Click here to view linked References

1	Change in strong Eastern Pacific El Niño events dynamics in the warming climate
2	
3	Aude Carréric ^{1*} , Boris Dewitte ^{1,2,3,4*} , Wenju Cai ^{5,6} , Antonietta Capotondi ^{7,8} , Ken Takahashi ⁹ , Sang-Wook Yeh ¹⁰ ,
4	Guojian Wang ⁶ and Virginie Guémas ¹¹
5	
6	
7	¹ LEGOS, Université de Toulouse, CNES, CNRS, IRD, UPS, Toulouse, France
8	² Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Zonas Áridas (CEAZA), Coquimbo, Chile
9	³ Facultad de Ciencias del Mar, Universidad Católica del Norte, Coquimbo, Chile
10	⁴ Millennium Nucleus Ecology and Sustainable Management of Oceanic Island (ESMOI), Coquimbo, Chile
11	⁵ Key Laboratory of Physical Oceanography, Institute for Advanced Ocean Studies, Ocean University of China and
12	Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, China
13	⁶ Centre for Southern Hemisphere Oceans Research (CSHOR), CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania,
14	Australia
15	⁷ Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Science, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
16	⁸ Physical Sciences Division, NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, CO, USA
17	⁹ Servicio Nacional de Meteorología e Hidrología del Perú—SENAMHI, Lima, Peru
18	¹⁰ Department of Marine Sciences and Convergent Technology, Hanyang University, Ansan, South Korea
19	¹¹ CNRM UMR 3589, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France
20	
21	Submitted to Climate Dynamics (14/03/2019)
22	
23	Revised (30/09/2019)
24	
25	
26	* Corresponding authors:
27	Boris Dewitte, email: <u>boris.dewitte@ceaza.cl</u>
28	Aude Carréric, email: aude.carreric@gmail.com

30

Abstract

31 While there is evidence that ENSO activity will increase in association with the increased vertical stratification due to 32 global warming, the underlying mechanisms remain unclear. Here we investigate this issue using the simulations of the 33 NCAR Community Earth System Model Large Ensemble (CESM-LE) Project focusing on strong El Niño events of the 34 Eastern Pacific (EP) that can be associated to flooding in Northern and Central Peru. It is shown that, in the warmer 35 climate, the duration of strong EP El Niño events peaking in boreal winter is extended by two months, which results in 36 significantly more events peaking in February-March-April (FMA), the season when the climatological Inter-Tropical 37 Convergence Zone is at its southernmost location. This larger persistence of strong EP events is interpreted as resulting 38 from both a stronger recharge process and a more effective thermocline feedback in the eastern equatorial Pacific due to 39 increased mean vertical stratification. A heat budget analysis reveals in particular that the reduction in seasonal 40 upwelling rate is compensated by the increase in anomalous vertical temperature gradient within the surface layer, 41 yielding an overall increase in the effectiveness of the thermocline feedback. In CESM-LE, the appearance of strong EP 42 El Niño events peaking in FMA accounts for one-quarter of the increase in frequency of occurrence of ENSO-induced 43 extreme precipitation events, while one-third results from weak-to-moderate El Niño events that triggers extreme 44 precipitation events because of the warmer mean SST becoming closer to the convective threshold. In CESM-LE, both 45 the increase in mean EP SST and the change in ENSO processes thus contribute to the increase in extreme precipitation 46 events in the warmer climate.

47

48 Keywords: CESM-LE, extreme El Niño event, climate change, vertical stratification

1. Introduction

51 El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is the most important mode of inter-annual variability in the tropical Pacific. 52 By impacting meteorological conditions worldwide via atmospheric teleconnections (Ropelewski and Halpert, 1987; 53 Yeh et al., 2018), it leads to dramatic societal and economics impacts (McPhaden et al., 2006). Understanding if and 54 how El Niño characteristics will change with global warming has been a major concern since the first Coupled Model 55 Intercomparison Projects in the 1990s (Meehl et al., 2000). While large progresses in our vision of the likely changes in 56 ENSO statistics have been made in the recent decades (Yeh et al., 2009a; Power et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2014; 2015b), 57 there are still many uncertainties in the mechanisms at play to explain the changes in statistics in the context of global 58 warming, all the more so as models have persistent biases (e.g. westward shift in the center of action of El Niño (Zheng 59 et al., 2012; Li and Xie, 2013), double Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) syndrome (Hwang and Frierson, 2013; 60 Li and Xie, 2013), warm bias in the far eastern Pacific (Richter, 2015)). These biases can in particular impact the 61 realism of ENSO diversity in models (Ham and Kug, 2012; Karamperidou et al., 2017) by, for instance, influencing the 62 evolution of Sea Surface Temperature (SST) anomalies during El Niño development (Santoso et al., 2013; Dewitte and 63 Takahashi, 2017), favoring so-called double peaked El Niño events (Graham et al., 2017) or yielding compensating 64 errors amongst the main ENSO feedbacks (Bayr et al., 2018). Since ENSO diversity is also a manifestation of the non-65 linearity of ENSO (Takahashi et al., 2011; Capotondi et al., 2015) that can impact mean state changes at low-frequency 66 (Lee and McPhaden, 2010; McPhaden et al., 2011; Choi et al., 2012; Karamparidou et al., 2016), these biases are also 67 likely influential on the way models simulate internal variability (Zheng et al., 2017). This has been a limitation to gain 68 confidence in the projections of ENSO changes by these same models, but also to infer a clear mechanistic 69 understanding of the sensitivity of ENSO to climate change.

70 So far, two broad views of the mechanisms at work in ENSO change due to global warming have been documented: 71 1) The projected faster warming of the eastern equatorial Pacific compared to that of the central Pacific will induce an 72 easier eastward shift of the convection area from the central Pacific, through a weakening of westward mean equatorial 73 currents associated with the reduction of the equatorial trade winds (Vecchi et al., 2006; Santoso et al., 2013); 2) The 74 faster eastern equatorial Pacific surface warming due to climate change will reduce the meridional SST gradient in the 75 eastern Pacific so that the ITCZ is likely to move more often southward, inducing an increase in the number of ENSO-76 induced extreme precipitation events in the eastern Pacific (Power et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2014, 2015b, 2017). Note that 77 this applies to the warm phase of ENSO and not to the cold phase (La Niña) for which the faster warming of the 78 Maritime continent in the Indonesian sector will tend to facilitate extreme La Niña events (Cai et al., 2015a).

Although these paradigms of the impact of climate change on ENSO provide useful guidance for analyzing and interpreting models, they present two main related caveats: first, they allow explaining the increase in ENSO-related

81 extreme precipitation events but do not address changes in ENSO statistics itself. In particular, the increase in extreme 82 precipitation events does not necessarily require that El Niño events become stronger. This issue is nevertheless relevant 83 considering the oceanographic consequences of strong El Niño events on the marine ecosystems in particular along the 84 coast of Peru and Chile (Barber and Chavez, 1983; Carr et al., 2002). Second, in their principles, these paradigms only 85 consider changes in surface processes (mixed-layer) although the latter are tightly linked to dynamical changes 86 associated with thermocline processes. In particular, the differential warming between the surface oceanic layer and the 87 thermocline under anthropogenic forcing yields a significant increase in vertical stratification across the equatorial 88 Pacific (Yeh et al., 2009a; DiNezio et al., 2009; Capotondi et al., 2012) that can be influential on ENSO dynamics 89 through a number of processes. Not only it modulates the way the wind stress forcing projects on the wave dynamics 90 (Dewitte et al., 1999; 2009), influencing ENSO stability (Dewitte et al., 2007; Thual et al, 2013), but it also directly 91 influences the so-called thermocline feedback, that is the sensitivity of SST to thermocline fluctuations (Zelle et al., 92 2004), a key process during Eastern Pacific (EP) El Niño events (Zebiak and Cane, 1987; An and Jin, 2001). The effect 93 of changes in vertical stratification on ENSO dynamics in the context of global warming has been suggested in former 94 studies (Yeh et al., 2009ab; 2010; DiNezio et al., 2009; Stevenson et al., 2017). Recently it has been shown that the 95 variance of EP El Niño events increases in association with the stronger vertical stratification in the central Pacific in a 96 set of models that realistically simulate the non-linear character of ENSO (Cai et al., 2018). While this study 97 consolidates the confidence in climate change projections by showing a large inter-model consensus with regards to 98 their sensitivity to changes in vertical stratification, the statistical approach somehow limits a clear understanding of the 99 oceanic processes involved. It thus calls for advancing our mechanistic understanding of the sensitivity of EP El Niño 100 events to changes in vertical stratification in the context of climate change. In particular, the main question that 101 motivates the present work is: Through which processes are strong EP El Niño events favored in the warmer climate 102 and how does their increase in frequency explain the increased occurrence of extreme precipitation events?

103 Here we take advantage of the simulations of the CESM-LE project (Kay et al., 2015) to investigate the 104 mechanisms behind the sensitivity of ENSO statistics to mean state changes focusing on strong EP El Niño events that 105 are those associated with extreme events (Takahashi et al., 2011; Takahashi and Dewitte, 2016, hereafter TD16). The 106 CESM-LE project provides a large number of realizations of the same model, the NCAR Community Earth System 107 Model (CESM), a Coupled General Circulation Model (CGCM) that accounts for ENSO diversity with some skill 108 (Stevenson et al., 2017; Dewitte and Takahashi, 2017). The CESM model also simulates changes in mean SST pattern 109 between the present climate (historical) and the climate corresponding to RCP8.5 future greenhouse gas emission 110 scenarios (hereafter RCP8.5) comparable to those of the CMIP5 ensemble mean (Vecchi and Soden, 2007; Li et al., 111 2016), that is an El Niño-like pattern warming. Finally, this model also predicts an increase in ENSO-related extreme 112 precipitation events in a warmer climate comparable to that of the CMIP5 ensemble (Cai et al., 2014), thus offering a

113	perfect test-bed	for better	understanding	the relative	influence	of the	gradual	SST	warming an	d the	changes	in ENSO

114 dynamics on the statistics of extreme precipitation events.

