

\$2.00

PHYSICAL-CHEMICAL **METHODS FOR THE CONTROL OF ALGAL SPECIES AND COMPOSITION IN ALGAL CULTURING FACILITIES**

by **COPY** O **Richard F. Srna Anne Saggaley and Walter Page**

p~

DEL-SG-8-76

The **information in this report was also presented in a paper at the Seventh Annual Meeting of the World** Mariculture Society, San **Diego, California, January 26-29, 1976.**

This work is the result of research sponsored by **NOAA Office of Sea Grant, Department of Commerce, under Grant No. 04-3-158-30.**

> **College of Marine Studies University of Delaware Newark, Delaware 19711 and Lewes, Delaware 19958**

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank the **National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,** Office **of Sea Grant, Department** of **Commerce for its support of this research.**

TABLE **OF CONTENTS**

 \cdot

ABSTRACT

The bivalve molluscs C. virginica and M. mercenaria require specific species of algal food for growth. Therefore successful commercial culture of the hard clam and American oyster in a controlled environment depends on an ability to grow desirable algal species in the presence of potentially contaminating algal species.

Three'methods are described for the selective culture of Thalassiosira pseudonana, a desirable bivalve food, in the presence of a contaminant species Phaeodactylum tricornutum, an undesirable food.

The first method takes advantage of differences in cell wall strength between the two types of algae. Treatment with ultrasonic waves of culture of T. pseudonana contaminated with 50% and 70% P. tricornutum (by cell numbers) yielded growing cultures of Thalassiosira which were free of Phaeodactylum cells. Treated controls consisting of cultures of 100% P. tricornutum and 100% T. pseudonana, showed no growth and normal growth respectively.

The second method involves manipulation of nutrient levels in a mixed culture of Thalassiosira pseudonana and Phaeodactylum tricornutum to eliminate one or the other species from the culture.

The third method utilizes a harvesting reseeding technique to maintain a relatively constant concentration of the slower-growing species in a semi-continuous mixed culture containing Carteria chuiiand Thalassiosita pseudonana.

The results are integrated into a two-loop algal culturing process. One loop consists of a seed culture utilizing a smal1. percentage of the influent water and a larger mass algae culture. The mass algae loop is continuously inoculated with new seed from the seed loop in sufficient quantities so that desirable species out-compete undesirable species. Mater entering the seed loop is purified by an ultrasonic treatment process so that undesirable species may be eliminated from the seed cultures.

INTRODUCTION

The bivalve molluscs Crassostrea virginica and Mercenaria **mercenaria require** specific **species of microalgae in sufficient quantities in** order **to achieve rapid growth** rates. **For example,** a mixed diet containing **tricornutum, Rhodomanas sp.** and Isochrysis galbana resulted in better growth than paired combinations of these same species (Hartman et al., 1973). A single marine algal **species** which **yielded good** growth rates **when** fed to **these species** is Thalassiosira **seudonana 3 8 Epifanio et al., 1975!. However,** efforts to culture this algal species on wastewater have resulted in substantial contamination of T. pseudonana **cultures with** F. **tricornutum** Goldman **and Stanley,** 1974!. **Epifanio** and Mootz (1975) reported that neither C. virginica nor *M.* mercenaria **survived when** fed a diet **containing only P. tricornutum. It is clear** that successful **mariculture** of **bivalve molluscs requires methods for** the **control** of the **species of algae cultivated for their diets.**

In addition to **the necessity** of maintaining species integrity **in large** scale algal cultures **the** productivity of **these facilities is** also important. Adult bivalves may require as much as 1×10^9 cells/ animal/day for growth (Epifanio et al., 1975). Thus methods should **be available** to **ensure that an outdoor algal culture on a December day provides as** many **cells as** the **same culture during July.**

An examination of these problems led us to consider a **variety** of physical and chemical methods which might **be applicable. Results from** three types of experiments **are** reported.

The **first experiment we performed involves** the **use** of **ultrasonic waves to** remove selectively **undesirable** algal species from cultures of T. seudonana. **The** second **method utilizes a manipulation** of **the** nutrient concentration in a culture, either to remove P. tricornutumfrom a T. pseudonana culture, or to permit this second species to become a component of the final algal harvest.

The third **method** involves the use **of** a continuous seeding **process** to compensate **for** the slower growth rate of one species of algae in the presence of a species which has a faster growth rate.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

I. Exposure of Algal Cultures to Ultrasonic Waves

A 280-vatt Biosonik ultrasonics generator* with a standard horn was used at 100% power output to treat mixed algal cultures. In order to simulate conditions in which P. tricornutum has contaminated cultures of T. pseudonana, cultures were made which contained 0%, 34%, 60%, and 100% P. tricornutum in T. pseudonana. The mixed cultures were counted with a Coulter Counter**, model Z_p , fitted with a 100 u aperture tube. Amplification and aperture current vere set at 1/8.

