

Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document 09-20

# River Herring Discard Estimation, Precision and Sample Size Analysis

by by S.E. Wigley, J. Blaylock and P.J. Rago

December 2009

### **Recent Issues in This Series**

- 08-20 Estimated average annual bycatch of loggerhead sea turtles (Caretta caretta) in US Mid-Atlantic bottom otter trawl gear, 1996-2004 (2nd edition), by KT Murray. November 2008.
- 09-01 Report of the Retrospective Working Group, January 14-16, 2008, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, by CM Legault, Chair. January 2009.
- 09-02 The Northeast Data Poor Stocks Working Group Report, December 8-12, 2008 Meeting, by Northeast Data Poor Stocks Working Group. January 2009.
- 09-03 The 2008 Assessment of the Gulf of Maine Atlantic Cod (Gadus morhua) Stock, by RK Mayo, G Shepherd, L O'Brien, LA Col, and M. Traver. February 2009.
- 09-04 Mortality and serious injury determinations for baleen whale stocks along the United States eastern seaboard and adjacent Canadian maritimes, 2003-2007, by AH Glass, TVN Cole, and M Garron. March 2009.
- 09-05 North Atlantic Right Whale Sighting Survey (NARWSS) and Right Whale Sighting Advisory System (RWSAS) 2008 Results Summary, by C Khan, TVN Cole, P Duley, AH Glass, M Niemeyer, and C Christman. March 2009.
- 09-06 A Bibliography of the Long-Finned Pilot Whale, Globicephala melas, and the Short-Finned Pilot Whale, Globicephala macrorhynchus, in the North Atlantic Ocean, compiled by FW Wenzel, JR Nicolas, A Abend, and B Hayward. April 2009.
- 09-07 Determination of Conversion Factors for Vessel Comparison Studies, by HO Milliken and MJ Fogarty. April 2009.
- 09-08 The 2008 Assessment of Atlantic Halibut in the Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank Region, by LA Col and CM Legault. May 2009.
- 09-09 Proceedings from a workshop to identify future research priorities for cod tagging in the Gulf of Maine, 12 February, 2009, by S Tallack, Compiler/Editor. June 2009.
- 09-10 48th Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop (48th SAW) assessment summary report, by Northeast Fisheries Science Center. July 2009.
- 09-11 *Ecosystem Assessment Report for the Northeast U.S. Continental Shelf Large Marine Ecosystem*, by the Ecosystem Status Program. July 2009.
- 09-12 Description of the 2008 Oceanographic Conditions on the Northeast U.S. Continental Shelf, by MH Taylor, T Holzwarth-Davis, C Bascuñán, and JP Manning. August 2009.
- 09-13 Northeast Fisheries Science Center Publications, Reports, Abstracts, and Web Documents for Calendar Year 2008, compiled by A Toran. August 2009.
- 09-14 Update on Harbor Porpoise Take Reduction Plan Monitoring Initiatives: Compliance and Consequential Bycatch Rates from June 2007 through May 2008, Pinger Tester Development and Enforcement from January 2008 through July of 2009, by CD Orphanides, S Wetmore, and A Johnson. September 2009.
- 09-15 48th Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop (48th SAW) Assessment Report, by Northeast Fisheries Science Center. October 2009.
- 09-16 Black Sea Bass 2009 Stock Assessment Update, by GR Shepherd. October 2009.
- 09-17 Stock assessment of summer flounder for 2009, by M Terceiro. October 2009.
- 09-18 Stock assessment of scup for 2009, by M Terceiro. October 2009.
- 09-19 Proration of Estimated Bycatch of Loggerhead Sea Turtles in U.S. Mid-Atlantic Sink Gillnet Gear to Vessel Trip Report Landed Catch, 2002-2006, by KT Murray. November 2009

# River Herring Discard Estimation, Precision and Sample Size Analysis

by S.E. Wigley, J. Blaylock and P.J. Rago

NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Serv., 166 Water St., Woods Hole MA 02543

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service Northeast Fisheries Science Center Woods Hole, Massachusetts

December 2009

## **Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Documents**

**This series is a secondary scientific series** designed to assure the long-term documentation and to enable the timely transmission of research results by Center and/or non-Center researchers, where such results bear upon the research mission of the Center (see the outside back cover for the mission statement). These documents receive internal scientific review, and most receive copy editing. The National Marine Fisheries Service does not endorse any proprietary material, process, or product mentioned in these documents.

All documents issued in this series since April 2001, and several documents issued prior to that date, have been copublished in both paper and electronic versions. To access the electronic version of a document in this series, go to *http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/*. The electronic version is available in PDF format to permit printing of a paper copy directly from the Internet. If you do not have Internet access, or if a desired document is one of the pre-April 2001 documents available only in the paper version, you can obtain a paper copy by contacting the senior Center author of the desired document. Refer to the title page of the document for the senior Center author's name and mailing address. If there is no Center author, or if there is corporate (*i.e.*, non-individualized) authorship, then contact the Center's Woods Hole Laboratory Library (166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026).

**Information Quality Act Compliance**: In accordance with section 515 of Public Law 106-554, the Northeast Fisheries Science Center completed both technical and policy reviews for this report. These predissemination reviews are on file at the NEFSC Editorial Office.

This document may be cited as:

Wigley SE, Blaylock J, Rago PJ. 2009. River Herring Discard Estimation, Precision and Sample Size Analysis. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc. 09-20; 15 p. Available from: National Marine Fisheries Service, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026, or online at http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/

## **Table of Contents**

Executive Su	ummaryi	iv
Introduction		1
Methods		1
Discard E	stimation	1
Sample Si	ze Analysis	2
Results and I	Discussion	3
Discard E	stimation	3
Sample Si	ze Analysis	3
Conclusions		6
Acknowledg	ements	7
References		7
Table 1.	Number of Vessel Trip Report and Northeast Fisheries Observer Program trips, by fleet and calendar quarter for the July 2007 to June 2008 time period	8
Table 2.	River herring discards and coefficient of variation, the number of baseline trips, baseline sea days, and filtered sea days needed to achieve a 30% CV for river herring, number of filtered sea days needed to achieve a 30% CV for the 15 SBRM species groups, and the number of sea days accepted via the 2009 SBRM Prioritization process, by fleet for the July 2007 to June 2008 time period.	0
Table 3a.	Number of baseline trips needed to achieve discard estimates for river herring over a range of precision levels, for the six fleets where estimated river herring discards are greater than zero.	9
Table 3b.	Number of baseline sea days needed to achieve discard estimates for river herring over a range of precision levels, for the six fleets where estimated river herring discards are greater than zero	.0
Table 4.	River herring discards, fraction of total discards, cumulative fraction of total discards, fraction of total discard filter, discard mortality ratio, fraction of total mortality due to discards, cumulative fraction of total mortality due to discards, fraction of total mortality due to discards filter, unlikely cell filter and importance filter, by fleet for 22 fleets with no discards estimated, 16 fleets with discard equal to zero, and six fleets with discard estimates greate than zero	ıl , er
Figure 1.	Relationship between sample size and precision of river herring discards fo six fleets where estimated river herring discards are greater than zero 1	r 2
Appendix 1.	Equations used in discard estimation and sample size analysis 1	3

## **Executive Summary**

A total of 106,455 pounds (149% CV) of river herring was discarded during the 12-month period from July 2007 through June 2008. The river herring discards occurred primarily in the New England small mesh otter trawl fleet, and to a lesser extent in the New England shrimp trawl, New England large mesh trawl and Mid-Atlantic small mesh otter trawl fleets.

