

15 **ABSTRACT**

16 In 2017, *Polydora websteri*, a shell-boring spionid polychaete worm and cosmopolitan 17 invader, was identified for the first time in Washington State. Shell-boring *Polydora* spp. and 18 related shell-boring spionid polychaetes (e.g., *Dipolydora* spp., *Boccardia* spp.), colloquially 19 known as mud worms or mud blister worms, live in burrows within the shells of calcareous 20 marine invertebrates, reducing the host's shell integrity, growth, survivorship, and market value. 21 Mud worms have a long history of impacting shellfish aquaculture industries worldwide by 22 devaluing products destined for the half-shell market and requiring burdensome treatments and 23 interventions to manage against infestation. Here, we explore the risks of mud worms to the 24 historically unaffected aquaculture industry in Washington State. This mini-review is intended to 25 inform shellfish stakeholders by synthesizing the information needed for immediate action in 26 Washington State. We review the recent documentation of *Polydora* spp. in Washington State, 27 discuss their history as pest species globally, summarize mud worm life history, and discuss 28 effective control strategies developed in other infested regions. Finally, we review existing 29 regulations that could be leveraged by stakeholders to avoid introduction of mud worms into 30 uninfested areas of Washington State.

31

32 Keywords: Polydora, mud worm, mudworm, mudblister, invasive species, oyster

33 **INTRODUCTION**

34 In 2017 the cosmopolitan invader *Polydora websteri* Hartman, a shell-boring polychaete 35 worm, was positively identified in Washington State for the first time (Figure 1) (Martinelli *et al.* 36 2020). These parasitic marine polychaetes in the family Spionidae bore into the shells of 37 calcareous marine invertebrates and can pose an economic and ecological risk to cultured and 38 native shellfish species (Lunz 1941; Simon & Sato-Okoshi 2015). Prior to the first report of *P.* 39 *websteri* in 2017, no native or introduced shell-boring *Polydora* species had been described from 40 Washington State (Lie 1968; Martinelli *et al*. 2020).

41 *Polydora* spp. and related genera are colloquially known as mud worms, or mud blister 42 worms, and have a long history of reducing shellfish aquaculture production and value in regions 43 such as Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, Chile, Mexico, Hawaii, the east and Gulf coasts 44 of the United States, and the east and west coasts of Canada (Table 1). Among the shell-boring 45 spionids, *P. websteri* is the most notorious invader and is common to many other shellfish 46 aquaculture regions (Simon & Sato-Okoshi 2015), with a broad host range including seven 47 oyster, one mussel, and three scallop species (Simon & Sato-Okoshi 2015). Despite previous 48 observations of mud worms in nearby regions such as British Columbia (Bower *et al.* 1992) and 49 California (Hartman 1961), shellfish growers have not historically identified shell-boring mud 50 worms in Washington State. It is unclear whether the mud worms are recent invaders or have 51 been present but were not previously detected due to low-level infestation, sampling methods or 52 lack of awareness, nor is the state-wide infestation rate yet known. The 2017 study reports that 53 mud blister prevalence in Pacific oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) sampled from public beaches in 54 Washington State was as high as 53% in one embayment of South Puget Sound (Martinelli *et al*. 55 2020) and suggests that infestation rates may have recently increased to levels at which observers

56 (e.g., growers, agency personnel) take notice. Ongoing work will determine infestation rates for 57 the Salish Sea and Willapa Bay regions.

58 Given the negative impacts of mud worms on shellfish aquaculture in other regions, their 59 presence in Washington State warrants a region-focused review to inform further investigation 60 and stakeholder awareness. Here, we explore mud worms as a potential risk to Washington State 61 aquaculture. We review the recent documentation in Washington State, discuss the worms' 62 history as pests of aquaculture, summarize mud worm life history and factors that influence 63 larval recruitment, and finally outline measures that stakeholders can take to mitigate the risks 64 and impacts of mud worms to Washington State shellfish aquaculture given existing regulations. 65 We provide information relevant to all boring spionids that infest cultured shellfish, 66 which includes ten species of *Polydora*, eight *Boccardia spp.,* and three *Dipolydora* spp. (Table 67 1). Where pertinent, we focus more heavily on the cosmopolitan invader *P. websteri,* due to its 68 confirmed presence in the 2017 Puget Sound oyster survey (Martinelli *et al*. 2020) (Table 1), and 69 its global status as a pest to oyster aquaculture (Radashevsky, Lana & Nalesso 2006). It is 70 important to note that mud worm identification is difficult, and there are ongoing debates 71 regarding spionid taxonomic classification. For instance, because *P. ciliata* is not a shell-boring 72 species, mud worms reported from shellfish and classified as *P. ciliata* are instead likely to be *P.* 73 *websteri* (Blake & Kudenov 1978; see Simon & Sato-Okoshi 2015 for a discussion of commonly 74 mis-identified species). For the purposes of this review, we will refer to the species names as 75 they were reported by the authors.

76

77 **RECENT** *POLYDORA* **IDENTIFICATION IN WASHINGTON STATE**

78 Washington State produces 45% of the molluscs cultured in the U.S. by value (USDA 2018) and 79 is an iconic industry that supports rural communities, protects water quality, and collaborates 80 closely with research and restoration programs (FAO 2011; Washington Sea Grant 2015). Within 81 Washington, Puget Sound growers produce 70% of the state's shellfish (80% by value, over \$92 82 million annually), concentrated mostly in South Puget Sound (Figure 1) (Martinelli *et al.* 2020; 83 Washington Sea Grant 2015). Historically, Washington shellfish farmers have not reported 84 losses from mud worms on their farms, and until 2017 no shell-boring *Polydora* species had been 85 formally documented from the state. Related spionid polychaetes have been present, such as 86 *Polydora cornuta* (Fermer & Jumars 1999), *Pseudopolydora* spp. (e.g*.,* Woodin 1984), and 87 *Boccardia proboscidea* (Hartman 1940, Oyarzun *et al.* 2011). These are primarily benthic 88 species, and while they can occupy mud deposits within oyster shell crevices, they do not burrow 89 and therefore do not create blisters. Economic losses associated with *Polydora* outbreaks in this 90 highly productive shellfish region could have nation-wide repercussions for the aquaculture 91 industry.

92 In 2017, mud worm blisters were noticed in increasing abundance in cultured Pacific 93 oysters from South Puget Sound, which triggered a preliminary survey. Martinelli *et al.* (2020) 94 sampled Pacific oysters from public beaches in Totten Inlet and Oakland Bay (Figure 1). Across 95 the two sites, 41% of oysters were infested with a shell-boring worm (53% of Oakland Bay 96 oysters, 34% of Totten Inlet oysters) (Martinelli et al. 2020). The worm species was identified 97 using morphology (from scanning electron microscope images), and phylogenetics (comparing 98 18s rRNA & mtCOI sequences against published *Polydora* sequences). Some of the worms 99 collected from Oakland Bay were positively identified as *P. websteri*, while others did not group

100 with any of the available sequences and their identity remains unresolved (phylogenetic trees are 101 reported in Martinelli *et al*. 2020).

102 It is unknown whether *Polydora* spp*.* were historically present in Washington State at low 103 abundance or recently introduced. If the species were recently introduced, eradication might be 104 possible (see Williams & Grosholz, 2008 for examples of successful eradication programs), or 105 they could still be contained to a few Puget Sound basins through stakeholder awareness 106 education, farm management, and state-wide regulation, which we discuss in more detail 107 throughout this review (Çinar 2013; Paladini *et al.* 2017). If, instead, *Polydora* spp. have been 108 present in Washington State for a long period of time but at low levels that until recently escaped 109 detection, the high infestation intensity reported by Martinelli *et al.* (2020) may be the result of a 110 recent uptick in abundance, caused by factors such as genetic changes, relaxation of biotic 111 pressures (e.g., predators), or environmental changes (e.g., ocean warming, siltation) (Clements 112 *et al.* 2017a; Crooks 2005). The recent marine heat waves, for instance, that resulted in 113 anomalously elevated ocean temperatures in Washington State from 2014-2016 (Gentemann, 114 Fewings & Garcia-Reyes 2017) may have enabled mud worm outbreaks directly, such as by 115 increasing reproductive output (Blake & Arnofsky 1999; Dorsett 1961), or indirectly due to 116 shifts in trophic ecology (e.g., altered phytoplankton community composition or phenology) 117 (Peterson *et al.* 2017).

