180 | 650 | 488 | 390 |
| 190 | 641 | 481 | 385 |
| 200 | 632 | 474 | 379 |
| 210 | 625 | 469 | 375 |
| 220 | 618 | 463 | 371 |
| 230 | 612 | 459 | 367 |
| 240 | 606 | 454 | 363 |
| 250 | 600 | 450 | 360 |
| 260 | 595 | 447 | 357 |
| 270 | 591 | 443 | 355 |
| 280 | 587 | 440 | 352 |
| 290 | 583 | 437 | 350 |
| 300 | 579 | 434 | 347 |

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.

## Breakeven Relative to Return on Investment

The relationship between the volume weight required to achieve the breakeven point and the volume weight required to achieve a $25 \%$ return on investment is summarized in Table III-L and depicted in Illustration III-F. The analysis is based on an assumed $25 \%$ rate of return on initial investment and average price to the seller of $\$ 2.00$ per pound. The difference between the volume weight required to breakeven and that which is required to achieve a $25 \%$ return on initial investment decreases at a declining rate as the days per year spent at sea increases. After 100 days per year at sea, an additional 118 pound per day would be required in order to increase the level of profitablity from the breakeven point to a level which achieves a $25 \%$ return on the initial capital investment. This decreases to 59 pounds per day at 200 days per year, and 39 pounds per day at 300 days per year.

AVERAGE DAILY CATCH REQUIRED TO BREAKEVEN AND TO ACHIEVE A 25\% RETURN ON INVESTMENT AT \$2.00 PER POUND BASED ON THE MEAN FOR ALL LONGLINE RESPONDENTS

| Days | Volume Weight Reguired To: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At Sea |  | Achieve $A$ | ROI Less |
| Per Year | Breakeven | 25\% ROI | Breakeven |
| 10 | 1,576 | 2,757 | 1,181 |
| 20 | 965 | 1,556 | 591 |
| 30 | 761 | 1,155 | 394 |
| 40 | 660 | 955 | 295 |
| 50 | 599 | 835 | 236 |
| 60 | 558 | 755 | 197 |
| 70 | 529 | 697 | 169 |
| 80 | 507 | 655 | 148 |
| 90 | 490 | 621 | 131 |
| 100 | 476 | 594 | 118 |
| 110 | 465 | 573 | 107 |
| 120 | 456 | 554 | 98 |
| 130 | 448 | 539 | 91 |
| 140 | 441 | 526 | 84 |
| 150 | 436 | 514 | 79 |
| 160 | 431 | 504 | 74 |
| 170 | 426 | 496 | 69 |
| 180 | 422 | 488 | 66 |
| 190 | 418 | 481 | 62 |
| 200 | 415 | 474 | 59 |
| 210 | 412 | 469 | 56 |
| 220 | 410 | 463 | 54 |
| 230 | 407 | 459 | 51 |
| 240 | 405 | 454 | 49 |
| 250 | 403 | 450 | 47 |
| 260 | 401 | 447 | 45 |
| 270 | 399 | 443 | 44 |
| 280 | 398 | 440 | 42 |
| 290 | 396 | 437 | 41 |
| 300 | 395 | 434 | 39 |

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.
Lbs. Fish Per Day
LONGLINE BREAKEVEN AND $25 \%$ ROI AT $\$ 2.00$ PER POUND


## OVERV I EW

The handline fleet survey conducted by Hawai Opinion interviewed the captains and owners. The sample for the handine survey was provided by NMFS staff and was drawn from the state's listing of boat owners holding commercial fishing licenses. A total of 644 names and addresses were drawn, using a systematic skip to yielda field of 100 successful interviews. This was done since it was anticipated that many of the names would appear as duplicates (due to multiple boat ownership and outdatedrecords) and that many owners would not be active handiners, since the commercial license file included longline, troll, and net fishing gear types as well as handline.

The initial screening of prospective handine respondents was by means of a short mailout survey. This instrument asked whether or not the respondents were active handline fishermen and updated telephone and address data. Respondents who indicated that they were not handiners were eliminated from the sample.

The handine survey was conducted between November 15,1982 and January 18, 1983. Interviews were conducted on weekdays, weekends, day or evening, at the convenience of the respondents. Up to four attempts were made to contact prospective respondents in the sample.

Of the 100 interviews completed, 24 were based on Oahu, 50 on the is land of Hawaic, 17 on Kauai, and 9 on Maui. Of the original 644 names, there were 28 potential respondents who refused interviews or were not available, and 178 that could not be contacted. It is not known to what extent, if any, the nonrespondents introduced an element of bias in the survey results. The following summarizes the data collection effort:

644 total sample
100 interviews completed
202 duplicate owner names
136 not active handiners
28 known handiners, but refused or not available for interviews

178 unable to contact

## FLEET DESCRIPTION

Based on the surveys completed, Table IV-A summarizes selected attributes of the handline fleet. As indicated, the handine fleet vessels have a mean length of 24 feet. The mean age of the vessels in mid-1982 was 4.4 years, and the mean net tonnage was 2.3 tons.

> TABLE IV-A

Selected Attributes of the Handine Fleet

| Respondents |
| :---: |
| Providing |
| Data |
| 100 |
| 100 |
| 100 |

Source: Hawaii Opinion, H-83-11C.

## OWNERSHIP AND VESSEL FINANCING

Table IV-B indicates that the predominant form of ownership for the handline respondents was that of a sole proprietorship. Of the 100 handline respondents, 92 , representing $92 \%$ of total, operated as sole proprietorships. The remaining eight handine respondents were distributed amongst partnership, corporate and other forms of ownership.

