1	Assessing Storm Surge Impacts on Coastal Inundation due to Climate Change:						
2	Case Studies of Baltimore and Dorchester County in Maryland						
3							
4	Authors: Ming Li ^{1*} , Fan Zhang ¹ , Samuel Barnes ² , and Xiaohong Wang ²						
5	Affiliation:						
6	¹ Horn Point Lab, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Cambridge,						
7	Maryland 21613, U.S.A.						
8							
9	² Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Salisbury University, 1101 Camden						
10	Avenue, Salisbury, Maryland 21801, U.S.A.						
11							
12	ORCID: Ming Li (0000-0003-1492-4127), Fan Zhang (0000-0002-9637-0529).						
13	Acknowledgments:						
14	We are grateful to two reviewers for the helpful comments. Funding support was provided by						
15	Maryland Sea Grant (NA14OAR4170090 and SA75281450-H). Fan Zhang is supported by						
16	Maryland Sea Grant Fellowship. This is UMCES contribution number xxxx.						
17							
18							
19	Submitted to Natural Hazards						
20							
21							
22 23 24	*Correspondence to: Ming Li, Horn Point Lab, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Cambridge, Maryland 21613, U.S.A. Email: <u>mingli@umces.edu</u> .						

25

26

Abstract

Hurricane Isabel (2003) generated record flooding around Chesapeake Bay and caused 27 extensive damage in rural Eastern Shore of Maryland and metropolitan cities like Baltimore. 28 Regional atmosphere-ocean models are used to investigate the storm surge and coastal 29 inundation that might be produced by a similar storm under the warmer ocean temperature and 30 higher sea level projected for the future climate. Warming causes the storm to intensify, with the 31 minimum sea level pressure decreasing from 955 mb during Isabel to \sim 950 mb in 2050 and \sim 940 32 mb in 2100. The stronger storm and higher mean sea level amplify the peak water level by ~ 0.5 33 m in 2050 and ~ 1.2 m in 2100. The total inundated area over Chesapeake Bay expands by 26% 34 in 2050 and 47-62% in 2100. Over the rural Dorchester County, the inundated area shows 35 36 moderate expansion in the future climate but the average inundation depth is 30% higher in 2050 and 50-70% higher in 2100. The number of houses flooded increases from 1,420 during 37 Hurricane Isabel to 1,850/2,190 in 2100 under the climate change scenario Representative 38 39 Concentration Pathway (RCP) 4.5/8.5. The inundated area in Baltimore is 2.2 km² during Hurricane Isabel, expands to 5.1 km² in 2050, and reaches 8.1/9.1 km² in 2100 under RCP 4.5/8.5. 40 The estimated flood damage to Baltimore increases from \$29 million in 2003 to \$98/100 million 41 in 2050 and \$150/162 million in 2100 under the median projection of RCP 4.5/8.5. These 42 estimates are subjected to uncertainty due to different climate change scenarios and different 43 climate model projections. 44

45

Keywords: coastal inundation, climate change, sea level rise, storm surge, economic loss,
impact assessment.

48 **1. Introduction**

49 As demonstrated during recent catastrophic events such as Hurricane Sandy (2012), Hurricane Harvey (2017) and Hurricane Irma (2017), coastal communities, properties, and 50 natural resources are at great risk to coastal inundation caused by storm surge. Since the 19th 51 century, storm surge has cost millions of lives and hundreds of billions dollars in economic 52 damage (El-Sabh 1990; USGCRP 2017). Climate change is expected to increase the rate of sea-53 level rise (IPCC 2013; Church et al. 2013) and cause significant increases in extreme weather 54 (Emanuel et al. 2008; Wollings et al. 2012; Knutson et al. 2013; Eichler et al. 2013), 55 exacerbating the coastal inundation problem. There is thus an urgent need to assess the potential 56 impacts of storms on coastal inundation in the future climate. 57

Sea level rise leads to higher extreme sea levels and more extensive coastal flooding 58 (Hallegatte et al. 2013; Hinkel et el. 2014; Sweet and Park 2014; Moftakhari et al. 2015). Tide 59 gauge records and satellite altimetry reveal that global-mean sea level (GSML) rose at a rate of 60 1.2 ± 0.2 mm yr⁻¹ between 1900 and 1990 and at a much faster rate of 3.0 ± 0.7 mm yr⁻¹ between 61 1993 and 2012 (Domingues et al. 2008; Church and White 2011; Hay et al. 2015; Dangendorf et 62 al. 2017). Climate models predict that the rate of sea level rise will accelerate in the 21st century. 63 According to IPCC AR5 (Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate 64 Change), GMSL is projected to rise 0.52-0.98 m by 2100 for the highest emission scenario 65 considered - Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 (Church et al. 2013). 66 Probabilistic sea level projections suggest a very likely (90% probability) GMSL rise of 0.5-1.2 67 m under RCP 8.5 (Kopp et al. 2014). If the rapid collapse of Antarctic ice sheet as projected in 68 some climate models is taken into consideration, the median projected GMSL for 2100 will 69 increase from 0.79 to 1.46 m under RCP 8.5 (Kopp et al. 2017). 70

Some parts of the ocean rise faster than others. For example, tide-gauge records in 71 Chesapeake Bay show that sea levels increased by 3-4 mm per year over the 20th century (Zervas 72 2001, 2009), twice that of the global average. Land subsidence associated with glacial isostatic 73 adjustment is a dominant contributor to the high relative sea level rise (Engelhart et al. 2009; 74 Miller et al. 2013). Ocean dynamics, arising from changing ocean circulation, may also 75 contribute to higher sea levels in certain coastal regions. Sallenger et al. (2012) presented 76 evidence that the US East Coast north of Cape Hatteras is a hot spot of sea level rise. The 77 weakening of the Gulf Stream over the past decade may have contributed to the higher rates of 78 sea level rise along the Mid-Atlantic coast (Ezer and Corlett 2012; Ezer 2013; Ezer et al. 2013; 79 Kopp 2013). The Atlantic Meridional Overturning Current (AMOC), of which the Gulf Stream is 80 an essential component, is predicted to weaken during the 21st century (Yin et al 2009, 2010; Yin 81 82 and Goddard 2013), further raising sea levels along the U.S. East Coast. However, recent analysis showed that sea level declined north of Cape Hatteras between 2010 and 2015 and this 83 decline was caused by an increase in atmospheric pressure combined with shifting wind patterns 84 (Domingues et al. 2018). Pieuch et al. (2018) suggested that river discharge may be a driver of 85 regional sea level variability along U.S. East and Gulf coasts. 86

Will extreme weather events such as hurricanes become more frequent and more severe in a warming climate? Studies based on coarse-resolution General Circulation Models (GCMs) have generally agreed on a tendency toward decreasing frequency and increasing intensity of tropical cyclones as the climate warms (Bengtsson et al. 1996). On the other hand, a consensus of GCMs predicts increasing wind shear over the North Atlantic with warming (Vecchi and Soden 2007), which would tend to inhibit overall tropical cyclone activity. Using an ensemble of GCMs and scenarios from phases 3 and 5 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project

94 (CMIP3 and CMIP5), Knutson et al. (2013) conducted dynamic downscaling projections of the 21st-century Atlantic hurricane activity. They found a significant reduction in the overall tropical 95 storm frequency but a significant increase in the frequency of intense storms. Similar results 96 were found in statistical-dynamic models (Emanuel 2006, 2008; Lin et al. 2012). However, 97 Emanuel (2013) found that tropical cyclones over most oceans are projected to increase not only 98 in intensity but also in frequency during the 21st century under RCP 8.5. Extratropical winter 99 storms are also likely to change in the future climate. There is a remarkable consensus among 100 climate models that the overall frequency of such storms will decline while the frequency of 101 severe storms will increase (Wollings et al. 2012). 102

The combination of stronger storms and sea level rise will likely result in higher water 103 levels and more extensive inundation in the future climate. However, assessing the climate 104 change impacts on coastal inundation faces many challenges. Chief among them are the large 105 uncertainty in sea level rise projections in the second half of 21st century (Kopp et al. 2017), the 106 inherent difficulty of global climate models in predicting future storms, long-term 107 geomorphological changes in coastal regions (Bilskie et al. 2016), and coastline management 108 decisions under the threat of climate change and sea level rise (Holleman and Stacey 2014; Lee 109 et al. 2017; Zhang and Li 2019). Moreover, engineering projects such as navigation channel 110 dredging have led to significant increases in tidal range and storm surge height in many estuaries, 111 including the Cape Fear River estuary, North Carolina (Familkhalili and Talke 2016) and the 112 Hudson River estuary (Ralston et al. 2019). Interestingly, however, the mean water level in the 113 upper part of the Hudson River decreased as a result of channel deepening such that the overall 114 flooding risk was reduced. 115

116 Several methods have been proposed to examine the coastal inundation risk under a 117 changing climate: dynamic climate downscaling approach, statistical deterministic approach, and perturbed historical baseline approach (Mcinnes et al. 2003; Bilskie et al. 2016). In the dynamic 118 119 downscaling approach, high resolution regional atmosphere models capable of simulating hurricanes and tropical storms are nested within the coarse-resolution GCMs under the future 120 climate condition (Lowe and Gregory 2005; Knutson and Tuleya 2004; Woth et al. 2006; 121 Mallard et al. 2013a, b; Knutson et al. 2013). This is the most direct approach for assessing the 122 climatic impacts on storms but is computationally prohibitive and inherits biases in the GCMs. In 123 the statistical deterministic model, synthetic storms are generated from a statistical deterministic 124 hurricane model (Emanuel et al. 2006) under large-scale atmospheric and oceanic environments 125 as projected by GCMs (Mousavi et al. 2011; Hagen and Bacopoulos 2012; Lin et al. 2012, 2016). 126 Using these synthetic storms to drive a hydrodynamic model, Lin et al. (2012) showed that the 127 combined effects of storm climatology change and 1 m sea level rise may cause the present New 128 York City 100-year surge flooding to occur every 3-20 years by the end of 21st century. In the 129 130 perturbed historical approach, the hydrodynamic model is forced with historical extreme sea levels to obtain a baseline, and changes to the mean seal level and hurricane intensity are 131 included in the model simulations (Yang et al. 2014; Orton et al. 2015, 2018). A few studies use 132 a hybrid of these approaches. For example, Mousavi et al. (2011) investigated three historical 133 storms and used an empirical deterministic model to account for the changes in the storm 134 intensity due to warming ocean. 135

