DEL-SG-29-89

An Injective Far-field Pattern Operator And Inverse Scattering Problem In A Finite Depth Ocean

$2.00

by

Yongzhi Xu
Dept. of Math. Sciences
University of Delaware
Newark, DE 19716, USA

October 1989

University of Delaware Sea Grant College Program
Newark, DE 19716

This publication is the result of research sponsored by NOAA Office of Sea Grant, Department of Commerce, under Grant No. NA86AA-D-SG040 (Project No. R/OE-2). The U.S. Government is authorized to produce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes, notwithstanding any copyright notation that may appear hereon.

The University of Delaware Sea Grant College Program is supported cooperatively by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, and by the State of Delaware.

An Injective Far-field Pattern Operator And Inverse scattering Problem In A Finite Depth Ocean

Yongzhi Xu [1]
Dept. of Math. Sciences ,
University of Delaware ,
Newark , DE 19716 .

1. Introduction

The inverse scattering problem for acoustic waves, which consists in recovering the shape of a scatterer from the far-field pattern of the scattered field, forms the basis of a wide variety of areas in the engineering sciences such as remote sensing, nondestructive testing and imaging etc., and for this reason has been the object of study by scientists in a number of diverse disciplines. Rapid progress in this field has been made since the early seventies, and a survey of these results can be found in the papers by Colton[4] and Sleeman[12]. However, nearly all intensive efforts in this field are devoted to the cases of $\mathbf{R}^2$ and $\mathbf{R}^3$. It has been noticed that in some situations, for instance in a finite depth ocean, the remote sensing and imaging problems will lead to an inverse scattering problem in a special space instead of $\mathbf{R}^2$ and $\mathbf{R}^3$. In the homogeneous finite depth ocean, Gilbert and Xu[8] showed that the "propagating" far-field pattern can only carry the information from the N+1 propagating modes; here N is the largest

[1] This work is supported in part by Sea Grant NA86AA-D-SG040.

integer less than $(2kh-\pi)/2\pi$. This loss of information makes this problem different from that in whole space case in the way that the far-field pattern operator is not injective.

Before we can describe this non-injective property of the far-field pattern more precisely, we need to give a formulation of the corresponding direct problem, that is of the exterior boundary value problem for the time harmonic acoustic scattering by a soft object.

Let $\mathbf{R}_b^3 = \{(\mathbf{x}, z); \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{R}^2, 0 \le z \le h\}$ be a region corresponding to the finite depth ocean, where h is the ocean depth . Let Ω be an object imbedded in $\mathbf{R}_b^3$, which is a bounded, connected domain with C^2 boundary $\partial\Omega$ having an outward unit normal ν. If the object has a sound soft boundary $\partial\Omega$, an incoming wave u^i, which is incident on $\partial\Omega$, will be scattered to produce a propagating wave u^s as well as its far-field pattern. This problem can be formulated as a Dirichlet boundary value problem for the scattering of time-harmonic acoustic waves in $\Omega_e := \mathbf{R}_b^3 \setminus \Omega$, namely to find a solution $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}_b^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}) \cap \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{R}_b^3 \setminus \Omega)$ to the Helmholtz equation

$$\triangle_3 u + k^2 u = 0, \ in \ \mathbf{R}_b^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \tag{1.1}$$

such that u satisfies the boundary conditions

$$u = 0, \ as \ z = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = 0, \ as \ z = h, \tag{1.3}$$

$$u = 0, \ on \ \partial\Omega. \tag{1.4}$$

Here k is a positive constant known as the wave number, and $u = u^i + u^s$, where u^i and u^s are the incident (entire) wave and the scattered wave respectively. The scattered wave has the modal representation

$$u^s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(z) u_n^s(\mathbf{x}), \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$\phi_n(z) = sin[k(1-a_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} z], \tag{1.6}$$

$$a_n = [1 - \frac{(2n+1)^2\pi^2}{4k^2h^2}]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{1.7}$$

and the n^{th} mode of u^s, $u_n^s(\mathbf{x})$, satisfies the radiating condition

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} r^{\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{\partial u_n^s}{\partial r} - ika_n u_n^s) = 0,\ r = |\ \mathbf{x}\ |,\ \ n = 0, 1, ..., \infty. \tag{1.8}$$

This problem is uniquely solvable [14]. Let $\mathbf{G}(z, \zeta, |\ \mathbf{x} - \xi\ |)$ be the Green's function in $\mathbf{R}_b^3$ satisfying boundary condition (1.2) and (1.3), then the scattered wave u^s can be represented as

$$u^s(\mathbf{x}, z) = \int_{\partial\Omega} (u\frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu} - G\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}) d\sigma,\ (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \Omega_e, \tag{1.9}$$

and has the asymptotic expansion

$$u^s(\mathbf{x}, z) = \frac{i}{2h} e^{-i\pi/4} \sum_{n=0}^{N} (\frac{2}{\pi k a_n r})^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{ika_n r} f_n(\theta, z, k) + O(\frac{1}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}), \tag{1.10}$$

where we denote $(\mathbf{x}, z)$ in cylindrical coordinates by (r, θ, z), and

$$f_n(\theta, z, k) = -\phi_n(z) \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u(\xi, \zeta)}{\partial \nu_\xi} (e^{-ika_n \hat{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\xi} \phi_n(\zeta)) d\sigma_\xi, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (cos\theta, sin\theta),\ and\ N = [\frac{2kh-1}{2\pi}].$$

