

**NOAA Technical Information Series NESDIS
DSMR-00018 Version 1.0**

doi: 10.25923/t1t8-5x68



Data Stewardship Maturity Report for NOAA Climate Data Record (CDR) of Sea Surface Temperature -WHOI, Version 2.0

Table 1 Legend				
Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Ad Hoc	Minimal	Intermediate	Advanced	Optimal
Little or no management	Limited Management	Defined Management, partially implemented	Well-defined Management, fully implemented	Full Management, audited, measured, controlled

Table 1. Scores for the nine DSMM Key components at a glance		
Preservability - 4.5	Accessibility - 2	Usability - 4
Production Sustainability - 4	Data Quality Assurance - 4	Data Quality Control/Monitoring - 2.5
Data Quality Assessment - 2	Transparency/Traceability - 2.5	Data Integrity - 3.5

NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information January 2020



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service

Cover Image: Data Stewardship Rating Diagram for NOAA Climate Data Record (CDR) of Sea Surface Temperature -WHOI, Version 2.0

Shades of green are used to represent level 1 through level 5 ratings; denoting Ad Hoc, Minimal, Intermediate, Advanced, and Optimal stages for each of the nine key components, respectively. The dark green level indicates all the practices are completely satisfied. The lighter green levels indicate only some of the practices are satisfied. The lightest green level indicates none of the practices are satisfied.

The stewardship maturity of NCEI data product, NOAA Climate Data Record (CDR) of Sea Surface Temperature -WHOI, Version 2.0, is assessed based on a reference stewardship maturity framework. The current maturity ratings of NOAA Climate Data Record (CDR) of Sea Surface Temperature -WHOI, Version 2.0 are at Level 1 or higher for all nine key components with zero Level 1, four Level 2, one Level 3, four Level 4, and zero Level 5 key components.

NOAA Technical Memorandum Series
National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service

The National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (NESDIS) manages the Nation's civil Earth-observing satellite systems, as well as global national data bases for meteorology, oceanography, geophysics, and solar-terrestrial sciences. From these sources, it develops and disseminates environmental data and information products critical to the protection of life and property, national defense, and the national economy, energy development and distribution, global food supplies, and the development of natural resources.

Publication in the NOAA Technical Memorandum series does not preclude later publication in scientific journals in expanded or modified form. The NESDIS series of NOAA Technical Reports is a continuation of the former NESS and EDIS series of NOAA Technical Reports and the NESC and EDS series of Environmental Science Services Administration (ESSA) Technical Reports.

Copies of earlier reports may be available by contacting NESDIS Chief of Staff, NOAA/
NESDIS, 1335 East-West Highway, SSMC1, Silver Spring, MD 20910, (301) 713-3578.

ASSESSMENT REVISION HISTORY

Revision	Description	Date
V01r00	Initial Release	10/27/2021

NOAA Technical Information Series NESDIS DSMR-00018

Version 1.0

doi: 10.25923/t1t8-5x68

Data Stewardship Maturity Report for NOAA Climate Data Record (CDR) of Sea Surface Temperature -WHOI, Version 2.0

Paul Lemieux III, Katy Luquire

NOAA's National Centers of Environmental Information (NCEI)

151 Patton Avenue, Asheville, NC 28801, (828) 271-4800

Recommended Citation

Paul Lemieux III, Katy Luquire. (2021), Data stewardship maturity report for NOAA Climate Data Record (CDR) of Sea Surface Temperature -WHOI, Version 2.0

NOAA/NESDIS Technical Report 00018, 21pp., doi: 10.25923/t1t8-5x68

Table of Contents

List of Tables	7
Preface	8
1. Introduction	9
2. Results	10
3. Acknowledgment	15
4. References	16
Appendix I The Scientific Data Stewardship Maturity Matrix (DSMM)	18

List of Tables

Table 1. Scores for the Nine DSMM Key Components at a Glance	1
Table 2. Dataset and Data Stewardship Maturity Assessment Metadata	11
Table 3. Stewardship Maturity Levels and Detailed Justifications for Each of Nine DSMM Key Components for the Dataset.	12

Preface

In response to the President's Open Government Initiative and related policies, NOAA has committed to providing improved public access to all of its environmental information, to enable research and commercial innovation through ease of data discovery and use [Casey, 2016].

