- 1 TITLE: Mature and developing kelp bed community composition in a glacial estuary
- 2

4 AUTHORS:

5 Sarah Beth Traiger<sup>a</sup>, corresponding author, sbtraiger@alaska.edu, (323) 369-5488

6 Brenda Konar<sup>a</sup>, bhkonar@alaska.edu

7 a. University of Alaska Fairbanks, PO Box 757220, Fairbanks, AK 99709 United States

8

9 ABSTRACT:

10 The assembly and maintenance of biological communities is influenced by environmental factors, which are predicted to shift with climate change. Glaciers are melting at increasing rates, 11 12 delivering sediment and fresh water to coastal habitats. We hypothesized that environmental 13 factors related to glacial discharge would be correlated to the initial recruitment and colonization of sessile communities in kelp beds, and to the abundance of mobile epibenthic invertebrates and 14 adult kelp. To test these hypotheses, cleared rocks were placed at six sites at 10-m depth across a 15 gradient of glacial-influence in Kachemak Bay, Alaska and the percent cover of the initial 16 recruitment and the subsequent progression of the sessile community was monitored over 18 17 18 months. Small mobile invertebrates (such as limpets and chitons) were also monitored on these 19 rocks for 18 months. Lastly, larger invertebrates (such as sea stars) and adult kelp were surveyed over the same time period along transects in the immediate vicinity of the cleared rocks. 20 Environmental factors (sedimentation rates, salinity, temperature, irradiance, and nutrient 21 22 concentration) were concurrently monitored at each site. Recruitment and subsequent colonization varied along the glacial gradient. At sites with higher sedimentation rates, 23

24 recruitment and the subsequent developing community was dominated by barnacles with little or 25 no kelp recruits and other macroalgae and high temporal variation in availability of bare space. At more oceanic sites, these communities were characterized by a slow increase in cover of 26 encrusting and upright macroalgae, also with high variability among sites. Mobile invertebrates 27 and adult kelp were more abundant at oceanic sites than the glacial sites. Using distance-based 28 linear models, inorganic sedimentation rate was correlated to patterns of kelp bed recruitment 29 30 and colonization and to the abundance of mobile invertebrates and adult kelps in the surrounding 31 area. Changes in inorganic sedimentation with climate change may alter how kelp beds are distributed and structured in glacial estuaries. 32 33 KEYWORDS: glacial melt, kelp, mobile invertebrates, recruitment, succession, sedimentation 34 35 36 1. Introduction 37 Kelp beds are important ecosystems around the world. Kelp beds support a wide range of 38 commercial, recreational and subsistence fish and invertebrate species (Efrid and Konar, 2014; 39 Hamilton and Konar, 2007; Markel and Shurin, 2015). The primary productivity in kelp beds is 40 large, and as such, kelp beds feed nearshore and offshore communities via detritus and through 41 kelp drift (Abdullah et al., 2017; Duggins et al., 2016; Yorke et al., 2013). Kelp is harvested for 42 subsistence and commercial use, as well as for herring roe, which use kelp blades for substrate 43 (Holen et al., 2012; van Tamelen and Woodby, 2001; Vásquez et al., 2012). Hence kelp beds are 44 45 important to nearshore and offshore ecosystems and to human communities. 46

47 Kelp beds naturally experience environmental and biological disturbances that result in space being cleared for recruitment. Recruitment can be affected by larval or propagule supply, 48 environmental conditions, and biotic interactions. Hydrodynamic factors such as upwelling 49 variability and current direction can affect propagule supply and the pool of species that can 50 recruit to an area (Billot et al., 2003; Menge, 2000). Environmental conditions such as high 51 sedimentation may also prevent certain organisms from establishing (Balata et al., 2007). Kelp 52 53 microscopic stage growth, survival, and subsequent recruitment may be inhibited by high 54 temperature, low nutrient conditions (Ladah and Zeruche-Gonzalez, 2007; Mabin et al., 2013; Nielsen et al., 2014) and interactions between temperature and salinity (Fredersdorf et al., 2009). 55 56 The effects of eutrophication and disturbance on kelp recruitment can vary by species, resulting in compositional changes to kelp beds (Carnell and Keough, 2014). The study of initial 57 recruitment and early colonization can give clues to ecological processes such as propagule 58 59 supply, competition, and disturbance (Benes and Carpenter, 2015; Gagnon et al., 2005; Konar and Iken, 2005; Maggi et al., 2012). Recruitment is critical for sustaining populations, so 60 understanding the effects of multiple stressors on recruitment is needed to assess population and 61 community stability (Perkol-Finkel and Airoldi, 2010). 62

63

Contrasts between communities in recently disturbed patches and more established communities can indicate environmental factors that affect adult populations. The community that persists to reproduce may be very different than the community that initially recruits. Localized mortality of macroalgae and invertebrates sometimes follows influxes of sediment or fresh water (Branch et al., 1990; Karsten, 2007). Kelp responses to warming can vary among co-existing species, potentially leading to changes in kelp bed species composition with future temperature increases 70 (Hargrave et al., 2017). Kelp beds may be replaced by filamentous turf communities under 71 persistent high sedimentation rates and elevated temperature (Bogen, 2009). Sea star movement and feeding can be inhibited by low salinity conditions (Agüera et al., 2015; Held and Harley, 72 2009). Variation in epibenthic invertebrate assemblages has been attributed to organic 73 sedimentation, sediment type, and wave exposure (Eddy and Roman, 2016). Persistent changes 74 in environmental conditions, such as increasing temperature at an ocean warming hotspot, can 75 76 result in large scale changes in kelp biomass and species composition of kelp communities 77 (Filbee-Dexter et al., 2016).

78

79 Glaciers are important features in high latitudes with direct connections to the nearshore environment (tidewater glaciers) or indirect connections through rivers fed by glaciers. Glaciers 80 are melting at accelerating rates (Neal et al., 2010), resulting in increased glacial discharge that 81 82 reduce water temperatures and salinities, increase sedimentation rates, reduce light availability (Wiencke et al., 2007), and degrade substrate quality (Spurkland and Iken, 2011). These 83 84 environmental changes have been observed to diminish benthic biodiversity and macroalgal abundance (Spurkland and Iken, 2011; Wlodarska-Kowalczuk and Weslawski, 2001); however, 85 it is not yet understood whether these declines are due to differences in survival of initial recruits 86 or drivers impacting subsequent colonization. This study investigated the role of environmental 87 factors in a glacial estuary in structuring several components of kelp bed communities including 88 1) the recruitment and subsequent colonization of the sessile community on bare rocks over a 89 time period of 18 months, and 2) mobile epibenthic invertebrate and adult kelp abundance. 90 Identifying potential drivers of kelp beds among the environmental factors affected by glacial 91

92 melt will aid in selecting variables for further study. This study also contributes to the discussion93 of how environmental drivers structure kelp bed communities in glacial estuaries.

94

95 2. Material and methods

96

97 2.1. Study Site

98

Kachemak Bay, Alaska, is a high latitude estuary whose kelp beds are exposed to an 99 environmental gradient influenced by glaciers. It is divided into an inner and outer bay at the 100 101 Homer spit, which extends several kilometers into the bay (Figure 1). Oceanic water from the Alaska Coastal Current enters the outer bay along the southern shore and flows counter-102 clockwise to then exit along the northern shore (Schoch and Chenelot, 2004). The outer, southern 103 104 bay is free of glacial sediments while the inner bay has a sedimentation gradient along the coast with lower light and salinity and greater inorganic sedimentation at the head of the bay 105 (Abookire et al., 2000; Spurkland and Iken, 2011). The counter-clockwise circulation carries 106 some glacial fresh water and sediment westward along the bay's north shore toward the mouth 107 (Gatto, 1982). Six sites at 10-m water depth were established to encompass the range of glacial 108 exposure in Kachemak Bay (Figure 1). Three sites were in the more oceanic outer bay (O1, O2, 109 and O3) and three were in the glacially fed inner bay (I1, I2, and I3). These sites were chosen to 110 visually have similar bottom slope and substrate composition. At each site, several components 111 of the biological community and various environmental factors associated with glacial melt were 112 sampled. 113

2.2. Sampling of recruitment of the sessile community and of mobile invertebrates and adult kelpover time

118

Six bare slate rocks were placed at each site to estimate recruitment of the sessile community 119 (invertebrates and macroalgae) and the presence of mobile invertebrates over time. For this, 72 120 121 rocks were collected from two intertidal sites located mid-bay (Kasitsna Bay and Jakolof Bay). 122 The rocks were scraped clean with a wire brush, placed in the sun for 48 hours to eliminate any spore bank, and individually tagged with white-out paint. All rocks were approximately 5 cm 123 124 thick, 25 cm wide and 35 cm long with two flat surfaces, one facing down to ensure that the rock did not roll and one facing up for settlement. Six rocks were randomly assigned to each site. 125 Rocks were haphazardly placed along a 10-m permanent transect along the 10-m isobath at mean 126 127 lower-low water marked with a plastic-coated steel cable.

128

Percent cover was visually estimated for all recruiting sessile invertebrates and macroalgae. 129 Individual kelp were also counted and pictures were taken of the rocks so that rock area could be 130 calculated using Image J (Schneider et al., 2012). Counts were standardized to rock surface area 131 by dividing the raw count by the surface area. The first set of rocks was deployed in March 2013. 132 A subsequent set was deployed in April 2014 to examine temporal variability in recruitment and 133 colonization. After deployment, all rocks were surveyed in April of both years, and biweekly 134 from May to September in 2013 and 2014 using SCUBA. No surveys were conducted from 135 October to March due to inclement weather. Initial recruitment and colonization were examined 136

137 over the first 1 - 6 months for rocks deployed in 2013 and 2014 and from 12 - 18 months for the 138 rocks deployed in March 2013.

139

At each site, the density of small mobile invertebrates (such as limpets and chitons) was
monitored by counting all individuals present on each experimentally cleared rock. These small
invertebrates were identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible in the field (usually to class
or lower). Counts were standardized to rock surface area by dividing the raw count by the
surface area and expressed as density per m<sup>2</sup>.

145

146 Larger invertebrates (e.g., sea stars such as *Asterias amurensis*) and adult kelp were counted

147 along two haphazardly-placed replicate 2 x 10-m transects at each site. Transects started 1 m

148 from each end of the permanent transect where cleared rocks were placed and the direction of

149 each transect was chosen haphazardly. All invertebrates and kelp along these transects were

150 identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible. Fish were not surveyed because of the

151 logistical challenges of visual surveys in low visibility conditions.

152

153 2.3. Environmental factors

154

155 At each site, environmental factors directly related to glacial discharge were monitored,

156 including sedimentation rate, bottom water temperature, irradiance, salinity, and nutrient

157 concentrations [nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>), ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>), and silicate (SiO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>)].

158 Sediment traps were used to determine sedimentation rates at each site from March to September

159 2013 and April to September 2014. These traps consisted of three polyvinyl chloride pipes with a

height: diameter ratio of 5:1 to prevent resuspension (Hargrave and Burns, 1979) and were placed with the mouth of the trap approximately 0.7 m above the bottom. Once per month, traps were retrieved and replaced with new ones. Particulate mass flux was quantified by filtering the trap samples onto pre-weighed Whatman GF/F glass microfiber filters (0.7  $\mu$ m). Filters were dried for 24 h at 60°C to obtain dry weight. Filters were then burned for 6 h at 500°C and reweighed to determine organic content as the ash-free dry weight. Inorganic content was estimated as the remaining content after the organic content was burned off.

167

Bottom temperature and irradiance (photon intensity per area) were recorded hourly at each site 168 169 using Honest Observer by Onset (HOBO) Pendant data loggers (Onset Computers, Bourne, Massachusetts) fixed to cinderblocks on the sea floor. Two-week averages of temperature and 170 171 irradiance were calculated from daily averages of the hourly data. For irradiance, data points 172 between sunset and sunrise were excluded. The maximum and minimum temperature and maximum irradiance value within each two-week period were also included as variables in our 173 analysis. Minimum irradiance was not used because the minimum irradiance was always zero. 174 Due to loss of data loggers, no data are available for some of the outer sites (O1 in 2013 and O2 175 and O3 from July to September 2013). 176

177

Bottom salinity was measured with a hand-held multi-parameter instrument (Yellow Springs
Instruments ProPlus, Yellow Springs Instrument Company, Yellow Springs, Ohio) at each site
and each sampling event in 2013. In 2014, salinity was monitored hourly with HOBO
conductivity U24 data loggers (Onset Computers, Bourne, Massachusetts) fixed to cinderblocks
next to the light and temperature loggers at each site. Two-week averages of the daily average

salinity data from 2014 were used in the analysis. Maximum and minimum salinity in each two
week period was compared to long-term colonization (2014 data). Only mean salinity was
compared to 1 – 6 month colonization because maximum and minimum salinities were not
available; only one salinity measurement was taken at each site and each sampling event in 2013.

