DOI: 10.1111/gcb.14532

PRIMARY RESEARCH ARTICLE

Elevated CO2 impairs olfactory‐mediated neural and behavioral responses and gene expression in ocean‐phase coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch***)**

Chase R. Williams¹ | **Andrew H. Dittman²** | **Paul McElhany³** | **D. Shallin Busch3,4** | **Michael T. Maher³** | **Theo K. Bammler¹** | **James W. MacDonald1** | **Evan P. Gallagher¹**

1 Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

2 Environmental and Fisheries Sciences Division, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, Washington

³ Conservation Biology Division, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Mukilteo, Washington

4 Ocean Acidification Program, Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, Washington

Correspondence

Evan P. Gallagher, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA. Email: evang3@uw.edu and Andrew H. Dittman, Environmental and Fisheries Sciences Division, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric

Administration, Seattle, WA. Email: andy.dittman@noaa.gov

Funding information

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Grant/Award Number: P42ES004696; Washington Ocean Acidification Center; Ocean Acidification Program, NOAA Fisheries; Washington Sea Grant, University of Washington, Grant/ Award Number: NA10OAR4170057; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; University of Washington Superfund Research Program, Grant/Award Number: NIEHS P42ES004696; NOAA Fisheries Northwest Fisheries Science Center

Abstract

Elevated concentrations of $CO₂$ in seawater can disrupt numerous sensory systems in marine fish. This is of particular concern for Pacific salmon because they rely on olfaction during all aspects of their life including during their homing migrations from the ocean back to their natal streams. We investigated the effects of elevated seawater CO₂ on coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) olfactory-mediated behavior, neural signaling, and gene expression within the peripheral and central olfactory system. Ocean-phase coho salmon were exposed to three levels of $CO₂$, ranging from those currently found in ambient marine water to projected future levels. Juvenile coho salmon exposed to elevated $CO₂$ levels for 2 weeks no longer avoided a skin extract odor that elicited avoidance responses in coho salmon maintained in ambient $CO₂$ seawater. Exposure to these elevated $CO₂$ levels did not alter odor signaling in the olfactory epithelium, but did induce significant changes in signaling within the olfactory bulb. RNA‐Seq analysis of olfactory tissues revealed extensive disruption in expression of genes involved in neuronal signaling within the olfactory bulb of salmon exposed to elevated $CO₂$, with lesser impacts on gene expression in the olfactory rosettes. The disruption in olfactory bulb gene pathways included genes associated with GABA signaling and maintenance of ion balance within bulbar neurons. Our results indicate that ocean-phase coho salmon exposed to elevated $CO₂$ can experience significant behavioral impairments likely driven by alteration in higher-order neural signal processing within the olfactory bulb. Our study demonstrates that anadromous fish such as salmon may share a sensitivity to rising $CO₂$ levels with obligate marine species suggesting a more wide‐scale ecological impact of ocean acidification.

KEYWORDS

GABA, ocean acidification, olfactory bulb, olfactory rosette, salmon

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------- --------------------------------------- Published 2018. This article is a U.S. Government work and is in the public domain in the USA

1 | **INTRODUCTION**

The substantial rise in atmospheric $CO₂$ observed over the past 100 years has led to increased concentrations of dissolved $CO₂$ in marine waters, resulting in lowered pH, a process known as ocean acidification (OA). The degree of pH change and the rate at which these changes are occurring may ultimately exceed many marine organism's ability to adapt to this changing environment (Hoegh‐ Guldberg & Bruno, 2010). Marine biota have evolved to live in ocean waters with a consistent range in chemical composition, and therefore, even small changes in mineral content, pH, and/or temperature outside of the normal range can have large impacts on marine organisms at different life stages (Fabry, Seibel, Feely, & Orr, 2008; Kroeker et al., 2013; Marshall et al., 2017). Hard corals, hard‐shelled mollusks, and plankton are among the more well‐known examples of marine organisms that are sensitive to shifts in water chemistry induced by elevated $CO₂$ (Busch, Maher, Thibodeau, & McElhany, 2014; Hofmann et al., 2010; Orr et al., 2005).

