Tropospheric circulation during the early twentieth century Arctic warming

4 Martin Wegmann^{1,2}, Stefan Brönnimann^{1,2} and Gilbert P.
5 Compo^{3,4}

- 6
- ¹ Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of
 Bern, Switzerland
- ⁹ ² Institute of Geography, University of Bern, Switzerland
- 10 Address: Hallerstrasse 12, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland
- ¹¹ ³ Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences,
- 12 University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
- ⁴ Physical Sciences Division, NOAA Earth System Research
 Laboratory, Boulder, CO, USA
- 15 Address: R/PSD1 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305, USA
- 16
- 17
- 18 Corresponding Author:
- 19 Martin Wegmann, martin.wegmann@giub.unibe.ch
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

27 Abstract

The early twentieth century Arctic warming (ETCAW) between 1920-1940 is an exceptional feature of climate variability in the last century. Its warming rate was only recently matched by recent warming in the region. Unlike recent warming largely attributable to anthropogenic radiative forcing, atmospheric warming during the ETCAW was strongest in the mid-troposphere and is believed to be triggered by an exceptional case of natural climate variability. Nevertheless, ultimate mechanisms and causes for the ETCAW are still under discussion.

35 Here we use state of the art multi-member global circulation models, reanalysis and 36 reconstruction datasets to investigate the internal atmospheric dynamics of the 37 ETCAW. We investigate the role of boreal winter mid-tropospheric heat transport and circulation in providing the energy for the large scale warming. Analyzing sensible 38 39 heat flux components and regional differences, climate models are not able to 40 reproduce the heat flux evolution found in reanalysis and reconstruction datasets. 41 These datasets show an increase of stationary eddy heat flux and a decrease of 42 transient eddy heat flux during the ETCAW. Moreover, tropospheric circulation 43 analysis reveals the important role of both the Atlantic and the Pacific sectors in the 44 convergence of southerly air masses into the Arctic during the warming event. 45 Subsequently, it is suggested that the internal dynamics of the atmosphere played a 46 major role in the formation in the ETCAW.

47

- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51

52

53

20

54

55 **1. Introduction**

56 Global mean temperature increased by ca. 0.5° C between 1910 and 1945 (Hansen et 57 al. 2010), a phenomenon known as "early twentieth century warming". Although 58 anthropogenic forcing contributed (Bindo et al. 2013), unusual internal variability is 59 normally held responsible (Delworth and Knutson 2000), which some have related to 60 increasing North Atlantic sea-surface temperatures (Schlesinger and Ramankutty 61 1994). Recent work also has pointed to possible tropical Pacific influences 62 (Thompson et al. 2015).

63 The early twentieth century warming was characterized by concurrent regional 64 warming episodes (Brönnimann 2009), the most pronounced of which was strong 65 warming of the Arctic from the late 1910s to the 1940s, here called "early twentieth 66 century Arctic warming" (ETCAW). Palaeoclimatic data suggest that, until the 67 beginning of the 21st century, the ETCAW was unique in magnitude and rate for at 68 least the last 1500 years in the Arctic domain (Kaufman et al. 2009, 2k Consortium 69 2013, Opel et al. 2013). Understanding the ETCAW and its links to global climate 70 and the oceans might therefore unravel important mechanisms in the climate system. 71 One objective of this paper is to gain more insight into triggering mechanisms for the 72 ETCAW.

73 Though noticed and studied by contemporary scientists (Birkeland 1930, Scherhag 74 1939, Wagner 1940), the ETCAW again became a prominent research topic in the 1980s and 1990s in the context of global change (see Grant et al. 2009 and Wood and 75 76 Overland 2010 for a discussion of ETCAW studies). Research has been conducted 77 with sparse direct observations at the surface (Bekryaev et al. 2010), or in the upper 78 air (Grant et al. 2009), climate model experiments, and gridded reconstructions 79 (Brönnimann et al. 2012). The respective analysis of those datasets underlined the 80 exceptional nature of this event (Wood and Overland 2010, Opel et al. 2015). More 81 recently, long reanalysis data sets have become available (e.g., Compo et al. 2011, 82 Poli et al. 2016) that allow analyzing atmospheric circulation in more detail. In our 83 paper we make use of these new data sets and compare them with model and 84 reconstruction data.

85 Compared to the present Arctic warming, the ETCAW was mainly confined to the

European Atlantic sector (Scherhag 1939, Bengtsson et al. 2004, Wood and Overland
2010, Bekryaev et al. 2010). In the vertical, recent maxima of temperature anomalies
are mostly found at the surface whereas the maximum warming of the ETCAW was
located in the mid troposphere (Grant et al. 2009, Brönnimann et al. 2012). This
suggests a different role of atmospheric circulation for the two warming events (IPCC
2013).

92 Therefore, a variety of possible warming mechanisms are suggested in the literature. 93 It was found that during the ETCAW southerly winds into the Arctic domain 94 prevailed. This meridional windflow was strongest over the Atlantic and transported 95 warmer airmasses northwards (Wood and Overland 2010). Pressure anomalies show 96 an increase over the Eurasian sector of the Arctic landmasses and a negative anomaly 97 over Greenland and the Labrador Sea (Grant et al. 2009). Furthermore, Grant et al. 98 (2009) argue that this circulation pattern supported the aerosol transport from Central 99 Europe to the Arctic. There is evidence for an increase of sulphate aerosols in the 100 European Arctic from a Svalbard ice core. These aerosols might have led to a positive 101 feedback of the warming during winter.

Several studies point out a high probability of increased winter sea surface temperatures (SSTs) and reduced winter sea ice cover north of 60° N during the ETCAW, comparable to the situation at the end of the 20th century (Hanssen-Bauer and Førland 1998, Johannessen et al. 2004, Bengtsson et al. 2004, Semenov and Latif 2012). Unfortunately, sea ice cover observations are sparse before 1940 and model studies can only point towards tendencies. However, it remains an open question whether the oceanic signals preceded atmospheric changes or vice versa.

Finally, internally (Polyakov et al. 2003) and externally (Overpeck et al. 1997) forced low frequency cycles have been linked to the onset and peak of the ETCAW. External forcing in the form of greenhouse gases is most likely not the dominant factor to the ETCAW. Fyfe et al. (2013) found that in model experiments the warming between 1900 – 1939 can be better explained by natural forcings than by greenhouse gas changes. However, other anthropogenic forcings such as aerosols may have contributed.

116 Beitsch et al. (2014) investigated a 3000 year Earth System model integration and

analyzed the climatic conditions of 26 Arctic warming events within this simulation, utilizing superposed epoch analysis. They found a triggering ocean warming signal that induces atmospheric changes triggered by reduced sea ice over the Barents-Kara seas. Additionally, they found a strong increase of stationary atmospheric energy transport into the Arctic during the warming event, whereas transient and mean meridional energy transports decrease. The authors conclude that ETCAW-like events can be caused by internal (decadal) variability of the ocean and atmosphere system.

Therefore, the ETCAW exemplifies the importance of yearly and decadal internal variability on Arctic climate. Although much research effort was spent to understand the links and influences of and on the ETCAW, the ultimate cause is still under discussion. The comparison of the ETCAW to the recent warming period grants a chance to deepen the knowledge about the drivers of Arctic climate and recent Arctic amplification of global warming (Wood and Overland 2010).