The total number of sea days (9,002 days) needed to achieve a 30% CV for river herring discard estimates is 40% less than those needed for the 15 Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology (SBRM) species groups (15,125 days). On a fleet by fleet basis, the number of sea days needed to achieve a 30% CV for river herring discard estimates was either the same or less than for the 15 SBRM species groups with the exception of one fleet: New England large mesh otter trawl where the river herring species group needed 2,827 more sea days than the 15 SBRM species groups.

The low number of observed trips in the Mid-Atlantic gillnet fleets and the limitations of observing all discards associated with the fishing practices of the high-volume fisheries are two sources of uncertainty in the discard estimation and sample size analysis.

## Introduction

Concerns have been expressed by governmental and non-governmental groups regarding the discarding of river herring by commercial fishing fleets operating off the northeastern coast of the United States. River herring is a species group comprising two individual species: alewife, *Alosa pseudoharengus*, and blueback herring, *Alosa aestivalis*. This document provides estimates of river herring discards, with associated precision, and the number of sea days needed to achieve discard estimates over a range of precision levels. This document then compares the number of sea days needed for river herring with sea days needed for the 15 Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology (SBRM) species groups for the same time period derived in the 2009 SBRM analysis<sup>1</sup>. The SBRM is an omnibus amendment to the 13 fishery management plans in the Northeast region that establish review and reporting requirements for bycatch monitoring and observer coverage as well as a process for prioritizing observer coverage when there is a shortfall in funding (NMFS 2007).

River herring is managed by the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC). Background information on river herring is available on the ASMFC web site: <u>http://www.asmfc.org/</u>

## Methods

#### **Discard Estimation**

The 2009 SBRM analysis data set was expanded to include the river herring species group. This data set includes data from the Northeast Fisheries Observer Program (NEFOP), the Vessel Trip Report (VTR, including logbooks from the surfclam and ocean quahog fishery) database, the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) commercial landings database, and the NOAA Fisheries Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP) recreational landings from July 2007 through June 2008.

This analysis used the discard estimation methods described in the SBRM analysis (Wigley et al. 2007). Total discards of river herring from July 2007 through June 2008 were estimated using a combined d/k ratio estimator (Cochran 1963), where d =discarded pounds of river herring and k = the kept pounds of all species. Total discards (in weight) of river herring, were derived by multiplying the estimated discard rate of each fleet by the corresponding fleet landings in the VTR database, and then summing over fleets. In this report, the term coefficient of variation (CV) is defined as the ratio of the standard error of the total discards divided by the total discards. Appendix 1 presents the equations used in the analysis. We assumed 100% mortality for all discarded fish.

In the analysis, the sampling unit was a fishing trip. The same broad stratification scheme used in the SBRM was employed, where trips were partitioned into fleets using

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 2009 SBRM Prioritization documents are available on-line at

http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/femad/fishsamp/fsb/ (under DATA/REPORTS, click on 'SBRM Annual Discard Report').

six classification variables: calendar quarter, geographic region, gear type, mesh, access area, and trip category. Calendar quarter was based on landed date and used to capture seasonal variations in fishing activity and discard rates. Two broad geographical regions were defined: New England (NE) and Mid-Atlantic (MA) based on port of departure<sup>2</sup>; ports located from Maine to Rhode Island constituted the NE region and ports located in states from Connecticut southward constituted the MA region. Gear type was based on Northeast gear codes (*negear*). Some gear codes were combined: sink, anchored and drift gillnets, and single and paired mid-water trawls, (Table 1), and trips for which gear was unknown were excluded. Mesh size groups were formed for otter trawl and gillnet gear types. For otter trawls, two mesh groups were formed: small (mesh less than 5.5 inches) and large (5.5 inch mesh and greater). For gillnets: three mesh groups were formed: small (mesh less than 5.5 inches); large (mesh between 5.5 and 7.99 inches) and extra large (mesh 8 inches and greater). Two access area categories were formed: access area (AA) and open (OPEN). Trips participating in the US/Canada access area, 'B-day' category and other quota monitoring programs could not be identified in the VTR database, and hence these trips were grouped by the other stratification variables and therefore not partitioned separately. The sea scallop fishery was divided into General (GEN) and Limited (LIM) category trips. All other fisheries were combined into a category called 'all'.

Of the 44 fleets examined in the analysis, 22 fleets had little or no observer data (all quarterly cells were missing for a fleet, or sparse observer coverage existed across all quarters for a fleet). No discard estimation was performed for these fleets, and all 22 fleets were designated as in need of pilot coverage (Table 1). Pilot coverage is defined as a minimum level of observer coverage to acquire bycatch information with which to calculate variance estimates that in turn can be used to further define the level of sampling needed (NMFS 2004). For each of the remaining 22 fleets, estimates of river herring discards were derived. Eight fleets had limited observer data (*i.e.*, one or no observed trips in a calendar quarter), and an imputation approach was used to 'fill in' the missing (or incomplete) information using data from an adjoining stratum. In this imputation procedure, only the temporal stratification (*i.e.*, calendar quarter) was relaxed to half year or entire year, recognizing that seasonal variations may occur that will thus not be accounted for. For 14 fleets, sufficient observer data existed to estimate discards without imputation (Table 1).

#### Sample Size Analysis

A sample size analysis was conducted to estimate the number of baseline trips and sea days needed to monitor river herring discards in each fleet. As described in the SBRM analysis (and given in Appendix 1), the number of trips and sea days needed to achieve a given precision level was based on the variance of the total river herring discard estimates. Sample size (trips and sea days) associated with the SBRM precision standard for discard estimates (30% CV) were derived along with 5 other precision levels: 10%, 20%, 40%, 50% and 60% CV. The sample size analysis was performed using trips as the sampling unit of the analysis, and then converting the number of trips to

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Wigley et al. (2007) found that the majority (over 93%) of 2004 observed trips both originated and fished in the same region and exhibited the same general pattern as in the VTR data.

sea days by multiplying by the weighted mean trip length (Table 2) - where the weighting factor was the quarterly number of VTR trips.

When total discards could not be estimated due to little or no observer coverage (no data) or when total discards were zero (no variance), the sample size (number of trips) was determined using a pilot coverage level set to 2% of the quarterly VTR trips for a fleet, with a minimum of 3 trips per quarter (12 trips per year) and a maximum of 100 trips per quarter (400 trips per year). The 2% pilot coverage level is the same as used in the 2009 SBRM analysis. The quarterly trips were then multiplied by the quarterly mean VTR trip length to derive quarterly sea days. The quarterly trips and quarterly sea days were then summed for annual number of trips and sea days. The pilot coverage may result in too much coverage in cases where little or no observer coverage may actually be needed.

Importance filters were used in SBRM to provide a standardized protocol to further refine the number of baseline sea days based on (a) the importance of the discarded species relative to the total amount of discards by a fleet, and (b) the total fishing mortality due to the discards. Three filters (*i.e.*, unlikely cell filter; fraction of discard filter; and fraction of mortality due to discards filter) are applied simultaneously. The unlikely cell filter eliminates sea days associated with fleets where species and gear combinations are unlikely or infeasible. The unlikely cell filter can act as an 'override' mechanism in situations where pilot coverage is evoked due to no variance (observer coverage indicates zero discards). A detailed description of the SBRM importance filters is given in Wigley et al. (2007). As in the 2009 SBRM analysis, the baseline sea days for river herring were filtered using a 95% cut-point in the discard mortality filter, and a 98% cut-point for the total mortality filter due to discards. The unlikely cell filter was not updated to include the river herring species groups as all fleets were considered 'likely' to discard river herring.

