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## INTRODUCTION

As part of an intensive study of Grand Traverse Bay of Lake Michigan under the Sea Grant Program of The University of Michigan, an investigation of the currents, periodic and aperiodic, has been undertaken. The current measurements obtained during this investigation, coupled with meteorological observations (Goldman, 1971) and determinations of the thermal structure of the bay, are expected to provide the basis for an identification of the several physical mechanisms which induce the circulation within this body of water. These observations will also be used in the verification of mathematical models of the wind-driven circulation of the bay, which are currently being formulated.

An understanding of the bay's response to the various meteorological factors, and the ability to predict these responses, are necessary inputs in any effort to model the distribution of nutrients, sediment, and pollutants, and will be valuable in ecological emergencies, such as would arise if there were a bulk oil spill from one of the tank-
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A previous attempt to interpret the circula Bay (Lauff, 1957) was judged not to be of signific study because of the limited period (2 days) over were taken, and because the study involved the use method of current determination (dynamic height ca cation of the geostrophic equations) which was dee applicability in such restricted bodies of water a bay. Likewise the drift bottle returns from the b
were insufficient for reliable interpretation of the current patterns of the bay.

Our present program in Grand Traverse Bay involves two distinct types of current measurement: Lagrangian and Eulerian. The Lagrangian measurements, utillzing several buoy and drogue techniques, will be described elsewhere. This report will describe the series of subsurface current meter moorings installed In Grand Traverse Bay during the first 13 months (July 1970 - July 1971) of the integrated study of the bay, and some of the Eulerian measurements thus obtained.

Before comenting on the sub-surface moorings, an enumeration of the specific aspects of the circulation in the bay, which the sub-surface current meter mooring program is meant to illuminate, is in order. The current meter observations are intended to help describe:
(1) the wind-induced currents in the mixed surface layer (epilimnion);
(2) the extent of deep water (hypolimnion) circulation in the presence of a strong thermocline, and hence the extent of the coupling between the layers;
(3) the extent and nature of the circulation under the winter ice cover;
(4) the character of the exchange between the bay and open Lake Michigan, and hence the effective exchange rate, and;
(5) the magnitude of near-shore thermally-constrained jets, if present.

The five current meter moorings installed during the 1970-71. period covered by this report were intended to shed light on items 3
and 4 ifsted above, and, to a limited extent, item 1. Mooring A, installed at mid-depth in the upper end of the west arm of the bay (see Figure 1) in December 1970 and retrieved in April 1971, provided data for a period when the bay was covered by ice. Moorings B, C, D, and $E$, installed in the spring and summer of 1971 at several locations (see Figure 1) in the vicinity of the sill which is present at the mouth of the bay... were for gatning information on the nature of the
which were designed and constructed at The University of Michigan for use in the sub-surface moorings. It will also describe in detall each mooring, giving a narrative of the field work and including comments of instrunent failures, handling problems, and so forth. The last sectio will present a summary of the data obtained from Moorings $A$ and $B$.


Figure 1 -Location of Current Meter Moorings in Grand Traverse Bay

## SUB-SURFACE BLOYS

## Sub-surface Float, First Design and Construction

Glass spheres, which characteristically become stronger at greater depths, are commonly used in deep ocean research and provide excellent buoyancy material, either by themselves in a fiberglass jacket or in an assembly with other materials. They do, however, represent a considerably greater expense to the scientist working in relatively shallow water than do other available buoyancy materials. The same argument applies to syntactic foam. Ready-made buoys such as "parabuoys," "planks on edge," torpedo-shaped steel buoys, etc., are available, but theit cost generally exceeds by a large factor that of constructing a buoy specifically designed for use in shallow water.

By a process of elimination, it was decided to construct a buoy which would present a minimal cross-sectional area to current flow (thus reducing pressure drag) and yet be large enough to provide the desired buoyancy. The buoyancy material selected was polyurethane foam, which is formed in place by the mixing of two liquids. A rugged spine of $3 / 4$-inch plywood was used as the backbone of the buoy, to which 26 plastic trawl floats were securely fastened. The inexpensive plastic floats can withstand the pressure at depths to 600 feet. The chosen design was in the shape of a frustrum of a cone having a hemispherical forward end. A large aluminum tail fin for orienting the "torpedo" into the current was attached to the buoy externally.