OneStop supports NOAA's efforts by leveraging existing access technologies and infusing specific innovations to provide improved discover, access, and visualization services for NOAA's data. Also, OneStop is viewed by a NESDIS as a pathfinder effort with an initial focus on selected high-priority datasets from NESDIS and other program data meeting OneStop standards, but eventually scalable across NOAA's data. Lastly, OneStop is implementing the USGEO Common Framework for Earth Observation Data and leveraging/supporting the NOAA Big Data Project (BDP) and Big Earth Data Initiative (BEDI) [Casey, 2016].

As with any process of improvement planning, agencies need to find out where they are in terms of their compliance to the federal regulations and what they need to do if any areas of non-compliance are identified. To this end, a unified framework would be beneficial for assessing the current stage of stewardship practices applied to individual datasets and for providing a road map that will guide future investments towards enhanced stewardship of environmental datasets. The value and quality of a dataset depends in part on the stewardship practices applied after its development and production. Therefore, a unified framework providing a holistic view of the quality of stewardship practices applied to individual datasets is beneficial to data stewards and users [Casey, 2016].

The data stewardship maturity matrix (DSMM), jointly developed by domain (data management, technology, and science) subject matter experts from NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI) and Cooperative Institute for Climate and Satellites – North Carolina (CICS-NC), provides such a consistent framework [Peng *et al.*, 2016]. The DSMM, leveraging institutional knowledge and community practices and standards, defines a graduated maturity scale for each of nine key components of scientific data stewardship to enable a consistent assessment of the measureable stewardship practices applied to a given data set or product.

The NOAA data stewardship maturity technical series captures stewardship maturity assessment results for individual datasets, provides consistent representation and citable documents of those assessments, ensures transparency, and allows better data quality information integration and content-based search and discovery of NOAA data.

NOAA Technical Report NESDIS DSMR-00018

Data Stewardship Maturity Report for NOAA Climate Data Record (CDR) of Sea Surface Temperature -WHOI, Version 2.0

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to describe the results of stewardship maturity assessment for NOAA Climate Data Record for Mean Layer Temperature (Upper Troposphere & Lower Stratosphere from UCAR, Version 2, utilizing the Scientific Data Stewardship Maturity Matrix or DSMM [Peng, et al, 2016]. DSMM defines levels of stewardship maturity stages for Preservability, Accessibility, Usability, Production Sustainability, Data Quality Assurance, Data Quality Control/Monitoring, Data Quality Assessment, Transparency/Traceability, and Data Integrity key components. Each of these components is ranked from ‘Ad hoc’ to ‘Optimal’ (see Appendix I). This report is based on evaluation performed by NOAA OneStop metadata specialists working with Subject Matter Experts and utilizing the DSMM template [Peng, 2016].

1.2 Scope

Assessing stewardship maturity - the current state of how datasets are documented, preserved, stewarded, and made accessible publicly, is a critical step towards meeting U.S. federal regulations, organizational requirements, and user needs [Peng et al., 2016]. The goal of this document is to provide consistent and transparent stewardship maturity information to data users and decision-makers.

1.3 Dataset Abstract

The NOAA Ocean Surface Bundle (OSB) Climate Data Record (CDR) consist of three parts: sea surface temperature, near-surface atmospheric properties, and heat fluxes. This portion of the OSB CDR is the NOAA Climate Data Record (CDR) of Sea Surface Temperature - WHOI. The SST data are found through modeling the diurnal variability in combination with AVHRR observations of sea surface temperature. The data cover a time period from January 1988 to present at a 3-hourly, quarter-degree resolution.

1.4 Document Maintenance

This document is generated and maintained by NOAA's National Centers for Environmental Information. More on policy is available at <https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/>.

2. Results

The data stewardship maturity assessment information is summarized in Table 1. Each component is displayed along with its corresponding score in a color-coded table.