## **Results and Discussion**

#### **Discard Estimation**

River herring discards were estimated for 22 of the 44 fleets (Table 2). Of the 22 fleets with discard estimates, 6 fleets had discard estimates greater than zero and 16 fleets had discard estimates equal to zero. A total of 106,455 pounds of river herring was discarded during the 12-month period from July 2007 through June 2008. By fleet, discards of river herring ranged between 0 and 95,744 pounds, with largest amount occurring in the New England small mesh otter trawl fleet. The coefficient of variation of the total river herring discard estimate was 149%. For the six fleets where estimated river herring discards were greater than zero, the coefficient of variation ranged between 31% and 166% (Table 2).

#### Sample Size Analysis

Sample size coverage levels (baseline trips and sea days) to assess river herring discards are presented in Table 2. To achieve a 30% CV on river herring discards, a total of 6,923 baseline trips and 15,395 baseline sea days would be needed (Table 2). In six

fleets, sample sizes (5,317 trips and 12,107 days) were based on the variance of total discards, while sample sizes for 38 fleets (1,606 trips and 3,288 days) were based on pilot coverage. The sample size based on pilot coverage (due to no data or no variance) contributed 23% and 21% to the total baseline trips and sea days, respectively. By fleet, the total number of baseline trips ranged between 6 and 1,662 trips while the total number of baseline sea days ranged between 10 and 4,060 days (Table 2).

For the six fleets where estimated river herring discards were greater than zero, the number of baseline trips and sea days needed to estimate discards over a range of precision levels are presented in Tables 3a and 3b and Figure 1. The sample sizes reported in Tables 3a and 3b are subtotals associated with the six fleets. To obtain a grand total, the subtotal must be added to the pilot coverage subtotal given in the text above. For example, to achieve a 20% CV based on river herring discards, a total of 11,423 baseline trips [9,817 trips (Table 3a) + 1,606 trips based on pilot coverage] and 25,454 days [22,166 days (Table 3b) + 3,288 days based on pilot coverage] would be needed.

The importance filter eliminated the sea days associated with the 16 fleets with zero discards of river herring. As well, three other fleets (MA large mesh otter trawl, MA small mesh otter trawl, and New England mid-water trawl) were eliminated from coverage because these fleets contributed to less than 5% of total discards and less than 2% of the total mortality due to discards. The total number of filtered sea days needed to achieve a 30% CV is 9,002 days (Table 2). By fleet, the number of filtered sea days needed to achieve a 30% CV for the total discards of river herring ranged between 0 and 4,060 days (Table 2).

The total number of filtered sea days needed for river herring may be an overestimate due to the inclusion of sea days associated with fleets that would have been eliminated had the unlikely cell filter been updated for river herring. The sea days associated with the clam dredge fleets, handline fleets, and the fish, conch, lobster, and crab pot fleets would most likely have been eliminated as these gear types rarely capture river herring. The total number of filtered sea days needed for river herring will be improved when observer data are available for all the fleets (no sea day estimates based on pilot coverage) and the unlikely cell filter is updated for this species group. In 2011, a three-year evaluation of the SBRM will occur and, at that time, bycatch information and estimation methods will be evaluated including an evaluation of the unlikely cell filter for all species.

This analysis used the SBRM Omnibus Amendment cut-points of 95% and 98% to eliminate sea days associated with fleets that contribute the smallest amount to total discards and total mortality due discards for river herring, respectively. Table 4 reveals that had another cut-point been used for the total discard filter, for example 93% instead of 95%, eliminating fleets contributing to the lower 7% of total discards instead of the lower 5% of total discards, then the 4,060 days associated with the NE large mesh otter fleet would have been filtered out and the total number of filtered sea days for river herring would have been 4,942 days instead of 9,002 days.

River herring is not a species group included the SBRM analysis<sup>3</sup>, thus the number of sea days needed to monitor the river herring species group can be compared

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> SBRM only considers federally managed species and turtles.

with the 15 SBRM species groups. A comparison of the filtered sea days for river herring and the 15 SBRM species groups (Table 2) reveals fewer total sea days would be needed to monitor only river herring (Table 2). However, on a fleet basis, only the NE large mesh otter trawl fleet needed more sea days for river herring than for the 15 SBRM species groups. As noted above, if the SBRM cut-point for total discards was relaxed to 93% and eliminated the sea days associated with the lower 7% of the total river herring discards, then the sea days needed to monitor the 15 SBRM species groups would be greater than the sea days needed to monitor river herring for all fleets.

The total number of sea days needed for the 15 SBRM species groups (15,125 days) and for river herring (9,002 days) exceed the total number of sea days that the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) has funding to support. The NEFSC has funds to support a total of 6,283 days [4,283 days (Table 2) plus 1,940 US/Canada Quota-Monitoring sea days and 60 Discovery days; NEFSC 2009b]. When a funding shortfall exists, the SBRM Sea Day Prioritization Process (NEFMC 2007; NMFS 2007; NEFSC 2009b) is invoked; the resulting 2009 sea days, by fleet, are given in Table 2.

Misreporting of gear code in the VTR database is evident for the NE shrimp trawl fleet. The seasonal nature (December to April) of the northern shrimp fishery contributes to the inadvertent misreporting of gear code (OTS = otter trawl, shrimp and OTF = otter trawl fish) by fisherman who switch between these two fisheries. Thus caution is warranted when interpreting results regarding the NE shrimp trawl fleet. There is a need for improved data auditing and the identification of Special Access Program trips within the VTR database. Additionally, the low number of observed trips in Mid-Atlantic gillnet fleets contribute to uncertainty in the river herring discard estimates. Increased observer coverage will confirm the extent to which these fleets encounter river herring.

From 2005 onwards, the NEFOP has informally collected information to characterize unique fishing practices (fish pumping, use of sorting grates at the pump entrance and on deck, and net release) of high-volume fisheries like the paired and single mid-water trawl fleets. In 2010, the NEFOP manual describing data collection will be formally expanded to include sampling protocols designed to systematically characterize the fishing practices of high-volume fisheries. It is important to emphasize that only information systematically collected has been used in the current discard estimation analysis. Thus, discards associated with underwater net release or those associated with sorting grate at the pump entrance have not been included. Therefore, the discard estimates presented here may underestimate river herring discards associated with the high-volume fisheries. In the future, discard estimation methods may be able to incorporate the expanded data collection information and summarize the frequency of underwater net releases.

River herring is a non-targeted species group captured in various commercial trawl fleets. The 2009 SBRM Annual Discard Report<sup>4</sup> (NEFSC 2009a) summarizes where and when (statistical area and calendar quarter) observers have recorded the disposition of catch (kept or discarded) and the weight (pounds) of alewife and blueback herring among the commercial fleets (NEFSC 2009a, Section II, Tables 4c and 5c). Some trawl fleets that encounter river herring are retaining these species while other

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> SBRM Annual Discard Report is a data summary of the information collected by NEFOP observers, no extrapolation of observations (discard estimation) is performed in this summary.

fleets are discarding them. Generally, the mid-water trawl fleets are retaining river herring, while the otter trawl fleets are not.