Because little was known about the currents in the area and the compressibility of the foam material with depth, a total net buoyancy
of about 300 pounds was planned for the buoy. This would maintain the array in a near vertical attitude under maximal expected currents and provide a safety factor to offset buoyancy loss through compression of foam.

Actual construction consisted of attaching the small floats to the plywood spine to which a pipe support for the suspension of the instrument string had already been attached. This entire assembly was then placed in a two-piece, plastic-lined mold, around which the foam would be formed. The lower section of the mold was a large tapered metal refuse container; the upper section was of sheet metal attached to the lower section as a collar. The buoy was formed by pouring foam into the lower section of the mold; the collar was attached and the upper section of the mold was then filled with foam. In a matter of minutes, the foam had hardened sufficiently for the mold to be removed. After allowing time for the assembly to "cure," it was covered with fiberglass cloth and resin to provide a rather hard and durable finish to the outer surface. The buoy was then painted bright yellow with fluorescent DayGlo stripes to make it more visible at a distance. Figure 2 shows the buoy being constructed, spectfications are shown in Figure 3, and the completed buoy is shown in Figure 4.

Sub-surface Float, Second Design and Construction
Experience with, and field testing of, the first model revealed certain drawbacks. It was somewhat cumbersome and difficult to launch and retrieve and, because of compressibility, buoyancy decreased with depth. A heavy anchor was also required to counteract the buoyancy of the torpedo-shaped pod. Desirable operational characteristics included


Figure 2. Polyurethane buoy: foaming process.



Figure 4. Polyurethane buoy: completed assembly.
(1) the development of an adjustable buovant element that would provide sufficient lift to maintain the array of instruments within $5^{\circ}$ of vertical and (2) a lighter anchor, Development of such an element would permit easier handling, and buoyancy could be matched to the instrument array and the current at the mooring site.

To meet the stated objectives, a self-orienting buoy was designed. The buoy was made up of a series of plastic trawl floats threaded on 3foot lengths of thin wall conduit pipe; the condult in turn was fastened to a metal frame by means of threaded rods. This assembly permitted addition or subtraction of floats as required by conditions. Thus, the buoy was dubbed SABA for Sub-surface Adjustable Buoyancy Assembly. Figure 5 shows the completed buoy with orienting fin, and specifications of the assembly are given in Figure 6.

Current meters used in this work did not contain inclinometers, therefore it was important that the mooring angle of the buoy be maintained within $5^{\circ}$ of the vertical. Examination of the calibration charts for the Savonfus Rotors for the current meters indicated that results would not be noticeably affected if the mooring angle were held within $5^{\circ}$ of the vertical.

Procedures described by Fofonoff (1965) were used to determine deviation from the vertical for various buoyancy values and several instrument arrays. The procedure assumes that the inertial effects of the mooring are small in comparison to the horizontal drag and restoring force. Deviation values were calculated with a drag coefficient of 1.0 , water density of $1.94 \mathrm{slugs} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$, and water speed of 1.0 foot/second. The values used were "worse case" conditions and therefore introduced a safety margin into the calculations.


Figure 5. Sub-surface adjustable buoyancy assembly (SABA).
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The necessary calculations are given in Figure 7. Table 1 presents angles of deviation (0) for various array lengths (L) and net buoyancy values ( $F_{b}$ ). Note that for a given array length angle of deviation decreases with increased buoyancy; and that for a given buoyancy the angle of deviation increases as the length of the array increases. Thus, one must determine whether buoyancy or mooring length is the determining factor in a particular situation. In the Grand Traverse Bay cases, mooring length was the determining factor and the least possible buoyancy and anchor weight were sought such that the array would be held within the desired $5^{\circ}$ of the vertical. For a mooring length of 70 feet, 100 pounds of net buoyancy in the SABA satisfied this criterion.

where:
$F_{d}=$ horizontal drag force
$C_{d}=$ drag coefficient



## CURRENT MEIER MOORINGS

Mooring A
$\frac{45^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{N}}{\text { Latitude }}$
Set: $\quad \frac{1200 \text { EST }}{} \begin{array}{llll}\text { hour } & 18 & \text { XII } & 70 \\ & \text { day month year }\end{array}$
$85^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
Longitude
Johnson

Retrieved: | 1.730 EST | 15 | IV | 71 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hour | day month year |  |  |
| Vessel: | SEA GRANT I |  |  |

Set by
Current meter: S/N 387, Model No. 102-0

## Purpose

This first mooring was installed in the west arm of Grand Traverse Bay. The purpose was to determine some features of the winter circulation, particularly during periods of ice cover. The chosen location was in mid-bay off Merril Point on a bottom rise which ascends to within 100 feet of the water's surface. This rise extends laterally for about 1,000 feet along a northeast-southwest line and about 500 feet along a northwest-southeast line. The precise location is indicated on Figure 1 as "Mooring A."