130 Here, we use state of the art, multi-member global circulation models (GCMs), 131 climate reanalysis and upper air reconstructions to examine the tropospheric dynamics 132 during the ETCAW. We extend the analysis of Wood and Overland (2010) and 133 Beitsch et al. (2014) concerning an intensified meridional circulation over the Atlantic 134 Arctic and focus on mid-tropospheric heat transport. For this we include two recently 135 published reanalysis datasets, ERA-20C and the Twentieth Century Reanalysis 136 Version 2c (20CRv2c), and assess the variability seen in these new datasets over 137 Arctic regions. We define an index to investigate Arctic circulation regimes that can 138 amplify energy transport into the Arctic domain. This allows us to compare the 139 mechanism of atmospheric Arctic warming over time.

140 This article is structured as follows. Section 2 gives an overview of the various 141 datasets analyzed. Section 3 describes the methods used. Section 4 presents the results 142 for tropospheric circulation and transportation patterns. After discussing the results in 143 section 5, conclusions are drawn in section 6.

144 **2. Data**

145 In this study we use six different datasets to assess Arctic warming and its associated

tropospheric dynamics. As listed below, they consist of two global circulation models,

147 three reanalysis datasets, and one statistically reconstructed upper-air dataset.

148 **2.1 Model Data**

149 To assess the relative impact of internal and external variability, we compare 150 reconstructions and reanalysis datasets with two different sets of ensemble model 151 experiments. The European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) 152 integrated an ensemble of ten Integrated Forecast System (IFS) atmospheric 153 simulations for the years 1899 to 2009 at a horizontal resolution of T_L 159 with 91 154 vertical levels reaching from the surface up to 1 Pa, which is known as the 155 experimental ERA-20cm version (ERA20CM). Specified sea - ice concentration and 156 sea surface temperature boundary conditions come from an ensemble of realizations 157 (HadISST.2.0.0.0), where the variability in these realizations is based on the 158 uncertainties in the observational sources used. The radiation scheme follows exactly 159 the CMIP5 protocol, including aerosols, ozone and greenhouse gases (Hersbach et al. 160 2015).

161 The second general circulation model (GCM) dataset consists of a 30 member 162 ECHAM5.4 atmosphere model (Roeckner et al. 2006) simulations spanning from 163 1599 to 2005 (Bhend et al. 2012) (CCC400). It was integrated at a triangular spectral 164 truncation of T63 and with 31 levels in the vertical up to 10 hPa. The model was 165 forced with monthly mean sea surface temperatures (SSTs) based on an annual 166 reconstruction of (Mann et al. 2009). Sea ice according to the longterm HadISST1.1 167 climatology is used before 1870 and HadISST1.1 reconstructed sea ice thereafter 168 (Rayner et al. 2003). Volcanic radiative forcing is computed online as in Jungclaus et 169 al. (2010) based on reconstructions by Crowley et al. (2008), consisting of aerosol 170 optical depth (AOD) at 0.55mm and effective particle radii in four latitude bands (see 171 Wegmann et al. (2014) for details). Furthermore, the model was forced by observed 172 greenhouse gases (Yoshimori et al. 2010), tropospheric aerosols (Koch et al. 1999), 173 total solar irradiance (Lean 2000), and land surface conditions (Pongratz et al. 2008).

174 2.2 Reanalyses

The NOAA-CIRES Twentieth Century Reanalysis V2 (20CRv2) dataset allows
retrospective 4-dimensional analysis of climate and weather between 1871 and 2012
(Compo et al. 2011). It was achieved by assimilating surface observations of synoptic
pressure using an Ensemble Kalman Filter assimilation system. Prescribed boundary

conditions are HadISST1.1 (Rayner et al. 2003) monthly SST and sea ice cover fields
as well as specified time-varying incoming solar radiation and concentrations of CO₂
and volcanic aerosols. Here we use the ensemble mean of the 56 ensemble members
with a spatial resolution of T62 and a 6-hourly temporal resolution. Unfortunately,
20CRv2 is affected by a misspecification of sea ice, which affects the atmosphere
(Brönnimann et al. 2012).

185 The NOAA-CIRES 20th Century Reanalysis Version 2c (20CRv2c) uses the same 186 model and assimilation system as 20CRv2 but with new sea ice boundary conditions 187 from the COBE-SST2 (Hirahara et al. 2014), new pentad Simple Ocean Data 188 Assimilation with sparse input (SODAsi.2, Giese et al. 2016) sea surface temperature 189 fields, and additional observations from ISPD version 3.2.9 (Cram et al. 2015). 190 SODAsi.2 was forced with winds and bulk fluxes from 20CRv2. SODAsi.2c is generated by tapering SODAsi.2 at 60° N/S to COBE-SST2 SSTs, which makes the 191 192 Arctic sea ice and SSTs consistent. For assimilated observational pressure data, 193 20CRv2c and ERA-20C have exactly the same pressure data input in the Northern 194 Hemisphere.

The ERA-20C reanalysis (Poli et al. 2016) uses the IFS model in a 4-D Var system to assimilate observations of surface pressure and marine surface winds. It is a global atmospheric reanalysis for the period 1900 – 2010 with a 3-hourly temporal resolution and the same spatial and vertical resolution as ERA-20CM. It shares the same boundary conditions and CMIP5 radiative forcing with ERA-20CM, however for sea ice and SSTs HadISST2.1 is used.

201 2.2 Reconstructions

202 We use statistically reconstructed monthly temperature and geopotential height fields 203 for the period 1880–1957 (Griesser et al. 2010) where the predictors are historical 204 surface data from station observations (temperature), gridded sea-level pressure (SLP), 205 and, after 1918, upper-air data (temperature, geopotential height (GPH) or pressure, 206 and winds). Hemispheric GPH and temperature fields at six levels (850, 700, 500, 300, 207 200, 100 hPa) were used as predictands. This reconstruction is termed REC1. For 208 analyzing the long term variation of anomaly fields, we merge this dataset with the 209 equivalent fields in ERA40 (Uppala et al. 2005) to create a dataset which spans the

continuous time period 1880 - 2002. It should be noted however, that ERA40 shows some issues for temperature in the free troposphere after the 1980s, affecting late 20^{th}

century trends (Grant et al. 2008).

For annual near surface temperature over the Barents-Kara sea region, we averaged the Akademii Nauk ice cap δ^{18} O record reconstructions from Opel et al. (2013), the Vardø and Arkhangelsk surface air temperatures (SATs) measurements (Brohan et al. 2006), Atlantic – Arctic boundary region measured SAT anomalies (Wood et al. 2010) and measured Arctic SAT anomalies (Polyakov et al. 2003) (for individual timeseries see supplementary Figure 1).

219 3. Analysis procedure

220 Here we investigate the Arctic temperature variations during the northern hemisphere 221 cold season (DJF). Concerning the ETCAW, Bekryaev et al. (2010) found that boreal winter together with autumn showed the strongest warming signal. During boreal 222 223 winter, temperature differences between polar and subpolar airmasses are strongest, 224 and therefore northward heat transport is strongest. Overland and Turet (1994) 225 reported that Northern Hemisphere poleward energy transport is maximized between 226 800 and 600 hPa. We therefore focus on the 700 hPa level as a surrogate for mid-227 tropospheric processes.