Nominal catch (landings only) estimates of river herring during 2005 to 2007 have been derived by Cieri et al. (2008). In their study, a ratio estimator of observed river herring bycatch (kept) to Atlantic herring landings was developed based upon NEFOP observer data, Maine Department of Marine Resource portside sampling data, and VTR data. A stratification scheme was also used that included: year, calendar quarter, area, and gear type. Estimates of the annual nominal catch of river herring during 2005-2007 were 285,833, 171,973 and 1,686,617 pounds, respectively. It is important to note that the Cieri et al. (2008) estimates are for *nominal catch* of river herring as a non-targeted (hence their use of the term '*bycatch*') species in four commercial fishing fleets. In this context, the 'bycatch' estimates are not equivalent to the discard estimates derived in the present analysis. In the present analysis, discards represent the component of total catch that are not retained; total catch = landings (target and non-target) + discards.

## Conclusions

This analysis indicates that during the July 2007 through June 2008 time period, river herring were primarily discarded in the NE small mesh otter trawl fleet, and to a lesser extent in the NE shrimp trawl, NE large mesh trawl and MA small mesh otter trawl fleets.

The baseline sea days needed to attain a 30% CV for discard estimates of river herring are slightly higher than the total filtered sea days needed to monitor 15 SBRM species groups. When the importance filter is applied to the river herring species group, the sea days associated with 19 of the 22 fleets with estimated discard were eliminated, indicating that the discarding of river herring is a minor component of total discards and total catch of river herring in the majority of fleets. The total number of filtered sea days needed to monitor river herring is 40% less than those needed to monitor the 15 SBRM species groups. On a fleet by fleet basis, the number of filtered sea days needed to monitor river herring was either the same (due to pilot coverage) or less than for the 15 SBRM species groups with the exception of one fleet: NE large mesh otter trawl where the river herring species group needed 2,827 more sea days than the 15 SBRM species groups.

The low number of observed trips in the Mid-Atlantic gillnet fleets and the limitations of observing all discards associated with the fishing practices of the high-volume fisheries are two sources of uncertainty in this analysis. More observer coverage, particularly in the Mid-Atlantic region, is needed to derive discard estimates and sample sizes. Direct discard estimation will reduce the dependency on pilot coverage for sample sizes estimates.

The discard estimation used a broad stratification approach designed to encompass all species groups considered in the SBRM analysis. The discard estimates reported here may not necessarily correspond directly with the discard estimates derived specifically for river herring due to differences in stratification. It is expected, however, that estimates would be in the same order of magnitude.

## Acknowledgements

We wish to thank all the NEFOP observers for their diligent efforts to collect the discard information used in this analysis. We thank Jeff Hansen for his technical assistance and our reviewers for their helpful comments on this report.

## References

Cieri, M, G. Nelson, and M. Armstrong. 2008. Estimates of river herring bycatch in the directed Atlantic herring fishery. Report prepared for the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, Washington, DC. September 23, 2008. 17 p.

Cochran, W.L. 1963. Sampling Techniques. J. Wiley and Sons. New York.

- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2004. Evaluating bycatch: a national approach to standardized bycatch monitoring programs. U. S. Dep. Comm., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-F/SPO-66, 108 p. On-line version, <u>http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/by\_catch/SPO\_final\_rev\_12204.pdf</u>
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2007. Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act Provisions; Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Northeast Region Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology Omnibus Amendment. Federal Register, Vol. 73, No. 18, Monday, January 28, 2008. pages 4736-4758. Available on-line at: http://www.gpoaccess.gov/fr/retrieve.html
- New England Fishery Management Council (NEFMC), Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council and National Marine Fisheries Service. 2007. Northeast Region Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology: An Omnibus Amendment to the Fishery Management Plans of the New England and Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Councils. June 2007. 642p. Available on-line at: http://www.nefmc.org/issues/sbrm/index.html
- Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC). 2009a. 2009 Standardized Bycatch Report Methodology Annual Discard Report (Section I and II). Presented in the NEFMC and MAFMC. February 2009. 1560 p. Available on-line at: <u>http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/femad/fishsamp/fsb/</u>
- Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC). 2009b. Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology Proposed 2009 Observer Sea Day Allocation Consultation and Prioritization Process Response to Comments from New England and Mid Atlantic Fishery Management Councils and the Northeast Regional Office. Presented to Northeast Regional Coordinating Committee 1 April 2009. 15 p. Available on-line at: <u>http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/femad/fishsamp/fsb/</u>
- Wigley S.E, P.J. Rago, K.A. Sosebee, and D.L. Palka. 2007. The analytic component to the Standardized Bycatch Reporting Methodology Omnibus Amendment: sampling design and estimation of precision and accuracy (2nd edition). U.S. Dep. Commer., *NortheastFish. Sci. Cent. Ref. Doc.* 07-09; 156 p. Available online: <u>http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/publications/crd/crd0709/index.htm</u>

Table 1. Number of Vessel Trip Report (VTR) and Northeast Fisheries Observer Program (NEFOP) trips, by fleet and calendar quarter (Q) for the July 2007 to June 2008 time period. Remarks indicate where discard estimation was possible, where imputed data were used for discard estimation, and where fleets were designated as in need of pilot coverage (no discard estimation) in this analysis and the 2009 SBRM analysis. See text for abbreviations.