187

For nutrient analysis, water samples were collected from less than one meter above the bottom at 188 189 each site on each visit. Water samples were filtered with Nalgene syringe filters (0.45 µm) within 190 one hour of collection and transported back to the lab in a cooler with ice. Water samples were then frozen for up to five months until nutrient analysis. Nutrient samples were analyzed 191 192 approximately one month after the last sample was taken, so samples collected earlier in the 193 summer were frozen for longer than those collected at the end of the summer. Nitrate, 194 ammonium, phosphate, and silicate were measured with a Technicon AutoAnalyzer II (SEAL 195 Analytical Inc., Mequon, Wisconsin).

196

197 2.5. Data Analysis

198

Multivariate analyses were carried out in PRIMER, a multivariate statistical software package
(v7, Plymouth Marine Laboratories). Before statistical analyses, all variables in each data set
were examined for univariate correlations using draftsman plots (Clarke et al., 2014). Abundance
of the sea star *Leptasterias hexactis* was deleted because of high correlations with other variables
(r > 0.95, Clarke et al., 2014). Biological data were 4<sup>th</sup>-root transformed to prevent the most
abundant organisms from dominating the analysis (McCune et al., 2002). Percent cover data
were analyzed separately from kelp recruitment density data, so no standardization was needed.

Environmental data (sedimentation rates, minimum and maximum temperature, mean and
maximum light, salinity, nitrate, ammonium, phosphate, and silicate) were normalized by
subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation for each variable (Clarke et al.,
209 2014).

210

PERMANOVA and SIMPER analyses were used to describe spatial differences in the biological 211 212 community. Separate analyses were conducted on the sessile community that recruited onto the 213 cleared rocks, the mobile invertebrate data sets, and the adult kelp transect data. Separate Bray-Curtis similarity matrices were generated from the multivariate percent-cover data from rocks 214 215 deployed in March 2013 (except for site O2 where rocks were deployed in May) through September 2014, the mobile invertebrate density, and the adult kelp transect density. Percent 216 cover data among replicate rocks were averaged at each site for each sampling event. Adult kelp 217 218 transect data were averaged for the two replicate transects at each site and sampling event. The PERMANOVA design included Region (fixed, 2 levels: Outer Bay, Inner Bay), Site (random, 219 220 nested in Region, 6 levels: O1, O2, O3, I1, I2, I3), Month (random, 6 levels: April, May, June, July, August, September), and Year (random, 2 levels: 2013, 2014). To account for the repeated 221 measures aspect of the study design for the percent cover recruitment data, the number of months 222 rocks were deployed was included as a covariate and designated as "Age." SIMPER analysis was 223 used to determine species that contributed to similarity within each site and constructed time 224 series plots to visualize changes in percent cover over time for the most important species. 225 226 PERMANOVA analysis based on Euclidean distance and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) 227 were used to describe spatial and temporal variation in environmental data.

10

229 Distance-based linear models (DistLM) were used to determine the importance of environmental factors for affecting each biological community data set. "Age" was included as an ordinal 230 variable available for the model to select for the analysis with cleared rocks. Step-wise selection 231 procedure and adjusted R<sup>2</sup> selection criteria were used. 232 233 3. Results 234 235 3.1. Patterns of sessile community colonization, mobile invertebrates and adult kelp abundance 236 over time 237 238 There were clear differences in initial recruitment and early colonization over the first six months 239 between the inner bay and outer bay regions (Figures 2AB, 3). The two regions differed in the 240 241 composition of early colonizers (Table 1). Species that contributed to similarity within the outer bay included spirorbid worms, encrusting brown and red algae, and filamentous brown algae 242 (Table 2A). Bare space was abundant at outer bay sites for the first six months after rocks were 243 deployed, while percent cover of encrusting brown and red algae and filamentous brown algae 244 gradually increased in the early summer (SI Table 1). In the inner bay, barnacles quickly 245 colonized rocks, resulting in a decline in availability of bare space (SI Table 1). Barnacles and 246 hydroids contributed to similarity within the inner bay (Table 2B), while these were largely 247 absent from the outer bay. Kelp recruits appeared at site O1 in June and O2 in July, while no 248 kelp recruits appeared at O3 (SI Table 2). Kelp recruits appeared in July at I1, in May at I2, and 249 no kelp recruits appeared at I3 (SI Table 2). Juvenile Saccharina latissima occurred at O1, O2, 250 and I1. Juvenile Agarum clathratum occurred at O1 and O2. Juvenile Laminaria yezoensis 251

occurred at O1. Even after variability due to "Age" was partitioned, there were still significant effects of region (Table 1, P = 0.003), and site nested within region (Table 1, P = 0.005). Colonization over time differed between regions and among sites, as indicated by the significant interaction between "Age" and these factors (Table 1).

256

In the second summer of colonization (12 - 18 months after rocks were deployed) differences in 257 258 community structure among sites became more apparent with less distinction between regions 259 (Figure 2B). This can be seen from the tight clustering of data points by site on the MDS (Figure 2B) and the larger *Pseudo-F* value associated with site (region) than region (Table 3). During 260 261 this time period, there was no longer a significant effect of the "Age" covariate (Table 3). However, some sites were more variable over time than others, which is reflected by the spread 262 of points within sites in Figure 2B and the significant interaction of "Age" and sites (region) 263 264 (Table 3). The number of species contributing to similarity was higher in both regions over the 12 - 18 month time period than the 1 - 6 month period. In the outer bay, encrusting brown algae 265 and spirorbid worms were again among the most important contributors to similarity (Table 4A). 266 Percent cover of these groups remained similar through this time in the outer bay (SI Table 3). 267 O3 in the outer bay, located on the northern side of Kachemak Bay, varied from the other two 268 outer bay sites in the high availability space during this period. This can be seen by the 269 placement of the O3 data points near the top of the plot where the vector for bare space indicates 270 high availability of bare space (Figure 2B). As in the 1 - 6 month period, barnacles and hydroids 271 were among the most important contributors to similarity within the inner bay (Table 4B). I1 in 272 the inner bay had lower cover of barnacles, and this can be seen in Figure 2B by the placement of 273 these data points closer to the outer bay sites where barnacles were absent or very rare (Figure 274

275 2B). Kelp was more abundant at the outer bay than inner bay (SI Table 4). *Saccharina latissima*276 and *A. clathratum* grew to adult size at sites where they occurred. In contrast to sites O1 and O2,
277 only one kelp recruit occurred at O3, which became identifiable as a juvenile *A. clathratum* in
278 late summer. Only two *Nereocystis luetkeana* appeared at site O1 and these only survived for one
279 month.

280

Abundance of both smaller and larger mobile invertebrates differed between the outer and inner bay (Figure 2C, SI Table 5). Unidentified limpets contributed 40% to similarity within the outer bay and were the most abundant herbivores (Figure 2C, SI Table 6). Other mobile invertebrates including the chiton *Tonicella* spp., and the green sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis* were also more abundant in the outer bay region. The sea star *Asterias amurensis* only occurred at inner bay sites.

287

The adult kelp assemblage surveyed in transects differed between the outer bay and the glaciallyinfluenced inner bay region (Figure 2D, SI Table 7). Abundance of kelp was generally higher in the outer bay (SI Table 8). The understory kelps, *A. clathratum* and *S. latissima* contributed to similarity within both regions and were more abundant in the outer bay (SI Table 8). The canopy-forming kelps, *N. luetkeana* and *Eualaria fistulosa* were never observed in the inner bay or at site O3.

294

295 3.2. Environmental factors

297 Glacially influenced environmental factors were significantly different among months (SI Table 9). The PCA reflects the PERMANOVA by showing clear separation of data points among 298 months (Figure 4A). Maximum temperature and mean salinity showed seasonal changes in both 299 regions (SI Table 10). Maximum temperature increased from May to September and salinity 300 declined in late summer (Figure 4A). There was high variability in inorganic and organic 301 sedimentation rates, though rates were highest in late summer for the inner bay region (SI Table 302 303 10). Phosphate concentration increased slightly at the end of the summer at both regions (SI 304 Table 10, Figure 4A).

305

306 In contrast, regions were not clearly distinguished by environmental factors (SI Table 9, Figure 4B) although there were significant differences in environmental factors among some sites (SI 307 Table 11). There were significant differences in environmental conditions between the most 308 309 upstream site, site O1, and all inner bay sites (SI Table 11B). Site O2, on the southern side of the outer bay was only significantly different from site I3, a downstream site (SI Table 11B). 310 311 Although overall environmental conditions were not significantly different between the outer and inner bay regions, some differences in variables were apparent between regions over time. 312 Inorganic sedimentation rates were almost always higher at the inner bay sites, (Figure 5). The 313 exceptions to this pattern are in May 2013, where inorganic sedimentation rates at O1 were 314 unusually high and for site O3, which occasionally had high rates of inorganic sedimentation 315 (Figure 5). Correspondingly, irradiance was almost always lower at the inner bay region than the 316 317 outer bay region (SI Table 10). Concentration of silicate tended to be higher in the outer bay earlier in the summer, while ammonium concentration tended to be higher in the inner bay later 318 in the summer (SI Table 10). 319

- 321 3.3. Biological correlations with environmental factors
- 322

323 Colonization in the first summer that rocks were deployed (1-6 months) was most strongly correlated to maximum temperature, followed by inorganic sedimentation rate, and mean 324 temperature. These three variables together explained 46.3% of the variation in the recruited rock 325 326 communities (Table 5B). Organic sedimentation also explained 4.1% of the variation (Table 5A). 327 The "Age" factor also explained a small amount of the variation (Table 5A). Correlations with temperature and rock "Age" is likely related to the seasonal increase in overall percent cover of 328 329 organisms on the rocks. Variation in rock colonization in the second summer (12-18 months) was related to inorganic sedimentation explaining 29.5% of variation (Table 5B). 330

331

332 DistLM analysis was additionally performed with kelp recruit density (including unidentified recruits < 2 cm in length) in the first summer (1-6 months). Variation in kelp recruit density was 333 related to maximum irradiance (*Pseudo* -F = 7.6674, P = 0.011, variation explained = 16.1%). 334 DistLM analysis was also conducted on density of kelp that occurred on cleared rocks during the 335 12 – 18 month period. This included unidentified kelp recruits (< 2 cm in length), S. latissima, A. 336 clathratum, L. yezoensis, Cymathaere triplicata, and N. luetkeana. Variation in kelp density on 337 rocks was best correlated to maximum irradiance (*Pseudo-F* = 11.129, *P* = 0.001, variation 338 explained 30.8%), "Age" (*Pseudo-F* = 3.157, P = 0.027, variation explained = 8.0%), mean 339 340 temperature (*Pseudo-F* = 5.126, *P* = 0.001, variation explained = 11.1%), and inorganic sedimentation rate (*Pseudo-F* = 3.126, *P* = 0.033, variation explained = 6.2%). 341 342

343	Inorganic sedimentation rate was the variable most strongly correlated to mobile invertebrate and
344	adult kelp distribution (Table 5CD). Inorganic sedimentation explained 14.0% of variation in the
345	mobile invertebrate assemblage, followed by silicate concentration (8.7%) and ammonium
346	concentration (7.2%, Table 5C). Inorganic sedimentation explained 25.6% of variation in adult
347	kelp abundance, followed by silicate concentration which explained 6.9% (Table 5D).
348	
349	
350	4. Discussion
351	
352	Monitoring initial recruitment and colonization by sessile communities can help to form
353	hypotheses about successional processes in kelp beds. Kelp bed community recruitment and
354	development in the glacially influenced estuary examined in this study differed between the
355	oceanic-influenced outer region and the glacially-influenced inner region. The most abundant
356	members of the recruiting communities were spirorbid worms and macroalgae in the outer bay
357	and barnacles in the inner bay. This is similar to patterns of macroalgal abundance in relation to
358	sedimentation in Ireland (Maughan, 2001), the Mediterranean (Balata et al., 2007), and Norway
359	(Bogen, 2009). Our observations of higher cover of hydroids in the inner bay agrees with an
360	experimental study finding that sessile organisms with upright growth forms, including hydroids
361	were unaffected by sedimentation (Houle, 2015). Similar to high sediment coastal lagoons in
362	south Texas, we observed high barnacle recruitment despite the presence of sediment (Gray,
363	2014). Shifts between algal and invertebrate-dominated communities have also been observed in
364	Antarctica when changes in sea ice affected sedimentation and light conditions (Clark et al,
365	2017).

