## JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH

## Supporting Information for "Linkages Between Phytoplankton and Bottom Oxygen in the Chesapeake Bay"

Guangming Zheng<sup>1,2</sup>, Paul M. DiGiacomo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NOAA/NESDIS Center for Satellite Applications and Research, 5830 University Research Court, College Park, MD 20740, U.S.A.

<sup>2</sup>Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland Research Park, 5825 University Research Court, College

Park, MD 20740, U.S.A.

## Contents of this file

- 1. Text S1 to S5
- 2. Figures S1 to S5

## Introduction

In this appendix we show more details about the relationship between bottom [DO] and pre-DO surface [Chl-a] in the Chesapeake Bay. Figures S1—S4 show essentially the same data shown in Fig. 3A,E in the main text but only for pattern 1 and categorized by station or by year to show the interannual and spatial variability. Figure S5 shows the seasonality of surface phytoplankton biomass along the Chesapeake Bay mainstem transect.

**Text S1.** Figure S1 shows the sation by station relationship between bottom [DO] and pre-DO surface [Chl-a] in April. For most upper and middle bay stations, the two variables

X - 2

exhibit a strong correlation; bottom [DO] tends to be lower for years with higher pre-DO [Chl-a]. The correlation decreases towards the lower bay particularly for stations near the open Atlantic, largely owing to smaller range of [DO].

Text S2. Figure S2 shows the spatial relationship between bottom [DO] and pre-DO surface [Chl-a] for each year. The degree of correlation exhibits significant variability from highly (2017) to poorly (2012) correlated. In 2013, 2014, and 2016, the correlation is strong for a subset of the stations. The large variability is likely associated with the changes in mixing intensity because water column in April is not yet stratified.

**Text S3.** Figure S3 is similar to Fig. S1 except for August. The correlation is generally low owing mainly to the small dynamic range of [DO] for a given station. The August [DO] at a given station changes little from year to year.

**Text S4.** Figure S4 is similar to Fig. S2 except for August. The degree of correlation across different stations is consistently strong for each individual year.

**Text S5.** Figure S5 shows monthly changes in surface [Chl-a] along the mainstem transect (see Fig. 1 in main text). Two main blooms in March and July are visible in this region.

: X - 3

Figure S1. Station-specific interannual relationship between bottom [DO] and 4-weekly mean

pre-DO surface [Chl-a] in the Chesapeake Bay in April. Panels are ordered by station location

from the upper to the lower bay.

Figure S2. Spatial relationship between bottom [DO] and 4-weekly mean pre-DO surface

[Chl-a] in the Chesapeake Bay in April. Each panel shows data from a specific year.

Figure S3. Same as Fig. S1 except for August.

Figure S4. Same as Fig. S2 except for August.

Figure S5.