NOAA Technical Information Series NESDIS DSMR-00101 Version 1.0

doi: 10.25923/wtmp-1p62



Data Stewardship Maturity Report for GHRSST Level 4 ODYSSEA Global Foundation Sea Surface Temperature Analysis (GDS version 1)

Table 1 Legend					
Level 1	Level 2	Level 4	Level 5		
Ad Hoc	Minimal	Intermediate	Advanced	Optimal	
Little or no management	Limited Management	Defined Management, partially implemented	Well-defined Management, fully implemented	Full Management, audited, measured, controlled	

Table 1. Scores for the Nine DSMM Key Components at a Glance					
Preservability - 5 Accessibility - 5 Usability - 4.5					
Production Sustainability - 2	Data Quality Assurance - 3	Data Quality Control/Monitoring - 3			
Data Quality Assessment - 0	Transparency/Traceability - 2.5	Data Integrity - 3			

NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information January 2020



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service Cover Image: Data Stewardship Rating Diagram for GHRSST Level 4 ODYSSEA Global Foundation Sea Surface Temperature Analysis (GDS version 1)

Shades of green are used to represent level 1 through level 5 ratings; denoting Ad Hoc, Minimal, Intermediate, Advanced, and Optimal stages for each of the nine key components, respectively. The dark green level indicates all the practices are completely satisfied. The lighter green levels indicate only some of the practices are satisfied. The lightest green level indicates none of the practices are satisfied.

The stewardship maturity of NCEI data product, GHRSST Level 4 ODYSSEA Global Foundation Sea Surface Temperature Analysis (GDS version 1), is assessed based on a reference stewardship maturity framework. The current maturity ratings of GHRSST Level 4 ODYSSEA Global Foundation Sea Surface Temperature Analysis (GDS version 1) are at Level 1 or higher for all nine key components with zero Level 1, two Level 2, three Level 3, one Level 4, and two Level 5 key components.

NOAA Technical Information Series NESDIS DSMR-00101 Version 1.0

The National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (NESDIS) manages the Nation's civil Earth-observing satellite systems, as well as global national data bases for meteorology, oceanography, geophysics, and solar-terrestrial sciences. From these sources, it develops and disseminates environmental data and information products critical to the protection of life and property, national defense, and the national economy, energy development and distribution, global food supplies, and the development of natural resources.

Publication in the NOAA Technical Memorandum series does not preclude later publication in scientific journals in expanded or modified form. The NESDIS series of NOAA Technical Reports is a continuation of the former NESS and EDIS series of NOAA Technical Reports and the NESC and EDS series of Environmental Science Services Administration (ESSA) Technical Reports.

Copies of earlier reports may be available by contacting NESDIS Chief of Staff, NOAA/ NESDIS, 1335 East-West Highway, SSMC1, Silver Spring, MD 20910, (301) 713-3578

ASSESSMENT REVISION HISTORY

Revision	Description	Date
V01r00	Initial Release	12/08/2021

NOAA Technical Information Series NESDIS DSMR-00101 Version 1.0

doi: 10.25923/wtmp-1p62

Data Stewardship Maturity Report for GHRSST Level 4 ODYSSEA Global Foundation Sea Surface Temperature Analysis (GDS version 1)

Raisa Ionin, Lori Hager NOAA's National Centers of Environmental Information (NCEI) 151 Patton Avenue, Asheville, NC 28801, (828) 271-4800

Recommended Citation

Raisa Ionin, Lori Hager. (2021), Data Stewardship Maturity Report for GHRSST Level 4 ODYSSEA Global Foundation Sea Surface Temperature Analysis (GDS version 1)

NOAA Technical Information Series NESDIS DSMR-00101 Version 1.0, 20pp., doi: 10.25923/wtmp-1p62

Table of Contents

List of Tables	7
Preface	8
1. Introduction	9
2. Results	10
3. Acknowledgment	15
4. References	16
Appendix I The Scientific Data Stewardship Maturity Matrix (DSMM)	17

List of Tables

Table 1. Scores for the Nine DSMM Key Components at a Glance	1
Table 2. Dataset and Data Stewardship Maturity Assessment Metadata	11
Table 3. Stewardship Maturity Levels and Detailed Justifications for Each of Nine DSMM	
Key Components for the Dataset.	12

Preface

In response to the President's Open Government Initiative and related policies, NOAA has committed to providing improved public access to all of its environmental information, to enable research and commercial innovation through ease of data discovery and use [Casey, 2016].