We compare all gridded datasets with regards to their Arctic winter temperature evolution during the 20th century. For this, we area average the gridded datasets over a defined region in the Arctic domain. To analyze the role of tropospheric circulation in the ETCAW, we compute different components of northward heat transport at 700 hPa and 60° N in the GCM, reanalysis and reconstruction datasets. The zonal mean northward heat flux can be written as

234
$$[\overline{\mathbf{vT}}] = [\overline{\mathbf{v}}] \cdot [\overline{\mathbf{T}}] + [\overline{\mathbf{v}^*} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{T}^*}] + [\overline{\mathbf{v}^*} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{T}^*}]$$
 (1)

where v is meridional wind in m/s, T is air temperature in Kelvin, the overbar denotes the time (here monthly) mean, the brackets denote the zonal mean, the stars denotes the deviation from the zonal average, and the prime denotes the deviation from the time average. The first term on the right hand side describes the flux due to the time mean (here monthly) meridional circulation, followed by the flux due to stationary 240 (time averaged) eddies and the flux due to transient eddies. Stationary eddies 241 represent large-scale Rossby waves whereas transient eddies encompass cyclonic and 242 anti-cyclonic disturbances in the flow (note that a separation is not strictly possible; 243 we use one month as a threshold mainly for convenience, as this allows us to also 244 look at monthly data sets). Since the REC1 dataset only offers monthly variables, we 245 focus on the first two terms at the right hand side, which can be calculated for it. 246 However, we extended the analysis of the three reanalysis datasets to the transient 247 eddy flux as well. As will be shown later, reanalyses are inconsistent with respect to 248 the mean meridional (first) term. The model data is not suited to compute the transient 249 eddy term since the temporal resolution is too low. Therefore this study focuses 250 mainly on the stationary eddy contribution.

251 To gain more insight into the mechanisms of the stationary eddy transport, we define 252 an index to display circulation regimes that transport airmasses in and out the Arctic 253 domain over two key regions: the Atlantic sector (extension of Siberian high and 254 Greenland low) and the Bering strait (Aleutian low and extension of Siberian high). 255 These regions were the key action centers of the circulation configuration during the 256 ETCAW as well as the key patterns of the second empirical orthogonal function in 257 most datasets (not shown). A timeseries of this index should reveal periods of similar 258 circulation conditions throughout the 20th century. However, we find that the 259 expression of the second EOF, often known as the Arctic Dipole if used for the Arctic 260 Domain, depends largely on the nature of the datasets. The 3rd and 2nd EOF patterns 261 might switch depending on the datasets, thus we introduce here this simplified, but 262 stable index for investigating meridional circulation configurations.

263 We assume that during winter most of the heat is transported from the mild oceans to 264 the Central Arctic. Therefore, we concentrate on the Pacific and Atlantic sectors. We 265 selected regions as shown in Figure 1 and calculated DJF anomalies of area-averaged 266 geopotential height at 700 hPa for each of the four areas. Since the Atlantic 267 connection to the Arctic is much wider, the corresponding boxes are further away than 268 for the Pacific case. Moving the Siberian box to the west, weakens the amplitude of 269 the signal but the results are similar. With this setup, the strengh of the Siberian high 270 is captured as well. The reference period was set to the winters of 1971 - 2000. These 271 values are then normalized by the total standard deviation of the anomaly timeseries.

Eventually, the index is computed as the difference between the values in the easternregion and the western region:

274
$$GPH INDEX = \overline{GPH}_E - \overline{GPH}_W$$
 (2)

GPH represents standardized monthly anomalies of geopotential height and the
subscripts denote the eastern and the western area. For the ensemble datasets, the
index was first calculated for each individual ensemble member and averaged
thereafter.

A positive index corresponds to a high pressure situation in the east and a relatively

lower pressure field in the west, which induces a northward flow into the Arctic.



281

Figure 1: Purple sectors showing regions for computing the Atlantic sector index (60°-70°N 30°-60°W Greenland, 60°-70°N 60°-100°E Siberia), blue sectors showing regions for computing the Pacific sector index (60°-70°N 150°-180°W Alaska, 60°-70°N 150°-180°E Far East). The yellow band indicates the 60° N latitude defined as Arctic boundary in this study. The red sector indicates the location of the Barents-Kara Sea region.

288

4. Results

290 **4.1 Arctic temperature evolution**

291 Extraordinarily mild temperatures in the Arctic during the 1930s gave rise to the 292 phrase "Early Twentieth Century Arctic Warming". To assess the different datasets in 293 regards to this important variable, we compare SAT from reconstructions and 294 observations with 2 m temperature from the gridded datasets. Figure 2 illustrates 295 different timeseries of near SAT evolution in the Barents-Kara sea region (see Table 296 S1 for correlations). A mean of reconstructed, station measured, and paleo datasets is 297 used as an index for an observational estimate of the regional average. It shows 298 positive anomalies between 1920-1940, with a first distinctive peak in 1920 and a 299 second, stronger peak in 1937/1938. After three cold years (1940-1942), another peak 300 occurred in 1943/1944. The individual series comprising the index show interesting 301 variations in the timing and amplitude of the details of the ETCAW (Fig. S1). The 302 large-scale area average from the reanalyses provides complementary estimates of the 303 variability, with surprising similarities to the index. The reanalyses can be compared 304 directly with each other and with the GCM simulations. Examining the reanalyses in 305 detail, ERA20C has the largest standardized expression of the 1920 and 1944 peaks, 306 where as 20CRv2 has smaller amplitudes for 1920 and 1938 peaks. The comparison 307 with both the index and ERA-20C is closer in 20CRv2c, which shows reduced 308 amplitudes. We find that 20CRv2 shows very good agreement with the observational 309 proxy in the first 20 years of the century, consistent with good global agreement 310 (Compo et al. 2013). Overall, the 20CRv2c and ERA20C agree better with the 311 observational index than the older 20CRv2 (Table S1).

312 The GCM data indicate that the ensemble of model realisations spans the variance of 313 the reanalysis and observational timeseries rather well. It is interesting to note that the 314 ERA20C appears to be at the upper edge of the GCM values until ca. 1950, after 315 which it resembles more the lower part of the distribution of the GCM ensemble. 316 Towards the end of the 20th century, 20CRv2 deviates away from the observational 317 index and ERA20C, but is still well within the GCM range. The newer 20CRv2c 318 decreases this deviation, probably from the improved specification of sea ice 319 concentration.



320

Figure 2: Yearly mean 2m temperature from 1900 - 2005 area-averaged for the Barents-Kara sea region (65-90° N, 30-90° E), in CCC400 (yellow transparent shading is spread of ensemble members), ERA20CM (red transparent shading is spread of ensemble members), 20CRv2, 20CRv2c, and an index comprised of the mean of one SAT reconstruction and four station based SAT compilations (see Opel et al 2013). Time series are plotted as normalized deviations from the 1900-1998 mean.

328

329 The ERA20CM ensemble shows a smaller ensemble spread than CCC400, but both 330 model ensemble means agree quite well with each other (See Table S1). The two 331 periods with increasing temperatures (1900-1940 and 1980-2010) are visible in all 332 datasets, although four timeseries represent an ensemble mean. Nevertheless, with the 333 exception of ERA20C, all datasets underestimate the ETCAW and overestimate the 334 Arctic near surface warming in the latter half of the 20th century compared to the 335 observational index. However, in general, all gridded datasets show surprisingly close 336 resemblance in magnitude and tendency to the observational index.

Since this study focuses on the atmospheric circulation features of the ETCAW, a
good representation of upper air warming is an important necessity of the used
datasets. Figure 3 shows the DJF temperature timeseries for the area average of 60°90° N at 700 hPa for all atmospheric datasets being studied. ERA20CM and CCC400

341 show rising temperatures between 1910 –1940, stable to cooling temperatures 342 between 1940 – 1980, and a weak temperature increase after this until the start of the 343 21st century. The ETCAW appears relatively warm in the model datasets, but appears 344 to be split into peaks before and after 1935 in contrast to the earlier surface warming 345 peak identified in ERA20C and the observational index. The temperature drop in the 346 GCMs after 1940 could arise from the 1940-1942 El Niño event contained in the 347 specified SSTs (Brönnimann et al. 2004). ERA20CM, on average, shows 1-2 K lower 348 values than CCC400, which is a known feature of ERA20CM (Hersbach et al. 2015). 349 Temperature maxima in the four reanalysis datasets appear around 1940, which is 350 comparable to the surface timeseries.