While the effects of elevated $CO₂$ on calcifying organisms such as corals and mollusks have received considerable attention, the possible effects of elevated $CO₂$ on the neurophysiology and behavior of marine fish are an increasing concern (Ashur, Johnston, & Dixson, 2017). Elevated $CO₂$ has been linked to abnormal neuronal and behavioral responses in several species of marine fish including effects on auditory function (Simpson et al., 2011), vision (Chung, Marshall, Watson, Munday, & Nilsson, 2014; Ferrari et al., 2012), lateralization (Domenici, Allan, McCormick, & Munday, 2011), and elevated anxiety (Hamilton, Holcombe, & Tresguerres, 2014). In particular, a number of studies have implicated changes in $CO₂$ and pH levels on altered olfactory‐mediated behaviors in marine fish from both tropical and temperate environments (Chivers et al., 2014; Cripps, Munday, & McCormick, 2011; Devine, Munday, & Jones, 2012; Dixson, Munday, & Jones, 2010; Ferrari et al., 2012; Hamilton et al., 2014; Leduc, Munday, Brown, & Ferrari, 2013; Miller, Watson, Donelson, McCormick, & Munday, 2012; Porteus et al., 2018).

The olfactory system is critical for many aspects of a fish's life including locating appropriate habitat, finding prey, avoiding predators, social and reproductive interactions with conspecifics, orientation, and navigation (Dittman & Quinn, 1996; Gerlach, Atema, Kingsford, Black, & Miller‐Sims, 2007; Hara, 1992; McIntyre, Baldwin, Beauchamp, & Scholz, 2012; Quinn, 2011; Yambe et al., 2006). Fish rely on their olfactory system for survival, and any olfactory impairment may have profound effects on wild fish populations (Baldwin, Sandahl, Labenia, & Scholz, 2003; Sandahl, Baldwin, Jenkins, & Scholz, 2007). The olfactory system in most fish consists of a peripheral sensory epithelium (olfactory rosette) that connects directly to the olfactory bulb. Odorants in the environment bind to receptors on olfactory sensory neurons in the sensory epithelia, eliciting axon potentials that send a signal to the olfactory bulb. At the olfactory bulb, the signal is modulated and relayed to secondary neurons and higher brain centers, ultimately leading to behavioral responses (Hamdani & Doving, 2007). Neural signaling within this complex process, from odorant detection to behavioral outcome, is highly dependent upon tightly controlled ion gradients across neuronal membranes (Schild & Restrepo, 1998) and is highly sensitive to changes in water chemistry (Tierney et al., 2010).

Elevated $CO₂$ -mediated interference of olfactory function could have profound effects on marine fish survival. For example, tropical reef fish exposed to $CO₂$ concentrations predicted to occur within the next 50–100 years demonstrated altered responses to odors that allowed fish to discriminate healthy reef habitat and that facilitated homing and dispersal (Devine et al., 2012; Munday et al., 2009). Furthermore, elevated $CO₂$ levels altered normal avoidance responses of fish to predator odors and chemical alarm cues (Dixson et al., 2010; Welch, Watson, Welsh, McCormick, & Munday, 2014) and interfered with prey detection abilities in reef predators (Cripps et al., 2011) and sharks, a group of fish known for their reliance on their highly sensitive olfactory system (Dixson, Jennings, Atema, & Munday, 2014). Finally, OA‐related conditions interfered with the process of olfactory learning by reef fish (Ferrari et al., 2012). Several studies have extended these findings to directly demonstrate that CO_2 -mediated interference of olfactory function may have direct effects on survival (Dixson et al., 2010; Ferrari et al., 2015). However, if a fish is exposed to elevated $CO₂$ and survives to successfully reproduce, recent research on multigenerational effects of parental exposure to elevated $CO₂$ has shown that offspring can exhibit enhanced resistance to the effects of elevated $CO₂$ (Allan, Miller, McCormick, Domenici, & Munday, 2014; Murray, Malvezzi, Gobler, & Baumann, 2014; Schunter et al., 2017; Welch & Munday, 2017; Welch et al., 2014).

Pacific salmon are a critical component of Pacific Northwest coastal ecosystems (Quinn, 2011). Anadromous (rear in saltwater but spawn in freshwater) salmon populations may be particularly impacted by ecosystem changes (Crozier et al., 2008) because they rely on both the freshwater and marine environment for different life cycle stages (Quinn, 2011). In this respect, salmon, and other anadromous fishes, may be particularly interesting species to study in the context of the sensitivity or resistance to the effects of elevated $CO₂$ because elevated $CO₂$ is likely to have different physiological effects in freshwater and saltwater. Some obligate marine fish species (e.g., benthic dwellers) have displayed a potential resistance to the effects of elevated $CO₂$ on neuronal function and behavior due to the seawater chemistry of their preferred habitat (Hamilton et al., 2017; Jutfelt & Hedgärde, 2015; Schmidt et al., 2017). While some initial studies have examined the effects of elevated $CO₂$ on salmon in freshwater (Ou et al., 2015), there are no studies to date that have investigated the neural and behavioral responses of ocean‐ phase, juvenile salmon to elevated $CO₂$ in the marine environment. In this study, we examined the potential effects of elevated $CO₂$ on olfactory‐mediated behaviors and the potential mechanisms underlying these behavioral changes in coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) adapted to saltwater. Proper olfactory function is critical for all aspects of a salmon's life cycle, especially during their extraordinary homing migrations, wherein they use olfactory cues to identify their natal stream (Dittman & Quinn, 1996). Therefore, even minor impairment of olfactory function due to OA may ultimately have profound of coho salmon.