Similar to other high-latitude studies, in this study bare space was colonized slowly (Barnes and 367 Conlan, 2007; Konar, 2007). Although most kelp and red macroalgal species are reproductive 368 during early summer in Kachemak Bay (personal observation), kelp recruitment was slow and 369 not observed until two to three months after rocks were deployed (SI Table 1). This may be 370 because kelp gametophytes can delay reproduction and production of macroscopic sporophytes 371 372 until they are triggered by changing environmental factors such as light or nutrients (Carney and 373 Edwards, 2006). Slow substrate colonization in high latitude systems compared to temperate systems has been reported previously (Barnes and Conlan, 2007). For example, in an Arctic 374 375 boulder field, experimentally cleared boulders still had over 90% bare space after 3 years (Konar, 376 2007). In contrast, recovery of kelp cover over several weeks has been observed in experimental and natural clearings at lower latitudes (Barradas et al., 2011; Dayton et al., 1992). In many 377 378 systems, following a disturbance, typical succession process includes early opportunists followed by later slower growing species. In rocky temperate intertidal systems, early opportunists can 379 380 include Ulva spp. and filamentous algae, which may be later replaced by slower growing red macroalgae (Aquilino and Stachowicz, 2012; Kraufvelin et al., 2007). In our system, the early 381 opportunists were spirorbid worms and barnacles. Spirorbid worms are also abundant early 382 colonizers in highly disturbed subtidal habitats in Antarctica (Barnes and Conlan, 2007). 383 384

Competitive interactions among early recruits to bare space may be stronger in the inner bay region with high barnacle recruitment than at the outer bay. Recruitment rates varied among our sites with barnacles quickly colonizing bare space in the inner bay and with recruitment occurring much more slowly by spirorbid worms and macroalgae in the outer bay. Competition 389 for space may not have been important in the early stages of colonization in the outer bay where 390 bare space was abundant during the first summer of the study. Preemptive competition among macroalgae has been shown to be an important structuring mechanism of communities in other 391 systems. For example, in littoral rock pools in Italy, turf forming algae and Cystoseira spp. have 392 non-hierarchical competitive interactions where whichever group is present in higher density can 393 exclude recruitment of the other group; however, when turf algae and *Cystoseira* spp. recruit at 394 395 the same time, they can coexist (Benedetti-Cecchi and Cinelli, 1996). This type of competition 396 between Cystoseira spp. and turf algae can be more important in shaping communities than storm disturbance (Maggi et al., 2012). Similarly, in subtidal kelp beds in Australia, dense mats 397 398 of filamentous algae can preempt kelp recruitment (Connell and Russell, 2010). Hence, the availability of bare space and the availability of propagules can be crucial to the coexistence of 399 species. Encrusting algae can inhibit kelp recruitment; however, kelp canopies can persist despite 400 401 high cover of encrusting algae because kelp produce very high numbers of spores, which can settle in minute bare patches or on top of encrusting algae (Okamoto et al., 2013). Although there 402 403 is strong evidence that space is limiting in many sessile communities (Benes and Carpenter, 2015; Gorman and Connell, 2009; Maggi et al., 2012), we should be cautious in our 404 interpretation of high availability of bare space as an indication of low competition, as members 405 of the sessile community may be competing for other limiting resources such as planktonic food 406 407 (Svensson and Marshall, 2015).

408

Some glacially-influenced environmental factors were significantly correlated to patterns of
recruitment and colonization. While many environmental factors were similar among sites,
inorganic sedimentation differed with the highest rates at sites downstream of glacial discharge

412 point sources. In addition, colonization in the first summer (1-6 months) was primarily driven by temperature and inorganic sedimentation while colonization in the second summer (12-18 413 months) was only correlated with inorganic sedimentation (Table 5AB). Irradiance was 414 correlated to kelp recruitment in the first and second summers. Our results agree with previous 415 studies finding that sedimentation and irradiance are important factors structuring macroalgal 416 communities (Bogen, 2009; Clark et al., 2017; Desmond et al., 2015). Low salinity can cause 417 418 osmotic stress in recruiting barnacles, causing latent effects on growth and survival (Nasrolahi et 419 al., 2013; Qiu and Qian, 1999). Sedimentation inhibits recruitment of spirorbid polychaetes (Connell, 2003). Kelp recruitment is negatively correlated to sedimentation rates (Spurkland and 420 421 Iken, 2011; Valentine and Johnson, 2005) and sedimentation and low salinity decrease the success of *Nereocystis luetkeana* spore settlement and attachment (Deiman et al., 2012), and 422 423 gametophyte survival and growth (Lind and Konar, 2017). Sediments can kill early macroalgal 424 and invertebrate life stages through burial or smothering, scour, and changes in substrate stability (Airoldi, 2003). In southeastern Tasmania, kelp recruits transplanted to urchin barrens, where 425 426 thick sediment layers accumulate, experienced high mortality, even when urchins were excluded (Valentine and Johnson, 2005). Irradiance was correlated to kelp abundance on rocks in this 427 study. In some cases sedimentation can cause light limiting conditions for kelp (Desmond et al., 428 2015) but sediment can also protect kelp from high light (Roleda and Dethleff, 2011). 429

430

431 Similar to recruitment and colonization, the composition and abundance of mobile invertebrates
432 and adult kelp differed between these two regions. Mobile invertebrates and adult kelp in the
433 established community were more abundant in the oceanic-influenced outer bay. Some glacially434 influenced environmental factors were significantly correlated to the surrounding community.

435	For example, inorganic sedimentation was significantly correlated to mobile invertebrates and
436	adult kelp. The identification of inorganic sedimentation as a potential driver of kelp and mobile
437	invertebrate assemblage structure is supported by similar observations of differences in
438	abundance in relation to sedimentation (Airoldi and Virgilio, 1998; Bogen, 2009) and
439	experimental studies indicating that sedimentation can cause mortality of kelp and invertebrates
440	(Deiman et al., 2012; Geange et al., 2014; Kawamata et al., 2012; Walker, 2007). Sediment has
441	been shown to directly affect the spatial distribution, survival, and grazing of limpets (Airoldi
442	and Hawkins, 2007; Branch et al., 1990). Observed low mobile invertebrate abundances were
443	associated with high rates of inorganic sedimentation at the glacially-influenced, inner bay sites.
444	Mobile invertebrates may be more abundant upstream of glacial discharge due to lower
445	sedimentation rates (Airoldi and Hawkins, 2007), or due to the higher abundance of kelp in the
446	surrounding community that many mobile invertebrates feed on (Bustamante et al., 1995).
447	Tonicella spp. also graze benthic diatoms (Latyshev et al., 2004), which may be more abundant
448	at sites with low glacial influence.
449	
450	The environmental conditions observed in this study were more spatially homogeneous than
451	expected, which indicates that currents are important in spreading glacially-influenced
452	water throughout Kachemak Bay. Our findings contrast previous work in Kachemak Bay,
453	which found large differences in surface salinity, water column salinity stratification,
454	temperature, irradiance, and nitrate concentrations between sites upstream and
455	downstream of glacial discharge (Abookire et al., 2000; Spurkland and Iken, 2011).
456	Conductivity temperature depth (CTD) profiles conducted at Halibut Cove, downstream

457 of our site I1, showed that there is a halocline at 4-m depth, below which salinities are

similar to those found in the outer bay (Schoch and Chenelot, 2004). In Kachemak Bay, 458 Spurkland and Iken (2011) observed differences in salinity and irradiance at 5-m depth, 459 but in this study, sites were at 10-m depth, so the low salinity, low temperature, low 460 nitrate, high sediment water from glacial discharge, though present, may have reached the 461 loggers or experimental rocks at the deeper depth only occasionally. Stratification may 462 therefore partially protect subtidal kelp beds from glacial meltwater-related effects. 463 464 Similarly, flooding of the Orange River in Africa caused high mortality of algae and invertebrates in the intertidal zone while the subtidal zone was not affected (Branch et al., 465 1990). Rates of inorganic sedimentation did follow spatial patterns similar to previous 466 467 findings in this and other systems (Spurkland and Iken, 2011; Svendsen et al., 2002). Similar to the Kongsfjorden glacial fjord system in Svalbard, we observed a gradient in 468 inorganic sedimentation with rates declining toward the mouth of the bay (Svendsen et 469 470 al., 2002). Some of our sites (site I1 & O3) may be located at regions representing transitions between the outer bay oceanic conditions and the inner bay glacially-471 influenced conditions. Circulation patterns may help homogenize conditions around the 472 bay by spreading glacial fresh water and sediment to the north shore of the outer bay. The 473 incoming current from Cook Inlet on the southern side of the inner bay may mix with the 474 fresher and more turbid waters of the inner bay, decreasing the glacial influence along the 475 southern side of inner Kachemak Bay (i.e., site I1, Figure 1). As glacial discharge 476 increases with warming temperatures in the future, our expectations about locations to be 477 affected by changing water conditions will be based on the extent of glacial influence, 478 including sedimentation, possibly reaching tens of kilometers past point sources of glacial 479 discharge (Svendsen et al., 2002; this study). 480

In addition to glacial melt, other factors are also likely important to the observed patterns in the 482 biological community. We expected to see some similarities in early colonizers between 483 upstream and downstream sites due to the common water mass that passes through the study area 484 (Figure 1), possibly resulting in a somewhat similar propagule pool reaching multiple sites; 485 however, there were few early colonizers in common between sites upstream and downstream of 486 487 glacial discharge. Spirorbid polychaetes were among the earliest colonizers at sites upstream of 488 discharge while barnacles were early colonizers downstream of glacial discharge. Several processes could be responsible for this pattern. A hydrodynamic front located at the boundary of 489 490 the inner and outer bay at Homer spit may concentrate larvae, leading to higher transport into the inner bay, as was observed for the decorator crab, Oregonia gracilis (Murphy and Iken, 2014). 491 Concentration and net transport into the inner bay may explain the much higher abundance of 492 493 species with longer larval duration such as barnacles in the inner bay than was observed in the outer bay. Spirorbid worms, which were common upstream but not downstream of glacial 494 495 discharge, brood their larvae (Knight-Jones et al., 1991), and presumably have shorter dispersal distance than many other marine invertebrates such as barnacles. Encrusting algae such as 496 crustose corallines also have short dispersal distances (Opazo and Otaiza, 2007), so populations 497 upstream of glacial discharge may not supply propagules to glacially-influenced sites. The 498 abundance of adult kelp at each site also likely affected propagule supply to our experimental 499 rocks and may have contributed to the observed differences in kelp recruitment between the 500 501 inner and outer bay regions. Kelp have short dispersal distances (Gaylord et al., 2004) and 502 experimentally increasing adult density or reproductive material can increase local recruitment (Fejtek et al., 2011; Hernandez-Carmona et al., 2000). 503

