

REPLY TO SAFINA AND WALTER ET AL.:

Multiple lines of evidence for size-structured spawning migrations in western Atlantic bluefin tuna

David E. Richardson^{a,1}, Katrin E. Marancik^{a,b}, Jeffrey R. Guyon^c, Molly E. Lutcavage^d, Benjamin Galuardi^{e,f}, Chi Hin Lam^d, Harvey J. Walsh^a, Sharon Wildes^c, Douglas A. Yates^c, and Jonathan A. Hare^a

Walter et al. (1) and Safina (2) raise numerous concerns regarding our study (3). Specifically, they question our conclusions that (i) a majority of spawning occurs outside the Gulf of Mexico, (ii) western North Atlantic bluefin tuna mature earlier than currently estimated, and (iii) additional spawning locations and younger age at maturity mean that the western Atlantic bluefin tuna are less vulnerable to anthropogenic impacts, including exploitation.

There are two alternative hypotheses regarding the life history of western Atlantic bluefin tuna: (i) a late-maturing stock (age of 9+ y) that spawns only in the Gulf of Mexico and (ii) an early-maturing stock (age of 4–5 y) that spawns in multiple locations during age-structured migrations (3). The hypothesis that western Atlantic bluefin tuna spawn only in the Gulf of Mexico is refuted by our finding of very young larvae in the Slope Sea; the assertion that these larvae were spawned off the Bahamas or in the Gulf of Mexico is not supported by oceanographic studies. The hypothesis that western Atlantic bluefin tuna mature at the age of 9 y or older is refuted by direct reproductive studies. We encourage those individuals interested in determining the value of this evidence to read the maturity studies cited in our work (4, 5), as well as the study by Mather et al. (6), rather than relying on the edited quote in the letter of Safina (2). Previously, the absence of younger fish (<9 y of age) in the Gulf of Mexico (i.e., the only known spawning ground) was used as indirect evidence for an older age at maturity and to question the direct reproductive studies (7, 8). With the discovery of a Slope Sea spawning ground, this indirect argument is no longer valid.

Our conclusion that a majority of spawning occurs outside the Gulf of Mexico is based on the conclusion of a lower age at maturity; our analysis of tagging data; and estimates of total mortality, including values from the stock assessment model used by Walter et al. (1). A central component of this analysis, the proportion of fish migrating to the Gulf of Mexico by size (or age), is remarkably consistent across four separate datasets: two independent electronic tagging datasets (3, 8) and analyses of two fisheries-dependent longline catch datasets (7, 9). Abundance of larvae in the Slope Sea compared with the Gulf of Mexico provides secondary support for the conclusion; the referenced collection of larvae in other areas outside the Gulf of Mexico (10, 11) provides additional support. We understand that this conclusion is challenging, and we thus provided numerous sensitivity analyses for readers to evaluate this claim, including one consistent with age at maturity and mortality from the current stock assessment.

Based on our findings supporting a lower age at maturity and spawning in areas outside the Gulf of Mexico, we conclude that western Atlantic bluefin tuna are less vulnerable to anthropogenic impacts than previously thought. Formal assessments of species vulnerability involve evaluating intrinsic species attributes, population trends, and extrinsic factors (e.g., climate change, oil spills), along with the uncertainty in each. The Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) standard lists a set of intrinsic vulnerability factors, the first two of which are as follows: (i) "life history (e.g., low fecundity, slow growth rate of the individual, high age at first maturity, long generation time)" and (ii) "low absolute numbers or biomass or restricted

^aEcosystem Processes Division, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Narragansett, RI 02882; ⁵Integrated Statistics, Contractor for Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Narragansett, RI 02882; ⁵Genetics Program, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Juneau, AK 99801; ⁵Large Pelagic Research Center, School for the Environment, University of Massachusetts Boston, Gloucester, MA 01931; ⁵School of Marine Science and Technology, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, Fairhaven, MA 02719; and ⁵Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Gloucester, MA 01930

Author contributions: D.E.R., K.E.M., J.R.G., M.E.L., B.G., C.H.L., H.J.W., S.W., D.A.Y., and J.A.H. designed research; D.E.R., K.E.M., J.R.G., M.E.L., B.G., C.H.L., H.J.W., S.W., D.A.Y., and J.A.H. performed research; J.R.G. and S.W. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; D.E.R., J.R.G., B.G., C.H.L., H.J.W., D.A.Y., and J.A.H. analyzed data; and D.E.R. and J.A.H. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: david.richardson@noaa.gov.

area of distribution" (at any life stage) (12). According to these criteria, an additional spawning ground, younger age at maturity, and larger mature population all reduce vulnerability. Further, the analyses presented by Walter et al. (1) and the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) (13) indicate that lowering the age at maturity within the stock assessment model reduces the maximum decline in spawning stock biomass over the observed 1970–2013 period from an >80% decline (age of 12-16 y at maturity), to an $\sim 74\%$ decline (age of 9 y at maturity), to an $\sim 66\%$ decline (age of 4-5 y at maturity). A lower rate of decline in the face of fishing is one definition of lower vulnerability.