2 | **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

2.1 | **Animals and housing**

Coho salmon for these experiments were the offspring of anadromous adults spawned at the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's Issaquah Creek Hatchery, Issaquah, WA, USA. Experimental fish were transferred as embryos from the Issaquah Hatchery in January 2016 and 2017, reared in freshwater at the Northwest Fisheries Science Center until undergoing the parr–smolt transformation (1.5 year of age; 15.0 $g \pm 5.7$ g), and then transferred to saltwater at the Northwest Fisheries Science Center's Mukilteo Marine Research Station (Mukilteo, WA, USA) on May 5, 2016, and May 24, 2017. After transfer to saltwater, fish were maintained under a natural photoperiod and fed BioVita Fry Feed (Bio‐Oregon, Longview, WA). Water quality, fish health, and water delivery systems were monitored daily in fresh and salt water. All animal care and procedures were in accordance with University of Washington's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee rules and approval, protocol # 4097‐1.

2.2 | **Seawater chemistry/exposures**

Maintenance of seawater $CO₂$ concentrations followed previously described methodologies (Busch et al., 2014). Exposures consisted of three different $CO₂$ concentrations, including a control (ambient) nominal concentration of 700 µatm, which approximates the present-day average value of $CO₂$ in Puget Sound Marine Waters (Reum et al., 2015), a medium $CO₂$ level (nominal concentration of 1,600 µatm) predicted to periodically occur over the next 50 years, and a high $CO₂$ level (nominal concentration of 2,700 μ atm) predicted to periodically occur over the next 100 years (Busch et al., 2014). Duplicate exposure tanks (2 foot diameter \times 2 foot high, 178‐L cylindrical tanks) for each treatment were maintained as a flow-through system, supplied by a unique head tank for each exposure tank (Supporting Information Figure S1). Water turnover rate was approximately once every hour. Source water for the head tanks was pumped from a depth of 60 feet from Puget Sound, degassed, and filtered prior to $CO₂$ manipulation. A Honeywell universal data analyzer controller and Durafet pH probe monitored and maintained the pH via $CO₂$ injection within each head tank. Target pH levels (as measured on a total pH scale) were 7.8 for control, 7.5 for medium, and 7.2 for high $CO₂$ exposure levels. To ensure proper water chemistry was maintained throughout exposures, water samples were collected from each exposure tank three times during each experiment (day 0, day 7, and day 14) for measurement of total alkalinity (TA) and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). Water samples were analyzed at the NOAA Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory using standard test procedures for all analyses (Dickson, Sabine, & Christian, 2007). Water temperature, pH, and salinity were checked daily throughout the experiment. Water temperature in the exposure tanks remained at 12°C for the duration of the exposures. The ambient water temperature of the source water from Puget Sound averaged 11–12°C at the time of the exposures.

The start of the exposures was staggered over a month for logistical reasons to allow for behavioral and neurophysiological testing following each of the 14‐day exposures. To begin the experiment, fish were transferred from their rearing tanks to their exposure tanks $(n = 4$ fish/tank) and acclimated for 24 hr in 700 μ atm CO₂ control water. After acclimation, fish were exposed to experimental $CO₂$ levels for 14 days and tested for behavioral responses (*n* = 48 fish/ treatment). A subset of these fish (*n* = 24) was used for electro‐olfactogram (EOG)/electroencephalogram (EEG) neurophysiological and RNA‐Seq (*n* = 8 fish per treatment) analysis.