TABLE IV-B

Vessel Ownership for Handline Respondents

Sole Proprietorship
Respondents Providing Data


Partnership
Corporation
Other


Distribution Of Respondents
4.0
3.0
1.0

Source: Hawaii Opinion, $\mathrm{H}-83-11 \mathrm{C}$.

The form of ownership generally affects the method of financing used for the vessels, inasmuch as sole proprietorships and partnerships generally rely on personal and investor financing, respectively. Table IV-C indicates that of the 100 respondents, $80 \%$ indicated that they had used personal funds to finance a portion of the vessel costs, and only $1 \%$ had used funds from other investors. Funds derived from a bank loan were used by $25 \%$ of the vessels. Government guaranteed loans were used by only three vessels representing $3 \%$ of the total.

## Method of Financing for Handline Respondents(1)

Personal Funds
Other Investors 1
Bank Loan
Government Guaranteed Loan 3
Other

Respondents
Providing Data 80 25

3
16

Distribution
Of Respondents 80.0\%
1.0\%
25.0\%
3.0\%
16.0\%
(1) Responses reflect multiple methods of financing.

Source: Hawaii Opinion, H-83-11C.

PURCHASE PRICE AND ESTIMATE OF CURRENT VALUE OF VESSEL
Table IV-D presents a summary of the mean purchase value and estimated current value of handine vessels. The table also includes the computed standarderror of the mean and the number of respondents. The standarderror of the mean is used to estimate the population mean at a $50.0 \%$ confidence interval. The upper and lower limits of the interval represent an estimate of the upper and lower quartiles of a distribution of sample means drawn from the population of handline fishermen. There is a fifty percent ( $50 \%$ ) likelihood that the unknown population mean will lie within the interval. There is a twenty five percent ( $25 \%$ ) likelihood that the unknown population mean is below the lower limit, and a similar likelihood that it is above the upper limit.

## TABLE IV-D

MEAN AND 50\% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL
PURCHASE PRICE AND ESTIMATED CURRENT VALUE OF VESSEL FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS


Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.

The table reflect the handine fleet being comprised largely of smaller vessels as indicated by the mean purchase price of the handline vessels is $\$ 17,573$. The estimated mean at the lower and upper limits of the $50 \%$ confidence intervals are $\$ 15,628$ and $\$ 21,608$, respectively. The mean estimated value of the handline vessels is $\$ 24,440$, and the estimatedmean at the lower and upper limits of the $50 \%$ confidence intervals $\$ 21,608$ and $\$ 27,271$, respectively. Based on the 4.4 year average age of all vessels, the difference between the purchase price and estimated current value reflects $7.79 \%$ annual rate of change. This rate of change approximates the average rate of inflation during the period 1978-81.

## TRIP ANALYSIS

The analysis of the trip related data indicates that the handiners typically made frequent trips of less than one day duration. Table IV-E indicates that during the typical month, the handline respondents made 9.02 trips per month with an average duration of 17.5 hours per trip. This infers that an average of 108 trips were made annually (trips per month x 12 months). Based on the average duration of each trip, an average of 1,894 hours annually were spent at sea. The estimated mean at the lower limit of the $50 \%$ confidence interval indicates an average of 8.56 trips per month lasting 15.8 hours per trip, representing 103 trips per year and 1,623 hours annually. The estimated mean at the upper limit of the $50 \%$ confidence interval indicates an average of 9.48 trips per month lasting 19.2 hours per trip, representing 114 trips per year and 2,184 hours annually.

TABLE IV-E

TRIP ANALYSIS
FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS

|  | Sample <br> Mean | Standard Error of Mean | Est. Mean at Lower Limit | $50.0 \%$ Conf. Upper Limit | Responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nunber of Fishing Trips Avërege Month | 9.02 | . 67 | 8.56 | 9.48 | 97 |
| Duration of Fishing Trip (days) | . 73 | . 10 | . 66 | . 80 | 99 |
| Trips per Year | 108.24 |  | 102.72 | 113.76 |  |
| Hours at Sea Per Year | 1,896 |  | 1,627 | 2,184 |  |

$\begin{aligned} \text { Sources: } & \text { Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. } \\ & \text { Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc. }\end{aligned}$

## CAPITAL, FIXED AND VARIABLE EXPENSES

Table lV-F presents summary statistics for capital, fixed and variable expenses indicated by handline respondents. The table also includes the computed standard error of the mean and the number of respondents. A perspective concerning the distribution of responses is provided by the estimated mean at a $50.0 \%$ confidence interval which represents the lower and upper quartiles of the distribution.

The total mean capital, fixed and variable expenses were \$15,437. Capital and fixed expenses represented $\$ 2,192$, or $14.2 \%$ of the total, and variable expenses represented $\$ 13,246$, or $85.4 \%$ of the total. The estimatedmean for total capital, fixed, and variable expenses at the $50.0 \%$ confidence interval indicated a lower limit of $\$ 12,908$ and an upper limit of $\$ 17,967$.

## TABLE IV-F

## MEAN AND $50 \%$ CONF IDENCE INTERVAL CAPITAL, FIXED AND VARIABLE EXPENSES FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS


(1) The share of fish paid to crew and captain is reported in the above table as a wage that does not vary relative to revenue.

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.

Table IV-G presents the variable expenses per trip based on the annual variable costs, presented in Table IV-E, and the estimated trips annually, shown in the previous table. The mean variable expenses are estimated to total $\$ 122$ per trip. The mean at the lower and upper limits of the $50 \%$ confidence intervals are estimated to total $\$ 109$ per trip and $\$ 134$ per trip, respectively.

