GENOME SEQUENCES





Genome Sequences of Two *Microcystis aeruginosa* (*Chroococcales, Cyanobacteria*) Strains from Florida (United States) with Disparate Toxigenic Potentials

Forrest W. Lefler,^a Maximiliano Barbosa,^a David E. Berthold,^a H. Dail Laughinghouse IV^a

^aAgronomy Department, University of Florida, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, Fort Lauderdale Research and Education Center, Davie, Florida, USA

ABSTRACT Here, we report the draft genomes of two *Microcystis aeruginosa* strains, i.e., *M. aeruginosa* BLCC-F108, which was isolated from a toxic bloom in eutrophic waters in Lake Okeechobee (Florida, USA), and *M. aeruginosa* BLCC-F158, which was isolated from mesotrophic waters in Lake Tohopekaliga (Florida, USA). Genomic analyses show disparate toxin potentials for these two strains.

*M*icrocystis aeruginosa (Kützing) Kützing is a cosmopolitan, freshwater, bloomforming cyanobacterium that is notorious for its role in cyanobacterial harmful algal blooms (cyanoHABs). The occurrence of cyanoHABs can cause discoloration of the water and surface scums and is driven primarily by increases in nutrients (e.g., nitrogen and phosphorus) from point and nonpoint sources and internal cycling (1). *Microcystis aeruginosa* is also capable of producing toxic bioactive compounds that can be concentrated in water, sediments, animals, and plants, representing both environmental and public health threats (1). Because cyanoHABs are increasing in frequency, intensity, and duration globally (2), it is essential to understand their genomic diversity.

Microcystis aeruginosa BLCC-F108 was isolated from a subsurface grab sample from a toxic bloom in Lake Okeechobee, Florida, in April 2019, and *M. aeruginosa* BLCC-F158 was isolated from a subsurface grab sample from Lake Tohopekaliga, Florida, in January 2020. For isolations, samples were spread onto BG11 agar plates (3) and grown under a 12:12 light/dark cycle. Individual colonies were picked, grown in liquid BG11 medium, and visually checked by light microscopy for contamination to ensure unicyanobacterial cultures. Because it is difficult to achieve axenic cyanobacterial cultures and heterotrophic bacteria are well-known inhabitants of the *Microcystis* mucilaginous sheath (4), only unicyanobacterial cultures were achieved. An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Eurofins Abraxis, Warminster, PA, USA) was used, following the manufacturer's protocols, to evaluate microcystin production for the two strains. *M. aeruginosa* BLCC-F108 was confirmed to be a microcystin producer, while *M. aeruginosa* BLCC-F158 did not produce microcystins.

DNA was extracted using a DNeasy plant minikit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA). For genome sequencing, libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq library construction kit, and 2×150 -nucleotide paired-end reads were generated with an Illumina HiSeq instrument. Default parameters were used for all software unless otherwise noted. Trimming was performed using fastp v0.20.1 (5), and quality was checked using FastQC v0.11.9 (6). Raw reads were *de novo* assembled using SPAdes v3.14.1 (7) with meta parameters. Contigs were then binned using MaxBin2 v2.2.4 (8), the bin corresponding to cyanobacteria was extracted, and the completeness and contamination were assessed using CheckM v1.0.18 (9) before annotation with the Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) v4.12 (10). The presence of secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene clusters (BCGs) was assessed using antiSMASH v5.1.2 (11). The draft

Citation Lefler FW, Barbosa M, Berthold DE, Laughinghouse HD, IV. 2020. Genome sequences of two *Microcystis aeruginosa* (*Chroococcales, Cyanobacteria*) strains from Florida (United States) with disparate toxigenic potentials. Microbiol Resour Announc 9: e00844-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00844 -20.

Editor Frank J. Stewart, Georgia Institute of Technology

Copyright © 2020 Lefler et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to H. Dail Laughinghouse IV, hlaughinghouse@ufl.edu.