2.3 | **Odorant preparation**

To investigate the effects of elevated $CO₂$ on olfactory-mediated salmon behavior, we used salmon skin extract, a prototypical predation odor that elicits a reliable and measurable avoidance response (Brown & Smith, 1997; Sandahl et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2016). Salmon skin extract was prepared as described previously with minor modifications (Williams et al., 2016). Briefly, skin tissue collected from coho salmon was homogenized in artificial seawater (Instant Ocean, Blacksburg, VA), filtered, and centrifuged to remove particulates. Protein content of the skin extract was determined using the Bradford assay (Bio‐Rad, Hercules, CA), and stock concentrations were normalized to 2.4 mg/ml protein concentration in artificial seawater and stored at −80°C until needed. Working stocks of L-alanine and L‐serine (Sigma‐Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) for use in the EOG and EEG analysis were prepared on the day of use in artificial seawater. Working concentrations of the odorants were as follows: 10 µg/L skin extract (behavioral analysis), 2.4 mg/L skin extract (EOG and EEG analysis), and 10−² M L‐alanine and L‐serine (EOG and EEG analysis). A higher concentration of the skin extract was used for electrophysiological analysis than for behavioral analysis due to the fact that measurable neuronal signal intensity is reduced in oceanphase salmon due to the effect of high saltwater conductivities on electrophysiological recording (Sommers, Mudrock, Labenia, & Baldwin, 2016).

2.4 | **Behavioral analysis**

Following the 14‐day exposure, behavioral analysis was conducted as previously described (Williams & Gallagher, 2013) using two‐ choice mazes surrounded by a black curtain and illuminated from below with infrared light to minimize stress. Each maze (100 \times 40 \times 25 cm) consisted of two arms (50 cm long and 20 cm wide) that terminated at a holding chamber (40 \times 40 cm). A perforated gate separated the arms from the holding chamber. A dye test **966 | WILEY Global Change Biology | WILLIAMS ET AL.**

confirmed that no mixing between the arms occurred. The maze received water (flow rate of 3 L/min) from the same head tanks used to generate the exposure water, thus ensuring that salmon were tested in the same water chemistry they experienced during exposures. Individual coho salmon from each $CO₂$ treatment ($n = 48$) were allowed to acclimate for 10 min in the holding chamber, and then behaviors were recorded for 10 min prior to odorant addition. After the 10-min pre-odor period, skin extract (10 µg/L) was delivered into one arm (randomized each trial) using a peristaltic pump and behaviors were recorded for an additional 10 min. An overhead infrared light‐sensitive video camera (EverFocus® EQ900, Duarte, CA) provided video recordings of the behavioral responses. Proportion of time spent on odor side of the maze was analyzed using EthoVision XT 10 behavioral software (Noldus, Leesburg, VA). Following each behavioral trial, each maze was flushed with exposure water (without odorants) for 20 min.

Differences in response to $CO₂$ exposure were evaluated with a beta regression model that included $CO₂$ exposure and pre-odor period movement as covariates using the "betareg" R package (Zeileis, Cribari‐Neto, Gruen, & Kosmidis, 2016). We selected a final model based on Akaike information criterion (AIC) comparison of models with $CO₂$ exposure and pre-odor fraction alone and as interactions. Bootstrap 95% prediction intervals on the beta regression‐modeled treatment means were calculated based on 5,000 resamples using the "boot" R package (Canty & Ripley, 2017).

2.5 | **Neurophysiological analysis**

EOG and EEG recordings were performed the day after behavioral testing using methods previously described with minor modifications (Baldwin & Scholz, 2005). Fish were anesthetized with 50 mg/L tricaine methanesulfonate (MS‐222; Western Chemicals Inc., WA) and injected intramuscularly with gallamine triethiodide (0.3 mg/kg body weight; Sigma‐Aldrich, MO). A small tube inserted in the fish's mouth delivered artificial seawater (10°C) containing MS‐222 (50 mg/L) to their gills. A gravity‐fed glass capillary tube perfused the rosette with artificial seawater at a rate of 2 ml/min. Fish were acclimated for 5 min before the start of electrophysiological recordings. The recording microelectrode was placed at the midline of the rosette at the base of the posterior lamella for EOGs, and against the surface of the right mediodorsal cluster of the olfactory bulb for EEGs (Figure 1). Because there is spatial variation in responsiveness to different odorants in the olfactory bulb, before the start of the experimental recording, the location of the maximal EEG responses to the odorants was determined for each individual by positioning the microelectrode at different points across the olfactory bulb. The two regions that gave the most consistent signal were used as the recording sites for the entire experiment. A reference electrode was placed on the midline of the posterior‐dorsal surface of the head, and a ground electrode was placed in the caudal muscle during recordings. Odorant‐induced neural signals were acquired and filtered with an AC/DC amplifier (A-M Systems Inc.[®] Model 3000, Sequim, WA). Seawater/odors were delivered to the rosette using gravity-assisted flow, regulated by electronic valves and into a single manifold output through a thermoelectric chiller (temp 10°C). Fish received three pulses of each odorant (skin extract, L‐serine, and L‐alanine) with 2‐min intervals between pulses. Based on an averaged and integrated recorded response curve, the amplitude of each EOG response was measured in microvolts (μV) as the maximum evoked peak minus the prestimulus basal activity level. Based on an averaged and integrated recorded response curve, the maximum odorant‐evoked response for the EEG was the peak signal amplitude minus the prestimulus basal activity level. Signal duration for the EEG responses was calculated from the moment an odorant‐induced signal was detected until the moment the signal returned to basal (pre‐odor) levels. Triplicate responses to each odorant were averaged to produce a single response value for each odorant. EEGs were not performed on the medium $CO₂$ exposure group due to the logistics of the procedure, that is, length of time needed for each fish on the rig and number of fish that could be

