Version of Record: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0025326X16304544> Manuscript\_334ef9def3255ba799f1873480ea936d

1





- 3 aquatic health
- 4

5 **Authors:** 

- 6 Corinne M. Gibble<sup>1</sup>, Melissa B. Peacock<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Raphael M. Kudela<sup>1</sup>
- 7

# 8 **Author Affiliation:**

- 9 <sup>1</sup>Ocean Sciences Department, 1156 High Street, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA
- 10 95064, USA, Email: cgibble@ucsc.edu, kudela@ucsc.edu
- 11
- <sup>2</sup> 12 San Francisco Estuary Institute, 4911 Central Avenue, Richmond Ca 94804, USA,
- 13 Email: mpeacock@nwic.edu
- 14
- 15 <sup>3</sup>Native Environmental Science, Northwest Indian College, 2522 Kwina Rd, Bellingham,
- 16 Wa, 98226, USA, Email: mpeacock@nwic.edu

# 17 **Corresponding Author:**

- 18 C.M. Gibble, University of California, Santa Cruz, Kudela Laboratory, 1156 High Street,
- 19 Santa Cruz, CA 95064, Tel: +1 831-419-8169, Email: cgibble@ucsc.edu
- 20
- 21 **Keywords:** *Microcystis aeruginosa*, microcystin, *Mytilus californianus*, *Mytilus*
- 22 *trossulus*, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, Aquaculture

24

# 25 **Abstract**

26 The occurrence of freshwater harmful algal bloom toxins impacting the coastal ocean is 27 an emerging threat, and the potential for invertebrate prey items to concentrate toxin and 28 cause harm to human and wildlife consumers is not yet fully recognized. We examined 29 toxin uptake and release in marine mussels for both particulate and dissolved phases of 30 the hepatotoxin microcystin, produced by the freshwater cyanobacterial genus 31 *Microcystis*. We also extended our experimental investigation of particulate toxin to 32 include oysters (*Crassostrea sp.*) grown commercially for aquaculture. California 33 mussels (*Mytilus californianus*) and oysters were exposed to *Microcystis* and microcystin 34 toxin for 24 hours at varying concentrations, and then were placed in constantly flowing 35 seawater and sampled through time simulating riverine flushing events to the coastal 36 ocean. Mussels exposed to particulate microcystin purged the toxin slowly, with toxin 37 detectable for at least 8 weeks post-exposure and maximum toxin of 39.11 ng/g after 38 exposure to 26.65 µg/L microcystins. Dissolved toxin was also taken up by California 39 mussels, with maximum concentrations of 20.74 ng/g after exposure to 7.74 µg/L 40 microcystin, but was purged more rapidly. Oysters also took up particulate toxin but 41 purged it more quickly than mussels. Additionally, naturally occurring marine mussels 42 collected from San Francisco Bay tested positive for high levels of microcystin toxin. 43 These results suggest that ephemeral discharge of *Microcystis* or microcystin to estuaries

44 and the coastal ocean accumulate in higher trophic levels for weeks to months following 45 exposure.

46

#### 47 **1. Introduction**

48 While *Microcystis aeruginosa* blooms and associated toxins have long been recognized 49 as a problem for freshwater systems, recent studies have identified significant and severe 50 impairment in coastal receiving waters (Miller et al., 2010; Gibble and Kudela, 2014). 51 Production of microcystin toxin is influenced by nutrient supply, light levels, and 52 temperature, and although it is not regularly monitored in the marine environment, *M.*  53 *aeruginosa* is somewhat tolerant of saltwater conditions and some microcystin toxins can 54 be persistent in saline and freshwater ecosystems (Zehnder and Gorham, 1960; Tsuji et 55 al., 1994; Jacoby et al., 2000; Welker and Steinburg, 2000; Robson and Hamilton, 2003; 56 Ross et al., 2006; Tonk et al., 2007; Paerl and Huisman, 2008; Davis et al., 2009; Paerl 57 and Otten, 2013; Gibble and Kudela, 2014). Microcystin is a known hepatotoxin and 58 exposure to this toxin has impacted different marine trophic levels, including small 59 planktonic invertebrates, fish, and large vertebrates (Demott and Moxter, 1991; 60 Malbrouck and Kestemont, 2006; Richardson et al., 2007; Miller et al., 2010). The 61 emergence of this toxin as both stable in marine receiving waters and harmful for upper 62 marine trophic levels, including apex predators and humans, highlights the need for better 63 understanding of trophic transfer to and effects on humans and wildlife health alike. 64