## 505 4.1. Implications

506

Glacial melt may have significant effects on kelp communities through impacts on recruitment, 507 survival, and interactions among species. As glacial melt dynamics are altered by climate 508 change, the influence of glacially-influenced environmental factors is likely to change. This 509 510 study contributes to the body of evidence indicating that kelp are negatively affected by 511 sedimentation and could be threatened by increased glacial melt with climate change (Spurkland and Iken, 2011; Zacher et al., 2016). Increased sedimentation rates have already been associated 512 513 with decreased diversity and dominance of a few opportunistic species (Balata et al., 2007; 514 Connell, 2007; Pratt et al., 2014). In Alaska and other high latitude systems, we can expect kelp beds to be negatively impacted by the spread or increase of glacial sediment (Zacher et al., 515 516 2016). Climate change may also cause the peak in glacial discharge to shift from late summer and early fall to earlier in the summer. A better understanding of how environmental variables 517 518 impact recruitment and colonization may help predict the effects of changes in glacial melt dynamics on kelp bed communities. Globally, kelp beds naturally experience environmental 519 disturbances and can be highly resilient. In California, kelp beds can be greatly thinned or 520 eliminated by El Niño conditions of high temperature and low nutrients, but kelp can quickly 521 recover when conditions return to normal (Dayton et al., 1992). Other environmental 522 disturbances may result in phase shifts with more permanent effects. In Australia, coastal 523 development led to reduced water quality (eutrophic and high sedimentation), causing a phase 524 525 shift from kelp to turf algal dominated (Connell and Irving, 2008). It is critical to understand the

impacts of changing environmental conditions on existing and developing kelp beds, as they provide important ecosystem services. 527

528

COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STANDARDS: We have no conflicts of interest. 529

530

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** 531

532 This publication is the result of research sponsored by Alaska Sea Grant with funds from the 533 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Office of Sea Grant, Department of Commerce, under grant no. NA14OAR4170079 (project no. R/101-09), and from the University 534 535 of Alaska with funds appropriated by the state. This research was also supported by a University of Alaska Fairbanks Center for Global Change Student Research Grant with funds from the 536 Cooperative Institute for Alaska Research. We thank the staff at the Kasitsna Bay Laboratory for 537 538 lab and boating support. We thank our volunteers Anne Benolkin, Shae Bowman, Richard Doering, Elizaveta Ershova, Nathan Green, Ira Hardy, Alyssa Lind, Kim Powell, Martin 539 Schuster, Alexandra Ravelo, Lander Ver Hoef, and Eric Wood and for their assistance with field 540 work. We are grateful to Matthew Edwards, Sarah Hardy, Wayne Litaker, and Stephen Okkonen 541 for their input on the manuscript. 542

543

LITERATURE CITED: 544

545

Abookire, A.A., Piatt, J.F., Robards, M.D., 2000. Nearshore fish distributions in an Alaskan 546 estuary in relation to stratification, temperature and salinity. Estuar. Coastal Shelf S. 51, 547 45-59. 548

549	Abdullah, M.I., Fredriksen, S., Christie, H., 2017. The impact of the kelp (Laminaria
550	hyperborea) forest on the organic matter content in sediment of the west coast of
551	Norway. Mar. Biol. Res. 13, 151-160.
552	Airoldi, L., 2003. The effects of sedimentation on rocky coast assemblages. Oceanogr. Mar.
553	Biol. 41, 161-236.
554	Airoldi, L., Hawkins, S.J., 2007. Negative effects of sediment deposition on grazing activity and
555	survival of the limpet Patella vulgata. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 332, 235-240.
556	Airoldi, L., Virgilio, M., 1998. Responses of turf-forming algae to spatial variations in the
557	deposition of sediments. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 165, 271-282.
558	Agüera, A., Schellekens, T., Jansen, J.M., Smaal, A.C., 2015. Effects of osmotic stress on
559	predation behaviour of Asterias rubens L. J. Sea. Res. 99, 9-16.
560	Aquilino, K.M., Stachowicz, J.J., 2012. Seaweed richness and herbivory increase rate of
561	community recovery from disturbance. Ecology 93, 879-890.
562	Balata, D., Piazzi, L., Cinelli, F., 2007. Increase of sedimentation in a subtidal system: Effects on
563	the structure and diversity of macroalgal assemblages. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 351, 73-
564	82.
565	Barnes, D.K., Conlan, K.E., 2007. Disturbance, colonization and development of Antarctic
566	benthic communities. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. 362, 11-38.
567	Barradas, A., Alberto, F., Engelen, A.H., Serrao, E.A., 2011. Fast sporophyte replacement after
568	removal suggests banks of latent microscopic stages of Laminaria ochroleuca
569	(Phaeophyceae) in tide pools in northern Portugal. Cah. Biol. Mar. 52, 435-439.

570	Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Cinelli, F., 1996. Patterns of disturbance and recovery in littoral rock
571	pools: nonhierarchical competition and spatial variability in secondary succession. Mar.
572	Ecol. Prog. Ser. 135, 145-161.
573	Benes, K.M., Carpenter, R.C., 2015. Kelp canopy facilitates understory algal assemblage via
574	competitive release during early stages of secondary succession. Ecology 96, 241-251.
575	Billot, C., Engel, C.R., Rousvoal, S., Kloareg, B., Valero, M., 2003. Current patterns, habitat
576	discontinuities and population genetic structure: the case of the kelp Laminaria digitata
577	in the English Channel. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 253, 111-121.
578	Bogen, J., 2009. The impact of environmental changes on the sediment loads of Norwegian
579	rivers. Catena 79, 251-256.
580	Branch, G.M., Eekhout, S., Bosman, A.L., 1990. Short-term effects of the 1988 Orange River
581	floods on the intertidal rocky-shore communities of the open coast. T. Roy. Soc. S. Afr.
582	47, 331-354.
583	Bustamante, R.H., Branch, G.M., Eekhout, S., 1995. Maintenance of an exceptional intertidal
584	grazer biomass in South Africa: subsidy by subtidal kelps. Ecology 76, 2314-2329.
585	Carnell, P.E., Keough, M.J., 2014. Spatially variable synergistic effects of disturbance and
586	additional nutrients on kelp recruitment and recovery. Oecologia 175, 409-416.
587	Carney, L.T., Edwards, M.S., 2006. Cryptic processes in the sea: a review of delayed
588	development in the microscopic life stages of marine macroalgae. Algae 21, 161-168.
589	Clarke, K.R., Gorley, R.N., Sommerfield, P.J., Warwick, R.M., 2014. Change in marine
590	communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation, 3rd edition. PRIMER-
591	E, Plymouth

593	light and sedimentation in structuring shallow Antarctic benthic communities. PLOS One
594	12, e0168391.
595	Connell, S.D., 2003. Negative effects overpower the positive of kelp to exclude invertebrates
596	from the understorey community. Oecologia 137, 97-103.
597	Connell, S.D., 2007. Water quality and the loss of coral reefs and kelp forests: alternative states
598	and the influence of fishing. In: Connell, S.D., Gillanders, B.M., (eds) Marine Ecology.
599	Sydney, Australia 21: 556-568.
600	Connell, S.D., Irving, A.D., 2008. Integrating ecology with biogeography using landscape
601	characteristics: a case study of subtidal habitat across continental Australia. J. Biogeogr.
602	35, 1608-1621.
603	Connell, S.D., Russell, B.D., 2010. The direct effect of increasing CO <sub>2</sub> and temperature on non-
604	calcifying organisms: increasing the potential for phase shifts in kelp forests. P. Roy. Soc.
605	277, 1409-1415.
606	Dayton, P.K., Tegner, M.J., Parnell, P.E., Edwards, P.B. 1992. Temporal and spatial patterns of
607	disturbance and recovery in a kelp forest community. Ecol. Monogr. 62, 421-445.
608	Deiman, M., Iken, K., Konar, B., 2012. Susceptibility of Nereocystis luetkeana (Laminariales,
609	Ochrophyta) and Eualaria fistulosa (Laminariales, Ochrophyta) spores to sedimentation.
610	Algae 27, 115-123.
611	Desmond, M.J., Pritchard, D.W., Hepburn, C.D., 2015. Light limitation within southern New
612	Zealand kelp forest communities. PLoS One 10, e0123676.
613	Duggins, D.O., Gomez-Buckley, M.C., Buckley, R.M., Lowe, A.T., Galloway, A.W.E., Dethier,
614	M.N., 2016. Islands in the stream: kelp detritus as faunal magnets. Mar. Biol. 163, 17-27.

Clark, G.F., Stark, J.S., Palmaer, A.S., Riddle, M.J., Johnston, E.L., 2017. The roles of sea-ice,

615	Eddy, E.N., Roman, C.T., 2016. Relationship between epibenthic invertebrate species
616	assemblages and environmental variables in Boston Harbor's intertidal habitat. Northeast.
617	Nat. 23, 45-66.
618	Efird, T.P., Konar, B., 2014. Habitat characteristics can influence fish assemblages in high
619	latitude kelp forests. Environ. Biol. Fish. 97, 1253-1263.
620	Fejtek, S.M., Edwards, M.S., Kim, K.Y., 2011. Elk kelp, Pelagophycus porra, distribution
621	limited due to susceptibility of microscopic stages to high light. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.
622	396, 194-201.
623	Filbee-Dexter, K., Feehan, C.J., Scheibling, R.E., 2016. Large-scale degradation of a kelp
624	ecosystem in an ocean warming hotspot. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 543, 141-152.
625	Fredersdorf, J., Muller, R., Becker, S., Wiencke, C., Bischof, K., 2009. Interative effects of
626	radiation, temperature and salinity on different life history stages of the Arctic kelp
627	Alaria esculenta (phaeophyceae). Oecologia 160, 483-492.
628	Gagnon, P., Johnson, L.E., Himmelman, J.H., 2005. Kelp patch dynamics in the face of intense
629	herbivory: stability of Agarum clathratum (Phaeophyta) stands and associated flora on
630	urchin barrens. J. Phycol. 41, 498-505.
631	Gatto, L.W., 1982. Ice distribution and winter surface circulation patterns, Kachemak Bay,
632	Alaska. Remote Sens. Environ. 12, 421-435.
633	Gaylord, B., Reed, D.C., Washburn, L., Raimondi, P.T., 2004. Physical – biological coupling in
634	spore dispersal of kelp forest macroalgae. J. Marine Syst. 49, 19-39.
635	Geange, S.W., Powell, A., Clemens-Seely, K., Cárdenas, C.A., 2014. Sediment load and timing
636	of sedimentation affect spore establishment in Macrocystis pyrifera and Undaria
637	pinnatifida. Mar. Biol. 161, 1583-1592.

- Gorman, D., Connell, S.D., 2009. Recovering subtidal forests in human-dominated landscapes. J.
  Appl. Ecol. 46, 1258-1265.
- Gray, J.J., 2014. Sedimentation and barnacle recruitment and growth in a shallow coastal lagoon
  of south Texas. Masters Thesis, University of Texas.
- Hamilton, J., Konar, B., 2007. Implications of substrate complexity and kelp variability for
  south-central Alaskan nearshore fish communities. Fish. B-NOAA 105, 189-105.
- Hargrave, B.T., Burns, N.M., 1979. Assessment of sediment trap collection efficiency. Limnol.
  Oceanogr. 24, 1124-1136.
- Hargrave, M.S., Foggo, A., Pessarrodona, A., Smale, D.A. 2017. The effects of warming on the
  ecophysiology of two co-existing kelp species with contrasting distributions. Oecologia
- 648183, 531-543.
- Held, M.B.E., Harley, C.D.G., 2009. Responses to low salinity by the sea star *Pisaster ochraceus*from high- and low-salinity populaitons. Invertebr. Biol. 128, 381-390.
- Hernandez-Carmona, G., Gracia, O., Robledo, D., Foster, M., 2000. Restoraton techniques for
   *Macrocystis pyrifera* (Phaeophyceae) populations at the southern limit of their
- distribution in Mexico. Bot. Mar. 43, 273-284.
- Hinojosa, I., Rivadeneira, M., Thiel, M., 2011. Temporal and spatial distribution of floating
  objects in coastal waters of central-southern Chile and Patagonian fjords. Continental
  Shelf Res. 31, 172-186.
- Holen, D., Evans, S., Kostick, M., Jones, B., 2012. The subsistence harvest of herring spawn on
- kelp in the Togiak District, Alaska, 2011 and 2012. Alaska Department of Fish and
- Game, Division of Subsistence, Special Publication No. BOF 2012-06.