The paper is organized as follows: after describing the data sets and the methods used in section 2, we document the changes in ENSO statistics due to global warming (section 3), highlighting changes in the seasonality of the number of events. Section 4 presents a heat budget analysis where changes in the composite evolution of the tendency terms associated with global warming are interpreted in the light of an analysis of change in the thermocline feedback in the model. Section 5 is a discussion followed by concluding remarks.

120

121

- 2. Data and Method
- 122
- 123 **2.1. Data**
- 124

We use long-term simulations of the NCAR Community Earth System Model Large Ensemble Project (CESM-LE) (Kay et al., 2015). The 42 and 40 members of the historical runs (1850-2005 for one member, 1920-2005 otherwise) and RCP8.5 runs (2006-2100) are respectively used here consisting in a total of 3682 and 3800 years, which allows estimating the spread between the members and thus confidence levels in the statistics (estimated by a Wilcoxon rank sum test in this study).

As defined by the CMIP5 design protocol (Taylor et al., 2012), the historical external forcing is composed of the observed atmospheric composition changes due to emissions of greenhouse gases and aerosols and the natural volcanic and orbital forcing. The RCP8.5 scenario corresponds to a "representative concentration pathways" (RCP) of high emissions of greenhouse gases, where the 8.5 label corresponds to an estimation of the radiative forcing (8.5 W/m²) at the end of the simulation that is the year 2100.

135 The simulations of the CESM-LE project use the Community Earth System Model, version 1 (CESM1) (Hurrell et 136 al., 2013) coupling the Community Atmosphere Model version 5 (CAM5) atmosphere component (Meehl et al., 2013), 137 the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Parallel Ocean Program version 2 (POP) ocean component (Smith et al., 138 2010), the Community Land model version 4 (CLM4) land component (Oleson et al., 2010) and the LANL Community 139 Ice CodE (CICE4) sea ice component (Hunke and Lipscomb, 2010). All components of the model are approximately 1° 140 horizontal resolution. The atmospheric component has 30 vertical levels, the oceanic component has 60 vertical layers. 141 CESM1(CAM5) still presents some of the persistent biases of coupled models, such as a westward shift of the cold 142 tongue, the double ITCZ and an excessive mean precipitation in the tropical Pacific (Hurrell et al., 2013). However, as 143 its previous version CCSM4, CESM1(CAM5) correctly simulates some intrinsic characteristics of ENSO such as a 144 realistic 3-6 years period but overestimates the magnitude compared to observations (Gent et al., 2011; Deser et al., 145 2012; Hurrell et al., 2013). The seasonality of the ENSO variance is well represented despite the magnitude bias and a 146 larger difference between winter and summer. It implies that the observed variance values are outside the simulated 147 CESM-LE internal variability for certain months of the year (January to April) (Zheng et al., 2017). This model also 148 accounts for many ENSO properties, in particular its diversity (Stevenson et al., 2017; Dewitte and Takahashi, 2017). 149 Karamperidou et al. (2017) and Cai et al. (2018) showed the importance of ENSO non-linearities in the response of the 150 tropical Pacific to global warming. The metric of non-linearity α defined by Karamperidou et al. (2017) and consisting 151 in the leading coefficient of the parabolic approximation of the ENSO variability in the first and second principal 152 components (PC) of SST anomalies in the tropical Pacific space, is used here as an integrated measure of diversity (see 153 also Dommenget et al. (2013) for such an approach). It yields a value $\alpha = -0.37 \pm 0.08$ (±22%) for the CESM-LE 154 historical run, which is close to the estimate from HadISST v1.1 observations (1950-2017) ($\alpha = -0.39$) and from some 155 CMIP5 models (Karamperidou et al., 2017; Cai et al., 2018). In particular, the α value for the CESM ensemble is lower 156 than the threshold value for α used in Cai et al. (2018) (i.e. α =-0.15) to discriminate non-linear models. The CESM 157 model has thus a non-linear behavior similar to that of the ensemble model used in Cai et al. (2018).

158 Stevenson et al. (2017) showed that the ENSO diversity in the CESM model is sensitive to various forms of 159 external forcing using the Last Millennium Ensemble that contains many realizations of the 850-2005 period with 160 differing combinations of forcing. In particular, anthropogenic changes in greenhouse gases and ozone/aerosol 161 emissions can alter the persistence of EP and CP El Niño events, although forced changes in ENSO amplitude are 162 generally small because of compensating effects between changes in oceanic processes. Here since we focus on the 163 RCP8.5 scenario that corresponds to a significantly larger external forcing on the mean climate, we expect to identify 164 more pronounced changes in ENSO processes, aided by our methodological approach to derive robust ENSO diversity 165 changes in models (See section 2.2).

The HadISST v1.1 monthly average sea surface temperature dataset (Rayner, 2003) is used to estimate whether the representation of the internal climate variability spread simulated by the members of CESM-LE includes the observed contemporary climate trajectory. The dataset has a resolution grid of 1° latitude-longitude. We use the period from January 1950 to December 2017.

- 170
- 171

2.2. Definition of El Niño events and extreme precipitation events

172

173 a) El Niño events

174 Considering that at least two indices should be used in order to account for the different locations of SST 175 anomalies peaks (Trenberth and Stepaniak, 2001; Takahashi et al., 2011; Ren and Jin, 2011; Dommenget et al., 2012), 176 we use the E and C indices defined by Takahashi et al. (2011) as $E = (PC1 - PC2)/\sqrt{2}$ and $C = (PC1 + PC2)/\sqrt{2}$ where 177 the PC1 and PC2 are the normalized principal components of the first two empirical orthogonal function (EOF) modes 178 of SST anomalies in the tropical Pacific (120°E-290°E; 10°S-10°N). The E and C indices are thus linearly uncorrelated 179 by construction. They are calculated separately for the two different periods (historical versus RCP8.5). The SSTs are 180 linearly detrended and the seasonal cycle is removed for each period and for each member independently, prior to 181 carrying the EOF decomposition. A bilinear regression of the SST anomalies onto these indices is used to determine the 182 SST anomalies spatial patterns associated with each index (Figure 1), indicating a relative good agreement between 183 model and observations, although CESM simulates the center of the patterns displaced to the west compared to 184 observations by 20° and 30° for the E and C patterns respectively. This westward bias of the SST variability is 185 comparable to the CMIP5 ensemble (cf. Figure 1 of Matveeva et al. (2018)). Note also the cold tongue bias as 186 evidenced by the position of the mean 28°C isotherm in Figure 1, that is shifted westward by 25°, a feature common to 187 many other CGCMs (Wittenberg et al., 2006; Bellenger et al., 2014). These biases have been detrimental for comparing 188 observations and models, particularly from historical ENSO indices, because the use of fixed regions (e.g. Niño-3) for 189 averaging quantities results in differences that reflect this shift in variability and mean state rather than the actual 190 dynamics of the system. For instance, Graham et al. (2017) showed that the recurrent CGCMs equatorial Cold Tongue 191 bias can lead to the simulation of "fake" El Niño events that have never been observed, double peaking in the tropical 192 band and called "double peaked" El Niño events. Using the conventional Niño regions to define El Niño diversity 193 increases the risk of integrating so-called "double peaked" El Niño events and mistaking them as CP El Niños when 194 compositing, although they have more commonalities with the observed EP El Niño events. We will thus follow the 195 methodology of TD16 which projects tropical Pacific variability (and feedbacks) onto the E and C modes rather than 196 fixed regions to avoid these limitations (see section 2.3.). Note that this method has proven to be skillful in showing a 197 strong inter-model consensus on the SST variability of EP El Niño events despite differences in the details of El Niño 198 simulation across models (Cai et al., 2018).

199 In order to diagnose changes in ENSO statistics between the present and future climates, we estimate the E and C 200 modes for the two periods, the historical period (1920-2005) and the RCP8.5 period (2006-2100), which provides two 201 sets of E and C modes (patterns and timeseries). The change in statistics is therefore reflected here in both the pattern 202 and the temporal evolution of the modes. This is motivated by the fact that the ENSO pattern is changing between the 203 present and future climate (Figure 2). The E and C indices have been normalized so that the patterns can be expressed 204 in °C. In particular, there is a westward amplification (by 20°) of the E mode and an eastward amplification (by 35°) of 205 the C mode in the warmer climate. In order to take into account these changes in the spatial patterns, the E and C 206 indices of the RCP8.5 simulations are scaled by the projection of the associated spatial pattern on its counterpart of the 207 historical runs. The scaling coefficients are equal to $1.16\pm10\%$ (±0.12) for both E and C modes over 10° S- 10° N. This 208 allows comparing changes in the amplitude of the composite evolution of the E and C indices (Figure 3) and not just

209 changes in temporal evolution. Note that this method yields similar results than the one used in Cai et al. (2018), that 210 does not consider change in spatial patterns of the E and C modes, but instead performs an EOF analysis of SST 211 anomalies over the whole record (1920-2100). In particular, the increase in the variance of the E index in DJF from 212 historical to RCP8.5 runs is 14% for our method and 18% for the Cai et al. (2018)'s method when considering the last 213 85 years of each period. The largest difference in methods is the dispersion amongst the members that is in general 214 larger in the method used here. Despite these differences, we find that the increase in variance of the E index in DJF is 215 significant at the 95% confidence level based on a Wilcoxon test for both methods. CESM simulates thus an increase in 216 the DJF E-index variance in the future climate, regardless of the method, comparable to 17 models of the CMIP5 data 217 base that account realistically for the non-linear behavior of ENSO (Cai et al., 2018).