Let us denote

$$V^N := L^2[0, 2\pi] \times span\{\phi_0, \phi_1, ..., \phi_N\}. \tag{1.12}$$

We then call the function $f(\theta, z, k) := \sum_{n=0}^{N} f_n(\theta, z, k) \in V^N$ the representation of the propagating far-field pattern of the scattered wave. The operator $F : L^2(\partial\Omega) \to V^N$ defined by

$$(Fg)(\theta, z, k) := -\sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi_n(z) \int_{\partial\Omega} g(\xi, \zeta)(e^{-ik a_n \hat{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\xi} \phi_n(\zeta)) d\sigma_\xi, \qquad (1.13)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (cos\theta, sin\theta),\ 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi,\ 0 \leq z \leq h.$$

is called a *far-field pattern operator* (cf.[9]). Unlike the whole space case in which by choosing $\partial\Omega$ properly, from $F\phi = 0$ it follows that $\phi = 0$(cf.[7],[10]), here the null space of F, $N(F)$, is not necessarily empty even if k is not an eigenvalue of interior Dirichlet problem on Ω. A particular example of this occurs for $0 < k < \pi/2h$; then $N = -1$ and for any incoming waves the far-field pattern is identically zero. Even in the case of sufficiently large k, $F\phi = 0$ only means that the N+1 propagating modes are identically zero. Therefore, the *far-field pattern operator* F is not an injection over the Hilbert space $L^2(\partial\Omega)$.

The inverse scattering problem we wish to consider is as follows: given the far- field pattern $f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}, z, k)$ for one or several incoming (entire) waves, find the shape of the scattering object Ω. In order to solve this problem, we need to find some kind of inverse operator of F. Therefore, it is important to find out under what kind of restriction F becomes an injection.

In section 2 and 3, we will present some properties of the *far-field pattern operator* and use this information to construct an injective *far-field pattern operator* in a suitable subspace of $L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Based on this construction an optimal scheme for solving the inverse scattering problem is presented using the minimizing Tikhonov functional .

2 Injective theorem of far-field pattern operator

In view of [14],[9], we can represent the scattered wave u^s in the form of combined single and double layer potential:

$$u^s(\mathbf{x},z)=\int_{\partial\Omega}(\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_\xi}+\lambda)G(z,\zeta,|\mathbf{x}-\xi|)g(\xi,\zeta)d\sigma_\xi, \tag{2.1}$$

where $Im\lambda>0$ and $g(\xi,\zeta)$ satisfies

$$g+(K+\lambda S)g=-2u^i. \tag{2.2}$$

Here,

$$Kg:=2\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\partial G}{\partial\nu_\xi}gd\sigma, \tag{2.3}$$

$$Sg:=2\int_{\partial\Omega}Ggd\sigma. \tag{2.4}$$

$(I+K+\lambda S)$ is invertible for any $k>0$ and its inverse is a bounded linear operator in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$, denoted by $(I+K+\lambda S)^{-1}$.

For $r=|\mathbf{x}|>|\xi|=:r'$, we can expand $G(z,\zeta,|\mathbf{x}-\xi|)$ in the form of a normal mode representation

$$G(z,\zeta,|\mathbf{x}-\xi|)=\frac{i}{4}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{\epsilon_m\phi_n(z)\phi_n(\zeta)}{\|\phi_n\|^2}H_m^{(1)}(ka_nr)J_m(ka_nr')$$
$$[cos(m\theta)cos(m\theta')+sin(m\theta)sin(m\theta')]. \tag{2.5}$$

In view of the asymptotic behavior of $H_m^{(1)}(ka_nr)$, we can conclude that u^s has an asymptotic expression

$$u^s(\mathbf{x},z)=\frac{i}{2h}e^{-i\pi/4}\sum_{n=0}^{N}(\frac{2}{\pi ka_nr})^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{ika_nr}\phi_n(z)$$

$$[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m \int_{\partial\Omega} (\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} + \lambda)\phi_n(\zeta) J_m(k a_n r') cos m(\theta - \theta') d\sigma] + O(\frac{1}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}), \qquad (2.6)$$

where $\epsilon_0 = 1,\ \epsilon_m = 2$ for $m \geq 1$.