## Description

The features of this mooring are shown in Figure 8. Elements include: (a)* a fiberglass-covered, torpedo-shaped, polyurethanefoamed buoy, (b) a film recording current meter, (c) an auxiliary buoyancy pod, (d) an anchor release mechanism and line storage case, (e) a concrete anchor module, and several feet of $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ coil chain for chafing gear along with approximately 30 feet of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ plaited nylon

[^0]
line (Columbian rope) as the suspension element, and appropriate marine hardware for supporting each element within the array,

The (Geodyne Model 102) current meter digitally recorded current speed, along with vane and compass orientation, on 16 mm film at selectable time intervals. The anchor release mechanism was a time-release device (Geodyne Model A-855) which separated the array from the anchor module via an explosive piston arrangement. The line storage case containing 150 feet of $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ nylon line was fashioned from a two-gallon metal. container, and the auxiliary buoyancy pod was made up of several trawl buoys having a total net lift of 72 pounds. The anchor was made of four thirty-gallon drums chained together, each filled with approximately 250 pounds of concrete, which left approximately half of each drum empty. This facilitated handling and permitted a quasi-controlled descent. Descent of the assembly was slowed by punching small holes in the empty half of the drums. These permitted a slow change of buoyancy with time as the air escaped and water leaked into the drum. Plaited nylon line was selected for the suspension element of the array because of its torque-free characteristics, tensile strength, elasticity under load, and proven open ocean use.

## Narrative

Mooring $A$ was designed to be emplaced with a minimum of effort from the research vessel SEA GRANT I (Figure 9). Once the mooring site had been located, surveyed, and the vessel anchored, the emplacement sequence was as follows: (1) The primary buoy was placed in the water with the current meter suspended directly beneath it via a three-foot
 ancy pod, and the anchor release mechanism with attached line storage


case were dropped. These alements wore allowed to drift away from the side of the vessel to permit setting of the anchor without entangling the connecting line. (3) The four anchor drums were pushed to the deck's edge and, when all lines were clear, were easily pushed over the side. A light double line was looped through an eye of the primary buoy so that the final depth of the buoy and the current meter could be determined. This was done by allowing the line to descend with the buoy, marking both ends of the line at the water's surface, then pulling the line through the eye attached to the buoy. Thus the depth of the buoy was equal to $1 / 2$ the distance between marks on the line. It was determined that the eye in the forward end of the buoy came to rest 45 feet below the surface and that the sensors of the current meter (savonius rotor and directional vane) were at a depth of 59 feet. The depth of the primary buoy is important because it had to be at least 40 feet below the surface so as not to interfere with commercial shipping and be unaffected by turbulence caused by vessels in close proximity. This mearumamont tachnifue ncemented erracs resulting from fathometer Inaccuracies, stretching of the nylon line, or the anchor sinking into the bottom.

At a predetermined time, the anchor release mechanism was to rolease the array froe the anchox and allow it to ascend to the surface.
array. The
cended as
ray ascended
the array on station untid it coule' be retrieved. "The pu auxiliary buoyancy pod was to bring the array to the surf line break and free the primary buoy from the rest of the release mechanism functioned on schedule and the array as planned. Due to an unexpectedly prolonged winter, the ar
against the under surface of the ice and could not be recovered until twelve days later.

With the cooperation of the United States Coast Guard at Traverse City, the buoy was located at a position northeast of its original location some 15 feet below the water's surface. With the assistance of a scuba diver who severed the tether line below the anchor release mechanism, the entire array, minus the anchor, was retrieved in 215 feet of water. The primary buoy, the auxiliary pod, and scuba diver are stowni nin Ryurea ne.

flag froze into the ice upon ascending, and consequently the entire array was dragged off its station into deeper water as the ice moved north. At a point when the downward acting force of the anchor weight on the now taut array exceeded the upward acting force from the ice grip, the buoy popped free, and as the line shortened under the reduced tension, the buoy sank to the depth at which it was subsequently found. Figure 11 shows the damage to the buoy, especially on its forward end, caused by ice, as well as the "circumferential squeeze" at its center which is believed to have been caused by water pressure during its time on station. The fiberglass cloth jacket had separated from the foam core over more than 50 per cent of the buoy, probably from inelastic compression of the foam. The overall weight of the buoy had increased by approximately 50 pounds, indicating absorption of water. The buoy's bright yellow color was largely responsible for its initial relocation, and facilitated aerial observation by the rescue aircraft of the $U$. S. Coast Guard. The other elements of the array were retrieved in satisfactory condition. The current meter provided completely valid data.