218

219 El Niño events are defined from the PC1 derived from the analysis of the main mode of variability of the tropical 220 Pacific by the EOF method. El Niño events are when the value of the PC1 exceeds its 75% percentile over at least 5 221 consecutive months, regardless of season. Our definition is slightly different from that of TD16 that seek for El Nino 222 peaks over 2-year running mean time windows with a 1-2-1 filter applied to the PC1. We checked that both methods 223 provide very comparable statistics by applying our definition to the GFDL CM2.1 PI-control simulation and comparing 224 our results with that of TD16. In the meantime, it has been also verified that using the historical definition by the ONI 225 index does not change ENSO statistics on the PI-control simulation of CESM. El Niño classes (strong versus moderate) 226 are then defined based on the E index. When the E-index value reaches a threshold value (interpreted here as the value 227 of SST anomalies in the far eastern Pacific needed for deep convection to be activated) a strong EP event takes place. 228 This threshold is estimated from a *k-mean* cluster analysis (k=2) applied jointly to the E and C values during El Niño 229 years and for the calendar month when the E-index is maximal. It yields two classes that correspond to moderate (either 230 EP or CP) Niño events (cluster 1) and strong EP El Niño events (cluster 2). We find a threshold value of 2.2°C for the 231 PI-control simulation (see also Dewitte and Takahashi (2017)). Note the PI-control and historical simulations of CESM 232 do not exhibit a well-defined bimodal distribution in the (E, C) space conversely to the GFDL CM2.1 model (see TD16), 233 so that the determination of this threshold value is somewhat subjective and certainly sensitive to the model biases. 234 Nevertheless the model exhibits a clear non-linearity in the response of the wind stress to SST anomalies in the eastern 235 Pacific (Figure S1 – Supplementary material). The cluster analysis applied to historical and RCP8.5 simulations yields 236 threshold values similar to the PI-control value. Sensitivity tests to this threshold value (taking an error of 5%) indicate 237 that results presented in this paper are not impacted significantly. For a variation of $\pm 5\%$ of the threshold, the number of 238 strong El Niño events varies from 225 (-5.1%) to 262 (10.5%) for the historical simulations and from 271 (-10.3%) to 239 322 (6.6%) for the RCP8.5 simulations.

240

b) Extreme precipitation events

242

243 The definition follows that of Cai et al. (2014), that is based on the total DJF rainfall averaged over the Niño-3 244 region (150°W-90°W; 5°S-5°N). An extreme precipitation event is such that the rainfall index is above the threshold 245 value of 5 mm/day. This definition was shown to be robust in accounting for changes in statistics of extreme events due 246 to global warming despite the arbitrary choice of the threshold value in the warmer climate (Cai et al., 2017). 247 Noteworthy, with such a definition, all extreme precipitation events are however not necessarily associated with a 248 strong or weak to moderate El Niño event. In particular, extreme precipitation events are defined from a threshold value 249 of the DJF Niño-3 rainfall index. In that case, when two consecutive winters are affected by the same episode of 250 anomalous positive surface temperature, causing precipitation events in DJF, the same warm episode is counted as two 251 "independent El Niño events". It thus allows two extreme precipitation events to take place from one year to another, 252 while strong or weak-to-moderate El Niño events can last over more than one year (with the selected year 253 corresponding to the maximum amplitude of the PC1 timeseries of the EOF analysis of SST anomalies). Nevertheless, 254 with such a definition, 96% of the extreme precipitations events are concomitant with a strong El Niño event in the 255 historical runs (Table 1). As will be seen, this percentage is reduced in the RCP8.5 runs (55%) due to both changes in 256 the seasonality of strong El Niño events and the gradual warming of the eastern equatorial Pacific.

257

258 2.3. Heat budget

259

260

The equation of the SST change within the surface layer that is used for the heat budget is the following:

261

 $\left[\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t}\right] = -\left[u\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\right]' - \left[v\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right]' - \left[w\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}\right]' + \frac{Q'_{net}}{\rho_0 C_p h} + R'$ (1)

262 The prime denotes the monthly anomaly relative to the mean climatology. T is the 4D-potential temperature, u, v 263 and w are respectively 4-D zonal, meridional and vertical currents. Square brackets indicate vertical integration over the 264 surface layer, whose depth is set at 80m. The first three right hand side terms correspond respectively to the zonal, 265 meridional and vertical advections. The term Q_{net} is the net ocean-atmosphere heat flux, including surface fluxes and 266 penetrating short-wave radiation. The coefficients ρ_0 and Cp are respectively the sea-water reference density (kg/m³) 267 and the specific heat content (J/(kg.C)). The residual term R includes the short-wave fluxes of heat out of the base of the 268 mixed layer, the change in temperature associated with the freshwater flux, the horizontal and vertical diffusion of heat, 269 and errors associated with the off-line calculation and the use of monthly mean outputs. The method further follows 270 TD16 that consists in projecting the tendency terms of the SST equation (Eq. 1) onto the spatial patterns of the first two 271 normalized EOF modes of the equatorial Pacific (2°S-2°N). The resulting timeseries are then linearly combined

according to the definition of the E and C indices, which is convenient for infering how processes contribute to the rate
of change of SST anomalies in the E and C equatorial regions (Figure 2). The projection of the heating rate onto the E
mode is thus expressed as:

275
$$\left(\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t}\Big|E\right) = \frac{1}{N_x N_y} \int_{120^{\circ}E}^{290^{\circ}E} \int_{2^{\circ}S}^{2^{\circ}N} \left(\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t}(x, y, t) \cdot E(x, y)\right) dxdy$$

276

277 This method has the advantage of objectively estimating the region of influence of the different feedbacks and 278 their changes in a warmer climate, compared to the method where tendency terms are averaged over the classical Niño-279 4 (5°S-5°N, 160°E-210°E) and Niño-3 (5°S-5°N, 210°E-270°E) regions, or modified versions of them to take into 280 account mean state biases in the CGCMs (Kug et al., 2010; Capotondi, 2013; Stevenson et al., 2017). Since the E and C 281 patterns are modified in the future climate (see Figure 2 and section 2.2.a), ourmethod thus takes into account changes 282 that may occur in the location of the main centers of the thermodynamical processes. To be able to compare the 283 amplitude in the evolution of the tendency terms between the two climates, tendency terms for the RCP8.5 simulations 284 are scaled by the projection coefficient of the RCP8.5 E and C patterns on their counterparts in the historical runs. The 285 projection is done here over the domain (120°E-290°E; 2°S-2°N). The values of the scaling coefficient are equal to 1.18 286 (±10%) for both E and C modes over 2°S-2°N. The heat budget was calculated on the model native grid. The CESM 287 uses the ocean POP model (Smith et al., 2010), which has a staggered Arakawa B-grid (Arakawa and Lamb, 1977). The 288 centered second-order finite differences scheme and leap-frog time stepping were used for the calculation of the 289 tendency terms following the model grid discretization.

- 290
- 291 292

3.1. Composite evolution

3. Changes in Eastern Pacific El Niño events

294

295 As a first step we present the composite evolution of the E and C indices during moderate and strong events in the 296 two climates (Figure 3). It indicates that, in this model, strong (moderate) El Niño events are preferentially of EP (CP) 297 types because strong (moderate) El Niño events have large (weak) values of the E index. The E index during strong El 298 Niño event tends also to peak from Aug(Y0), which is counterintuitive if compared to other historical indices (e.g. 299 NINO34). This can be understood as follows: The E index accounts for SST variability in the far eastern Pacific where 300 the thermocline is shallow and the thermocline feedback more intense than in the central equatorial Pacific. So when a 301 Kelvin wave is triggered during the development of ENSO (typically during Feb-April Y(0)), the SST increase in the 302 far eastern Pacific a couple month later, which projects on the E mode, then El Niño develops, which maintains an 303 elevated E. In other words, the first part of the warming in E is due to the forced Kelvin wave acting as a trigger of 304 ENSO, while the second part of the warming in E is associated with the growing coupled mode. The values of the C 305 index are somewhat larger for moderate than for strong El Niños during the development phase. The C index has 306 weaker positive values for strong El Niño events and can become negative during their decaying phase because strong 307 El Niño events tend to be followed by La Niña events (DiNezio and Deser, 2014), which the C index accounts for. The 308 evolution of the indices is comparable to observations (see Figure 4 of TD16) although the comparison is limited for 309 strong El Niño events owing to their too few numbers in the observational record.

The striking feature of Figure 3 is that the temporal evolution and amplitude of the indices do not change much from the present to the future climate in particular during the developing and mature phases of the El Niño composite, even if there are time frames when amplitude changes are statistically significant according to a Wilcoxon rank sum test. However, strong EP El Niño events last significantly longer by 2 months in the RCP8.5 simulations peaking in March (Y1) instead of December (Y0), while the central Pacific cools earlier and more than in the present climate. This suggests changes in seasonality of some events. Moderate El Niño events exhibit in general weaker changes in their evolution and amplitude, although there is a similar increase in persistence of the E index than that of strong events.

- 317
- 3.2. Seasonal stratification
- 319

318

In order to get further insights into the changes in ENSO statistics, the changes in the numbers of strong and moderate EP El Niño events are stratified according to the month of their peak value of the E index. Figure 4 allows identifying periods in the calendar year (hereafter referred to as "seasons") when the number of events changes significantly from the historical to the RCP8.5 simulations. Considering periods in the calendar year when the number of events is above 15 events for 3 to 4 consecutive months in the RCP8.5 simulations, three "seasons" can be defined: Jul-Aug-Sep (JAS), Oct-Nov-Dec-Jan (ONDJ) and Feb-Mar-Apr (FMA) (see Table 1). The threshold value of 15 events is selected arbitrarily and corresponds to 2% of the total number of events.