Samples containing 50 mls of the mixed cultures were chilled to 15[°]C and then placed in an ice bath during the ultrasonic treatment. Exposure time to ultrasonic vaves was two minutes so that the final temperature of the solution was kept below 25'C. The treated samples were placed in 1 liter of artificial seawater*** containing 2F medium (Guillard and Ryther, 1962). The growth of these cultures was monitored. using a Turner Model III fluorometer. Samples of the cultures vere taken initially, after treatment, and after 48 hours of culture for photographing on a hemacytometer slide. From cell counts made from these photographs, estimates were made of the ratio of Phaeodactylum to Thalassiosira.

II. Nutrient Composition as a Method of Controlling the Algal Species Composition

The vessel used to culture the algae in these experiments is shown in Figure 1. On a rack 50 cm away from these culturing tubes, six cool ℓ vhite fluorescent lights were mounted to provide continuous illumination. Three liters of artificial seawater containing 1F medium were inoculated with the appropriate algae. Nutrient analysis was done according to methods described in Strickland and Parsons (1968). Four of these culturing tubes were used in each experimental set. The first contained either pure

*Bronwill Scientific, Rochester, New York, Model BP1

Þ

A* Coulter Electronics, 590 W. 20th Street, Hialeah, Florida

^{*} A'* Instant Ocean Aquarium Systems, 33208 Lakeland Boulevard, Eastlake, Ohio

TABLE 1

 $\overline{6}$

T. **seudonana or** pure P. **tricornutum. The second, third, and fourth contained a lX, 10X** and **25X addition of P. tricornutum to a T.** pseudonana culture. Tubes 1, 2, and 3 were batch cultured, although **in one set of experiments extra nutrients were sequentially added to culture A.**

Approximately BOX of the **volume of culture 4 was harvested when** the cell density reached 3.0×10^6 cells/ml. After harvesting, nutrients **were** added **as well as sufficient artificial seawater to restore the** initial volume.

III. Repetitive Seeding Process for the Control of Algal Culture Composition

Four cultures **were** prepared **in the culture tubes described above.** The first tube contained Carteria chuii, the second and third a 50:50 by **cell count!** mixture of **Thalassiosira** seudonana **and Carteria chuii;** and the fourth Thalassiosira pseudonana.

At 20, 32, 56 and **68** hours **the tubes were harvested** by **removing** some of the culture and **then** restoring the nutrients **and volume to addition** to **the residual 400 ml of unharvested algal culture, fresh seawater and** nutrients. The percent **Carteria** in **the sample was determined by** visual counts on a hemacytometer slide made from the mixture. their original levels. **At** 20. and 56 hours, however, **9.84 x 108 and 8.25 x 108 Carteria.** cells **were added to tube 2 and 3 respectively in**

RESULTS

I. Exposure of Algal Cultures to Ultrasonic Waves

Results of experiments in **which** pure and mixed cultures **of the** microalgae P. tricornutum and T. pseudonana were exposed to ultrasonic radiation **are** shown in Table l. Two control cultures which **were not treated** are **also** shown. The **initial cell** concentration **of** each **culture was** approximately the same prior to treatment. After treatment with ultrasonic waves, no <u>Phaeodactylum</u> cells could be found in any of the **cultures.**

 $\overline{7}$

 $\overline{9}$

Treated and untreated 50-ml samples, were transferred to a 1-liter seawater solution containing 2 F nutrients. All af the inoculated cultures except the one originating from a treated lOOX P. tricornutum solution showed increased fluorescence and cell counts, as recorded in Figure 2 and Table l.

Phaeodactylum did not appear as a component in any of the final cultures, while Thalassiosira cells remained in the inoculum sample and were capable of reproduction.

II. Nutrient Composition as a Method of Controlling the Algal Species Composition

Figure 3 is a plot of the growth curves for P. tricornutum and T. pseudonana. A fit of these data to the equation $\ln c = kt + \ln c_0$; where K is the growth rate, c is the cell concentration at time t and C is the initial concentration of cells, yields growth rates, k, of **0** .111 for Thalassiosira pseudonana and .049 for Phaeodactylum tricornutum. These values correspond to 3.84 and l.70 doublings per day, respectively.

T. pseudonana has a more rapid growth rate than P. tricornutum, but the final cell density and life of the P. tricornutum culture, as shown in Figure 3, was greater. This suggests nutrient limitation of growth. Silicate analysis of the two mixtures at 28 hours showed zero silicate remaining in the T. pseudonana medium, while the P. tricornutum medium still contained 20 u moles of silicate. This indicates different nutrient requirements for the two species.