Figure 10. Mooring A: recovery.


Figure 11. Polyurethane buoy: ice and pressure damage.

From the damage to the primary buoy, it was decided that, for sub-surface buoys made largely of polyurethane foam, a sufficient safety factor could not be included in the design and still maintain an implantment procedure which could be easily conducted by a small research group and comparable vessel. In future winter moorings, the date when the release mechanism would function must include a larger safety factor to allow for variable duration of ice cover. Examination of the data revealed that the swivels (made of galvanized, unplated steel) did not function as intended and hence caused the current meter casing to rotate

## Mooring B

$\frac{45^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}}{\text { Latitude }}$
$85^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
Longitude
Johnson
Set by

Set:

Retrieved:

Vessel:

Current meter: S/N 263, Model No. 850

## Purpose

This station was selected to collect measurements of sub-surface water motion over the west side of the sill near the mouth of Grand Traverse Bay. This mooring was set simultaneously with a similarly placed mooring on the east side of the sill 2.5 miles away. Information collected from this mooring was expected to furnish (1) insight into the ghen Lake forses whish Affect sirculation of Grand Traverse Bay, and (2) data on bay lake exchange:-

The mooring is shown in Figure 12 and consists of the following elements: a sub-surface adjustable buoyancy assembly, a magnetic tape
 anchored surface marker tethered to the array by a 200 foot length of nylon line. One-half inch plaited nylon line served as the suspension element and standard marine hardware was used throughout. The anchor consisted of a single thirty-gallon drum filled with concrete. The surface marker was tautly moored and consisted of several large trawl floats threaded on a $3 / 4$-inch thin-wall conduit pipe mast which had an

aluminum radar reflector at its uppermost end with a fluorescent Day-Glo flag attached to the mast directly boneath the reflector. The marker was laterally separated trom the array to avoid introduction of mooring motion, to facilitate descent on the array by scuba divers, to mark the location, and to provide a means by which the array could be relocated by dragging should the marker buoy become separated from its anchor.

## Narrative

This mooring was placed with relative ease, in contrast to the setting of Mooring $A$. The marker buoy was first placed on station with its anchor, then 200 feet of nylon line payed out as the vessel moved away, and finally the primary buoy was placed. As before, the current meter was suspended beneath the SABA by a three-foot length of chain and this palr was allowed to drift away from the side of the vessel to allow for setting of the anchor without entanglement. Prior to pushing the anchor over the side, the vessel was anchored to avoid drifting from the station after emplacement. With all lines clear, the anchor was pushed over the side of the vessel without difficulty. Figure 13 is a photograph taken just prior to anchor setting and shows the SABA already in the water. Notice the surface marker, which was placed earlier, in the background.

This mooring was located in approximately 115 feet of water, and two scuba divers inspected the array $\operatorname{In}$ situ. The inspection took approximately fifteen minutes and was conducted by the University of Michigan "Underwater Operations" diving team. The pair of divers moved from the vessel to the surface marker, down the marker line to the anchor and across the tether line to the array. The array was inspected and the reverse procedure used for returning to the vessel. This avoided


Figure 13. Mooring $A:$ anchor setting.
the possibility of the divers not finding the array in the darkness, and provided everyone involved with a knowledge of exactly where the divers were at all times.

At the end of the data recording period a diver, using a tethered surface air supply, retrieved the current meter from the array without disturbing the mooring itself. Gurrent meter removal was facilitated by placing extra pear-shaped rings within the instrument string to which a length of chain and a "come-along" could be attached. The current meter could then be bypassed by the chain and "come-along" and the inline tension acting on the current meter removed. With a safety line attached from the vessel to the upper ring of the current meter, the connecting shackles at each end of the meter could be removed and the instrument allowed to swing free of the mooring. Figure 14 shows the diver removing the current meter. Note the nearly vertical length of chain to the left of the meter (the "meter by-pass" element) and the nylon safety line running off to the right. The diver is removing the upper connecting shackle just prior to letting the instrument swing free. It was then hauled to the surface and secured on board the vessel. The diver for this work was specially outfitted with the following equipment: a complete dry suit (Unisuit of Sweden), a full face freeflow/demand mask (Aquadyne), a surface tethered air supply, hard wire communications with the surface vessel, and an emergency "bail-out" system in case of complete failure of the air supply system. This equipment provided the diver with maximum comfort, buoyancy control, ease of movement, and a high degree of safety.