OneStop supports NOAA's efforts by leveraging existing access technologies and infusing specific innovations to provide improved discover, access, and visualization services for NOAA's data. Also, OneStop is viewed by a NESDIS as a pathfinder effort with an initial focus on selected high-priority datasets from NESDIS and other program data meeting OneStop standards, but eventually scalable across NOAA's data. Lastly, OneStop is implementing the USGEO Common Framework for Earth Observation Data and leveraging/supporting the NOAA Big Data Project (BDP) and Big Earth Data Initiative (BEDI) [Casey, 2016].

As with any process of improvement planning, agencies need to find out where they are in terms of their compliance to the federal regulations and what they need to do if any areas of non-compliance are identified. To this end, a unified framework would be beneficial for assessing the current stage of stewardship practices applied to individual datasets and for providing a road map that will guide future investments towards enhanced stewardship of environmental datasets. The value and quality of a dataset depends in part on the stewardship practices applied after its development and production. Therefore, a unified framework providing a holistic view of the quality of stewardship practices applied to individual datasets is beneficial to data stewards and users [Casey, 2016].

The Data Stewardship Maturity Matrix (DSMM), jointly developed by domain (data management, technology, and science) subject matter experts from NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) and Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites – North Carolina (CICS-NC), provides such a consistent framework [*Peng et al.*, 2016]. The DSMM, leveraging institutional knowledge and community practices and standards, defines a graduated maturity scale for each of nine key components of scientific data stewardship to enable a consistent assessment of the measureable stewardship practices applied to a given data set or product.

The NOAA data stewardship maturity technical series captures stewardship maturity assessment results for individual datasets, provides consistent representation and citable documents of those assessments, ensures transparency, and allows better data quality information integration and content-based search and discovery of NOAA data.

NOAA Technical Information Series NESDIS DSMR-00101 Version 1.0

Data Stewardship Maturity Report for GHRSST Level 4 ODYSSEA Global Foundation Sea Surface Temperature Analysis (GDS version 1)

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to describe the results of stewardship maturity assessment for NOAA Climate Data Record for Mean Layer Temperature (Upper Troposphere & Lower Stratosphere from UCAR, Version 2, utilizing the Scientific Data Stewardship Maturity Matrix or DSMM [Peng, et al, 2016]. DSMM defines levels of stewardship maturity stages for Preservability, Accessibility, Usability, Production Sustainability, Data Quality Assurance, Data Quality Control/Monitoring, Data Quality Assessment, Transparency/Traceability, and Data Integrity key components. Each of these components is ranked from 'Ad hoc' to 'Optimal' (see Appendix I). This report is based on evaluation performed by NOAA OneStop metadata specialists working with Subject Matter Experts and utilizing the DSMM template [Peng, 2016].

1.2 Scope

Assessing stewardship maturity - the current state of how datasets are documented, preserved, stewarded, and made accessible publicly, is a critical step towards meeting U.S. federal regulations, organizational requirements, and user needs [Peng et al., 2016]. The goal of this document is to provide consistent and transparent stewardship maturity information to data users and decision-makers.

1.3 Dataset Abstract

A Group for High Resolution Sea Surface Temperature (GHRSST) Level 4 sea surface temperature analysis produced daily on an operational basis at Ifremer/CERSAT (France) using optimal interpolation (OI) on a global 0.1 degree grid. It provides a daily cloud-free field of foundation sea surface temperature at approximately 10km resolution (0.1 degree) over the full globe. It is generated by merging microwave and infrared satellite sea surface temperature observations including those from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR), the Advanced Along Track Scanning Radiometer (AATSR), the Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager (SEVIRI), the Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer-EOS (AMSRE), the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission Microwave Imager (TMI) and the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) Imager. The satellite SST observations are intercalibrated using the AATSR sensor as a reference (previously re-calibrated using all available in situ data). The development of the global real-time sea surface temperature at Ifremer/CERSAT is supported by European Commission initially in the frame of MERSEA project.

1.4 Document Maintenance

This document is generated and maintained by NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information. More on policy is available at https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/.

2. Results

The data stewardship maturity assessment information is summarized in Table 1. Each component is displayed along with its corresponding score in a color-coded table.