Previous studies have suggested that the cumulative effects of sea level rise, tides and storm surges on coastal inundations are not simple linear additions. Smith et al. (2010) found that sea level rise can greatly amplify surge heights in shallow wetland areas of southeast Louisiana. 139 Surge generation and propagation over shallow areas are nonlinear processes and sensitive to 140 changes in the water depth. There are also strong interactions between storm surges and tides (Horsburgh and Wilson 2007). Mikhailva (2011) examined sea level observations in the Elbe 141 River, Germany, and found that mean sea level, tidal range, and storm surges all increased 142 during the second half of the 20th century. When changes in storm climate is considered, Lin et al. 143 (2016) found that Hurricane Sandy's flooding frequency at New York City significantly 144 increases over 21st century compared to the scenario with sea level rise alone. Large-scale 145 climate variabilities such as the North Atlantic Oscillation and geomorphic changes due to 146 147 dredging or shoreline hardening may have also contributed to higher sea level extremes in recent decades (Talke et al. 2014; Marcos et al. 2015; Familkhalili and Talke 2016; Ralston et al. 2019). 148

Besides the physics of sea level rise, storm surge and extreme sea levels, a number of 149 150 studies have investigated the socio-economic impacts of coastal flooding (e.g. Nicholls 2004; Hinkel et al. 2013; McNamara et al. 2015; Kulp and Strauss 2017; McAlphine and Porter 2018). 151 For example, Hinkel et al. (2014) estimated that 0.2-4.6% of global population will be flooded 152 annually in 2100 under 0.25-1.23 m GMSL rise, with expected annual loss of 0.3-9.3% of global 153 domestic product. For the U.S., Hauer et al. (2016) projected that a 2100 sea level rise of 0.9 m 154 will put 4.2 million people at the risk of coastal inundation. Neumann et al. (2015) examined the 155 joint effects of storm surge and sea level rise in economic damage. Dinan (2017) suggested that 156 the combined forces of climate change and coastal development will cause hurricane damage to 157 increase faster than the U.S. economy is expected to grow in the 21st century. Other studies have 158 analyzed how coastal mitigation and adaptation may alleviate the socio-economic impacts of 159 coastal inundation (e.g. Neumann et al. 2011; Hallegatte et al. 2013). For example, Diaz (2016) 160 161 used an optimization model to determine the optimal strategy for adaptation. McNamara et al.

(2015) developed a stochastic dynamic model coupling coastal property markets and shoreline
evolution, and found that the policy-induced inflation of property value grows with increased
erosion from sea level rise or increased storminess.

165 The coastal plains surrounding Chesapeake Bay feature many low-lying areas with low topographic relief (Wu et al. 2009), and are thus a good site for studying coastal inundation in a 166 167 changing climate. Recurrent flooding at high tides is already a major problem (Mitchell et al. 2012) and will likely become more frequent in the future as sea level rises (Spanger-Siegfried et 168 al. 2014). Chesapeake Bay is also susceptible to flooding by storm surges: about two dozens of 169 170 hurricanes and tropical storms have moved past the Chesapeake Bay region over the past 20 years. In particular, Category 2 Hurricane Isabel made landfall over the Outer Banks of North 171 Carolina on 18 September 2003 and moved northward on the west side of Chesapeake Bay, 172 creating widespread flooding in Norfolk, Washington, D.C., Annapolis, Baltimore and rural 173 Eastern Shore of Maryland and Virginia (Li et al. 2006, 2007; Shen et al. 2006; Zhong et al. 174 2010), as shown in Figure 1. This flooding event is considered to be a 100-year flooding event 175 for planning purposes by cities and towns around Chesapeake Bay. A major open question is 176 how much flooding such a storm may generate in 2050 or 2100 when the mean sea level and 177 ocean temperature are higher. To address this, we use regional atmosphere-ocean models to 178 simulate Hurricane Isabel (2003) and Isabel-like storms in the future climate as projected by the 179 global climate models. Our approach goes beyond previous studies on storm surge because a 180 regional atmosphere model is used to directly simulate the effects of ocean warming on 181 hurricanes. Unlike the parametric surface wind model/planetary boundary wind model 182 (Scheffner and Fitzpatrick 1997; Peng et al. 2004) and statistical-deterministic models (Lin et al. 183 184 2012, 2016), the regional atmosphere model can simulate the full hurricane dynamics including mesoscale wind structures and wind speed asymmetry. An accurate wind field is critical for an accurate prediction of storm surge along complex coastlines such as estuaries and bays (Chen et al. 2013; Kerr et al. 2013). Coastal inundation maps obtained from the regional atmosphereocean models will provide a more realistic depiction of coastal flooding over land during a storm than those obtained from a storm surge model forced by the parametric winds of a hurricane vortex.

191 We will investigate first the storm surge and coastal inundation over the entire estuary and then provide detailed assessments on flooding and economic damage at two representative 192 193 sites. The City of Baltimore is chosen as a representative urban site, and the Dorchester County on the Eastern Shore of Maryland is chosen as a representative rural area. By comparing the 194 responses of an urban and a rural site to the same storms, we can gain a better understanding of 195 196 their risks. Our goal is not to produce a probabilistic prediction for the storm surge and flooding in the mid and late 21st century but rather to illustrate how ocean warming and sea level rise 197 affect the peak water level and coastal inundation in a coastal region. Although similar studies 198 were conducted on other coastal waters, storm surge response to hurricanes is highly dependent 199 200 on bathymetry and coastline geometry. The semi-enclosed nature of an estuary like Chesapeake 201 Bay can trap and amplify storm flooding, making it particularly vulnerable to coastal inundation.

202

203 **2. Methods**

To assess potential impacts of climate change on storm surge and coastal inundation, we use regional atmosphere and ocean models. The regional atmosphere model, based on Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model, simulates how ocean warming affects storm intensity. The regional ocean model, based on the Finite Volume Coastal Ocean Model (FVCOM), simulates how sea level rise affects storm surge and overland inundation. The model-predicted peak surge levels are projected onto low-lying land areas to assess their impacts on coastal communities.

211

212 2.1 Regional Atmospheric Model

213 WRF is a non-hydrostatic, mesoscale atmospheric model (Skamarock et al. 2005), and has been widely used for hurricane simulations (e.g., Davis et al. 2008; Nolan et al. 2009a,b; 214 215 Seroka et el. 2016). We configured triple-nested model domains for WRF (Fig. 2a). The 216 outermost domain covers the western Atlantic at a coarse resolution of 12 km. The middle domain covers the south and middle Atlantic regions at a resolution of 4 km. The innermost 217 domain uses a fine resolution of 1.33 km to resolve the Chesapeake Bay region. There are 40 218 vertical sigma levels. At the lateral boundaries of its outermost domain, WRF is forced by 6-219 1° spatial Final (FNL) operational global analysis data at 220 hourly resolution (https://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds083.2/). At the ocean surface, WRF is forced by outputs from 221 daily real-time global SST Sea Surface Temperature (SST) with 0.5° resolution (hereafter RTG-222 SST, http://polar.ncep.noaa.gov/sst/rtg low res/). FNL is also used to initialize WRF at 223 approximately 48 hours prior to the hurricane's landfall. Zhang et al. (2017) used this WRF 224 model to simulate Hurricane Arthur (2014) and obtained good agreements between the predicted 225 226 and observed storm track, storm intensity and forward propagation speed.

227

228 2.2 Regional Ocean Model

229 The unstructured-grid FVCOM was used to simulate storm surge and overland 230 inundation (Chen et al. 2003; 2006). The model domain covers Chesapeake Bay and the eastern U.S. continental shelf (Fig. 2b). The horizontal resolution ranges from ~1 km in the inner shelf to 231 ~ 10 km near the open boundaries. The model resolves Chesapeake Bay and its surrounding lands 232 (up to 5 m height above the current mean sea level) at a resolution of 0.2-1.0 km. Finer 233 resolutions are placed over the City of Baltimore (5-10 m) (Fig. 2c) and the rural eastern shore of 234 Maryland including Dorchester County (100-200 m) (Fig. 2d), two focus areas in this study. 235 About 25% of the Chesapeake Bay shoreline is hardened, but these structures mostly use 236 shoreline stabilization techniques such as riprap and bulkheading and do not provide much 237 protection against flooding (Patrick et al. 2014; Palinkas et al. 2017). These small engineered 238 structures are not resolved in the FVCOM model. Neither are small-scale offshore engineered 239 structures such as bridge piers, breakwaters, and groynes. 240

The model is run in three-dimensional barotropic mode in which temperature and salinity are 241 kept constant. In the vertical direction, five sigma layers are used. At the offshore open boundary, 242 the sea level is prescribed using ten tidal constituents according to the Oregon State University 243 global tidal model TOPEX/POSEIDON 7.1 (Egbert and Erofeeva 2002). Hourly outputs of 244 surface wind and air pressure fields from WRF are used to drive FVCOM. A quadratic stress is 245 exerted at the bed, with the bottom roughness height set to be 2 mm in Chesapeake Bay and 2 cm 246 on the adjacent shelf (Lee et al. 2017). As a simplification the roughness heights are assumed to 247 248 be the same between the sea beds and the surrounding lands. We also ran the model with a uniform roughness height and only found minor differences in the storm surge prediction. 249 FVCOM simulation started at about 1 week before a hurricane's landfall to give sufficient time 250 251 for the model to spin up.

252 To simulate overland inundation, coastal lands up to 5 m above the mean sea level are included in the model domain. High-resolution (10 m horizontal resolution) digital elevation data 253 in land areas surrounding Chesapeake Bay are obtained from U.S. Geological Survey National 254 Elevation Dataset (Gesch 2009) while the elevation data (at a resolution of 1/3 arc-second) for 255 areas along the open coast are obtained from NOAA's Coastal Relief Model data (e.g. Grothe et 256 al. 2010). Bathymetry data are acquired from the NOAA 1 arc second resolution Bathymetric 257 Digital Elevation Model in estuaries, the 3 arc second Coastal Relief Model on the continental 258 shelf, and the 1 arc-minute ETOPO1 Global Relief Model in the deep ocean (Amante and Eakins 259 260 2009). Raw elevation and bathymetry data referenced to different vertical datum are converted to the same vertical coordinate system (NAVD88) using the V-Datum program (Yang et al. 2008; 261 Lee et al. 2017). 262

Wetting and drying of grid cells is implemented to simulate overland inundation due to storm surge and sea level rise. FVCOM uses a point treatment technique in which numerical grids consist of wet and dry points with a boundary defined as an interface line between the water and land respectively (Chen et al. 2011). A grid is treated as a wet point when the water depth exceeds h_c (set to be 5 cm in our model), otherwise it is considered a dry point at which velocities are set to zero.