## TABLE_IV-G

VARIABLE EXPENSE ANALYSIS PER TRIP FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS

(1) The share of fish paid to crew and captain is reportedin the above table as a wage that does not vary relative to revenue.

Sources: Data from Hawai i Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.

The analysis presented in Table IV-G indicates that repairs account for $12 \%$ of the total variable costs reflecting the fact that going to sea is rough on vessels. The average fuel and oil cost represents $25 \%$ of total variable costs. Gear costs represent $11 \%$ of total variable costs. Bait and ice represent a total of $13 \%$, and auction and unloading fees represent $9 \%$ of total variable costs. The share of fish paid to crew and captain, representing $19 \%$ of variable cost, is reported in the table as a wage that does not vary relative to revenue.

## COST-EARNINGS ANALYSIS

The analysis of sales was hampered by the non-response rate for questions concerning sales and weight of fish sold. Although all 100 of the respondents surveyed indicated that they had caught tuna, less than $50 \%$ responded to the questions related to sales. The non-response rate presented a serious degrees of freedom problem for testing models. A priori, one would hypothesize that the handine fleet in Hawaii is composed of many parttime and/ornon-commercial fishermen as wellas some full-time fishermen. While the non-commercial fishermen may sell part of their catch to offset their costs, they do not typically engage in intensive fishing effort or fish on a regular basis. Seasonal fishing patterns may also be a problem. The hypothesis that the handine fleet is composed primarily of part-time andor noncommercial fishermen is supported by the survey data which indicates that $56 \%$ of the handine respondents didnot consider fishing to be their primary occupation and $59 \%$ had another occupation.

In order to analyze the statistical relationship of revenues and expenses, consideration was given to stratifying the data based on the type of ish caught on the last trip. This alternative was rejected since the last tip could not be concluded to be representative of the mix of fish caught annually. Rejection of this approach is based on the a priori hypothesis that as seasonal changes occur in both the price and/or the availability of a particular species of fish, the handine fishermen would adjust their fishing strategies accordingly. To the extent that sales data was provided by respondents, the data from respondents who sold tuna and/or bottom fish supports this hypothesis. The data indicates that of 50 respondents who reported sales for tuna and/or bottom fish, 26 ( $52 \%$ ) sold both tuna and bottom fish, 15 ( $30 \%$ ) sold only tuna, and $9(18 \%)$ sold only bottom fish.

An alternative perspective, presented in Table IV-H, is the stratification of the data based on sales reported for 1981. The table presents selected data based on three strata: sales equal to or greater than $\$ 10,000$; sales less than $\$ 10,000$; and no sales data. The table also shows comparative data for all respondents.

The stratified data lends support to the hypothesis that the handliners are primarily part-time or non-commercial fishermen. Only 12 of the 52 respondents reporting sales data had sales greater than $\$ 10,000$. Of the respondents who reported sales in excess of $\$ 10,000,84 \%$ considered fishing to be their primary occupation compared to $39 \%$ of the respondents with sales less.

## TABLE IV-H

Selected Data Based on
Stratification of the Handline Respondents by Sales
Sales
Greater

Than \$10,000

Sales
Less Than No Sales
to $\$ 10,000$
Data

## Number of

 ObservationsBoat Length ( $f t$ )
Boat Tonnage (tons)
4.1
13.20
2.2
8.75
83.7

60
5.8
33.3
62.5
62.5
40.0
41.2

Total Sales Major Fish
$\$ 32,020$
Total Expenses $\quad 28,239$
Net Income (Loss)
Sales less Expenses
\$3,781
$-5,743$
NA
NA

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.
than $\$ 10,000$. Respondents with sales in excess of $\$ 10,000$ averaged 60 hours per week fishing compared to an average of 34 hours per week for respondents with sales less than $\$ 10,000$. Only $33 \%$ of the respondents who had sales in excess of $\$ 10,000$ had a second job, compared to $63 \%$ for respondents witheither sales below $\$ 10,000$ or respondents who did not report sales. Also supporting the hypothesis that handifers are not commercial fishermen is the finding that the only group of handiners to achieve a positive earnings, based only on sales of major species, were those with sales over $\$ 10,000$. This group represents only $25 \%$ of those who responded to the sales question and only $12 \%$ of the survey sample.

## WEIGHT OF AVERAGE CATCH

Survey data concerning the weight of the average catch reported by respondents, shown in Table IV-I, indicates that respondents reporting higher levels of sales also reported relatively higher average catches. The sample mean for respondents indicating sales equal to or in excess of $\$ 10,000$ is approximately $100 \%$ greater than the mean for respondents reporting sales less than $\$ 10,000$.

TABLE IV-I
Average Weight of Catch Reported by Handline Respondents (Pounds)

|  | Sample <br> Mean | Standard <br> Deviation | Standard <br> Error <br> Of Mean | Range <br> (High/Low) | Number of <br> Responses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Handl ine Respondents | 215.9 | 206.4 | 29.5 | $975 / 25$ | -29 |
| Sales of $\$ 10,000$ or More | 375.0 | 357.9 | 160.1 | $975 / 50$ | 5 |
| Sales less then $\$ 10,000$ | 186.3 | 142.1 | 30.3 | $500 / 35$ | 22 |
| No Sales/Sales Not Reported | 209.3 | 213.9 | 45.6 | $950 / 25$ | 22 |

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C.
Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.

## BREAKEVEN AND RETURN ON INVESTMENT ANALYSIS

The breakeven and return on investment analysis, summarized in this section, makes the a priori assumption that the volume of fish caught and the resulting revenues earned are primarily dependent on the number of trips made annually. In making this as sumption, it is acknowledged that the volume weight is also dependent on other variables, such as the amount of gear used, the intensity of fishing effort, and the skill of the captain. These variables are not considered in the analysis.