Row         Gear Type         Access (general- limited)         Mesh Region         Q3         Q4         Q1         Q2         TOTAL         Q3         Q4         Q1         Q2         TOTAL         Remarks           1         Longline         OPEN         all         MA         all         28         37         36         31         132         1         1         .         1         .         1         3         Pilot           2         Longline         OPEN         all         MA         all         140         226         577         133         1,076         10         56         10         16         92         Estimate           3         Hand Line         OPEN         all         MA         all         1,625         894         181         884         3,584         .
Access (general- limited)         Mesh limited)         Mesh (general- limited)         Mesh Region         Q3         Q4         Q1         Q2         TOTAL         Q3         Q4         Q1         Q2         TOTAL         Remarks           1         Longline         OPEN         all         MA         all         28         37         36         31         132         1         1         .         1         3         Pilot           2         Longline         OPEN         all         MA         all         1625         894         181         884         3,584         . <td< td=""></td<>
Access         (general- limited)         Mesh Region         Group         Q3         Q4         Q1         Q2         TOTAL         Remarks           1         Longline         OPEN         all         MA         all         28         37         36         31         132         1         1         .         1         3         Pilot           2         Longline         OPEN         all         MA         all         140         226         577         133         1,076         10         56         10         16         92         Estimate           3         Hand Line         OPEN         all         MA         all         1,625         894         181         884         3,584         . <t< td=""></t<>
Row         Gear Type         Area         limited)         Region         Group         Q3         Q4         Q1         Q2         TOTAL         Q3         Q4         Q1         Q2         TOTAL         Remarks           1         Longline         OPEN         all         MA         all         28         37         36         31         132         1         1         .         1         3         Pilot           2         Longline         OPEN         all         MA         all         140         226         577         133         1,076         10         56         10         16         92         Estimate           3         Hand Line         OPEN         all         MA         all         1,625         894         181         884         3,584         .         <
1         Longline         OPEN         all         MA         all         28         37         36         31         132         1         1         .         1         3         Pilot           2         Longline         OPEN         all         NE         all         140         226         577         133         1,076         10         56         10         16         92         Estimate           3         Hand Line         OPEN         all         MA         all         1,625         894         181         884         3,584         .
2         Longline         OPEN         all         NE         all         140         226         577         133         1,076         10         56         10         16         92         Estimate           3         Hand Line         OPEN         all         MA         all         1,625         894         181         884         3,584         .         <
3       Hand Line       OPEN       all       MA       all       1,625       894       181       884       3,584       .
4         Hand Line         OPEN         all         NE         all         1,267         276         197         354         2,094         . <t< td=""></t<>
5       Otter Irawi OPEN       all       MA       lg       1,988       1,065       1,187       1,850       6,090       78       31       17       422       168       Estimate         6       Otter Trawi OPEN       all       MA       sm       1,082       1,307       666       1,096       4,151       76       41       33       37       187       Estimate         7       Otter Trawi OPEN       all       NE       lg       3,202       2,706       2,984       2,500       11,392       165       164       170       173       672       Estimate         8       Otter Trawi OPEN       all       NE       sm       1,193       801       671       991       3,656       33       12       8       14       67       Estimate         9       Scallop Trawi       AA       LIM       MA       all       40       17       26       10       93       .       .       2       3       5       Pilot         10       Scallop Trawi       OPEN       GEN       MA       all       380       60       53       311       804       .       .       .       .       .       .
6       Otter Irawi       OPEN       all       MA       sm       1,082       1,307       666       1,096       4,151       76       41       33       37       187       Estimate         7       Otter Trawi       OPEN       all       NE       lg       3,202       2,706       2,984       2,500       11,392       165       164       170       173       672       Estimate         8       Otter Trawi       OPEN       all       NE       sm       1,193       801       671       991       3,656       33       12       8       14       67       Estimate         9       Scallop Trawi       AA       GEN       MA       all       40       17       26       10       93       .       .       1       1       2       9       9       10       9       10       1       1       2       9       10       1       1       2       9       10
7       Otter Irawi       OPEN       all       NE       Ig       3,202       2,706       2,984       2,500       11,392       165       164       170       173       672       Estimate         8       Otter Trawi       OPEN       all       NE       sm       1,193       801       671       991       3,656       33       12       8       14       67       Estimate         9       Scallop Trawi       AA       GEN       MA       all       40       17       26       10       93       .       .       2       3       5       Pilot         10       Scallop Trawi       AA       LIM       MA       all       .       1       6       7       14       .       .       1       1       2       2       3       5       Pilot         11       Scallop Trawi       OPEN       GEN       MA       all       380       60       53       311       804       .       .       .       10       Pilot         12       Scallop Trawi       OPEN       all       MA       all       293       346       37       186       862       .       .       .
8         Otter Trawl         OPEN         all         NE         sm         1,193         801         671         991         3,656         33         12         8         14         67         Estimate           9         Scallop Trawl         AA         GEN         MA         all         40         17         26         10         93         .         .         .         2         3         5         Pilot           10         Scallop Trawl         AA         LIM         MA         all         .         1         6         7         14         .         .         1         1         2         Pilot           11         Scallop Trawl         OPEN         GEN         MA         all         380         60         53         311         804         .         .         .         10         Pilot           12         Scallop Trawl         OPEN         LIM         MA         all         293         346         37         186         862         .         .         .         .         .         .         .         .         .         .         .         .         .         .         .         .
9       Scalop Trawi       AA       GEN       MA       ali       40       17       26       10       93       .       .       2       3       5       Pilot         10       Scallop Trawi       AA       LIM       MA       ali       .       1       6       7       14       .       .       1       1       2       3       5       Pilot         11       Scallop Trawi       OPEN       GEN       MA       ali       380       60       53       311       804       .       .       1       1       2       Pilot       Pilot         12       Scallop Trawi       OPEN       LIM       MA       ali       35       36       6       7       84       .       .       .       .       Pilot       Pilot         13       Shrimp Trawi       OPEN       ali       MA       ali       19       363       2,252       72       2,706       . </td
10       Scallop Trawl       AA       LlM       MA       all       3.       1       6       7       14       .       .       1       1       2       Pilot         11       Scallop Trawl       OPEN       GEN       MA       all       380       60       53       311       804       .       .       .       10       10       Pilot         12       Scallop Trawl       OPEN       LlM       MA       all       35       36       6       7       84       .
11       Scallop Trawl       OPEN       LIM       MA       all       350       60       53       311       804       .       <
12         Scale fram         OPEN         LIM         MA         all         33         36         6         7         64         1 <th1< th="">         1         1         <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<>
10       10       10       100<
15         Sink,Anchor,Drift Gillnet         OPEN         all         MA         Ig         15         305         2,202         72         2,700         1         16         1         16         16         16         Imputed           15         Sink,Anchor,Drift Gillnet         OPEN         all         MA         Ig         158         322         135         224         839         3         7         .         2         12         Imputed           16         Sink,Anchor,Drift Gillnet         OPEN         all         MA         sm         786         478         275         421         1,960         11         3         .         1         15         Imputed           17         Sink,Anchor,Drift Gillnet         OPEN         all         MA         xlg         148         1,088         516         1,154         2,906         .         10         12         11         33         Imputed           17         Sink,Anchor,Drift Gillnet         OPEN         all         MA         xlg         148         1,088         516         1,154         2,906         .         10         12         11         33         Imputed           18         S
16       Sink,Anchor,Drift Gillnet       OPEN       all       MA       sm       786       478       275       421       1,960       11       3       .       1       15       Imputed         17       Sink,Anchor,Drift Gillnet       OPEN       all       MA       xlg       148       1,088       516       1,154       2,906       .       10       12       11       33       Imputed         18       Sink,Anchor,Drift Gillnet       OPEN       all       MA       xlg       148       1,088       516       1,154       2,906       .       10       12       11       33       Imputed
17         Sink,Anchor,Drift Gillnet         OPEN         all         MA         xlg         148         1,088         516         1,154         2,906         10         12         11         33         Imputed           19         Sink,Anchor,Drift Gillnet         OPEN         all         MA         xlg         148         1,088         516         1,154         2,906         .         10         12         11         33         Imputed
10 Sink Anchor Drift Gillnet OPEN all NE ld 2,756 2,115 1,770 1,506 <b>8,1471</b> 47 44 23 36 <b>150</b> Estimate
19 Sink Anchor Drift Gillnet OPEN all NE sm 68 4 3 5 80 3 3 Pillot
20 Sink Anchor Drift Gillnet OPEN all NE xig 1.249 927 385 1.118 3.679 86 48 11 28 173 Estimate
21 Purse Seine OPEN all MA all 144 32 51 227 1 1 1 Pilot
22 Purse Seine OPEN all NE all 231 62 . 50 <b>343</b> 8 2 . 10 <b>20</b> Estimate
23 Scallop Dredge AA GEN MA all 86 40 330 460 <b>916</b> 2 3 68 79 <b>152</b> Estimate
24 Scallop Dredge AA GEN NE all 120 5 2 63 <b>190</b> 29 46 <b>75</b> Imputed
25 Scallop Dredge AA LIM MA all 77 70 93 169 <b>409</b> 18 7 16 29 <b>70</b> Estimate
26 Scallop Dredge AA LIM NE all 117 51 49 96 <b>313</b> 34 26 27 40 <b>127</b> Estimate
27 Scallop Dredge OPEN GEN MA all 2,887 1,477 1,667 2,648 <b>8,679</b> 9 7 2 7 <b>25</b> Estimate
28         Scallop Dredge         OPEN         GEN         NE         all         1,400         584         559         1,012 <b>3,555</b> 6         1         2         1         10         Imputed
29         Scallop Dredge         OPEN         LIM         MA         all         344         266         281         452 <b>1,343</b> 13         11         6         19 <b>49</b> Estimate
30 Scallop Dredge OPEN LIM NE all 570 345 291 431 <b>1,637</b> 23 11 19 24 <b>77</b> Estimate
31 Mid-water paired/single Trawl OPEN all MA all 41 3 44 1 1 Pilot
32 Mid-water paired/single Trawl OPEN all NE all 2 105 133 62 302 . 9 23 14 46 Imputed
33 Fish pots/Traps Fish OPEN all MA all 429 419 74 361 <b>1,283</b>
34 Fish pots/Traps Fish OPEN all NE all 515 179 154 848 1 1 1 Pilot
35 Fish pots/Traps Conch OPEN all MA all 89 287 129 136 641
36 Fish pots/Traps Conch OPEN all NE all 272 238 169 679
37 Fish pots/ I raps Hagrish OPEN all MA all 9 . 5 9 23 1 . 1 1 3 Pilot
30 FISH DOTS/ I RADS HAGTISH UPEN All NE All 66 36 19 36 15/ 2 5 7 Imputed
39 LODSTEP POTS OPEN all MA all 1,327 535 232 715 2,809
40 LODSTEF FOTS UPEN all INE all 13,437 9,344 2,298 4,135 29,214
12 UIAD POIS OPEN All IVIA All 04 34 17 11 120 1 1 PIIOt
43 Clam/Quabog Dredge OPEN all MA all 1.040 850 844 001 <b>3.725</b>
$44 \qquad \text{Clam/Quality Dicuye OFEN}  all \qquad \text{NF}  all \qquad 920 \qquad 514 \qquad 472 \qquad 838  2744 \qquad 516 \qquad \text{Dilot}$
Total 40.654 28.560 19.504 25.944 114.662 653 495 470 660 2.278