269

270 *2.3 Design of numerical experiments*

To project the impact of climate change on coastal inundation in 2050 and 2100, we make use of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change fifth assessment report (IPCC AR5). The IPCC AR5 projections are based on a set of greenhouse gas concentration scenarios called Representative Concentration Pathways that reflect the updated greenhouse gas emission 275 reduction possibilities and climate change stabilization goals (Moss et al. 2010; van Vuuren et al. 2011). We selected RCP 4.5 and 8.5, representative of medium (delayed action) and high 276 (growing) emission scenarios, respectively. The Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 277 (CMIP5) used in the IPCC AR5 contains 15 General Circulation Models (GCMs). We extracted 278 Sea Surface Temperature (SST) in the tropical Atlantic Ocean from these GCMs, and calculated 279 the SST difference between the future climate and the historical reference period 1986-2005 280 (Villarini and Vecchi 2012). Then, the GCMs with minimum, median, and maximum SST 281 changes were selected for the downscaling simulations. The median projection is for the SST to 282 increase by 1.13 and 1.71 °C at 2050 and by 1.48 and 2.94 °C at 2100, with the lower values 283 from RCP 4.5 and the higher values from RCP 8.5 (Table 1). The inter-model spread in SST is 284 larger in 2100 than in 2050, reflecting larger uncertainty in the projection for the end of 21st 285 century. 286

The GCMs are also used to project the future sea level rise, but local effects such as 287 land subsidence and ocean dynamics in the northwest Atlantic Ocean are included. Church et al. 288 (2013) analyzed individual contributions to sea level rise, and estimated the median values and 289 likely ranges for projections of GMSL in the 21st century relative to 1986-2005. The median, 290 lower and upper range of GMSL will be used to obtain the median, lower and upper range for the 291 relative sea level rise in Chesapeake Bay. The regional land subsidence due to glacial isostatic 292 adjustment was estimated following Miller et al. (2013). The regional sea level rise due to 293 294 changing ocean dynamics was estimated according to Yin et al. (2009). Adding the three components together yielded the relative sea level rise in 2050 and 2100, as shown in Table 1. 295 Our median estimate is nearly the same as Kopp et al. (2014)'s central estimate for the relative 296 297 sea level rise in Chesapeake Bay while the lower (min) and upper (max) bounds correspond to

the two endpoints of their likely range (67% probability). In a recent study Kopp et al. (2017) considered DP16 (DeConto and Pollard 2016) scenarios in which the rate of the sea level rise would be much higher due to possible rapid loss of the polar ice sheets such as the deterioration of Antarctic ice shelves. DP16 scenarios were not considered in this study. More detailed information on the configuration of numerical experiments for climate change scenarios can be found in Zhang and Li (2019).

We conducted a total of 13 numerical experiments. The control run was the hindcast 304 simulation of Hurricane Isabel (2003) which served as the baseline to assess the climate change 305 306 impacts. For Isabel, WRF was forced by the FNL operational global analysis data at the lateral boundary and by daily RTG-SST at the ocean surface. For Isabel-like storms in 2050 and 2100, 307 WRF-FVCOM incorporated the effects of ocean warming and relative sea level rise under the 308 median, lower and upper range projections from RCP 4.5 and 8.5. Since the GCMs do not have 309 adequate resolutions to predict hurricanes in the future climate, they were not used to force WRF. 310 Instead WRF was forced by FNL at the lateral boundary. At the ocean surface, however, the 311 GCM's projected increase in the SST was added to RTG-SST to set the ocean surface boundary 312 condition for WRF. Following Hill and Lackmann (2011) and Mallard et al. (2013a), the GCM-313 314 projected changes in the atmosphere temperature between the historical period and future climate were averaged horizontally over the tropical Atlantic Ocean and then added to the FNL outputs 315 to set the initial and lateral boundary conditions for WRF (see also Zhang and Li 2019). This 316 317 approach provided a cost-effective alternative to running high-resolution regional climate models (Knutson et al. 2013) and captures changes in the atmospheric thermal conditions (temperature 318 and moisture) associated with warmer SST. To account for the relative sea rise in FVCOM, the 319 320 projected increase in the mean sea level was superimposed onto the astronomical tides along the

open boundary of FVCOM. This is a simplified representation of the sea level rise, which changes coastlines gradually. To model geomorphic response to sea level rise, one would need to develop a coupled hydrodynamic-wave-sediment transport model to simulate shoreline erosion and deposition processes, but it is computationally prohibitive to run such a model for 50 and 100 years. One would also need to consider the role of coastal wetlands in shaping coastline changes under changing climate.

327

328 *2.4 Inundation impact analysis*

Google Map and Google Earth are used to visualize inundations over the land areas surrounding Chesapeake Bay, including City of Baltimore and Dorchester County in Maryland. Water level data from FVCOM were imported and overlaid on Google Map and Google Earth. Inundation depths were obtained by subtracting the digital elevation data from the water level in each grid cell. The result was a wet/dry profile of inundation. Google Earth allows users to add and view 3D buildings, thus enabling 3D views of inundations over buildings and structures.

A majority of the data used for the inundation impact analysis were collected from U.S. 335 Census Bureau (https://www.census.gov/data.html) and housing websites such as Trulia 336 (https://www.trulia.com) and Zillow (https://www.zillow.com). Dorchester County was chosen 337 as a representative rural site. It is the largest county in Maryland and has a total area of 983 338 square miles (2,550 km²). According to the census in 2010, the county had a population of 339 32,618 population density of 55 people mile 340 and а per square (www.census.gov/quickfacts/dorchestercountymaryland, www.dorchestercountymd.com/). There 341 were 14,681 housing units at an average density of 26 per square mile, with the average home 342 343 value of \$188,000. The average household size was 2.36 and the average family size was 2.86.

Model-predicted inundated areas for Dorchester County were combined with the census and housing data to estimate numbers of houses and people affected by flooding. The total depthdamage function for residential houses, which includes structure and content costs, was used to estimate the flood damage, following the approach adopted by FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency) HAZUS-MH (Hazards US for Multi-Hazard Earthquakes, Hurricanes and Floods) program (Scawthorn et al. 2006a, b; Ding et al. 2008; HAZUS 2014).

The City of Baltimore was chosen as a representative urban site. It is the largest city in Maryland, with a population of 2.81 million in the Baltimore metropolitan area. The city has a total area of 92.1 square miles (239 km²). Baltimore is densely populated, with approximately 7,671 people per square mile (https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table

/baltimorecitymaryland). Founded in 1729, Baltimore harbor is the second-largest seaport in the 354 Mid-Atlantic where millions of shipments are made every single year. Baltimore has about 355 50,800 firms where many of these firms and businesses are located on or near the waterfront. As 356 357 a large city, 93% of the homes in Baltimore are multi-unit buildings such as apartments, but a majority of the properties in downtown Baltimore are commercial buildings. To estimate the 358 flood damage to Baltimore, we used the total depth-damage function appropriate for commercial 359 360 buildings, including structure and content costs (Scawthorn et al. 2006a, b; Smith 1994; Huizinga et al. 2017). We did not index for future price increases/decreases in real estate. The purpose of 361 this inundation impact analysis is not to obtain a precise estimate for the economic loss caused 362 by coastal inundation but rather to examine relative changes in the storm surge damage due to 363 climate change. 364

366 **3. Results**

A hindcast simulation of Hurricane Isabel (2003) was conducted first and then compared against simulations of a similar storm under the higher SST and sea level projected by the climate models. The bay-wide response is presented first, followed by detailed analyses on Dorchester County and City of Baltimore.

371

372 *3.1 Bay-wide response*

Hurricane Isabel made landfall at the Outer Bank of North Carolina on 18 September 373 374 2003, and travelled northwestward along a nearly-straight line that began 2 days before landfall and lasted until its eventual dissipation over the Great Lakes. WRF accurately predicted Isabel's 375 track and forward propagation speed (Fig. 3a). The root-mean-square error (RMSE) between the 376 predicted and observed tracks is 29.3 km. The observed Minimum Sea Level Pressure (MSLP) 377 reached a low of 955 mb on late 17 September, but rebounded to 1000 mb on 19 September. 378 WRF captured the observed temporal evolution of storm intensity (Fig. 3b). Isabel attained a 379 maximum sustained wind (MSW) of 45 ms⁻¹ at 10 m above the sea surface. This was well 380 simulated by WRF, although WRF slightly overestimated MSW post-landfall (Fig. 3c). The 381 RMSE for MSLP and MSW is 6.9 mb and 4.3 m s⁻¹ respectively, while the correlation 382 coefficients are 0.94 and 0.96. The RMSE normalized by variances is 0.020/0.024 for MSLP/ 383 MSW. 384

When exposed to warmer SST in the future climate, the storm intensifies. MSLP decreases to a minimum of 952 mb in 2050 and 940-950 mb in 2100 (Fig. 3b). The MSLP difference between the median RCP 4.5 and 8.5 projections is about 2 mb in 2050 but reaches 10 mb in 2100. MSW also becomes much stronger in the future climate: increasing from a peak

speed of 45 ms⁻¹ during Isabel to \sim 50 ms⁻¹ in 2050 and \sim 55 ms⁻¹ in 2100 (Fig. 3c). Since the same large-scale atmospheric flow fields (FNL) were used to force WRF at the lateral boundary, the storm in the future climate follows essentially the same track as Hurricane Isabel (Fig. 3a).