## Breakeven Analysis

Breakeven analysis is based on relationships between costs and revenues and is useful in determining at which point revenues will cover total costs. The breakeven analysis presented in this section first considers the linear relationship between expenses
and revenues. The analysis then considers the volume weight of fishing activity necessary to cover total costs as uming different periods of fishing activity ranging from ten to 300 trips annually.

## Breakeven Analysis Depicting Revenues and Expenses

Illustration $I V-A$ is a graph showing the linear relationship between total expenses relative to the revenues that would be derived based on selected assumptions regarding average catch rates per trip and average price per pound. The graph illustrates the impact of increasing the value of the catch per trip by $33 \%$ from $\$ 150$ per trip, "Revenue Line A," to $\$ 200$ per trip, "Revenue Line B." Revenue Line A indicates that profitable fishing would be attained after approximately 75 trips per year, while Revenue Line $B$ would be profitable after approximately 30 trips per year. The analysis indicates that a $33 \%$ increase in the revenue produced per trip would decrease the effort, measured in terms of trips, required to break even by approximately 45 trips or slightly over $60 \%$. This illustrates the sensitivity of the effort required to break even relative to changes in the factors determining average revenue per trip. The principal factors are the volume weight of fish caught per trip the average catch and the average price per pound of fish sold.
ILLUSTRATION IV-A
handline breakeven


Analysis Depicting Average Catch to Breakeven
Table IV-J summarizes and Illustration IV-B depicts a graphic plotting of the breakeven analysis for the mean value of all handine responses and the estimated means at the $50 \%$ confidence interval based on an as umed average price to the seller of $\$ 2.50$ per pound. The analysis computes the average catch per trip required to break even for 10 to 300 trips annually. As would be expected, the fixed costs require an increasing catch per trip for relatively fewer trips annually. The breakeven point decreases at declining rate as the number of trips increases. Based on the mean value, after 60 trips per year, the breakeven point is 212 pounds per trip, after 90 trips it decreases to 158 pounds per trip, and after 120 trips it is 130 pounds per trip.

Table IV-K summarizes and Illustration IV-C depicts a graphic plotting of the breakeven analysis based on the mean of all handline responses and assumes average prices to the seller of $\$ 2.00$, $\$ 2.50$, and $\$ 3.00$ per pound of fish . The analysis computes the average catch per trip required to break even for 10 to 300 trips annually. As would be expected, the breakeven point decreases at a declining rate as the number of trips per year increases. Also as would be expected, there is an inverse relationship between average price per pound and the volume weight of catch required to break even. For example, a $20 \%$ decrease in price from $\$ 2.50$ to $\$ 2.00$ per pound results in a $25 \%$ increase in the breakeven point, while a $25 \%$ increase in price from $\$ 2.00$ to $\$ 2.50$ results in a $20 \%$ decrease in the breakeven point.

## POUNDS OF FISH REQUIRED TO BREAKEVEN AT \$2.50 PER POUND BASED ON AN ANALYSIS OF ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS

| Trips | Sample Mean $\$ 2.50 / 1 \mathrm{~b}$. | Estimated Distribution of Mean 50\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower Lmt | Upper Lmt. |
| Per Year |  | \$2.50/1b | \$2.50/lb |
| 10 | 137 | 110 | 162 |
| 20 | 93 | 77 | 108 |
| 30 | 78 | 66 | 90 |
| 40 | 71 | 60 | 81 |
| 50 | 66 | 57 | 75 |
| 60 | 64 | 55 | 72 |
| 70 | 61 | 53 | 69 |
| 80 | 60 | 52 | 67 |
| 90 | 59 | 51 | 66 |
| 100 | 58 | 50 | 64 |
| 110 | 57 | 50 | 64 |
| 120 | 56 | 49 | 63 |
| 130 | 56 | 49 | 62 |
| 140 | 55 | 49 | 61 |
| 150 | 55 | 48 | 61 |
| 160 | 54 | 48 | 60 |
| 170 | 54 | 48 | 60 |
| 180 | 54 | 47 | 60 |
| 190 | 54 | 47 | 59 |
| 200 | 53 | 47 | 59 |
| 210 | 53 | 47 | 59 |
| 220 | 53 | 47 | 59 |
| 230 | 53 | 47 | 58 |
| 240 | 53 | 47 | 58 |
| 250 | 52 | 46 | 58 |
| 260 | 52 | 46 | 58 |
| 270 | 52 | 46 | 58 |
| 280 | 52 | 46 | 57 |
| 290 | 52 | 46 | 57 |
| 300 | 52 | 46 | 57 |

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.
Lbs. Fish Per Trip


POUNDS OF FISH REQUIRED TO BREAKEVEN AT SELECTED PRICES BASED ON THE MEAN FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS

| TripsPer Year | ----------Pounds Per Trip-------.-- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$2.00/lb. | \$2.50/1b. | \$3.00/lb. |
| 10 | 171 | 137 | 114 |
| 20 | 116 | 93 | 77 |
| 30 | 98 | 78 | 65 |
| 40 | 89 | 71 | 59 |
| 50 | 83 | 66 | 55 |
| 60 | 79 | 64 | 53 |
| 70 | 77 | 61 | 51 |
| 80 | 75 | 60 | 50 |
| 90 | 73 | 59 | 49 |
| 100 | 72 | 58 | 48 |
| 110 | 71 | 57 | 47 |
| 120 | 70 | 56 | 47 |
| 130 | 70 | 56 | 46 |
| 140 | 69 | 55 | 46 |
| 150 | 68 | 55 | 46 |
| 160 | 68 | 54 | 45 |
| 170 | 68 | 54 | 45 |
| 180 | 67 | 54 | 45 |
| 190 | 67 | 54 | 45 |
| 200 | 67 | 53 | 44 |
| 210 | 66 | 53 | 44 |
| 220 | 66 | 53 | 44 |
| 230 | 66 | 53 | 44 |
| 240 | 66 | 53 | 44 |
| 250 | 66 | 52 | 44 |
| 260 | 65 | 52 | 44 |
| 270 | 65 | 52 | 43 |
| 280 | 65 | 52 | 43 |
| 290 | 65 | 52 | 43 |
| 300 | 65 | 52 | 43 |