- Houle, K.C., 2015 The effects of suspended and accreted sediment on the marine invertebrate
  fouling community of Humbolt Bay. Masters Thesis, Humboldt State University
- Karsten, U., 2007. Salinity tolerance of Arctic kelps from Spitsbergen. Phycol. Res. 55, 257-262.
- Kawamata, S., Yoshimitsu, S., Tokunaga, S., Kubo, S., Tanaka, T., 2012. Sediment tolerance of
   *Sargassum* algae inhabiting sediment-covered rocky reefs. Mar. Biol. 159, 723-733.
- Kelly, J.R., Krumhansl, K.A., Scheibling, R.E., 2012. Drift algal subsidies to sea urchins in lowproductivity habitats. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 452, 145-157.
- Knight-Jones, P., Knight-Jones, E.W., Buzhinskaya, G., 1991. Distribution and interrelationships
  of northern spirorbid genera. B. Mar. Sci. 48, 189-197.
- Konar, B., 2007. Recolonization of a high latitude hard-bottom nearshore community. Polar Biol.
  30, 663-667.
- Konar, B., Iken, K., 2005. Competitive dominance among sessile marine organisms in a high
  Arctic boulder community. Polar Biol. 29, 61-64.
- 673 Kraufvelin, P., Ruuskanen, A.T., Nappu, N., Kiirikki, M., 2007. Winter colonisation and
- 674 succession of filamentous macroalgae on artificial substrates and possible relationships to
  675 *Fucus vesiculosus* settlement in early summer. Estuar. Coast Shelf S. 72, 665-674.
- 676 Ladah, L.B., Zertuche-Gonzalez, J.A., 2007. Survival of microscopic stages of a perennial kelp
- 677 (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) from the center and the sourthern extreme of its range in the
- 678 Northern Hemisphere after exposure to simulated El Nino stress. Mar. Biol. 152, 677-679 686.
- Latyshev, N.A., Khardin, A.S., Kasyanov, S.P., Ivanova, M.B., 2004. A study on the feeding
  ecology of chitons using analysis of gut contents and fatty acid markers. J. Moll. Stud.
  70, 225-230.

683	Lind, A., Konar, B., 2017. Effects of rising sea surface temperature and decreasing salinity on
684	kelps and associated macroalgal communities. Algae 32(3), 223-233.
685	Mabin, C.J.T., Gribben, P.E., Fischer, A., Wright, J.T., 2013. Variation in the morphology,
686	reproduction and development of the habitat-forming kelp Ecklonia radiata with
687	changing temperature and nutrients. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 483, 117-131.
688	Maggi, E., Bulleri, F., Bertocci, I., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., 2012. Competitive ability of macroalgal
689	canopies overwhelms the effects of variable regimes of disturbance. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.
690	465, 99-109.
691	Markel, R.W., Shurin, J.B., Indirect effects of sea otters on rockfish (Stebates spp.) in giant kelp
692	forests. Ecology 96, 2877-2890.
693	Maughan, B.C., 2001. The effects of sedimentation and light on recruitment and development of
694	a temperate, subtidal, epifaunal community. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 256, 59-71.
695	McCune, B., Grace, J.B., Urban, D.L., 2002. Analysis of Ecological Communities, Chapter 9
696	Data Transformations. MjM Software Design, Glenden Beach, OR.
697	Menge, B.A., 2000. Top-down and bottom-up community regulation in marine rocky intertidal
698	habitats. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 250, 257-289.
699	Murphy, M., Iken, K., 2014. Larval brachyuran crab timing and distribution in relation to water
700	properties and flow in a high-latitude estuary. Estuar. Coasts 37, 177-190.
701	Nasrolahi A., Pansch, C., Lenz, M., Wahl, M., 2013. Temperature and salinity interactively
702	impact early juvenile development: a bottleneck in barnacle ontogeny. Mar. Biol. 160,
703	1109-1117.
704	Neal, E.G., Hood, E., Smikrud, K., 2010. Contribution of glacier runoff to freshwater discharge
705	into the Gulf of Alaska. Geophys. Res. Lett. 37, L06404.

706	Nielsen, M.M., Krause-Jense, D., Olesen, B., Thinggaard, R., Christensen, P.B., Bruhn, A.,
707	2014. Growth dynamics of Saccharina latissima (Laminariales, Phaeophyceae) in Aarhus
708	Bay, Denmark, and along the species' distribution range. Mar. Biol. 161, 2011-2022.
709	Okamoto, D.K., Stekoll, M.S., Eckert, G.L., 2013. Coexistence despite recruitment inhibition of
710	kelps by subtidal algal crusts. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 493, 103-112.
711	Opazo, L.F., Otaiza, R.D., 2007. Vertical distribution of spores of blade-forming Sarcothalia
712	crispata (Gigartinaceae) and crustose corallines (Corallinaceae) in the water column. Bot.
713	Mar. 50, 97-105.
714	Perkol-Finkel, S., Airoldi, L., 2010. Loss and recovery potential of marine habitats: an
715	experimental study of factors maintaining resilience in subtidal algal forests at the
716	Adriatic Sea. PLoS One 5, e10791.
717	Pratt, D.R., Lohrer, A.M., Pilditch, C.A., Thrush, S.F., 2014. Changes in ecoystem function
718	across sedimentary gradients in estuaries. Ecosystems 17, 182-194.
719	Qiu, J., Qian, P., 1999. Tolerance of the barnacle Balanus amphitrite amphitrite to salinity and
720	temperature stress: effects of previous experience. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 188, 123-132.
721	Roleda, M.Y., Dethleff, D., 2011. Storm-generated sediment deposition on rocky shores:
722	simulating burial effects on the physiology and morphology of Saccharina latissima
723	sporophytes. Mar. Biol. Res. 7, 213-223.
724	Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., Eliceiri, K.W., 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
725	analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 671-675.
726	Schoch, G.C., Chenelot, H., 2004. The role of estuarine hydrodynamics in the distribution of
727	kelp forests in Kachemak Bay, Alaska. J. Coastal Res. 45, 179-194.

728	Spurkland, T., Iken, K., 2011. Kelp bed dynamics in estuarine environments in subarctic Alaska.
729	J. Coastal Res. 27, 133-143.
730	Svendsen, H., Beszczynska-Moller, A., Hagen, J.O., Lefauconnier, B., Tverberg, V., Gerland, S.,
731	Orbaek, J.B., Bischof, K., Papucci, C., Zajaczkowski, M., Azzolini, R., Bruland, O.,
732	Wiencke, C., Winther, J., Dallmann, W., 2002.
733	The physical environment of Kongsfjorden-Krossfjorden, and Arctic fjord system in Svalbard.
734	Polar Res. 21, 133-166.
735	Svensson, J.R., Marshall, D.J., 2015. Limiting resources in sessile systems: food enhances
736	diversity and growth of suspension feeders despite available space. Ecology 96, 819-827.
737	Valentine, J.P., Johnson, C.R., 2005. Persistence of sea urchin (Heliocidaris erythrogramma)
738	barrens on the east coast of Tasmania: inhibition of macroalgal recovery in the absence of
739	high densities of sea urchins. Bot. Mar. 48, 106-115.
740	van Tamelen, P., Woodby, D., 2001. Macrocystis biomass, quality, and harvesting effects in
741	relation to the herring spawn-on-kelp fishery in Alaska. Alaska Fish. Res. Bull. 8, 118-
742	131.
743	Vásquez, J.A., Piaget, N., Vega, J.M.A., 2012. The Lessonia nigrescens fishery in northern
744	Chile: "how you harvest is more important than how much you harvest." J. Appl. Phycol.
745	24, 417-426.
746	Walker, J.W., 2007. Effects of fine sediments on settlement and survival of the sea urchin
747	Evechinus chloroticus in northeastern New Zealand. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 331, 109-118.
748	Wiencke, C., Clayton, M.N., Gomez, I., Iken, K., Luder, U.H., Amsler, C.D., Karsten, U.,
749	Hanelt, D., Bischof, K., Dunton, K., 2007. Life strategy, ecophysiology and ecology of

750	seaweeds in polar waters. Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol. doi: 10.1007/s11157-006-0001-
751	4

- Wlodarsk-Kowalczuk, M., Weslawski, J.M., 2001. Impact of climate warming on Arctic benthic
  biodiversity: a case study of two Arctic glacial bays. Clim. Res. 18, 127-132.
- Yorke, C.E., Miller, R.J., Page, H.M., Reed, D.C., 2013. Importance of kelp detritus as a
- component of suspended particulate organic matter in giant kelp *Macorcystis pyrifera*forests. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 493, 113-125.
- 757 Zacher, K., Bernard, M., Bartsch, I., Wiencke, C., 2016. Survival of early life history stages of
- Artic kleps (Kongsfjorden, Svalbard) under multifactorial global change scenarios. Polar
  Biol. 39, 2009-2020.
- 760

#### 761 TABLES:

## 762

- Table 1. Results of a PERMANOVA comparing community structure over 1-6 months between
- regions (fixed, two levels), among sites nested within regions (random, six levels), months
- 765 (random, five levels: May, June, July, August, and September), and years (random, two levels:
- 766 2013 and 2014). "Age" was included as a covariate. Significant *P*-values are in boldface. High
- 767 values of *Pseudo-F* indicate the magnitude of variance explained by that factor.

Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	<i>P</i> -value
Age	1	25156	25156	14.405	0.0021
Region	1	35464	35464	3.9234	0.0027
Month	5	7675.7	1535.1	1.3829	0.2047
Year	1	3878.2	3878.2	2.294	0.0861
Site(Region)	4	18596	4649	3.372	0.0048
Age x Region	1	6899.8	6899.8	6.4239	0.0119
Age x Site(Region)	4	4057.3	1014.3	3.1206	0.0021
Region x Month	5	4403.5	880.7	1.0115	0.4915
Region x Year	1	1720.9	1720.9	1.458	0.2532
Site(Region) x Year	4	1944.2	486.05	1.8956	0.0747
Res	26	5246.7	201.8		
Total	117	0.00001			

768

Table 2. Percent contribution (Contrib.) of discriminating species for community structure over

1-6 months in each region using SIMPER analysis. Average percent cover ( $\pm$  SD) and

cumulative percent contribution to similarity (Cum.).

- Average % Cover ± SD Contrib. Cum. Outer Bay Bare space 72.96 72.96  $92.7 \pm 9.5$ Spirorbid 81.76  $3.2 \pm 4.6$ 8.80 Encrusting brown algae 86.19  $1.4 \pm 2.7$ 4.43 Encrusting red algae  $0.3 \pm 0.6$ 2.38 88.57 Filamentous brown algae  $0.9 \pm 2.7$ 2.04 90.60 Inner Bay Bare space  $61.5 \pm 31.0$ 60.00 60.00  $31.9 \pm 32.2$ Barnacle 24.11 84.11 Hydroid 8.90 93.01  $2.0 \pm 2.3$
- 777
- 778

774 775 776

- 779
- 780

781Table 3. Results of a PERMANOVA comparing community structure over 12-18 months

between regions (fixed, two levels), among sites nested within regions (random, six levels), and

months (random, five levels: May, June, July, August, and September). "Age" was included as a
 covariate. Significant *P*-values are in boldface. High values of *Pseudo-F* indicate the magnitude

785 of variance explained by that factor.

Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	<i>P</i> -value
Age	1	6200.6	6200.6	2.9578	0.1912
Region	1	34233	34233	3.4634	0.0059
Month	5	9703	1940.6	1.006	0.4769
Site(Region)	4	31697	7924.3	23.247	0.0001
Age x Region	1	483.7	483.7	4.1022	0.0962
Age x Site(Region)	4	3026.9	756.72	2.595	0.0291
Region x Month	5	493.81	98.763	0.29901	0.978
Total	63	93448			

786

794	Table 4. Percent c	ontribution (Cor	ntrib.) of discrin	ninating species for	community structure over
795	12-18 months in e	ach region using	g SIMPER anal	ysis. Average percen	t cover (± SD) and

cumulative percent contribution to similarity (Cum.).

