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## A C,TRRUS CLIMATOLOGY FOR HONOLULU

I. PURPOSE

Climatological input as an aid in operational cirrus forecasting has been a pressing need at WSFO Honolulu for many years. Exacting one - to three-day quantitative forecasts of cirrus-cover over and near the Hawaiian Islands has been an operational requirement levied by several government agencies conducting atmospheric and geophysical research in the Hawaiian area. On more mundane and routine basis, the need for precise quantitative high cloud data has been a continuing requirement in the formulation of public weather forecasts. This project was undertaken to partially alleviate the paucity of a definitive cirrus climatology heretofore unavailable for the Hawaiian Islands.

## II. METHOD

Ten years of data from 1963 through 1972 were gleaned from original copies of WBAN 10A-10B filed at WSFO Honolulu. The occurrence of cirrus and the amount of cirrus observed during the regular three-hourly synoptic times were tabulated for statistical summaries.

## IIT. RESULTS

## A. Monthly Occurrence of Cirrus

Figure 1 depicts the annual variation of cirrus observations at Honolulu. Figure 1 is distinctly bimodal with maximum frequencies of cirrus occurrence during the late spring and early fall. Minima occur during the summer and late winter months with the absolute minimum occurring in February.

The pervasiveness of high cloud presence throughout the year is remarkable. Cirrus cover over the Hawaiian Islands has been traditionally thought to be a rather atypical cloud distribution specifically associated with several distinct phenomena such as jet streams and cyclonically related disturbances.

The mean upper*air flow patterns (Weideranders, 1961 and Sadler, 1972) give little information to explain the distribution shown in figure 1.

Table 1 , representing the inter-annual variability of cirrusdays, indicates a few instances of substantial variation from the means. In most cases, however, the deviations from the means are limited to relatively narrow ranges. The uniformity of the distributions about the means is shown by the small differences between means and median in each case.

Table 2 shows the number of cirrus-days which were followed by a succeeding cirrus*day. (A cirrus-day is defined as a day in which an observation or multiple observations of cirrus clouds have been made.) This table shows remarkably high frequencies of two or more successive days of cirrus observation. The mean distribution conforms closely to that of Table 1. Table 2 indicates large-scale, long-lived causative mechanisms in the production of cirrus cloudiness. Subsequent to the initial onset, persistence can be a reliable 24-hour forecast tool for successful cirrus forecasting.

## C. Mean Cirrus Cover

Figure 2 depicts the mean amount of observed cirrus, in tenths, during those days in which cirrus is observed. The distribution shown here is enigmatic. The absolute maximum observed mean of more than seven-tenths during May corresponds precisely with the maximum number of cirrus-days shown in figure 1. A minor maximum in figure 2 occurs during July, however, which is represented by a relative minimum of cirrus-days in figure 1. Figure 2 also depicts a secondary minimum of cirrus cloud amount during September whereas a secondary maximum of cirrus-days is observed during September. Another way of stating this is to say that more cirrus-days are observed during September but the observer sees a lesser amount (than during August or October).

Figures 1 and 2 indicate in general that the trend in the annual variability of cirrus cloud cover can be associated with the general trend of cirrus-day occurrences, although some important exceptions exist.

## D. Diurnal Cirrus Distribution

1. Qualitative

Eigures 3 through 14 are histograms depicting cirrus observations as a function of time-of-day, i.e., observations of cirrus without regard to total amount during the 24 -hour period.

Without exception these histograms indicate pronounced maxima during the daylight hours with distinct tendencies toward lesser amounts of cirrus detection during the hours of darkness. This observational bias has long been a basis for conjectural debate among local forecasters but no empirical evidence in support of proponents has been available until now.

## 2. Quantitative

Figures 15 through 26 depict the amount of cirrus cover as a function of time of-day for each month. While the absolute amounts range through a substantial interval during the year, the results indicate maxima during the daylight hours and minima
at night. Support for observational bias as the rationale for these distributions is further reinforced by the sudden increase in slope from 5 AM to 8 AM and the often precipitous decline and slope reversal after 5 PM.

Figures 3 through 26 clearly outline the limitations of ground-based observations insofar as cirrus cover is concerned. The figures show that not only is the observer less prone to detect cirrus after dark but even if he is reasonably certain of cirrus presence, he is likely to underestimate the total amount significantly.

## E. Decadal Cirrus Frequency

Figures 27 through 38 stratify percentage frequencies of cirrus occurrence.

Interpretation of these figures is supported in some respect by figures 3 through 26. The larger frequencies invariably cluster on both ends of the decade with pronounced minima within the interval. In the detection of cirrus the observer generally sees a great deal or very littie at all. Perhaps there is an apparent or real limitation of the human eye to successfully discriminate between moderatelyhigh and very-high, or moderately-low and very-low amounts of cirrus concentrations. The suspicion of some observational bias is unavoidable.