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.
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Return on Investment Analysis
The rate of return required by investors may be thought of as being comprised of three parts: the risk-free rate, a premium for business risk, and a premium for financial risk. The riskfree rate is the rate of return that could be earned on United States Treasury securities. The premium for business risk is attributable to the fluctuation of future operating income, and the premium for financial risk is attributable to the fluctuation of future earnings available to the proprietor, partners, or shareholders.

In the case of the handline fleet, the analysis of return on initial investment is used to estimate the volume weight of fishing activity necessary to cover an assumed $25 \%$ return on initial investment, and the total fixed, capital and variable costs assuming different periods of fishing activity ranging from 10 to 300 trips annually. Two sets of analysis were prepared and are briefly discussed below.

Table IV-L summarizes and Illustration IV-D depicts a graphic plotting of the return on initial investment analysis for the mean value of all handine responses and the estimated means at the $50 \%$ confidence interval based on an assumed $25 \%$ rate of return on initial investment and average price to the seller of $\$ 2.50$ per pound. The analysis computes the average catch per trip required to achieve a $25 \%$ return for 10 to 300 trips annually. As would be expected, the catch required to achieve a $25 \%$ return on initial investment decreases at a declining rate as the

TABLE_IV-L
POUNDS OF FISH REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE A $25 \%$ RETURN ON INVESTMENT BASED ON AN ANALYSIS OF ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS

| Trips | Sample Mean | Estimated Distribution of Mean 50\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower Lmt. | Upper Limt. |
|  | at $25 \% \mathrm{ROI}$ | at $25 \% \mathrm{ROI}$ | at 25\% ROI |
| Per Year | \$2.50/lb | \$2.50/1b | \$2.50/1b. |
| 10 | 312 | 267 | 358 |
| 20 | 181 | 155 | 206 |
| 30 | 137 | 118 | 155 |
| 40 | 115 | 99 | 130 |
| 50 | 102 | 88 | 114 |
| 60 | 93 | 81 | 104 |
| 70 | 87 | 76 | 97 |
| 80 | 82 | 72 | 92 |
| 90 | 78 | 69 | 87 |
| 100 | 75 | 66 | 84 |
| 110 | 73 | 64 | 81 |
| 120 | 71 | 62 | 79 |
| 130 | 69 | 61 | 77 |
| 140 | 68 | 60 | 75 |
| 150 | 67 | 59 | 74 |
| 160 | 65 | 58 | 73 |
| 170 | 64 | 57 | 71 |
| 180 | 64 | 56 | 70 |
| 190 | 63 | 56 | 70 |
| 200 | 62 | 55 | 69 |
| 210 | 61 | 54 | 68 |
| 220 | 61 | 54 | 67 |
| 230 | 60 | 53 | 67 |
| 240 | 60 | 53 | 66 |
| 250 | 59 | 53 | 66 |
| 260 | 59 | 52 | 65 |
| 270 | 59 | 52 | 65 |
| 280 | 58 | 52 | 64 |
| 290 | 58 | 51 | 64 |
| 300 | 58 | 51 | 64 |

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.
ILLUSTRATION IV-D
HANDLINE ANALYSIS BASED ON A $25 \%$ RETURN ON INVESTMENT
Lbs. Fish Per Trip

number of trips per year increases. Based on the mean value, after 80 trips per year a $25 \%$ return on initial investment is achieved if the average volume weight of fish caught equals or exceeds 193 pounds per trip. After 120 trips annually, the volume weight decreases to 145 pounds per trip, and after 160 trips it is 121 pounds per trip.

Table IV-M summarizes and Illustration IV-E depicts a graphic plotting of the average catch per trip required to achieve a $25 \%$ return on initial investment analysis based on the mean of all handine responses and assumes average prices to the seller of $\$ 2.00, \$ 2.50$, and $\$ 3.00$ per pound of fish. As would be expected, the catch required decreases at a declining rate as the trips per year increases. Also as would be expected, there is an inverse relationship between average price per pound and the volume weight of catch required to achieve a $25 \%$ return. For example, a $20 \%$ decrease in price from $\$ 2.50$ to $\$ 2.00$ per pound results in a $25 \%$ increase in the volume weight required to achieve a $25 \%$ return, while a $25 \%$ increase in price from $\$ 2.00$ to $\$ 2.50$ results in a $20 \%$ decrease in the volume weight required. Breakeven Relative to Return on Investment

The relationship between the volume weight required to achieve the breakeven point and the volume weight required to achieve a $25 \%$ return on investment is summarized in Table IV-N and depicted in Illustration $I V-F$. The analysis is based on an assumed $25 \%$ rate of return on initial investment and average price to the seller of $\$ 2.50$ per pound. The difference between