118

119 **IMPACTS TO AQUACULTURE PRODUCTION**

120 By reducing the marketability of shellfish, mud worms have caused economic losses for 121 aquaculture operations worldwide (Morse, Rawson & Kraeuter 2015; Simon & Sato-Okoshi 122 2015). Mud worms bore into calcareous shells and line their burrows with shell fragments,

123 mucus, and detritus (Figure 2) (Wilson 1928; Zottoli & Carriker 1974). If the burrow breaches 124 the inner shell surface, the host responds by laying down a layer of nacre to protect itself from 125 the burrow and the worm (Lunz 1941; Whitelegge 1890). This can produce a blister, where a thin 126 layer of shell lies over a mass of anoxic detritus. The primary impact to oyster production is 127 product devaluation due to negative consumer responses to unsightly blisters and burrows within 128 the inner shell, particularly in freshly shucked oysters (Shinn *et al.* 2015). If a blister is breached 129 during shucking, anoxic material can contaminate oyster meat and brine, detracting further from 130 flavor and presentation (Morse, Rawson & Kraeuter 2015). Burrows can also decrease shell 131 strength, causing cracks during shipping and handling, and making shucking difficult (Bergman, 132 Elner & Risk 1982; Bishop & Hooper 2005; Calvo, Luckenbach & Burreson 1999; Kent 1981). 133 Since half-shell oysters are the most lucrative product option for oyster farmers, and mud worm-134 infested oysters are often not salable on the half-shell market, infestation substantially 135 depreciates oyster products. As Washington State oysters are increasingly prized and marketed 136 for their half-shell presentation (Washington Sea Grant 2015), the state's oyster industry is 137 particularly vulnerable to impacts of widespread mud worm infestations. 138 Mud worm infestation can also devalue shellfish products by compromising growth, 139 survival, shell strength, and other physiological characteristics. A bivalve host's growth rate is 140 negatively correlated with its worm burden, and while the mechanisms are not fully understood, 141 this may be due to the energetic drain of nacre production (Ambariyanto & Seed 1991; 142 Boonzaaier *et al.* 2014; Handley 1998; Kojima & Imajima 1982; Lleonart, Handlinger & Powell 143 2003; Royer *et al.* 2006; Simon 2011; Wargo & Ford 1993). For instance, Pacific oysters (*C.* 144 *gigas*) infested with *P. websteri* grow more slowly, exhibit more frequent but shorter valve 145 gaping, and have higher blood oxygenation, a sign of metabolic changes (Chambon *et al.* 2007).

146 Infested *C. gigas* also demonstrate a three-fold increase in abundance of Cytochrome P450, a 147 protein involved in the oyster's stress response, which could increase susceptibility to secondary 148 stressors (Chambon *et al.* 2007). Shell strength is negatively correlated with *Polydora ciliata* 149 burden in the mussel *Mytilus edulis,* which increases vulnerability to predation (Kent 1981). 150 Oocyte size is significantly reduced in infested *C. gigas* (Handley 1998), an indication that 151 reproductive capacity can be altered by mud worm infestation, which could be deleterious to *C.* 152 *gigas* hatchery production. While mortality directly associated with mud worm infestation is not 153 common, these studies indicate that shellfish harboring mud worms may be more susceptible to 154 secondary stressors, including predation, disease, and environmental stress (Wargo & Ford 155 1993).

156 In rare instances, large mortality events have been attributed to mud worm infestation. 157 For instance, in British Columbia, *P. websteri* caused up to 84% mortality in scallop grow-out 158 sites from 1989 to 1990, resulting in up to US \$449,660 in lost revenue that year (Bower *et al.* 159 1992; Shinn *et al.* 2015). In Tasmania and South Australia, *P. hoplura* killed over 50% of 160 abalone stocks between 1995 and 2000, causing an estimated US \$550,000 to \$1.16 million in 161 losses per year (Shinn *et al.* 2015). In the summer of 1997, one million juvenile scallops were 162 culled in a Norwegian nursery due to a *Polydora* spp. infestation; as a result, one-third of 163 Norway's 1997 scallop cohort was lost (Mortensen *et al.* 2000). In 1998, intense infestations (up 164 to 100 worms per oyster) of *P. ciliata* in *C. gigas* oysters in Normandy, France correlated with 165 considerable reduction in growth and meat weight, which may have contributed to unusually 166 high summer mortality rates of up to 51% (Royer *et al.* 2006).

167 In other regions, mud worm infestations have made certain growing practices impractical 168 or unprofitable. In New Zealand, fattening intertidally grown oysters on longlines for a few

183 which never recovered [\(Diggles 2013; Ogburn 2011\).](https://paperpile.com/c/RcvCBz/LMsc)

184

185 **BRIEF OVERVIEW OF MUD WORM LIFE HISTORY**

186 After a planktonic larval stage, a burrowing spionid worm settles onto the prospective host's 187 shell margin, and begins to excavate a burrow. Some mud worms in the genus *Polydora* (notably 188 *P. websteri*) create characteristic U-shaped burrows, such that two adjacent openings are created 189 at the margin (an "entrance" and an "exit") (Figure 2). (Blake 1969a; Blake & Arnofsky 1999; 190 Haigler 1969; Loosanoff & Engle 1943; Wilson 1928). The worm secretes a viscous fluid to 191 dissolve the calcium carbonate shell material, and uses a specialized segment, the $5th$ setiger, to

192 stabilize the burrow as it excavates (Haigler 1969; Zottoli & Carriker 1974). An adult mud worm 193 dwells within the burrow, but can emerge from the burrow openings to feed on particles in the 194 water column and materials on the shell surface (Loosanoff & Engle 1943).

195 Spionid reproduction has been thoroughly reviewed (Blake 2006; Blake & Arnofsky 196 1999). Briefly, reproduction occurs when the male deposits sperm in or near a female's burrow, 197 which females capture and hold in seminal receptacles until eggs are spawned (Blake 2006). The 198 female deposits egg capsules along the burrow wall, with each capsule containing multiple 199 fertilized eggs. Many species are capable of reproducing more than once during a season, and 200 while species vary, one fecund female can produce hundreds of larval progeny (Blake 1969a; 201 Blake & Arnofsky 1999). For instance, *P. websteri* females lay strings of approximately 10 202 capsules, each containing 50–55 eggs (Blake 1969a; Blake & Arnofsky 1999). Larvae hatch 203 from eggs and emerge from their maternal burrow at the 3-chaetiger stage and are free-204 swimming until they settle onto a substrate (Blake 1969a; Orth 1971). Growth rate in the larval 205 stage depends on ambient water temperature; thus, the time spent in the water column differs 206 among species and across environmental conditions, and may last as long as 85 days (Blake & 207 Arnofsky 1999; Blake & Woodwick 1971). This potential for a long pelagic larval duration, 208 particularly in cooler climates such as Washington State where spring temperatures typically 209 average from 8–14°C, may allow for long dispersal distances (Graham & Bollens 2010; Moore 210 *et al.* 2008; Simon & Sato-Okoshi 2015). Additionally, in some spionid species, including *P.* 211 *websteri*, early hatched larvae can feed on underdeveloped eggs ("nurse eggs") and remain in the 212 burrow for a portion of their larval phase (Haigler 1969; Simon & Sato-Okoshi 2015). This can 213 result in mud worm larvae being released at a much later stage. As mud worms colonize hosts 214 during the larval phase, multiple modes of development and stages at release make it possible for

215 larvae to be both locally sourced (e.g., autoinfection or from the same farm) or carried from 216 distant wild or farmed shellfish.