351

Figure 3: DJF 700 hPa area average temperature 1900 - 2005 for the Arctic (60°-90°
N) from the models CCC400 (yellow transparent shading is spread of ensemble
members) and ERA20CM (red transparent shading is spread of ensemble members),
as well as the reanalyses 20CRv2, 20CRv2c, ERA20C, and the reconstruction REC1.

356

All four observation-based datasets agree very well in magnitude and correlation (Table S2), staying within the variability of the models. It is worth mentioning that the reconstruction and reanalyses show a mid-tropospheric temperature signal during the ETCAW, which is unique in magnitude until the 21st century. Additionally, a sharp drop can be seen in the late 1940s in the reanalysed and reconstructed temperatures. 362 Finally, the prominent Arctic warming signal at the end of the 20th century is 363 depicted by all atmospheric datasets with similar positive tendencies. In general, 364 GCMs, reanalyses, and reconstructions values match well (see supplementary tables 365 1-6). CCC400 compares better until the 1950s, after which ERA20CM is closer to 366 reanalysed temperatures. This is probably due to different forcing input when 367 compared to CCC400. Nevertheless, most of the time reconstructed and reanalyzed 368 values are within the CCC400 ensemble variability. 20CRv2 and 20CRv2c agree very 369 well over time, especially during the ETCAW. Generally, 20CRv2 tends more 370 towards the lower values of ERA20C in the first twenty and last fifty years of the 371 century. We find that the 20CRv2c version improves the representation of upper 372 tropospheric temperatures, surface/tropopause temperature gradient (see Brönnimann 373 et al. 2012 for discussion of 20CRv2 performance) and the stretch out of the warming 374 into the lower troposphere (see Supplementary Figures 2-4). Thus, the new sea ice 375 data and added observations seem to improve the temperature signal at the surface. 376 Generally, it is expected from all surface-input reanalysis datasets, that the skill 377 decreases with altitude, especially so in the Arctic. These differences are lowest at 378 mid-troposhere levels such as 700 or 500 hPa. Compared to the other reanalyses, 379 reconstruction, and CCC400, an overall cold bias of ERA20C and ERA20CM at the 380 700 hPa level is found in the Arctic area average (Fig. S5). Examining the temporal 381 variability, after 1946 a strong step function is seen in for 700 hPa temperature the 382 reanalysis datasets. The magnitude of the jump seems to be partly a consequence of 383 surface observation assimilation, since REC1 and the GCMs do not reproduce the 384 amplitude. Including upper air data in the ERA20C assimilation scheme decreases the 385 temperature drop compared to the original surface data assimilation, as suggested by 386 an experimental ERA-preSAT reanalysis using upper-air data, see Hersbach et al., 387 manuscript in preparation (supplementary Figure 5).

388 4.2 Zonal heat transport at 700 hPa

Since surface and tropospheric temperature appear to be represented consistently in the datasets, we computed the mean meridional circulation flux and the stationary eddy flux for temperature at 60° N for the 700 hPa level. As the upper air reconstructions and GCM data were only available at monthly resolution, the transient eddy flux was computed only for the three reanalysis datasets. Figure 4a shows the 394 mean meridional flux for all datasets on a seasonal (DJF) resolution. Differences 395 between the datasets clearly emerge. Large variability between the individual 396 members of the GCM datasets can also be seen. We find that the 20CRv2 and 397 20CRv2c timeseries are more consistent with the ERA20CM and largely on the 398 upper-end of the CCC400 ensemble. ERA20C is on the low end of the CCC400 and outside the range of the ERA20CM ensemble for almost all years. Looking at the 399 400 reconstruction it appears that the interannual variability is comparable to 20CRv2, however the overall magnitude is at the low end of the models, comparable to 401 402 ERA20C until the 1930s. After that, the reconstruction agrees better with ERA20CM 403 and the 20CR versions. Interestingly, mean meridional heat transport in the 404 reconstruction during the ETCAW is rather low, with a strong drop around 1920. 405 Finally, the ERA20C dataset shows the least interannual variability and has mean 406 values at the lower edge of the GCM ensembles. Looking at the evolution of this 407 timeseries, it appears to be very stable throughout the century with no obvious trends. 408 Since the 700 hPa temperatures in Fig. 3 seem to be consistent, we suspect that 409 differences between datasets are mainly caused by different representations of 410 meridional wind speed.



Figure 4: a) Mean meridional DJF heat flux at 60° N between 1900 - 2005 at 700 hPa
for all gridded datasets, b) the same for stationary heat flux and c) the same for
transient eddy heat flux, but only for reanalysis datasets (see also Supplementary
Figure 6 for a sum of all three fluxes). Plotting conventions are as in Fig. 3.

417 Figure 4b illustrates the stationary eddy flux for all gridded datasets. The seasonally 418 averaged values show again the lower variability in ERA20C, with absolute values 419 around the low range of the GCM ensembles. The reconstruction, 20CRv2 and 420 20CRv2c agree fairly well, especially so until 1940. These timeseries show a 421 pronounced increase from 1900 until the 1930s, with a peak around 1930. This peak 422 coincides very well with the circulation signal in the indices examined below (Figure 423 7) and a few years after the reconstructed drop of the mean meridional circulation in 424 Figure 4a. However, this peak is reduced in magnitude in 20CRv2c. After 1940 all 425 three datasets stay well within the GCM range. It is noteworthy that the ERA20C 426 timeseries, although missing the absolute magnitude of fluxes, shares a highly 427 significant 0.8 correlation with the 20CRv2 timeseries. Moreover, a peak period 428 around 1930 is visible in ERA20C, but weaker than in 20CRv2. Since ERA20C 429 shares the observational pressure input data with 20CRv2c, and uses the same 430 assimilating model as the ERA20CM, the difference in magnitude is caused either by 431 the different assimilation schemes or the assimilation of near-surface marine winds in 432 ERA20C.

Depicting the transient eddy heat flux, Figure 4c shows the evolution of the winter 433 434 northward heat transport by weather systems such as cyclones and anticylones in the 435 three reanalysis products. Since monthly means were used as the base period for eddy 436 transport, larger transient waves can also contribute to this transport term. However, a 437 monthly base period ensured comparability. All three datasets show a strong 438 interannual variability. However, compared to the mean meridional and stationary 439 eddy flux, ERA20C shows a very good agreement in magnitude and variability with 440 20CRv2 and 20CRv2c, which only show minor deviations from one another, except 441 for the 1920s. Moreover, in all three datasets the ETCAW decades show the lowest 442 transient eddy flux values values compared to the rest of the decades during the 20th 443 century. Highest values can be found during the 60s and the beginning of the 70s, 444 with a period of relatively stable increase between 1940 and 1970. Towards the end of 445 the 20th century, winter transient eddy heat flux at 700 hPa appears to decrease again. 446