Table 2. River herring discards (in pounds) and coefficient of variation (CV), the number of baseline trips, baseline sea days, and filtered sea days needed to achieve a 30% CV for river herring, number of filtered sea days needed to achieve a 30% CV for the 15 SBRM species groups, and the number of sea days accepted via the 2009 SBRM Prioritization process, by fleet for the July 2007 to June 2008 time period. Note: where estimated river herring discards equal zero (no CV), the baseline trips and sea days are based on pilot coverage. See text for abbreviation; IF = industry funded sea days.

					River Herring 15 SBRM species groups									
			Trip Category			Weighted							2009 SBRM Re-	Basis for SBRM
		Access	(general-		Mesh	Mean Trip	Discards		Baseline	Baseline	Filtered	Filtered	Prioritization Sea	Recommended
Row	Gear Type	Area	limited)	Region	Group	Length (days)	(lbs)	CV	Trips	Sea Days	Sea Days	Sea Days	Days	Coverage
1	Longline	OPEN	all	MA	all	9.023			12	108	108	108	3 0	Pilot
2	Longline	OPEN	all	NE	all	1.401	0		22	31	0	456	5 104	
3	Hand Line	OPEN	all	MA	all	1.110			72	80	80	80	) 0	Pilot
4	Hand Line	OPEN	all	NE	all	1.059			42	44	44	44	0	Pilot
5	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	MA	lg	1.893	883	0.917	1,400	2,651	0	1,459	655	
6	Otter I rawl	OPEN	all	MA	sm	2.135	2,128	0.579	636	1,358	0	1,495	347	
7	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	Ig	2.443	3,178	0.492	1,662	4,060	4,060	1,233	1,233	
	Otter Trawi	OPEN		INE	Sm	2.353	95,744	1.661	1,441	3,390	3,390	4,027	1,019	
9	Scallop Travi		GEN	IVIA MA	all	2.215			12	27	21	21	0	Pilot
10	Scallop Trawi			IVIA MA	all	5.571			9	46	40	40		Pilot
11	Scallop Trawi		GEN	IVIA MA	all	1.947			20	39	39	07	, U	Pilot
12	Scallop Trawi	OPEN		MA	all	7.345			12	97	97	97	0	Pliot
13	Shrimp Trawi		all		all	4.225	2 602	0.214	20	80	00 23	61	16	Pliot
14	Sink Apphor Drift Gillpot		all		an Ia	1.032	3,692	0.314	23	23	23	120	16	
15	Sink, Anchor Drift Gillnot		all	MA	iy	1.054	0		17	18	0	1 155	, U	
10	Sink Anchor Drift Gillnet		all	MΔ	vla	1.030	0		59	70	0	1,130	, U	
10	Sink Anchor Drift Gillnet		all	NE	la	1.556	0		162	19	0	1,273	, 55 7 - 225	
10	Sink Anchor Drift Gillnet		all	NE	'9 sm	1.144	0		103	100	12	107	225	Pilot
20	Sink Anchor Drift Gillnet	OPEN	all	NE	xla	1.000	0		74	110	0	171	34	1 1101
20	Purse Seine	OPEN	all	MA	all	1.432	0		0	10	10	10	) 11	Pilot
22	Purse Seine	OPEN	all	NF	all	2 213	0		11	24	0	24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 1101
23	Scallop Dredge	AA	GEN	MA	all	1 641	0		22	36	0	36	i if	
24	Scallop Dredge	AA	GEN	NE	all	1 732	0		12	26	0	26	iii ii	
25	Scallop Dredge	AA	LIM	MA	all	7.900	0		12		0	271	 IF	
26	Scallop Dredge	AA	LIM	NE	all	8.236	0		12	102	0	233	3 IF	
27	Scallop Dredge	OPEN	GEN	MA	all	1.556	0		174	270	0	167	29	
28	Scallop Dredge	OPEN	GEN	NE	all	1.607	0		71	114	0	43	6	
29	Scallop Dredge	OPEN	LIM	MA	all	8.712	0		27	234	0	398	B IF	
30	Scallop Dredge	OPEN	LIM	NE	all	10.244	0		33	335	0	254	l IF	
31	Mid-water paired/single Trawl	OPEN	all	MA	all	5.136			6	41	41	41	12	Pilot
32	Mid-water paired/single Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	all	4.036	830	0.611	155	625	0	433	3 433	
33	Fish pots/Traps Fish	OPEN	all	MA	all	1.029			27	28	28	28	3 0	Pilot
34	Fish pots/Traps Fish	OPEN	all	NE	all	1.026			17	17	17	17	0	Pilot
35	Fish pots/Traps Conch	OPEN	all	MA	all	1.022			15	15	15	15	5 0	Pilot
36	Fish pots/Traps Conch	OPEN	all	NE	all	1.022			14	14	14	14	۰ I	Pilot
37	Fish pots/Traps Hagfish	OPEN	all	MA	all	11.348			9	106	106	106	6 0	Pilot
38	Fish pots/Traps Hagfish	OPEN	all	NE	all	4.140	0		12	55	0	55	5 0	
39	Lobster Pots	OPEN	all	MA	all	1.226			56	69	69	69	) 0	Pilot
40	Lobster Pots	OPEN	all	NE	all	1.259			329	430	430	430	) 0	Pilot
41	Crab Pots	OPEN	all	MA	all	1.833			12	28	28	28	3 0	Pilot
42	Crab Pots	OPEN	all	NE	all	3.745			12	70	70	70	) 0	Pilot
43	Clam/Quahog Dredge	OPEN	all	MA	all	1.643			75	122	122	122	2 0	Pilot
44	Clam/Quahog Dredge	OPEN	all	NE	all	0.845			55	46	46	46	õ 0	Pilot
	I otal						106.455	1.494	6.923	15.395	9.002	15.125	4,283	

Table 3a. Number of baseline trips needed to achieve discard estimates for river herring over a range of precision levels, for the six fleets where estimated river herring discards are greater than zero. To obtain the total number of baseline trips needed across 44 fleets, add 1,606 trips to the six fleet subtotals.