217 Understanding when planktonic mud worm larvae are most abundant in Washington 218 State will be important for shellfish growers interested in managing infestations. Generally, 219 planktonic larval abundance tends to correlate with temperature and phytoplankton abundance, 220 but temporal patterns vary geographically (Blake & Arnofsky 1999; Dorsett 1961). In Maine and 221 New Zealand, mud worm larvae are reportedly only observed in the water column during spring 222 and summer months (March to September) and in Maine peak abundance occurs in May and 223 June (Blake 1969a; Blake 1969b; Handley & Bergquist 1997). In the Sea of Japan off the coast 224 of Russia, larvae are present year-round, but abundance peaks in May, then persists at moderate 225 levels through October (Omel'yanenko, Kulikova & Pogodin 2004). In the Gulf of Mexico, mud 226 worm larvae are found in the water column year-round (Cole 2018; Hopkins 1958), and larval 227 abundance peaks in May and/or November, depending on the location (Cole 2018). The breeding 228 season can also vary within a region. In northern Japan (Hokkaido), *P. variegata* breeding occurs 229 during the warmest months, from August to October (Sato-Okoshi, Sugawara, & Nomura 1990). 230 In contrast, in northeastern Japan, *Polydora* larvae (species not reported) are most abundant 231 during winter and spring months, from December through June, and loosely coincide with 232 phytoplankton blooms (Abe, Sato-Okoshi & Endo 2011). Although it has not been confirmed in 233 the field, laboratory experiments indicate that diatoms may be an important larval food source 234 for some mud worm species, as opposed to flagellates, and thus larval abundances or recruitment 235 could coincide with diatom blooms (Anger, Anger & Hagmeier 1986). In Washington State, 236 phytoplankton blooms peak in late winter or spring (Horner *et al.* 2005), but smaller, successive 237 blooms occur throughout the summer and into fall (Nakata & Newton 2000; Winter, Banse &

238 Anderson 1975). It is therefore likely that mud worm larvae will be most abundant in

239 Washington State in the spring but remain present through fall. Studies are needed to identify the

240 seasons of greatest transmission risk and the drivers of high mud worm larval abundance in

241 Washington State. These studies should be prioritized in South Puget Sound where *Poydora* spp.

242 have already been observed and the majority of oyster aquaculture operations are established.

243

244 **FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE MUD WORM RECRUITMENT**

245 How mud worm larvae select settlement locations is not understood. Polydorin larvae are 246 attracted to light (positively phototactic) during early stages, which is commonly leveraged to 247 isolate larvae from plankton samples (Ye *et al.* 2017). Mud worms readily recruit to dead oyster 248 shells, so larvae probably do not respond to chemical cues from live hosts, but may respond to 249 chemical or tactile signatures from shells (Clements *et al.* 2018). Some studies indicate that mud 250 worm larvae may prefer to colonize certain mollusc species over others, possibly due to shell 251 characteristics such as texture and size (Ambariyanto & Seed 1991; Lemasson & Knights 2019). 252 Higher infestation rates were reported in *Ostrea edulis* compared to *C. gigas* (Lemasson & 253 Knights 2019). Compared to *C. virginica,* however, *C. gigas* was more susceptible to mud worm 254 infestation, which the authors attributed to the thinness of *C. gigas* shells (Calvo, Luckenbach & 255 Burreson 1999). Larger hosts are commonly infested with more worms. In the surf clam, 256 *Mesodesma donacium*, infestation rates increase with size and juveniles smaller than 34 mm do 257 not harbor any mud worms, suggesting a shell size or age threshold for settlement (Riascos *et al.* 258 2008). Stressed or unhealthy hosts may be more prone to mud worm infestation. When exposed 259 to petroleum pollutants from the Providence River system, the hard clam *Mercenaria mercenaria* 260 is more likely to be infested with mud worm; the authors suggest that the pollutants alter clam

261 burrowing behavior, increasing the chances of mud worm colonization (Jeffries 1972). In 262 oysters, exposure to pollutants and other environmental stressors can reduce calcification rates 263 and shell integrity (Frazier 1976; Gazeau *et al.* 2007; Gifford *et al.* 2006), which could render 264 them more susceptible to mud worm infestation (Calvo, Luckenbach & Burreson 1999), although 265 this mechanism has yet to be tested.

266 Mud worm infestation may differ among locations due to environmental conditions, 267 particularly salinity. Evidence from Nova Scotia, Canada indicates that mud worm infestation 268 intensity in *C. virginica* and blister size are highest at sites with lowest salinity (Medcof 1946). A 269 recent survey of wild *C. virginica* in two Gulf of Mexico estuaries found that *P. websteri* 270 prevalence and abundance decrease with increasing salinity, with a marked drop in infestation at 271 salinities exceeding 28 ppt (Hanley *et al.* 2019). High infestation rates were reported for *C. gigas* 272 and *C. virginica* grown in low- and moderate-salinity locations across Virginia, but infestation 273 rates were much lower in areas with high salinity (Calvo, Luckenbach & Burreson 1999). Mud 274 worm infestation has also been associated with low-salinity environments in the Indian 275 backwater oyster *Crassostrea madrasensis* (Stephen 1978). In Gulf of Mexico farms, *P. websteri* 276 was reportedly least abundant in *C. virginica* where salinity was most variable (Cole 2018). 277 Whether salinity influences the current *Polydora* spp. distribution and abundance in Washington 278 State is unknown. Salinity in Washington State estuaries typically ranges from 14–31 ppt 279 depending on sub-basin, season, weather, and proximity to river effluent (Babson, Kawase $\&$ 280 MacCready 2006; Moore *et al*. 2008). In some parts of the Puget Sound estuary, for instance, 281 salinity is relatively high and stable, such as in the Southern Puget Sound (26–28 ppt) and Main 282 Puget Sound basins (28–30 ppt) (Babson, Kawase & MacCready 2006; Moore *et al.* 2008). 283 Salinity is more variable near river mouths, such as in the Skagit River estuary where it typically

284 ranges from 18–28 ppt, but can reach as low as 0.5 ppt (Moore *et al.* 2008). To understand 285 whether salinity will influence mud worm distribution or prevalence in Washington State, it will 286 be important to document the salinity range and variability on farms with and without mud worm 287 infestations.

288 Other environmental factors can influence mud worm infestation rates. Higher infestation 289 is associated with higher siltation levels (Clements *et al.* 2017a; Nell 2007), more densely grown 290 shellfish (Smith 1981), and lower tidal height (Handley & Bergquist 1997; Medcof 1946). 291 Several of these environmental factors, such as tidal height and shellfish density, can be 292 manipulated by Washington State farmers to manage mud worm infestation (described further in 293 the next section). Other factors may influence mud worm prevalence and intensity naturally. For 294 instance, *P. websteri* infestation is significantly lower in oyster shells exposed to severe 295 acidification (pH 7.0) compared to more alkaline conditions (pH 8.0) (Clements *et al.* 2017b). 296 Estuaries in Washington and the broader Pacific Northwest region experience periods of low pH 297 due to natural estuarine processes and coastal upwelling, but which are being amplified by 298 acidifying oceans (Feely *et al.* 2008; Feely *et al.* 2012). It is possible that carbonate conditions in 299 some parts of Washington State could naturally limit the spread of *P. websteri* and other mud 300 worm species, although this hypothesis remains to be tested.

301

302 **FARM MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES DEVELOPED IN OTHER REGIONS**

303 In regions infested by shell-boring spionid species, oyster producers control and prevent 304 infestation by modifying gear and grow methods, and by treating shellfish stocks regularly. Farm 305 management approaches focus on keeping oysters free of mud and air drying oysters by growing 306 them at high tidal elevations (Handley & Bergquist, 1997; Morse, Rawson & Kraeuter 2015).

307 Since the early 20th century, Australian oyster farmers in New South Wales have used off-308 bottom growing methods with long tidal exposures to reduce mud worm infestation rates 309 (Diggles 2013; Ogburn 2011; Smith 1981). Oysters are grown at approximately the mean low 310 water neap height using rack and rail, long-line, and elevated tray systems, such that stocks are 311 exposed for 30 percent of each daily tidal cycle (Ogburn 2011). On the U.S. Atlantic Coast, 312 researchers report that exposing *C. virginica* for 40 percent of a tidal cycle is an effective method 313 of avoiding substantial mud worm infestation (Littlewood *et al.* 1992). Growing oysters in bags 314 that are easily raised above the water line for aerial exposures can also reduce infestation rates, 315 particularly during the mud worm breeding season (which varies by species and location, but 316 typically is during the warmest months) (Blake 2006). Some growers on the U.S. Gulf Coast use 317 floating cages and rack-and-rail systems to easily expose bags weekly for up to 24 hours (Cole 318 2018; Gamble 2016). These off-bottom methods have proven effective for avoiding high rates of 319 infestation, but can slow oyster growth rates in some regions (Nell 2001; Nell 2007; Ogburn, 320 White & Mcphee 2007), and do not always prevent infestation (Clements *et al.* 2017a; Cole 321 2018). For instance, recent mud worm outbreaks were reported in oysters suspended off-bottom 322 in New Brunswick, Canada and may have been related to high siltation levels, which can 323 increase infestation rates (Clements *et al.* 2017a). Increasing cleaning frequency to reduce 324 siltation may therefore help to control mud worms, particularly in areas with heavy siltation. 325 Frequent cleaning can also reduce impacts of non-boring spionids, such as *P. nuchalis* and *P.* 326 *cornuta,* and other taxa such as tunicates and hydroids, which foul culture equipment with large 327 masses of organisms, sediment, and tubes (Bailey-Brock 1990; Fitridge *et al.* 2012). 328 A variety of treatments have been developed to kill mud worms in infested oysters. 329 Methods include freshwater soaks (up to 72 hours), salt brine soaks (up to 5 hours), extended

