NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS



SEPTEMBER 1996

N.N.

AWES?

APR 1 0 1997

ABUNDANCE OF CETACEANS IN CALIFORNIA WATERS BASED ON 1991 AND 1993 SHIP SURVEYS

Jay Barlow Tim Gerrodette

NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-233

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service Southwest Fisheries Science Center

NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), organized in 1970, has evolved into an agency which establishes national policies and manages and conserves our oceanic, coastal, and atmospheric resources. An organizational element within NOAA, the Office of Fisheries is responsible for fisheries policy and the direction of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS).

In addition to its formal publications, the NMFS uses the NOAA Technical Memorandum series to issue informal scientific and technical publications when complete formal review and editorial processing are not appropriate or feasible. Documents within this series, however, reflect sound professional work and may be referenced in the formal scientific and technical literature.

NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS

This TM series is used for documentation and timely communication of preliminary results, interim reports, or special purpose information. The TMs have not received complete formal review, editorial control, or detailed editing.





ABUNDANCE OF CETACEANS IN CALIFORNIA WATERS BASED ON 1991 AND 1993 SHIP SURVEYS

Jay Barlow Tim Gerrodette

National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center La Jolla Laboratory P.O. Box 271 La Jolla, California 92038-0271

NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-233

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Michael Kantor, Secretary National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration D. James Baker, Under Secretary for Oceans and Atmosphere National Marine Fisheries Service Rolland A. Schmitten, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries

ABUNDANCE OF CETACEANS IN CALIFORNIA WATERS BASED ON 1991 AND 1993 SHIP SURVEYS

Jay Barlow and Tim Gerrodette

Southwest Fisheries Science Center National Marine Fisheries Service P.O. Box 271, La Jolla CA 92038

ABSTRACT

Ship surveys were conducted in summer/fall of 1991 and 1993 to estimate the abundance of cetaceans in the waters off California (between the coast and about 300nmi offshore). Line-transect methods were used from a 53 m research vessel. Approximately 8,800 nmi of transects were completed when sea states were Beaufort 5 or less. The estimated abundances and coefficients of variation (in parentheses) of the most common cetacean species are: 372,000 (0.22) short-beaked common dolphins (Delphinus delphis), 8,980 (0.64) long-beaked common dolphins (Delphinus capensis), 24,900 (0.31) striped dolphins (Stenella coeruleoalba), 11,200 (0.36) Pacific white-sided dolphins (Lagenorhynchus obliquidens), 8,980 (0.50) northern right whale dolphins (Lissodelphis borealis), 47,700 (0.40) Dall's porpoise (Phocoenoides dalli), 1,850 (0.50) bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus), 10,700 (0.41) Risso's dolphins (Grampus griseus), 1,000 (0.37) short-finned pilot whales, 747 (0.71) killer whales (Orcinus orca), 1,220 (0.39) sperm whales (Physeter macrocephalus), 380 (0.52) Baird's beaked whales (Berardius bairdii), 933 (0.27) fin whales (Balaenoptera physalus), 1,720 (0.22) blue whales (Balaenoptera musculus), 577 (0.31) humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae), 3,145 (0.54) pygmy sperm whales (Kogia breviceps), 9,160 (0.52) Cuvier's beaked whales (Ziphius cavirostris), 2,106 (0.79) mesoplodont beaked whales (Mesoplodon spp.) and 201 (0.65) minke whales (Balaenoptera acutorostrata). Estimates are also made for various other species which could only be identified to higher-level taxa.

INTRODUCTION

The abundance of cetaceans in California waters has been estimated recently using ship and aerial line-transect surveys. The aerial surveys (Forney et al. 1995) covered waters out to 100-150 nmi in winter/spring of 1991 and 1992, and the ship surveys (Barlow 1995) covered waters out to 300 nmi in summer/fall 1991. Since those surveys, another cetacean ship survey was conducted off California out to 300 nmi offshore (in summer/fall 1993, Mangels and Gerrodette 1994). The 1993 survey also covered areas off Baja California and in the Gulf of California; however, the number of transect miles off California was less than in 1991. Given that the number of sightings in 1991 was only marginally adequate for estimating the California abundance of many species, data from 1993 alone are even less adequate. In this paper we combine the data from 1991 and 1993 to obtain better estimates of the summer/fall abundance of cetaceans in California waters between the coast and 300 nmi offshore.