Table 2. Dataset and Data Stewardship Maturity Assessment Metadata				
Dataset Title	GHRSST Level 4 ODYSSEA Global Foundation Sea Surface Temperature Analysis (GDS version 1)			
Dataset Information URL	https://www.ncei.noaa. gov/metadata/geoportal/rest/metadata/item/gov.noaa. nodc%3AGHRSST-EUR-L4HRfnd-GLOB-ODYSSEA/html			
Data Provider POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	National Centers for Environmental Information, NESDIS, NOAA, U.S. Department of Commerce, 301-713-3277, NCEI. Info@noaa.gov			
Dataset POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	Jean-Francois Piolle; jfpiolle@ifremer.fr; +33-2-98224691 IFREMER/CERSAT > Institut Francais de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer, Center d'Exploitation et de Recherche Satellitaire			
SMM Version (Document ID and Version Number)	NCDC-CICS-SMM_0001_Rev.1 12/09/2014			
SMM POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	Ge Peng, ge.peng@uah.edu, University of Alabama- Huntsville			
SMM Template Version (Document ID and Version Numbers)	NCDC-CICS-SMM_0001_Rev.1 v4.0 06/23/2015			
SMM Template POC	Ge Peng, ge.peng@uah.edu, University of Alabama- Huntsville			
SMM Assessment Version (v <nn>r<mm>, e.g., v01r00)</mm></nn>	V01r12			
SMM Assessment Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	04/17/2019			
SMM Assessment POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	Raisa Ionin, raisa.ionin@noaa.gov, Earth Resources Technology, Inc.			
Stewardship Maturity Ratings (each key component) (kc1/kc2/kc3/kc4/kc5/kc6/kc7/kc8/kc9)	5/5/4.5/2/3/3/0/2.5/3			
SMM Original Assessment Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	05/25/2016			
SMM Original Assessment POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	Raisa Ionin, raisa.ionin@noaa.gov, Earth Resources Technology, Inc.			
SMM Last Modified Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	11/17/2021			
SMM Last Modification POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	Lori Hager, lori.hager@noaa.gov, CASE Consultants International			
SMM Modified Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	04/17/2019			
SMM Modification POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	Raisa Ionin, raisa.ionin@noaa.gov, Earth Resources Technology, Inc.			

Table 3. Stewardship	p Maturity Levels and Detailed Justifications for Each of Nine DSMM Key Components for th Dataset.
DSMM Key Component	Stewardship Maturity Rating, Justification, and Comments
Preservability	Level 5 Archived by NCEI, which is NOAA designated repository. NOAA is compliant to NARA standards Metadata following ISO 19115-2 standards. Compliant to OIAS RM Plans to update metadata to ISO 19115-1 at a later date Using NCEI Silver Spring Archive Management System, AMS. Comments:
Accessibility	Level 5 Collection level searchable online Granule level is searchable online Additional search options available from collection level site Direct file download available from FTP: ftp://ftp-oceans.ncei.noaa.gov/pub/data.nodc/ghrsst/L4/GLOB/EUR/ODYSSEA/ HTTP: https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/data/oceans/ghrsst/L4/GLOB/EUR/ODYSSEA/ THREDDS: https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/thredds-ocean/catalog/ghrsst/L4/GLOB/EUR/ODYSSEA/catalog.html Dissemination reports are available to the public https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/ghrsst-long-term-stewardship-and-reanalysis-facility/ Future technology changes are planned Data set is also accessible through NASA PODAAC site: https://podaac.jpl.nasa.gov/dataset/EUR-L4HRfnd-GLOB-ODYSSEA?ids=Collections: ProcessingLevel&values=GHRSST:*4*
Usability	 Level 4.5 The format is interoperable: nc.bz2 for granules User Guide [GHRSST, 2011] is available online All GHRSST collections have error estimate All GHRSST collections have enhanced online capability (e.g., visualization, multiple data formats) TDS, DAP (*data servers maintained at NCEI); access from metadata main landing page; to access those go to a landing page. https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/archive/arc0072/0123222/1.1/data/0data/GHRSSTUserGuidev91.pdf A GHRSST User Guide, Quick Start Guide, GHRSST Data Specification (GDS) manual and other relevant documents describing GHRSST data sets can be found in the archive accession, Documentation for The Group for High Resolution Sea Surface Temperature (GHRSST) data archived at NODC (NODC Accession 0123222), https://www.ncei.noa.gov/access/metadata/landing-page/bin/iso?id=gov.noaa.nodc:0123222. No external ranking No Algorithm or ATBD documents exist for GHRSST collections Comments: No algorithm documents are present here for GHRSST. Data providers have them, but thes are not available at NCEI. Will be planned for the future.