Received 17 August 2020 Accepted 8 September 2020 Published 24 September 2020

TABLE 1 Genome data of two Microcystis aeruginosa strains

Feature	Data for:	
	Microcystis aeruginosa BLCC-F108	Microcystis aeruginosa BLCC-F158
Assembly size (bp)	5,037,850	5,168,077
No. of reads	9,909,010	8,564,402
No. of contigs	487	512
N ₅₀ (bp)	17,855	27,581
G+C content (%)	42.60	42.80
No. of coding sequences	4,428	4,699
Completeness (%)	99.23	99.89
Contamination (%)	0.51	0.62
No. of tRNAs	41	42
Coverage (×)	125	235
SRA accession no.	SRR12598970	SRR12599144
GenBank accession no.	JACEGB00000000	JACEGC00000000

genome size of *M. aeruginosa* BLCC-F108 is 5,037,850 bp, and the draft genome size of *M. aeruginosa* BLCC-F158 is 5,168,077 bp. Complete results can be found in Table 1.

Several BCGs were identified on the basis of identity to known BCGs within the antiSMASH database. *M. aeruginosa* BLCC-F108 was found to have a 100% match to a microcystin gene cluster, a 91% match to a piricyclamide gene cluster, a 100% match to a micropeptin K139 gene cluster, and a 78% match to an aeruginosin 98-A gene cluster. *M. aeruginosa* BLCC-F158 was found to have a 100% match to toxic compound anabaenopeptin, micropeptin K139, and microviridin J gene clusters, as well as an 80% match to a microviridin B gene cluster.

Data availability. The whole-genome shotgun projects for *Microcystis aeruginosa* BLCC-F108 and *M. aeruginosa* BLCC-F158 have been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession numbers JACEGB00000000 and JACEGC000000000, respectively. The versions described in this paper are the first versions, JACEGB010000000 and JACEGC010000000, respectively. The GenBank BioProject, BioSample, and SRA accession numbers for *M. aeruginosa* BLCC-F108 are PRJNA647122, SAMN15575897, and SRR12598970, respectively, and those for *M. aeruginosa* BLCC-F158 are PRJNA647120, SAMN15576007, and SRR12599144, respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch project FLA-FTL-005697, the University of Florida Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences Early Career Seed Fund program, the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, and the Florida Sea Grant College Program of the U.S. Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (grant NA 180AR4170085).

The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of these organizations.

REFERENCES

- Harke M, Steffen M, Gobler C, Otten T, Wilhelm S, Wood S, Paerl H. 2016. A review of the global ecology, genomics, and biogeography of the toxic cyanobacterium, *Microcystis* spp. Harmful Algae 54:4–20. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.hal.2015.12.007.
- Paerl H, Paul VJ. 2012. Climate change: links to global expansion of harmful cyanobacteria. Water Res 46:1349–1363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .watres.2011.08.002.
- Stanier RY, Deruelles J, Rippka R, Herdman M, Waterbury JB. 1979. Generic assignments, strain histories and properties of pure cultures of cyanobacteria. Microbiology 111:1–61. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-111 -1-1.
- Cai H, Jiang H, Krumholz LR, Yang Z. 2014. Bacterial community composition of size-fractioned aggregates within the phycosphere of cya-

nobacterial blooms in a eutrophic freshwater lake. PLoS One 9:e102879. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0102879.

- Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics 34:i884–i890. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/bty560.
- Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.
- 8. Wu Y, Simmons BA, Singer SW. 2016. MaxBin 2.0: an automated binning

algorithm to recover genomes from multiple metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics 32:605–607. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv638.

- Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res 25:1043–1055. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114.
- 10. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky

L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. https://doi .org/10.1093/nar/gkw569.

 Blin K, Shaw S, Steinke K, Villebro R, Ziemert N, Lee SY, Medema MH, Weber T. 2019. antiSMASH 5.0: updates to the secondary metabolite genome mining pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 47:81–87. https://doi.org/10 .1093/nar/gkz310.