392 The stronger storm results in higher storm surge. Together with sea level rise, high water levels are generated in Chesapeake Bay. Two tidal gauge stations were selected for comparing 393 394 the sea level time series (their locations marked in Figure 2b). The Cambridge tidal gauge is located in the mid-Bay, next to Dorchester County. The Baltimore tidal gauge is located next to 395 the Inner Harbor of downtown Baltimore. The observed peak surge height during Hurricane 396 397 Isabel was 1.6 m in Cambridge and 2.3 m in Baltimore. FVCOM accurately predicted the storm surge: the RMSE is 0.15 m and the correlation coefficient is 0.97 (Figs. 4a and 4c). The 398 normalized RMSE is 0.035 in Cambridge and 0.040 in Baltimore. In 2050, the surge height is 399 ~ 2.1 m in Cambridge and ~ 2.7 m in Baltimore. The difference in the median projection of the 400 peak surge height between RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 is small, but the difference in the surge height 401 between the lower and upper range of RCP 4.5/8.5 is 0.28/0.27 m in Cambridge and 0.27/0.36 m 402 in Baltimore. Because the storm's forward propagation speed in 2050 is slightly slower than that 403 during Isabel (Fig. 3a), the storm surge reaches its peak water level 1-2 hours later at Cambridge 404 405 and Baltimore. More dramatic differences in the peak water level are found in the model projections for 2100 (Figs. 4b and 4d). The peak water level from the median climate change 406 projections increases to 2.5/2.7 m (RCP 4.5/8.5) in Cambridge and 3.2/3.4 m (RCP 4.5/8.5) in 407 408 Baltimore. The spread among the model projections is considerably larger in 2100, with a surge height difference of 0.6 m in Cambridge and 0.7 m in Baltimore under RCP 8.5. 409

The higher water levels lead to more extensive inundation around Chesapeake Bay (Fig.
5). During Hurricane Isabel, a large area on the eastern shore of Delmarva Peninsula was flooded,

412 as well as near-shore regions in the lower Chesapeake Bay and isolated spots on the western shore of the northern Chesapeake Bay (Fig. 5a). These are low-lying land areas vulnerable to 413 coastal inundation. In 2050, the flooded areas expand from these regions (Figs. 5b and 5c). By 414 2100, flooding appears at many locations along the coastlines of Chesapeake Bay and its 415 tributaries, in addition to the eastern shore (Figs. 5d and 5e). Figure 5f compares the size of the 416 inundated areas between Hurricane Isabel and Isabel-like storms in the future climate. Isabel 417 flooded 1,850 km². A similar storm in 2050 floods 2,305/2,339 km² under the median projections 418 of RCP 4.5/8.5. By 2100, an Isabel-like storm floods 2,715 km² under RCP 4.5 median scenario 419 and 3,000 km² under RCP 8.5 median scenario, representing 47%-62% increase in the flooded 420 area over that during Hurricane Isabel. The total flooded area in 2100 varies from 2,674 to 3,359 421 km² between the upper and lower projections of RCP 8.5, representing $\pm 11\%$ uncertainty around 422 the median projection. In comparison, a ± 5 cm error in the vertical datum would only result in 423 \pm 3% differences in the inundation area. Most of the additional flooded areas are on the rural 424 425 eastern shore of Maryland and Virginia where agricultural fields and wetlands dominate the landscape. The waterfront areas in cities like Norfolk, Washington, D.C., Annapolis and 426 Baltimore will be also be flooded, consisting mostly of commercial properties and apartment 427 buildings. 428

Additional calculations were done to separate the contributions of sea level rise and storm surge to the total inundation area in the future climate. In 2050, sea level rise alone caused 599/688 km² to be flooded under the median projection of RCP 4.5/8.5. The additional inundated areas caused by storm surge were 1,706/1,653 km², smaller than the area flooded by Isabel (2003). A similar result was found for 2100: sea level rise flooded 1,184/1,484 km² under the median RCP 4.5/8.5 projection and the storm surge flooded additional 1,531/1,586 km². The land topography surrounding Chesapeake Bay rises more steeply away from the coastline, such that
additional land areas flooded by storm surge would be smaller for the same surge height in the
future climate.

438

439 *3.2 Rural Dorchester County*

440 Due to its shape, Dorchester County is known as heart of the Chesapeake (Fig. 6). Cambridge, the county seat with the highest population density, is located on the shore of the 441 Choptank River in northern Dorchester County. The leaf-like parcel of land extends from 442 Cambridge towards the main stem of Chesapeake Bay and is sandwiched between the Choptank 443 River and the Little Choptank River. Along the coastline further south lies Taylor Island and 444 Hooper Island. The Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge occupies the central part of southern 445 Dorchester County. The refuge and the lands further south are low-lying areas vulnerable to 446 coastal inundation. There are only a handful of major roads linking rural communities and 447 fishing villages in Dorchester County, making emergency evacuation challenging. 448

When Hurricane Isabel hit in September 2003, the southern Dorchester County as well as 449 parts of the leaf-like area in the northwest corner were flooded: the water depth was less than 0.5 450 451 m in the northwest but reached about 1.5 m over the Blackwater area (Fig. 7a). According to the median projections of RCP 4.5 and 8.5, the flooded areas show a modest expansion by 2050, 452 because land elevation rises steeply further north (Figs. 7b and 7c). However, the inundation 453 depth is significant higher. By 2100, the flooded areas expand towards higher grounds in the 454 northeast direction (Figs. 7d and 7e). In addition, flooding appears along the banks of Choptank 455 456 River and Nanticoke River (see Fig. 6 for their locations). More noticeably, water depth in the inundated areas is substantially higher, reaching 2.5-3 m in deepest places. Moreover, the 457

projected inundated areas and inundation depths are quite different in 2100 between RCP 4.5 and8.5.

In 2100 there are substantial differences in the overland inundation among the GCMs in each climate change scenario, reflecting larger uncertainty in climate projections at the end of 21st century (Fig. 8). The inundation depths display large differences among the lower range, median and upper range of RCP 4.5 projections (Figs. 8a-8c). The inundation depths under RCP 8.5 are considerably larger than those under RCP 4.5, but show smaller differences among the lower, median and upper projections (Figs. 8d-8f).

Figure 9 summarizes the total inundated area and average inundation depth for different 466 climate change scenarios. Hurricane Isabel was estimated to flood 825 km² in Dorchester County 467 (Fig. 9a). By 2050, the total inundated area in Dorchester County rises to ~885 km² under the 468 median projections of both RCP 4.5 and 8.5, with a range of 875 km² to 903 km² between the 469 lower and upper range of the climate model projections. By 2100, the total inundated area 470 increases to 919 km² under the median projection of RCP 4.5 and 942 km² under the median 471 projection of RCP 8.5. The range of the projected inundated area in Dorchester is smaller under 472 RCP 4.5 (42 km²) than under RCP 8.5 (55 km²). Flood damage typically increases 473 474 disproportionately with flood depth (Scawthorn et al. 2006a, b). The average inundation depth in Dorchester County was just under 1.2 m during Hurricane Isabel, but increases to ~1.55 m under 475 the median projections of RCP 4.5 and 8.5 in 2050 (Fig. 9b). In 2100, the inundation depth 476 increases to 1.81 m under the median projection of RCP 4.5 and 2.02 m under the mean 477 projection of RCP 8.5, representing 51-68% increase in the inundation depth. Once again, the 478 spread in the inundation depth is smaller under RCP 4.5 (0.36 m) than under RCP 8.5 (0.49 m). 479

480 The model-predicted inundated areas were overlaid over the map of existing homes in Dorchester County to estimate the total number of houses flooded during Hurricane Isabel and 481 Isabel-like storms in the future climate (Fig. 10a). Isabel flooded about 1,420 houses. Although 482 the storm in 2050 floods more land areas, it floods 80 less houses under the median projection of 483 RCP 4.5 because most of the additional flooded areas are not on residential areas and some of the 484 areas flooded by Isabel are not flooded by the storm in the future. However, the storm is 485 projected to flood about 1,740 houses under the high end projection of RCP 4.5. Although the 486 flooded area under the median projection of RCP 8.5 is only marginally larger than that under 487 the median projection of RCP 4.5, 420 more houses are flooded because the additional flooding 488 hit residential areas. By 2100, 1,850 houses are predicted to be flooded in Dorchester County 489 under the median projection of RCP 4.5 but over 2,190 houses are flooded under the median 490 projection of RCP 8.5. There are large differences in the number of flooded houses (~400-500 491 houses) between the high and low ends of climate model projections under both RCP 4.5 and 492 RCP 8.5, suggesting larger uncertainty in predicting flooded houses in 2100. 493

With an average home size of 1500 ft² in Dorchester County, we can estimate flood 494 damage to residential buildings by using the depth-damage function (Scawthorn et al. 2006a, b; 495 HAZMAT 2014), as shown in Fig. 10b. Because the model does not have the spatial resolution 496 to resolve each house and lot, we used the mean inundation depth in the flooded areas to obtain 497 an estimate for the property damage. The damage to residential houses was estimated to be 498 ~\$100 million during Hurricane Isabel and would increase to \$109/143 million in 2050 and 499 \$183/216 million in 2100 under RCP4.5/8.5. Combing the housing data with the census data, we 500 estimated that the flooding due to Hurricane Isabel directly affected about 3,351 people. Under 501

the median climate change projections, a similar storm affects 3,162/4,106 people (RCP 4.5/RCP
8.5) in 2050 and 4,366/5,168 people (RCP 4.5/RCP 8.5) in 2100.