DSMM Key	
Component	Stewardship Maturity Rating, Justification, and Comments
Production Sustainability	Level 2 The dataset is no longer supported, the end date is 2009: https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/ghrsst-long-term-stewardship-and-reanalysis-facility/ Comments: Changes for technology are available from individual dataset producers. NOAA does not have them documented.
Data Quality Assurance	Level 3 • DQA procedure defined, documented and implemented based on this publication: [Dash, 2012] and is available online http://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.04.002 Comments:
Data Quality Control/ Monitoring	Level 3 Limited Quality Control metrics are available: https://www.star.nesdis.noaa. gov/socd/sst/squam/index.php Sampling and analysis are frequent and systematic but not automatic Procedure documented and available online Community metrics defined and partially implemented Comments:
Data Quality Assessment	Level 0 • No Algorithm Based Documentation (ATBD) available Comments: One publication was found: http://marine.copernicus.eu/documents/PUM/CMEMS-OSI-PUM-010-003-010.pdf
Transparency / Traceability	 Level 2.5 Limited product information available, metadata only on the GHRSST ODYSSEA landing page: https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/metadata/landing-page/bin/iso?id=gov. noaa.nodc:GHRSST-EUR-L4HRfnd-GLOB-ODYSSEA Product information available in literature: [Dash, 2012] and is available online http://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.04.002 GHRSST datasets are under Configuration Management principles: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4700465 Data citation DOI is available from PODAAC site:10.5067/GHGOY-4FE01No UID No ATDB Comments:

Table 3. Stewardship Maturity Levels and Detailed Justifications for Each of Nine DSMM Key Components for the Dataset.				
DSMM Key Component	Stewardship Maturity Rating, Justification, and Comments			
Data Integrity	■ Data archive integrity verifiable - Checksum technology is available, each GHRSST_L4_ODYSSEA_GFSSTA package is accompanied by a manifest in XML format containing hash digests generated using various algorithms, including MD5, SHA-1, SHA-384, etc. That includes checksums (.md5) for every file package. https://www.nodc.noaa.gov/archive/arc0013/0037323/0037323.1.1.xml ■ Data authenticity is verifiable (since data can be downloaded via HTTPS and HTTPS uses certificates to prove site authenticity) ■ NCEI-MD does not provide digital signatures for data dissemination Comments: Example of a checksum file (.md5 file) can also be seen at ftp://podaac-ftp.jpl.nasa. gov/allData/ghrsst/data/L4/GLOB/EUR/ODYSSEA/2009/001/ PODAAC ftp site: ftp://podaac-ftp.jpl.nasa. gov/allData/ghrsst/data/L4/GLOB/EUR/ODYSSEA			

3. Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the NOAA OneStop Project.

We thank the dataset POCs for their valuable input, as well as the collaborative efforts of the OneStop teams, especially the Metadata team. We would also like to show appreciation to Ge Peng for her contributions.

The draft of this data stewardship maturity report is systematically generated by a tool created by Kieran Hodnett and populated with the stewardship maturity assessment done by the author(s) of this report. The tool was developed based on a Word template created collaboratively by Robert Partee II, Raisa Ionin, Paul Lemieux III, Ge Peng, Don Collins, and Sonny Zinn with helpful input from the NOAA Central Library and the NCEI Communication Team.

4. References

Casey, K. (2016), The NOAA OneStop data discover and access framework project, Version:June 3, 2016. https://cdn.ioos.noaa.gov/media/2017/12/OneStop-IOOS-DMAC-03-June-2016.pdf

Peng, G. (2015) The scientific data stewardship maturity assessment model template, Version: NCDC-CICS-SMM-0001-Rev.1 v4.0 6/23/2015. doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.1211954.

Peng, G., J.L. Privette, E.J. Kearns, N.A. Ritchey, and S. Ansari (2015), A unified framework for measuring stewardship practices applied to digital environmental datasets, *Data Science Journal*, 13, 231-253, doi: 10.2481/dsj.14-049.

Peng, G., J. Lawrimore, V. Toner, C. Lief, R. Baldwin, N. Ritchey, D. Brinegar, and S. A. Delgreco (2016) assessing stewardship naturity of the global historical climatology networkmonthly (GHCN-M) dataset: use case study and lessons learned, D-Lib Magazine, 22, doi:10.1045/november2016-peng.

GHRSST User Guide version 9.1, 2011, retrieved online: https://www.nodc.noaa.g ov/archive/arc0072/0123222/1.1/data/0-data/GHRSSTUserGuidev91.pdf (Accessed December 22, 2016)

Dash, P. et al. (2012), Group for High Resolution Sea Surface Temperature (GHRSST) analysis fields inter-comparisons—Part 2: Near real time web-based level 4 SST Quality Monitor (L4-SQUAM), _Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography_, 77-80, 31–43, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.04.002

Dash, P. et al. (2012), Group for High Resolution Sea Surface Temperature (GHRSST) analysis fields inter-comparisons—Part 2: Near real time web-based level 4 SST Quality Monitor (L4-SQUAM), _Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography_, 77-80, 31–43, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.04.002

Appendix I: The Scientific Data Stewardship Maturity Matrix (DSMM)

Table A1: This matrix (Version: NCDC-CICS-SMM-0001-Rev.1. 12/09/2014) describes the criterion used to evaluate data stewardship maturity for each of the nine DSMM key components [*Peng et al.*, 2015].