TABLE_IV-M
AVERAGE CATCH PER TRIP REQUIRED
TO ACHIEVE A 25\% RETURN ON INVESTMENT AT SELECTED PRICES BASED ON THE MEAN FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS

| Trips |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per Year | \$2.00/1b. | \$2.50/1b. | \$3.00/lb. |
| 10 | 390 | 312 | 260 |
| 20 | 226 | 181 | 151 |
| 30 | 171 | 137 | 114 |
| 40 | 144 | 115 | 96 |
| 50 | 127 | 102 | 85 |
| 60 | 116 | 93 | 77 |
| 70 | 108 | 87 | 72 |
| 80 | 102 | 82 | 68 |
| 90 | 98 | 78 | 65 |
| 100 | 94 | 75 | 63 |
| 110 | 91 | 73 | 61 |
| 120 | 89 | 71 | 59 |
| 130 | 87 | 69 | 58 |
| 140 | 85 | 68 | 56 |
| 150 | 83 | 67 | 55 |
| 160 | 82 | 65 | 55 |
| 170 | 81 | 64 | 54 |
| 180 | 79 | 64 | 53 |
| 190 | 79 | 63 | 52 |
| 200 | 78 | 62 | 52 |
| 210 | 77 | 61 | 51 |
| 220 | 76 | 61 | 51 |
| 230 | 76 | 60 | 50 |
| 240 | 75 | 60 | 50 |
| 250 | 74 | 59 | 50 |
| 260 | 74 | 59 | 49 |
| 270 | 73 | 59 | 49 |
| 280 | 73 | 58 | 49 |
| 290 | 73 | 58 | 48 |
| 300 | 72 | 58 | 48 |

Sources: Data from Hawai $\begin{gathered}\text { Opinion survey, } H-83-11 C . ~\end{gathered}$ Computations by $A$. Lono Lyman, Inc.

AVERAGE CATCH PER TRIP REQUIRED TO BREAKEVEN AND TO ACHIEVE A $25 \%$ RETURN ON INVESTMENT AT $\$ 2.50$ PER POUND BASED ON THE MEAN FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS

| Trips | Volume_Weight Reguired To: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Achieve A | ROI Less |
| Per Year | Breakeven | 25\% ROI | Breakeven |
| 10 | 137 | 312 | 176 |
| 20 | 93 | 181 | 88 |
| 30 | 78 | 137 | 59 |
| 40 | 71 | 115 | 44 |
| 50 | 66 | 102 | 35 |
| 60 | 64 | 93 | 29 |
| 70 | 61 | 87 | 25 |
| 80 | 60 | 82 | 22 |
| 90 | 59 | 78 | 20 |
| 100 | 58 | 75 | 18 |
| 110 | 57 | 73 | 16 |
| 120 | 56 | 71 | 15 |
| 130 | 56 | 69 | 14 |
| 140 | 55 | 68 | 13 |
| 150 | 55 | 67 | 12 |
| 160 | 54 | 65 | 11 |
| 170 | 54 | 64 | 10 |
| 180 | 54 | 64 | 10 |
| 190 | 54 | 63 | 9 |
| 200 | 53 | 62 | 9 |
| 210 | 53 | 61 | 8 |
| 220 | 53 | 61 | 8 |
| 230 | 53 | 60 | 8 |
| 240 | 53 | 60 | 7 |
| 250 | 52 | 59 | 7 |
| 260 | 52 | 59 | 7 |
| 270 | 52 | 59 | 7 |
| 280 | 52 | 58 | 6 |
| 290 | 52 | 58 | 6 |
| 300 | 52 | 58 | 6 |

Sources: Data from Hawaii Opinion survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.
the volume weight required to break even and that which is required to achieve a $25 \%$ return on initial investment decreases at a decining rate as the number of trips per year increases. After 60 trips per year, an additional 29 pound per trip would be required in order to increase the level of profitablity from the breakeven point to level which achieves a $25 \%$ return on the initial capital investment. This decreases to 20 pounds per trip at 90 trips per year, and 15 pounds per tripat 120 trips per year.

# V - SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS <br> AND ASSESSMENT OF SELECTED <br> MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT POLICIES 

This chapter presents a sensitivity analysis based on the longline and handine cost and revenue analysis presented in Chapters III and IV, respectively. The chapter also assesses selected fishery management and development policies concerning maintaining sustainable yields, reducing operating costs, and increasing the per unit sales price to vessels.

## SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

The sensitivity analysis presented in Table $V$-A provides a basis of comparing the relative impact of changes in either the value of output; the level of effort, measured in terms of either days at sea or trips; and operating costs such as fuel and oil. The analysis indicates that:

- The catch level, or volume weight, required to break even is relatively more sensitive to decreases in the value of output than it is to increases in the value of output. A $1.00 \%$ decrease in the value of the longline output results in a $1.34 \%$ increase in the catch level required to break even, while a $1.00 \%$ increase in value results in a $0.80 \%$ decrease in the breakeven catch level.
- The catch level required to break even is relatively more sensitive to changes in the value of output then to changes in effort. While a $1.00 \%$ decrease in the value of the longline output results in a $1.34 \%$ increase in the catch level required to break even, a $1.00 \%$ decrease in effort (expressed in terms of days at sea or trips) causes a $0.20 \%$ increase in the breakeven catch level.
- A $1.00 \%$ decrease in fuel and oil costs results in a $0.07 \%$ decrease in the longline breakeven catch level, and a $0.21 \%$ decrease in the handline breakeven catch level. The impact that decreased fuel and oil costs (or any cost factor) have on the breakeven catch level is in effect diluted by other fixed and variable expenses.