- 82 environments in the Monterey Bay area (Miller et al., 2010; Gibble and Kudela, 2014),
- 83 and more recently evidence for widespread contamination of California's watersheds by
- 84 multiple toxins has been documented (Fetscher et al., 2015). San Francisco Bay is
- 85 another large impacted bay, that displays chronic impairment, including poor water
- 86 quality, eutrophication, and increases in harmful algal bloom activity (Cloern and Jassby,



87 2012). This is especially true in the upper estuarine environment where microcystins have

105 We investigated the rate of toxin accumulation and subsequent loss in the California 106 mussel to determine the length of time it takes for mussels to clear toxin after a marine 107 exposure event. This organism was chosen since it is both recreationally harvested for 108 human consumption, and a common prey item for wildlife. In addition to laboratory



120 **2. Materials and Methods** 

121 *2.1 Tank Experiments.* 

122 To investigate depuration of both particulate and dissolved microcystin by California

123 mussels, 3 separate tank experiment trials were performed. The first trial involved

124 particulate microcystin toxin in low concentrations (average of 5.6 µg/L per tank); the

125 second trial involved particulate microcystin toxin in higher concentration (average of 26

126 µg/L per tank); the third trial involved dissolved microcystin toxin in moderate

127 concentration (average of 7.73 µg/L per tank). A naturally occurring bloom of *M.* 

128 *aeruginosa* was collected from Pinto Lake, in Watsonville, CA a well-known "hot spot"

129 for microcystin toxin production in the Monterey Bay area (Miller et al. 2010) mixed

130 with saline water (Instant Ocean, Spectrum Brand, Virginia) and administered to 3

131 separate tanks per trial. The total salinity in each tank was approximately 33-36ppt to 132 mimic ocean conditions, and all tanks were placed in a water-table filled with constantly 133 flowing fresh seawater to maintain ambient temperature and exposure to typical coastal 134 seawater. For the dissolved toxin experiment the Pinto Lake water was filtered through a 135 0.2 µm capsule filter to remove particulates.

136

137 A total of 120 California mussels used for the tank experiment trials were collected from 138 Davenport Landing Beach in Monterey Bay, California. Once collected, mussels were 139 acclimatized in constantly flowing filtered seawater for 24 hours before the start of the 140 trial. Three representative control mussels were sampled for microcystin toxin 141 concentration via liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LCMS) and the remaining 142 mussels were divided into 3, 38 liter tanks (39 mussels per tank). For each of 3 143 experimental trials, microcystin water was added to each tank, and mussels were placed 144 in each tank for 24 hours. For particulate microcystin trials, Pinto Lake water with 145 associated toxic *Microcystis* biomass was used; testing prior to the experiments indicated 146 that the majority of the toxin was intracellular. For dissolved trials, 0.2 µm filtered Pinto 147 Lake water with known concentration of dissolved microcystins was used. At the start of 148 each trial, whole water and cell counts were collected. After the 24-hour *immersion* 149 period, mussels were transferred to constantly flowing filtered seawater and sampled 150 through time. At each time point 3 individual mussels and one whole water sample were 151 taken from each tank and were assessed for levels of microcystin in the laboratory. 152 Mussels from each tank were subsampled in intervals (24 h, 36 h, 48 h, 72 h, 96 h post

153 initial exposure). After the 96 h time point, mussels in all tanks were sampled at weekly 154 intervals.