Table 2. Dataset and Data Stewardship Maturity Assessment Metadata	
Dataset Title	NOAA Climate Data Record (CDR) of Sea Surface Temperature -WHOI, Version 2.0
Dataset Information URL	https://doi.org/10.7289/V5FB510W
Data Provider POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI), ncei.orders@noaa.gov
Dataset POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	NOAA Climate Data Record Program Office, ocean_surface_contacts@noaa.gov
SMM Version (Document ID and Version Number)	NCDC-CICS-SMM_0001_Rev.1 12/09/2014
SMM POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	Ge Peng, ge.peng@uah.edu, University of Alabama-Huntsville
SMM Template Version (Document ID and Version Numbers)	NCDC-CICS-SMM_0001_Rev.1 v4.0 06/23/2015
SMM Template POC	Ge Peng, ge.peng@uah.edu, University of Alabama-Huntsville
SMM Assessment Version (v<nn>r<mm>, e.g., v01r00)	v02r03
SMM Assessment Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/15/2018
SMM Assessment POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	Paul Lemieux III, paul.lemieux@noaa.gov, Earth Resources Technology, Inc.
Stewardship Maturity Ratings (each key component) (kc1/kc2/kc3/kc4/kc5/kc6/kc7/kc8/kc9)	4.5 / 2 / 4 / 4 / 4 / 2.5 / 2 / 2.5 / 3.5
SMM Original Assessment Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	10/24/2016
SMM Original Assessment POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	Paul Lemieux III, paul.lemieux@noaa.gov, Earth Resources Technology, Inc.
SMM Last Modified Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	09/20/2021
SMM Last Modification POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	Katy Luquire, catherine.luquire@noaa.gov , CASE Consultants International
SMM Modified Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/15/2018
SMM Modification POC (Name; E-mail; Affiliation)	Paul Lemieux III, paul.lemieux@noaa.gov, Earth Resources Technology, Inc.

Table 3. Stewardship Maturity Levels and Detailed Justifications for Each of Nine DSMM Key Components for the Dataset.	
DSMM Key Component	Stewardship Maturity Rating, Justification, and Comments
Preservability	<p>Level 4.5</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Archived at NOAA NCEI-NC ▪ Following NOAA Climate Data Record (CDR) Research-2-Operation (R2O) transition process with the Initial Operation Capability (IOC) ▪ Following OAIS RM ▪ Conforms to ISO 19115-2 metadata standard ▪ Conforming to NetCDF CF metadata conventions. ▪ Conforming to CDR Program (CDRP) guidelines on coding and NCEI Archive Branch (AB) guidance on file and variable naming conventions per Submission Agreement (SA) ▪ Plans to transition ISO metadata to newer 19115-1 standard <p>Comments: No known external audits on the archiving processes</p>
Accessibility	<p>Level 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Collection level searchable online: https://data.noaa.gov/onestop/#/ ▪ Direct file download available: https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/data/sea-surface-temperature-who/access/ ▪ THREDDS Catalog: https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/thredds/catalog/cdr/sea-surface-temp-who/catalog.html ▪ Dissemination reports available internally for the FTP/HTTP servers ▪ New technology for OneStop search and discovery planned (i.e. ElasticSearch, Hyrax Servers, etc.) This is part of the CDR data group that will be OneStop ready <p>Comments: Dissemination reports available internally, but not externally to the public. No granule search capabilities.</p>
Usability	<p>Level 4</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ NetCDF-4 data format (CF compliant) ▪ Data Flow Diagram [Clayson and NOAA CDR Program, 2016] is available online here: ▪ C-ATBD [Clayson and NOAA CDR Program, 2016] Clayson, C., and NOAA CDR Program, (2016), Climate Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (C-ATBD) Ocean surface bundle [sea surface temperature – WHOI, ocean near surface properties, and ocean heat fluxes, _Rep. CDRP-ATBD-0578_, NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC., retrieved online: (Accessed 13 December 2016).] is available online here: ▪ Error budget statistics available in the C-ATBD [Clayson, 2016] available online here: ▪ THREDDS allows aggregations of granules by virtually stacking files/timestamps as a single huge file. <p>Comments: No known external rankings</p>