Remarks
Insofar as the techniques developed for servicing this mooring are concerned, the research group was very satisfied with the ease with which this operation was carried out. Relatively calm weather is
 depending upon the skill of the diver. Direct voice communication with the diver proved extremely advantageous. Tools, etc., can be lowered via the safety line attached to the current meter as requested by the diver. A primary concern in the removal of the instrument from the array is safety to the diver and to the vessel should the suspension line break. A diver using SCUBA could remove the current meter, but not with the same degree of safety and ease. At least one scuba diver with extra air tanks was on the surface vessel at all times in the event of an emergency and to provide assistance if needed.

Mooring C

| $45^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | Set: | 1630 EST | 27 | IV | 71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latitude |  | hour | day month |  | year |
| $85^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Retrieved: | 1400 EST | 29 | VI | 71 |
| Longitude |  | hour | day month |  | year |
| Johnson | Vessel: | SEA GRANT I |  |  |  |
| Set by |  |  |  |  |  |

Current meter: $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ 387, Mode1 No. 102-0

## Purpose

This station was selected to collect measurements of sub-surface water motion over the east side of the sill near the mouth of Grand Traverse Bay. This mooring was set simultaneously with a similarly placed mooring on the west side of the sill 2.5 miles away. Information collected from this mooring was expected to furnish (1) insight into the open lake forces which affect circulation of the Grand Traverse Bay, and (2) data on bay-lake exchange.

## Description

The mooring is shown in Figure 12 and is identical to Mooring B. Water depth at this station was 80 feet.

Narrative
The entire operation was identical to that described for Mooring B.

## Remarks

The mooring was emplaced and serviced utilizing the same techniques as developed for Mooring B. No difficulties were encountered during these procedures.

Mowring D
$45^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$
Latitude
$85^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$
Longitude
Johnson
Set: $\quad \frac{1545 \text { EST }}{\text { hour }} \quad 10 \quad \frac{V}{\text { day month }} \begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & \text { year }\end{aligned}$
Retrieved: $\begin{array}{llll}1240 \text { EST } & 29 & \text { VI } & 71 \\ \text { hour } & \text { day month }\end{array}$
Vessel: SEA GRANT I
Set by
Current meter: S/N 263, Model No. 850

Purpose
This station was identical to Mooring $B$ and was chosen for the same reasons.

Description
Mooring D was identical to Mooring B.

## Narrative

The mooring was as shown in Figure 12 and was identical to that of Mooring B. The mooring had already been established and it remained to replace the current meter. This operation was carried out by a surface supplied diver as described previously. The current meter was reinserted in the instrument array in the same manner as it was removed from Mooring B, except that the procedure was reversed.

## Remarks

This was the first time that an instrument had been reinserted into an array after servicing, and the procedure was carried out without difficulty.

The technique that was developed to add or remove instruments from the array proved to be efficient, economical, and very worthwhile because it was not necessary to reset the entire mooring when successive measurements were made at one location.

Mooring E

| $45^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | Set: | 1350 EST | 6 | VII | 71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latitude |  | hour | day | month | year |
| $85^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Retrieved: |  |  |  |  |
| Longitude |  | hour | day | month year |  |
| Johnson | Vessel: | SEA GRANT | I |  |  |
| Set by |  |  |  |  |  |

Current meter: $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} 263$, Model No. 850

Purpose
This station was identical to that of Mooring $C$ and was chosen for the same reasons.

Description
As shown in Figure 12 , the mooring was identical with Mooring $C$.

Narrative
The mooring was serviced in the same manner described for Moorings $B$ and $D$.