447 **4.2 Troposheric stationary eddies**

416

448 To investigate more into the striking inter-dataset differences in stationary eddy flux 449 as well as the temporal evolution of the heat transport, we depict the ETCAW 450 atmospheric circulation as seasonal mean geopotential height (GPH, Figure 5) and 451 temperature anomalies (Figure 6) at 700 hPa during the period 1920-1939 for winter. 452 Reanalysis and reconstruction datasets depict a strong positive geopotential height 453 anomaly over the Eurasian part of the Arctic associated with negative or weaker 454 anomalies over Greenland and the Canadian Archipelago (Fig. 5). This height 455 distribution enhances meridional winds over the North Atlantic and transports 456 southern airmasses into the Arctic domain. The ensemble mean anomalies of both 457 GCMs show only weak signals, with a small positive signal over northern Europe in 458 ERA20CM. CCC400 and ERA20CM show a comparable GPH anomaly pattern over 459 the Atlantic and Eurasia, but disagree over the North Pacific domain. While an overall 460 weaker signal is present in the ERA20CM ensemble mean over Europe, the pattern 461 shows relative agreement with the reanalysis datasets, suggesting some forcing from 462 either or both of the specified boundary conditions and radiative forcing. Both the 463 GPH signal (Fig. 5d) and warming signal (Fig. 6d) are very prominent in the ERA20C 464 dataset, with positive anomalies dominating nearly all of the Arctic domain, 465 particularly for temperature (Fig. 6d). It is important to note that REC1+ERA40 and 466 20CRv2 show a more heterogeneous anomaly structure and a more pronounced 467 gradient between Europe and Canada. 20CRv2c emphasizes the Pacific positive 468 anomaly, especially over Alaska, compared to 20CRv2. Over most of the hemisphere, 469 positive GPH anomalies in 20CRv2c (Fig. 5c) tend to be increased compared to 470 20CRv2 (Fig. 5b), whereas the strength of central Arctic negative anomaly is reduced.



471

472 Figure 5: Maps of time-averaged 700 hPa geopotential height anomalies for DJF
473 1920-1939 with respect to DJF 1971-2000 in a) REC1+ERA40, b)20CRv2, c)
474 20CRv2c, d) ERA20C, e) ERA20CM ensemble mean, f) CCC400 ensemble mean
475

476 One result of the pressure anomalies is the noticeable warming at 700 hPa over the 477 European sector of the Arctic. Positive North Pacific geopotential height and North 478 American temperature anomalies seem to be placed much more northerly in the 479 ERA20 datasets (Fig. 6). This is probably due to the known overestimation of Arctic 480 sea level pressure, especially before 1950, in the ERA20C dataset (see Belleflamme et 481 al. 2015). As with geopotential height, 20CRv2c amplifies the warming regions of 482 20CRv2 and decreases the magnitude of the Siberian negative anomaly. This might be 483 the result of reduced Arctic temperatures at the end of the 20th century in 20CRv2c 484 compared to 20CRv2 (Fig. 2). Generally, the strongest differences between all 485 datasets appear over the Pacific sector, which is a result of the sparse observations for 486 this region at the time of the ETCAW (Cram et al. 2015).



487

Figure 6 Maps of time-averaged 700 hPa temperature anomalies for DJF 1920-1939
with respect to DJF 1971-2000 in a) REC1+ERA40, b) 20CRv2, c) 20CRv2c, d)
ERA20C, e) ERA20CM ensemble mean, f) CCC400 ensemble mean

491

492 It is important to note that the GCM datasets mostly disagree with the observational 493 datasets on the sign of the temperature signal (Fig. 6). This is due to the choice of 494 reference period. The late decades of the 20th century in the GCMs are mainly driven 495 by the greenhouse gas and SST forcing which results in a relatively strong Arctic 496 warming (e.g., Compo and Sardeshmukh 2009). If the reference period is changed to 497 1900 – 1919 (supplementary Figure 7) the warming signal is visible, with a second 498 warming pole over the North Pacific. The same is true for the geopotential height 499 anomalies (not shown). Thus a fraction of the circulation and associated temperature 500 signal is forced.

To analyze the temporal evolution of this tropospheric pattern, we computed the GPH indices (Fig.1) for DJF at 700 hPa geopotential height. We assume that during winter most of the heat influx into the Arctic originates from airmasses over the relatively mild oceans. Figure 7 shows the decadally averaged index (Equation 2) values for 505 each dataset on the 700 hPa level, for both the Atlantic and Pacific sector. As can be 506 seen, reanalysis and reconstruction datasets show a peak in positive values between 507 1920 - 1940, especially for the 1920s where they show strong positive values. Both 508 model datasets show much weaker gradients, also due to the ensemble mean 509 computations. Moreover, during the ETCAW 1920s period both model datasets show 510 an opposite sign of both indices. Both also show an opposite since for the Atlantic 511 index in the 1930s (Fig. 7 top). Therefore, the enhanced northward circulation in both 512 regions throughout the ETCAW is clearly captured by reanalyses and reconstructions, 513 where as the GCM datasets do not resolve this consistent signal. Interestingly, the 514 recent warming does not coincide with a positive index, underlining the idea of a 515 radiation-driven warming. Over the Atlantic sector in the 1920s, 20CRv2c shows a 516 smaller geopotential height gradient, leading to reduced index values for the ETCAW. 517 Nevertheless, the index is still positive, and 20CRv2c agrees well with the other 518 observationally based datasets over time.

519



520

Figure 7: 700 hPa Geopotential height circulation index values for top) Atlantic sector
(Gradient 60°-70°N 30°-60°W Greenland to 60°-70°N 60°-100°E Siberia), bottom)
Pacific sector (Gradient 60°-70°N 150°-180°W Far East to 60°-70°N 150°-180°E
Alaska). See Fig. 1 for regions.

525

Looking at the Pacific sector, the index during the ETCAW period appears to be mostly positive as well, which supports a northward transport of maritime airmasses into the Arctic domain. Interestingly, 20CRv2c stands out with the highest Pacific index values during the 1920s of the ETCAW. Again, GCMs have difficulties to represent the index. It should be noted that the 1960s show an exceptionally strong northward airmass transport and dataset agreement in the Pacific sector, but more 532 southward winds in the Atlantic sector.

These findings support the exceptional role of the circulation during the ETCAW. Especially the 1920s and 1930s show high peaks in the observational datasets, whereas the GCMs cannot reproduce this signal. Comparing the 2000s with the ETCAW, all datasets agree on a more southward circulation over the northern part of the oceans, hinting at a different Arctic warming mechanism (see Serreze and Barry 2011 for an overview), including possibly the hydrodynamic-radiative teleconnection suggested by Compo and Sardeshmukh (2009).

540

541 **5. Discussion**

The ETCAW is an exceptional feature in the climate evolution of the 20th century and, as such, has been the subject of considerable previous analysis and discussion by the research community. Because of both location and date of the warming, meteorological observations concerning the ETCAW are scarce and isolated. Here we used a variety of gridded atmospheric datasets: GCM simulations, reanalyses and reconstructions, to address some of the open questions regarding the ETCAW.

548 Our results support the findings of Wood and Overland (2010) who investigated the 549 meridionalisation of circulation in the Arctic domain, including 20CRv2. We 550 extended this idea to a new set of gridded datasets to gain more insight into the 551 ultimate cause of the ETCAW. Surface and 700 hPa Temperatures in reanalysis 552 datasets agree very well with reconstructed temperatures. All timeseries show a 553 warming for DJF temperatures during the ETCAW. A strong temperature drop in the 554 late 1940s showed by the reanalysis datasets seems to be overestimated by the 555 assimilation of only surface data. If upper air information is added, this drop is 556 reduced.