recorded each day. Example EOG and EEG traces are located in Supporting Information Figure S2.

For the EOG analysis, a one‐way ANOVA was used to test for significant differences between control and exposure groups, followed by a Dunn's multiple comparison test. For the EEG analysis, a *t* test was used to test for differences between control and high exposure groups. All analyses were done using GraphPad Prism 5 software. Differences were considered significant at *p* < 0.05.

2.6 | **RNA‐Seq analysis**

Olfactory rosette and bulb tissues were collected from *n* = 5 individuals from the control, medium, and high $CO₂$ exposure groups following EOG analysis. Tissues were immediately stored in RNA*later*® before being frozen at −80°C (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

2.6.1 | **RNA QC**

RNA purity was assessed measuring $OD_{260/280}$ and $OD_{260/230}$ ratios with a NanoDrop ND‐1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). RNA integrity was determined using the Agilent RNA 6000 Nano Kit with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). All RNA samples were of appropriate size, quantity, and quality (OD_{260/280} and OD_{260/230} ratios of 1.8–2.1) and were used for RNA‐Seq analysis (*n* = 5 for each exposure group/tissue).

2.6.2 | **Sample processing and sequencing**

cDNA libraries were prepared from 1 μg of total RNA using the Tru-Seq Stranded mRNA kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and the Sciclone NGSx Workstation (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). Prior to cDNA library construction, ribosomal RNA was removed by means of poly‐ A enrichment. Each library was uniquely barcoded and subsequently amplified using a total of 13 cycles of PCR. Library concentrations were quantified using Qubit fluorometric quantitation (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). Average fragment size and overall quality were evaluated with the DNA 1000 assay on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. Each library was sequenced with paired‐end 100 bp reads to a minimum depth of 30 million reads on an Illumina HiSeq 4000. The average number of reads was 44.99 ± 6.47 million (mean ± *SE*) from olfactory rosette samples and 46.11 ± 4.41 million from olfactory bulb samples (Supporting Information Table S1).

We aligned the reads for each sample to the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) transcriptome (NCBI ICSASG_v2 build, downloaded 9/29/ 2017) using the Salmon aligner, accounting for GC, and sequencing bias (Patro, Duggal, & Kingsford, 2015; Patro, Duggal, Love, Irizarry, & Kingsford, 2017). Although there is a completed genome and transcriptome for coho salmon available [\(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ge](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/13127?genome_assembly_xml:id=309046) [nome/13127?genome_assembly_xml:id=309046](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/13127?genome_assembly_xml:id=309046)), the functional Gene Ontology (GO) annotation for this species is not well developed relative to that for Atlantic salmon. Therefore, we chose to align the RNA‐Seq data against the Atlantic salmon transcriptome, because the alignment results were similar between the two species (*S. salar*— 60% of reads mapped; *O. kisutch*—73% of reads mapped). The aligned counts were imported into R (r‐project.org) using the Bioconductor tximport package and then summarized at the gene level (Soneson, Love, & Robinson, 2015). We excluded any gene that was not expressed in at least four samples (i.e., any gene that had fewer than ten counts in less than four samples), to remove any data that were likely to be primarily noise. We then fit a generalized linear model with a negative binomial link function using the Bioconductor edgeR package and made comparisons between groups using likelihood ratio tests. We selected differentially expressed genes based on a false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.1 (i.e., we expect that at most 10% of the selected genes are false positives). To identify biological function that may have been perturbed due to changes in $CO₂$ exposure, we computed Fisher's exact tests based on GO terms, selecting those terms with a *p*-value <0.05.