## IV. SUMMARY

This climatological study was designed to provide an operationally useful basis for the production of cirrus forecasts by WSFO Honolulu.

Cirrus cloudiness over Honolulu has been shown to be a pervasive phenomenon throughout the year. The physical reasoning for the maxima of cirrus activity occurring during late spring and early fall remains obscure. There does not appear to be any significant correlation of the presence of cirrus with any significant features of the mean upper air flow pattern.

Cirrus cloudiness over Honolulu has also been shown to be a highly persistent phenomenon and this fact alone can be successfully applied in the day to day type of cirrus forecasting in which WSFO Honolulu is now actively involved.

The material presented here has posed some very real questions as to the reliability of cirrus observations by ground-based human observers with particular reference to nocturnal observations. Comparisons with improved sateliite technology may verify some important restrictions.

Tempered with an understanding of its limitations, the background of material presented here should be an important and useful forecast aid for the operational forecaster. Juxtaposed with future efforts in defining the causative mechanisms this study will provide a deeper understanding of our ability to recognize and predict this important atmospheric phenomenon.
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Table 1. Cirrus-days 1963-1972.
YEAR Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec sum $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1972 & 23 & 21 * & 17 & 29 & 27 & 28 & 27 & 17 & 26 & 24 & 16 & 10 & 265\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1971 & 19 & 11 & 22 & 28 & 14 & 22 & 20 & 14 & 19 & 24 & 17 & 26 & 223\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1970 & 13 & 11 & 14 & 11 & 21 & 12 & 9 & 16 & 20 & 27 & 23 & 16 & 193\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1969 & 10 & 18 & \cdot 18 & 23 & 23 & 10 & 22 & 16 & 27 & 18 & 22 & 9 & 216\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1968 & 15 & 6 * & 24 & 26 & 22 & 22 & 28 & 23 & 25 & 22 & 16 & 20 & 249\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1967 & 12 & 20 & 20 & 21 & 29 & 18 & 25 & 29 & 29 & 24 & 22 & 18 & 267\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1966 & 14 & 14 & 18 & 12 & 24 & 17 & 26 & 23 & 25 & 25 & 23 & 19 & 240\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1965 & 15 & 13 & 17 & 27 & 29 & 19 & 17 & 15 & 24 & 20 & 21 & 21 & 238\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1964 & 10 & 7 * & 25 & 22 & 26 & 20 & 15 & 22 & 23 & 22 & 24 & 20 & 236\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1963 & 21 & 12 & 26 & 18 & 28 & 17 & 12 & 28 & 13 & 19 & 20 & 14 & 228\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { sum } & 152 & 133 & 201 & 217 & 243 & 185 & 201 & 203 & 231 & 225 & 204 & 173 & 2355\end{array}$
days 310 283* $310 \quad 300 \quad 310 \quad 300 \quad 310 \quad 310 \quad 300$ posb.

Table 2. Persistence of Cirrus-day Occurrences.

| Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | January |  |  | February |  |  | March |  |  | April |  |  | May |  |  | June |  |  |
| *Legend | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III |
| YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 23 | 20 | 87.0 | 21 | 17 | 81.0 | 17 | 12 | 70.6 | 29 | 28 | 96.6 | 27 | 24 | 88.9 | 28 | 26 | 92.9 |
| 1971 | 19 | 15 | 78.9 | 11 | 7 | 63.6 | 22 | 19 | 86.4 | 28 | 27 | 96.4 | 14 | 10 | 71.4 | 22 | 20 | 90.9 |
| 1970 | 13 | 9 | 69.2 | 11 | 7 | 63.6 | 14 | 9 | 64.3 | 11 | 6 | 54.5 | 21 | 17 | 81.0 | 12 | 6 | 50.0 |
| 1969 | 10 | 5 | 50.0 | 18 | 15 | 83.3 | 18 | 15 | 83.3 | 23 | 18 | 78.3 | 23 | 19 | 82.6 | 10 | 4 | 40.0 |
| 1968 | 15 | 8 | 53.3 | 6 | 1 | 16.7 | 24 | 20 | 83.3 | 26 | 25 | 96.2 | 22 | 18 | 81.8 | 22 | 19 | 86.4 |
| 1967 | 12 | 9 | 75.0 | 20 | 15 | 75.0 | 20 | 14 | 70.0 | 21 | 16 | 76.2 | 29 | 24 | 82.8 | 18 | 12 | 66.7 |
| 1966 | 14 | 7 | 50.0 | 14 | 9 | 64.8 | 18 | 11 | 61.1 | 12 | 7 | 58.3 | 24 | 20 | 83.3 | 17 | 12 | 70.6 |
| 1965 | 15 | 9 | 60.0 | 13 | 7 | 53.8 | 17 | 13 | 76.5 | 27 | 25 | 92.6 | 29 | 25 | 86.2 | 19 | 14 | 73.7 |
| 1964 | 10 | 7 | 70.0 | 7 | 5 | 71.4 | 25 | 22 | 88.0 | 22 | 19 | 86.4 | 26 | 23 | 88.5 | 20 | 15 | 75.0 |
| 1963 | 21 | 12 | 57.1 | 12 | 6 . | 50.0 | 26 | 21 | 80.8 | 18 | 14 | 77.8 | 28 | 26 | 92.9 | 17 | 10 | 58.8 |
| sum <br> totals | 152 | 101 | - | 133 | 89 |  | 188 | 156 |  | 217 | 186 |  | 243 | 206 |  | 185 | 138 |  |
| ave. \% |  |  | 66.4 |  |  | 66.9 |  |  | 83.0 |  |  | 85.7 |  |  | 84.8 |  |  | 74.6 |
| median | \% |  | 64.6 |  |  | 64.2 |  |  | 78.7 |  |  | 82.4 |  |  | 83.1 |  |  | 72.2 |
| *I Total cirrus-days. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II Consecutive cirrus-days. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| III \% | Occu | rrenc | e of | onsec | utiv | cirru | -day |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