327 The results indicate a drastic change in the seasonal distribution of the number of events between the two climates. 328 The most important changes are for strong EP El Niño events, with a significant increase (+1315%) in the number of 329 events peaking during FMA. This is also observed for moderate EP El Niño events but to a lesser extent (+92%). Such a 330 change indicates that, while the mean amplitude of EP El Niño event is weakly impacted by global warming (Figure 3), 331 this is not the case for the seasonal variance of the E index. This is evidenced in Figure 5 that shows the climatological 332 variance of the E index for the two climates. There is a significant increase in the E index variance (at the 95% 333 confidence level based on a Wilcoxon test) at almost all calendar months, more pronounced for the FMA season (+ 40% 334 increase in variance). The large increase in variance of the E index in FMA is likely to translate in a larger number of

335 extreme precipitation events in the warmer climate because this corresponds to the season when the ITCZ has its 336 southernmost position (Xie et al., 2018). In the CESM mode, the frequency of occurrence of extreme precipitation 337 events (see definition in section 2.2) is projected to increase from 0.04 per 10 years (one event every 24.7 years) to 0.16 338 (one event every 6.4 years) on average over the last 50 years of the RCP8.5 simulations, which corresponds to a 3.9 fold 339 increase of the number of extreme events at the end of the 21st century (+225% increase from the present to the future 340 climates, Table 1). The CESM model thus projects more than a doubling of extreme precipitation events in the future 341 climate, consistent with Cai et al. (2014). The CESM model exhibits however a more modest increase, from the present 342 to the warmer climate, in the number of strong EP El Niño events than in the number of extreme precipitation events. In 343 particular, the number of strong EP El Niño events (extreme precipitation events) increases from 237 (146) in the 344 historical period to 302 (489) in the RCP8.5 period, which corresponds to an increase of their frequency of occurrence 345 (in events/decade) of +18% from the present to the future climates (Table 1), so that the increase in strong EP El Niño 346 events is much less that the increase in extreme precipitation events. This can be interpreted broadly as resulting from 347 the fact that moderate EP El Niño events can yield extreme precipitation events in the warmer climate due to increased 348 mean SST in the eastern equatorial Pacific (Cai et al., 2014), independently of whether or not the moderate EP El Niño 349 events undergo a change in their dynamics. However, since the overall number of EP El Nino events has almost no 350 change, the 18% increase in the frequency of strong EP El Nino events indicate that global warming may favor the 351 "high-regime" of ENSO (TD16), suggesting that the increase in extreme precipitation events in the warmer climate does 352 not solely results from the warming of the cold tongue (Cai et al., 2014). This will be further documented in the 353 discussion section. In the following, we investigate the processes explaining the increased persistence of EP El Niño 354 and the emergence of events peaking in FMA in the warmer climate, focusing on key ENSO oceanic processes sensitive 355 to the increased vertical stratification, i.e. the thermocline feedback and the recharge of heat content.

- 356
- 357

4. ENSO processes and increased stratification

358

359 In this section, the focus is on the processes that could explain the increased variance and persistence of the E 360 index in FMA. As mentioned in the introduction, the increase in vertical stratification is a salient feature of the climate 361 change pattern on temperature in the ocean, which has implications for ENSO dynamics. Not only it modulates the 362 thermocline feedback through changing the relationship between SST and thermocline depth fluctuations (Dewitte et al., 363 2013), but it can also influence the dynamical response of the ocean through the projection of momentum forcing on the 364 wave dynamics (Philander, 1978; Dewitte, 2000), and thereby the ENSO stability (Yeh et al., 2010; Thual et al., 2011, 365 2013). Recently Cai et al. (2018) showed that changes in vertical stratification due to greenhouse warming are 366 associated with the increase in variance of the EP El Niño events in an ensemble composed of models simulating ENSO

200

diversity/non-linearity similar to that of CESM (see section 2). We thus here use the CESM simulations to get insights

in the mechanisms at work for explaining the increased climatological variance in strong EP El Niño events.

- 369
- 370

4.1. Recharge-discharge process

371

372 Heat content along the equator is a precursor of ENSO and its primary source of predictability, which has been 373 conceptualized by the recharge-discharge oscillator model (Jin, 1997). Although large heat content anomalies are not 374 always necessary for strong EP El Niño to occur (TD16), it is worth diagnosing the recharge-discharge process in the 375 model, as it can explain to some extent the persistence of SST anomalies during ENSO. In the framework of the simple 376 recharge-discharge model (Jin, 1997), a stronger recharge would imply a longer lasting El Niño event once it has 377 developed. Figure 6 shows the strong El Niño composite evolution of estimates of the so-called tilt and warm water 378 volume (WWV) modes that depict the recharge discharge-discharge process (Clarke, 2010). The WWV mode is phase-379 shifted (ahead by ~6 months) with the tilt mode that accounts for the quasi-instantaneous response of the eastern Pacific 380 thermocline to wind stress anomalies. It is clear from Figure 6a that the recharge process is increased in the warmer 381 climate (the mean over the period Jun(Y0)-Oct(Y0) increases from 0.55 m to 6.25 m between the two climates), while 382 the tilt mode amplitude also increases prior to the ENSO peak (Figure 6b). The tilt mode amplitude increase is 383 consistent with westerly winds projecting more on the ocean dynamics in the warmer climate due to the increased 384 stratification in the central Pacific (Figure 7) (Dewitte et al., 1999; Thual et al., 2011). Note that it was checked that the 385 zonal equatorial wind stress integrated from one side of the Pacific to the other (that represents an estimate of the tilt 386 mode which does take explicitly into account the change in stratification) during the EP El Niño events is not 387 significantly changed between the two climates (not shown) so that the increase in the amplitude of the tilt mode is not 388 the result of changes in the amplitude of wind stress forcing that contributes to the build-up of heat content, but instead 389 has to result from the fact that wind stress forcing projects more efficiently onto wave dynamics due to the increased 390 stratification. The increase lasts until Jan(Y1) so that the effect on SST anomalies could last until ~Mar(Y1) through the 391 thermocline feedback because of the delayed response of SST anomalies to thermocline fluctuations (Zelle et al., 2004; 392 see also section 4.2). Regarding the WWV mode, the change in amplitude in Jul(Y0)-Oct(Y0) from the present to the 393 future climate is certainly more difficult to interpret because of likely compensating effects amongst different processes 394 (Thual et al., 2011; Lengaigne et al., 2012; Izumo et al., 2018), the potential role of changes in off-equatorial high-395 frequency winds (McGregor et al., 2016; Neske and McGregor, 2018) and other sources of external forcing (see section 396 5). However, the increase in amplitude of the WWV can be associated to a large extent with the increased occurrence of 397 the strong events peaking in FMA as evidenced by the composite of the WWV evolution with and without strong events 398 peaking in FMA (Figures 6c and 6d). The increase in amplitude of the WWV is statistically significant (at 95% 399 confidence level based on a Wilcoxon rank sum test) from Apr(Y0) to Jan(Y1) when considering the events peaking in 400 FMA. The increase is statistically significant only from Jun(Y0) when El Niño events whose peak occurs in FMA are 401 not considered. Note that the same diagnosis was done using the thermocline depth, i.e. the depth of the maximal 402 vertical temperature gradient. While the change with global warming of the WWB amplitude prior to the ENSO peak 403 (i.e. Jun(Y0)-Oct(Y0)) is less pronounced, it is statistically significant when considering strong El Niño events peaking 404 in FMA (not shown).

- 405
- 406

4.2. Mixed-layer processes

407

408 While the strengthened vertical stratification increases the effectiveness of momentum flux onto the wave 409 dynamics (Dewitte et al., 1999), which tends to destabilize ENSO by increasing the coupling efficiency between the 410 ocean and the atmosphere (Thual et al., 2011; 2013), the sensitivity of ENSO to changes in stratification also operates 411 through changes in the mixed-layer processes. Owing to the shallow thermocline in the eastern Pacific, the main oceanic process there is the mean vertical advection of anomalous temperature $(-\overline{w}, \frac{\partial T'}{\partial z})$, often referred to as the 412 413 thermocline feedback (An and Jin, 2001). Since changes in the thermocline feedback not only depend on changes in the 414 magnitude of the seasonal upwelling rate (\overline{w}) but also on changes in the vertical gradient of anomalous temperature between the surface and the base of the mixed layer $\left(\frac{\partial T'}{\partial z}\right)$, inferring its sensitivity to vertical stratification is not 415 416 straightforward. In particular, increased stratification in the eastern Pacific may reduce the effectiveness of upwelling 417 through flattening and tightening the isotherms, while it could increase the sensitivity of SST anomalies to thermocline 418 fluctuations through enhancing mean vertical diffusivity (Zelle et al., 2004). Compensating effects are thus possible.

419

420 As a first step, we present the composite evolution during strong El Niño events in the eastern Pacific (E region) of 421 the mixed-layer processes (tendency terms) for the present and future climates (Figure 8). For conciseness sake, we 422 focus hereafter on the developing and peak phases, noting also that the residual term being relatively large during the 423 decaying phase (Figure 8e), the interpretation of the results is not straightforward during that particular phase. As 424 expected, total vertical advection exhibits the largest amplitude (Figure 8d). It was checked through a Reynolds 425 decomposition of the tendency terms that the main contributor to total vertical advection is the thermocline feedback, 426 with non-linear vertical advection and anomalous vertical advection of mean temperature ("upwelling feedback") only 427 marginally contributing during the onset and peak phase of strong EP El Niño events (Figure S2 – Supplementary 428 material) consistently with TD16. The residual term has a comparable contribution (cooling) than the thermal damping 429 term, and can be interpreted as resulting from the reduced vertical diffusivity in the first 80m as the mixed-layer 430 deepens. The largest changes between the present and future climates are for vertical advection and thermal damping 431 with a 71% increase (90% reduction) for the average over Apr(Y0)-Feb(Y1) for vertical advection (thermal damping) 432 relatively to the value over the present climate (Figure 8f). Changes in these two opposite sign terms explain why the 433 rate of SST change is hardly impacted from the present climate to the future climate. While the larger contribution of 434 thermal damping is expected from the increase variance of the E index from the present to future climate, the increase in 435 the magnitude of vertical advection is more difficult to interpret. 77% of this increase is associated with the contribution 436 of climatological vertical advection of anomalous temperature (Figure S2), so that it can be interpreted as resulting from 437 the combined effects of the weakening of the Walker circulation on the seasonal upwelling on the one hand (DiNezio et 438 al., 2009; Dewitte et al., 2013; Chung et al., 2019) and of the increased stratification on the relationship between SST 439 and thermocline anomalies on the other hand. Figures 9ab present estimates of the changes of these two quantities (i.e. 440 upwelling rate and the slope of the linear relationship between SST and thermocline anomalies) between the two 441 climates. The slope of the linear relationship between SST and thermocline anomalies is estimated for lag between -6 442 and 6 months and the maximum value is shown considering that temperature anomalies in the vicinity of the 443 thermocline are transported to the surface by a combination of upwelling and vertical mixing, which introduces a delay 444 in the time dependence of the local relation between SST and thermocline anomalies (Zelle et al., 2004). As expected, 445 the climatological upwelling rate is reduced in the warmer climate (Figure 9a). The reduction is most important in 446 boreal winter reaching -14% in March. The decrease is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level except for 447 the month of October. On the other hand, the sensitivity of the SST to thermocline fluctuations is significantly increased 448 in particular with a maximum relative increase in August (+ 100 %). On average over the year, the relationship between 449 SST and thermocline fluctuations is increased by 46%. Such increase largely compensates for the decrease in 450 climatological upwelling and vield an overall increase in the thermocline feedback as evidenced by Figure 9c that 451 shows the change in climatological variance of the mean vertical advection of anomalous temperature between the 452 present and the future climate. In particular, the relative increase in variance is maximum in May-June-July (+83%), 453 which corresponds to the season when the tropical Pacific system becomes highly unstable (Stein et al., 2010) and is 454 more susceptible to develop an El Niño event. As a summary, Figure 8f presents the averaged changes in amplitude of 455 the tendency terms during the developing phase of strong EP El Niño events. The largest increase is for vertical 456 advection (+71%), 77% of which is attributed to the thermocline feedback.