Here a natural way to define the far-field pattern operator is to define $F : L^2(\partial\Omega) \to V^N$ by

$$(Fg)(\theta, z, k) := \sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi_n(z) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m \int_{\partial\Omega} (\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} + \lambda)\phi_n(\zeta) J_m(k a_n r') cos m(\theta - \theta') d\sigma. \qquad (2.7)$$

We know that

$$\psi^1_{nm} := (\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} + \lambda)[\phi_n(\zeta) J_m(k a_n r') cos m\theta],$$
$$\psi^2_{nm} := (\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} + \lambda)[\phi_n(\zeta) J_m(k a_n r') sin nm\theta], \qquad (2.8)$$
$$(r, \theta, z) \in \partial\Omega, \quad n, m = 0, 1, ..., \infty,$$

are complete system in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ [6]. Let

$$W_N(\partial\Omega) := \overline{span\{\psi^1_{nm}, \psi^2_{nm};\ n = 0, 1, ..., N;\ m = 0, 1, ..., \infty\}}$$

and $W_N^\perp(\partial\Omega)$ be the orthogonal space to $W_N(\partial\Omega)$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ under the usual $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ inner product, then $N(F) = W_N^\perp(\partial\Omega)$, here $N(F)$ is the null space of the ***far-field pattern operator F***. Hence, if $g \in W_N^\perp(\partial\Omega)$, then from (2.6)

$$u^s(\mathbf{x}, z) = O(\frac{1}{r^{3/2}}). \qquad (2.9)$$

i.e. the propagating far-field pattern of u^s is identical to zero.

Now we want to formulate a mapping from incoming waves to far-field pattern. At this stage, we think of the object Ω as known and fixed. Let

$$A(k, R_b^3) := \{u;\ u(\mathbf{x}, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{nm} \phi_n(z) J_m(k a_n r) e^{im\theta},\ (\mathbf{x}, z) \in R_b^3\} \qquad (2.10)$$

for any $u^i \in A(k, R_b^3)$, denote $u_b^i = u^i \mid_{\partial\Omega}$ which is a continuous function on $\partial\Omega$. Since $(I + K + \lambda S)$ is invertible for any $k > 0$, we can express $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ as

$$g(\mathbf{x}, z) = -2(I + K + \lambda S)^{-1} u_b^i, \ \ (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \partial\Omega. \tag{2.11}$$

Combining (2.7) and (2.10), we define a mapping $\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega}$ $A(k, R_b^3) \to V^N$ by

$$\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega} u^i := F \circ (I + K + \lambda S)^{-1}(-2u_b^i). \tag{2.12}$$

Let

$$A(N, \partial\Omega) := \{u^i \in A(k, R_b^3), \ (I + K + \lambda S)^{-1} u_b^i \in W_N(\partial\Omega)\}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$A_1(N, \partial\Omega) := \{u^i \in A(k, R_b^3), \ (I + K + \lambda S)^{-1} u_b^i \in W_N^{\perp}(\partial\Omega)\}; \tag{2.14}$$

then we can see from (2.9) that $N(\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega}) = A_1(N, \partial\Omega)$.

Definition 1: Let $u_1^i, \ u_2^i \in A(k, R_b^3)$ be two incoming waves, we say that u_1^i is equivalent to u_2^i if $u_1^i - u_2^i \in A_1(N, \partial\Omega)$, which is denoted by $u_1^i \sim u_2^i$.

Let $\{u^i\}$ be the equivalent class under this equivalent relation $\sim$, then for any given far-field pattern $f \in R(\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega})$, the range of $\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega}$, there exists an equivalent class $\{u^i\}$, such that for any element in the class,

$$\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega} u^i = f. \tag{2.15}$$

We call $\{u^i\}$ an equivalent class solution.

Define

$$\|u^i\|_{\partial\Omega}^2 := \int_{\partial\Omega} \mid (I + K + \lambda S)^{-1} u_b^i \mid^2 d\sigma; \tag{2.16}$$

then we call $u^i \in A(k, R_b^3)$ a minimal norm solution of integral equation (2.15) if

$$\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega} u^i = f$$

such that

$$\|u^i\|_{\partial\Omega} = \inf_{u^i \in \{u^i\}} \|u^i\|_{\partial\Omega}.$$

Theorem 2.1 If $u^i \in A(N, \partial\Omega)$, such that $\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega} u^i = 0$, then

$$u^i = 0, \quad on\ \partial\Omega.$$

Proof: $u^i \in A(N, \partial\Omega)$, so $g := (I + K + \lambda S)^{-1} u_b^i \in W_N(\partial\Omega)$. We can represent $\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega} u^i$ as

$$(\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega} u^i)(\theta, z) = Fg = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m \phi_n(z)$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} + \lambda)\phi_n(\zeta) J_m(k a_n r') cos m(\theta - \theta') g(\xi, \zeta) d\sigma = 0,$$

$$(\theta, z) \in [0, 2\pi] \times [0, h]. \qquad (2.17)$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu} + \lambda)\psi_{mn}^i g d\sigma = 0, i = 1, 2;\ n = 0, 1, ..., N;\ m = 0, 1, ..., \infty. \qquad (2.18)$$

Hence,$g \in W_N^{\perp}(\partial\Omega)$, and $g = 0\ on\ \partial\Omega$. Consquuently, $u_b^i = (I + K + \lambda S)g = 0\ on\ \partial\Omega$.