		Trip Category cess (general-			Coefficient of variation (CV)							
Row	Gear Type	Area	limited)	Region	Group	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	
5	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	MA	lg	6,403	2,736	1,400	831	546	385	
6	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	MA	sm	2,949	1,248	636	377	248	174	
7	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	lg	7,372	3,221	1,662	990	652	460	
8	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	sm	3,740	2,340	1,441	937	646	469	
14	Shrimp Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	all	195	51	23	13	8	6	
32	Mid-water paired/single Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	all	298	221	155	109	79	59	
	Six fleet subtotal					20,957	9,817	5,317	3,257	2,179	1,553	

Table 3b. Number of baseline sea days needed to achieve discard estimates for river herring over a range of precision levels, for the six fleets where estimated river herring discards are greater than zero. To obtain the total number of baseline sea days needed across 44 fleets, add 3,288 sea days to the six fleet subtotals.

			Trip										
			Category										
		Access	(general-		Mesh	Coefficient of variation (CV)							
Row	Gear Type	Area	limited)	Region	Group	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%		
5	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	MA	lg	12,123	5,181	2,651	1,574	1,034	729		
6	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	MA	sm	6,297	2,664	1,358	805	529	372		
7	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	lg	18,014	7,871	4,060	2,420	1,593	1,123		
8	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	sm	8,800	5,505	3,390	2,204	1,521	1,103		
14	Shrimp Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	all	201	52	23	13	8	6		
32	Mid-water paired/single Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	all	1,201	893	625	440	319	239		
	Six fleet subtotal					46,636	22,166	12,107	7,457	5,004	3,572		

Table 4. River herring discards (in pounds), fraction of total discards, cumulative fraction of total discards, fraction of total discard filter, discard mortality ratio, fraction of total mortality due to discards, cumulative fraction of total mortality due to discards, fraction of total mortality due to discards filter<sup>5</sup>, unlikely cell filter, and importance filter, by fleet for 22 fleets with no discards estimated, 16 fleets with discard equal to zero, and six fleets with discard estimates greater than zero. A 95% cut-point has been used in the discard filter and a 98% cut-point for the total mortality due to discards filter; filter value of 1 indicates the sea days associated with the fleet are kept and 0 indicates the sea days associated with the fleet are eliminated.

							Total Disc	ard		Total	Mortality				
Row	Gear Type	Access Area	Trip Category (general- limited)	Region	Mesh Group	Discard (lbs)	Fraction	Cumulative Fraction	Filter	Discard Mortality Ratio	Fraction	Cumulative Fraction	Filter	Unlikely Filter	Importance Filter
	22 fleets with no discards													1	1
	16 fleets with discards = $0$					0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	1	0
32	Mid-water paired/single Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	all	830	0.78%	0.78%	0	0.003	0.32%	0.32%	0	1	0
5	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	MA	lg	883	0.83%	1.61%	0	0.003	0.34%	0.66%	0	1	0
6	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	MA	sm	2,128	2.00%	3.61%	0	0.008	0.82%	1.48%	0	1	0
7	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	lg	3,178	2.98%	6.59%	1	0.012	1.22%	2.70%	1	1	1
14	Shrimp Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	all	3,692	3.47%	10.06%	1	0.014	1.42%	4.12%	1	1	1
8	Otter Trawl	OPEN	all	NE	sm	95,744	89.94%	100.00%	1	0.368	36.81%	40.92%	1	1	1
						106,454				0.409					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Fraction of total mortality due to discards is defined as the ratio of discards of species group *j* in fleet  $h(D_{jh})$  to the sum of commercial landings ( $L_{jh}$ ), recreational landings ( $R_{jh}$ ), and discards ( $D_{jh}$ ) summed of h; river herring commercial landings equaled 136,908 pounds and recreational landings equaled 16,765 pounds from July 2007 through June 2008.



Figure 1. Relationship between sample size (number of observed trips) and precision (coefficient of variation, CV) of river herring discards for six fleets where estimated river herring discards are greater than zero. The solid (red) curves represent fleets that are eliminated through the importance filter process; patterned (black) curves represent fleets that are not eliminated.

## Appendix 1. Equations used in discard estimation and sample size analysis

Total discarded pounds for species *j* is defined as:

(1) 
$$\hat{D}_j = \sum_{h=1}^Q K_h r_{c,j}$$

where

(2) 
$$r_{c,j} = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{Q} N_h \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \frac{d_{jih}}{n_h}}{\sum_{h=1}^{Q} N_h \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \frac{k_{ih}}{n_h}}$$

where  $\hat{D}_j$  is total discarded pounds for species *j*; K<sub>h</sub> is VTR total kept pounds in stratum *h*; r<sub>c,j</sub> is the combined ratio of species *j*; d<sub>jih</sub> is discards of species *j* from trip *i* in stratum *h*; k<sub>ih</sub> is kept pounds of all species on trip i in stratum h; N<sub>h</sub> is the number of VTR trips in stratum *h*; n<sub>h</sub> is the number of observed trips in stratum h. In Eq 2 the summation over strata *h* = 1 to Q is over calendar quarters and the other strata values are held constant. Equation 3 (below) requires a more explicit definition of the stratum designation since the summation over quarter relies on an annual average ratio defined in Eq 2.

Variance of  $\hat{D}_i$  for species j is defined as:

$$(3) \quad V(\hat{D}_{j}) = \sum_{q=1}^{4} K_{qh}^{2} \left( \frac{N_{qh} - n_{qh}}{n_{qh} N_{qh}} \right) \frac{1}{\left( \sum_{\substack{i=1\\j \in I}}^{n_{h}} k_{iqh} \right)^{2}} \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{qh}} \left( d_{jiqh}^{2} + (r_{c,j})^{2} k_{iqh}^{2} - 2r_{c,j} d_{jiqh} k_{iqh} \right)}{n_{qh} - 1} \right]$$

where  $\hat{D}_j$  is total discarded pounds for species *j*;  $K_{qh}$  is VTR total kept pounds in quarter q and stratum h;  $r_{c,j}$  is the combined ratio of species *j*;  $d_{jiqh}$  is discards of species *j* from trip *i* in quarter q and stratum *h*;  $k_{iqh}$  is kept pounds of all species on trip *i* in quarter *q* and stratum *h*;  $N_{qh}$  is the number of VTR trips in quarter *q* and stratum *h*;  $n_{qh}$  is the number of observed trips in quarter *q* and stratum *h*.

Coefficient of variation (CV) of  $\hat{D}_i$  is defined as:

(4) 
$$CV(\hat{D}_j) = \frac{\sqrt{V(\hat{D}_j)}}{\hat{D}_j}$$

The number of sea days and trips needed to achieve a 30% CV are derived based on the variance of the total discards using the combined ratio method and the d/k discard ratio (Eq 3).