- 457
- 458

5. Discussions and concluding remarks

459

We have investigated the sensitivity of ENSO dynamics to mean state changes in a model that has skill in simulating ENSO diversity and non-linearity. We find that, in the CESM model, the persistence of strong EP events is increased by 2 months so that the variance in SST anomalies in the eastern Pacific is significantly increased over the
 FMA season when the ITCZ is about to reach its southernmost position. Noteworthy a similar behavior is found in the
 CMIP5 ensemble (Figure 10), allowing to some extent to generalize the results obtained here from the CESM model.

465 While recent studies have shown that the number of extreme precipitation events associated with El Niño is 466 projected to increase in the warmer climate (Caj et al., 2014; 2015b), the mechanisms by which this will take place 467 remain unclear. Here we suggest that a portion of the increase in extreme precipitation events in the warmer climate is 468 associated with the increase in the number of strong EP El Niño events, in particular those that peak in FMA, which 469 corresponds to the season when climatological SST in the eastern Pacific is already high. Those events are thus strongly 470 coupled to the ITCZ and do not necessarily require the anthropologically-forced mean SST warming trend in the eastern 471 Pacific to yield extreme precipitation events. In order to estimate the proportion of extreme precipitation events that 472 relates either to moderate or strong El Niño events, we consider the number of events over 10-year running windows 473 among all simulation members (i.e. at least 400 years are considered for each chunk) and estimate the proportion of El 474 Niño events (strong and moderate) compositing extreme precipitation events along historical and RCP8.5 periods 475 (Figure 11). The increase (by 1315%) in the frequency of occurrence of strong EP El Niño events peaking in FMA 476 explains 24% of the increase in the frequency of occurrence of extreme precipitation events in the CESM model. 9% 477 and 21% of the increase in the frequency of occurrence of extreme precipitation events are explained by the frequency 478 of occurrence of strong El Niño events peaking in ONDJ and JAS respectively (see section 3.2 for the definition of 479 "seasons"). This sums to 54% of the increase in the frequency of occurrence of extreme precipitation events thus 480 explained by the increase in the frequency of occurrence of strong El Niño events. Concomitantly, the increased 481 proportion of extreme precipitation events associated with weak and moderate El Niño events (which represents an 482 additional 0.43 events/decade of weak to moderate El Niño events that relates to an extreme precipitation events in the 483 warmer climate) results in that 34% of the increase in extreme precipitation events are associated with moderate El 484 Niño events and thus due to the warmer mean SST in the eastern equatorial Pacific. Note that in the present climate, 485 there is almost no weak to moderate El Niño event (i.e. 0.003 events/decade) that relates to extreme precipitation events 486 (versus 0.43 events/decades in the future climate). The remaining 12% of the increase in the frequency of occurrence of 487 extreme precipitation events could not be explained by the occurrence of an El Niño event and thus corresponds to 488 internal variability in precipitation in a warmer climate. Overall Figure 11 illustrates the influence of the number of 489 events peaking in FMA on the change in extreme precipitation events in the warmer climate, although very few of these 490 events (9) exist in the historical simulation. It indicates that changes in the statistics of extreme precipitation events 491 cannot be solely attributed to changes in mean SST in the equatorial eastern Pacific i.e. the warmer mean SST becoming 492 closer to the convective threshold, but also depend on changes in ENSO dynamics.

493 The "emergence" of strong EP El Niño peaking in FMA in the warmer climate is suggested to be associated with 494 the increased vertical stratification across the equatorial Pacific, a salient feature of the climate change patterns in 495 climate models (Yeh et al., 2009; DiNezio et al., 2009; Cai et al., 2018). Cai et al. (2018) showed in particular that the 496 increased variance in Eastern Pacific SST anomalies is associated with the increase in vertical stratification. We suggest 497 further that the increased persistence of EP El Nino events is resulting from both a stronger recharge process and a more 498 effective thermocline feedback in the warming climate due to an increased vertical stratification. In particular, the 499 sensitivity of SST anomalies in the far eastern Pacific to thermocline fluctuations is significantly increased in FMA and 500 overwhelms the reduction in mean upwelling (Figure 9). The recharge process is also shown to be enhanced in the 501 warmer climate, which can be interpreted as resulting from the increased stratification in the central-western Pacific 502 where wind stress can be more efficiently projected onto the wave dynamics. Overall our study suggest that the 503 influence of the increased ocean vertical stratification in a warmer climate on ENSO could be understood in terms of 504 two main mechanisms involving mostly linear processes, i.e. 1) on the dynamical side, a stronger recharge process and 505 an overall more energized wave dynamics, and 2) on the thermodynamical side, an increased thermocline feedback in 506 the eastern Pacific. These processes work together to produce the increased persistence/variance in EP El Niño events in 507 the warmer climate.

508 Of course, considering the coupled nature of ENSO, there are other potentially important processes that could be 509 at play to explain the longer duration of strong EP El Niño events in the warmer climate and their changing seasonal 510 stratification. In particular, non-linear oceanic processes are important for the strong El Niño regime (Jin et al., 2003) 511 although non-linear advection, the main contributor to the oceanic non-linearities during ENSO, does not appear 512 essential for the onset of strong EP El Niño events (TD16), a feature that is also observed here (Figure S2c). 513 Nevertheless non-linear advection is increased by 120% from the present to the future climate, over Apr-May-Jun(Y1), 514 the period over which it peaks in the E region, contributing to the longer persistence of warm anomalies during strong 515 El Niño events. Determining if such increase is related to the increase in vertical stratification would deserve further 516 investigation which is beyond the scope of the present study considering the likely interplay between the various non-517 linear processes. The other important non-linear processes for ENSO are those encapsulated in the Bjerknes feedback 518 and are atmospheric processes by nature (Dommenget et al. 2013, TD16). While the details of the change in the 519 characteristics of the Bjerknes feedback is beyond the scope of the present study, we note that, within the 520 approximations of our methodological approach, the slopes of the piecewise linear relationship between the E index and 521 the zonal wind stress in the eastern equatorial Pacific are weakly changed from the present to the future climate (See 522 Figure S1). This suggests that the characteristics of the Bjerknes feedback are not fundamentally modified in this model 523 from the present to the future climate, although the convective SST anomaly threshold appears to have changed 524 consistently with Johnson and Xie (2010) that showed that it is not absolute and varies with the mean climate (e.g. the

525 temperature of the free troposphere). The other key ingredient for strong El Niño events to develop, that was not looked 526 at here although it can non-linearly interact with the equatorial ENSO dynamics, is the nature of the changes in the 527 external stochastic forcing that has multiple facets. While high-frequency stochastic forcing, in the form of Westerly 528 Wind Bursts (WWBs), is expected to energize more wave dynamics in the warmer climate, it is not clear how its 529 characteristics will change in the future (Bui and Maloney, 2018; Maloney et al., 2019). We note here that, in the CESM 530 mode, the high-frequency (frequency > 90 days-1) variance of the equatorial zonal wind stress is increased from the 531 present to the future climate (not shown), which could contribute to the stronger recharge process in the warmer climate 532 for strong El Niño events (see Figure 6). This would deserve further investigation which is planned for future work. In 533 particular, since there is more and more evidence that the low-frequency component of the external forcing to ENSO is 534 certainly as important as the high-frequency component (Dommenget and Yu, 2017; Takahashi et al., 2018), such 535 investigation will have to consider all aspects of the external forcing, including the North Pacific Meridional Mode 536 (Chiang and Vimont, 2004) that is also suggested to become more energetic in the warmer climate in this model 537 (Liguori and DiLorenzo, 2018).

- 538
- 539

540 Acknowledgements:

We acknowledge the CESM Large Ensemble Community Project for providing model outputs, which are available on
https://www.earthsystemgrid.org. The CESM project is supported by the National Science Foundation and the Office of
Science (BER) of the U.S. Department of Energy. B. Dewitte acknowledges supports from FONDECYT (projects
1171861 and 1190276) and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR, project ARISE).