continued.
Occurrences,

Table 2.

| July |  |  |  | August |  |  | September |  |  | October |  |  | November |  |  | December |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Legend | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III | I | II | III |
| YEAR |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 27 | 25 | 92.6 | 17 | 13 | 76.5 | 26 | 22 | 84.6 | 24 | 23 | 95.8 | 16 | 8 | 50.0 | 10 | 5 | 50.0 |
| 1971 | 20 | 12 | 60.0 | 14 | 11 | 78.6 | 19 | 16 | 84.2 | 24 | 18 | 75.0 | 17 | 12 | 70.6 | 26 | 22 | 84.6 |
| 1970 | 9 | 7 | 77.8 | 16 | 13 | 81.3 | 20 | 15 | 75.0 | 27 | 24 | 88.9 | 23 | 21 | 91.3 | 16 | 11 | 68.8 |
| 1969 | 22 | 16 | 72.7 | 16 | 12 | 75.0 | 27 | 23 | 85.2 | 18 | 13 | 72.2 | 22 | 18 | 81.8 | 9 | 4 | 44.4 |
| 1968 | 28 | 27 | 96.4 | 23 | 21 | 91.3 | 25 | 21 | 84.0 | 22 | 16 | 72.7 | 16 | 11 | 68.8 | 20 | 14 | 70.0 |
| 1967 | 25 | 22 | 88.0 | 29 | 28 | 96.6 | 29 | , 28 | 96.6 | 24 | 20 | 83.3 | 22 | 20 | 90.9 | 18 | 14 | 77.8 |
| 1966 | 26 | 25 | 96.2 | 23 | 20 | 87.0 | 25 | 22 | 88.0 | 25 | 22 | 88.0 | 23 | 18 | 78.3 | 19 | 15 | 78.9 |
| 1965 | 17 | 13 | 76.5 | 15 | 12 | 80.0 | 24 | 20 | 83.3 | 20 | 12 | 60.0 | 21 | 14 | 66.7 | 21 | 17 | 81.0 |
| 1964 | 15 | 13 | 86.7 | 22 | 17 | 77.3 | 23 | 19 | 82.6 | 22 | 18. | 81.8 | 24 | 19 | 79.2 | 20 | 14 | 70.0 |
| 1963 | 12 | 6 | 50.0 | 28 | 25 | 89.3 | 13 | 6 | 46.2 | 19 | 16 | 84.2 | 20 | 16 | 80.0 | 14 | 6 | 42.9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { sum } \\ & \text { totals } \end{aligned}$ | 201 | 166 |  | 203 | 172 |  | 231 | 192 |  | 225 | 182 |  | 204 | 157 |  | 173 | 122 |  |
| ave. \% |  |  | 82.6 |  |  | 84.7 |  |  | 83.1 |  |  | 80.9 |  |  | 77.0 |  |  | 70.5 |
| median \% |  |  | 82.3 |  |  | 80.7 |  |  | 84.1 |  |  | 82.6 |  | - | 78.8 |  |  | 70.0 |
| *I Total cirrus-days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II Consecutive cirrus-days. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| III \% | Occu | rence | of con | nsecu | ive | irru | days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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