From Eq 3, let

(5) 
$$\hat{S}_{jqh}^2 = \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{qh}} \left( d_{jiqh}^2 + (r_{c,jh})^2 k_{iqh}^2 - 2r_{c,j} d_{jiqh} k_{iqh} \right)}{n_{qh} - 1} \right]$$
 and  
(6)  $\delta_{qh} = \frac{n_{qh}}{\sum_{q=1}^{4} n_{qh}}$ 

where  $\delta_{qh}$  is the fraction of the trips in quarter *q* in stratum *h*;  $r_{c,jh}$  is the combined annual ratio of species *j* in stratum *h*;  $d_{jiqh}$  is discards of species *j* from trip *i* in stratum *h* in quarter *q*;  $k_{iqh}$  is kept pounds of all species on trip *i* in stratum *h* in quarter *q*; and  $n_{qh}$  is the number of observed trips in stratum *h* in quarter *q*. The  $r_{c,jh}$  in Eq. 5 is defined in Eq. 2 where the summation is over quarters within a given strata defined by gear, region, access area, trip type and so forth.

The number of trips necessary to achieve a 30% CV based on the variance of the composite annual total discards for species group j in stratum h is defined as

(7) 
$$\hat{T}D_{30\,jh} = \frac{\sum_{q=1}^{4} \left(\frac{K_{qh}^2}{\bar{K}_{qh}^2} \hat{S}_{jqh}^2 \frac{1}{\delta_{qh}}\right)}{(0.09)D_{jh}^2 + \frac{\sum_{q=1}^{4} \frac{K_{qh}^2}{\bar{K}_{qh}^2} \hat{S}_{jqh}^2}{N_h}}$$

where  $0.09 = 0.30^2$ , the square of the 30% CV, the given precision level.

The number of sea days necessary to achieve a 30% CV based on the variance of the composite annual total discards for species group j in stratum h is defined as

(8) 
$$\hat{SD}_{30\,jh} = \hat{TD}_{30\,jh} * \overline{DA_h}$$

where  $\overline{DA}_h$  is the weighted average trip length of VTR trips in stratum h (weighted by the number of VTR trips in each quarter).

When total discards could not be estimated due to little or no observer coverage (no data) or when total discards are zero (no variance), sample size was determined by pilot cover, where 2% of the quarterly VTR trips for a fleet were multiplied by the quarterly mean VTR trip length.

(9)  $\hat{S}_{30,jhq} = \hat{T}_{hq} * \overline{DA_{hq}}$ 

where  $\hat{T}_{hq}$  is 2% of the VTR trips in stratum *h* and quarter *q*, and  $3 \le \hat{T}_{hq} \le 100$  trips;  $\overline{DA}_{hq}$  is the average trip length of VTR trips in stratum *h* and quarter *q*.

The quarterly trips and sea days were then summed for annual number of trips and sea days.

#### Clearance

All manuscripts submitted for issuance as CRDs must have cleared the NEFSC's manuscript/abstract/ webpage review process. If any author is not a federal employee, he/she will be required to sign an "NEFSC Release-of-Copyright Form." If your manuscript includes material from another work which has been copyrighted, then you will need to work with the NEFSC's Editorial Office to arrange for permission to use that material by securing release signatures on the "NEFSC Use-of-Copyrighted-Work Permission Form."

For more information, NEFSC authors should see the NEFSC's online publication policy manual, "Manuscript/abstract/webpage preparation, review, and dissemination: NEFSC author's guide to policy, process, and procedure," located in the Publications/Manuscript Review section of the NEFSC intranet page.

#### Organization

Manuscripts must have an abstract and table of contents, and (if applicable) lists of figures and tables. As much as possible, use traditional scientific manuscript organization for sections: "Introduction," "Study Area" and/or "Experimental Apparatus," "Methods," "Results," "Discussion," "Conclusions," "Acknowledgments," and "Literature/References Cited."

#### Style

The CRD series is obligated to conform with the style contained in the current edition of the United States Government Printing Office Style Manual. That style manual is silent on many aspects of scientific manuscripts. The CRD series relies more on the CSE Style Manual. Manuscripts should be prepared to conform with these style manuals.

The CRD series uses the American Fisheries Society's guides to names of fishes, mollusks, and decapod

crustaceans, the Society for Marine Mammalogy's guide to names of marine mammals, the Biosciences Information Service's guide to serial title abbreviations, and the ISO's (International Standardization Organization) guide to statistical terms.

For in-text citation, use the name-date system. A special effort should be made to ensure that all necessary bibliographic information is included in the list of cited works. Personal communications must include date, full name, and full mailing address of the contact.

#### Preparation

Once your document has cleared the review process, the Editorial Office will contact you with publication needs – for example, revised text (if necessary) and separate digital figures and tables if they are embedded in the document. Materials may be submitted to the Editorial Office as files on zip disks or CDs, email attachments, or intranet downloads. Text files should be in Microsoft Word, tables may be in Word or Excel, and graphics files may be in a variety of formats (JPG, GIF, Excel, PowerPoint, etc.).

### **Production and Distribution**

The Editorial Office will perform a copy-edit of the document and may request further revisions. The Editorial Office will develop the inside and outside front covers, the inside and outside back covers, and the title and bibliographic control pages of the document.

Once both the PDF (print) and Web versions of the CRD are ready, the Editorial Office will contact you to review both versions and submit corrections or changes before the document is posted online.

A number of organizations and individuals in the Northeast Region will be notified by e-mail of the availability of the document online. Research Communications Branch Northeast Fisheries Science Center National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA 166 Water St. Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026

#### MEDIA MAIL

## Publications and Reports of the Northeast Fisheries Science Center

The mission of NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) is "stewardship of living marine resources for the benefit of the nation through their science-based conservation and management and promotion of the health of their environment." As the research arm of the NMFS's Northeast Region, the Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) supports the NMFS mission by "conducting ecosystem-based research and assessments of living marine resources, with a focus on the Northeast Shelf, to promote the recovery and long-term sustainability of these resources and to generate social and economic opportunities and benefits from their use." Results of NEFSC research are largely reported in primary scientific media (*e.g.*, anonymously-peer-reviewed scientific journals). However, to assist itself in providing data, information, and advice to its constituents, the NEFSC occasionally releases its results in its own media. Currently, there are three such media:

*NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE* -- This series is issued irregularly. The series typically includes: data reports of long-term field or lab studies of important species or habitats; synthesis reports for important species or habitats; annual reports of overall assessment or monitoring programs; manuals describing program-wide surveying or experimental techniques; literature surveys of important species or habitat topics; proceedings and collected papers of scientific meetings; and indexed and/or annotated bibliographies. All issues receive internal scientific review and most issues receive technical and copy editing.

*Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document* -- This series is issued irregularly. The series typically includes: data reports on field and lab studies; progress reports on experiments, monitoring, and assessments; background papers for, collected abstracts of, and/or summary reports of scientific meetings; and simple bibliographies. Issues receive internal scientific review and most issues receive copy editing.

*Resource Survey Report* (formerly *Fishermen's Report*) -- This information report is a regularly-issued, quick-turnaround report on the distribution and relative abundance of selected living marine resources as derived from each of the NEFSC's periodic research vessel surveys of the Northeast's continental shelf. This report undergoes internal review, but receives no technical or copy editing.

**TO OBTAIN A COPY** of a *NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE* or a *Northeast Fisheries Science Center Reference Document*, either contact the NEFSC Editorial Office (166 Water St., Woods Hole, MA 02543-1026; 508-495-2350) or consult the NEFSC webpage on "Reports and Publications" (http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/nefsc/publications/). To access *Resource Survey Report*, consult the Ecosystem Surveys Branch webpage (http://www.nefsc.noaa.gov/femad/ecosurvey/mainpage/).

ANY USE OF TRADE OR BRAND NAMES IN ANY NEFSC PUBLICATION OR REPORT DOES NOT IMPLY ENDORSE-MENT.