155



173 50% methanol. Samples were then sonicated using a sonic dismembrator (Model 100;



190 Water samples collected for cell counts were preserved with 10 mL 25% glutaraldehyde

191 to 40mL of sample (5% final concentration). Preserved samples were sonicated using a

192 sonic dismembrator (Model 100; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) at ~4 W

193 for 1 minute to break up colonies. 1 mL was then filtered using a 0.2 μm black

194 polycarbonate (PCTE) membrane filter (Poretics Corp, Livermore, CA). Filters were

195 examined via epifluorescence microscopy (Zeiss Axioskop, Zeiss Microscopy,

196 Thornwood, NY) at a magnification of 400x and individual cells were counted.

197

198 *2.2 Field Experiments* 

199 Bay mussels and Mediterranean mussels, an invasive species, are sympatric, inhabit the

200 same region of San Francisco Bay, and are known to hybridize (McDonald and Koehn,

201 1988; Sarver and Foltz, 1993; Suchanek et al., 1997). Differentiation between species

202 often requires the use of molecular methods. Because of this difficulty in identification,

203 mussels collected in San Francisco Bay are conservatively identified as

204 Bay/Mediterranean mussels. To relate our tank experiment results to naturally occurring

205 assemblages,  $\frac{8}{8}$  Bay/Mediterranean mussels were collected monthly from 4 locations in

206 San Francisco Bay: Point Isabel, Point Potrero, Berkeley Marina, Alameda Island from

207 April 2015-September 2015, and from a fifth location, Romberg-Tiburon Center from

208 August 2015-September 2015 (Fig. 4). Salinities at these sites vary from between 27 and

209 32 ppt (USGS 2016). Mussels were prepared for LCMS analysis following the methods

210 described in *2.1* but were examined individually rather than pooled to further investigate

211 individual variability.

212

213 *2.3 Commercial Oyster Tank Experiments*

214 To determine whether invertebrate species used for aquaculture in Tomales Bay were

215 impacted by microcystin, we purchased oysters from a commercial aquaculture vendor in

216 Tomales Bay for immediate testing. Additional oysters were purchased from the same

217 vendor for use in tank experiments at a later date. The standard distribution protocol for

218 the supplier includes placing oysters in a tank of fresh filtered flowing water for five days 219 prior to release for public purchase and consumption. Additionally, oysters were 220 cultivated and harvested under 28500-28519.5 of the California State Health and Safety 221 Code.

222

223 Oysters purchased for immediate testing were processed following methods described 224 above for mussels. Oysters purchased subsequently for tank experimentation were 225 acclimated in constantly flowing filtered seawater for 24 hours before experimentation. 226 Three control oysters were collected and processed before the start of the trials, and the 227 remaining oysters were separated equally into 3 tanks. *Microcystis* was again collected 228 from Pinto Lake, CA mixed with saline water (Instant Ocean, Spectrum Brand, Virginia) 229 and administered to 3 separate tanks with a concentration of 7.71 µg/L microcystin. Cell 230 counts were collected and analyzed as described above. Oysters in all 3 tanks were 231 exposed to microcystin for 24 hours, after which they were placed in a water table with 232 constantly flowing filtered seawater for the remainder of the trial. Oysters were sampled 233 and processed as described in *2.1*. 234

# 235 **3. Results**

236 *3.1 Particulate Microcystin Trials - Low Microcystin* 

237 Cell count results indicated that seawater tanks contained an average of 1,815,640

238 cells/mL, with an average of 5.6 µg/L total microcystin during the 24-hour shock period.

239 Following the 24-hour shock period, constantly flowing seawater did not contain

241 Control mussels collected from nearby Monterey Bay and analyzed before the start of the 242 trials were negative for microcystin (<MDL). Mussels used in the trials took up 243 microcystin toxin during the 24-hour shock period (Fig.1), reaching tissue concentrations 244 similar to the water they were placed in. Mussels retained toxin for longer than eight 245 weeks after a single 24 h exposure. Toxin levels slowly decreased after the 24-hour 246 exposure with a noticeable drop in toxin 3 weeks post exposure. At the end of the eight 247 week trials, microcystin toxin was low, but still detectable in mussel tissue. 248 249 *3.2 Particulate Microcystin Trials - High Microcystin*  250 Cell count results indicated that average water per tank contained approximately 251 7,045,229 cells/ mL, and whole water samples indicated that tanks had an average of 252 26.65 µg/L total microcystin during the 24-hour shock period. Post shock period, 253 constantly flowing seawater did not contain microcystin (<MDL), and no mussel 254 mortality was observed. Control mussels analyzed before high microcystin particulate 255 trials were negative for microcystin (<MDL). Mussels again took up particulate 256 microcystin toxin at levels similar to the water they were placed in (Fig. 2). Mussels 257 again retained toxin for longer than eight weeks. However, toxin levels remained high for 258 much longer. There was a prominent drop in toxin 3 to 4 weeks post exposure. At the end 259 of the eight week trials, microcystin toxin was again low, but still detectable in mussel 260 tissue.