504

505 *3.3 City of Baltimore*

Downtown Baltimore is situated next to the Inner Harbor which is connected to Patapsco 506 507 River, a tributary of Chesapeake Bay (Fig. 11). Hundreds of businesses are found in the downtown financial district, including skyscrapers like the Bank of America building and the 508 Baltimore World Trade Center. Both Charles Street and Pratt Street are significant avenues of 509 commercial and cultural activity. The Inner Harbor itself is the chief commercial and tourist 510 destination in Baltimore, visited by over 13 million people a year. It is home to museums such as 511 the Maryland Science Center, the National Aquarium in Baltimore and the Flag House & Star-512 Spangled Banner Museum. To the west of the Inner Harbor are the Light street and Charles 513 Street where land elevation rises rather rapidly. South of the Inner Harbor lies museums, Federal 514 Hill Park and apartment buildings. North of the Inner Harbor lies in Pratt Street and business 515 buildings. To the north-east of the Inner Harbor lies in Fells Point and Little Italy featuring 516 residential buildings and restaurants, with many low-lying areas. 517

When Hurricane Isabel hit in 2003, it flooded streets around the Inner Harbor (Fig. 12a), with Pratt Street and Light Street as well as streets in Fells Point and Little Italy under water. The predicted inundated area is in agreement with anecdotal reports of flooding in Baltimore (e.g. Fig. 1a). In 2050, the flooded area expands west of Light Street and north of Pratt Street, and covers a large area in Little Italy and Fells Point, according to the median projection of RCP 8.5 (Fig. 12b). In 2100, the flooding reaches Charles Street in the west and 2 blocks north of Pratt Street (Fig. 12c). Several blocks in Little Italy and Fells Point are also flooded. The total inundated area

in Baltimore was 2.2 km² during Hurricane Isabel, increases to 5.1 km² in 2050 under the median 525 projections of RCP 4.5 and 8.5, and expands ~4 times to 8.1/9.1 km² under RCP 4.5/8.5 in 2100 526 (Fig. 13a). Interestingly, the average inundation depth was about 1.13 m during Isabel but 527 reduces slightly to 1-1.12 m under all climate change scenarios in 2050 (Fig. 13b). The flooded 528 areas during Hurricane Isabel were low-lying land right at the water front. As more areas are 529 flooded in 2050, the land elevation rises such that the averaged inundation depth is smaller even 530 though the peak water level is higher. In 2100, as more land areas are flooded, the inundation 531 depth increases to 1.17/1.28 m under the median projection of RCP 4.5/8.5. The inundation 532 depth also expands a wider range in 2100 under RCP 8.5, with the largest inundation depth at 533 1.51 m and the smallest inundation depth at 1.15 m. 534

How do these inundated areas translate to potential economic loss to the City of 535 Baltimore? First the google map was used to calculate the percentage (the total square footage) 536 of the flooded areas that are occupied by buildings. Then the total depth-damage function for 537 commercial buildings, expressed in terms of a unit cost per m² (Huizinga et al. 2017), was used 538 to estimate the flood cost to these buildings. Again we use the total damage function that 539 includes the structure and content costs. We estimated a loss of \$29 million in downtown 540 Baltimore during Hurricane Isabel (Fig. 14). It is further assumed that the property values are 541 indexed in today's dollars, given the difficulty in forecasting future price movements in real 542 estate. In 2050, the estimated economic cost due to an Isabel-like storm is estimated to be \$98 543 544 million under RCP 4.5 but \$100/\$115 million under the median/high end projections of RCP 8.5 (Fig. 14). This represents 3-4 fold increases in the economic damage to downtown Baltimore. 545 There are relatively small differences in the estimated damage (\$94 - \$115 million) among 546 547 different climate change scenarios and different climate models. By 2100, the economic loss

increases to \$150/\$162 million under the median projections of RCP 4.5/8.5 (Fig. 14). The highest end projection of RCP 8.5 results in a total economic loss of \$173 million to the City of Baltimore. This represents nearly 6 times increase in the property damage, with huge economic consequences. The estimated flood damage in 2100 varies from \$102 to \$162 million, depending on whether the low or upper projection of RCP 4.5 is used in the calculations. It ranges from \$152 to \$173 million under RCP 8.5.

554

555 4. Discussion and Conclusion

Using the climate model projections to drive regional atmosphere-ocean models, we have 556 557 investigated how ocean warming and sea level rise affect storm surge and coastal inundation in 558 Chesapeake Bay. Warming ocean produces more intense storms and stronger winds, resulting in higher storm surge and more extensive flooding. Hurricane Isabel (2003) generated a peak water 559 560 level of 1.6 m in Cambridge and 2.2 m in Baltimore, Maryland. By 2100, the peak water level is 561 projected to reach 2.5/2.7 m in Cambridge and 3.2/3.4 m in Baltimore under RCP 4.5/8.5. The net increase of 0.9/1.1 m in Cambridge is slightly larger than the projected sea level rise of 562 0.85/1.06 m. However, in the upper-estuary location Baltimore, the peak water level increases by 563 1.0/1.2 m under RCP 4.5/8.5, representing 18%/13% over the sea level rise. In terms of overland 564 inundation, Isabel flooded 1,850 km². By 2100, an Isabel-like storm floods 2,715 km² under RCP 565 4.5 median scenario and 3,000 km² under RCP 8.5 median scenario. Sea level rise alone flooded 566 1,184/1,484 km² under RCP 4.5/8.5, and the storm surge flooded additional 1,531/1,586 km². 567 Our modeling study has illustrated the nonlinear effects of sea level rise, storm surge and land 568 topography on coastal inundation. 569

570 The rural and urban areas show quite different responses to climate change, due to differences in land topography and geography. Over the rural Dorchester County, the inundated 571 area shows moderate expansion in the future climate but the average inundation depth is $\sim 30\%$ 572 higher in 2050 and ~50%-70% higher in 2100. The number of houses flooded increases from 573 1,420 during Hurricane Isabel to 1,850/2,190 in 2100 under the climate change scenario RCP 574 4.5/8.5. The estimated total flood damage in Dorchester County increases from \$100 million in 575 2003 to \$183/\$216 million in 2100 under the median projection of RCP 4.5/8.5. In comparison, 576 the inundated area in the City of Baltimore is projected to expand four-fold: the flooded area was 577 estimated to be 2.2 km² during Hurricane Isabel, and is projected to expand to 5.1 km² in 2050 578 and 8.1/9.1 km² in 2100 under RCP 4.5/8.5. Given the intense economic activities in the water 579 front areas in Downtown Baltimore, these large expansions of the inundated areas would 580 581 translate to larges increases in economic damages. The estimated property loss increases from \$29 million in 2003 to \$100 million in 2050 and \$150/\$162 million in 2100 under RCP 4.5/8.5. 582 There are uncertainties in projecting future coastal inundation impacts and economic damage due 583 to uncertainties in climate change scenarios and inter-model differences among climate models. 584 In 2100 the estimated property damage ranges from \$132 million to \$231 million in Dorchester 585 County. It ranges from \$102 million to \$173 million in downtown Baltimore. 586

587 One limitation of this study is the assumption of uniform relative sea level rise. The rates 588 of land subsidence in Chesapeake Bay are spatially variable. For example, by 2100 the relative 589 sea level rise in Norfolk is projected be 10 cm larger than that in Baltimore and Washington, 590 D.C., which is about 10% of the sea level rise (Boesch et al. 2018). Ralston et al. (2019) 591 reconstructured bathymetry changes in the Hudson River between 1860s and 2016 using 592 historical charts. A similar approach could be used to consider the effects of variable land

subsidence in Chesapeake Bay. Instead of the historical charts, we would need projections of
vertical land motion for the 21st century.

In this study we focused on Category 2 Hurricane Isabel (2003) and its cousins in the 595 596 future climate because Isabel was considered as a 100-year flooding event for planning purposes by cities and towns around Chesapeake Bay. To obtain a comprehensive assessment of flood 597 598 risks, however, we would need to model storms of various return periods (say 10-100 years). The 599 probabilistic approach to sea level rise projections (Kopp et al. 2014, 2017) would naturally fit into this risk assessment. To generate an ensemble of storms appropriate for probabilistic 600 601 calculations, one would need to analyze the past storm climatology and consider how climate change might affect the storm climatology. It is computationally expensive to conduct these 602 model runs. Many previous studies represented storms with the parametric wind model using 603 604 parameters such as the central pressure deficit, the storm size, the forward propagation speed, the storm heading and the landfall location, and employed Joint Probability Method (JPM)-Optimal 605 Sampling (OS) schemes to select representative storms (Toro et al. 2010a, b; Niedoroda et al. 606 2010; Condon and Sheng 2012). Others used a statistical deterministic hurricane model 607 (Emanuel et al. 2006) to generate synthetic storms under the large-scale atmospheric and oceanic 608 environments projected by GCMs (Lin et al. 2012, 2016). These hurricane models are 609 computationally efficient, but they cannot capture mesoscale wind structures and wind speed 610 asymmetry in hurricanes and produce accurate wind predictions needed for accurate storm surge 611 612 predictions. Short-term storm-surge forecasts now use mesoscale atmospheric models to forecast winds, and our study is one of the few that use such a model to obtain realistic simulations of 613 hurricanes under changing climate conditions. The feasibility of our approach has been 614 615 demonstrated. With increasing computational power, it could be used to obtain a probabilistic

risk assessment of coastal inundation in the future climate. The inundation maps obtained from
this modeling approach would be more realistic than the traditional floodplain maps generated
from the parametric wind model.

Many fringe areas of Chesapeake Bay are covered by salt marshes which are not 619 simulated in our model. Coastal wetlands may defend coastal communities from storm surge and 620 sea level rise, and mitigate coastline erosion (Reza et al. 2016; Kirwan et al. 2016; Rezaie et al. 621 2020). During storm floods, drag in vegetation on wetlands decelerates water flows and reduces 622 marsh erosion. It would be interesting to consider these dynamic interactions between storm-623 624 induced flows and vegetation in a future study. An intermediate step might be to prescribe a drag coefficient using empirically determined Manning's coefficient to represent different types of 625 vegetative surfaces such as coastal wetlands, forests and farms (Bunya et al. 2010; Dietrich et al. 626 2011; Ferreira et al. 2014). As sea level rises, coastal morphology continually adapts toward 627 equilibrium and salt marsh might migrate upstream. An integrated modeling system, consisting 628 of submodels of hydrodynamics, surface waves, sediment transport (e.g. Warner et al. 2010; Xie 629 630 et al. 2018), ecogeomorphology (Lesser et al. 2004; Nardin and Edmonds 2014) and engineered shoreline structures (Ge et al. 2012), would need to be developed in order to simulate the 631 evolving coastlines and coastal inundation under changing climate. 632

When assessing potential economic damages due to coastal flooding, we used simple depth-damage functions. This depth-damage approach does not include potential wave damage, although waves are relatively weak in semi-enclosed bays and estuaries such as Chesapeake Bay. Future work could consider cost-benefit analysis of various mitigation and adaptation measures in reducing inundation impacts, following similar approaches as Neumann et al. (2015) and Diaz (2016) among others. Zhang and Li (2019) compared the storm surge height in Chesapeake Bay

between two model runs: one soft shoreline scenario which allows low-lying areas to be flooded; 639 one hard shoreline scenario which prevents flooding at the current coastline. They found that 640 hardening shorelines would increase the surge height in the upper parts of the estuary by 0.5 m. 641 642 A future extension of this work could examine different shoreline scenarios such as adaptation measures in low-lying rural areas and installation of engineered structures around urban 643 infrastructure. The costs of flood damage could then be compared against the costs of the 644 mitigation and adaptation measures to identify most cost-effective ways to bolster coastal 645 resiliency in the entire region. 646

647 Data Availability:

The inundation graphics are hosted at <u>http://geronimo.hpl.umces.edu/mingli/</u>. Model output is
available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3497531.