797

	Average %		
	$Cover \pm SD$	Contrib.	Cum.
Outer Bay			
Bare space	$60.7 \pm 19.3$	27.08	27.08
Encrusting brown algae	$9.5 \pm 4.9$	15.38	42.46
Spirorbid	$9.5 \pm 9.7$	12.09	54.55
Crustose Coralline	$6.3 \pm 11.8$	9.61	64.16
Unidentified Polychaetes	$1.3 \pm 1.2$	9.01	73.17
Saccharina latissima	$1.9 \pm 2.2$	4.31	77.48
Kelp Recruits	$2.0 \pm 4.1$	3.62	81.10
Unidentified Sand-tube Polychaete	$1.3 \pm 1.4$	3.54	84.64
Encrusting red algae	$1.4 \pm 2.7$	2.32	86.97
Agarum clathratum	$0.4 \pm 0.6$	2.29	89.25
Filamentous red algae	$1.6 \pm 3.5$	1.30	90.55
Inner Bay			
Bare space	$76.1 \pm 15.3$	39.49	39.49
Barnacle	$11.7 \pm 11.4$	13.43	52.92
Hydroid	$4.8 \pm 6.9$	9.77	62.70
Filamentous red algae	$1.3 \pm 1.5$	7.28	69.98
Saccharina latissima	$0.9 \pm 0.8$	6.21	76.19
Kelp Recruits	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	4.63	80.81
Filamentous brown algae	$1.2 \pm 2.2$	3.08	83.89
Metridium spp	$0.6 \pm 0.9$	2.65	86.54
Brown tube polychaete	$1.0 \pm 2.4$	1.74	88.27
Peach bryozoan	$0.3 \pm 0.4$	1.50	89.77
Red blades	$0.2 \pm 0.3$	1.47	<u>91.2</u> 4

Table 5. Results of sequential DistLM tests on A) first year (1-6 months) of community 

structure, B) second year (12-18 months) of community structure, C) adult kelp from transects, 

and D) mobile invertebrates showing significant variables, their contribution to explained 

variation (Contrib.), the cumulative contribution of the explained variation (Cum.) and P-values. 

A) First year (1-6 months) of community structure  $\frac{P_{saudo}}{P_{saudo}}$ 

	,			
	Pseudo-F	Contrib.	Cum.	<i>P</i> -value
A) First year community structure				
Max. temperature	9.428	19.1	19.1	0.001
Inorganic sedimentation	6.997	12.3	31.4	0.001
Mean temperature	10.525	14.9	46.3	0.001
Organic sedimentation	3.4714	4.1	55.0	0.012
Age	3.303	2.2	57.1	0.017
B) Second year community structure				
Inorganic sedimentation	10.457	29.5	29.5	<0.001
C) Mobile invertebrates				
Inorganic sedimentation	6.515	14.0	14.0	< 0.001
SiO <sub>4</sub>	4.365	8.7	22.7	0.001
NH <sub>4</sub>	3.888	7.2	29.8	0.002
D) Adult kelp from transects				
Inorganic sedimentation	13.751	25.6	25.6	< 0.001
SiO <sub>4</sub>	3.976	6.9	32.5	0.017

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

SI Table 1. Average ± standard deviation percent cover of selected species that contributed to similarity within the A) outer bay, and B) inner bay on cleared rocks up to six months.

	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept
Outer Bay						
Bare space	$99.9 \pm 0.1$	$99.3 \pm 0.7$	97.8 ± 1.9	93.3 ± 5.1	$86.5 \pm 8.5$	82.8 ± 13.9
Encrusting brown algae	$0 \pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.6 \pm 0.8$	$3.4 \pm 3.5$	$4.1 \pm 4.0$
Encrusting red algae	$0 \pm 0$	$0.05 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.5 \pm 1.0$	$0.3 \pm 0.5$	$0.5 \pm 0.4$
Filamentous brown algae	$0 \pm 0$	$0.05 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.9$	$1.0 \pm 1.8$	$0.8 \pm 1.2$	$2.6 \pm 5.7$
Inner Bay						
Bare space	$100 \pm 0$	87.4 ± 22.5	55.4 ± 36.4	40.9 ± 25.6	64.3 ± 24.1	55.3 ± 21.9
Hydroids	$0 \pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$1.2 \pm 1.7$	$2.6 \pm 2.6$	$2.4 \pm 1.8$	$4.0 \pm 1.9$
Barnacles	$0 \pm 0$	$12.4 \pm 22.6$	39.5 ± 39.5	49.6 ± 32.9	26.5 ± 28.6	31.4 ± 23.0

SI Table 2. Average density  $(x/900 \text{ cm}^2)$  +/- standard deviation of kelp recruits in each month in 

the first summer rocks were deployed at each site. Surface area of rocks was approximately 900 cm<sup>2</sup>. 

-							
	Month	01	O2	O3	I1	I2	I3
	April	$0 \pm 0$	No data	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$
	May	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0 \pm 0$
	June	$2.4 \pm 2.9$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.03 \pm 0.07$	$0 \pm 0$
	July	$3.5 \pm 3.5$	$1.3 \pm 2.2$	$0 \pm 0$	$2.9 \pm 4.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	$0 \pm 0$
	August	$1.5 \pm 2.3$	$4.5 \pm 7.8$	$0 \pm 0$	$2.0 \pm 2.7$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$
	September	$1.2 \pm 1.4$	$13.9 \pm 9.5$	$0 \pm 0$	$1.2 \pm 1.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0 \pm 0$
٥							

861 SI Table 3. Average percent cover ± standard deviation of selected species that contributed to

862	similarity within the A	outer bay, and B)	inner bay on	cleared rocks from	12 to 18 months.
-----	-------------------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------------	------------------

863 A) Outer Bay

T) Outer Day						
	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept
Outer Bay						
Bare space	66.8 ± 26.7	60.7 ± 27.1	66.4 ± 18.1	$63.4 \pm 17.9$	56.6 ± 15.1	52.7 ± 16.9
Encrusting brown algae	$6.8 \pm 4.3$	$7.5 \pm 4.7$	8.5 ± 5.5	9.8 ± 5.5	$11.9 \pm 3.9$	$11.4 \pm 5.0$
Spirorbids	$8.4 \pm 8.4$	$10.3 \pm 9.4$	$9.4 \pm 9.6$	$9.3 \pm 11.2$	$11.3 \pm 12.9$	$8.2 \pm 10.7$
Inner Bay						
Bare space	71.6 ± 26.9	70.1 ± 25.8	$75.2 \pm 12.2$	78.5 ± 7.9	76.4 ± 14.7	81.5 ± 10.2
Hydroids	$4.6 \pm 7.9$	10.1 ± 13.8	$5.6 \pm 6.4$	$4.0 \pm 4.1$	$2.1 \pm 2.3$	$3.3 \pm 3.4$
Barnacles	14.6 ± 17.0	13.4 ± 14.5	$5.3 \pm 9.5$	8.6 ± 8.6	$15.2 \pm 15.3$	$11.8 \pm 8.8$

867 SI Table 4. Average density  $(x/900 \text{ cm}^2)$  +/- standard deviation of kelp on rocks at A) outer bay

and B) inner bay over 12-18 months.

005							
		April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept
	Outer Bay				· · · ·		
	S. latissima	$0.8 \pm 0.9$	$0.9 \pm 0.9$	$2.5 \pm 3.7$	$1.6 \pm 2.0$	$2.9\pm2.7$	$1.4 \pm 1.8$
	A. clathratum	$0.6 \pm 1.0$	$0.6 \pm 1.0$	$0.8 \pm 1.3$	$0.8 \pm 1.4$	$1.2 \pm 1.3$	$0.9 \pm 1.2$
	Kelp Recruits	54.6 ± 94.5	$46.5 \pm 74.1$	$44.3 \pm 68.5$	$44.3 \pm 69.2$	$25.0 \pm 36.4$	$13.9 \pm 23.1$
	Inner Bay						
	S. latissima	$0.6 \pm 0.7$	$0.7 \pm 0.7$	$0.8 \pm 0.7$	$0.5 \pm 0.7$	$0.9 \pm 0.9$	$1.1 \pm 1.1$
	A. clathratum	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.03 \pm 0.08$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$
	Kelp Recruits	$1.1 \pm 0.8$	$0.6 \pm 0.6$	$2.2 \pm 2.4$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$
870							
871							

877 SI Table 5. Results of a PERMANOVA comparing mobile invertebrates between regions (fixed,

two levels), among sites nested within regions (random, six levels), months (random, five levels:

May, June, July, August, and September), and years (random, two levels: 2013 and 2014).

880 Significant *P*-values are in boldface. High values of *Pseudo-F* indicate the magnitude of variance 881 explained by that factor.

Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	P-value
Region	1	26837	26837	2.805	<0.001
Month	5	8484.2	1696.8	1.502	0.048
Year	1	1288.7	1288.7	0.98597	0.480
Site(Region)	4	32308	8076.9	5.2141	<0.001
Region x Month	5	4164.5	832.9	0.88352	0.693
Region x Year	1	1899.5	1899.5	1.2362	0.262
Month x Year	4	3485.8	871.45	1.2508	0.235
Site(Region) x Month	20	15265	763.26	1.0967	0.342
Site(Region) x Year	4	4717.6	1179.4	1.6923	0.060
Region x Month x Year	4	3920.7	980.17	1.4069	0.154
Site(Regions) x Month x Year	14	9766.8	697.63	1.0385	0.398
Res	50	33589	671.78		
Total	113	0.00002			

<sup>882</sup> 

883

884

SI Table 6. Percent contribution (Contrib.) of discriminating species for mobile invertebrates inA) outer bay and B) inner bay using SIMPER analysis.

	Average density		
	(individuals $m^{-2}$ ) ± SD	Contrib.	Cum.
Outer Bay			
Unidentified limpets	$15.6 \pm 12.5$	40.11	40.11
<i>Tonicella</i> spp	$0.7 \pm 0.5$	18.76	58.87
Pagurus spp	$0.7 \pm 1.4$	10.26	69.13
Calliostoma spp	$1.4 \pm 2.4$	7.89	77.03
Pycnopodia helianthoides	$0.4 \pm 1.2$	7.18	84.21
Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis	$2.2 \pm 5.8$	3.34	87.55
Acmaea mitra	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	3.03	90.58
Inner Bay			
Pycnopodia			
helianthoides	$0.4 \pm 1.2$	38.58	38.58
Pagurus spp	$0.2 \pm 0.3$	22.45	61.03
Unidentified limpets	$0.7 \pm 1.3$	15.26	76.29
<i>Tonicella</i> spp	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	6.39	82.68
Asterias amurensis	$0.5 \pm 1.6$	4.83	87.51
Unidentified gastropods	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	4.33	91.84

- SI Table 7. Results of a PERMANOVA comparing adult kelp counted in transects between
- regions (fixed, two levels), among sites nested within regions (random, six levels), months
- (random, five levels: May, June, July, August, and September), and years (random, two levels:
- 2013 and 2014). Significant p values are in boldface. High values of pseudo-F indicate the

93 magnit	ude of variance explained by	that factor.	C C			
Source	;	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	P(perm)
Region	1	1	11741	11741	2.640	0.005
Month		5	4782.7	956.5	0.887	0.644
Year		1	1727	1727	1.510	1.955
Site(Re	egion)	4	17133	4283.2	13.193	<0.001
Region	x Month	5	1914.2	382.85	2.101	0.015
Region	n x Year	1	82.5	82.5	1.219	0.312
Month	x Year	4	48.17	1204.2	4.659	<0.001
Site(Re	egion) x Month	20	4332.9	216.6	0.840	0.704
Site(Re	egion) x Year	7	715.1	178.8	0.691	0.733
Region	x Month x Year	4	366.3	91.6	0.354	0.958
Site(Re	egions) x Month x Year	14	3613.2	259.4	1.101	0.339
Res		50	11776	235.5		
Total		113	79278			
~						

SI Table 8. Percent contribution of discriminating species for adult kelp counted in transects in each region using SIMPER analysis. 

	Average density (individuals $m^{-2}$ ) ± SD	% Contribution	Cumulative
Outer Bay			
Agarum clathratum	$10.2 \pm 10.3$	53.38	54.3
Saccharina latissima	$11.6 \pm 16.0$	31.28	84.66
Nereocystis luetkeana	$0.5 \pm 0.8$	5.01	89.67
Eualaria fistulosa	$1.7 \pm 4.9$	3.76	93.43
Inner Bay			
Saccharina latissima	$4.0 \pm 3.9$	65.77	65.77
Agarum clathratum	$1.4 \pm 2.0$	34.02	99.79

910 SI Table 9. Results of a three-way PERMANOVA comparing environmental variables among

911 regions (fixed, two levels), months (random, five levels), years (random, two levels), and site

nested in region (random, six levels). Significant p values are in boldface. High values of

913 pseudo-F indicate the magnitude of variance explained by that factor. \* Indicates that the term

914 has one or more empty cells.

Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	P(perm)
Region	1	18.069	18.069	1.3631	0.158
Month	4	97.319	24.33	2.5457	0.003
Year	1	12.027	12.027	2.4677	0.0873
Site(Region)	4	45.475	11.369	1.4503	0.100
Region x Month	4	34.796	8.6991	1.4974	0.2093
Region x Year	1	4.0198	4.0198	1.0964	0.434
Month x Year*	3	15.559	5.1862	3.0548	0.006
Site(Region) x Month*	15	63.863	4.2576	2.5078	0.005
Site(Region) x Year*	3	12.509	4.1698	2.4561	0.019
Region x Month x Year*	1	2.3826	2.3826	1.4034	0.2464
Res	4	6.7908	1.6977		
Total	41	492			

917 SI Table 10. Monthly means ± SD of inorganic sedimentation, bottom salinity, mean

918 temperature, mean irradiance, silicate, ammonium, and phosphate in the outer bay and inner	bay.
--	------

	May	June	July	August	September
Inorganic sedimentation					
$(mg cm^{-2}d^{-1})$					
Outer Bay	$1.7 \pm 1.7$	$2.9 \pm 3.6$	$1.0 \pm 0.007$	$2.0 \pm 1.4$	$3.8 \pm 3.2$
Inner Bay	$3.2 \pm 2.0$	$4.0 \pm 1.8$	$5.3 \pm 3.5$	$6.9 \pm 3.3$	$7.6 \pm 2.0$
Bottom Salinity					
Outer Bay	$31.4 \pm 0.3$	$31.1 \pm 0.2$	$30.5 \pm 0.4$	$29.8 \pm 0.2$	$30.4 \pm 0.5$
Inner Bay	$31.3 \pm 0.6$	$31.4 \pm 0.4$	$31.1 \pm 0.3$	$30.4 \pm 0.3$	$30.7 \pm 0.3$
Mean Temperature (°C)					
Outer Bay	$6.0 \pm 1.0$	$7.7 \pm 0.8$	$10.2 \pm 0.5$	$12.1 \pm 0.2$	$11.4 \pm 0.1$
Inner Bay	$6.0 \pm 1.1$	$7.2 \pm 0.6$	$8.6 \pm 1.5$	$10.7 \pm 1.5$	$11.7 \pm 0.1$
Mean Irradiance					
$(\mu mol m^{-2}s^{-1})$					
Outer Bay	$16.0 \pm 13.1$	$12.3 \pm 10.7$	$26.1 \pm 10.3$	$21.7 \pm 16.4$	$33.4 \pm 25.5$
Inner Bay	$11.6 \pm 8.6$	$14.1 \pm 5.8$	$11.9 \pm 8.2$	$8.0 \pm 4.7$	$6.0 \pm 6.0$
Silicate (µM)					
Outer Bay	$10.8 \pm 5.7$	$6.1 \pm 4.9$	$9.7 \pm 5.5$	$5.9 \pm 0.9$	$14.1 \pm 0.7$
Inner Bay	$2.4 \pm 1.3$	$4.9 \pm 3.2$	$6.5 \pm 1.9$	$8.3 \pm 2.5$	$15.7 \pm 1.8$
Ammonium (µM)					
Outer Bay	$2.1 \pm 0.8$	$1.2 \pm 0.5$	$0.8 \pm 0.6$	$1.6 \pm 0.6$	$1.7 \pm 0.6$
Inner Bay	$1.8 \pm 1.2$	$2.2 \pm 1.2$	$3.2 \pm 0.9$	$3.3 \pm 1.3$	$3.5 \pm 0.3$
Phosphate (µM)					
Outer Bay	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.9 \pm 0.3$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$1.7 \pm 0.4$
Inner Bay	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$1.7 \pm 0.2$

- SI Table 11. Results of a one-way PERMANOVA comparing environmental variables among
- sites (random, six levels). Significant p values are in boldface.

A) Main test 

Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	<i>P</i> -value
Site	5	99.693	19.939	1.8297	0.010
Res	36	392.31	10.897		
Total	41	492			

B) Pairwise PERMANOVA comparison of environmental variables among sites. Significant *P*-values are in boldface. 

		Outer			Inner	
	01	O2	O3	I1	I2	I3
01						
O2	0.430					
O3	0.200	0.205				
I1	0.045	0.176	0.194			
I2	0.021	0.318	0.537	0.527		
I3	0.001	0.002	0.400	0.096	0.1169	
	01 02 03 I1 I2 I3	O1           O1           O2         0.430           O3         0.200           I1 <b>0.045</b> I2 <b>0.021</b> I3 <b>0.001</b>	Outer           O1         O2           O1         O2           O2         0.430           O3         0.200         0.205           I1 <b>0.045</b> 0.176           I2 <b>0.021</b> 0.318           I3 <b>0.001 0.002</b>	Outer           O1         O2         O3           O1         O2         O3           O2         0.430	Outer           O1         O2         O3         I1           O1         O2         0.3         I           O2         0.430	Outer         Inner           O1         O2         O3         I1         I2           O1         02         0.430





# **Outer Bay**

# **Inner Bay**



6 months

## 18 months





## TABLES:

Table 1. Results of a PERMANOVA comparing community structure over 1-6 months between regions (fixed, two levels), among sites nested within regions (random, six levels), months (random, five levels: May, June, July, August, and September), and years (random, two levels: 2013 and 2014). "Age" was included as a covariate. Significant *P*-values are in boldface. High values of *Pseudo-F* indicate the magnitude of variance explained by that factor.

Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	<i>P</i> -value
Age	1	25156	25156	14.405	0.0021
Region	1	35464	35464	3.9234	0.0027
Month	5	7675.7	1535.1	1.3829	0.2047
Year	1	3878.2	3878.2	2.294	0.0861
Site(Region)	4	18596	4649	3.372	0.0048
Age x Region	1	6899.8	6899.8	6.4239	0.0119
Age x Site(Region)	4	4057.3	1014.3	3.1206	0.0021
Region x Month	5	4403.5	880.7	1.0115	0.4915
Region x Year	1	1720.9	1720.9	1.458	0.2532
Site(Region) x Year	4	1944.2	486.05	1.8956	0.0747
Res	26	5246.7	201.8		
Total	117	0.00001			

-			
	Average %		
	$Cover \pm SD$	Contrib.	Cum.
Outer Bay			
Bare space	$92.7 \pm 9.5$	72.96	72.96
Spirorbid	$3.2 \pm 4.6$	8.80	81.76
Encrusting brown algae	$1.4 \pm 2.7$	4.43	86.19
Encrusting red algae	$0.3 \pm 0.6$	2.38	88.57
Filamentous brown algae	$0.9 \pm 2.7$	2.04	90.60
Inner Bay			
Bare space	$61.5 \pm 31.0$	60.00	60.00
Barnacle	$31.9 \pm 32.2$	24.11	84.11
Hydroid	$2.0 \pm 2.3$	8.90	93.01

Table 2. Percent contribution (Contrib.) of discriminating species for community structure over 1-6 months in each region using SIMPER analysis. Average percent cover ( $\pm$  SD) and cumulative percent contribution to similarity (Cum.).

Table 3. Results of a PERMANOVA comparing community structure over 12-18 months between regions (fixed, two levels), among sites nested within regions (random, six levels), and months (random, five levels: May, June, July, August, and September). "Age" was included as a covariate. Significant *P*-values are in boldface. High values of *Pseudo-F* indicate the magnitude of variance explained by that factor.

Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	<i>P</i> -value
Age	1	6200.6	6200.6	2.9578	0.1912
Region	1	34233	34233	3.4634	0.0059
Month	5	9703	1940.6	1.006	0.4769
Site(Region)	4	31697	7924.3	23.247	0.0001
Age x Region	1	483.7	483.7	4.1022	0.0962
Age x Site(Region)	4	3026.9	756.72	2.595	0.0291
Region x Month	5	493.81	98.763	0.29901	0.978
Total	63	93448			

	Average %		
	$Cover \pm SD$	Contrib.	Cum.
Outer Bay			
Bare space	$60.7 \pm 19.3$	27.08	27.08
Encrusting brown algae	$9.5 \pm 4.9$	15.38	42.46
Spirorbid	$9.5 \pm 9.7$	12.09	54.55
Crustose Coralline	$6.3 \pm 11.8$	9.61	64.16
Unidentified Polychaetes	$1.3 \pm 1.2$	9.01	73.17
Saccharina latissima	$1.9 \pm 2.2$	4.31	77.48
Kelp Recruits	$2.0 \pm 4.1$	3.62	81.10
Unidentified Sand-tube Polychaete	$1.3 \pm 1.4$	3.54	84.64
Encrusting red algae	$1.4 \pm 2.7$	2.32	86.97
Agarum clathratum	$0.4 \pm 0.6$	2.29	89.25
Filamentous red algae	$1.6 \pm 3.5$	1.30	90.55
Inner Bay			
Bare space	$76.1 \pm 15.3$	39.49	39.49
Barnacle	$11.7 \pm 11.4$	13.43	52.92
Hydroid	$4.8 \pm 6.9$	9.77	62.70
Filamentous red algae	$1.3 \pm 1.5$	7.28	69.98
Saccharina latissima	$0.9 \pm 0.8$	6.21	76.19
Kelp Recruits	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	4.63	80.81
Filamentous brown algae	$1.2 \pm 2.2$	3.08	83.89
<i>Metridium</i> spp	$0.6 \pm 0.9$	2.65	86.54
Brown tube polychaete	$1.0 \pm 2.4$	1.74	88.27
Peach bryozoan	$0.3 \pm 0.4$	1.50	89.77
Red blades	$0.2 \pm 0.3$	1.47	91.24

Table 4. Percent contribution (Contrib.) of discriminating species for community structure over 12-18 months in each region using SIMPER analysis. Average percent cover ( $\pm$  SD) and cumulative percent contribution to similarity (Cum.).

Table 5. Results of sequential DistLM tests on A) first year (1-6 months) of community structure, B) second year (12-18 months) of community structure, C) adult kelp from transects, and D) mobile invertebrates showing significant variables, their contribution to explained variation (Contrib.), the cumulative contribution of the explained variation (Cum.) and *P*-values.

	Pseudo-F	Contrib.	Cum.	P-value
A) First year community structure				
Max. temperature	9.428	19.1	19.1	0.001
Inorganic sedimentation	6.997	12.3	31.4	0.001
Mean temperature	10.525	14.9	46.3	0.001
Organic sedimentation	3.4714	4.1	55.0	0.012
Age	3.303	2.2	57.1	0.017
B) Second year community structure				
Inorganic sedimentation	10.457	29.5	29.5	<0.001
C) Mobile invertebrates				
Inorganic sedimentation	6.515	14.0	14.0	<0.001
SiO <sub>4</sub>	4.365	8.7	22.7	0.001
NH <sub>4</sub>	3.888	7.2	29.8	0.002
D) Adult kelp from transects				
Inorganic sedimentation	13.751	25.6	25.6	< 0.001
SiO <sub>4</sub>	3.976	6.9	32.5	0.017

A) First year (1-6 months) of community structure

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

SI Table 1. Average ± standard deviation percent cover of selected species that contributed to
similarity within the A) outer bay, and B) inner bay on cleared rocks up to six months.

	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept
Outer Bay		-		-		
Bare space	99.9 ± 0.1	$99.3 \pm 0.7$	$97.8 \pm 1.9$	93.3 ± 5.1	$86.5 \pm 8.5$	82.8 ± 13.9
Encrusting brown algae	$0 \pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.6 \pm 0.8$	$3.4 \pm 3.5$	$4.1 \pm 4.0$
Encrusting red algae	$0 \pm 0$	$0.05 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.5 \pm 1.0$	$0.3 \pm 0.5$	$0.5 \pm 0.4$
Filamentous brown algae	$0 \pm 0$	$0.05 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.9$	$1.0 \pm 1.8$	$0.8 \pm 1.2$	$2.6 \pm 5.7$
Inner Bay						
Bare space	$100 \pm 0$	87.4 ± 22.5	55.4 ± 36.4	40.9 ± 25.6	64.3 ± 24.1	55.3 ± 21.9
Hydroids	$0 \pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.3$	$1.2 \pm 1.7$	$2.6 \pm 2.6$	$2.4 \pm 1.8$	$4.0 \pm 1.9$
Barnacles	$0 \pm 0$	$12.4 \pm 22.6$	39.5 ± 39.5	49.6 ± 32.9	26.5 ± 28.6	31.4 ± 23.0

SI Table 2. Average density  $(x/900 \text{ cm}^2)$  +/- standard deviation of kelp recruits in each month in the first summer rocks were deployed at each site. Surface area of rocks was approximately 900 cm<sup>2</sup>.