Corollary Let $\{u^i\}$ be an equivalent class solution of (2.15), then there is a unique $u_0^i \in A(N, \partial\Omega)$ such that any element of $\{u^i\}$ can be written as

$$u^i = u_0^i + u_1^i,$$

where $u_1^i \in A_1(k, \partial\Omega)$.

Since

$$\|u^i\|^2_{\partial\Omega} = \|u^i_0 + u^i_1\|^2_{\partial\Omega}$$

$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} |\,(I + K + \lambda S)^{-1}(u^i_0 + u^i_1)\,|^2\, d\sigma$$

$$= \int_{\partial\Omega} |\,(I + K + \lambda S)^{-1}u^i_0\,|^2\, d\sigma + 4\int_{\partial\Omega} |\,(I + K + \lambda S)^{-1}u^i_1\,|^2\, d\sigma$$

$$= \|u^i_0\|^2_{\partial\Omega} + \|u^i_1\|^2_{\partial\Omega},$$

$\|u^i\|_{\partial\Omega} \geq \|u^i_0\|_{\partial\Omega}$ for any element of $\{u^i\}$, from which we can conclude:

Theorem 2.2 Let $\{u^i\}$ be the equivalent class solution of (2.15), which has a unique decomposite expression

$$u^i = u^i_0 + u^i_1,\ u^i_0 \in A(N, \partial\Omega),\ u^i_1 \in A_1(N, \partial\Omega),$$

then u^i_0 is the minimal norm solution of (2.14).

Theorem 2.3 If $u^i \in A(N, \partial\Omega)$ such that the corresponding propagating far-field pattern $f(\theta, z) = 0$, then the corresponding scattered wave $u^s = 0\ in\ R^3_b \setminus \Omega$.

Proof: Let $u^i \in A(N, \partial\Omega)$, such that

$$\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega} u^i = f = 0.$$

By Theorem 2.1, $u^i = 0\ on\ \partial\Omega$. Hence $u^s = -u^i = 0,\ on\ \partial\Omega$. The uniqueness theorem of direct scattering problem (cf.[4]) follows

$$u^s = 0,\ \ in\ R^3_b \setminus \Omega$$

3 An alternative injective theorem

As pointing out in the last section, $\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega} : A(k, R^3_b) \to V^N$ is not an injection; however, we can restrict $\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega}$ on a linear subspace related to $\partial\Omega$ so that $\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega}$

is injection in the linear subspace. One posible choice for this purpose is to take $\overline{A(N,\partial\Omega)}$ as the domain of $\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega}$. However, in order to formulate the inverse problem in terms of single layer potentials, which has proved efficient in R^3 case in [10], we need to introduce a different restriction on $\hat{F}_{\partial\Omega}$.

We first prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1: Let D be a bounded region in R_b^3, such that $k > 0$ is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of D, then

$$\mu_{mn}^{(1)} := \phi_n(z)J_m(ka_nr)cosm\theta,$$

$$\mu_{mn}^{(2)} := \phi_n(z)J_m(ka_nr)sinm\theta, \tag{3.1}$$

$$m, n = 0, 1, ..., \infty; \ \ (r,\theta,z) \in \partial D.$$

are complete in $L^2(\partial D)$.

Proof : It suffices to show that if $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$, such that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} g(r,z,\theta)[\phi_n(z)J_m(ka_nr)cos(m\theta)]d\sigma = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} g(r,z,\theta)[\phi_n(z)J_m(ka_nr)sin(m\theta)]d\sigma = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

for $m, n = 0, 1, ..., \infty$, then g is identically zero on $\partial\Omega$.

Let

$$u(\mathbf{x}, z) := \int_{\partial\Omega} G(z,\zeta, \mid \mathbf{x} - \xi \mid)g(r',\zeta',\theta')d\sigma \tag{3.4}$$

then $u \equiv 0$ for $\mid \mathbf{x} \mid$ sufficiently large. But u is a solution to the Helmholtz equation, so $u = 0$ in $R_b^3 \setminus D$ by the analyticity of u. Moreover,

$$u_+ - u_- = 2g, \ \ on\ \partial D, \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu})_+ - (\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu})_- = -2\lambda g, \ on\ \partial D. \tag{3.6}$$

Since $u_+ = 0$, we know $u_- = 0\ on\ \partial D$. By assumption, k is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of D, so $u \equiv 0$ in D. It follows that

$$g = -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial u_+}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial u_-}{\partial \nu}) = 0, \ \ on\ \partial D.$$

Now we can represent the solution to the exterior Dirichlet problem in the form of an acoustic single-layer potential

$$u^s(\mathbf{x}, z) := \int_{\partial D} G(z, \zeta, |\ \mathbf{x} - \xi\ |) g(r', \zeta', \theta') d\sigma, \ (\mathbf{x}, z) \in R_b^3 \setminus \Omega, \tag{3.7}$$

where D is an auxiliary region contained in Ω.