650

651

653 **References:**

- Amante C, Eakins BW (2009) ETOPO1 Global Relief Model converted to PanMap layer format,
 NOAA-Natl. Geophys. Data Cent., Boulder, Colo., doi:10.1594/pangaea.769615.
- Bengtsson L, Botzet M, Esch M (1996) Will greenhouse-induced warming over the next 50 years
 lead to higher frequency and greater intensity of hurricanes? Tellus 48A: 57–73.
- Bilskie MV, Hagen SC, Alizad KA, Medeiros SC, Passeri DL, Needham H (2016) Dynamic
 simulation and numerical analysis of hurricane storm surge under sea level rise with
 geomorphologic changes along the northern Gulf of Mexico. Earth's Future 4(5): 177-193.
- Boesch DF et al. (2018) Sea-level Rise: Projections for Maryland 2018, 27 pp. University of
- 662 Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Cambridge, MD.
- Bunya S, Dietrich JC, Westerink JJ et al. (2010) A high resolution coupled riverine flow, tide,
- wind, wind wave and storm surge model for southern Louisiana and Mississippi: Part I —
 model development and validation. Monthly Wea. Rev. 138: 345–377.
- Chen C, Liu H, Beardsley RC (2003) An unstructured grid, finite-volume, three-dimensional,
 primitive equations ocean model: application to coastal ocean and estuaries. J. Atmos. &
 Oceanic Tech. 20: 159-186.
- Chen C, Beardsley RC, Cowles G (2006) An unstructured grid, finite-volume coastal ocean
 model (FVCOM) system. Oceanogr. 19(1): 78–89.
- 671 Chen C et al. (2011) An unstructured-grid, finite-volume community ocean model fvcom user
 672 manual (3rd edition), SMAST/UMASSD-11-1101, 315 pp.
- 673 Chen C, and Coauthors (2013) Extratropical storm inundation testbed: Intermodel comparisons
 674 in Scituate, Massachusetts. J. Geophys. Res. Ocean 118: 5054-5073,
- 675 doi:10.1002/jgrc.20397.
- 676 Condon AJ, Sheng PY (2012) Evaluation of coastal inundation hazard for present and future
 677 climates. Nat. Hazards 62: 345-373.
- Church J A et al. (2013) Sea level change. In Stocker TF et al.(ed) Climate Change 2013: The
 Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of
 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge Univ. Press, pp. 1137–1216,
- 681 Church JA, White NJ (2011) Sea-level rise from the late 19th to the early 21st century. Survey
- 682 Geophys. 32: 585-602.

- Dangendorf S, Marcos M, Wöppelmann G, Conrad CP, Frederikse T, Riva R (2017)
 Reassessment of 20th century global mean sea level rise.
 PNAS,https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616007114
- Davis C et al. (2008) Prediction of landfalling hurricanes with the Advanced Hurricane WRF
 model. Mon. Wea. Rev. 136: 1990-2005.
- 688 DeConto RM, Pollard D (2016) Contribution of Antarctica to past and future sea-level rise.
 689 Nature 531: 591-597.
- Diaz DB (2016). Estimating global damages from sea level rise with the Coastal Impact and
 Adaptation Model (CIAM). Climatic Change 137(1-2): 143-156.
- Dietrich JC, Zijlema M, Westerink JJ et al. (2011). Modeling hurricane waves and storm surge
 using integrally-coupled, scalable computations. Coastal Engineering 58: 45-65,
 DOI:10.1016/j.coastaleng.2010.08.001.
- Dinan T (2017). Projected increases in hurricane damage in the United States: The role of
 climate change and coastal development. Ecol. Econ. 138:186-198.
- Ding A, White JF, Ullman PW, Fashokun AO (2008) Evaluation of HAZUS-MH flood model
 with local data and other program. Nat. Hazards Rev. 9(1):20-28.
- Domingues CM, Church JA, White NJ, Gleckler PJ, Wijffels SE, Barker PM, Dunn JR (2008)
 Improved estimates of upper-ocean warming and multi-decadal sea-level rise. Nature 453:
 1090-1093.
- 702 Domingues R, Goni G, Baringer M, Volkov D (2018) What caused the accelerated sea level
- changes along the United States East Coast during 2010-2015? Geophy. Res. Lett.:
- 704 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081183.
- Egbert GD, Erofeeva SY (2002). Efficient inverse modeling of barotropic ocean tides. J. Atmos.
 & Oceanic Tech., 19(2): 183-204.
- Eichler TP, Gaggini N, Pan Z (2013) Impacts of global warming on Northern Hemisphere winter
 storm tracks in the CMIP5 model suite. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmosphere 118(10): 3919-32.
- 709 El-Sabh MI (1990) Statistical analysis of long-term storm surge data, storm surge: observation
- and modeling. Proceedings of International Symposium on Storm Surge. China Ocean Press,
 Beijing, China: 313-314.
- 712 Emanuel KA (2006) Climate and tropical cyclone activity: A new model downscaling approach.
- 713 J. Climate 19: 4797–4802.

- Emanuel KA, Ravela S, Vivant E, Risi C (2006) A statistical deterministic approach to hurricane
 risk assessment. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. 87: 299-314.
- Emanuel KA (2008) The Hurricane-Climate Connection. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc. 89: ES10ES20.
- Emanuel KA (2013) Downscaling CMIP5 climate models shows increased tropical cyclone
 activity over the 21st century. Proc. the Nat. Acad. Sci.
 www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1301293110.
- Engelhart SE, Horton BP, Douglas BC, Peltier WR, Törnqvist TE (2009) Spatial variability of
 late Holocene and 20th century sea level-rise along the Atlantic coast of the United State.
 Geology 37: 1115-18.
- Ezer T (2013) Sea level rise, spatially uneven and temporally unsteady: Why the U.S. East
 Coast, the global tide gauge record and the global altimeter data show different trends.
 Geophys. Res. Lett. 40(20): 5439-5444.
- Ezer T, Atkinson LP, Corlett WB, Blanco JL (2013) Gulf Stream's induced sea level rise and
 variability along the U.S. mid-Atlantic coast. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 118: 685–697.
- Ezer T, Corlett WB (2012) Is sea level accelerating in the Chesapeake Bay? A demonstration of
 a novel new approach for analyzing sea level data. Geophys. Res. Lett. 39: L19065,
 doi:10.1029/2012GL053435.
- Familkhalili R, Talke SA (2016) The effect of channel deepening on tides and storm surge: A
 case study of Wilmington, NC. Geophys. Res. Lett., 43: 9138-47,
 doi:10.1002/2016GL069494.
- Ferreira CM, Irish JL, Olivera F (2014) Uncertainty in hurricnae surge simulation due to land
 cover specification. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 119: 1812-27, doi:10.1002/2013JC009604.
- Ge, J, Chen C, Qi J, Ding P, Beardsley (2012) A dike-groyne algorithm in a terrain-following
 ocean model (FVCOM): development, validation and application. Ocean Modeling 47:2641.
- Gesch DB (2009) Analysis of Lidar elevation data for improved identification and delineation of
 lands vulnerable to sea level rise. J. Coast. Res. 53: 49–58.
- Grothe P, Taylor LA, Eakins BW, Warnken RR, Carignan KS, Lim E, Caldwell RJ, Friday DZ
 (2010). Digital elevation model of Ocean City, Maryland: procedures, data sources, and
- analysis. NOAA Tech. Memo. NESDIS NGDC-37, Dept. of Commerce, Boulder, Colo., 37

745 pp.

- Hagen SC, Bacopoulos P (2012) Synthetic storms contributing to coastal flooding in Florida's
 Big Bend Region with application to sea level rise. Terr. Atmos. Ocean. Sci. 23(5): 481–
 500.
- Hallegatte S, Green C, Nicholls RJ, Corfee-Morlot J (2013) Future flood losses in major coastal
 cities. Nat. Clim. Change 3(9): 802–806.
- Hauer ME, Evans JM, Mishra DR (2016) Millions projected to be at risk from sea-level rise in
 the continental Unites States. Nature Climate Change, doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2961.
- Hay CC, Morrow E, Kopp RE, Mitrovica JX (2015) Probabilistic reanalysis of twentieth-century
 sea-level rise. Nature 517:481–484.
- HAZUS (2014) The Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA's) methodology for
 estimating potential losses from diasters. <u>http://www.fema.gov/hazus/</u>.
- Hill KA, Lackmann GM (2011) The impact of future climate change on TC intensity and
 structure: A downscaling approach. Journal of Climate 24(17): 4644-61.
- Hinkel J, Vuuren Dp, Nicholls RJ, Klein RJT (2013) The effects of miigation and adaptation on
 coastal impacts in the 21st century. Clim. Change 117: 783394.
- Hinkel J et al. (2014) Coastal flood damage and adaptation costs under 21st century sea-level rise.
 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 111(9): 3292–3297.
- Holleman RC, Stacey MT (2014) Coupling of sea level rise, tidal amplification, and inundation.
 J. Phys. Oceanogr., 44(5): 1439–1455.
- Horsburgh, KJ, Wilson C (2007) Tide-surge interaction and its role in the distribution of surge
 residuals in the North Sea. J. Geophy. Res. Oceans 112: C08003, doi:10.1029/2006JC004033.
- Huizinga J, Moel H. de Szewczyk W. (2017). Global flood depth-damage functions.
 Methodology and the database with guidelines. EUR 28552 EN. doi: 10.2760/16510.
- 769 IPCC (2013) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Working Group I Contribution
- to the IPCC 5th Assessment Report Changes to the Underlying Scientific/Technical
 Assessment (IPCC-XXVI/Doc.4).
- 772 Kerr P C and Coauthors (2013) US IOOS coastal and ocean modeling testbed: Inter- model
- evaluation of tides, waves, and hurricane surge in the Gulf of Mexico. J. Geophys. Res.
- 774 Ocean 118: 5129-5172, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20376.