METHODS

Field Methods

Similar line-transect methods were used to collect abundance data in both 1991 and 1993. During both years, scientists aboard the 53 m NOAA research vessel *McARTHUR* surveyed along uniformly placed, pre-determined transect lines (which differed between years). [In 1993 a small amount of survey effort was also conducted using a second vessel, the *DAVID STARR JORDAN*, of similar length and observation height.] The vessel traveled at 10 knots while a team of three observers searched for marine mammals: two using 25x pedestal-mounted binoculars and the third using unaided eyes and 7x binoculars. The third observer also recorded sighting and search-effort data on a computer system. Additional details about survey methods are given by Hill and Barlow (1992), Mangels and Gerrodette (1994), and Barlow (1995).

Small beaked whales of the genera Ziphius and Mesoplodon are notoriously difficult to differentiate in the field. Previous analyses of the 1991 survey used only those identifications that were certain, which left a large fraction (41%) of "unidentified beaked whales". In the present analyses, we prorate the "unidentified beaked whales" to one of these two genera if the written account of the sighting indicated that it was "probably" one genus or if the estimated lengths of the largest individuals in a group fell within the range of adults of one genus and not the other [we use 5.5m as the dividing point between the likely lengths of Ziphius (>5.5m) and Mesoplodon (<5.5m)]. Of the 18 sightings of "unidentified beaked whales" on surveys in 1991 and 1993, all but two provided sufficient information to assign them to probable categories of Ziphius or Mesoplodon and are treated as such in this report.

Analytical Methods

To facilitate direct comparisons with the results of the 1991 survey (Barlow 1995), the same analytical approach is used here to estimate marine mammal abundance from the pooled 1991/93 data. Density is estimated separately in group-size strata to avoid size bias (Buckland et al. 1993, p. 77). The same group size strata are used as were used by Barlow (1995). The usual line-transect formula is used to estimate density, D_{ij} , for species *j* within group-size stratum *i*:

$$D_{ij} = \frac{n_{ij} S_{ij} f_{ik}(0)}{2 L g_{ik}(0)}$$
(1),

where

n = number of sightings,
 S = mean group size,
 f(0) = sighting probability density at zero perpendicular distance,
 L = length of transect line completed, and
 g(0) = probability of seeing a group directly on the trackline,
 k = species group to which species j belongs.

To estimate f(0) and g(0), species are pooled into k=5 groups which share similar sighting

characteristics: small delphinids, large delphinids, cryptic species, small whales, and large whales (Table 1). These are the same species groups used in analysis of 1991 survey data (Barlow 1995) except for two species that were seen only in 1993: the short-finned pilot whale was added to the large delphinid group and Blainville's beaked whale was added to the small whale group. Values of g(0) for these species groups are taken from Barlow (1995) and Barlow and Sexton (in press). The total abundance for species j, (N_j) , is estimated as the sum of the densities in all s strata times the size of the study area, A = 238,100 nmi²,

$$N_j = A \sum_{i=1}^{s} D_{ij}$$

Because they are more difficult to detect in rough seas, abundance estimation for small whales and cryptic species are limited to transects that were completed in Beaufort sea states of 0 through 2; abundance estimates for small delphinids, large delphinids and large whales included data collected in Beaufort sea states of 0 through 5.

There are several differences in the analytical methods used in this paper compared to Barlow et al. (1995). A major difference is that a variety of line-transect models were investigated for estimating f(0) and the best model was chosen using the Akaike Information Criterion in program DISTANCE (Laake et al. 1994). These models included hazard-rate and half-normal key functions with cosine adjustments (Buckland et al. 1993). Barlow (1995) only used the hazard-rate model. Another difference is that the coefficients of variation (CVs) in densities are estimated as the square root of the sum of the squared CVs of the variable components in Equation 1. The CVs of the encounter rate $(n \cdot S/L)$ are estimated by breaking the effort into 75 nmi segments of effort and empirically estimating the variance among segments. The CVs of f(0) are estimated analytically using the information matrix approach. The CVs of g(0) are taken from Barlow (1995) and Barlow and Sexton (in press). The bootstrap approach used by Barlow (1995) produced similar estimates but was unnecessarily computer-intensive and time-consuming. Another difference is that Barlow (1995) used bias-corrected group size estimates for some observers (who were calibrated against relatively unbiased observers); these bias-correction factors have not been developed yet for the 1993 survey and are not used for the analyses presented here. Finally, a new approach has been developed for estimating g(0) for long-diving whales (Barlow and Sexton, in press), and values of g(0) for sperm whales, dwarf and pygmy sperm whales, Cuvier's beaked whales, mesoplodont beaked whales are taken from that paper.