The potential (3.6) solves the exterior Dirichlet problem provided that the density ϕ is a solution of the integral equation of the first kind

$$\int_{\partial D} G(z, \zeta, |\ \mathbf{x} - \xi\ |) \phi(\xi, \zeta) d\sigma_\xi = -u^i(\mathbf{x}, z), \ (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \partial\Omega. \tag{3.8}$$

We introduce an integral mapping $T : L^2(\partial D) \to L^2(\partial\Omega)$ by

$$(T\phi)(\mathbf{x}, z) := \int_{\partial D} G(z, \zeta, |\ \mathbf{x} - \xi\ |) \phi(\xi, \zeta) d\sigma_\xi, \ (\mathbf{x}, z) \in \partial\Omega. \tag{3.9}$$

and write (3.8) as

$$T\phi = -u^i \tag{3.10}$$

Since the boundary $\partial\Omega$ and the auxiliary surface ∂D are disjoint, the integral operator T has a smooth kernel and therefore it is compact and can not have a bounded inverse. Hence, the integral equation (3.10) is ill-posed.

However, it is not our purpose to solve the direct problem by solving (3.10) . We are concerned with finding a linear subspace of $A(k, R_b^3)$ so that the restriction of the *far-field pattern operator* F to this subspace is injective.

Here we remark that, similar to the case discussed in [10], equation (3.10) can have a solution only for those incoming waves u^i for which the scattered wave u^s can be analytically extended into the exterior of ∂D. Some discussion related to this question may be found in [11] and [13]. However, for an arbitrary region this is still an open problem.

Suppose for a region Ω and an incoming wave u^i the equation (3.10) has a solution ϕ, then we can write the *far-field pattern operator* $F_{\partial\Omega}$: $A(k, R_b^3) \to V^N$ in the form of

$$F_{\partial\Omega}u^i = F_1\phi := \sum_{n=0}^{N}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m \phi_n(z) \int_{\partial D} \phi_n(\zeta) J_m(ka_n r')$$

$$cosm(\theta - \theta')\phi(\xi, \zeta) d\sigma, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\phi \in L^2(\partial D)$ is a solution of (3.10). Let

$$U_N := \overline{span\{\mu_{mn}^{(1)}, \mu_{mn}^{(2)};\ n = 0, 1, ..., N;\ m = 0, 1, ..., \infty\}},$$

$$U_N^{\perp} := \{u \in L^2(\partial D);\ \int_{\partial D} u\bar{v} d\sigma = 0\ for\ any\ v \in U_N\},$$

$$TU_N := \{u \in L^2(\partial D);\ u = T\phi\ ; for\ some\ \phi \in U_N\},$$

$$TU_N^{\perp} := \{u \in L^2(\partial D);\ u = T\phi\ ; for\ some\ \phi \in U_N^{\perp}\},$$

$$B(N, \partial\Omega) := \{u \in A(k, R_b^3); u\ |_{\partial\Omega} \in \overline{TU_N}\},$$

$$B_1(N, \partial\Omega) := \{u \in A(k, R_b^3); u\ |_{\partial\Omega} \in TU_N^{\perp}\}.$$

Theorem 3.2

$$N(F_{\partial\Omega}) \supset B_1(N, \partial\Omega).$$

Proof: If $u^i \in B_1(N, \partial\Omega)$, then there is a function $\phi \in U_N^{\perp}$ such that

$$T\phi = u^i \mid_{\partial\Omega} .$$

Hense,

$$F_{\partial\Omega} u^i = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m \phi_n(z) \int_{\partial D} \phi_n(\zeta) J_m(ka_n r') cosm(\theta - \theta')\phi(\xi, \zeta) d\sigma = 0$$

due to the fact that

$$\int_{\partial D} \phi(\xi, \zeta)[\phi_n(\zeta) J_m(ka_n r') cos(m\theta')] d\sigma = 0,$$

$$\int_{\partial D} \phi(\xi, \zeta)[\phi_n(\zeta) J_m(ka_n r') sin(m\theta')] d\sigma = 0,$$

$$for\ m = 0, 1, ..., \infty,\ n = 0, 1, ..., N.$$

Theorem 3.3 Suppose $u^i \in A(k, R_b^3)$ and equation (3.10) has a solution in $L^2(\partial D)$. If $F_{\partial\Omega} u^i = 0$ then $u^i \in B_1(N, \partial\Omega)$.