557 Spatial anomalies with respect to 1971-2000 accentuated the differences between 558 datasets. ERA20C displays the largest extent of positive anomalies, both in 559 geopotential height and temperature. We suggest that this is probably due to an 560 overestimation of Arctic SLP, especially before 1950 (Belleflamme et al. 2015). 561 20CRv2, 20CRv2c and REC1+ERA40 are more heterogeneous with a distinct signal of positive anomalies over the European Arctic. GCM ensemble means lack most of these features (such as the strong temperature anomaly gradient from North America, to Europe), suggesting that most of the anomalies are not forced. Additionally, we found that individual GCM members have similar anomaly conditions in 700 hPa geopotential height and temperature (not shown), which underlines the impact of internal variability.

568 This analysis showed that prescribed SST and sea ice conditions, which are similar in 569 the GCM and reanalysis datasets (except 20CRv2c), are not enough to produce the 570 ETCAW spatial pattern. In fact these boundary conditions only lead to a spatially 571 averaged warming (as can be seen in the 700 hPa temperature timeseries). 572 Furthermore, when comparing ERA20CM to ERA20C, which share model 573 architecture, specified radiative constituents, and have similar boundary conditions, it 574 becomes obvious that assimilation of observations is needed to produce the distinct 575 spatial patterns of the ETCAW.

576 The elevated temperatures in the GCMs during the ETCAW suggest that this event is 577 not completely independent of the SSTs. Dependent on the timescales, elevated SSTs 578 could trigger a change in the circulation patterns directly as well as an increase in 579 advected heat without a change in the circulation through an increase in the 580 temperature part of the advection equation. However, we found that the atmospheric 581 circulation variability is the most important factor generating the ETCAW signal 582 since the GCMs could not reproduce the main features of the reanalysis or 583 reconstructions. Therefore, our results suggest that atmospheric intrinsic variability 584 played a major role in the formation of the ETCAW. This supports the findings of 585 Wood and Overland (2010) as well as Beitsch et al. (2014), who underline the 586 atmospheric internal variability part of the ETCAW.

Based on the anomaly patterns, indices were defined to analyze the evolution of this circulation condition over time. Our results suggest a meridional circulation pattern during the ETCAW that supported maritime southerly winds over both the Atlantic and Pacific part of the Arctic. We found this to be a rather exceptional Arctic circulation condition with respect to the 20th century. The only decade that shows a similar circulation is the 1980s, however the signal is much weaker in amplitude. For the current warming period (2000-2009), no such signal is found. It is noteworthy that 594 both GCMs were not able to mirror this evolution throughout the century. Concerning 595 the influence of more zonal indices like the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), Arctic 596 Oscillation (AO) or the Pacific - North American Index (PNA), Wood and Overland 597 (2010) found that in the second half of the 20th century, after the ETCAW, AO and 598 PNA combined can explain 44% of the Arctic SAT variability. However with the 599 beginning of the SAT increase ca. 1920, meridional indices take over and display high 600 values up until ca. 1950. Therefore, our findings of increased meridionalisation over 601 the Atlantic support the results of earlier studies (Scherhag 1939, Grant et al. 2009, 602 Wood and Overland 2010).

603 Moreover, our findings agree very well with the superposed epoch analysis of 26 604 ETCAW-like events of Beitsch et al. (2014). We could show that the mechanisms 605 which governed the composite of the 26 modelled events in the study of Beitsch et al. 606 (2014) also played an important role in the actual ETCAW. Among those 607 mechanisms, we find an increase of stationary heat transport at 700 hPa (Fig. 4b) at 608 the timing of the warming in the REC1 and 20CRv2 datasets. This peak also is 609 consistent with the increased index values in the 1920s decade (Fig. 7) over the 610 Atlantic and Pacific domain. The ERA20C dataset does not show an exceptional peak 611 but rather has a period of prolonged high values (relative to this dataset's long-term 612 average) and an overall highly significant correlation with 20CRv2 and a significant 613 but smaller correlation with 20CRv2c (for correlation coefficients see supplement 614 Tables 1-5). These findings suggest and underline that the ETCAW was the result of 615 unusual internal variability. Moreover, our study points to the critical role of the 616 Pacific, which should be investigated in more detail in the future. Since this is an 617 atmosphere-only analysis, we cannot verify the ocean mechanisms proposed by 618 Beitsch et al. (2014). Additionally, we found that the ensemble mean of the 30 and 10 619 member GCMs could not resolve the dynamics needed for the spike (drop) in 620 stationary heat transport (mean meridional transport).

As Beitsch et al. (2014) found in their model analysis, we find a decrease of mean meridional heat flux at 700 hPa right before the warming in the independent (no seasurface temperatures are used) upper-air reconstruction. Finally, we investigated transient eddy fluxes at 700 hPa in the reanalysis datasets. In this case ERA20C agrees very well with 20CRv2 and 20CRv2c. Since the computation is based on a deviation from the monthly mean, it appears that the temperature monthly mean in ERA20C is probably overestimated during the ETCAW, but the daily variability agrees with other two data sets. The 1920s and 1930s together show the lowest decadal values of transient eddy heat flux during the whole 20th century. This is true for all three reanalysis products examined.

631 Summarizing the findings for heat fluxes at 700 hPa, we found a reduction in mean 632 meridional flux before the actual warming and an increase during the warming in the 633 reconstructions. However, reanalyzed values for this metric might be unreliable, as 634 suggested by the discrepancies in surface wind errors (see supplementary Figure 8) in 635 all three reanalysis datasets (see also Swart et al. 2015 for similar issues in the 636 Southern Hemisphere). Additionally, we found an increase of stationary heat flux in 637 reconstructions and reanalyses simultaneous with the ETCAW. Finally, all 638 reanalysis products show a reduction of the transient eddy flux during the ETCAW.

639 Concerning the peculiarity of the ETCAW, the question arises if just many random 640 events occurred between 1920-1939 or if there was actually a state change, either 641 natural or forced, during that time. Considering external factors that could influence a 642 warming, ENSO or volcanic eruptions (e.g. winter warming) would have the biggest 643 impacts. However, no major explosive volcanic eruption occurred during that time. El 644 Nino events occured during 1918 (Giese et al. 2010) and 1942, with weaker 645 conditions probably before and after these dates. Brönnimann et al. (2004) found a 646 detectable impact on European climate for the 1939-1942 El Niño event, which 647 temporarily interrupted the ETCAW period (Fig. 2). Nevertheless, Grant et al. (2009) 648 found a remarkable jump of temperatures right at the start of the ETCAW after which 649 temperatures plateaued at a high level. The initial trigger for this strong jump is still 650 uncertain, but so far there are no signs for an exceptional variance increase (in 651 temperature and stationary eddy flux) for the whole ETCAW period (see Supplement 652 Table 6).

In the flux timeseries analyzed, the ETCAW and the current ongoing warming are appreciably different. No noticeable increase (decrease) of stationary (transient) eddy heat flux is shown for the end of the 20th century, although a warming is clearly visible from the temperature timeseries. Therefore, our findings suggest that the ETCAW was indeed governed by an exceptional case of internal atmospheric 658 variability, rather than by changes in the Arctic radiative forcing.

659 **6.** Conclusion

660 An extensive set of simulated and observational gridded datasets was analyzed to 661 examine the atmospheric conditions and their role during the ETCAW. Evidence was 662 found for a major contribution of atmospheric internal climate variability in the spatial 663 extent and structure of the warming. Utilizing the 700 hPa heat transport as a 664 surrogate for tropospheric processes, it could be shown that reanalysis and 665 reconstruction datasets have peak values of stationary heat flux during the ETCAW. 666 We also found that the independent reconstruction shows a decrease of mean 667 meridional heat flux prior to the warming and the analysis of reanalysis datasets 668 exhibits a decrease of transient eddy heat flux into the Arctic domain. These results 669 support theoretical modelling studies and demonstrate this behaviour for the first time 670 in observational datasets.