- Kirwan ML, Walters DC, Reay WG, Carr JA (2016) Sea level driven marsh expansion in a
 coupled model of marsh erosion and migration. Geophys. Res. Lett. 43: 4366–4373,
 doi:10.1002/2016GL068507.
- Knutson TR et al. (2013) Dynamical downscaling projections of twenty-first-century Atlantic
 hurricane activity: CMIP3 and CMIP5 model-based scenarios. J. Climate 26(17): 6591-6617.
- Knutson TR, Tuleya RE (2004) Impact of CO2-induced warming on simulated hurricane
 intensity and precipitation: Sensitivity to the choice of climate model and convective
 parameterization. J. Climate 17: 3477–3495.
- Kopp RE (2013) Does the mid-Atlantic United States sea level acceleration hot spot reflect
 ocean dynamic variability? Geophys. Res. Lett. 40(15): 3981-3985.
- Kopp RE et al. (2014) Probabilistic 21st and 22nd century sea-level projections at a global
 network of tide gauge sites. Earth's Future 2: 287–306.
- Kopp RE et al. (2017) Implications of Antarctic ice-cliff collapse and ice-shelf hydrofracturing
 mechanisms for sea-level projections. Earth's Future 5: 1217-1233.
- Kulp S, Strauss BH (2017) Rapid escalation of coastal flood exposure in US municipalities from
 sea level rise. Climatic Change, doi:10.1007/s10584-017-1963-7.
- Lee SB, Li M, Zhang F (2017) Impact of sea level rise on tidal range in Chesapeake and
 Delaware Bays. J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans 122(5): 3917-3938.
- Lesser G, Roelvink J, Van Kester J, Stelling G (2004) Development and validation of a three dimensional morphological model. Coast. Eng. 51: 883–915.
- Li M, Zhong L, Boicourt WC, Zhang S, Zhang DL (2006) Hurricane-induced storm surges,
 currents and destratification in a semi-enclosed bay. Geophys. Res. Lett. 33: L02604,
 doi:10.1029/2005GL024992.
- Li M, Zhong L, Boicourt WC, Zhang S, Zhang DL (2007) Hurricane-induced destratification and
 restratification in a partially-mixed estuary. J. Mar. Res. 65: 169-192.
- Lin N, Emanuel K, Oppenheimer M, Vanmarcke E (2012) Physically based assessment of
 hurricane surge threat under climate change. Nature Climate Change 2(6): 462.
- Lin N, Kopp RE, Horton BP, Donnelly JP (2016) Hurricane Sandy's flood frequency increasing
 from year 1800 to 2100. Proc. the National Acad. of Sci. 113(43): 12071-12075.
- Lowe JA, Gregory JM (2005) The effects of climate change on storm surges around the United
 Kingdom. Phil. Trans. of the Royal Soc. of London A363(1831): 1313-1328.

- 806 Mallard MS, Lackmann GM, Aiyyer A, Hill K (2013a) Atlantic hurricanes and climate change.
- Part I: experimental design and isolation of thermodynamic effects. J. Climate 26(13): 48764893.
- Mallard MS, Lackmann GM, Aiyyer A (2013b) Atlantic hurricanes and climate change. Part II:
 role of thermodynamic changes in decreased hurricane frequency. J. Climate 26(21): 8513811 8528.
- McAlpine SA, Porter JR (2018) Estimating recent local impacts of sea-level rise on current
 real-estate losses: A housing market case study in Miami-Dade, Florida. Popul. Res. &
 Policy Rev. 37:871-895.
- McNamara DE, Gopalakrishnan S, Smith MD, Murray AB (2015) Climate Adaptation and
 Policy-Induced Inflation of Coastal Property Value. PLoS One 10, e0121278.
- Marcos M, Calafat FM, Berihuette A, Dangendorf S (2015) Long-term variations in global sea
 level extremes. J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans: 120, 8115-34, doi:10.1002/2015JC011173.
- McInnes KL, Walsh KJE, Hubbert GD, Beer T (2003) Impact of sea-level rise and storm surges
 on a coastal community, Nat. Hazards 30(2): 187–207.
- Mikhailova MV (2011) Interaction of Tides and Storm Surges at the Elbe River Mouth. Water
 Res. 38(3): 284–297.
- Miller KG, Kopp RE, Horton BP, Browning JV, Kemp AC (2013) A geological perspective on
 sea-level rise and its impacts along the US mid-Atlantic coast. Earth's Future 1(1): 3-18.
- Mitchell M, Hershner C, Herman J, Schatt D, Mason P, Eggington E (2012) Recurrent flooding
 study for tidewater Virginia. Report of the Virginia Institute of Marine Science to the
 Governor and the General Assembly of Virginia.
- Moftakhari HR, AghaKouchak A, Sanders BF, Feldman DL, Sweet W, Matthew RA, Luke
 A(2015) Increased nuisance flooding along the coasts of the United States due to sea level
 rise: Past and future. Geophys. Res. Lett. 42: 9846–9852.
- Moss RH et al. (2010) The next generation of scenarios for climate change research and
 assessment. Nature 463: 747-756.
- 833 Mousavi ME, Irish JL, Frey AE, Olivera F, Edge BL (2011) Global warming and hurricanes: the
- potential impact of hurricane intensification and sea level rise on coastal flooding. Climatic
- 835 Change 104(3-4): 575-597.

- Nardin W, Edmonds DA (2014) Optimum vegetation height and density for inorganic
 sedimentation in deltaic marshes. Nature Geoscience, doi:10.1038/ngeo2233.
- Neumann J, Hudgens D, Herter J, Martinich J (2011) The economics of adaptation along
 developed coastlines. Clim. Change 2: 89-98, doi:10.1002/wcc.90.
- 840 Neumann J, Emanuel K, Ravela S, Ludwig L, Kirshen P, Bosma K, Martinich J (2015) Joint
- effects of storm surge and sea-level rise on US Coasts: new economic estimates of impacts,
 adaptation, and benefits of mitigation policy. Climatic Change, 129:337-349.
- 843 Niedoroda AW, Resio DT, Toro GR, Divoky D, Das HS, Reed CW (2010) Analysis of the
- coastal Mississippi storm surge hazard. J. Ocean Eng., doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2009.08.019.
- Nicholls RJ (2004) Coastal flooding and wetland loss in the 21st century:changes under the SRES
 climate and socio-economic scenarios. Glob. Environ. Change 14(1): 69-86.
- Nolan DS, Zhang JA, Stern DP (2009a) Evaluation of planetary boundary layer
 parameterizations in tropical cyclones by comparison of in situ observations and highresolution simulations of Hurricane Isabel (2003). Part I: Initialization, maximum winds,
 and the outer-core boundary layer. Mon. Wea. Rev. 137: 3651-3674.
- Nolan DS, Zhang JA, Stern DP (2009b) Evaluation of planetary boundary layer
 parameterizations in tropical cyclones by comparison of in situ observations and highresolution simulations of Hurricane Isabel (2003). Part II: Inner-Core Boundary Layer and
 Eyewall Structure. Mon. Wea. Rev. 137: 3675–3698.
- Orton P et al. (2015) New York city panel on climate change 2015 report: Dynamic coastal flood
 modeling. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1336(1): 56–66.
- Orton P, Conticello F, Cioffi F, Hall T, Georgas N, Lall U, Blumberg A, MacManus K (2018)
 Hazard assessment from storm tides, rainfall and sea level rise on a tidal river estuary. Nat.
 Hazards: 1-29.
- Palinkas CM, Sanford LP, Koch EW (2017) Influence of shoreline stabilization structures on the
 nearshore sedimentary environment in mesohaline Chesapeake Bay. Estuaries & Coasts,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-017-0339-6.
- Patrick CJ, Weller DE, Li X, Ryder M (2014) Effects of shoreline alteration and other stressors
 on submerged aquatic vegetation in subestuaries of Chesapeake Bay and the Mid-Atlantic
 coastal bays. Estuaries & Coasts 37: 1516-31, doi: 10.1007/s12237-014-9768-7.
- 866 Peng M, Xie L, Pietrafesa LJ (2004) A numerical study of storm surge and inundation in the

867 Croatan–Albemarle–Pamlico Estuary System. Est., Coast. Shelf Sci. 59: 121-137,

doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2003.07.010.

- 869 Piecuch CG, Bittermann K, Kemp AC, Ponte RM, Little CM, Engelhart SE, Lentz SJ (2018)
- 870 River-discharge effects on United States Atlantic and Gulf coast sea-level changes. PNAS,
- 871 115 (30), 7729-7734, doi/10.1073/pnas.1805428115
- 872 Ralston DK, Talke SA, Geyer WR, Al- Zubaidi HAM, Sommerfield CK (2019) Bigger Tides,
- 873 Less Flooding: Effects of Dredging on Barotropic Dynamics in a Highly Modified Estuary. J.
- 874 Geophys. Res. Oceans. doi:10.1029/2018JC014313.
- Reza M, Orton PM, Georgas N, Blumberg AF (2016) Three-dimensional hydrodynamic
 modeling of storm tide mitigation by coastal wetlands. Coastal Engineering 111: 83-94.
- 877 Rezaie AM, Loerzel J, Ferreira CM (2020), Valuing natural habitats for enhancing coastal
- resilience: Wetlands reduce property damage from storm surge and sea level rise. PLoS ONE
 15(1):e0226275. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226275.
- Sallenger A, Doran KS, Howard PA (2012) Hotspot of accelerated sea-level rise on the Atlantic
 coast of North America. Nature Climate Change 2: 884-88.
- Scawthorn C et al. (2006a) HAZUS-MH flood loss estimation methodology. I: Overview and
 flood hazard characterization. Nat. Hazards Rev. 7(2): 60–71.
- Scawthorn C et al. (2006b) HAZUS-MH Flood loss estimation methodology. II: Damage and
 loss assessment. Nat. Hazards Rev. 7(2): 72–81.
- 886 Scheffner NW, Fitzpatrick PJ (1997) Real-time predictions of surge propagation. In Estuarine
- and Coastal Modeling, M. L. Spaulding, and A. F. Blumberg, ED.. Amer. Soc. of Civ. Eng.
 374-388.
- Seroka G, Miles T, Xu Y, Kohut J, Schofield O, Glenn S (2016) Hurricane Irene sensitivity to
 stratified coastal ocean cooling. Mon. Wea. Rev. 144: 3507-3530.
- Shen J, Wang HV, Sisson M, Gong W (2006) Storm tide simulation in the Chesapeake Bay
 using an unstructured grid model. Est., Coast. Shelf Sci. 68(1-2): 1-16.
- Skamarock WC, Klemp J, Dudhia J, Gill DO, Barker D, Duda MG, Powers JG (2008) A
 Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 3. NCAR Technical Note NCAR/TN475+STR.
- Smith, DI (1994) Flood damage estimation A review of urban stage-damage curves and loss
 functions. Water SA Vol. 20 No. 3 July 1994. ISSN 0378-4738.