## Remarks

While making other measurements in the area of Moorings $C$ and $D$, it was noticed that, should the tether line between the main anchor and the anchor of the surface marker become snagged by a boat's anchor (or heavy duty fishing gear as is commonly used in this area), the surface marker could be moved from its original location into deeper or fhallower water. The bottom topography in the area is quite irregular and deptin may vary greatly within a few feet in any direction. The marker was tautly moored and not sufficiently buoyant to support the anchor if it
were moved into deeper water. Therefore, it was decided to add a second marker willch would serve two purposes: a surface marker would remain if the original marker should be dragged into deeper water and additional identification of the mooring would be provided. This white extra buoy carried the following black lettering: Sea Grant Circulation Project, Department of Meteorology and Oceanography, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, and the telephone number of the main office. It was made of fiberglass-covered polyurethane, was about three feet long, and had a volume of approximately one cubic foot. The second marker was in fact helpful in locating the station. One week after the termination of Moorings $C$ and $D$, while proceeding back to the two stations to place meters for Moorings $E$ and $F$, neither of the two markers were observed at what had previously been Mooring $D$ (west side of the sill). Consequently, this station was temporarily set aside and the group proceeded onto what had been Mooring C (east side of the sill). At this station, only the white cylindrical buoy was found and the initially placed marker with radar reflector was missing. A close examination of the line which had connected the marker to the anchor, clearly revealed that the $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ steel cable (more than sufficient for this use) had been cut with wire cutters or another sharp instrment. No fraying or wear had
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afternown of the 9 th and all day on the lUth for the surface marker proved fruitless, and the use of a surface vessel was called for. On 13 July, a hasty search from a small Boston Whaler was to no avail. Rough weather prevented a more thorough search.

During the next 7 weeks, 12 separate attempts were made to locate the mooring: first, by dragging a single grappling hook; second, by towing a weighted line between two boats; and finally, using a 200 kilohertz side-scanning sonar. In all, approximately 330 man hours were expended, 116 while using two vessels, 126 with the sonar, and the remainder with one vessel during dragging operations or visual search for the marker. Admittedly, as the search progressed, techniques improved. By the time the search operations terminated, the group had developed efficient techntques for the use of side-scanning sonar and shore-based theodolite stations from which the search vessel's position could be accurately located by triangulation. The sonar search was conducted in cooperation with a team of scientists from the Geophysical and Polar Research Center at the University of Wisconsin, Madison. This phase of the search, as described by Clay (1971), has provided three possible locations for Mooring $E$, one location being of particular interest in that the interpretation of the sonar trace indicated an object of the same relative size and height above bottom as that of the current meter. Time has not yet permitted these three areas to be further investigated, but it is hoped this can be undertaken in the near future.

## data summary - preliminary results

The data obtained from the current meters can be manipulated in many ways. We have chosen to follow the methods described by Webster (1964) for the analysis of a long time series of current meter observations. Following is a brief presentation of the data thus far analyzed from Moorings $A$ and $B$.

## Mooring $A$

Data ID: 401406
C.M. S/N: 387
C.M. Depth: 18 meters

Water Depth: 30.5 meters

Record Begins: $\begin{array}{rl}0 & 18 \\ \text { hour dil } & 70 \text { EST } \\ \text { month } & \text { year }\end{array}$
Record Duration: $\frac{97}{\text { day hours minutes }}$
Sampling Interval: (see comments)
Magnetic Variation: (not included)

Corments on Results
The current meter functioned properly throughout the entire recording period. Thirty-one samples, spaced 5 seconds apart, of each of the 3 variables (compass, vane, speed) were recorded in each 2.5 minute "on" perfod. Each "on" period was followed by a 12.5 minute "off" period, thus completing the meter's 15 -minute cycle. This permitted direction to be computed by vectorially adding the vane (the angle of the deflection vane with respect to the instrument case) and compass (the angle of the instrument case with respect to magnetic north) components. Figure 15 is a bar plot of frequency of occurrence of each possible value of direction and is called a direction histogram. One hundred twenty-eight class intervals (e.g., $0^{\circ}, 3^{\circ}, 6^{\circ}$, etc.) of direction were used which correspond to the current meter's 7 channel Gray binary encoding disc divisions. The left portion of the plot is a graphical representation of direction in which the length of each bar reflects the quantity of observations for that class interval, the column adjacent and to the right of this portion contains the number of observations occurring in each class, and the rightmost column contains the angle in degrees (magnetic) of that class interval. Notice that the primary mode resides at $264^{\circ}$ magnetic, while the average direction was $201.26^{\circ}$ magnetic.