Table 3. Stewardship Maturity Levels and Detailed Justifications for Each of Nine DSMM Key Components for the Dataset.	
DSMM Key Component	Stewardship Maturity Rating, Justification, and Comments
Production Sustainability	<p>Level 4</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Under NOAA CDR Operation & Maintenance (O&M) ▪ Updated annually ▪ Funding is allocated yearly ▪ Product improvement process in place ▪ CDR program is managed by NCEI <p>Comments: No comments</p>
Data Quality Assurance	<p>Level 4</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Agile development procedure in place with defined/fixed set of analysis metrics ▪ Master reference data are included in the source code package which is available online: ▪ Quality Flags available at the pixel level for Atmospheric Parameters, SST, and Heat Fluxes. These QFs can be considered limited data quality assurance metadata. <p>Comments: No known external reviews</p>
Data Quality Control/Monitoring	<p>Level 2.5</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ DQC is done after each data processing ▪ Sampling and analysis are frequent and systematic, but not automatic. Sanity checks, both visual and empirical are employed. ▪ Procedures documented in the C-ATBD [Clayson, 2016] available online here: <p>Comments: No data quality information in the metadata record Cross-validations completed regularly across different SSM/I satellites.</p>
Data Quality Assessment	<p>Level 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Research product methodologies assessed in this science paper, [Roberts, Clayson, Robertson, et al, 2010] available online at: https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013099 ▪ Assessment carried out in the NCEI CDR R2O process ▪ CDR Initial Operational Capability (IOC) stage ▪ Product maturity matrix [Clayson and NOAA CDR Program, 2014] is available online here: <p>Comments: No data quality assessment information in the metadata record No known external ranking</p>

Table 3. Stewardship Maturity Levels and Detailed Justifications for Each of Nine DSMM Key Components for the Dataset.	
DSMM Key Component	Stewardship Maturity Rating, Justification, and Comments
Transparency / Traceability	<p>Level 2.5</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CDR Program literature [Bates, Privette, Kearns, et al, 2016] available online here: https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00015.1 ▪ C-ATBD [Clayson, 2016] and available online here: https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00015.1 <p>CM [Hutchins, 2015] is EIA-649-B standard compliant and diagrammed here: http://www1.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/sds/cdr/conferences/2015%20PI%20Annual%20Meeting%20-%20Presentations/Day_1/(A-2)%20Operations%20and%20Maintenance%20(O_M)%20of%20NOAA%20IOC%20CDRs%20-%20(Hutchins).pdf</p> <p>Comments: No OAD available.</p>
Data Integrity	<p>Level 3.5</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Checksums generated at ingest which verifies ingest integrity. ▪ Using standard-based technology for generating checksum at ingest. ▪ Checksum verified when customer orders data. <p>Comments: No comment</p>

3. Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the NOAA OneStop Project.

We thank the dataset POCs for their valuable input, as well as the collaborative efforts of the OneStop teams, especially the Metadata team. We would also like to show appreciation to Ge Peng for her contributions.

The draft of this data stewardship maturity report is systematically generated by a tool created by Kieran Hodnett and populated with the stewardship maturity assessment done by the author(s) of this report. The tool was developed based on a Word template created collaboratively by Robert Partee II, Raisa Ionin, Paul Lemieux III, Ge Peng, Don Collins, and Sonny Zinn with helpful input from the NOAA Central Library and the NCEI Communication Team.

4. References

Casey, K. (2016), The NOAA OneStop data discover and access framework project, Version: June 3, 2016. <https://cdn.ioos.noaa.gov/media/2017/12/OneStop-IOOS-DMAC-03-June-2016.pdf>

Peng, G. (2015) The scientific data stewardship maturity assessment model template, Version: NCDC-CICS-SMM-0001-Rev.1 v4.0 6/23/2015. doi:10.6084/m9.figshare.1211954.

Peng, G., J.L. Privette, E.J. Kearns, N.A. Ritchey, and S. Ansari (2015), A unified framework for measuring stewardship practices applied to digital environmental datasets, *Data Science Journal*, 13, 231-253, doi: 10.2481/dsj.14-049.