- Smith JM, Cialone MA, Wamsley TV, McAlpin TO (2010) Potential impact of sea level rise on
 coastal surges in southeast Louisiana. Ocean Eng. 37(1): 37-47.
- Spanger-Siegfried E, Fitzpatrick MF, Dahl K (2014) Encroaching tides: How sea level rise and
 tidal flooding threaten U.S. East and Gulf Coast communities over the next 30 years.
 Cambridge, MA: Union of Concerned Scientists.
- Sweet W, Park J. (2014) From the extreme to the mean: Acceleration and tipping points of
 coastal inundation from sea level rise. Earth's Future 2: 579–600.
- Talke, SA, Orton P, Jay DA (2014) Increasing storm tides in New York Harbor, 1944-2013.
 Geophys. Res. Lett. 41: 3149-55, doi:10.1002/2014GL059574.
- Toro G, Niedoroda AW, Divoky D, Reed CW (2010a) Quadrature-based approach for the
 efficient calculation of surge hazard. J. Ocean Eng., doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2009.09.005.
- 909 Toro G, Resio DT, Divoky D, Niedoroda AW, Reed CW (2010b) Efficient joint probability
- 910 methods for hurricane surge frequency analysis. J. Ocean Eng.,
- 911 doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2009.09.004.
- 912 USGCRP (2017) Climate Science Special Report: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume
- I, edited by Wuebbles DJ et al. U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC,
 USA, 470 pp.
- 915 Vecchi GA, Soden BJ (2007) Global warming and the weakening of the tropical circulation. J.
 916 Climate 20(17): 4316-4340.
- 917 Villarini G, Vecchi GA (2012) Twenty-first-century projections of North Atlantic tropical storms
 918 from CMIP5 models. Nature Climate Change 2(8): 604-607.
- 919 Van Vuuren et al. (2011) The representative concentration pathways: an overview. Climatic920 Change 109: 5-31.
- Warner JC, Armstrong B, He R., Zambon JB (2010) Development of a Coupled OceanAtmosphere-Wave-Sediment Transport (COAWST) modeling system. Ocean Modeling 35
 (3): 230-244.
- Woolings T, Gregory JM, Pinto JG, Reyers M, Brayshaw DJ (2012) Response of the North
 Atlantic storm track to climate change shaped by ocean-atmosphere coupling. Nature
 Goescience 5: 313-17.

- Woth K, Weisse R, von Storch H (2006) Climate change and North Sea storm surge extremes: an
 ensemble study of storm surge extremes expected in a changed climate projected by four
 different regional climate models. Ocean Dyn. 56(1): 3–15.
- Wu S-Y, Najjar R, Siewert J (2009) Potential impacts of sea-level rise on the Mid- and UpperAtlantic region of the United States. Climate Change 95: 121-138.
- 932 Xie X, Li M, Ni W (2018) Roles of wind-driven currents and surface waves in sediment
- resuspension and transport during a tropical storm. J. Geophys. Res, DOI:

934 10.1029/2018JC014104.

- Yang Z, Myers EP, Wong AM, White SA (2008) VDatum for Chesapeake Bay, Delaware Bay
 and adjacent coastal water areas: Tidal datums and sea surface topography, NOAA
 Technical Report NOS CS 15, 110 pp., NOAA, Silver Spring, MD.
- Yang Z et al (2014). A modeling study of coastal inundation induced by storm surge, sea-level
 rise, and subsidence in the Gulf of Mexico. Nat. Hazards 71(3): 1771–1794.
- Yin J, Goddard PB (2013) Oceanic control of sea level rise patterns along the East Coast of the
 United States. Geophys. Res. Lett. 40(20): 5514-5520.
- Yin J, Griffies SM, Stouffer RJ (2010) Spatial variability of sea level rise in twenty-first century
 projections. J. Climate 23(17): 4585–4607.
- Yin J, Schlesinger ME, Stouffer RJ (2009) Model projections of rapid sea-level rise on the
 northeast coast of the United States. Nature Geoscience 2(4): 262.
- 246 Zervas C (2001) Sea level variations of the United States, 1854-1999, NOAA Technical Report
 247 NOS CO-OPS 36.
- 248 Zervas C (2009) Sea level variations of the United States 1854–2006. NOAA Technical Report
 249 NOS CO-OPS, 53.
- Zhang F, Li M, Ross AC, Lee SB, Zhang DL (2017) Sensitivity Analysis of Hurricane Arthur
 (2014) Storm Surge Forecasts to WRF Physics Parameterizations and Model Configurations.
- 952 Weather & Forecasting 32(5): 1745-1764.
- Zhang F, Li M (2019) Impacts of ocean warming, sea level rise and coastline management on
 storm surge in a semi-enclosed Bay. J. Geophys. Res. 124,
- 955 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015445.
- Zhong L, Li M, Zhang DL (2010) How do uncertainties in hurricane model forecasts affect
 storm surge predictions in a semi-enclosed bay? Est., Coast. & Shelf Sci. 90(2): 61-72.

960	Table Caption:
961	
962	Table 1. Projected increases in sea surface temperature (SST) in the Atlantic Ocean and relative
963	sea level rise in Chesapeake Bay. The 'median', 'minimum', and 'maximum' scenarios are
964	based on the median value and likely lower and upper bounds of projected global mean sea
965	level rises in the IPCC AR5 report.
966	
967	Figure Captions:
968	
969	Figure 1. Photos of flooding scenes in (a) Baltimore and (b) Dorchester County, MD during
970	Hurricane Isabel (2003). (c) Model-predicted inundated areas around Chesapeake Bay
971	region during Isabel.
972	Figure 2. (a) Triple-nested WRF model domains (denoted by the thick black boxes) with
973	resolutions of 12, 4, and 1.33 km. (b) FVCOM model grids (red) in which the two yellow
974	dots mark the locations of tidal gauge stations in Cambridge and Baltimore, MD. Zoomed-
975	in view of fine-resolution FVCOM grids for (c) the City of Baltimore and (d) Dorchester
976	County, MD.
977	Figure 3. (a) Hurricane tracks, time series of (b) minimum sea level pressure (MSLP) and (c)
978	maximum sustained wind speed (MSW) for Hurricane Isabel in 2003 (black) and Isabel-like
979	storms in 2050 (thin lines) and 2100 (thicker lines). Open circles mark the observed MSLP
980	and MSW during Hurricane Isabel. Green/red lines represent the median climate projections
981	in RCP4.5/8.5.
982	Figure 4. Storm surge generated at Cambridge, MD (left, a and b) and Baltimore, MD (right, c
983	and d) by Hurricane Isabel (2003) and Isabel-like storms in 2050 (a, c) and 2100 (b, d). The
984	open circles represent the observed surge during Isabel and the black line represents the

model prediction. The green/red lines represent the water level projection under the median
condition in RCP 4.5/8.5 while the shades represent the spreads among the GCM models.

987 Figure 5. (a)-(e) Flooded areas (red) around Chesapeake Bay during Hurricane Isabel (a) and

Isabel-like storms in 2050 (b, c) and 2100 (d, e) (the present day water surface in

- 989 Chesapeake bay is shown as blue). (f) Total flooded area during Hurricane Isabel and under
- 990 different climate change scenarios.

- 991 Figure 6. Geographic map of Dorchester County, Maryland.
- 992 Figure 7. Model predicted inundated area in Dorchester County, MD during Hurricane Isabel (a)
- and Isabel-like storms in 2050 (b, c) and 2100 (d, e) under the median projections of RCP
 4.5 (b, d) and RCP 8.5 (c, e).
- Figure 8. Model predicted inundated area in Dorchester County, MD during Isabel-like storms in
 2100 under the lower (left), median (middle), and upper (right) projections of RCP 4.5 (top)
 and RCP 8.5 (bottom).
- Figure 9. The total inundated area and the average water depth over the inundated land in
 Dorchester County, MD for Hurricane Isabel (2003) and Isabel-like storm in 2050 and 2100
 under RCP 4.5 and 8.5.
- Figure 10. (a) Number of houses affected by flooding and (b) estimated property damage in
 Dorchester County due to Hurricane Isabel (2003) and Isabel-like storm in 2050 and 2100
 under RCP 4.5 (green) and RCP 8.5 (red) scenarios.
- 1004 Figure 11. Map of downtown Baltimore, Maryland. The thick black box marked the area shown1005 in Figure 12.
- Figure 12. Model predicted inundated area in Baltimore, MD during Hurricane Isabel (a) and
 Isabel-like storms in 2050 (b) and 2100 (c) under the median projection of RCP 8.5.

1008	Figure 13. The total inundated area (a) and the average water depth (b) over the inundated land in
1009	the City of Baltimore, MD for Hurricane Isabel (2003) and Isabel-like storm in 2050 and
1010	2100 under RCP 4.5 and 8.5.
1011	Figure 14. Estimated flood damage to commercial buildings in the City of Baltimore based on
1012	the depth-damage function.
1013	
1014	





(c)



















38.6

38.5

38.4

38.3

38.2

Latitude

























Table 1. Projected increases in sea surface temperature (SST) in the Atlantic ocean and relative sea level rise in Chesapeake Bay. The 'median', 'minimum', and 'maximum' scenarios are based on the median value and likely lower and upper bounds of projected global mean sea level rises in the IPCC AR5 report.

	RCP 4.5			RCP 8.5		
2050	median	minimum	maximum	median	minimum	maximum
SST increase in Tropical North Atlantic (°C)	1.13	0.83	2.11	1.71	1.20	2.64
Global mean sea level rise	0.26	0.19	0.33	0.30	0.22	0.38
Regional ocean dynamics	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.1
Regional land subsidence	0.075	0.065	0.085	0.075	0.065	0.085
Relative sea level rise (m)	0.43	0.33	0.52	0.47	0.36	0.57
2100		-	-		-	-
SST increase in Tropical North Atlantic (°C)	1.48	0.98	2.54	2.94	2.30	4.24
Global mean sea level rise	0.53	0.36	0.71	0.74	0.52	0.98
Regional ocean dynamics	0.17	0.13	0.19	0.17	0.13	0.19
Regional land subsidence	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.15	0.13	0.17
Relative sea level rise (m)	0.85	0.62	1.07	1.06	0.78	1.34