RESULTS

Both the 1991 and 1993 surveys uniformly covered the study area (Figs. 1 and 2, respectively). A total of 8,826 nmi was surveyed in Beaufort sea states of 5 or less, and 2,039 nmi were surveyed in Beaufort 2 or less. For most species, the encounter rates (numbers of groups seen per 1000 nmi and numbers of individuals seen per 1000 nmi) were quite similar between years (Table 2). The most notable change during this time period was an apparent increase in the

encounter rates of warm-temperate and tropical delphinoids (short-beaked and long-beaked common dolphins and striped dolphins) and an apparent decrease in cold-temperate delphinoids (Dall's porpoise, northern right whale dolphins, and Pacific white-sided dolphins). The encounter rates of many large whales (sperm whales, Baird's beaked whales, fin whales, blue whales, and humpback whales) also increased from 1991 to 1993.

The estimated effective search widths (ESW, 1/f(0)) were also quite similar when comparing previous estimates for 1991 (Barlow 1995) with new estimates for 1991 and 1993 pooled (Table 3). The best fits were obtained with the hazard-rate model (with no cosine terms) for cryptic species and small delphinids and with the half-normal/cosine model for small whales, large whales, and large delphinids. The most notable changes were a decrease in ESW for large groups of small delphinids (from 3.4km to 1.9km), a decrease in ESW for small groups of large delphinids (from 2.0km to 1.1km), and an increase in ESW for small whale species (from 1.6km to 2.7km). Although the magnitude of some of these changes is large, none of these changes can be viewed as unexpected given the estimation error (coefficients of variation) for these parameters (Table 3).

Overall estimates of cetacean abundance based on 1991 and 1993 pooled (Table 4) are generally similar to estimates based on 1991 alone (Barlow 1995). The most notable changes are a greater estimated abundance of short-beaked common dolphins (226,000 vs. 372,000), a lesser abundance of Dall's porpoise (78,000 vs. 48,000), and a greater abundance of Baird's beaked whales (38 vs. 380). Also, there were no short-finned pilot whales or Blainville's beaked whales identified during the 1991 survey, but their 1991/93 abundances are now estimated as approximately 1,000 and 728 (respectively) based only on sightings made in 1993.

DISCUSSION

With the addition of 1993 data, the new cetacean abundance estimates for California (Table 4) differ from previous estimates. These differences are, in some cases, substantial. Short-beaked common dolphin abundance is now 1.6 times more than previously estimated (Barlow 1995). Dall's porpoise abundance is now 1.6 times less than previously estimated. The new Baird's beaked whale abundance estimate is 10 times greater than the previous estimate. Short-finned pilot whales were not seen at all in 1991 (making a de facto estimate of zero) and are now estimated to include 1,000 individuals. Although some of these differences are large, with the exception of Baird's beaked whales and short-finned pilot whales, all the new estimates are within the 95% confidence intervals of the previous estimates. Because the new estimates are based on data which were also used to make the old estimates, a rigorous statistical comparison is not possible; however, the wide confidence intervals do indicate that such large difference can be expected given the statistical sampling and estimation errors that would be expected from these types of data. Nonetheless, it may be informative to look for the reasons why some of the differences are as large as they are.

Estimates of f(0) changed substantially for several of the species groups. The increase in f(0) for large groups of small delphinids (Table 3) certainly contributed to the increase in the estimated

abundance of short-beaked common dolphins and striped dolphins, both of which commonly occur in large groups. However, this does not explain all of the increase. Encounter rates for short-beaked common dolphins and striped dolphins also increased from 1991 to 1993 (Table 2). The apparent decrease in the estimated abundance of cold-temperate delphinoids was also reflected in decreased encounter rates of these species (Pacific white-sided dolphins, northern right whale dolphins, and Dall's porpoise). Because there were correlated increases in warm-temperate/tropical delphinoids and correlated decreases in cold-temperate delphinoids, part of the difference between the pooled 1991/93 estimates and the 1991 estimates could be explained by shifts in the distributions of these species cause by year-to-year variation in oceanographic conditions.

Similarly, the increase in the apparent abundance of short-finned pilot whales may also have been the result of a real increase in their abundance caused by a shift in their distribution. An increase was also seen in the frequency that short-finned pilot whales were caught in drift gillnets off California; only one was observed caught in gillnets in 1991 and 1992 combined, but 11 were observed in 1993 alone (Barlow et al. 1994). This provides additional evidence that pilot whale abundance may have increased. The actual number of sightings is, however, so low (0 in 1991 and 4 in 1993) that the apparent change could be explained entirely as a statistical artifact.

The general increase in the estimated abundance of small beaked whales is the net result of increases in some parameters and decreases in others. The effective search width for small whales increased from 1.6 to 2.7km (Table 3) with the addition of more sightings. The new estimate of f(0) is considerably more precise (CV=0.16) than the previous estimate (CV=0.48), consequently the abundance estimates for these species are also more precise (Table 4). The values of g(0) used in the present analysis are much lower than previous values because previous values only include perception bias (Marsh and Sinclair 1989) and the more recent values also include availability bias (which is a particular concern for long-diving species). Encounter rates did not change much between 1991 and 1993; therefore the increase in estimated abundance is probably not real but, rather, is caused by changes (improvements) in methods of analyses.