240 microcystin (< minimum detection limit, MDL), and no mussel mortality was observed.

#### 262 *3.3 Dissolved Microcystin Trials*

263 Whole water samples taken before the start of the 24-hour shock period indicated an 264 average of 7.74 µg/L total microcystin. Preceding the 24-hour shock period, constantly 265 flowing seawater did not contain microcystin (<MDL), and no mussel mortality occurred. 266 Control mussels analyzed preceding the 24-hour shock period were negative for 267 microcystin (<MDL). Mussels took up dissolved toxin within 24 hours (Fig. 3), at levels 268 similar to the water they were placed in during the 24-hour shock. Mussels in the trials 269 immediately decreased in toxin at 36 hours and fell to levels below the MDL by 72 hours 270 post exposure (36 hours after being removed from exposure; Fig. 3). 271 272 *3.4 Field Experiments*  273 Naturally occurring mussels were harvested from multiple sites in San Francisco Bay. 274 We detected microcystin toxins in at least one individual every month except for August 275 2015 at Romberg-Tiburon Center. Naturally occurring mussels exhibited a large range of 276 toxin concentrations, as is evident in September for individual mussels collected from 277 Berkeley Marina, where the toxins ranged from  $\triangle MDL - 416.23$  ng/g (n = 8). September 278 was the most toxic month for all sites, except for the northernmost site, Point Potrero,

- 279 which was most toxic in August (Fig. 4).
- 280

281 *3.5 Commercial Oyster Tank Experiments* 

282 Oysters that were evaluated immediately upon purchase tested positive for low levels of

283 microcystin (3.42 +/- 2.24 ng/g, n=6). However, a second batch of oysters purchased at a

284 later date and used for tank experiments were negative for microcystin (<MDL). At the 285 beginning of the experimental trials, cell count results indicated that average cells per 286 tank were 1,068,023 cells/mL, and whole water samples indicated that tanks had an 287 average of 7.71 µg/L total microcystin during the 24-hour shock period. Post shock 288 period, constantly flowing seawater did not contain microcystin (<MDL), and no oyster 289 mortality was observed. During experimentation, oysters took up microcystin toxin 290 within 24 hours. In contrast to the mussels, toxin in the oysters was lower on average than 291 concentrations in the shock treatment tanks (4.88 ng/g; Fig. 5). Oysters in the trials 292 decreased in toxin content at 36 hours and maintained a somewhat steady level of toxin 293 until 4 weeks post exposure when there was another decrease. Eight weeks post exposure, 294 oyster samples still contained low but detectable levels of toxin.

295

## 296 **4. Discussion**

297 Toxic marine and freshwater HAB occurrence is a worldwide problem exacerbated by the 298 deterioration of ecosystem health, eutrophication, and increasingly warmer climate due to 299 human activities (Zehnder and Gorham, 1960; Welker and Steinburg, 2000; Guo, 2007; 300 Paerl and Huisman, 2008; Davis et al., 2009; Paerl and Huisman, 2009; Kudela, 2011). 301 Unfortunately, the coastal ocean, which is already affected by marine HAB occurrence is 302 now also influenced by the transport of freshwater toxin to the marine environment, 303 which ultimately impacts the marine food web. As a relevant example, Preece et al. 304 (2015) deployed caged mussels (*M. trossulus*) in Puget Sound, WA to document transfer 305 of microcystins from a nearby lake into marine waters, and also demonstrated transfer