671 Furthermore, by comparing GCM and reanalysis datasets, which share similar 672 forcings, we have shown that the specified SST, sea ice, and radiative forcings are not 673 sufficient to trigger the spatial pattern of the ETCAW. Instead, observational input is 674 needed to compute the realistic circulation and associated heat flux response. Thus it 675 can be concluded that the intrinsic atmospheric variability, rather than forcing, played 676 a major part in the formation of the ETCAW signal. These findings are consistent 677 with several previous studies. They highlight the importance of understanding the 678 influence of internal variability in the context of climate change, especially in the 679 Arctic region. Future projections of Arctic warming scenarios have to take into 680 account the likelihood of such internal dynamics. The question remains open as to the 681 precise trigger of the formation of the ETCAW circulation pattern and how different 682 flux evolutions are linked to each other. Future studies may take advantage of newly 683 digitized data with increased resolution. Coupled ocean-atmosphere datasets may 684 need to be utilized for this purpose.

685 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge funding by the European ERAnet.RUS programme, within
the project ACPCA, and by the European FP7 projects ERA-CLIM & ERA-CLIM2.
Support for the Twentieth Century Reanalysis Project version 2c dataset is provided

by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science Biological and Environmental Research (BER), and by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Climate Program Office. Support for the Twentieth Century Reanalysis Project dataset is provided by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science Innovative and Novel Computational Impact on Theory and Experiment (DOE INCITE) program, and Office of Biological and Environmental Research (BER), and by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Climate Program Office.

- 696
- 697

698 **Bibliography**

- 2k Consortium, P., 2013: Continental-scale temperature variability during the past
 two millennia. nat. geosci. 6, 339–346.
- 701 Beitsch, A., J. H. Jungclaus, and D. Zanchettin, 2014: Patterns of decadal-scale Arctic
- warming events in simulated climate. *Climate Dynamics*, **43**, 1773–1789.
- Bekryaev, R. V., I. V. Polyakov, and V. A. Alexeev, 2010: Role of polar
 amplification in long-term surface air temperature variations and modern Arctic
 warming. *Journal of Climate*, 23, 3888–3906.
- Belleflamme, A., X. Fettweis, and M. Erpicum, 2015: Recent summer Arctic
 atmospheric circulation anomalies in a historical perspective. *The Cryosphere*, 9, 53–
 64.
- Bengtsson, L., V. A. Semenov, and O. M. Johannessen, 2004: The early twentiethcentury warming in the Arctic a possible mechanism. *Journal of Climate*, 17, 4045–
 4057.
- Bhend, J., J. Franke, D. Folini, M. Wild, and S. Brönnimann, 2012: An ensemblebased approach to climate reconstructions. *Climate of the Past*, 8, 963–976.
- 714 Bindoff, N. L., P. A. Stott, M. AchutaRao, M. R. Allen, N. Gillett, D. Gutzler, K.
- 715 Hansingo, G. Hegerl, Y. Hu, S. Jain, et al., 2013: *Detection and attribution of climate*
- 716 *change: from global to regional.* Cambridge, UK.

717 Birkeland, B., 1930: Temperaturvariationen auf Spitzbergen. *Meteorologische*718 *Zeitschrift*, 47, 2.

719 Brohan, P., J. J. Kennedy, I. Harris, S. F. B. Tett, and P. D. Jones, 2006: Uncertainty

estimates in regional and global observed temperature changes: A new data set from

- 1850. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres (1984–2012), 111, D12106.
- Brönnimann, S., 2009: Early twentieth-century warming. *Nature Geoscience*, 2, 735–
 723 736.
- 724 Brönnimann, S., J. Luterbacher, J. Staehelin, T. M. Svendby, G. Hansen, and T.
- Svenøe (2004) Extreme climate of the global troposphere and stratosphere in 1940–42
- 726 related to El Niño. *Nature*, **431**, 971-974
- 727 Brönnimann, S., A. N. Grant, G. P. Compo, T. Ewen, T. Griesser, A. M. Fischer, M.

728 Schraner, and A. Stickler, 2012: A multi-data set comparison of the vertical structure

of temperature variability and change over the Arctic during the past 100 years.

- 730 *Climate Dynamics*, **39**, 1577–1598.
- 731 Compo, G. P., J. S. Whitaker, P. D. Sardeshmukh, N. Matsui, R. J. Allan, X. Yin, B.

E. Gleason, R. S. Vose, G. Rutledge, and P. Bessemoulin, 2011: The Twentieth

733 Century Reanalysis project. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society,

- 734 **137**, 1–28. DOI: 10.1002/qj.776
- 735 Compo, G.P., and P.D. Sardeshmukh, 2009: Oceanic influences on recent continental
- 736 warming. *Climate Dynamics*, **32**, 333-342, DOI: 10.1007/s00382-008-0448-9.
- 737 Cram, T. A., G. P. Compo, X. Yin, R. J. Allan, C. McColl, R. S. Vose, J. S. Whitaker,
- N. Matsui, L. Ashcroft, R. Auchmann, et al., 2015: The international surface pressure
- databank version 2. *Geoscience Data Journal*, 2, 31–46.
- 740 Crowley, T. J., G. Zielinski, B. Vinther, R. Udisti, K. Kreutz, J. Cole-Dai, and E.
- 741 Castellano, 2008: Volcanism and the little ice age. *PAGES news*, **16**, 22–23.
- 742 Delworth, T. L. and T. R. Knutson, 2000: Simulation of early 20th century global
- 743 warming. *Science*, **287**, 2246–2250.
- 744 Fyfe, J. C., K. von Salzen, N. P. Gillett, V. K. Arora, G. M. Flato, and J. R.

- McConnell, 2013: One hundred years of Arctic surface temperature variation due to
 anthropogenic influence. *Nature Scientific Reports*, **3**, 2645.
- 747 Giese, B.S., G.P. Compo, N.C. Slowey, P.D. Sardeshmukh, J.A. Carton, S. Ray, and

748 J.S. Whitaker, 2010: The 1918/1919 El Niño. Bull. Amer. Met. Soc., 91, 177-183,

- 749 DOI: 10.1175/2009BAMS2903.1
- Giese, B. S., H. F. Seidel, G. P. Compo, and P. D. Sardeshmukh, 2015: An ensemble
- of historical ocean reanalyses with sparse observational input. *Journal of Geophysical*
- 752 *Research: Oceans*, submitted.
- 753 Grant, A., S. Brönnimann, and L. Haimberger, 2008: Recent arctic warming vertical
- structure contested. *Nature*, **455**, E2–E3.
- Grant, A. N., S. Brönnimann, T. Ewen, T. Griesser, and A. Stickler, 2009: The early
 twentieth century warm period in the European Arctic. *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*,
 18, 425–432.
- Griesser, T., S. Brönnimann, A. Grant, T. Ewen, A. Stickler, and J. Comeaux, 2010:
 Reconstruction of global monthly upper-level temperature and geopotential height
- 760 fields back to 1880. *Journal of Climate*, **23**, 5590–5609.
- Hansen, J., R. Ruedy, M. Sato, and K. Lo, 2010: Global surface temperature change. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 48.
- Hanssen-Bauer, I. and E. J. Førland, 1998: Long-term trends in precipitation and
 temperature in the Norwegian Arctic: can they be explained by changes in
 atmospheric circulation patterns? *Climate Research*, 10, 143–153.
- 766 Hersbach, H., C. Peubey, A. Simmons, P. Berrisford, P. Poli, and D. Dee, 2015: ERA-
- 767 20CM: a twentieth-century atmospheric model ensemble. *Quarterly Journal of the*
- 768 Royal Meteorological Society.
- Hirahara, S., M. Ishii, and Y. Fukuda, 2014: Centennial-scale sea surface temperature
 analysis and its uncertainty. *Journal of Climate*, 27, 57–75.
- 771 IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of772 Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on