The large change in the estimated abundance of Baird's beaked whales from 38 based on 1991 data to 380 based on 1991/93 data, is almost entirely due to changes in the observed encounter rate. Only one group was seen in 1991 (although 2 other groups were seen while "off-effort") compared to 4 groups in 1993. Clearly, this difference could be explained by sampling error. Most groups of Baird's beaked whales are greater than 3 individuals, and the estimates of f(0) for such large groups of large whales did not change appreciable from previous estimates (Table 3).

In general, the differences between abundance estimates presented here (Table 4) and those presented earlier (Barlow 1995) can be explained entirely by sampling variability and estimation error. It is likely, however, that some of these differences are caused by real changes in abundance between 1991 and 1993. By pooling abundance between these years, we do not mean to imply that abundance was the same in both years or that differences were not real; rather, we are simply estimating the mean number present over the period from 1991 to 1993. Another survey planned for summer/fall 1996 may help resolve whether the patterns of changes observer here have continued

since 1993.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Surveys in 1991 and 1993 were coordinated by Scott Hill and Karl Mangels (respectively). Marine mammal observers on the *McARTHUR* included Gabriel Aldana, Wes Armstrong, Scott Benson, Jim Cotton, Darlene Everhart, Gary Friedrichsen, Doug Kinzey, Hector Lira, Mary Lycan, Robyn Mellon, Scott Miller, L. Mitchell, Paula Olson, Jennifer Quan, Julie Rivers, Camille Speck, and Brian Smith. Cruise leaders included Karin Forney, Scott Hill, Mark Lowry, Karl Mangels, and Paul Wade (and JB and TG). We are grateful to the help provided by these people and by the officers and crew of the NOAA survey vessels. Versions of this manuscript were reviewed by Karin Forney, John Heyning, Michael Scott, and the Pacific MMPA Scientific Review Group.

LITERATURE CITED

- Barlow, J. 1995. The abundance of cetaceans in California waters. Part I: Ship surveys in summer and fall of 1991. Fish. Bull. 93:1-14.
- Barlow, J., R. W. Baird, J. E. Heyning, K. Wynne, A. M. Manville, II, L. F. Lowry, D. Hanan, J. Sease, and V. N. Burkanov. 1994. A review of cetacean and pinniped mortality in coastal fisheries along the west coast of the U.S. and Canada and the east coast of the Russian Federation. Rep. Int. Whal. Commn, Special Issue 15:405-425.
- Barlow, J., and S. N. Sexton. (in press). The effect of diving and searching behavior on the probability of detecting track-line groups, g_0 , of long-diving whales during line-transect surveys. Southwest Fisheries Science Center Administrative Report.
- Buckland, S. T., D. R. Anderson, K. P. Burnham, and J. L. Laake. 1993. <u>Distance Sampling:</u> <u>Estimating abundance of biological populations</u>. Chapman and Hall. London. 446pp.
- Forney, K. A., Barlow, J., and J. V. Carretta. 1995. The abundance of cetaceans in California waters. Part II: Aerial surveys in winter and spring of 1991 and 1992. Fish. Bull. 93:15:26.
- Hill, P. S. and J. Barlow. 1992. Report of a marine mammal survey of the California coast aboard the research vessel McARTHUR July 28-November 5, 1991. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-169. NTIS #PB93-109908. 103pp.
- Laake, J. L., S. T. Buckland, D. R. Anderson, and K. P. Burnham. 1994. DISTANCE User's Guide Version 2.1. Colorado Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523. 84pp.
- Mangels, K. F. and T. Gerrodette. 1994. Report of cetacean sightings during a marine mammal survey in the eastern Pacific Ocean and the Gulf of California aboard the NOAA ships

McARTHUR and *David Starr Jordan* July 28 - November 6, 1993. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-221. 88pp.

7

Marsh, H. and D. F. Sinclair. 1989. Correcting for visibility bias in strip transect aerial surveys of aquatic fauna. J. Wildl. Manage. 53:1017-1024.

Table 1. Species groups used in estimating f(0) and g(0).

<u>evi, () - Topo y Hompson H</u>

Table 2. Comparison of encounter rates of groups (n/L) and individuals $(n \cdot S/L)$ for species seen during 1991 and 1993 surveys of California waters. Coefficients of variation (CVs) are based on the variance in encounter rates measured in consecutive 75 nmi segments of survey effort.