3 | **RESULTS**

3.1 | **Exposure water chemistry**

Measured pH values for each exposure were consistent across the experiments and varied little within each exposure over the course of each experiment (standard deviation ≤0.03; Table 1). pH values from the Durafet sensors were consistent with discrete spectrophotometric measurements of pH from each exposure tank. Alkalinity in all exposure conditions, within and across experiments, was similar. Mean temperature in the exposure tanks ranged from 11.9–12.8°C, with small variation in each treatment over each experiment (standard deviation ≤0.2°C).

3.2 | **Effects of elevated CO₂ on salmon behaviors**

Using AIC analysis, the beta regression model containing only the interaction term between the $CO₂$ treatment and the pre-odor behavior covariate was selected ($p < 0.001$; pseudo- $R^2 = 0.24$) (Figure 2, Supporting Information Figure S3). This model indicated that fish exposed to control $CO₂$ levels avoided the side of the maze scented with skin extract (Figure 2, 26.7% ± 3.6% of time in odor (mean ± *SE*)), while fish that experienced the medium (Figure 2, $35.0\% \pm 4.5\%$ of time in odor) and high (Figure 2, 52.3% \pm 5.5% of time in odor) $CO₂$ treatments did not show a significant attraction or avoidance to the alarm odor. Individual fish from the medium and high $CO₂$ treatments tended to move around the maze less during the 20-min trials compared to controls. Conversely, fish in the control $CO₂$ treatment did not show a reduced tendency to explore the maze during the trial.

3.3 | **Effects of elevated CO₂ on olfactory neurophysiological function**

Neuronal responses in the olfactory epithelium to skin extract, L‐alanine, and L‐serine, as measured by EOG, were not affected by prior

TABLE 1 Water chemistry parameters

Notes. DIC: dissolved inorganic carbon; Spec.: spectrophotometer; TA: total alkalinity.

* Ω_a and pCO₂ values were calculated via the "seacarb" package in R studio using data from DIC analysis and pH measured via spectrophotometry.

FIGURE 2 Behavioral responses to skin extract (alarm odor) odorant following $CO₂$ exposures. 700 µatm is the control $CO₂$ exposure level, 1,600 μ atm is the medium CO₂ exposure level, and 2,700 μ atm is the high $CO₂$ exposure level. Percent time juvenile coho salmon spent in the side of a two-choice maze receiving skin extract odorant before (pre‐odor) and after (post‐odor) introduction of the odorant. Dashed line indicates 50% level. All data represent mean ± *SEM* of *n* = 48 individuals

exposure to elevated $CO₂$ (Figure 3). However, EEG recordings revealed significant differences in peak odor‐induced signaling in the right mediodorsal cluster (Figure 1, test region 1) of the olfactory bulbs of control and high $CO₂$ exposure coho salmon ($p = 0.0068$) and $F = 4.754$, Figure 4). High $CO₂$ exposure increased the mean peak signal amplitude of responses in this bulb region to skin extract (49.6% ± 39.1% increase (mean ± *SD*) and L‐alanine (59.1% ± 78.7% increase) relative to responses in control fish (Figure 4a). Furthermore, the duration of EEG responses to skin extract and L‐alanine tended to be longer in coho salmon exposed to high $CO₂$ levels compared to control fish $(20.1 \pm 4.0 \text{ s}$ vs. $16.2 \pm 6.5 \text{ s}$ and 18.5 ± 4.4 s vs. 14.1 ± 5.0 s, respectively), but this difference was not significant (Figure 4b). Peak odor signal (skin extract:

FIGURE 3 Electro-olfactogram (EOG) recorded responses of odorant‐induced signaling within the olfactory rosettes of coho salmon exposed to three levels of $CO₂$. 700 μ atm is the control $CO₂$ exposure level, 1,600 μ atm is the medium CO₂ exposure level, and 2,700 μ atm is the high $CO₂$ exposure level. Bars indicate the magnitude of the odorant‐induced response relative to background water recorded from the olfactory epithelium. All data represent a mean ± *SEM* of *n* = 12 individuals

 0.024 ± 0.014 vs. 0.028 ± 0.015 ; L-alanine: 0.017 ± 0.008 vs. 0.021 ± 0.013) and duration (skin extract: 15.7 ± 4.8 s vs. 19.59 \pm 5.9 s; L-alanine: 14.1 \pm 4.4 s vs. 16.9 \pm 9.5 s) in the right mediodorsal cluster test region 2 did not significantly differ between high $CO₂$ and control fish for either test odor (Figure 5) suggesting that $CO₂$ effects are specific to discrete bulbar regions and neurons.