## TABLE_V-A

Relative Impacts of Changes in Either Output Value, Level of Effort, or Operating Costs On The Catch Level of Catch Required to Break Even

```
Change in value of output with effort and expense held constant:
```

| Value decreases by $1.00 \%$ | $+1.34 \%$ | $+1.20 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Value increases by $1.00 \%$ | $-0.80 \%$ | $-0.86 \%$ |

Change in effort with cost and price held constant :

| Price decreases by $1.00 \%$ | $+0.20 \%$ | $+0.24 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Price increases by $1.00 \%$ | $-0.12 \%$ | $-0.14 \%$ |

Decrease in fuel and oil costs with other costs, effort and price held constant:

Price decreases by $1.00 \% \quad-0.07 \% \quad-0.21 \%$

Source: Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.

## ASSESSMENT OF FISHERIES MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT POLICIES

The assessment of fisheries policies was limited by several factors. The low response rate for revenue datamade it necessary to address management and development policies within the limited context of the data provided and the breakeven, return on investment, and sensitivity analysis conducted. The policy assessment does not give any consideration to either other benefits that could be derived or the costs associated with policy implementation.

Because of these factors, the analysis of fishery management and development policies was limited to a general assessment of policies related to maintaining sustainable yields, reducing operating costs, and increasing the per unit sales price to vessels. These are discussed under separate sub headings.

## Policies Related to Maintaining Sustainable Yields

Attaining the breakeven catch level is critical to sustaining commercial fishing and achieving a desired return on investment is critical to expansion of commercial fishing. This suggests that policies related to maintaining sustainable yields are a very important factor in maintaining and developing both fulltime commercial longline and handine fishing.

As level of effort (measured in terms of days at sea or trips) increase, the catch level separating breakeven and achieving a desired level of return on investment becomes increasingly smaller. For instance, based on a $25 \%$ return on original investment the longline data analysis in Table III-L indicates that at 100 days at sea annually, the catch level differential is 118
pounds, decreasing to 59 pounds after 200 days at sea. The handine data analysis in Table IV-N indicates that after 60 trips annually, the catch level differential is 29 pounds, decreasing to 15 pounds after 120 trips annualy.

Management practices that can be used to maintain sustainable yields include limits or bans on fishing, and permits for commercial fishing. To the extent that bottomfishing in the vicinity of the major Hawaian islands has exceeded or is approaching the sustainable yield levels, then such measures may presently be or soon become appropriate.

Policies that maintain sustainable yields, are generally based on the desirability of both reducing financial and business risk and conservation of scarce resources. Measures which increase the cost of catching a given level of yield, such as area closures, may discriminate against cost efficient vessels, while measures which increase the potential catch may favor efficient operations.

The handine data set indicates that there are two general categories of handline fishermen: commercial and part-time recreational. Maintaining sustainable yields is of significant importance for commercial fishermen who are dependent upon fishing as their sole or as a significant source of personal income. While both commerial and recreational fishing can be affected by excessive fishing, there are several reasons to give greater consideration to commercial fishing even if it entails discriminating against recreational fishing. One reason is that recreational fishing does not have the same profit oriented objectives that commercial fishing has, and thus, by inference, can tend to
promote less efficient use of resources than commercial fishing. A second reason is that maintaining and expanding commercial fishing is more dependent upon measures that enhance profitability and reduce financial and business risk factors. Examples of such measures are management policies that limit aceess fisheries, or restrict the number of vessels in an area. A third factor is that the value of recreational fishing may be less dependent on catch rates compared to commercial fishing.

## Policies Related to Reducing Costs

As would be expected, both the longline and handline surveys analysis indicates that improved cost parameters make possible a reduction in the catch level of fish that needs to be caught in order to either breakeven or achieve a desired return on investment. Moreover, the sensitivity analysis presented in Table V-A indicates relatively greater sensitivity to changes in price then changes in individual cost factors.

Cost reduction policies can be categorized as measures which provide in-put or operating subsidies, such as fuel tax rebates or loans at below market interest rates, and measures directed at enhancing the cost-revenue ratio, such as encouraging the use of more efficient equipment. It is concluded that policies related to reducing costs should emphasize cost-revenue efficiency rather than measures that provide an operating cost subsidy. The former is prefered since it would be more likely to result in both economic and social benefits. This is less likely to be provided by a operating cost subsidy, such as a fuel tax rebate, which may actually encourage or maintain inefficiency.
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The sensitivity analysis in Table V-A indicates that the catch level of fish that needs to be caught in order to either breakeven or achieve a desired return on investment is relatively more sensitive to increases in the price per pound then to the changes in operating costs. The greater sensitivity to changes in price suggest that priority should be given to policies which favorably impact the per unit price obtained by the fishermen. Price supports are an example of a fishery development policy that would have a significant impact on maintaining and expanding commercial fishing.

Other policies that could favorably impact the per unit price obtained by fishermen include those related to developing or expanding markets, increasing the vessel owners' access to wholesale and retail distribution and marketing channels. Certain management policies, such as size limits, emphasize higher fillet yield and higher quality premiums and could also benefit the per unit price obtained by the fishermen.

## APPENDIX ${ }^{(1)}$

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS FOR HANDLINE RESPONDENTS STRATIFIED BY SALES RESPONSE
(1) Data from Hawaii Opinion Survey, H-83-11C. Computations by A. Lono Lyman, Inc.