330 cool air storage (up to 3–4 weeks at 3° C), heat treatments (e.g., 40 seconds at 70 $^{\circ}$ C), chemical 331 treatments (e.g., chlorine, iodine), and various combinations thereof (Bishop & Hooper 2005; 332 Brown 2012; Cox *et al.* 2012; Dunphy, Wells & Jeffs 2005; Gallo-García, Ulloa-Gómez & 333 Godínez-Siordia 2004). Treatment efficacy differs among species, season, and exposure 334 duration, but generally the most commonly used treatments are hyper-saline dips followed by air 335 drying, and extended cold-air storage. Currently, the most effective treatment identified in other 336 regions appears to be the "Super Salty Slush Puppy" (SSSP), first developed by Cox *et al.* 337 (2012). The protocol involves a 2-minute full submersion of oysters in brine (250 g/L) between -338 10°C and -30°C (i.e., ice-water), followed by air drying for 3 hours. The SSSP also effectively 339 kills other fouling epibionts, such as barnacles. Petersen (2016) recently compared the SSSP 340 method against other saltwater, freshwater, and chemical dips followed by air exposure for 341 infested *C. gigas*, and confirmed SSSP as the best method, killing 95% of *P. websteri* while 342 causing only minimal oyster mortality*.* For farms that cannot supercool saline solutions (e.g., no 343 ice on site), longer hypersaline dips combined with aerial exposure might be effective. For *C.* 344 *virginica* and *C. ariakensis* grown in North Carolina*,* weekly treatments using a 20-minute 345 hypersaline dip followed by air drying for 2 hours reduced mud worm infestation from 47.5% to 346 only 5% (Bishop & Hooper 2005). Freshwater immersion is another treatment option for 347 Washington growers, and for some host or mud worm species may be more effective than 348 hypersaline dips. For Chilean flat oysters (*Tiostrea chilensis*), freshwater immersion for 180–300 349 minutes was more effective than hypersaline immersion (64 ppt) at killing *Boccardia acus* 350 (Dunphy, Wells & Jeffs 2005). In heavily infested *C. virginica,* nearly 98% *P. websteri* mortality 351 was achieved with a 3-day freshwater immersion followed by four days of cold-air storage 352 (Brown 2012). Without the cold-air storage, the freshwater immersion only killed 25–60% of *P.*

353 *websteri*, and worms occupying deep burrows were unaffected (Brown 2012). These hypersaline 354 and freshwater treatments may be feasible for some farms in Washington State, but precise 355 methods will need to be developed for local conditions and species. In other regions, non-saline 356 chemical treatments such as calcium hydroxide (lime) and mebendazone have effectively 357 controlled mud worm infestations (Bilboa *et al.* 2011; Gallo-García, Ulloa-Gómez & Godínez-358 Siordia 2004). However, environmental, health, and safety regulations will probably preclude 359 chemicals other than salt from being used in Washington State (Morse, Rawson & Kraeuter 360 2015). Finally, no method to date has assessed whether these interventions render mud worm 361 eggs inviable, which is an important question that needs to be answered. 362 Treating infested oysters has mitigated the effects of severe infestation in other regions,

363 but this may not be possible for some Washington growers. First, costs can be prohibitive. 364 Growers incur expenses associated with handling and specialized equipment, such as increasing 365 staff hours to perform treatments, and purchasing refrigerated containers for cold-air storage 366 (Nell 2007). Modifying grow methods to accommodate frequent mud worm treatments, or to 367 minimize secondary stressors following treatments, may also be necessary. Treatment costs also 368 depend on re-infection rates, which occur more readily on farms that harbor mud worm 369 reservoirs such as dead oyster shell, and nearby wild and cultured shellfish that cannot 370 themselves be treated (Clements *et al.* 2018; Lemasson & Knights 2019). Second, many of the 371 existing treatments have been developed for species not commonly grown in Washington State. 372 A common treatment for *C. virginica* is long-term cold-air storage. Maine growers have found 373 that after 3–4 weeks at ~3°C, 100% of adult mud worms are killed, with minimal *C. virginica* 374 mortality (Morse, Rawson & Kraeuter 2015). Prolonged air exposure is also commonly used for 375 the Australian oyster *S. glomerata* (7–10 days, in the shade; Nell 2007). These oyster species

376 have different physiological tolerances than *C. gigas*, the dominant aquaculture species in 377 Washington, and therefore the same treatments may not be feasible for many of the state's oyster 378 growers (Morse, Rawson & Kraeuter 2015; Nell 2007). For instance, while *C. virginica* can 379 survive cold-air storage for six months with ~80% survival, no *C. gigas* seed or adults survived 380 similar cold-air conditions after 20 weeks of storage (Hidu, Chapman & Mook 1988). Irrigating 381 stored *C. gigas* continuously with seawater can increase survival in cold air storage (52% adults 382 and 80% juveniles at 7° C), but whether irrigation also increases mud worm survival is not 383 known (Seaman 1991). Finally, oyster mortality can be an issue following mud worm treatments 384 regardless of the oyster species (Nell 2007), therefore Washington growers are highly 385 encouraged to test treatments on a small number of oysters before applying it to large batches 386 (Morse, Rawson & Kraeuter 2015). Making adjustments to grow methods might be necessary to 387 improve oyster survival following treatments. For instance, increasing flow rates in a nursery 388 upweller system can increase *C. ariakensis* and *C. virginica* survival following hypersaline and 389 drying treatments (Bishop & Hooper 2005). More details and recommendations for treatment 390 options are available in Morse, Rawson & Kraeuter (2015) and Nell (2007).

391

392 **MUD WORM INTRODUCTION VIA SHELLFISH TRANSLOCATION**

393 Mud worms have a long history of accompanying shellfish during translocation and becoming 394 invasive pests. In the early 1880's, oysters believed to be infected with *P. ciliata* were imported 395 from New Zealand into the George's River in Southeast Australia. Before being sold in 396 Australian markets, they were routinely refreshed or fattened in bays adjacent to native shellfish 397 beds (Edgar 2001; Ogburn, White & Mcphee 2007; Roughley 1922). By 1889, mud worm 398 outbreaks had infected thirteen separate estuaries in the region, and oyster growers abandoned

399 leases that were below the low-water mark (Roughley 1922). More recently, mud worms have 400 been introduced to Hawaii via translocated shellfish. *P. websteri* was probably brought to Oahu 401 via California oyster seed in the 1980's, which resulted in a severe infestation and caused 402 farmers to abandon their land-locked oyster pond (Bailey-Brock & Ringwood 1982; Eldredge 403 1994). The non-boring *Polydora* species *P. nuchalis* was probably introduced to Hawaii in a 404 shipment of shrimp from Mexico, fouling oyster culture ponds with masses of mud tubes 405 (Bailey-Brock 1990). South Africa recently detected *P. websteri* for the first time in cultured 406 oysters (*C. gigas*); the invader was probably introduced when juvenile oysters were translocated 407 from Namibia (Simon 2011, 2015; Williams 2015). *B. proboscidea* has become a pest to abalone 408 farms in South Africa since 2004 when it was first observed burrowing into cultured abalone 409 (Simon *et al.* 2009). The introduced *B. proboscidea* presumably originated from the North 410 American Pacific Coast where it is found in the wild benthos (Hartman 1940, 1941; Jaubet *et al.* 411 2018; Simon *et al.* 2009), although the species is now widely distributed throughout the world 412 (Canada, Australia, New Zealand, Argentina, South Africa, Asia, and Europe) (Radashevsky *et* 413 *al.* 2019). The presumed origins of introduced mud worms are, however, often based on 414 circumstantial evidence such as documented movement of shellfish stock and the first described 415 locations of mud worm infestations. Researchers are increasingly using molecular markers to 416 compare the genetic structure of introduced mud worms to those in other regions (e.g., 417 comparing mtDNA sequences) (Rice, Lindsay & Rawson 2018; Simon *et al.* 2009; Williams 418 2015). These genetic tools, which Martinelli *et al.* (2020) leveraged to identify the Washington 419 State *Polydora* spp. in 2017, will be essential to establish the possible origin(s) of the newly 420 identified Washington mud worms.