Peng, G., J. Lawrimore, V. Toner, C. Lief, R. Baldwin, N. Ritchey, D. Brinegar, and S. A. Delgreco (2016) assessing stewardship maturity of the global historical climatology network-monthly (GHCN-M) dataset: use case study and lessons learned, *D-Lib Magazine*, 22, doi:10.1045/november2016-peng.

Clayson, C., and NOAA CDR Program, (2016), SeaFlux OSB CDR processing flow chart sea surface temperature, _Rep. CDRP-DIA-0579_, NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC., retrieved online: <https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/climate-data-records/sea-surface-temperature-who> (Accessed 13 December 2016).

Roberts, J., Clayson, C., Robertson, F., Jackson, D., (2010), Predicting near-surface atmospheric variables from Special Sensor Microwave/Imager using neural networks with a first-guess approach, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 115(D19), 1—17, doi:10.1029/2009JD013099.

Clayson, C., and NOAA CDR Program, (2014), Sea surface temperature – WHOI Climate Data Record (CDR) maturity matrix, _Rep. CDRP-MM-0580_, NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, Asheville, NC., retrieved online: (Accessed 13 December 2016).

Bates, J., Privette, J., Kearns, E., Glance, W., Zhao, X., (2016), Sustained production of Multidecadal climate records: lessons from the NOAA Climate Data Record Program, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 97(10), 1573—1581, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00015.1.

Hutchins, C. (2015), Operations and Maintenance (O&M) of NOAA IOC CDRs, [http://www1.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/sds/cdr/conferences/2015%20PI%20Annual%20Meeting%20-%20Presentations/Day_1/\(A-2\)%20Operations%20and%20Maintenance%20\(O_M\)%20of%20NOAA%20IOC%20CDRs%20-%20\(Hutchins\).pdf](http://www1.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/sds/cdr/conferences/2015%20PI%20Annual%20Meeting%20-%20Presentations/Day_1/(A-2)%20Operations%20and%20Maintenance%20(O_M)%20of%20NOAA%20IOC%20CDRs%20-%20(Hutchins).pdf) (Accessed 13 December 2016).

Appendix I: The Scientific Data Stewardship Maturity Matrix (DSMM)

Table A1: This matrix (Version: NCDC-CICS-SMM-0001-Rev.1. 12/09/2014) describes the criterion used to evaluate data stewardship maturity for each of the nine DSMM key components [Peng et al., 2015].

DSMM Component	Level 1 <i>Ad hoc</i> Little or no management	Level 2 <i>Minimal</i> Limited management	Level 3 <i>Intermediate</i> Defined management, partially implemented	Level 4 <i>Advanced</i> Well-defined management, fully implemented	Level 5 <i>Optimal</i> Full management, audited, measured, controlled
<i>Preservability</i> <i>(The state of being preservable)</i>	Any storage location Data only	Non-designated repository Redundancy Limited archiving metadata	Designated archive Redundancy Community-standard archiving metadata Conforming to limited archiving standards	Level 3 + Conforming to community archiving standards	Level 4 + Archiving process performance controlled, measured, and audited Future archiving standard changes planned
<i>Accessibility</i> <i>(The state of being searchable and accessible publicly)</i>	Not publically available person-to-person	Publically available direct file download (e.g., via anonymous FTP server) Collection or dataset level searchable online	Level 2 + Non-standard data service Limited data server performance Granule/file level searchable Limited search metrics	Level 3 + Community-standard data service Enhanced data server performance Conforming to community search metrics Dissemination report metrics defined and implemented internally	Level 4 + Dissemination reports available online Future technology and standard changes planned