- 545 **References:**
- An, S.-I. and F.-F. Jin, 2001: Collective role of thermocline and zonal advective feedbacks in the ENSO mode. Journal
 of Climate, 14, 3421–3432.
- Arakawa, A. and V. R. Lamb, 1977: Computational Design of the Basic Dynamical Processes of the UCLA General
 Circulation Model. Methods in Computational Physics: Advances in Research and Applications, J. Chang, ed.,
 Elsevier, volume 17 of General Circulation Models of the Atmosphere, 173–265.
- Barber, R. T. and F. P. Chavez, 1983: Biological Consequences of El Niño. Science, 222, 1203–1210,
 doi:10.1126/science.222.4629.1203.
- Bayr, T., C. Wengel, M. Latif, D. Dommenget, J. Lübbecke, and W. Park, 2018: Error compensation of ENSO
 atmospheric feedbacks in climate models and its influence on simulated ENSO dynamics. Climate Dynamics,
 doi:10.1007/s00382-018-4575-7.
- Bellenger, H., E. Guilyardi, J. Leloup, M. Lengaigne, and J. Vialard, 2014: ENSO representation in climate models:
 from CMIP3 to CMIP5. Climate Dynamics, 42, 1999–2018, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1783-z.
- Bui, H. X., and E. D. Maloney, 2018: Changes in Madden-Julian Oscillation precipitation and wind variance under
 global warming. Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 7148-7155.
- Cai, W., S. Borlace, M. Lengaigne, P. van Rensch, M. Collins, G. Vecchi, A. Timmermann, A. Santoso, M. J.
 McPhaden, L. Wu, M. H. England, G. Wang, E. Guilyardi, and F.-F. Jin, 2014: Increasing frequency of extreme El
 Niño events due to greenhouse warming. Nature Climate Change, 4, 111–116.
- Cai, W., A. Santoso, G. Wang, S.-W. Yeh, S.-I. An, K. M. Cobb, M. Collins, E. Guilyardi, F.-F. Jin, J.-S. Kug, M.
 Lengaigne, M. J. McPhaden, K. Takahashi, A. Timmermann, G. Vecchi, M. Watanabe, and L. Wu, 2015b: ENSO
 and greenhouse warming. Nature Climate Change, 5, 849–859, doi:10.1038/nclimate2743.
- Cai, W., G. Wang, B. Dewitte, L. Wu, A. Santoso, K. Takahashi, Y. Yang, A. Carréric, and M. J. McPhaden, 2018:
 Increased variability of eastern Pacific El Niño under greenhouse warming. Nature, 564, 201–206,
 doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0776-9.
- Cai, W., G. Wang, A. Santoso, X. Lin, and L. Wu, 2017: Definition of Extreme El Niño and Its Impact on Projected
 Increase in Extreme El Niño Frequency. Geophysical Research Letters, 44, doi:10.1002/2017GL075635.
- 571 Cai, W., G. Wang, A. Santoso, M. J. McPhaden, L. Wu, F.-F. Jin, A. Timmermann, M. Collins, G. Vecchi, M.
 572 Lengaigne, M. H. England, D. Dommenget, K. Takahashi, and E. Guilyardi, 2015a: Increased frequency of
 573 extreme La Niña events under greenhouse warming. Nature Climate Change, 5, 132–137,
 574 doi:10.1038/nclimate2492.
- 575 Capotondi, A., 2013: ENSO diversity in the NCAR CCSM4 climate model. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans,
- 576 118, 4755–4770, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20335.

- 577 Capotondi, A., M.A. Alexander, N. A. Bond, E. N. Curchitser, and J. D. Scott, 2012: Enhanced upper-ocean
 578 stratification with climate change in the CMIP3 models. Journal of Geophysical Research, 117, C04031,
 579 doi:10.1029/2011JC007409.
- Capotondi, A., A. T. Wittenberg, M. Newman, E. Di Lorenzo, J.-Y. Yu, P. Braconnot, J. Cole, B. Dewitte, B. Giese, E.
 Guilyardi, F.-F. Jin, K. Karnauskas, B. Kirtman, T. Lee, N. Schneider, Y. Xue, and S.-W. Yeh, 2015:
 Understanding ENSO Diversity. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 96, 921–938,
 doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00117.1.
- Carr, M. E., Strub, P. T., Thomas, A. C., and Blanco, J. L., 2002: Evolution of 1996–1999 La Niña and El Niño
 conditions off the western coast of South America: a remote sensing perspective. Journal of Geophysical Research,
 107(C12), 3236, doi:10.1029/2001JC001183.
- Chiang, J. C. H. and D. J. Vimont, 2004: Analogous Pacific and Atlantic Meridional Modes of Tropical Atmosphere–
 Ocean Variability. Journal of Climate, 17, 4143–4158, doi:10.1175/JCLI4953.1.
- 589 Choi, J., S.-I. An, and S.-W. Yeh, 2012: Decadal amplitude modulation of two types of ENSO and its relationship with
 590 the mean state. Climate Dynamics, 38, 2631–2644, doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1186-y.
- Clarke, A.J., 2010: Analytical Theory for the Quasi-Steady and Low-Frequency Equatorial Ocean Response to Wind
 Forcing: The "Tilt" and "Warm Water Volume" Modes. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 40, 121–137,
 doi:10.1175/2009JPO4263.1.
- Deser, C., A. S. Phillips, R. A. Tomas, Y. M. Okumura, M. A. Alexander, A. Capotondi, J. D. Scott, Y.-O. Kwon, and
 M. Ohba, 2012: ENSO and Pacific Decadal Variability in the Community Climate System Model Version 4.
 Journal of Climate, 25, 2622–2651, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00301.1.
- 597 Dewitte, B., 2000: Sensitivity of an Intermediate Ocean–Atmosphere Coupled Model of the Tropical Pacific to Its 598 Oceanic Vertical Structure. Journal of Climate, 13, 2363–2388, doi:10.1175/1520-599 0442(2000)013<2363:SOAIOA>2.0.CO;2.
- Dewitte, B., J. Choi, S.-I. An, and S. Thual, 2012: Vertical structure variability and equatorial waves during central
 Pacific and eastern Pacific El Niños in a coupled general circulation model. Climate Dynamics, 38, 2275–2289,
 doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1215-x.
- Dewitte, B., C. Cibot, C. Périgaud, S.-I. An, and L. Terray, 2007: Interaction between Near-Annual and ENSO Modes
 in a CGCM Simulation: Role of the Equatorial Background Mean State. Journal of Climate, 20, 1035–1052,
 doi:10.1175/JCLI4060.1.
- Dewitte B., G. Reverdin, C. Maes, 1999: Vertical structure of an OGCM simulation of the equatorial Pacific Ocean in
 1985–1994. Journal of Physical Oceanography. 29(7), 1542–1570.

- 608 Dewitte, B. and K. Takahashi, 2017: Diversity of moderate El Niño events evolution: role of air-sea interactions in the 609 eastern tropical Pacific. Climate Dynamics, doi:10.1007/s00382-017-4051-9.
- 610 Dewitte, B., S. Thual, S.-W. Yeh, S.-I. An, B.-K. Moon, and B. S. Giese, 2009: Low-Frequency Variability of 611 Temperature in the Vicinity of the Equatorial Pacific Thermocline in SODA: Role of Equatorial Wave Dynamics 612
- and ENSO Asymmetry. Journal of Climate, 22, 5783-5795, doi:10.1175/2009JCLI2764.1.
- 613 DiNezio, P. N., A. C. Clement, G. A. Vecchi, B. J. Soden, B. P. Kirtman, and S. K. Lee, 2009: Climate response of the 614 equatorial pacific to global warming. Journal of Climate, 22, 4873–4892, doi:10.1175/2009JCLI2982.1.
- 615
- 616 DiNezio, P. N. and C. Deser, 2014: Nonlinear Controls on the Persistence of La Niña. Journal of Climate, 27, 7335-617 7355, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00033.1.
- 618 Dommenget, D., T. Bayr, and C. Frauen, 2013: Analysis of the non-linearity in the pattern and time evolution of El 619 Niño southern oscillation. Climate Dynamics, 40, 2825–2847, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1475-0.
- 620 Dommenget, D. and Y. Yu, 2017: The effects of remote SST forcings on ENSO dynamics, variability and diversity. 621 Climate Dynamics, 49, 2605–2624, doi:10.1007/s00382-016-3472-1.
- 622 Gent, P. R., G. Danabasoglu, L. J. Donner, M. M. Holland, E. C. Hunke, S. R. Javne, D. M. Lawrence, R. B. Neale, P. J. 623 Rasch, M. Vertenstein, P. H. Worley, Z.-L. Yang, and M. Zhang, 2011: The Community Climate System Model 624 Version 4. Journal of Climate, 24, 4973–4991, doi:10.1175/2011JCLI4083.1.
- 625 Graham, F. S., A. T. Wittenberg, J. N. Brown, S. J. Marsland, and N. J. Holbrook, 2017: Understanding the double 626 peaked El Niño in coupled GCMs. Climate Dynamics, 48, 2045–2063, doi:10.1007/s00382-016-3189-1.
- 627 Ham, Y.-G. and J.-S. Kug, 2012: How well do current climate models simulate two types of El Niño? Climate 628 Dynamics, 39, 383-398, doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1157-3.
- 629 Hunke, E.C. and W. Lipscomb, 2010: CICE: the Los Alamos sea ice model documentation and software user's manual 630 version 4.0 LA-CC-06-012. Tech. Rep. LA-CC-06-012.
- 631 Hurrell, J. W., M. M. Holland, P. R. Gent, S. Ghan, J. E. Kay, P. J. Kushner, J.-F. Lamarque, W. G. Large, D. Lawrence,
- 632 K. Lindsay, W. H. Lipscomb, M. C. Long, N. Mahowald, D. R. Marsh, R. B. Neale, P. Rasch, S. Vavrus, M.
- 633 Vertenstein, D. Bader, W. D. Collins, J. J. Hack, J. Kiehl, and S. Marshall, 2013: The Community Earth System
- 634 Model: A Framework for Collaborative Research. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 94, 1339-
- 635 1360, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-12-00121.1.
- 636 Hwang, Y.-T. and D. M. W. Frierson, 2013: Link between the double-Intertropical Convergence Zone problem and 637 cloud biases over the Southern Ocean. PNAS, 201213302, doi:10.1073/pnas.1213302110.

- 638 Izumo, T., M. Lengaigne, J. Vialard, I. Suresh, and Y. Planton, 2018: On the physical interpretation of the lead relation
- between Warm Water Volume and the El Niño Southern Oscillation. Climate Dynamics, doi:10.1007/s00382-0184313-1.
- Jin, F.-F., 1997: An Equatorial Ocean Recharge Paradigm for ENSO. Part I: Conceptual Model. Journal of the
 Atmospheric Sciences. 54, 811–829. doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1997)054<0811:AEORPF>2.0.CO:2.
- Jin, F.-F., S.-I. An, A. Timmermann, and J. Zhao, 2003: Strong El Niño events and nonlinear dynamical heating.
- 644 Geophysical Research Letters, **30**, 20–1–20–1, doi:10.1029/2002GL016356.