Proof: For $u^i \in A(k, R_b^3)$, let $\phi \in L^2(\partial D)$ be a solution of (3.10), then the scattered wave u^s can be written as

$$u^s(\mathbf{x}, z) := \int_{\partial D} G(z, \zeta, \mid \mathbf{x} - \xi \mid) \phi(\xi, \zeta) d\sigma.$$

For $r = \mid \mathbf{x} \mid \to \infty$, we have

$$F_{\partial\Omega} u^i = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi_n(z) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m \{ [\int_{\partial D} \phi(\xi, \zeta) \phi_n(\zeta) J_m(ka_n r') cos(m\theta') d\sigma] cosm\theta$$

$$+ [\int_{\partial D} \phi(\xi, \zeta)[\phi_n(\zeta) J_m(ka_n r') sin(m\theta') d\sigma] sinm\theta\} = 0,$$

$$0 \le z \le h,\ 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\partial D} \phi(\xi, \zeta)[\phi_n(\zeta) J_m(ka_n r') cos(m\theta')] d\sigma = 0,$$

$$\int_{\partial D} \phi(\xi,\zeta)[\phi_n(\zeta)J_m(ka_n r')sin(m\theta')]d\sigma = 0,$$

$$for\ m = 0, 1, ..., \infty,\ n = 0, 1, ..., N.$$

Hence $\phi \in U_N^{\perp}$ and $u^i \mid_{\partial\Omega} = -T\phi \in TU_N^{\perp}$.

Corallary Suppose $u^i \in A(k, R_b^3)$ and equation (3.10) has a solution in $B(N, \partial D)$. If $F_{\partial\Omega} u^i = 0$, then $u^i = 0$ and

$$u^s = 0 \quad in\ R_b^3 \setminus \Omega.$$

4 Inverse problem and its approximation solutions

In view of Section 3, if u^i is an incoming wave which admits a solution to equation (3.10), i.e.

$$T\phi = -u^i,\ \ \phi \in L^2(\partial D), \tag{4.1}$$

then we can introduce a far-field operator $F_1 :\ L^2(\partial D) \rightarrow V^N$ as:

$$F_1\phi := \sum_{n=0}^{N}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m \phi_n(z) \int_{\partial D} \phi_n(\zeta) J_m(ka_n r') cosm(\theta - \theta')\phi(\xi,\zeta) d\sigma, \tag{4.2}$$

$$0 \leq z \leq h,\ 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi.$$

For a given far-field pattern, it leads to an integral equation of the first kind, namely

$$F_1\phi = f, \quad on\ \Gamma, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\Gamma := \{(1, \theta, z);\ 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq z \leq h\}$.

We know that F_1 is an injection if k is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of D and the domain of F_1 , D(F_1), is U_N. However, we can not expect in general that a solution to (4.3) exists.

One of the basic techniques to treat ill-posed integral equations of the first kind is the classical Tikhonov functional

$$\|F\phi_\alpha - f\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \alpha\|\phi_\alpha\|^2_{L^2(\partial D)}. \tag{4.4}$$

After we have determined ϕ_α and the corresponding approximation u^s_α for the scattered wave u^s, we look for the unknown surface $\partial\Omega$ as the location of the zeros of $u^s_\alpha + u^i$. As suggested in the whole space case (cf. [10],[2]), we make an a-priori assumption on the unknown surfaces that if U is the set of all possible surfaces, the elements of U can be described by

$$\Lambda := \{(0,0,z_0) + r(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x};\ \mathbf{x} \in B\},$$

where B is the unit sphere and $0 < z_0 < h$ is a known constant, $r(\mathbf{x})$ belongs to a compact subset

$$V := \{r \in C^{1,\beta}(B);\ 0 \le r_1(\mathbf{x}) \le r(\mathbf{x}) \le r_2(\mathbf{x})\}.$$

As usual, $C^{1,\beta}(B)$, $0 < \beta \le 1$, denotes the space of uniformly Holder continuously differentiable functions on the unit sphere furnished with the appropriate Holder norm. The functions $r_1(\mathbf{x})$ and $r_2(\mathbf{x})$ in the definition of V represent the a-priori information.

If ∂D is contained in the interior of the surface represented by $r(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{x} + (0,0,z_0)$, (for simplification, we sometimes just say by $r(\mathbf{x})$), we locate $\partial\Omega$ by minimizing

$$\int_\Lambda |\ u^s_\alpha + u^i\ |^2\ d\sigma$$

over all surfaces Λ in U; or, similar to [10], neglecting the Jacobean of $r(\mathbf{x})$, by minimizing

$$\int_B |\ (u^s_\alpha + u^i) \circ r\ |^2\ d\sigma \tag{4.5}$$

over all functions $r \in V$.

Combining (4.4) and (4.5), we can formulate the inverse problem as minimizing the functional:

$$\mu(\phi, r; f, \alpha) := \|F\phi - f\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \alpha\|\phi\|^2_{L^2(\partial D)}$$

$$+ \|(T\phi + u^i) \circ r\|^2_{L^2(B)}, \tag{4.6}$$

here we use T to denote the single-layer acoustic potential

$$(T\phi)(\mathbf{x}, z) := \int_{\partial D} G(z, \zeta, \mid \mathbf{x} - \xi \mid)\phi d\sigma;\ (\mathbf{x}, z) \in R^3_b \setminus \partial D.$$

That is, we seek $\phi^* \in U_N$ and $r^* \in V$ such that

$$\mu(\phi^*, r^*; f, \alpha) = M(f, \alpha) := \inf\{\mu(\phi, r; f, \alpha); \phi \in U_N,\ r \in V\} \tag{4.7}$$

Now we establish existence of a solution to this nonlinear optimization problem and investigate its convergent property as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 4.1: The optimization formulation of the inverse scattering problem has a solution.