421 When invasive mud worms are introduced to new regions, they can disperse during their 422 planktonic larval stage to infect other shellfish within a basin (Blake & Arnofsky 1999; David*,* 423 Matthee & Simon 2014; Hansen *et al.* 2010; Simon & Sato-Okoshi 2015). As shellfish farmers 424 grow oysters in high-density bags, racks, or lines, a mud worm infestation can spread readily 425 within a farm, and the subsequent movement of stock is considered the primary pathway for mud 426 worm introductions both within and between regions (Moreno, Neill & Rozbaczylo 2006; Rice, 427 Lindsay & Rawson 2018; Simon & Sato-Okoshi 2015; Williams, Matthee & Simon 2016). Mud 428 worms do not usually kill the host, nor do they inhabit living host tissue, so infections can go 429 undetected via traditional disease screening and may not be recognized until an area is fully 430 infested (Korringa 1976). This infection mechanism might explain why *Polydora* spp. were 431 found to be very prevalent in the year in which the infections were first reported from Puget 432 Sound (up to 53% of *C. gigas* infected in Oakland Bay) (Martinelli *et al.* 2020). Many mud 433 worm species have broad host ranges, making it possible for all cultured shellfish species in 434 Washington State to be infested, including the native Olympia oyster (*Ostrea lurida*) and 435 introduced *C. gigas*, *C. virginica*, and *C. sikamea*. Furthermore, mud worms can persist in non-436 cultured reservoir hosts, regardless of growers' control treatments, making it difficult to eradicate 437 from a farm (Moreno, Neill & Rozbaczylo 2006).

438

439 **STATUS OF MUD WORM MONITORING AND REGULATIONS**

440 Few countries formally regulate mud worm translocation or monitor outbreaks to mitigate 441 infestations in regions with naturalized populations. The following is a brief discussion of 442 regulatory approaches (or lack thereof) that this review identified at the global and national

443 scales, followed by a more comprehensive survey of existing regulations in Washington State 444 that could be leveraged to control mud worm distribution within the state.

445

446 *EXAMPLES OF MITIGATION STRATEGIES GLOBALLY*

447 Australia and Canada represent two countries at very different stages of mud worm management. 448 In Australia, mud worms have been common since the early 1800's, and while they are not listed 449 as invasive species, they are considered serious pests to abalone and oyster growers (Nell 1993; 450 Nell 2001). Australia manages mud worms at the state level. In New South Wales, the 451 Department of Primary Industries continues to develop and test control measures for shellfish 452 farmers (Nell 2007). Tasmania developed a comprehensive management program for mud worm 453 control in cultured abalone in response to outbreaks in 2005 (Handlinger, Lleonart & Powell 454 2004). In Victoria, Australia, the Abalone Aquaculture Translocation Protocol categorizes mud 455 worms as a "significant risk", and now regulates the movement of infected stock to uninfected 456 areas within the state (Victorian Fisheries Authority 2015). In contrast, mud worms have been 457 present since at least 1938 in Canada, but have not historically posed a significant threat to oyster 458 aquaculture (McGladdery, Drinnan & Stephenson 1993; Medcof 1946). As such, Canada 459 characterizes mud worms as a Category 4 species of "negligible regulatory significance in 460 Canada," (Bower, McGladdery & Price 1994; Bower 2010). Recently, however, the Canadian 461 Aquaculture Collaborative Research and Development Program (ACRDP) funded a project to 462 identify potential causes of increasing, sporadic *P. websteri* outbreaks in off-bottom oyster sites 463 in New Brunswick. The recent outbreaks raise questions about the potential for mud worm 464 intensity to shift geographically and over time, particularly in response to changing climate 465 conditions (Government of Canada 2017).

466

489

490 *LIVE SHELLFISH REGULATIONS IN WASHINGTON STATE* 491 In Washington State, regulations are in place to avoid introducing diseases and invasive species, 492 which are identified in the Washington Administrative Code (WAC). Here, we review existing 493 Washington State code to highlight regulations that control the spread of invasive species 494 throughout the state, which may be leveraged to limit movement of shellfish heavily infested 495 with mud worms to uninfested regions, if warranted. 496 Under WAC 220-340-050 and WAC 220-370-200, import permits are mandatory for any 497 entity importing live shellfish from outside Washington State for any purpose, such as 498 aquaculture, research, or display, but excluding animals that are market-ready and not expected 499 to contact Washington waters. Import permits require a "clean bill of health" certifying that the 500 origin is disease-free, and free of the invasive green crab (*Carcinus maenas*) and oyster drills 501 (*Urosalpinx cinerea* and *Ocinebrellus inornatus*). The Washington State Department of Fish and 502 Wildlife (WDFW) import permits can require that clam, oyster, and mussel seed or stock 503 intended to touch Washington waters be treated for the invasive green crab using a dilute 504 chlorine dip (WDFW n.d., 2019). In instances where the chlorine dip is lethal (e.g., mussels and 505 geoduck), imports are only allowed from locations isolated from European green crab-infested 506 waters, and thus the treatment is not required. The chlorine dip has not been evaluated for use 507 against mud worms. If effective, it could be adopted as a treatment required by WDFW when 508 translocating stocks from areas with heavy mud worm infections. Transfer permits are also 509 required under WAC 220-340-150 when moving adult shellfish and seed between and within 510 Washington State basins. These permits are regulated by the WDFW. Oyster shell (cultch), 511 which is moved throughout the state for oyster bed enrichment and hatchery seeding for farming

512 and restoration purposes, is required to be "aged" out of the water for a minimum of 90 days and 513 is inspected by WDFW prior to placement into state waters, so it is unlikely to translocate viable 514 mud worms worms or eggs (WDFW, personal communication). Permits do not certify that 515 translocated organisms are free of shell-boring spionids, as they are not currently designated as 516 invasive or pest species.

517 Under WAC 220-370-200 and WAC 220-370-180, aquaculture groups must report any 518 disease outbreak to the WDFW. Consequently, hatchery staff and farmers monitor for large 519 mortality events that might indicate disease. Widespread mortalities due to infectious pathogens 520 are common to shellfish aquaculture. However, aided by diligent stakeholders, Washington has 521 so far avoided some of the most notorious diseases infecting other regions, such as oyster herpes 522 virus variants (e.g., OsHV-1 found in Tomales Bay, CA), the highly lethal OsHV-1 microvariant 523 (OsHV-1 µVar, recently found in San Diego, CA, probably transferred from Europe or Oceania), 524 and dermo (*Perkinsus marinus,* present in the Gulf and Atlantic Coasts of USA) (Alfjorden, *et al.* 525 2017; Meyer 1991; USDA 2013). These regulations do not currently require mud worm 526 infestation to be reported, as it is not a designated disease.

527

528 **STAKEHOLDER COMMUNICATION AND RESEARCH NEEDS IN WASHINGTON STATE**

529 To minimize the impact of mud worms on Washington State shellfish aquaculture, stakeholders 530 need to be informed of the risks of infestation and treatment options. Shellfish growers should be 531 equipped to recognize mud worm-infected products, and to understand the impact mud worms 532 could have on their businesses. Growers in uninfested regions may wish to inspect for mud 533 worms before translocating shellfish to their properties. The best method to screen for mud 534 worms in oysters is to shuck and inspect the inside of the valves for evidence of burrowing and

545 State. These facilities are particularly important in pest management, since they are nodes from 546 which a substantial portion of shellfish move about the region. Oyster larvae are reared in the 547 hatchery, sent to nurseries to grow to seeding size, and then are distributed to shellfish farms and 548 gardens (USDA 2013). Broodstock are frequently held in one location, brought to the hatchery 549 for spawning, and returned. As a result, hatchery production involves moving oysters multiple 550 times throughout their lifespans (Breese & Malouf 1975; Toba 2002). Shellfish seed are also 551 imported into Washington from hatcheries in Canada, Hawaii, California, and Oregon. To 552 mitigate intraregional and interregional mud worm spread, hatcheries and nurseries may need to 553 update biosecurity protocols to inspect and treat translocated stocks (Williams 2015; Williams, 554 Matthee & Simon 2016). How infestation rate and abundance change as a function of shellfish 555 seed size and age, and whether viable mud worm eggs can be transferred alongside translocated 556 shellfish larvae, will be important considerations and require additional research.