- Johnson, N. C. and S.-P. Xie, 2010: Changes in the sea surface temperature threshold for tropical convection. Nature
 Geoscience, 3, 842–845, doi:10.1038/ngeo1008.
- Karamperidou, C., F.-F. Jin, and J. L. Conroy, 2017: The importance of ENSO nonlinearities in tropical pacific
 response to external forcing. Climate Dynamics, 49, 2695–2704, doi:10.1007/s00382-016-3475-y.
- 649 Kay, J. E., C. Deser, A. Phillips, A. Mai, C. Hannay, G. Strand, J. M. Arblaster, S. C. Bates, G. Danabasoglu, J.
- Edwards, M. Holland, P. Kushner, J.-F. Lamarque, D. Lawrence, K. Lindsay, A. Middleton, E. Munoz, R. Neale,

K. Oleson, L. Polvani, and M. Vertenstein, 2015: The Community Earth System Model (CESM) Large Ensemble

- Project: A Community Resource for Studying Climate Change in the Presence of Internal Climate Variability.
 Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 96, 1333–1349, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00255.1.
- Kug, J.-S., J. Choi, S.-I. An, F.-F. Jin, and A. T. Wittenberg, 2010: Warm Pool and Cold Tongue El Niño Events as
 Simulated by the GFDL 2.1 Coupled GCM. Journal of Climate, 23, 1226–1239, doi:10.1175/2009JCLI3293.1.
- Lee, T. and M. J. McPhaden, 2010: Increasing intensity of El Niño in the central-equatorial Pacific. Geophysical
 Research Letters, 37, doi:10.1029/2010GL044007.
- Lengaigne, M., U. Hausmann, G. Madec, C. Menkes, J. Vialard and J. M. Molines, 2012: Mechanisms controlling
 warm water volume interannual variations in the equatorial Pacific: diabatic versus adiabatic processes. Climate
 Dynamics, 38, 1031 1046, doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1051-z.
- Li, G. and S.-P. Xie, 2013: Tropical Biases in CMIP5 Multimodel Ensemble: The Excessive Equatorial Pacific Cold
 Tongue and Double ITCZ Problems. Journal of Climate, 27, 1765–1780, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00337.1.
- Li, G., S.-P. Xie, Y. Du, and Y. Luo, 2016: Effects of excessive equatorial cold tongue bias on the projections of
 tropical Pacific climate change. Part I: the warming pattern in CMIP5 multi-model ensemble. Climate Dynamics,
 47, 3817–3831, doi:10.1007/s00382-016-3043-5.
- Liguori, G. and E. Di Lorenzo, 2018: Meridional Modes and Increasing Pacific Decadal Variability Under
 Anthropogenic Forcing. Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 983–991, doi:10.1002/2017GL076548.
- Maloney, E. D., A. F. Adames, and H. X. Bui, 2019: Madden-Julian Oscillation Changes under Anthropogenic
 Warming. Nature Climate Change, 9(1), 26-33, doi:10.1038/s41558-018-0331-6.

- 670 Matveeva, T., D. Gushchina, and B. Dewitte, 2018: The seasonal relationship between intraseasonal tropical variability
- and ENSO in CMIP5. Geoscientific Model Development, 11, 2373–2392, doi:10.5194/gmd-11-2373-2018.
- McGregor, S., A. Timmermann, F.-F. Jin and W. S. Kessler, 2016: Charging El Niño events with off-equatorial wind
 bursts. Climate Dynamics, 47: 1111, doi:10.1007/s00382-015-2891-8.
- McPhaden, M. J., T. Lee, and D. McClurg, 2011: El Niño and its relationship to changing background conditions in the
 tropical Pacific Ocean. Geophysical Research Letters, 38, doi:10.1029/2011GL048275.
- McPhaden, M. J., S. E. Zebiak, and M. H. Glantz, 2006: ENSO as an Integrating Concept in Earth Science. Science,
 314, 1740–1745, doi:10.1126/science.1132588.
- Meehl, G. A., G. J. Boer, C. Covey, M. Latif, and R. J. Stouffer, 2000: The Coupled Model Intercomparison Project
 (CMIP). Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 81, 313-318.
- Meehl, G. A., W. M. Washington, J. M. Arblaster, A. Hu, H. Teng, J. E. Kay, A. Gettelman, D. M. Lawrence, B. M.
 Sanderson, and W. G. Strand, 2013: Climate Change Projections in CESM1(CAM5) Compared to CCSM4.
 Journal of Climate, 26, 6287–6308, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00572.1.
- Neske, S. and S. McGregor, 2018: Understanding the Warm Water Volume precursor of ENSO events and its
 interdecadal variation, Geophysical Research Letters, 45, doi:10.1002/2017GL076439.
- 685 Oleson, W., M. Lawrence, B. Bonan, G. Flanner, E. Kluzek, J. Lawrence, S. Levis, C. Swenson, E. Thornton, A. Dai, M.
- 686 Decker, R. Dickinson, J. Feddema, L. Heald, F. Hoffman, J.-F. Lamarque, N. Mahowald, G.-Y. Niu, T. Qian, J.
- 687 Randerson, S. Running, K. Sakaguchi, A. Slater, R. Stockli, A. Wang, Z.-L. Yang, X. Zeng, and X. Zeng, 2010:
- Technical Description of version 4.0 of the Community Land Model (CLM). doi:10.5065/D6FB50WZ.
- 689 Philander, S. G. H., 1978: Forced oceanic waves. Reviews of Geophysics, 16, 15-46, doi:10.1029/RG016i001p00015.
- Power, S., F. Delage, C. Chung, G. Kociuba, and K. Keay, 2013: Robust twenty-first-century projections of El Niño and
 related precipitation variability. Nature, 502, 541–545, doi:10.1038/nature12580.
- Rayner, N. A., 2003: Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the late
 nineteenth century. Journal of Geophysical Research, 108, 4407, doi:10.1029/2002JD002670.
- Ren, H.-L. and F.-F. Jin, 2011: Niño indices for two types of ENSO. Geophysical Research Letters, 38,
 doi:10.1029/2010GL046031.
- Richter, I., 2015: Climate model biases in the eastern tropical oceans: causes, impacts and ways forward. Wiley
 Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 6, 345–358, doi:10.1002/wcc.338.
- Ropelewski, C. F. and M. S. Halpert, 1987: Global and Regional Scale Precipitation Patterns Associated with the El
 Niño/Southern Oscillation. Monthly Weather Review, 115, 1606–1626, doi:10.1175/15200493(1987)115<1606:GARSPP>2.0.CO;2.

- Santoso, A., S. McGregor, F.-F. Jin, W. Cai, M. H. England, S.-I. An, M. J. McPhaden, and E. Guilyardi, 2013: Latetwentieth-century emergence of the El Niño propagation asymmetry and future projections. Nature, 504, 126–130,
 doi:10.1038/nature12683.
- 704 Smith, R., P. Jones, B. Briegleb, F. Bryan, G. Danabasoglu, J. Dennis, J. Dukowicz, C. Eden, B. Fox-Kemper, P. Gent,
- and others, 2010: The parallel ocean program (POP) reference manual ocean component of the community climate
- 706 system model (CCSM) and community earth system model (CESM). Los Alamos National Laboratory Tech. Rep.
- 707 LAUR-10-01853, 141, 1–140.
- Stein, K., N. Schneider, A. Timmermann, and F.-F. Jin, 2010: Seasonal Synchronization of ENSO Events in a Linear
 Stochastic Model. Journal of Climate, 23, 5629–5643, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3292.1.
- Stevenson, S., A. Capotondi, J. Fasullo, and B. Otto-Bliesner, 2017: Forced changes to twentieth century ENSO
 diversity in a last Millennium context. Climate Dynamics, 1–16, doi:10.1007/s00382-017-3573-5.
- Takahashi, K. and B. Dewitte, 2016: Strong and moderate nonlinear El Niño regimes. Climate Dynamics, 46, 1627–
 1645, doi:10.1007/s00382-015-2665-3.
- Takahashi, K., C. Karamperidou, and B. Dewitte, 2018: A theoretical model of strong and moderate El Niño regimes.
 Climate Dynamics, doi:10.1007/s00382-018-4100-z.
- Takahashi, K., A. Montecinos, K. Goubanova, and B. Dewitte, 2011: ENSO regimes: Reinterpreting the canonical and
 Modoki El Niño. Geophysical Research Letters, 38, doi:10.1029/2011GL047364.
- Taylor, K. E., R. J. Stouffer, and G. A. Meehl, 2012: An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment Design. Bulletin of
 the American Meteorological Society, 93, 485–498, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1.
- Thual, S., B. Dewitte, S.-I. An, and N. Ayoub, 2011: Sensitivity of ENSO to Stratification in a Recharge–Discharge
 Conceptual Model. Journal of Climate, 24, 4332–4349, doi:10.1175/2011JCLI4148.1.
- Thual, S., B. Dewitte, S.-I. An, S. Illig, and N. Ayoub, 2013: Influence of Recent Stratification Changes on ENSO
 Stability in a Conceptual Model of the Equatorial Pacific. Journal of Climate, 26, 4790–4802, doi:10.1175/JCLID-12-00363.1.
- Trenberth, K. E. and D. P. Stepaniak, 2001: Indices of el Niño evolution. Journal of Climate, 14, 1697–1701.
- Vecchi, G. A. and D. E. Harrison, 2006: The Termination of the 1997–98 El Niño. Part I: Mechanisms of Oceanic
 Change. Journal of Climate, 19, 2633–2646, doi:10.1175/JCLI3776.1.
- Vecchi, G. A. and B. J. Soden, 2007: Global Warming and the Weakening of the Tropical Circulation. Journal of
 Climate, 20, 4316–4340, doi:10.1175/JCLI4258.1.
- 730 Wittenberg, A. T., A. Rosati, N.-C. Lau, and J. J. Ploshay, 2006: GFDL's CM2 Global Coupled Climate Models. Part
- 731 III: Tropical Pacific Climate and ENSO. Journal of Climate, 19, 698–722, doi:10.1175/JCLI3631.1.