Nu G	imber roups n	Groups per 1000nmi	CV Groups per 1000nmi	Animals per 1000nmi	CV Animats per 1000nmi		Number Groups n	Groups per 1000nmi	CV Groups per 1000nmi	Animals per 1000nmi	CV Animals per 1000nmi
short-beaked common dolphin						minke whale					
1001 Survey	122	22 F	0 166	0100	0.014	1991 Suprov		0.7	0.004		0.004
1993 Survey	106	22.0	0.100	2130	0.214	1991 Survey	4	0.7	0.601	1	0.604
1999 Guivey	100	51.1	0.101	5015	0.232	1993 Sulvey	U	0.0	-	0	-
long-beaked common dolphin						unidentified small whole					
1991 Suprov	e	1 4	0.000	101	0 700	1001 Suntau	4.4	0.0	0.000		~ ~ / -
1997 Survey	2	1.1	0.096	101	0.798	1002 Survey	11	2.0	0.306	2	0.317
1993 Sulvey	2	0,0	0.999	129	1.064	1993 Survey	8	2.3	0.327	3	0.364
stripsd dolphin						unidentified extenses					
	. 24		0.050	400	0.050	1901 Supress		4 5	0.070		
	40	4.4	0.202	193	0.359	1991 Survey	<u> </u>	1.5	0.378	2	0.437
1885 Sulvey	10	0.0	0.200	304	0.470	1993 Sulvey	5	1.5	0.422	5	0.511
Pacific white-sided dolphin						sporm whole					
1001 Suprov	10	2.2	0 420	51	0 546		10		0,200		A 444
1993 Suprey	12	2.2	0.439	24	0.516	1991 Survey	13	2.4	0.398	11	0.460
1993 Survey	. 0	2.5	0.440	32	0.579	1993 Survey	- 15	4.4	0.401	38	0.448
porthorn right whole delphin						Daird's backed whole					
1001 Surrou	10	2.0	0.000	47	0.550	1001 Survey		0.0	0.000		
1991 Survey	16	3.0	0.399	47	0.553	1991 Survey	1	0.2	2.000	1	2.000
1993 Survey	8	2.3	0.369	33	0.477	1993 Survey	4	1.2	0.600	18	0.622
						Devided: 1 to 1					
unidentified delphinoid						Bryde's or set whate					
1991 Survey	21	3.9	0.261	13	0.312	1991 Survey	3	0.6	0.740	1	0.740
1993 Survey	24	7.0	0.266	229	0.456	1993 Survey	2	0.6	0.999	1	0.999
						6 1 1					
bottlenose dolphin						tin whale					
1991 Survey	16	3.0	0.427	23	0.478	1991 Survey	23	4.2	0.471	8	0.502
1993 Survey	5	1.5	0.513	.25	0.687	1993 Survey	32	9.4	0.375	18	0.384
Risso's dolphin						blue whale					
1991 Survey	28	5.2	0.279	100	0.352	1991 Survey	50	9.2	0.251	.18	0.261
1993 Survey	17	5.0	0.328	91	0.441	1993 Survey	46	13.5	0.263	22	0.269
short-finned pilot whale						numpback whale		. .			
1991 Survey	0	0.0		U		1991 Survey	13	2.4	0.314	7	0.452
1993 Survey	4	1.2	0.601	16	0.641	1993 Survey	17	5.0	0.445	8	0.457
						and the second					
Killer whale	-		0.400		0.500	unidentified baleen whate					
1991 Survey	5	0.9	0.488	4	0.526	1991 Survey	9	1.7	0.444	2	0.460
1993 Survey	2	0.6	0.700	4	0.771 -	1993 Survey	15	4.4	0.368	6	0.379
had a name in a						unidentified large whole					
narbor porpoise	20	5.0	0.544	20	0.740	1001 Current	00		0.005	· ·	
1991 Survey	32	5.9	0.544	20	0.716	1991 Survey	22	4.1	0.285	5	0.303
1993 Survey	3	0.9	0.561	1	0.596	1993 Survey	11	3.2	0.321	16	0.645
Della											
Dairs porpoise		4		05	0.004	undentified whate					-
1991 Survey	96	17.7	0.316	65	0.331	1991 Survey	1	0.2	2	0.2	2
1993 Survey	13	3.8	0.404	16	0.469	1993 Survey	1	0.3	2	0.3	2
pygmy or dwarf sperm whate	~				0 = 4 0	baleen whale total				•••	
1991 Survey	3	0.6	0.697	1	0.716	1991 Survey	98	18.1	0.180	36	0.195
1993 Survey	2	0.6	1.000	1	1.000	1993 Survey	112	32.9	0.175	54	0.185
unidentified beaked whale	~	0.0		~		tropical dalphin-inte					
1991 Survey	0	0.0	0.000	Ú J	-	1001 Summer	100	00.0	0 107	0000	0.407
1993 Survey	2	0.6	0.992	1	1.046	1002 Survey	162	29.9	0.137	2352	0.197
						1993 Survey	133	39.1	0.151	5360	U.219
mesoplocont beaked whales	~		0.001	~	0.400	tomporate deletionide					
1991 Survey	6	1.1	0.394	2	0.420	temperate delphinolds	101	-00.0	0.051	100	0.000
1993 Survey	6	1.5	0.409	5	U.499	1991 Survey	124	22.9	0.254	166	0.263
						1993 Survey	29	8.5	0.242	81	0.315
Cuvier's beaked whale				·	0.000	beloen what					
1991 Survey	18	3.3	0.321	7	0.332	paleen whales w/ minke's	100	40.0	0.4700	~ 7	0 4 707
1993 Survey	12	3.5	0.310	6	0.340	1991 SURVey	102	18.8	0.1702	37	0.1707
						1993 Survey	112	32.9	0.1661	54	U.1787