306 from freshwater to marine receiving waters. When in contact with seawater, *M.*  307 *aeruginosa* lyses and releases microcystin toxin within 48 hours (Miller et al., 2010) 308 making both particulate and dissolved microcystin potential concerns for shellfish 309 consumption. Our results indicate that both forms of toxin are concentrated by shellfish 310 and consequently, are a concern for human and wildlife health, and for the aquaculture 311 and fishing industries. We have shown experimentally that California mussels 312 bioaccumulate toxin quickly in both particulate and dissolved forms, at high and low 313 levels of exposure, and they release toxin slowly, allowing for rapid detection in real time 314 and conservative estimates for clearance of toxin. Further investigation by examination of 315 shellfish species can ultimately illuminate temporal and spatial patterns and identify long-316 term trends in microcystin occurrence, which may better aid in future monitoring 317 practices. However, perhaps more importantly, this toxin could be posing a real and 318 unrecognized threat to human health globally.

319

320 Particulate uptake of microcystin toxin by animal cells, and toxicology of microcystin 321 toxin has been investigated in the past (Eriksson et al., 1990; Dawson, 1998; Campos and 322 Vasconcelos, 2010). As a hepatotoxin, microcystin targets the liver cells specifically, 323 where subsequent to hepatocellular uptake, it inhibits protein phosphatases by binding to 324 enzymes, which later causes the failure of liver cells (Eriksson et al., 1990; Dawson, 325 1998; Campos and Vasconcelos, 2010). However, routes of ingestion of microcystin 326 toxin have been less well defined. The currently accepted assumption is that the main 327 mode for uptake of cyanobacterial toxins is through consumption of particulate forms



349 saline water is minimal. However, our results strongly contradict this assumption, with 350 demonstrated bioaccumulation of both particulate and dissolved toxins.

351

352 During field sampling of naturally occurring mussels, we found microcystin toxin in 353 mussels at all sites, during all months except for August at the most oceanic site. This 354 result was somewhat unexpected because field sampling occurred during a 6-month 355 period when large blooms of *Microcystis* are less likely to occur (Lehman et al., 2005, 356 2008) and freshwater input is minimal (Cloern, 1996). The variation in toxin load among 357 tested mussels was substantial (<MDL - 416.23 ng/g) leading us to us to further elucidate 358 the potential bias in examining individual organisms. Individuals were pooled during tank 359 experimentation to deal with this bias. The differential concentrations of toxin shown in 360 Fig. 4 may have been caused by fluctuating concentrations of particulate and dissolved 361 microcystin to different areas of the nearshore marine environment, or may have been 362 caused by differential uptake and retention rates by individuals.

363

364 Because our sampling locations were in San Francisco Bay, a brackish environment, and 365 *Microcystis* does not grow in salinities above 5 for this region (Lehman et al. 2005), it is 366 likely that mussels encountered microcystin originating in the upper San Francisco 367 Estuary. *Microcystis* laden freshwater runoff has been documented in both San Francisco 368 Bay and Monterey Bay in the nearshore environment, and runoff is known to carry 369 microcystin toxin to downstream areas (Lehman et al., 2005; Paerl and Huisman, 2008; 370 Miller et al., 2010; Gibble and Kudela, 2014). Because of these factors, it is perhaps even 371 more surprising that toxin was detected in mussels during a period of catastrophic 372 drought. During dry seasons, there is a tendency for reduced toxin occurrence (Paerl and 373 Huisman, 2008; Miller et al., 2010; Gibble and Kudela, 2014), because nutrients 374 necessary to sustain blooms are often delivered to bodies of water containing *M.*  375 *aeruginosa* through both groundwater and surface runoff from land (Paerl and Huisman, 376 2008). Drought periods theoretically should make detecting microcystin in organisms that 377 are downstream of *M. aeruginosa* colony and bloom formation less likely. However, 378 Lehman et al. (2013) report that warm, dry conditions such as occurred during our 379 sampling period, in a multi-year drought, could lead to westward (estuarine) expansion of 380 *Microcystis*, leading to longer duration of blooms, greater spatial extent, and more 381 impacts to higher trophic levels in response to predicted climate change. Our findings 382 support the theory that downstream in the estuary, rain events following periods of higher 383 bloom activity may increase dispersal of toxin found in mussels collected in San 384 Francisco Bay. Our results also suggest that ephemeral discharge to the coastal ocean 385 could have the potential to carry toxin to higher trophic levels for weeks to months 386 following exposure.