<p>Usability</p> <p><i>(The state of being easy to use)</i></p>	<p>Extensive product-specific knowledge required</p> <p>No documentation online</p>	<p>Non-standard data format</p> <p>Limited documentation (e.g., user's guide online)</p>	<p>Community standard-based interoperable format & metadata</p> <p>Documentation (e.g. source code, product algorithm document, processing or/and data flow diagram) online</p>	<p>Level 3 +</p> <p>Basic capability (e.g., subsetting, aggregating) & data characterization overall/global,</p> <p>e.g., climatology, error estimates) available online</p>	<p>Level 4 +</p> <p>Enhanced online capability (e.g., visualization, multiple data formats)</p> <p>Community metrics of data characterization (regional/cell) online</p> <p>External ranking</p>
<p>Production Sustainability</p> <p><i>(The state of data production being sustainable and extendable)</i></p>	<p>Ad Hoc or Not applicable</p> <p>To obligation or deliverable requirement</p>	<p>Short-term</p> <p>Individual PI's commitment (grant obligations)</p>	<p>Medium-term</p> <p>Institutional commitment (contractual deliverables with specs and schedule defined)</p>	<p>Long-term Institutional commitment</p> <p>Product improvement process in place</p>	<p>Level 4 +</p> <p>National or international commitment</p> <p>Changes for echnology planned</p>
<p>Data Quality Assurance</p> <p><i>(The state of data quality being assured)</i></p>	<p>Data quality assurance (DQA) procedure unknown or none</p>	<p>Ad Hoc and random</p> <p>QA procedure not defined and documented</p>	<p>DQA procedure defined and documented and partially implemented</p>	<p>DQA procedure well documented, fully implemented and available online with master reference data</p> <p>Limited data quality assurance metadata</p>	<p>Level 4 +</p> <p>DQA procedure monitored and reported</p> <p>Conforming to community quality metadata & standards</p> <p>External review</p>

<p>Data Quality Control/Monitoring</p> <p><i>The state of data quality being controlled and monitored</i></p>	<p>None or Sampling unknown or spotty</p> <p>Analysis unknown or random in time</p>	<p>Sampling and analysis are regular in time and space</p> <p>Limited product-specific metrics defined & implemented</p>	<p>Level 2 +</p> <p>Sampling and analysis are frequent and systematic but not automatic</p> <p>Community metrics defined and partially implemented</p> <p>Procedure documented and available online</p>	<p>Level 3 +</p> <p>Anomaly detection procedure well-documented and fully implemented using community metrics, automatic, tracked and reported</p> <p>Limited quality monitoring metadata</p>	<p>Level 4 +</p> <p>Cross-validation of temporal & spatial characteristics</p> <p>Physical consistency check</p> <p>Conforming to community quality metadata & standards</p>
<p>Data Quality Assessment</p> <p><i>(The state of data quality being assessed)</i></p>	<p>Algorithm/method/model</p> <p>Theoretical basis assessed (methods and results online)</p>	<p>Level 1 +</p> <p>Research product assessed (methods and results online)</p>	<p>Level 2 +</p> <p>Operational product assessed (methods and results online)</p>	<p>Level 3 +</p> <p>Quality metadata assessed</p> <p>Limited quality assessment metadata</p>	<p>Level 4 +</p> <p>Assessment performed on a recurring basis</p> <p>Conforming to community quality metadata & standards</p> <p>External ranking</p>
<p>Transparency/Traceability</p> <p><i>(The state of being transparent, trackable, and traceable)</i></p>	<p>Limited product information available</p> <p>Person-to-person</p>	<p>Product information available in literature</p>	<p>Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document (ATBD) & source code online</p> <p>Dataset configuration managed (CM)</p> <p>Unique Object Identifier (OID) assigned (dataset, documentation, source code)</p> <p>Data citation tracked (e.g., utilizing Digital Object Identifier</p>	<p>Level 3 +</p> <p>Operational Algorithm Description (OAD) online, OID assigned, and under CM</p>	<p>Level 4 +</p> <p>System information online</p> <p>Complete data provenance online</p>

<p>Data Integrity</p> <p><i>(The state of data integrity being verifiable)</i></p>	Unknown or no data ingest integrity check	Data ingest integrity verifiable (e.g., checksum technology)	(DOI system) Level 2 + Data archive integrity verifiable	Level 3 + Data access integrity verifiable Conforming to community data integrity technology standard	Level 4 + Data authenticity verifiable (e.g., data signature technology) Performance of data integrity check monitored and reported