Table 3. Maximum likelihood estimates of line-transect parameters for species groups and associated strata from 1991 surveys and from 1991 and 1993 surveys pooled. Effective search widths (ESW) are the inverse of f(0). Coefficients of variation are the same for both and are based on analytical estimates of the standard error of f(0) using the information matrix approach.

Main Stratum	1	991 Dat	ta		1991	+1993	Data
Sub-strata	f(0)	ESW	C.V.		f(0)	ESW	C.V.
	/km	km	f(0)		/km	km	f(0)
Small Delphinids (2.0 nmi Truncation)							
Group size 1-20	1.258	0.79	0.249	1	.724	0.58	0.255
Group size 21-100	0.944	1.06	0.336	C	.905	1.10	0.240
Group size 101+	0.283	3.54	0.193	C	.528	1.89	0.239
Large Delphinids (2.0 nmi Truncation)							
Group size 1-20	0.504	1.98	0.306	C	.912	1.10	0.395
Group size 21+	0.352	2.84	N.A.	0	.383	2.61	0.232
Cryptic Species (2.0 nmi Truncation) Calm seas	1.574	0.64	0.199	1	.568	0.64	0.200
Small Whales (2.0 nmi Truncation) Calm seas	0.614	1.63	0.488	C	.369	2.71	0.160
Large Whales (3.0 nmi Truncation) Group size 1-3 Group size 4+	0.696 0.256	1.44 3.90	0.278 N.A.	0	.437 .238	2.29 4.20	0.100 0.147

(1994). Most unidentified beaked whales were prorated as Cuvier's beaked whales or mesoplodont beaked whales based on sighting Table 4. Line-transect estimates of cetacean abundance in California waters based on 1991 and 1993 ship surveys. Estimates of density for each strata were based on Eq. 1. Log-normal 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were based on the approach given by Buckland et al. descriptions.

acout hands.													
Species Group Species	Number Groups	Mean Size of Groups	f(0)	(0)	Animal Density	Pop. Size	C. <	Lower 95%	-Normal Upper 95%	5	5	5	C
Strata	_ د	م	/km		/sq_km	Z	z	C.I.	C.I.	n*S/L	f(0)	g(0)	Density
Small delphinids short-beaked common dolphin Group size 1-20 Group size 21-100 Group size 101+	42 93 70	11.81 44.34 272.73	1.724 0.905 0.528	0.77 1.00 1.00	0.4570 0.0340 0.1143 0.3087	372,425	0.222	242,215	572,634	0.221 0.160 0.195	0.255 0.240 0.239	0.137 0.000 0.000	0.364 0.288 0.308
long-beaked common dolphin Group size 1-20 Group size 21-100 Group size 101+	m m	11.56 5.63 207.58	1.724 0.905 0.528	0.770 1.000 1.000	0.0110 0.0008 0.0002 0.0101	8,980	0.636	2,866	28,138	2.000 2.000 0.633	0.255 0.240 0.239	0.137 0.000 0.000	2.021 2.014 0.677
common dolphin (unclassified) Group size 1-20 Group size 21-100 Group size 101+	ω (v -	4.94 17.92 538.93	1.724 0.905 0.528	0.770 1.000 1.000	0.0124 0.0027 0.0010 0.0087	10,120	1.420	1,291	79,347	0.439 0.804 2.000	0.255 0.240 0.239	0.137 0.000 0.000	0.526 0.839 2.014
striped dolphin Group size 1-20 Group size 21-100 Group size 101+	6 10 10	9.81 27.81 62.82	1.724 0.905 0.528	0.770 1.000 1.000	0.0306 0.0020 0.0092 0.0193	24,910	0.314	13,647	45,466	0.725 0.472 0.346	0.255 0.240 0.239	0.137 0.000 0.000	0.781 0.530 0.421
Pacific white-sided dolphin Group size 1-20 Group size 21-100 Group size 101+	о <mark>т</mark> С 8 г	7.78 35.16 37.05	1.724 0.905 0.528	0.770 1.000 1.000	0.0137 0.0053 0.0078 0.0006	11,187	0.358	5,661	22,108	0.407 0.447 2.000	0.255 0.240 0.239	0.137 0.000 0.000	0.499 0.507 2.014
northern right whale dolphin Group size 1-20 Group size 21-100 Group size 101+	τ _{8 0}	8.61 11.68 59.72	1.724 0.905 0.528	0.770 1.000 1.000	0.0110 0.0065 0.0026 0.0019	8,977	0.497	3,576	22,534	0.701 0.397 1.038	0.255 0.240 0.239	0.137 0.000 0.000	0.758 0.464 1.065
unidentified delphinoid Group size 1-20 Group size 21-100 Group size 101+	31	4.33 19.85 200.00	1.724 0.905 0.528	0.770 1.000 1.000	0.0130 0.0092 0.0006 0.0032	10,585	0.572	3,732	30,024	0.228 2.000 2.000	0.255 0.240 0.239	0.137 0.000 0.000	0.368 2.014 2.014