By multiplying a velocity value by the elapsed time since the previous multiplication operation (i.e., the value of the time interval) a velocity vector displacement can be determined. If one is to add serially each of the resultant displacements to that previously determined, a series of displacements will be obtained which will begin with time zero and resemble, but is not to be confused with, the horizontal projection of a water particle trajectory with time.

Figure 18 is the result of performing the above-described operation and is commonly called a progressive vector diagram or "Provec." The "curve" has been constructed by connecting points which represent a single value of vector displacement and are separated by 900 seconds of real time. The record begins at the asterisk on 18 December and concludes on 25 March. The days are labeled every third day and are noted on the curve with a cross. The spatial scale of the diagram corresponds to the displacement which would occur if the motion over the entire area (i.e., the extent of the displacement diagram) were the same as observed at the location of the current meter. It is important to note that this rarely happens in real situations.

To assist with the understanding of this diagram, imagine the "curve" to be a water trough with the asterisk in the upper right-hand corner being a source of water. If the water were made to flow through
 the current meter for a particular the direction of water travel past monent in time simply by observing at the time of interest with respec between 30 December and 2 January, The distance between the cross labe


Figure 18. Progressive vector diagram: Mooring A.
on the diagram is an indication of the distance water traveled past the current meter during this 3 -day interval. The net virtual displacement of water past the current meter during the 97 -day measurement period was 52.80 ki lometers. The net west displacement was 41.16 k 1 lometers and the net south displacement was 34.51 km . Consequently, the flow has been south and west past. the current meter,...Notice the clockwise rotary flow experienced between 17 and 23 January. The period of this motion is approximately one day.

Mooring $B$
Data ID: B850
C.M. S/N: 263
C.M. Depth: 18.3 meters

Water Depth: 35.1 meters


## Comments on Results

The current meter functioned normally throughout most of the recording period. Thirty-one samples of compass, vane, and speed were recorded every 160 seconds with 5 seconds between samples (continuous mode).

Figure 19 is a direction histogram of the data collected as a result of Mooring $B$ which indicates approximately 3 modes, one each at $11^{\circ}, 197^{\circ}$, and $349^{\circ}$. The average direction of water movement was $171.02^{\circ}$. The apparent lack of any recorded values at $138^{\circ}, 166^{\circ}, 194^{\circ}$, and $222^{\circ}$ has not been explained, but is likely a result of mechanical encoding problems within the meter itself.

Figure 20 is a speed histogram for these data. Notice that no speeds higher than $17.5 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$, were recorded and that the most frequently encountered speed was $1.5 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$. The average speed for the measurement interval was $3.07 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$.

Figure 21 is a time histogram for the period. Notice that there were essentially only three major time periods during which the most frequently recorded speed was not zero. Additionally, during that time interval when the predominantly recorded speed was zero, the spread of direction almost always was greater than when the greatest occurring speed was not zero as might be expected, because at low speeds the directional vane tends to wander.



## 




The histogram presents direction and speed components in polar form from which velocity may be determined. An altemate method, in Cartesian form, may be used for describing current meter observations in the form of north and east velocity component diagrams. The vector addition of the north and east components enables one to determine the current velocity at any given time. A plot of each of these components enables one to view general trends in the flow more easily than by the histogram method.

Figure 22 is a north-east velocity component diagram of the data collected using Mooring B. Here, the values of the north and east components are average values taken over a uniform time interval for the entire data record. This permits compaction of the plot into a smaller size and smooths the curve. The Cartesian expression of average velocity has the advantage of eliminating back-and-forth mooring motion as a result of opposite velocity components cancelling out. In Figure 22 the north-south component of velocity shows a distinctly greater amount of oscillation than that of the east-west component.

If Figure 22 is compared with Figure 23, which is a progressive vector diagram of the same data record, one may see the large northsouth amplitude of oscillation more clearly. By comparing the data for the time period of 2 to 3 May, the correlation between the progressive vector diagram and the north-east component diagram is clear. The progressive vector diagram especially permits a better physical understanding of the flow over a given time period. The net virtual displacement as seen in Figure 23 was 2.1 km . The net east displacement was 1.19 km and the net north displacement was 1.79 km . Consequently, the net flow at the location of Mooring $B$ was north and east during the eight-day measurement period.



Figure 22. North-east velocity component diagram: Mooring B.


Figure 23. Progressive vector diagram: Mooring B.
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[^0]:    *Letters correspond to key on Figure 8.