- Xie, S.-P., Q. Peng, Y. Kamae, X.-T. Zheng, H. Tokinaga, and D. Wang, 2018: Eastern Pacific ITCZ Dipole and ENSO
 Diversity. Journal of Climate, 31, 4449–4462, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0905.1.
- Yeh, S.-W., W. Cai, S.-K. Min, M.J. McPhaden, D. Dommenget, B. Dewitte, M. Collins, K. Ashok, S.-I. An, B.-Y.
 Yim, and J.-S. Kug, 2018: ENSO atmospheric teleconnections and their response to greenhouse gas forcing.
 Reviews of Geophysics, 56, 185–206, doi:10.1002/2017RG000568.
- Yeh, S.-W., B. Dewitte, B. Y. Yim, and Y. Noh, 2010: Role of the upper ocean structure in the response of ENSO-like
 SST variability to global warming. Climate Dynamics, 35, 355–369, doi:10.1007/s00382-010-0849-4.
- Yeh, S.-W., J.-S. Kug, B. Dewitte, M.-H. Kwon, B. P. Kirtman, and F.-F. Jin, 2009a: El Niño in a changing climate.
 Nature, 461, 511–514, doi:10.1038/nature08316.
- Yeh, S.-W., B. Y. Yim, Y. Noh, and B. Dewitte, 2009b: Changes in mixed layer depth under climate change projections
 in two CGCMs. Climate Dynamics, 33, 199–213, doi:10.1007/s00382-009-0530-y.
- Zebiak, S. E. and M. A. Cane, 1987: A Model El Niño–Southern Oscillation. Monthly Weather Review, 115, 2262–
 2278, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1987)115<2262:AMENO>2.0.CO;2.
- Zelle, H., G. Appeldoorn, G. Burgers, and G. J. van Oldenborgh, 2004: The Relationship between Sea Surface
 Temperature and Thermocline Depth in the Eastern Equatorial Pacific. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 34,
 643–655, doi:10.1175/2523.1.
- Zheng, X.-T., C. Hui, and S.-W. Yeh, 2018: Response of ENSO amplitude to global warming in CESM large ensemble:
 uncertainty due to internal variability. Climate Dynamics, 50:4019, doi:10.1007/s00382-017-3859-7.
- 750 Zheng, Y., J.-L. Lin, and T. Shinoda, 2012: The equatorial Pacific cold tongue simulated by IPCC AR4 coupled GCMs:
- 751 Upper ocean heat budget and feedback analysis. Journal of Geophysical Research, 117, C05024,
 752 doi:10.1029/2011JC007746.



Figure 1: Linear regression coefficients (°C) of SST anomalies onto the E (a, c) and C (b, d) indices for (a, b) HadISST v1.1 (1950-2017) and (c, d) the ensemble mean of CESM-LE historical simulations (42 members). The E and C indices are defined from the two leading principal components of the EOF analysis of the SST anomalies in the tropical Pacific (115°E-290°E; 10°S-10°N). The SST anomalies are linearly detrended over each time period. Also shown is the mean position of the 28°C isotherm (thick black line).



Figure 2: Ensemble mean of the linear regression coefficients (°C) of SST anomalies onto the E (left) and C (right) indices calculated for the historical runs (a, b), the RCP8.5 runs (c, d) and the differences between RCP8.5 and historical runs (e, f). The E and C indices are defined from the two leading principal components of the EOF analysis of the SST anomalies in the tropical Pacific (115°E-290°E; 10°S-10°N). The SST anomalies are linearly detrended over each time period. The 5% confidence intervals from a Wilcoxon rank-sum test is indicated by stippling. The stippling indicates where the values of the RCP8.5 runs are significantly larger (in absolute value) than that of the historical runs. Note the different scale of the colorbar on (e) and (f). In the dashed lines is indicated the 2°S-2°N region onto which the heat budget is projected.



Figure 3: Composite evolution of (a) the E and (b) the C indices (115°E-290°E; 10°S-10°N) during strong (red) and moderate (green) El Niño events for (solid lines) historical and (lines with circles) RCP8.5 runs. The shading indicates the range of values between the 25th and 75th percentiles of the distribution. The portion of the curves in dashed line for the RCP8.5 composites indicates where the changes between historical and RCP8.5 are not significant at the 95% level according to a Wilcoxon rank sum test.



Figure 4: Number of (a) strong and (b) moderate El Niño events, defined from the E-index, as a function of the month in the calendar year when they peak (i.e. E index has maximum value) for (blue) historical and (red) RCP8.5 simulations. Note the different scale on the y-axis between (a) and (b).



Figure 5: (Bottom panel) Climatological variance of the E index for (green) observations (1950-2017, 115°E-290°E; 10°S-10°N), (blue) the historical and (red) RCP8.5 simulations of CESM-LE. Error bars are inferred from the 95th and 5th percentiles of the distribution obtained by 10,000 realisations of randomly resampling the 40 (42) members and calculating their variance each time, any member being allowed to be selected again. (Top panel) Percentage of increase in variance from the historical to the RCP8.5 runs as a function of calendar month. The increase in variance between historical and RCP8.5 simulations is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level for all months except November and December (grey shading) according to Wilcoxon and bootstrap tests. The increase in variance associated with the DJF mean is provided on the left hand side of each panel. It is significant at the 95% confidence level.



Figure 6: (Top panels) Composite evolution of (a) the Warm water volume (WWV) mode and (b) the tilt mode during strong El Niño events for the (solid yellow lines) historical and (brown lines with dots) RCP8.5 runs. The WWV mode corresponds to the mean 20°C isotherm depth (Z20) anomalies (m) averaged over the region (115°-290°E; 2°S-2°N). The tilt mode is estimated by projecting the 20°C isotherm depth (Z20) anomalies (m) onto the E mode pattern calculated over the domain (115°E-290°E; 2°S-2°N). The shading indicates the range of values between the 25th and 75th percentiles of the distribution of the members. The portions of the curves in dashed line for the RCP8.5 composites indicate when the changes between historical and RCP8.5 are not significant at 95% confidence level based on a Wilcoxon rank sum test. (Bottom panels) Composite evolution of Warm water volume (WWV) over Jan (Y0)-Jan(Y1) for (c) all the strong El Niño events, and (d) excluding the contribution of strong El Niño events peaking in FMA.



Figure 7: Mean differences of equatorial (2°S-2°N) temperature (in °C) between the RCP8.5 and historical simulations. The blue (red) line indicates the mean depth of the 20 °C isotherm for the historical (RCP8.5) simulations.



Figure 8: Heat budget projected onto the E mode: Composite evolution during strong El Niño events for (a) the total heating rate, (b) the surface net heat flux, (c) the zonal advection, (d) the vertical advection and (e) the residuals (i.e. difference between the rate of SST change and all tendency terms including meridional advection) for the (yellow) historical and (brown) RCP8.5 simulations. All terms are projected onto the E mode patterns (see the method section). The shading indicates the range of values between the 25th and 75th percentiles of the distribution of the members. The portions of the curves in dashed line for the RCP8.5 composites indicate when the changes between historical and RCP8.5 are not significant at 95% confidence level based on a Wilcoxon rank sum test. (f) Mean values over the period Apr (Y0)-Feb (Y1) of all the terms. Error bars are inferred from the 95th and 5th percentiles of the distribution obtained by randomly resampling the values of tendency terms of the 40 (42) members, any members being allowed to be selected again.



Figure 9: a) Changes in climatological mean upwelling in the eastern Pacific (Niño-3 region) for the (blue) historical and (red) RCP8.5 simulations (m/day). Error bars correspond to the intermembers spread (standard deviation). b) Changes in the maximum value of the slope of the lagged relationship between SST anomalies (E index) and the depth of the 20 °C isotherm (D20) anomalies in the eastern Pacific (projected onto the E mode) for the (blue) historical and (red) RCP8.5 simulations. The lag is indicated above the corresponding bars (positive value corresponds to D20 ahead SST). (c) Climatological variance of the thermocline feedback for the (blue) historical and (red) RCP8.5 simulations (°C/days). Error bars are inferred from the 95th and 5th percentiles of the distribution obtained by 10,000 realisations of randomly resampling the 40 (42) members and calculating their variance each time, any member being allowed to be selected again.



Figure 10: (Bottom panel) Climatological variance of the E-index for (blue) the historical and (red) RCP8.5 simulations of an ensemble of CMIP5 models. The ensemble corresponds to the 17 models used in Cai et al. (2018) that realistically represent the nonlinear Bjerknes feedback. Error bars are inferred from the standard deviation of 10,000 realisations obtained by randomly resampling the 17 models and calculating their variance each time, any models being allowed to be selected again. (Top panel) Percentage of increase in variance from the historical to the RCP8.5 runs as a function of calendar month.



Figure 11: Number of extreme precipitation events over 10-year running windows that are concomitant with either strong or moderate El Niño events among the ensemble of the historical (1920-2005) and the RCP8.5 (2006-2100) simulations. Hatch indicates the proportion of moderate El Niño events while shading is for strong El Niño events. The colors refer to the seasons as defined in section 3.2: blue for JAS events, red for ONDJ events and green for FMA events. Note that there is a little share of extreme events that are not concomitant with either a moderate or a strong El Niño events (i.e. the green curve does not overlap the black curve), which is due to internal variability in precipitation (year of extreme precipitation event without an El Niño event).

		Historical (19	20-2005)		RCP8.5 (200	6-2100)	Increase rate of
	Number	Frequency of	% of events	Number	Frequency of	% of events	trequency trom
	of	occurrence	concomitant with	of	occurrence	concomitant with	present to tuture
	events	(events/decade)	an extreme	events	(events/decade)	an extreme	climate
			precipitation			precipitation	
			event/strong event			event/strong event	
Number of strong events	237	0.65	59%	302	0.77	89%	+18.5 %
Number of moderate events	538	1.42	1% (5)	541	1.42	31% (167)	-0.1 %
Number of extreme precipitation events	146	0.39	96%	489	1.26	55%	+225.6 %
Number of strong events peaking in JAS	107	0.30	34%	137	0.35	78%	+16.5 %
Number of strong events peaking in ONDJ	120	0.33	79%	44	0.11	100%	-65.8 %
Number of strong events peaking in FMA	9	0.02	100%	120	0.31	%66	+1314.9 %

the frequency of occurrence have been rounded while the percentages in the text use the exact values. Table 1 Statistics in El Niño events and extreme precipitation events. 3682 (3800) years are considered for the historical (RCP8.5) runs. Note that the values of Electronic Supplementary Material

Click here to access/download Electronic Supplementary Material Supplementary_Figure.docx