- 773 Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J.
- 774 Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge
- University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp
- Johannessen, O. M., L. Bengtsson, M. W. Miles, S. I. Kuzmina, V. A. Semenov, G. V.
- Alekseev, A. P. Nagurnyi, V. F. Zakharov, L. P. Bobylev, and L. H. Pettersson, 2004:
- 778 Arctic climate change: Observed and modelled temperature and seaice variability.
- 779 *Tellus A*, **56**, 328–341.
- 780 Jungclaus, J. H., S. J. Lorenz, C. Timmreck, C. H. Reick, V. Brovkin, K. Six, J.
- Segschneider, M. A. Giorgetta, T. J. Crowley, and J. Pongratz, 2010: Climate and
 carbon-cycle variability over the last millennium. *Climate of the Past*, 6, 723–737.
- 783 Kaufman, D. S., D. P. Schneider, N. P. McKay, C. M. Ammann, R. S. Bradley, K. R.
- 784 Briffa, G. H. Miller, B. L. Otto-Bliesner, J. T. Overpeck, and B. M. Vinther, 2009:
- Recent warming reverses long-term Arctic cooling. *Science*, **325**, 1236–1239.
- Koch, D., D. Jacob, I. Tegen, D. Rind, and M. Chin, 1999: Tropospheric sulfur
 simulation and sulfate direct radiative forcing in the Goddard Institute for Space
 Studies general circulation model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*(1984–2012), 104, 23799–23822.
- Lean, J., 2000: Evolution of the Sun's spectral irradiance since the Maunder
 Minimum. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27, 2425–2428.
- Mann, M. E., J. D. Woodruff, J. P. Donnelly, and Z. Zhang, 2009: Atlantic hurricanes
 and climate over the past 1,500 years. *Nature*, 460, 880–883.
- Opel, T., D. Fritzsche, and H. Meyer, 2013: Eurasian Arctic climate over the past
 millennium as recorded in the Akademii Nauk ice core (Severnaya Zemlya). *Climate of the Past*, 9, 2379–2389.
- 797 Overland, J. E., J. A. Francis, E. Hanna, and M. Wang, 2012: The recent shift in early
 798 summer Arctic atmospheric circulation. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **39**, L19804.
- 799 Overland, J. E. and P. Turet, 1994: Variability of the atmospheric energy flux across
 800 70 N computed from the GFDL data set. *The polar Oceans and Their Role in Shaping*

- 801 *the Global Environment*, 313–325.
- 802 Overpeck, J., K. Hughen, D. Hardy, R. Bradley, R. Case, M. Douglas, B. Finney, K.
- Gajewski, G. Jacoby, and A. Jennings, 1997: Arctic environmental change of the last
 four centuries. *Science*, 278, 1251–1256.
- 805 Poli, P., H. Hersbach, D. P. Dee, P. Berrisford, A. J. Simmons, F. Vitart, P. Laloyaux,
- D. G. H. Tan, C. Peubey, J.-N. Thépaut, Y. Trémolet, E. V. Hólm, M. Bonavita, L.
- 807 Isaksen, M. Fisher (2016) ERA-20C: An atmospheric reanalysis of the 20th century. J.
- 808 *Clim*, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0556.1
- 809 Polyakov, I. V., R. V. Bekryaev, G. V. Alekseev, U. S. Bhatt, R. L. Colony, M. A.
- B10 Johnson, A. P. Maskshtas, and D. Walsh, 2003: Variability and trends of air
- temperature and pressure in the maritime Arctic, 1875-2000. *Journal of Climate*, **16**,
- 812 2067–2077.
- 813 Pongratz, J., C. Reick, T. Raddatz, and M. Claussen, 2008: A reconstruction of global
- agricultural areas and land cover for the last millennium. *Global Biogeo- chemical Cycles*, 22, GB3018.
- 816 Rayner, N. A., D. E. Parker, E. B. Horton, C. K. Folland, L. V. Alexander, D. P.
- 817 Rowell, E. C. Kent, and A. Kaplan, 2003: Global analyses of sea surface temper-
- 818 ature, sea ice, and night marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century.
- 819 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres (1984–2012)*, **108**, 4407.
- 820 Roeckner, E., R. Brokopf, M. Esch, M. Giorgetta, S. Hagemann, L. Kornblueh, E.
- 821 Manzini, U. Schlese, and U. Schulzweida, 2006: Sensitivity of simulated climate to
- horizontal and vertical resolution in the ECHAM5 atmosphere model. *Journal of*
- 823 *Climate*, **19**, 3771–3791.
- Scherhag, R., 1939: Die Erwärmung des Polargebiets. *Annalen der Hydrographie*, 67,
 57–67.
- Schlesinger, M. E. and N. Ramankutty, 1994: An oscillation in the global climate
 system of period 65-70 years. *Nature*, **367**, 723–726.
- 828 Semenov, V. and M. Latif, 2012: The early twentieth century warming and winter
- 829 Arctic sea ice. *The Cryosphere*, **6**, 1231–1237.
- 830 Serreze, M.C. and R.G. Barry, 2011: Processes and impacts of Arctic amplification: A
- 831 research synthesis. *Global and Planetary Change*, 77, 85-96.

- 832 Swart, N.C., J.C. Fyfe, N. Gillett, G.J. Marshall, 2015: Comparing Trends in the
- 833 Southern Annular Mode and Surface Westerly Jet. *Journal of Climate*, **28**, 8840-8859.
- Thompson, D. M., J. E. Cole, G. T. Shen, A. W. Tudhope, and G. A. Meehl, 2015:
- Early twentieth-century warming linked to tropical pacific wind strength. *Nature*
- 836 *Geoscience*, **8**, 117–121.
- 837 Uppala, S. M., P. W. Kållberg, A. J. Simmons, U. Andrae, V. Bechtold, M. Fiorino, J.
- 838 K. Gibson, J. Haseler, A. Hernandez, and G. A. Kelly, 2005: The ERA-40 reanalysis.
- 839 *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **131**, 2961–3012.
- Wagner, A., 1940: *Klimaänderungen und Klimaschwankungen*. Vieweg+ TeubnerVerlag.
- 842 Wegmann, M., S. Brönnimann, J. Bhend, J. Franke, D. Folini, M. Wild, and J.
- 843 Luterbacher, 2014: Volcanic influence on European summer precipitation through
- 844 monsoons: Possible cause for "Years without Summer"*. *Journal of Cli- mate*, **27**,
- 845 3683–3691.
- 846 Wood, K. R. and J. E. Overland, 2010: Early 20th century Arctic warming in
- retrospect. *International Journal of Climatology*, **30**, 1269–1279.
- 848 Wood, K. R., J. E. Overland, T. Jónsson, and B. V. Smoliak, 2010: Air tempera- ture
- variations on the AtlanticArctic boundary since 1802. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
- **37**, L17708.
- 851 Yoshimori, M., C. C. Raible, T. F. Stocker, and M. Renold, 2010: Simulated decadal
- 852 oscillations of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation in a cold climate state.
- 853 *Climate Dynamics*, **34**, 101–121.
- 854