Table 4. (Cont.)													
Species Group Species Nt G	umber àroups n	Mean Size of Groups S	f(0) /km	ĝ(0)	Animal Density /sq_km	Pop. Size N	2. S	Lower 95% C.I.	Normal Upper 95% C.I.	CV n*S/L	(0) (0) (0)	0) C(CV Density
Large delphinids bottlenose dolphin Group size 1-20 Group size 21+	6	4.70 9.67	0.912 0.383	0.74 1.00	0.0023 0.0012 0.0010	1,850	0.504	728	4,696	0.590 0.466	0.395 0.232	0.391	0.811 0.521
Risso's dolphin Group size 1-20 Group size 21+	26 15	8.45 27.38	0.912 0.383	0.736 1.000	0.0132 0.0083 0.0048	10,720	0.413	4,927	23,327	0.249 0.326	0.395 0.232	0.391 0.000	0.609 0.400
short-finned pilot whale Group size 1-20 Group size 21+	200	11.10 16.58	0.912 0.383	0.736 1.000	0.0012 0.0008 0.0004	1,004	0.372	495	2,033	0.710 0.800	0.395 0.232	0.391 0.000	0.902 0.833
killer whale Group size 1-20 Group size 21+	02	4.83 0.00	0.912	0.736 1.000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	747	0.711	213	2,615	0.443	0.395	0.391	0.711
Cryptic species harbor porpoise (see footnote)	34	4.21	1.568	0.787	0.0378	30,801	0.871	7,067	134,245	0.841	0.200	0.103	0.871
Dall's porpoise	69	3.21	1.568	0.787	0.0585	47,661	0.404	22,261	102,043	0.335	0.200	0.103	0.404
pygmy sperm whale	ю	1.17	1.568	0.189	0.0039	3,145	0.538	1,170	8,453	0.489	0.200	0.103	0.538
pygmy or dwarf sperm whale	-	1.00	1.568	0.190	0.0011	891	2.037	73	10,958	2.000	0.200	0.330	2.037
Small whales unidentified beaked whale	, -	2.00	0.369	0.260	0.0004	307	0.541	114	827	0.436	0.154	0.280	0.541
mesoplodont beaked whale	5	1.80	0.369	0.260	0.0017	1,378	0.577	482	3,937	0.480	0.154	0.280	0.577
Blainville's Beaked whale		4.75	0.369	0.260	0.0009	728	2.025	60	8,891	2.000	0.154	0.280	2.025
Cuvier's beaked whale	12	2.49	0.369	0.130	0.0112	9,163	0.521	3,506	23,946	0.354	0.154	0.350	0.521
minke whale	4	1.06	0.369	0.840	0.0002	201	0.652	63	646	0.595	0.154	0.218	0.652
unidentified small whale	2 2	1.00	0.369	0.840	0.0003	237	0.451	102	552	0.364	0.154	0.218	0.451
unidentified cetacean	4	1.50	0.369	0.840	0.0003	285	0.592	67	833	0.528	0.154	0.218	0.592