387

388 Our results also indicated that oysters used in aquaculture in the adjacent Tomales Bay 389 are at risk for microcystin toxin exposure. Given the various agricultural practices and 390 sources of nutrient loading upstream of Tomales Bay's main tributaries, toxin produced 391 higher in the watershed may be traveling from these freshwater sources (Lewis et al., 392 2005). Oysters purchased from a local commercial vendor and prepared for public

393 consumption contained low but detectable levels of microcystin toxin. In addition, results 394 of experimentation in this study also indicate that while oysters did not become as toxic 395 as California mussels, oysters did accumulate microcystins within 24 hours of contact, 396 and exhibited low but detectable levels of microcystin toxin at 8 weeks post exposure. 397 Shellfish aquaculture practices vary globally. While most counties have initiated 398 extensive monitoring programs to prevent human illness from harmful algal blooms, 399 many developing countries do not have this type of organization (Shumway, 1990). 400 Because it is a freshwater toxin, microcystin is not frequently monitored for in marine 401 environments where aquaculture operations exist. It is even less likely that this toxin 402 would be monitored for in countries where less advanced aquaculture practices are used 403 (Shumway 1990). Two other studies (**De Pace et al., 2014; Preece et al., 2015**), 404 documented presence of microcystins close to or above the World Health Organization 405 (WHO) Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI; 24 µg/kg wet weight) in marine mussels. Here we 406 documented levels routinely exceeding California Office of Environmental Health and 407 Hazard Assessment (OEHHA; 10 ng/g wet weight for microcystins per week in seafood) 408 and WHO guidelines. From our environmental mussel samples, between 8% and 16% of 409 the individual mussels at each site exceeded the OEHHA guideline for weekly fish 410 consumption, and in the month of September, four mussels from Berkeley Marina and 411 Alameda Island had > 230 ng/g of microcystin toxins, with one mussel at 416.23 ng/g of 412 toxin, more than 40-fold the suggested weekly intake for consumption of fish (Fig. 4).

413

414 The results of our study elucidate an extensive unrecognized problem for environmental 415 health, wildlife and human health alike. Cyanobacterial blooms with toxin production can 416 have debilitating effects on ecosystems, leading to eutrophication, light limitation of 417 other species, reduction of food web efficiency, and mortality of species living in the 418 environment (Jones, 1987; Paerl and Ustach, 1982; Havens 2008). Such impacts are 419 detectable in both marine and freshwater environments in California at many different 420 trophic levels (Miller et al., 2010). The implications of environmental degradation and 421 trophic transfer of microcystin toxin is important not only for California, but also strongly 422 suggest that communities reliant on aquaculture and wild-harvest mussels for sustenance 423 worldwide are at risk. A combined real-time monitoring process including water quality 424 and biomarker species monitoring is recommended to control detrimental effects and to 425 better understand the breadth of impacts caused by this suite of toxins. We advocate 426 immediate use of bivalves for monitoring and management, especially for aquaculture 427 practices where low levels of toxins were identified in commercially sold shellfish. The 428 structure to implement these recommendations presently exists and auxiliary testing 429 could easily compliment current monitoring practices.

430

# 431 **Acknowledgements**

432 We would like to thank Kendra Hayashi, Rosemary Romero, and Regina Radan for lab 433 and field support, and Betsy Steele for facilities support. This work was completed with 434 salary and tuition assistance from the NOAA Nancy Foster Scholarship program (CMG) 435 NOAA award number: NA10SEC4810031. Collection of samples was completed under

436 California Department of Fish and Wildlife Scientific Collecting Permit #12147, and

437 Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary Permit #MBNMS-2012-005.

438

439 **Figure Captions** 

- 440 Figure 1. *M. californianus* and particulate microcystin toxin in low concentrations
- 441 experimental trials. X-axis begins at 24 hours denoting removal from water containing 442 microcystins.