Figure 4 is a plotting of cell density versus time for batch cultures inoculated with 1% Phaeodactylum (by cell count). Culture 2A had extra nutrients (F concentration) added at 20, 46 and 56 hours. Figure 5 shows the percent of Phaeodactylum in these same cultures when measured at different times.

After 24 hours, the percent of Phaeodactylum increased in cultures 2B and 2C. Culture 2A which **had extra** nutrient added contained no Phaeodactylum cells after 72 hours of growth.

The results from Thalassiosira cultures with 10% added Phaeodactylum are shown in Figure 6 ; Figure 7 gives the percent composition of these cultures when determined at different times. After 24 hours, culture 3B and 3C showed increases in Phaeodactylum. After 48 hours, culture 3A also showed an increase in the Phaeodactylum component.

 $\overline{11}$

 $\overline{12}$

 $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$

 $\overline{14}$

Figures 8, 9, and 10 show results from a semicontinuous culture. The value shown in parenthesis is the percent Phaeodactylum at the time of harvest. The percent of P. tricornutum in the cultures decreases with time as expected, since its growth rate is slower than T. pseudonana.

III. Repetitive Seeding Process for the Control of Algal Culture
Composition

An equal mixture (by cell count) of Thalassiosira pseudonana and Carteria chuii were cultured semi-continuously by harvesting at appropriate intervals. The results of replicate experiments are shown in Figure ll. At 20 and 56 hours after harvest, the cell ratio was restored to the original 50:50 ratio by adding a sufficient quantity of the slower-proving species, Carteria chuii, from a seed culture.

In this manner, it was possible to harvest a mixed algal culture containing about 25X Carteria chuii for the 72-hour duration of the experiment. After 72 hours, in the absence of a fresh addition of Carteria cells, Thalassiosira dominates the culture, as shown by the values in parenthesis on Figure 11.

DISCUSSION

Ultrasonic waves appear to be highly selective in their action on mixtures of Phaeodactylum and Thalassiosira. Apparently differences in the composition of the cell walls of the two species account for the quantitative destruction of Phaeodactylum. Thalassiosira cells not only remained intact after sonication but were capable of reproduction as shown by the family of growth curves in Figure 2.

From a practical standpoint, the sonication treatment to remove undesirable microrganisms has several useful characteristics. It utilizes simple, readily available commercial instrumentation. It does not require the introduction of foreign materials into the water and, except for the release of cell contents from disrupted cells, does not alter the

 $\overline{16}$

 $\overline{17}$

 $\overline{18}$

composition of the water. The treatment may be used continuously or intermittently as required. It is likely that sonication will also be effective in destroying larvae or bacteria which may enter an algal growing tank.

The careful regulation of a limiting nutrient may also be employed to control the species of algae which are grown in an algal culturing facility. Figure 3 shows the effect of the inadequate silicate content of F nutrient media for the growth of Thalassiosira pseudonana. Figures 4 to 7 show the result of using this nutrient formulation on mixed cultures containing both Phaeodactylum and Thalassiosira. Although Thalassiosira quickly becomes the dominant species, Phaeodactylum forms a significant percent of the final composition of the cultures, since its growth is not limited by the reduced silicate content of the water. Thus an algal species whose growth rate is only half that of its competition may become dominant if the nutrient contempt of the cultures is inadequate for the faster-growing species, It may be possible to take greater advantage of this phenomenon in continuous cultures. If the first in a series of interconnected growing ponds were seeded with a slow-growing species which did not require large quantities of silicate, its concentration could reach high levels near the middle of the series of ponds. At that point, more silicate and seed cultures of Thalassiosira(a fast-growing species) could be introduced. The product culture would then be a mixture of two desirable species having considerably different growth rates.

The growth rate of algal cultures during the "log phase" may be adequately represented by an exponential equation of the form, $c_+ = c_+ e^{-k}$ where c_t is the concentration of algae at time $\mathsf{t},$ k is the growth rat and c_o is the initial concentration of algae. Two species having diffe values of k may be grown in the same vessel and then harvested to some percentage of their final cell density at the time t. The initial and final ratios of the two species in the culture will reflect the time at which they were harvested as well as the differences in values of k. If after harvesting a culture, sufficient new inoculum of one species is added to restore the original ratios, the system will maintain the same

ratios of algal cells over time. If quantities of the slower-growing species are added in excess of that required to restore the original ratio at the time of each harvest, then the slower-growing species will eventually dominate the cultures.

Constraints on this process will be the cell density to which the slower-growing species can be cultured in the seed cultures as well as the cell density of the mixed species culture at the time of harvest.

This technique of continuous seeding of a culture represents a powerful method for control af culture composition, since contaminant species which grow faster than the desirable species can be outcompeted by sufficient additions from seed cultures of a slower-growing species.

The first module in a continuous culture sequence is the logical place to exercise this control since it is easiest to exceed cell numbers of contaminant species at this point. A refinement of this technique leads to mixed cultures of species growing at different rates to a predetermined composition at harvest.