557 To better inform Washington State stakeholders and to control further human-aided 558 spread into uninfected areas, mud worm presence and baseline infestation rates need to be fully 559 established with a quantitative survey of live oysters. To understand why mud worm infestation 560 rates are higher in certain areas, site characteristics should be documented alongside the mud 561 worm distribution survey, including sediment type, culture gear type and tidal elevation, and 562 environmental data such as salinity and pH (Calvo, Luckenbach & Burreson 1999; Clements *et* 563 *al.* 2017b; Cole 2018). Species distributions will inform potential regulatory and control actions. 564 It is possible that *Polydora* spp. have been present in Washington State at low levels of 565 abundance for many years, perhaps controlled by environmental conditions, local ecology, or 566 culture techniques. Environmental data will also help to characterize potential impacts of mud 567 worms on shellfish aquaculture under projected climate conditions. Finally, phytoplankton 568 abundance and community composition should be monitored in areas where mud worms have 569 been positively identified to understand factors predicting larval abundance. Predicting when and 570 where mud worm larvae are most likely to colonize shellfish may allow growers to relocate 571 products temporarily (e.g., higher tidal height) to avoid infestation.

572

573 **CONCLUSION**

574 Mud worms have a long history of invasion via oyster translocation, of devaluing shellfish 575 products, and of necessitating treatments or changes to growing methods. Historically, 576 Washington State has been one of the few oyster-growing regions unaffected by shell-boring 577 spionids, but that time has unfortunately passed with the recent confirmation of *P. websteri* in 578 southern Puget Sound. To minimize the risk of *P. websteri* and other shell-boring spionids to the

579 Washington State shellfish industry, early signs of infestation should be addressed by mapping

596 We have no conflict of interest to disclose.

597 **References Cited**

618 from Aquaculture Ponds. *Pac. Sci.* 44:81–87.

640 *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 9:813–820.

660 Bower, S. M., S. E. McGladdery & I. M. Price. 1994. Synopsis of infectious diseases and 661 parasites of commercially exploited shellfish. *Annu. Rev. Fish Dis.* 4: 1–199.

- 662 Bower, S.M. 2010: Synopsis of Infectious Diseases and Parasites of Commercially Exploited
- 663 Shellfish. Accessed on June 15 2020. Available at: https://www.dfo-
- 664 mpo.gc.ca/science/aah-saa/diseases-maladies/index-eng.html
- 665 Breese, W. P., & R. E. Malouf. 1975. *Hatchery manual for the Pacific oyster*.
- 666 https://ir.library.oregonstate.edu/downloads/db78td066
- 667 Brown, Shannon W. 2012. Salinity tolerance of the oyster mudworm *Polydora websteri*. Honors
- 668 thesis. Honors college, University of Maine, Orono, Maine. Available at:
- 669 https://digitalcommons.library.umaine.edu/honors/41
- 670 Burreson, E. M., R. Mann & S. J. Allen. 1994. Field exposure of triploid *Crassostrea gigas* to
- 671 *Haplosporidium nelsoni* (MSX) and *Perkinsus marinus* (dermo) in the lower Chesapeake 672 Bay. *J. Shellfish Res.*, 13:293.
- 673 Caceres-Martinez, J., Hernandez-Mercado, Y., Cruz-Flores, R., Munoz-Flores, M., & R.
- 674 Vasquez-Yeomans. 2016. Identification of Burrowing Worms and Bacteria Associated
- 675 with Shell Injuries on Red Abalone Cultured in Baja California, Mexico. *World*
- 676 *Aquaculture* 47(3):55-58.
- 677 Caceres-Martinez, J., D. O. Macias-Montes & R. Vasquez-Yeomans. 1998. *Polydora* sp.
- 678 infestation and health of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* cultured in Baja California, 679 NW Mexico. *J. Shellfish Res.* 17:259-264.
- 680 Calvo, G. W., M. W. Luckenbach & E. M. Burreson. 1999. A comparative field study of
- 681 *Crassostrea gigas* and *Crassostrea virginica* in relation to salinity in Virginia. Special
- 682 Reports in Applied Marine Science and Ocean Engineering (SRAMSOE) No. 349.
- 683 Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William and Mary.

- 687 Calvo, L., B. Haskin, W. Schroer & R. Petrecca. 2014. Methods to control bio-fouling of
- 688 cultured eastern oysters, *Crassostrea virginica*, by the tube-building polychaete worm,
- 689 *Polydora cornuta*. Final report for FNE13-780. U.S. Department of Agriculture
- 690 Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education. Available at:
- 691 https://projects.sare.org/project-reports/fne13-780/
- 692 Chambon, C., A. Legeay, G. Durrieu, P. Gonzalez, P. Ciret & J-C. Massabuau. 2007. Influence
- 693 of the parasite worm *Polydora* sp. on the behaviour of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*: a
- 694 study of the respiratory impact and associated oxidative stress. *Mar. Biol.* 152:329–338.
- 695 Çinar, M. E. 2013. Alien polychaete species worldwide: current status and their impacts. *J. Mar.* 696 *Biol. Assoc. U. K.* 93:1257–1278.
- 697 Clements, J. C., D. Bourque, J. McLaughlin, M. Stephenson & L. A. Comeau. 2017a. Siltation
- 698 increases the susceptibility of surface-cultured eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*) to 699 parasitism by the mudworm *Polydora websteri*. *Aquac. Res.* 48:4707-4714.
- 700 Clements, J. C., D. Bourque, J. McLaughlin, M. Stephenson & L. A. Comeau. 2017b. Extreme 701 ocean acidification reduces the susceptibility of eastern oyster shells to a polydorid 702 parasite. *J. Fish Dis.* 40:1573–1585.
- 703 Clements, J. C., D. Bourque, J. McLaughlin, M. Stephenson & L. A. Comeau. 2018. Wanted
- 704 dead or alive: *Polydora websteri* recruit to both live oysters and empty shells of the
- 705 eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*. *J. Fish Dis.* 41:855–858.

- 839 Jeffries, H. P. 1972. A stress syndrome in the hard clam, *Mercenaria mercenaria*. *J. Invertebr.* 840 *Pathol.* 20:242–251.
- 841 Kent, R. 1981. The effect of *Polydora ciliata* on the shell strength of *Mytilus edulis*. *ICES J.* 842 *Mar. Sci.* 39:252–255.
- 843 Kojima H., & M. Imajima. 1982. Burrowing polychaetes in the shells of the abalone *Haliotis* 844 *diversicolor aquatilis* chiefly on the species of *Polydora*. *Nihon Suisan Gakkai Shi* 48:31.
- 845 Korringa, P. 1976. Farming the flat oysters of the genus *Ostrea*: a multidisciplinary treatise
- 846 (Developments in aquaculture and fisheries science; 3), Amsterdam; Oxford: Elsevier.
- 847 Kristan, D. M. 2004. Intestinal nematode infection affects host life history and offspring
- 848 susceptibility to parasitism. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 73:227–238.
- 849 Lafferty, K. D., & A. M. Kuris. 1996. Biological control of marine pests. *Ecology* 77:1989–2000.
- 850 Lemasson, A. J., & A. M. Knights. 2019. Preferential parasitism of native oyster *Ostrea edulis*

851 over non-native *Magallana gigas* by a Polydorid worm. *Estuaries Coasts*.