Safina (2) raises the possibility that the Slope Sea is an eastern Atlantic stock spawning ground. This statement further questions the central Atlantic stock separation line that forms the basis of Atlantic bluefin tuna assessment and management. Stock boundaries should encompass the spawning grounds of a population. We do not believe that this explanation is the most likely one for currently available data; however, as we state in our paper, "to fully evaluate bluefin tuna population structure, biological samples from spawning fish and larvae collected in the Slope Sea need to be included in future analyses."

The available data support the hypothesis that bluefin tuna in the western Atlantic have size-structured spawning migrations across a wide latitudinal range. As Walter et al. (1) state in the conclusion of their letter, further testing of this model will require additional research using multiple techniques. Longline sampling of adult fish in the Slope Sea for studies of reproduction and population structure is a top priority. We also agree with Walter et al. (1) that larval surveys and analyses should be designed that allow for the implementation of the larval production method (14) in both the Slope Sea and the Gulf of Mexico. This approach can provide an independent rigorous comparison of the relative magnitude of spawning in the two regions. Finally, as with the testing of the Gulf of Mexico-only spawning hypothesis, exploratory sampling is critical to answering whether there are other undocumented spawning grounds that may further change our perception of the life history of this species.

The process by which we engage in bluefin tuna science is important. We emphasize the benefits of advancing collaborative scientific approaches that value the insights of fishermen, many of whom had deduced Slope Sea spawning from their own observations. Furthermore, the highly migratory nature and oceanic habitat of bluefin tuna require that multiple types of sampling and data across a wide geographic range be used to address even the most basic life history questions. Improving and implementing open access standards for all types of data will accelerate progress in understanding bluefin tuna life history.

- 1 Walter JF, Porch CE, Lauretta MV, Cass-Calay SL, Brown CA (2016) Implications of alternative spawning for bluefin tuna remain unclear. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:E4259_E4260
- 2 Safina C (2016) Data do not support new claims about bluefin tuna spawning or abundance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:E4261.
- 3 Richardson DE, et al. (2016) Discovery of a spawning ground reveals diverse migration strategies in Atlantic bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113(12):3299–3304.
- 4 Heinisch G, Rosenfeld H, Knapp JM, Gordin H, Lutcavage ME (2014) Sexual maturity in western Atlantic bluefin tuna. Sci Rep 4:7205.
- 5 Goldstein J, Heppell S, Cooper A, Brault S, Lutcavage ME (2007) Reproductive status and body condition of Atlantic bluefin tuna in the Gulf of Maine, 2000-2002. Mar Biol 151(6):2063–2075.
- 6 Mather FJ, Mason JM, Jones AC (1995) Historical Document: Life History and Fisheries of Atlantic Bluefin Tuna (US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Miami), NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFSC 370.
- 7 Diaz GA (2011) A revision of western Atlantic bluefin tuna age of maturity derived from size samples collected by the Japanese longline fleet in the Gulf of Mexico (1975-1980). Col Vol Sci Pap ICCAT 66:1216–1226.
- **8** Wilson SG, et al. (2015) Tracking the fidelity of Atlantic bluefin tuna released in Canadian waters to the Gulf of Mexico spawning grounds. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 72(11):1700–1717.
- **9** Diaz GA, Turner SC (2007) Size frequency distribution analysis, age composition, and maturity of western bluefin tuna in the Gulf of Mexico from the U.S. (1981-2005) and Japanese (1975-1981) longline fleets. *ICCAT Col Vol Sci Pap* 60:1160–1170.
- 10 Lamkin JT, et al. (2014) Do western Atlantic bluefin tuna spawn outside of the Gulf of Mexico? Results from a larval survey in the Atlantic Ocean in 2013. Col Vol Sci Pap ICCAT 71:1736–1745.
- 11 McGowan MF, Richards WJ (1989) Bluefin tuna, *Thunnus thynnus*, larvae in the Gulf Stream off the Southeastern United States: Satellite and shipboard observations of their environment. *Fishery Bulletin* 87(3):615–631.
- 12 CITES (1994) Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. 85 Resolution Conf. 9.24 (Rev. CoP16) (Fort Lauderdale, FL).
- 13 ICCAT (2014) Report of the 2014 Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Stock Assessment Session (ICCAT, Madrid).
- **14** Richardson DE, Cowen RK, Prince ED, Sponaugle S (2009) Importance of the Straits of Florida spawning ground to Atlantic sailfish (*Istiophorus platypterus*) and blue marlin (*Makaira nigricans*). Fish Oceanogr 18(6):402–418.