Ulli .						
Month	01	O2	O3	I1	I2	I3
April	$0 \pm 0$	No data	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$
May	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0 \pm 0$
June	$2.4 \pm 2.9$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.03 \pm 0.07$	$0 \pm 0$
July	$3.5 \pm 3.5$	$1.3 \pm 2.2$	$0 \pm 0$	$2.9 \pm 4.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	$0 \pm 0$
August	$1.5 \pm 2.3$	$4.5 \pm 7.8$	$0 \pm 0$	$2.0 \pm 2.7$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$
September	$1.2 \pm 1.4$	$13.9 \pm 9.5$	$0 \pm 0$	$1.2 \pm 1.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0 \pm 0$

II) Outor Duy						
	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept
Outer Bay						
Bare space	66.8 ± 26.7	60.7 ± 27.1	66.4 ± 18.1	63.4 ± 17.9	56.6 ± 15.1	52.7 ± 16.9
Encrusting brown algae	$6.8 \pm 4.3$	$7.5 \pm 4.7$	8.5 ± 5.5	9.8 ± 5.5	$11.9 \pm 3.9$	$11.4 \pm 5.0$
Spirorbids	$8.4 \pm 8.4$	$10.3 \pm 9.4$	$9.4 \pm 9.6$	$9.3 \pm 11.2$	$11.3 \pm 12.9$	$8.2 \pm 10.7$
Inner Bay						
Bare space	71.6 ± 26.9	70.1 ± 25.8	$75.2 \pm 12.2$	78.5 ± 7.9	76.4 ± 14.7	$81.5 \pm 10.2$
Hydroids	$4.6 \pm 7.9$	10.1 ± 13.8	$5.6 \pm 6.4$	$4.0 \pm 4.1$	$2.1 \pm 2.3$	$3.3 \pm 3.4$
Barnacles	14.6 ± 17.0	13.4 ± 14.5	$5.3 \pm 9.5$	8.6 ± 8.6	$15.2 \pm 15.3$	$11.8 \pm 8.8$

SI Table 3. Average percent cover ± standard deviation of selected species that contributed to similarity within the A) outer bay, and B) inner bay on cleared rocks from 12 to 18 months. A) Outer Bay

SI Table 4. Average density  $(x/900 \text{ cm}^2)$  +/- standard deviation of kelp on rocks at A) outer bay and B) inner bay over 12-18 months.

	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept
Outer Bay						
S. latissima	$0.8 \pm 0.9$	$0.9 \pm 0.9$	$2.5 \pm 3.7$	$1.6 \pm 2.0$	$2.9\pm2.7$	$1.4 \pm 1.8$
A. clathratum	$0.6 \pm 1.0$	$0.6 \pm 1.0$	$0.8 \pm 1.3$	$0.8 \pm 1.4$	$1.2 \pm 1.3$	$0.9 \pm 1.2$
Kelp Recruits	54.6 ± 94.5	$46.5 \pm 74.1$	$44.3 \pm 68.5$	$44.3 \pm 69.2$	$25.0 \pm 36.4$	13.9 ± 23.1
Inner Bay						
S. latissima	$0.6 \pm 0.7$	$0.7 \pm 0.7$	$0.8 \pm 0.7$	$0.5 \pm 0.7$	$0.9 \pm 0.9$	$1.1 \pm 1.1$
A. clathratum	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$	$0.03 \pm 0.08$	$0 \pm 0$	$0 \pm 0$
Kelp Recruits	$1.1 \pm 0.8$	$0.6 \pm 0.6$	$2.2 \pm 2.4$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$

SI Table 5. Results of a PERMANOVA comparing mobile invertebrates between regions (fixed, two levels), among sites nested within regions (random, six levels), months (random, five levels: May, June, July, August, and September), and years (random, two levels: 2013 and 2014). Significant *P*-values are in boldface. High values of *Pseudo-F* indicate the magnitude of variance explained by that factor.

Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	<i>P</i> -value
Region	1	26837	26837	2.805	<0.001
Month	5	8484.2	1696.8	1.502	0.048
Year	1	1288.7	1288.7	0.98597	0.480
Site(Region)	4	32308	8076.9	5.2141	<0.001
Region x Month	5	4164.5	832.9	0.88352	0.693
Region x Year	1	1899.5	1899.5	1.2362	0.262
Month x Year	4	3485.8	871.45	1.2508	0.235
Site(Region) x Month	20	15265	763.26	1.0967	0.342
Site(Region) x Year	4	4717.6	1179.4	1.6923	0.060
Region x Month x Year	4	3920.7	980.17	1.4069	0.154
Site(Regions) x Month x Year	14	9766.8	697.63	1.0385	0.398
Res	50	33589	671.78		
Total	113	0.00002			

SI Table 6. Percent contribution (Contrib.) of discriminating species for mobile invertebrates in A) outer bay and B) inner bay using SIMPER analysis.

	Average density		
	(individuals $m^{-2}$ ) ± SD	Contrib.	Cum.
Outer Bay			
Unidentified limpets	$15.6 \pm 12.5$	40.11	40.11
<i>Tonicella</i> spp	$0.7 \pm 0.5$	18.76	58.87
Pagurus spp	$0.7 \pm 1.4$	10.26	69.13
Calliostoma spp	$1.4 \pm 2.4$	7.89	77.03
Pycnopodia helianthoides	$0.4 \pm 1.2$	7.18	84.21
Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis	$2.2 \pm 5.8$	3.34	87.55
Acmaea mitra	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	3.03	90.58
Inner Bay			
Pycnopodia			
helianthoides	$0.4 \pm 1.2$	38.58	38.58
Pagurus spp	$0.2 \pm 0.3$	22.45	61.03
Unidentified limpets	$0.7 \pm 1.3$	15.26	76.29
<i>Tonicella</i> spp	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	6.39	82.68
Asterias amurensis	$0.5 \pm 1.6$	4.83	87.51
Unidentified gastropods	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	4.33	91.84

SI Table 7. Results of a PERMANOVA comparing adult kelp counted in transects between regions (fixed, two levels), among sites nested within regions (random, six levels), months (random, five levels: May, June, July, August, and September), and years (random, two levels: 2013 and 2014). Significant p values are in boldface. High values of pseudo-F indicate the magnitude of variance explained by that factor.

Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	P(perm)
Region	1	11741	11741	2.640	0.005
Month	5	4782.7	956.5	0.887	0.644
Year	1	1727	1727	1.510	1.955
Site(Region)	4	17133	4283.2	13.193	<0.001
Region x Month	5	1914.2	382.85	2.101	0.015
Region x Year	1	82.5	82.5	1.219	0.312
Month x Year	4	48.17	1204.2	4.659	<0.001
Site(Region) x Month	20	4332.9	216.6	0.840	0.704
Site(Region) x Year	7	715.1	178.8	0.691	0.733
Region x Month x Year	4	366.3	91.6	0.354	0.958
Site(Regions) x Month x Year	14	3613.2	259.4	1.101	0.339
Res	50	11776	235.5		
Total	113	79278			

SI Table 8. Percent contribution of discriminating species for adult kelp counted in transects in each region using SIMPER analysis.

	Average density		
	(individuals $m^{-2}$ ) ± SD	% Contribution	Cumulative
Outer Bay			
Agarum clathratum	$10.2 \pm 10.3$	53.38	54.3
Saccharina latissima	$11.6 \pm 16.0$	31.28	84.66
Nereocystis luetkeana	$0.5 \pm 0.8$	5.01	89.67
Eualaria fistulosa	$1.7 \pm 4.9$	3.76	93.43
Inner Bay			
Saccharina latissima	$4.0 \pm 3.9$	65.77	65.77
Agarum clathratum	$1.4 \pm 2.0$	34.02	99.79

SI Table 9. Results of a three-way PERMANOVA comparing environmental variables among regions (fixed, two levels), months (random, five levels), years (random, two levels), and site nested in region (random, six levels). Significant p values are in boldface. High values of pseudo-F indicate the magnitude of variance explained by that factor. \* Indicates that the term has one or more empty cells.

Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	P(perm)
Region	1	18.069	18.069	1.3631	0.158
Month	4	97.319	24.33	2.5457	0.003
Year	1	12.027	12.027	2.4677	0.0873
Site(Region)	4	45.475	11.369	1.4503	0.100
Region x Month	4	34.796	8.6991	1.4974	0.2093
Region x Year	1	4.0198	4.0198	1.0964	0.434
Month x Year*	3	15.559	5.1862	3.0548	0.006
Site(Region) x Month*	15	63.863	4.2576	2.5078	0.005
Site(Region) x Year*	3	12.509	4.1698	2.4561	0.019
Region x Month x Year*	1	2.3826	2.3826	1.4034	0.2464
Res	4	6.7908	1.6977		
Total	41	492			

SI Table 10. Monthly means  $\pm$  SD of inorganic sedimentation, bottom salinity, mean temperature, mean irradiance, silicate, ammonium, and phosphate in the outer bay and inner bay.

	May	June	July	August	September
Inorganic sedimentation					
$(mg cm^{-2}d^{-1})$					
Outer Bay	$1.7 \pm 1.7$	$2.9 \pm 3.6$	$1.0 \pm 0.007$	$2.0 \pm 1.4$	$3.8 \pm 3.2$
Inner Bay	$3.2 \pm 2.0$	$4.0 \pm 1.8$	$5.3 \pm 3.5$	$6.9 \pm 3.3$	$7.6 \pm 2.0$
Bottom Salinity					
Outer Bay	$31.4 \pm 0.3$	$31.1 \pm 0.2$	$30.5 \pm 0.4$	$29.8 \pm 0.2$	$30.4 \pm 0.5$
Inner Bay	$31.3 \pm 0.6$	$31.4 \pm 0.4$	$31.1 \pm 0.3$	$30.4 \pm 0.3$	$30.7 \pm 0.3$
Mean Temperature (°C)					
Outer Bay	$6.0 \pm 1.0$	$7.7 \pm 0.8$	$10.2 \pm 0.5$	$12.1 \pm 0.2$	$11.4 \pm 0.1$
Inner Bay	$6.0 \pm 1.1$	$7.2 \pm 0.6$	$8.6 \pm 1.5$	$10.7 \pm 1.5$	$11.7 \pm 0.1$
Mean Irradiance					
$(\mu \text{mol } \text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1})$					
Outer Bay	$16.0 \pm 13.1$	$12.3 \pm 10.7$	$26.1 \pm 10.3$	$21.7 \pm 16.4$	$33.4 \pm 25.5$
Inner Bay	$11.6 \pm 8.6$	$14.1 \pm 5.8$	$11.9 \pm 8.2$	$8.0 \pm 4.7$	$6.0 \pm 6.0$
Silicate (µM)					
Outer Bay	$10.8 \pm 5.7$	$6.1 \pm 4.9$	$9.7 \pm 5.5$	$5.9 \pm 0.9$	$14.1 \pm 0.7$
Inner Bay	$2.4 \pm 1.3$	$4.9 \pm 3.2$	$6.5 \pm 1.9$	$8.3 \pm 2.5$	$15.7 \pm 1.8$
Ammonium (µM)					
Outer Bay	$2.1 \pm 0.8$	$1.2 \pm 0.5$	$0.8 \pm 0.6$	$1.6 \pm 0.6$	$1.7 \pm 0.6$
Inner Bay	$1.8 \pm 1.2$	$2.2 \pm 1.2$	$3.2 \pm 0.9$	$3.3 \pm 1.3$	$3.5 \pm 0.3$
Phosphate $(\mu M)$					
Outer Bay	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.9 \pm 0.3$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$1.7 \pm 0.4$
Inner Bay	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.3$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$1.7 \pm 0.2$

SI Table 11. Results of a one-way PERMANOVA comparing environmental variables among sites (random, six levels). Significant p values are in boldface.

A) Main test					
Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	P-value
Site	5	99.693	19.939	1.8297	0.010
Res	36	392.31	10.897		
Total	41	492			

B) Pairwise PERMANOVA comparison of environmental variables among sites. Significant *P*-values are in boldface.