- 852 Lie, U. 1968. A quantitative study of benthic infauna in Puget Sound, Washington, USA, in
- 853 1963-1964. *Fisk Dir. Skr. Ser. Havundersök*. 14:229–556.
- 854 Littlewood, D., R. N. Wargo & J. N. Kraeuter. 1989. Growth, mortality, MSX infection and yield 855 of intertidally grown *Crassostrea virginica*. *J. Shellfish Res.* 8:469.
- 856 Littlewood, D., R. N. Wargo, J. N. Kraeuter, & R. H. Watson. 1992. The influence of intertidal
- 857 height on growth, mortality and *Haplosporidium nelsoni* infection in MSX mortality
- 858 resitant Eastern oysters, *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin, 1791). *J. Shellfish Res.* 11(1):59-
- 859 64.
- 860 Lleonart, M., J. Handlinger & M. Powell. 2003. Spionid mudworm infestation of farmed abalone 861 (*Haliotis* spp.). *Aquaculture* 221:85–96.
- 862 Loosanoff, V. L. & J. B. Engle. 1943. *Polydora* in oysters suspended in the water. *Biol. Bull.* 863 85:69–78.
- 864 Lunz, G. R. 1941. *Polydora*, a pest in South Carolina oysters. J. Elisha Mitchell Sci. Soc. 57: 865 273–283.
- 866 Martinelli, J. C., Lopes, H. M., Hauser, L., Jimenez-Hidalgo, I., King, T. L., Padilla-Gamiño, J.
- 867 L., Rawson, P., Spencer, L. H., Williams, J. D., & C. L. Wood. 2020. Confirmation of the 868 shell-boring oyster parasite *Polydora websteri* (Polychaeta: Spionidae) in Washington 869 State, USA. *Sci. Rep.* 10(1):3961.
- 870 Mazurie J, J.F. Bouget, J. Barret, D. Blateau, R. Le Changour & B. Le Gall. 1995. Mussels and
- 871 oysters growth in Brest Bay, indicators of water quality and molluscs farming potential. 872 *Ing Eau Agric Territ.* 111-118.
- 873 McGladdery, S.E., Drinnan, R. E. & M. F. Stephenson. 1993. A manual of parasites, pests and
- 874 diseases of Canadian Atlantic bivalves. *Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci*. 1931. 121 p.

875 Available at: https://waves-vagues.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/Library/170499_P1.pdf

- 876 McLean, R. I. & G. R. Abbe. 2008. Characteristics of *Crassostrea ariakensis* (Fujita 1913) and
- 877 *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin 1791) in the discharge area of a nuclear power plant in 878 central Chesapeake Bay. *J. Shellfish Res.* 27:517–523.
- 879 Medcof, J. C. 1946. The Mud-Blister Worm, *Polydora*, in Canadian Oysters. *J. Fish. Res. Board* 880 *Can*. *6e*(7):498–505.
- 881 Meyer, F. P. 1991. Aquaculture disease and health management. *J. Anim. Sci.* 69:4201–4208.
- 882 Moore, S. K., Mantua, N. J., Newton, J. A., Kawase, M., Warner, M. J., & J. P. Kellogg. 2008. A
- 883 descriptive analysis of temporal and spatial patterns of variability in Puget Sound
- 884 oceanographic properties. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 80(4):545–554.
- 885 Moreno, R. A., P. E. Neill & N. Rozbaczylo. 2006. Native and non-indigenous boring 886 polychaetes in Chile: a threat to native and commercial mollusc species. *Rev. Chil. Hist.*
- 887 *Nat.* 79:263-278.
- 888 Morse, D. L., P. D. Rawson & J. N. Kraeuter. 2015. Mud blister worms and oyster aquaculture.
- 889 *Maine Sea Grant Publications*. 46. Available at:
- 890 https://digitalcommons.library.umaine.edu/seagrant_pub/46/
- 891 Mortensen, S., T. Van der Meeren, A. Fosshagen, I. Hernar, L. Harkestad, and L. Torkildsen. &
- 892 Ø. Bergh. 2000. Mortality of scallop spat in cultivation, infested with tube dwelling 893 bristle worms, *Polydora* sp. *Aquac. Int.* 8:267–271.
- 894 Nakata, K., & J. A. Newton. 2000. Seasonal patterns and controlling factors of primary
- 895 production in Puget Sound's Central Basin and Possession Sound. In: T. Droscher, (ed.)
- 896 Puget Sound Water Quality Action Team, 2002. Proceedings of the 2001 Puget Sound
- 897 Research Conference. Puget Sound Water Quality Action Team. Olympia, Washington. 898 9pp.
- 899 Nascimento, A. I. 1983. Oyster Culture in Brazil: Problems and Perspectives. *Ciencia e Cultura* 900 *(Sao Paulo). Sao Paulo* 35:871-876.
- 901 Nell, J. A. 1993. Farming the Sydney rock oyster (*Saccostrea commercialis*) in Australia. *Rev.* 902 *Fish. Sci.* 1:97–120.
- 903 Nell, J. A. 2001. The history of oyster farming in Australia. *Mar. Fish. Rev.* 63:14–25.
- 904 Nell, J. 2007. Controlling mudworm in oysters. New South Wales Department of Primary
- 905 Industry Primefact 590. Available at:
- 906 https://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/ data/assets/pdf file/0010/637633/Controlling-mudworm-907 in-oysters.pdf
- 908 Nel, R., P. S. Coetzee & G. Van Niekerk. 1996. The evaluation of two treatments to reduce mud 909 worm (*Polydora hoplura* Claparede) infestation in commercially reared oysters 910 (*Crassostrea gigas* Thunberg). *Aquaculture* 141:31–39.
- 911 Ogburn, D. M., I. White & D. P. Mcphee. 2007. The disappearance of oyster reefs from eastern
- 912 Australian estuaries—Impact of colonial settlement or mudworm invasion? *Coast.*
- 913 *Manage*. 35:271–287.
- 914 Ogburn, D. M. 2011. The NSW oyster industry: A risk indicator of sustainable coastal policy and 915 practice. PhD Dissertation. The Australian National University. Available at:
- 916 http:/doi.org/10.25911/5d7a266d782dc
- 917 Omel'yanenko, V. A., Kulikova, V. A. & Pogodin, A. G. 2004. The meroplankton of Amurskii 918 Bay (Peter the Great Bay, Sea of Japan). *Russ. J. Mar. Biol.* 30:159–174.
- 919 Orth, R. J. 1971. Observations on the planktonic larvae of *Polydora ligni* Webster (Polychaeta: 920 Spionidae) in the York River, Virginia. *Chesapeake Science* 12:121–124.
- 921 Oyarzun, F. X., Mahon, A. R., Swalla, B. J. & Halanych, K. M. 2011. Phylogeography and
- 922 reproductive variation of the poecilogonous polychaete *Boccardia proboscidea*
- 923 (Annelida: Spionidae) along the West Coast of North America. *Evol. Dev. 13*:489–503.
- 924 Paladini, G., M. Longshaw, A. Gustinelli & A. P. Shinn. 2017. Parasitic diseases in aquaculture:
- 925 Their biology, diagnosis and control. In B. A. Austin, & A. Newaj-Fyzul (Eds.),
- 926 Diagnosis and control of diseases of fish and shellfish (1st ed., pp. 37–107). Chichester
- 927 (UK): John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- 928 Petersen, F. S. 2016. Addressing obstacles to developing oyster culture in Hawai`i. Masters
- 929 thesis. University of Hawai'i at Hilo. Available at: http://hdl.handle.net/10790/2948