DSMM Component	Level 1 Ad hoc Little or no management	Level 2 Minimal Limited management	Level 3 Intermediate Defined management, partially implemented	Level 4 Advanced Well-defined management, fully implemented	Level 5 Optimal Full management, audited, measured, controlled
Preservability (The state of being preservable)	Any storage location Data only	Non- designated repository Redundancy Limited archiving metadata	Designated archive Redundancy Community-standard archiving metadata Conforming to limited archiving standards	Level 3 + Conforming to community archiving standards	Level 4 + Archiving process performance controlled, measured, and audited Future archiving standard changes planned
Accessibility (The state of being searchable and accessible publicly)	Not publically available person-to- person	Publically available direct file download (e.g., via anonymous FTP server) Collection or dataset level searchable online	Level 2 + Non-standard data service Limited data server performance Granule/file level searchable Limited search metrics	Level 3 + Community- standard data service Enhanced data server performance Conforming to community search metrics Dissemination report metrics defined and implemented internally	Level 4 + Dissemination reports available online Future technology and standard changes planned

Usability (The state of being easy to use)	Extensive product-specific knowledge required No documentation online	Non-standard data format Limited documentation (e.g., user's guide online)	Community standard-based interoperable format & metadata Documentation (e.g. source code, product algorithm document, processing or/and data flow diagram) online	Level 3 + Basic capability (e.g., subsetting, aggregating) & data characterization overall/global, e.g., climatology, error estimates) available online	Level 4 + Enhanced online capability (e.g., visualization, multiple data formats) Community metrics of data characterization (regional/cell) online External ranking
Production Sustainability (The state of data production being sustainable and extendable)	Ad Hoc or Not applicable To obligation or deliverable requirement	Short-term Individual PI's commitment (grant obligations)	Medium-term Institutional commitment (contractual deliverables with specs and schedule defined)	Long-term Institutional commitment Product improvement process in place	Level 4 + National or international commitment Changes for echnology planned
Data Quality Assurance (The state of data quality being assured)	Data quality assurance (DQA) procedure unknown or none	Ad Hoc and random QA procedure not defined and documented	DQA procedure defined and documented and partially implemented	DQA procedure well documented, fully implemented and available online with master reference data Limited data quality assurance metadata	Level 4 + DQA procedure monitored and reported Conforming to community quality metadata & standards External review

Data Quality Control/ Monitoring The state of data quality being controlled and monitored	None or Sampling unknown or spotty Analysis unknown or random in time	Sampling and analysis are regular in time and space Limited product-specific metrics defined & implemented	Level 2 + Sampling and analysis are frequent and systematic but not automatic Community metrics defined and partially implemented Procedure documented and available online	Level 3 + Anomaly detection procedure well-documente d and fully implemented using community metrics, automatic, tracked and reported Limited quality monitoring metadata	Level 4 + Cross-validation of temporal & spatial characteristics Physical consistency check Conforming to community quality metadata & standards
Data Quality Assessment (The state of data quality being assessed)	Algorithm/ method/model Theoretical basis assessed (methods and results online)	Level 1 + Research product assessed (methods and results online)	Level 2 + Operational product assessed (methods and results online)	Level 3 + Quality metadata assessed Limited quality assessment metadata	Level 4 + Assessment performed on a recurring basis Conforming to community quality metadata & standards External ranking
Transparency/ Traceability (The state of being transparent, trackable, and traceable)	Limited product information available Person-to-person	Product information available in literature	Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD) & source code online Dataset configuration managed (CM) Unique Object Identifier (OID) assigned (dataset, documentation, source code) Data citation tracked (e.g., utilizing Digital Object Identifier	Level 3 + Operational Algorithm Description (OAD) online, OID assigned, and under CM	Level 4 + System information online Complete data provenance online

Data Integrity (The state of data integrity being verifiable)	Unknown or no data ingest integrity check	Data ingest integrity verifiable (e.g, checksum technology)	(DOI) system) Level 2 + Data archive integrity verifiable	Level 3 + Data access integrity verifiable	Level 4 + Data authenticity verifiable (e.g., data signature technology) Performance of data integrity check monitored and reported
				Conforming to community data integrity technology standard	