In addition to removal of contaminant species from water, regulation of the seed input can control the quantity of algae present in the mass algae units. Short-term adverse growing conditions in the mass algal tanks, which lead to less productivity at harvest, can be compensated for by the addition of excess seed culture during these periods.

These three methods of controlling algal cultures may be integrated into a single recirculated seawater mariculture facility. An example of this is shown in Figure 12. In this configuration, the shellfish are grown in laminar flow raceways. The design of the individual tanks is shown in Figure l3. Algae and solid wastes are kept in suspension by the combined action of airlift pumps located next to the walls of the tanks and an inverted v-shaped bottom. Water circulates continuously around a number of these interconnected units until a sensor determines that most of the algae has been cleared from the water. This triggers a pump which brings water from the mass algal tank to the growth tanks until the desired concentration of algae in the growth tanks has been achieved. Overflow water from the growth tanks passes into a settling

SHELLFISH GROWTH TANK UTILIZING 2 AIR LiFT PUMPS AND V-SHAPED BLOCK TO PREVENT SETTLING OUT OF SOLID WASTE AND ALGAE

LENGTH = 4 ft. WIDTH $= 4$ in. $HE I G H T = 6$ in.

Figure 13. Shellfish growth tank.

 $\frac{24}{7}$

tank (shown in Figure 14) where solids are continuously removed. The solid wastes are a valuable by-product of the bivalve mollusc culturing process (Srna et al., 1975). The water then passes into a modular biological filter (Srna, 1975) for treatment of dissolved. wastes (Figure 15). Most of the water is then returned to the algal growing tanks. Hakeup water may be added at this point to compensate for evaporation and other factors. A smaller portion of the water is pumped to one of several seed culture facilities (shown in Figure 16). Here water is treated by sonication to remove living contaminants before entering a small-scale continuous culture. This step is critical since without it contaminant species would soon dominate the seed cultures. The environment for the continuous algal culture in these seed-growing units is kept at an optimum temperature by the use of supplemental heating or cooling, light, and nutrient additions as necessary. Seed cultures containing excess nutrients are continuously added to the mass algal growing facility in quantities sufficient to respond to adverse growing conditions in the large tanks or to the presence of contaminating species in the mass algal culture.

If more than two species of algae are desired, two types of seed algae may be introduced, either at the beginning of the mass algal sequence, or a faster-growing species may be added several tank modules after the beginning tank in the process. Appropriate feedback information on the composition of the water controls nutrient and seed inputs from the seed loops so that the system is responsive to changes in environmental conditions.

The system incorporates a number of feedback loops which automatically stabilize the algal production process. This may prove to be an extremely important concept in maricultural efforts in which, typically, organism densities and species diversity have been altered from those which occur in nature. Thus many of the natural pathways which stabilize natural ecosystems are no longer present in a mariculture facility.

Consecutive modular nitrification filter Figure 15.

Figure 16. Schematic of algal seed-growing facility

LITERATURE CITED

- Kpifanio, C. E., G. Pruder, M. Hartman, and R. Srna. 1973. An interdisciplinary study on the feasibility of recirculating systems in mariculture. Proceedings Fourth Annual World Mariculture Workshop: 37-52.
- Epifanio, C. E, and C. A. Mootz. 1975. Growth of oysters in a recirculating maricultural system. Proceedings National Shellfish Association. In press.
- Epifanio, C. E,, C. M. Logan, and C. Turk. Culture of six species of bivalve in a recirculating seawater system. Proceedings of the 10th European Symposium on Marine Biology. Oostend, Belgium, 1975. (in press)
- Goldman, J. C. and A. I. Stanley. 1974. Relative growth of different species of marine algae in wastewater seawater mixtures. Marine Biology 28: 17-28.
- Guillard, R. R. L. and J. Ryther. 1962. Studies of marine planktonic diatoms. I. Cyclotella nana and Detonula confervacea. Can. J. Microbiol. 8: 229-239.
- Hartman, M., C. E. Epifanio, G. Pruder, and R. Srna. 1973. Farming the artificial sea: growth of clams in a recirculating seawater system. Proceedings 26th Annual Session Gulf and Carib. Fish. Institute: 59-74.
- Srna, R. F., A. Baggaley, and J. Ewart. 1975. The feasibility of utilization of solid waste material from the cultivation of shellfish as a marketable product. Delaware Sea Grant Publication DEL-SG-L2-75.
- Srna, R. F. A modular nitrification filter design based on a study of the kinetics of nitrification of marine bacteria. Proceedings of the 1975 World Mariculture Society Meeting, Seattle, Washington (in press)
- Strickland, J. and T. Parsons. 1968. Practical Handbook of Seawater Analysis. Bull. 167. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada, 300 pp.