Proof: Let $(\phi_n, r_n) \in U_N \times V$ be a minimizing sequence, this means that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\phi_n, r_n; f, \alpha) = M(f, \alpha). \tag{4.8}$$

Since V is compact, we may assume that $r_n \rightarrow r \in U,\ as\ n \rightarrow \infty$.

In view of

$$\alpha\|\phi_n\|^2_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq \mu(\phi_n, r_n; f, \alpha) \rightarrow M(f, \alpha),\ n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.9}$$

and $\alpha > 0$, we know that the sequence $\{\phi_n\}$ is bounded. Hence, we may conclude that $\{\phi_n\}$ converges weakly to some $\phi \in U_N\ as\ n \rightarrow \infty$. The fact that F and T are compact operators follows that

$$F\phi_n \to F\phi, \;\; n \to \infty,$$

and

$$(T\phi_n) \circ r_n \to (T\phi) \circ r, \;\; n \to \infty.$$

But then from (4.7) we know

$$\|\phi_n\|^2_{L^2(\partial D)} \to \|\phi\|^2_{L^2(\partial D)}, \;\; n \to \infty.$$

This, together with the weak convergence, implies that

$$\|\phi_n - \phi\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \to 0, \; n \to \infty. \tag{4.10}$$

and $\phi \in U_N$ due to that U_N is a closed set. Hence

$$\mu(\phi, r; f, \alpha) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(\phi_n, r_n; f, \alpha) = M(f, \alpha). \tag{4.11}$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.2 Let $u^i \in B(N, \partial\Omega)$ and f_0 be the corresponding far-field pattern of a domain $\partial\Omega$ which described by some $r \in V$, then

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 0} M(f_0, \alpha) = 0.$$

Proof: Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, then there exists $\phi \in U_N$ such that

$$\|(T\phi + u^i) \circ r\|_{L^2(B)} < \epsilon.$$

Since the far-field pattern of the scattered wave depands continuously on the boundary data of u^s, we can find a constant depanding on $\partial\Omega$, $C = C(\partial\Omega)$, such that

$$\|F_1\phi - f_0\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq C\|(T\phi - u^s) \circ r\|_{L^2(B)}. \tag{4.12}$$

In view of $u^i + u^s = 0 \ on \ \partial\Omega$, we have

$$\mu(\phi, r; f_0, \alpha) \le (1+C)\|(T\phi + u^i)\circ r\|_{L^2(B)} + \alpha\|\phi\|_{L^2(\partial D)}$$

$$\le (1+C)\epsilon + \alpha\|\phi\| \to (1+C)\epsilon, \ \alpha \to .$$

From the above we have the following result.

Theorem 4.3: Let $u^i \in B(N, \partial\Omega)$ be an incoming wave such that $u^i \mid_{\partial\Omega} \in TU_N$ and f be the corresponding far-field pattern of a domain Ω such that $\partial\Omega$ is described by a null sequence and let (ϕ_n, r_n) be a solution to the minimization problem with regularization parameter α_n. Then there exists a convergent subsequence of the sequence $\{r_n\}$,. There is only a finite number of limit points and every limit point represents a surface on which the total field $u^s + u^i$ vanishes.

Proof: From the compactness of V, there exists a convergent subsequence of $\{r_n\}$ which converges to, say, r^*. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $r_n \to r^*, \ as \ n \to \infty$. Let u^* denote the unique solution to the direct scattering problem for the object with boundary Λ^* described by r^*, then

$$(u^* + u^i)\circ r^* = 0, \quad on \ B. \tag{4.13}$$

Here we can think of that u_n is the solution to an exterior Dirichlet problem with boundary values $T\phi_n \mid_{\Lambda_n}$ on the boundary Λ_n described by r_n.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [2] (also cf. [10]), we can show the following lemma:

Lemma: Let $\{r^*\}$, r^* be surfaces in R_b^3, $r_n \to r^* \ as \ n \to \infty$. Let u^i be an incoming wave, $\{u_n\}$ and u^* be scattered waves satisfying

$$(u^* + u^i)\circ r^* = 0, \quad on \ B;$$

$$\|(u_n + u^i) \circ r_n\|_{L^2(B)} \to 0, \ as \ n \to \infty;$$

then for any closed set G in $R_b^3 \setminus D$,

$$\|u_n - u^*\|_{\infty,G} \to 0, \ n \to \infty. \tag{4.14}$$

where D contained in the interior region of r^* and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,G}$ is the maximun norm over G.