443

444 Figure 2. *M. californianus* and particulate microcystin toxin in high-concentration

445 experimental trials. X-axis begins at 24 hours denoting removal from water containing 446 microcystins.

447

448 Figure 3. *M. californianus* and dissolved microcystin toxin experimental trials. X-axis 449 begins at 24 hours denoting removal from water containing microcystins.

450

451 Figure 4. Environmental samples of mussels collected monthly from central San

452 Francisco Bay for April to September 2015. Boxes are the 25th and 75th percentile, and

453 whiskers indicate 1.5 IQR. Dots are statistical outliers, blue squares are the means, and

454 the black dotted line indicates the OEHHA action level for fish at 10 ng/g. Bars and

455 outliers are colored via the following scheme: levels of toxin  $\leq$  5 ng/g are grey, levels of

456 toxin between 5-10 ng/g are light red, and levels of toxin > 10 ng/g are bright red. The

457 outliers also follow that scheme, so just the > 10 ng/g outliers are bright red.











- 599 Malbrouck, C., Kestemont, P., 2006. Effects of microcystins on fish. Environ. Toxicol. 600 Chem. 25(1),72-86.
- 601
- 602 Mekebri, A., Blondina, G.J., Crane, D.B., 2009. Method validation of microcystins in
- 603 water and tissue by enhanced liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. J.
- 604 Chromatogr. 1216(15),3147-3155.
- 605
- 606 McDonald, J.H., Koehn, R.K., 1988. The mussels *Mytilus galloprovincialis* and *M.*
- 607 *trossulus* on the Pacific coast of North America. Mar. Biol. 99(1),111-118.
- 608
- 609 Miller, M.A., Kudela, R.M., Mekebri, A., Crane, D., Oates, S.C., Tinker, M.T., Staedler,
- 610 M., Miller, W.A., Toy-Choutka, S., Dominik, C. and Hardin, D., 2010. Evidence for a
- 611 novel marine harmful algal bloom: cyanotoxin (microcystin) transfer from land to sea
- 612 otters. PLoS One. 5:e12576.
- 613
- 614 Ozawa, K., Yokoyama, A., Ishikawa, K., Kumagai, M., Watanabe, M.F., Park, H.D.,
- 615 2003. Accumulation and depuration of microcystin produced by the cyanobacterium
- 616 Microcystis in a freshwater snail. Limnology. 4(3),131-8.
- 617
- 618 Paerl, H.W., Huisman, J., 2008. Climate: blooms like it hot. Science. 320,57–58.
- 619
- 620 Paerl, H.W., Huisman, J., 2009. Climate change: a catalyst for global expansion of
- 621 harmful cyanobacterial blooms. Environ. Microbiol*.* 1,27–37.
- 622
- 623 Paerl, H.W., Otten, T.G., 2013. Harmful cyanobacterial blooms: causes, consequences,
- 624 and controls. Microb. Ecol*.* 65,995-1010.
- 625
- 626 Paerl, H.W., Ustach, J.F., 1982. Blue–green algal scums: an explanation for their
- 627 occurrence during freshwater blooms. Limnol. Oceanogr*.* 27,212–217.





- 685 Williams DE, Dawe, S.C., Kent, M.L., Andersen, R.J., Craig, M. and Holmes, C.F.,
- 686 1997. Bioaccumulation and clearance of microcystins from salt water mussels, *Mytilus*
- 687 *edulis*, and *in vivo* evidence for covalently bound microcystins in mussel tissues.
- 688 Toxicon. 35(11),1617-1625.
- 689
- 690 Yokoyama, A., Park, H.D., 2003. Depuration kinetics and persistence of the
- 691 cyanobacterial toxin microcystin‐LR in the freshwater bivalve *Unio douglasiae*. Environ.
- 692 Toxicol. 18(1),61-7.
- 693
- 694 Zehnder, A., Gorham, P.R., 1960. Factors influencing the growth of *Microcystis*
- 695 *aeruginosa*. Can. J. Microbiol. 6,645-660.
- 696