3.4 Fffects of elevated CO₂ on gene expression in **the salmon olfactory system**

There were significant changes in gene expression in the olfactory system of coho salmon exposed to elevated $CO₂$. In particular, we observed considerable change in gene expression within the olfactory bulbs following exposure to the high $CO₂$ level (over 800 differentially expressed genes) relative to controls (Figure 6, Supporting

 \Box 700 μ atm CO₂ \Box 2,700 μ atm CO₂

FIGURE 4 Electroencephalogram (EEG) recording data of odorant-induced signaling in test region one of the olfactory bulb from salmon exposed to two levels of CO2. Data represented as a box and whisker plot showing median peak amplitude with whiskers representing the 5th and 95th percentile. 700 µatm is the control CO₂ exposure level, and 2,700 µatm is the high CO₂ exposure level. (a) Peak odorant-induced signaling by L-alanine and skin extract (alarm odor). (b) Duration of odorant-induced signaling by L-alanine and skin extract (alarm odor). Asterisks indicate significant differences between control and high exposure groups ($p \le 0.05$)

FIGURE 5 Electroencephalogram (EEG) recording data of odorant-induced signaling in test region two of the olfactory bulb from salmon exposed to two levels of CO₂. Data represented as a box and whisker plot showing median peak amplitude with whiskers representing the 5th and 95th percentile. 700 µatm is the control CO_2 exposure level, and 2,700 µatm is the high CO_2 exposure level. (a) Peak odorant-induced signaling by L-alanine and skin extract (alarm odor). (b) Duration of odorant-induced signaling by L-alanine and skin extract (alarm odor). The black dot indicates an outlier data point

Information Figure S4). A large number of these genes were involved in neural signaling/signal transduction, ion transport, and energy homeostasis (Supporting Information Figure S5). There were also significant differences in gene expression in the olfactory bulbs of medium $CO₂$ exposure fish relative to controls (61 differentially expressed genes) although these genes were predominantly associated with cytoskeletal function and not relevant to neural signaling. In contrast, there were relatively fewer changes in gene expression in the olfactory rosettes between control and medium (50 differentially expressed genes) or high exposure groups (20 differentially expressed genes) (Figure 6). None of the genes were significantly associated with olfactory neural signaling pathways.

We did not observe significant changes in gene expression of the GABA type A receptor, which has been hypothesized to play a role in $CO₂$ -linked disruption of neuronal and behavioral signaling in marine fish (Schunter et al., 2017). Interestingly, however, the

FIGURE 6 Venn diagram of RNA-Seq analysis of olfactory bulb and olfactory rosette gene expression in coho salmon exposed to three levels of $CO₂$. Venn diagrams show the number of significantly changed genes between each exposure group comparison. Numbers of genes listed in overlapping portion of the circles indicate number of significantly changed genes shared between each exposure comparison. Control = 700 μ atm CO₂ exposure, Medium = 1.600 uatm $CO₂$ exposure, High = $2,700$ μ atm CO₂ exposure

expression of the GABA type B receptor subunit 2 $(gaba_{b2})$ was significantly elevated in the olfactory bulb following the high $CO₂$ exposure (Table 2, FDR <0.1). We also observed $CO₂$ -induced changes in many other genes associated with GABA signaling, including increases in *hcn2*, *snap25*, and *kcc1*, which are associated with GABA-linked ion transport and synaptic activity, and significant decreases in expression of *slc6a13* and *aldh9a1*, two genes involved in GABA uptake and synthesis, respectively (Table 2). In addition to GABA signaling genes, other genes linked to neurotransmitter function (including glutamate and serotonin signaling), ion transport (*slc26a6*), G protein receptor function, neural differentiation, and melatonin production (*asmt* and *aanat*) displayed altered gene expression after elevated $CO₂$ exposure (Table 2). Genes important in neural energy production were also significantly altered following elevated $CO₂$ exposures, including a downregulation of the gene *slc22a16* (l‐carnitine transport), and an upregulation of *slc2a6*, involved in glucose transport.

Interestingly, we also observed changes in gene expression of many genes associated with the photoreception system in the olfactory bulb of high exposure fish (Supporting Information Figure S5). Some of these genes included rhodopsin, parapinopsin, and various voltage‐dependent ion channel genes. The reason for the inclusion of photoreception‐related genes within the expression profile of the olfactory bulbs remains unclear; however, it is likely that genes involved in the olfactory and photoreception systems may share similar signal transduction function in both tissues. This hypothesis is supported by at least two other studies that reported the expression of olfactory genes in the visual system (Jovancevic et al., 2017; Pronin et al., 2014).