From the Lemma we know the far-field patterns $F_1\phi_n$ of u_n converge uniformly to the far-field pattern f^* of u^*. Moreover, by Theorem 4.2 ,

$$\|F_1\phi_n - f\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \to 0, \ as \ n \to \infty.$$

Therefore, we can conclude that the far-field patterns concide

$$f = f^*.$$

Recall that f is the far-field pattern with respect to an incoming wave $u^i \in B(N, \partial\Omega)$ such that $T\phi = -u^i$ admits a solution $\phi_0 \in U_N$, therefore, we can represent the scattered wave as:

$$u^s(\mathbf{x}, z) = (T\phi_0)(\mathbf{x}, z), \ \ (\mathbf{x}, z) \in R_b^3 \setminus \Omega.$$

Since $f = F_1\phi_0$,

$$\|F_1(\phi_n - \phi_0)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} = \|F_1\phi_n - f\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \to 0, \ as \ n \to \infty, \tag{4.15}$$

it implies from (4.2) that

$$\int_{\partial D}[\phi_n - \phi_0][\phi_n(\zeta)J_m(ka_n r')cos(m\theta')]d\sigma \to 0,$$

$$\int_{\partial D}[\phi_n - \phi_0][\phi_n(\zeta)J_m(ka_n r')sin(m\theta')]d\sigma \to 0,$$

$$when\ n \to \infty.$$

It follows immediately that

$$\|T\phi_n - u^s\|_{\infty,G} = \|T(\phi_n - \phi_0)\|_{\infty,G} \to 0,\ as\ n \to \infty. \tag{4.16}$$

Consquently,

$$\|u^s - u^*\|_{\infty,G} \le \|u^s - T\phi_n\|_{\infty,G} + \|T\phi_n - u^*\|_{\infty,G}$$

$$= \|T(\phi_n - \phi_0)\|_{\infty,G} + \|u_n - u^*\|_{\infty,G} \to 0,\ n \to \infty, \tag{4.17}$$

due to (4.14) and (4.16), where G is any closed set in $R^3_b \setminus D$. In view of (4.17) and that $u^* + u^i = 0\ on\ \Lambda\ and\ \Lambda^* \subset R^3_b \setminus D$, we can conclude that

$$u^s + u^i = 0, \quad on\ \Lambda^*. \tag{4.18}$$

If there existed an infinite number of different limit points, then by the compactness of V we could find a convergent sequence of these limit points. Thus it would follow that there was an arbitrary small region for which $u^s + u^i$ is an eigenfunction for the Laplacean. This is impossible; hence number of limit points are finite.

References

[1] Ahluwalia, D. and Keller, J.; "Exact and asymptotic representations of the sound field in a stratified ocean," *Wave Propagation and Underwater Acoustics*, Lecture Notes in Physics 70, Springer, Berlin (1977)

[2] Angell, T. S., Colton, D. and Kirsch, A.; "The three dimensional inverse scattering problem for acoustic waves" *J. Diff. Equa.* 46 (1982) , 46-58.

[3] Angell, T.S., Kleiman, R.E. and Roach, G.F.; "An inverse transmission problem for the Helmholtz equation." *Inverse Problems* 3 (1987), 149-180.

[4] Colton, D.; "The inverse scattering problem for time-harmonic acoustic waves", *SIAM Review* 26 (1984), 323-350

[5] Colton, D. and Monk, P.; "A novel method of solving the inverse scattering problem for time-harmonic acoustic waves in the resonance region," *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 45 (1985), 1039-1053.

[6] Colton, D. and Monk, P.; "A novel method of solving the inverse scattering problem for time-harmonic acoustic waves in the resonance region : II." *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 46 (1986), 506-523.

[7] Colton, D. and Kress, R.; *Integral Equation Methods in Scattering Theory*, John Wiley, New York, (1983)

[8] Gilbert, R. P. and Xu, Y.; "Starting fields and far fields in ocean acoustics" ,*Wave Motion*, to appear, (1987)

[9] Gilbert, R. P. and Xu, Yongzhi; "Dense sets and the projection

theorem for acoustic harmonic waves in homogeneous finite depth ocean", *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, to appear. (1989)

[10] Kirsch, A. and Kress, R.; "An optimization method in inverse acoustic scattering", in *Boundary Elements*, Vol.3. Fluid Flow and Potential Applications, to appear, (1988)

[11] Millar, R.F.; "The Rayleigh hypothesis and a related least-squares solution to scattering problems for periodic surfaces and other scatterers." *Radio Science* 8 (1973), 785-796.

[12] Sleeman, B. D.; "The inverse problem of acoustic scattering", *IMA J. Applied Math.* 29 (1982)

[13] van den Berg, P. M. and Fokkema, J. T.; "The Rayleigh hypothesis in the theory of diffraction by a cylindrical obstacle," *IEEE Trans. Antennas and Prop.* AP-27 (1979), 577-583.

[14] Xu, Yongzhi; "The propagating solution and far field patterns for acoustic harmonic waves in a finite depth ocean," *Applicable Analysis*, to appear, (1989).