## IIEAN AND 50\% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CAPITAL, FIXED AND VARIABLE EXPENSES FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS WHO REPORTED SALES GREATER THEN OR EQUAL TO $\$ 10,000$

|  | Mean | Std. Error of Miean | Est. Nean at Lawer Limit | 50.0\% Conf. Upper Limit | Respanses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital and Fixed Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boat Mbrtgrge Paid in 1981............... | \$5,429 | 2,943 | \$3,369 | \$7,489 | 11 |
| Trailer Mibrtgage Paid in 1981............ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Other Loans Paid in 1981................. | 265 | 265 | 80 | 450 | 12 |
| Licenses and Fees. | 562 | 496 | 216 | 908 | 12 |
| Boat Insurance........................... | 1,029 | 484 | 690 | 1,367 | 11 |
| Tailer Insurance. | 27 | 19 | 14 | 41 | 11 |
| Sub Total............................ | \$7,312 |  | \$4,369 | \$10,254 |  |
| Variable Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repairs (1981): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engine................................. | \$823 | 255 | 645 | 1,001 | 12 |
| Hull.................................. | 129 | 86 | 69 | 189 | 12 |
| Electronic............................ | 155 | 99 | 86 | 224 | 12 |
| Fish Equipnent........................ | 292 | 126 | 204 | 379 | 12 |
| Sub Total........................... | \$1,399 |  | \$1,005 | \$1,793 |  |
| Fuel and Oil............................ | \$6,462 | 1,095 | \$5,699 | \$7,225 | 12 |
| Fishing Gear............................. | 2,878 | 1,002 | 2,180 | 3,577 | 12 |
| Bait..................................... | 1,977 | 575 | 1,575 | 2,380 | 11 |
| Ice...................................... | 1,523 | 576 | 1,119 | 1,926 | 11 |
| Food..................................... | 1,216 | 310 | 1,000 | 1,432 | 12 |
| Auction and Unloading Fees............... | 3,939 | 1,248 | 3,057 | 4,820 | 9 |
| Sub Total........................... | \$17,995 |  | \$14,630 | \$21,360 |  |
| Share of Fish Paid to |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crew and Captain....................... | \$8,592 | 4,062 | \$5,761 | \$11,423 | 12 . |
| TUIAL VARIAEIE EXPENEES.............. | \$27,986 |  | \$21,396 | \$34,576 |  |
| TOIA CAPITAL, FIXB |  |  |  |  |  |
| AND VARIABL EXPEASES............. | \$35,298 |  | \$25,765 | \$44,830 |  |

## APPENDIX，page 2

TRIP ANALYSIS
FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS
WHO REPORTED SALES GREATER THEN OR EQUAL TO $\$ 10,000$

|  | Mean | Responses |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Number of Fishing Trips Average Month | 13.17 | 12 |
| Duration of Trip（days） | .96 | 11 |
| Duration of Trip（hours） | 23.04 |  |
| Estimated Trips per Year | 158.04 |  |
| Estimated Hours at Sea Annually | 3,641 |  |

VARIABLE EXPENSE ANALYSIS PER TRIP FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS WHO REPORTED SALES GREATER THEN OR EQUAL TO $\$ 10,000$
Variable Expenses Per Trip

Mean

Repairs：
－－－－
Engine \＄5． 21

## Hull

 .82Electronic ..... 98
Fish Equipment ..... 1.85
Sub Total ..... $\$ 8.85$
Fuel and Oil ..... $\$ 40.89$
Fishing Gear ..... 18.21
Bait ..... 12.51
Ice． ..... 9.64
Food ..... 7.70
Auction and Unloading Fees ..... 24.92
Sub Total ..... \＄113．86
Share of Fish Paid to
Crew and Captain． ..... \＄54．36
TOTAL VARIABLE EXPENSES ..... \＄177．08

## CAPITAL, FIXED AND VARIABLE EXPENSES FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS WHO REPORTED SALES LESS THEN $\$ 10,000$



## TRIP ANALYSIS

FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS WHO REPORTED SALES LESS THEN $\$ 10,000$

Number of Fishing Trips Average Month

Responses
38
40
20.35
Duration of Trip (hours)
81.16
Estimated Trips per Year
1,652
Estimated Hours at Sea Annually8540
VARIABLE EXPENSE PER TRIPFOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTSWHO REPORTED SALES LESS THEN $\$ 10,000$
Variable Expenses Per Trip MeanRepairs:
Engine----
Hull ..... 3.60\$13.99
Electronic ..... 1.35
Electic.
Fish Equipment ..... 2.26
Sub Total ..... \$21. 20
Fuel and Oil ..... \$28.33
Fishing Gear ..... 9.73
Bait ..... 9.99
Ice ..... 7.08
Food ..... 7.28
Auction and Unloading Fees ..... 17.30
Sub Total ..... \$79.71
Share of Fish Paid to
Crew and Captain ..... \$12.13
TOTAL VARIABLE EXPENSES ..... \$113.05
= = = = = =

CAPITAL, FIXED AND VARIABLE EXPENSES FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS WHO REPORTED NO SALES



TRIP ANALYSIS
FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS
WHO REPORTED NO SALES

|  | Mean | Responses |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Number of Fishing Trips Average Month | $-\overline{79}$ | 47 |
| Duration of Trip (days) | .58 | 48 |
| Duration of Trip (hours) | 13.92 |  |
| Estimated Trips per Year | 117.48 |  |
| Estimated Hours at Sea Annually | 1,635 |  |

VARIABLE EXPENSE PER TRIP FOR ALL HANDLINE RESPONDENTS WHO REPORTEU NO SALES
Mean
Repairs: ----
Engine ..... \$8. 17
Hull ..... 2.42
Electronic ..... 74
Fish Equipment ..... 2.73
Sub Total ..... \$14.06
Fuel and Oil ..... $\$ 28.07$
Fishing Gear ..... 15.24
Bait ..... 12.52
Ice ..... 7.81
Food ..... 8.44
Auction and Unloading Fees ..... 3.99
Sub Total ..... \$76.06
Share of Fish Paid to
Crew and Captain ..... \$17.61
TOTAL VARIABLE EXPENSES ..... \$107.74