(Cont.)	
4	
Table	

				-										
Species Grou Species	d	Number	Mean Size			Animal	Pop.		Log-I I ower	Vormal Unner				-
-	Strata	Groups n	of Groups S	(0) /km	(0)	Density /sq_km	Size N	с. У. с	95% C.I.	95% C.I.	CV n*S/L	f(0) f	0) 0(0)	CV Density
Large whales sperm whale	Group size 1-3 Group size 4+	18 7	1.78 8.75	0.437 0.238	0.870	0.0015 0.0002 0.0013	1,231	0.392	586	2,583	0.456 0.409	0.100 0.147	0.090 0.090	0.475 0.444
Baird's beaked	l whale Group size 1-3 Group size 4+	20	0.00 12.81	0.437 0.238	0.901 1.000	0.0005 0.0000 0.0005	380	0.526	144	1,002	0.000 0.497	0.100 0.147	0.090	0.135 0.526
Bryde's whale	Group size 1-3 Group size 4+	- 0	2.00	0.437 0.238	0.901 1.000	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	24	2.005	N	292	2.000 0.000	0.100 0.147	0.090	2.005 0.172
Bryde's or sei v	whale Group size 1-3 Group size 4+	ю O	1.00	0.437 0.238	0.901	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	36	0.713	10	128	0.700	0.100 0.147	0.090	0.713 0.172
fin whale	Group size 1-3 Group size 4+	40	1.51 3.09	0.437 0.238	0.901 1.000	0.0011 0.0009 0.0002	933	0.270	555	1,569	0.287 0.453	0.100 0.147	060.0	0.317 0.485
blue whale	Group size 1-3 Group size 4+	75 16	1.59 2.93	0.437 0.238	0.901	0.0021 0.0018 0.0003	1,723	0.226	1,112	2,667	0.224 0.293	0.100 0.147	0.090 0.090	0.261 0.340
humpback whe	tle Group size 1-3 Group size 4+	23 4	1.61 5.40	0.437 0.238	0.901 1.000	0.0007 0.0006 0.0002	577	0.315	316	1,054	0.313 0.748	0.100 0.147	0.090	0.341 0.768
unidentified ba	leen whale Group size 1-3 Group size 4+	1	1.32 1.50	0.437 0.238	0.901 1.000	0.0002 0.0002 0.0000	194	0.295	110	341	0.284 0.777	0.100 0.147	0.090 0.090	0.314 0.796
unidentified lar	ge whale Group size 1-3 Group size 4+	50	1.25 0.00	0.437 0.238	0.901	0.0004 0.0004 0.0000	303	0.293	173	531	0.260 0.000	0.100 0.147	0.090	0.293 0.172

Footnote: More precise estimates for harbor porpoise are recently available in Barlow and Forney (1993).

Figure 1. Transect lines (fine lines) covered in the "California" study area (bold lines) during the 1991 survey.



14

Figure 2. Transect lines (fine lines) covered in the "California" study area (bold lines) during the 1993 survey.



RECENT TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS

Copies of this and other NOAA Technical Memorandums are available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22167. Paper copies vary in price. Microfiche copies cost \$9.00. Recent issues of NOAA Technical Memorandums from the NMFS Southwest Fisheries Science Center are listed below:

NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC- 223 Age determination in Pacific sardine, Sardinops sagax.

M.L. YAREMKO (January 1996)

Report of a cetacean, seabird, marine turtle and flying fish survey of the western tropical Indian ocean aboard the research vessel *Malcolm Baldrige*, March 21 - July 26, 1995.
 L.T. BALLANCE, R.L. PITMAN, S.B. REILLY, and M.P. FORCE (January 1996)

225 Catch and effort from Hawaii's longline fishery summarized by quarters and five degree squares.
 D.S. CURRAN, C.H. BOGGS, and X. HE (January 1996)

226 Calibration of radar altimeter readings used in aerial photogrammetry of eastern tropical Pacific dolphins - 1992 and 1993.
 J.W. GILPATRICK, JR.
 (February 1996)

227 The Hawaiian monk seal in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, 1993. T.C. JOHANOS, and T.J. RAGEN (February 1996)

- 228 Comprehensive Ocean Data Extraction User's Guide R. MENDELSSOHN, and C. ROY (April 1996)
- 229 The Hawaiian monk seal in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, 1994. T.C. JOHANOS, and T.J. RAGEN (May 1996)
- 230 Status of marine turtles in the Pacific Ocean relevant to incidental take in the Hawaii-based pelagic longline fishery.
 A.B. BOLTEN, J.A. WEATHERALL, G.H. BALAZS, and S.G. POOLEY (August 1996)
- 231 Coastal upwelling indices, west coast of America 1946-1995. F.B. SCHWING, M. O'FARRELL, J. STEGER, and K. BLATZ (September 1996)

232 The physical oceanography off the central California coast during May-June 1995: A summary of CTD data from pelagic juvenile rockfish surveys.
K.M. SAKUMA, F.B. SCHWING, K. BALTZ, D. ROBERTS, H.A. PARKER, and S. RALSTON (September 1996)