- 976 south-western Australian waters with special reference to *Polydora uncinata* and 977 *Boccardia knoxi*. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K.* 88:491–501.
- 978 Sato-Okoshi, W. & H. Abe. 2012. Morphological and molecular sequence analysis of the
- 979 harmful shell boring species of *Polydora* (Polychaeta: Spionidae) from Japan and 980 Australia. *Aquaculture* 368-369:40–47.
- 981 Sato-Okoshi, W., K. Okoshi, B.-S. Koh, Y.-H. Kim & J.-S. Hong. 2012. Polydorid species 982 (Polychaeta: Spionidae) associated with commercially important mollusk shells in 983 Korean waters. *Aquaculture* 350-353:82–90.
- 984 Sato-Okoshi, W., Okoshi, K., Abe, H. & Li, J.-Y. 2013. Polydorid species (Polychaeta,
- 985 Spionidae) associated with commercially important mollusk shells from eastern China. 986 *Aquaculture* 406-407:153–159.
- 987 Shinn, A. P., J. Pratoomyot, J. E. Bron, G. Paladini, E. E. Brooker & A. J. Brooker. 2015.
- 988 Economic costs of protistan and metazoan parasites to global mariculture. *Parasitology* 989 142:196-270.
- 990 Seaman, M. N. L. 1991. Survival and aspects of metabolism in oysters, *Crassostrea gigas*, 991 during and after prolonged air storage. *Aquaculture* 93:389–395.
- 992 Silina, A. V. 2006. Tumor-like formations on the shells of Japanese scallops *Patinopecten* 993 *yessoensis* (Jay). *Mar. Biol.* 148, 833–840.
- 994 Simon, C. A., A. Ludford & S. Wynne. 2006. Spionid polychaetes infesting cultured abalone
- 995 *Haliotis midae* in South Africa. *Afr. J. Mar. Sci.* 28:167–171.
- 996 Simon, C. A., Thornhill, D. J., Oyarzun, F., & K. M. Halanych. 2009. Genetic similarity between 997 *Boccardia proboscidea* from Western North America and cultured abalone, *Haliotis* 998 *midae*, in South Africa. *Aquaculture* 294(1):18–24.
- 999 Simon, C. A., M. G. Bentley & G. S. Caldwell. 2010. 2,4-Decadienal: Exploring a novel
- 1000 approach for the control of polychaete pests on cultured abalone. *Aquaculture* 310:52–60.
- 1001 Simon, C. A., Worsfold, T. M., Lange, L., & J. Sterley. 2010. The genus *Boccardia* (Polychaeta:
- 1002 *Spionidae*) associated with mollusc shells on the south coast of South Africa. *J. Mar.* 1003 *Biol. Assoc. UK* 90(3):585–598.
- 1004 Simon, C. A. 2011. *Polydora* and *Dipolydora* (Polychaeta: Spionidae) associated with molluscs
- 1005 on the south coast of South Africa, with descriptions of two new species. *Afr. Invertebr.* 1006 52:39–50.
- 1007 Simon, C. A. 2015. Observations on the composition and larval developmental modes of
- 1008 polydorid pests of farmed oysters (*Crassostrea gigas*) and abalone (*Haliotis midae*) in 1009 South Africa. *Invertebr. Reprod. Dev. 59*(3):124–130.
- 1010 Simon, C. A. & W. Sato-Okoshi. 2015. Polydorid polychaetes on farmed molluscs: distribution,
- 1011 spread and factors contributing to their success. *Aquacult. Environ. Interact.* 7:147–166.
- 1012 Smith, G. S. 1981. Southern Queensland's oyster industry. *J. Roy. His. Soc. Qld.* 11:45–58.
- 1013 Steele, S. & M. F. Mulcahy. 1999. Gametogenesis of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in southern 1014 Ireland. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K.* 79:673–686.
- 1015 Steele, S. & M. F. Mulcahy. 2001. Impact of the copepod *Mytilicola orientalis* on the Pacific 1016 oyster *Crassostrea gigas* in Ireland. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 47:145–149.
- 1017 Stephen, D. 1978. Mud blister formation by *Polydora ciliata* in the Indian backwater oyster
- 1018 *Crassostrea madrasensis* (Preston). *Aquaculture* 13:347–350.
- 1019 Teramoto, W., W. Sato-Okoshi, H. Abe, G. Nishitani & Y. Endo. 2013. Morphology, 18S rRNA 1020 gene sequence and life history of a new *Polydora* species (Polychaeta: Spionidae) from 1021 northeastern Japan. *Aquat. Biol.* 18:31–45.
- 1022 Toba D. 2002. Small‐Scale Oyster Farming for Pleasure and Profit in Washington. Washington
- 1023 Sea Grant program, Seattle, WA. Available at:
- 1024 https://wsg.washington.edu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/publications/Small-Scale-
- 1025 Oyster-Farming.pdf
- 1026 U. S. Department of Agriculture. 2013. Mollusc Health Assessment to Support Disease
- 1027 Zonation: Pacific States Regions (Version 1.2). Animal and Plant Health Inspection
- 1028 Service, Centers for Epidemiology and Animal Health National Surveillance Unit.
- 1029 U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2018. 2018 Census of Aquaculture, Volume 3: Special Studies,

1030 Part 2. AC-17-SS-2. Accessed June 15, 2020. Available at:

- 1031 https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2017/Online_Resources/Aquaculture/ 1032 index.php
- 1033 U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2019. U.S. National List of Reportable Animal Diseases, 2019. 1034 Accessed November 2017. Available at:
- 1035 https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahrs/downloads/2019_nahrs_dz_list.pdf
- 1036 U.S. Department of the Interior & U.S. Geological Survey. n.d. Nonindigenous Aquatic Species

1037 Search by State Tool. Accessed November 2019 at https://nas.er.usgs.gov/.

- 1038 Victorian Fisheries Authority. 2015. Abalone Aquaculture Translocation Protocol. VFA.
- 1039 Accessed November 2019, available at: https://vfa.vic.gov.au/operational-policy/moving-
- 1040 and-stocking-live-aquatic-organisms/abalone-aquaculture-translocation-protocol

- 1044 Walton, W. C., J. E. Davis, G. I. Chaplin, F. Scott Rikard, T. R. Hanson, P. J. Waters & D.
- 1045 LaDon Swann. 2012. Timely information: off-bottom oyster farming. The Alabama
- 1046 Cooperative Extension System. Available at:

1047 http://agrilife.org/fisheries/files/2013/09/Off-Bottom-Oyster-Farming.pdf

- 1048 Wargo, R. N. & S. E. Ford. 1993. The effect of shell infestation by *Polydora* sp. and infection by
- 1049 *Haplosporidium nelsoni* (MSX) on the tissue condition of oysters, *Crassostrea virginica*. 1050 *Estuaries* 16:229.
- 1051 Washington Sea Grant. 2015. Shellfish aquaculture in Washington State. Final report to the
- 1052 Washington State Legislature. 84 p. Available at:
- 1053 https://wsg.washington.edu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/Shellfish-Aquaculture-
- 1054 Washington-State.pdf
- 1055 Washington State Department of Fish & Wildlife. ND. Shellfish import permit supplemental
- 1056 information. Accessed March 2019. Available
- 1057 at: https://wdfw.wa.gov/sites/default/files/2019-03/ImportPermitExplantions.pdf.
- 1058 Washington State Department of Fish & Wildlife. 2019. WDFW 2019 Shellfish Import Approval
- 1059 Requirements. Accessed March 2019. Available at:
- 1060 https://wdfw.wa.gov/licensing/shellfish_import_transfer/wdfw_shellfish_import_guidelin
- 1061 es final 12122019.pdf.
- 1062 Whitelegge, T. 1890. Report on the worm disease affecting the oysters on the coast of New
- 1063 South Wales. *Records of the Australian Museum* 1(2):41–54, plates iii-vi.

- 1067 Williams, L.G. 2015. Genetic structure of pest polydorids (Annelida: Spionidae) infesting
- 1068 *Crassostrea gigas* in southern Africa: are pests being moved with oysters? [Stellenbosch: 1069 Stellenbosch University]. http://scholar.sun.ac.za/handle/10019.1/96601
- 1070 Williams, L., C. A. Matthee. & C. A. Simon. 2016. Dispersal and genetic structure of *Boccardia* 1071 *polybranchia* and *Polydora hoplura* (Annelida: Spionidae) in South Africa and their 1072 implications for aquaculture. *Aquaculture* 465:235–244.
- 1073 Winter, D. F., Banse, K., & G. C. Anderson. 1975. The dynamics of phytoplankton blooms in 1074 puget sound a fjord in the Northwestern United States. *Mar. Biol.*, 29(2):139–176.
- 1075 Wisely, B., J. E. Holliday & B. L. Reid. 1979. Experimental deepwater culture of the Sydney

1076 rock oyster (*Crassostrea commercialis*): III. Raft cultivation of trayed oysters.

- 1077 *Aquaculture* 17:25–32.
- 1078 Wilson, D. P. 1928. The larvae of *Polydora ciliata* Johnston and *Polydora hoplura* Claparede. *J.* 1079 *Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K.* 15:567–603.
- 1080

- 1082 characterization of Polydora websteri (Annelida: Spionidae), with remarks on
- 1083 relationship of adult worms and larvae using mitochondrial COI gene as a molecular
- 1084 marker. *Pak. J. Zool. 49*(2).

¹⁰⁸¹ Ye, L., C. Cao, B. Tang, T. Yao, R. Wang & J. & Wang. 2017. Morphological and molecular

- 1088 Zottoli, R. A. & M. R. Carriker. 1974. Burrow morphology, tube formation, and
- 1089 microarchitecture of shell dissolution by the spionid polychaete *Polydora websteri*. *Mar.*
- 1090 *Biol.* 27:307–316.
- 1091 Zuniga G., W. Zurburg & E. Zamora. 1998. Initiation of oyster culture on the pacific coast of
- 1092 Costa Rica. *Proc Gulf Caribb Fish Inst.* 50:612-623.