4 | **DISCUSSION**

Collectively, our results indicate that elevated $CO₂$ concentrations altered neural signaling pathways within the olfactory bulb and impaired olfactory‐mediated behavioral responses of ocean‐phase coho salmon. Given the primary need for a functional olfactory system for salmon living in the ocean to find prey, avoid predators, and ultimately find their natal stream during homing migrations, these results suggest that future predicted $CO₂$ concentrations in the ocean may have a profound effect on Pacific salmon and their ecosystems. Our behavioral results indicated that ocean‐phase coho salmon were sensitive to acute exposures to elevated $CO₂$ concentrations that have been predicted to occur within the next 50– 100 years. The strong avoidance behavior elicited by skin extract in the control group was decreased or eliminated in coho salmon exposed to either the medium $(1,600 \mu atm)$ or high $(2,700 \mu atm)$ $CO₂$ treatments. These results indicate that anadromous salmon may be just as sensitive to the effects of elevated $CO₂$ as obligate marine species that have shown behavioral impairments at similar $[CO₂]$ levels (Chung et al., 2014; Devine et al., 2012; Hamilton et al., 2017, 2014; Munday et al., 2009; Porteus et al., 2018). While future oceanic $CO₂$ concentrations may not reach such high steady-state levels, exposures to transient $CO₂$ concentrations at these levels may already occur in some regions and will likely be more common. Juvenile coho salmon spend up to a year rearing in freshwater (Quinn, 2011) before migrating downstream to the ocean, undergoing the physiological transformation of smoltification that prepares them for life in seawater, including changes in osmoregulation and ion balance regulation (Maryoung et al., 2015; McCormick, 2012; Quinn, 2011). Our results suggest that despite having an adaptable olfactory system that functions in both marine and freshwater environments with very different pHs and water chemistries, the relative sensitivity of these anadromous fish to elevated $CO₂$ in the ocean is similar to other marine fish.

Tightly controlled ion balances play a key role in proper olfactory neuronal signaling, and it has been hypothesized that elevated $CO₂$ induced changes in transmembrane ionic gradients impair neuronal signaling and, ultimately, olfactory-mediated behaviors (Heuer, Welch, Rummer, Munday, & Grosell, 2016; Tresguerres & Hamilton, 2017). This is consistent with our analysis of neuronal signaling in the olfactory epithelium and the olfactory bulb. Elevated $CO₂$ did not alter neuronal responses to odorants in the olfactory epithelium suggesting that odorant‐induced signaling within olfactory sensory neurons was not impacted following a shift in $CO₂$ concentration

TABLE 2 Significantly changed genes of relevance to neural function and signaling within the olfactory bulbs from coho exposed to high CO₂ vs. control CO₂

(Continues)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Notes. Selected based on a FDR <0.1.

FDR: false discovery rate.

that was sufficient to cause behavioral impairments. These results differ from those recently reported for European sea bass (Porteus et al., 2018). The robustness of the EOG responses to altered $CO₂$ levels may reflect the ability of olfactory sensory neurons to modulate ionic balances while in direct contact with the ambient water because they must be able to detect odorants in the presence of shifting ion concentrations and water chemistries. In contrast, neurons in the olfactory bulb have evolved to function in the tightly controlled fluid chemistry of the central nervous system and may be more sensitive to potential changes in extracellular fluid chemistry (Abbott, Patabendige, Dolman, Yusof, & Begley, 2010; Somjen, 2002). Our EEG recordings support this hypothesis, as elevated $CO₂$ exposures increased the amplitude, and tended to increase the duration of odorant‐induced responses within specific regions of the olfactory bulb. This CO_2 -induced increase in excitatory signaling is consistent with the hypothesis that disruption of neuronal signaling in marine fish is associated with disruption of inhibitory GABA signaling (Nilsson et al., 2012; Tresguerres & Hamilton, 2017). Specific odorant‐generated signals in the olfactory bulb guide odorant perception and downstream behaviors. Alteration of this odorant specific signal, via dysregulation of the GABA signaling pathway, could lead fish to perceive odorants in an inappropriate way and thus lead to altered behavioral responses.

It has been hypothesized that the main mechanism of behavioral disruption by elevated $CO₂$ exposure is via alteration of GABA signaling in the central nervous system, driven by a reversal of the Cl^{−/} $HCO₃⁺$ membrane gradient and a linked disruption of the normal inhibitory action of the GABAA receptor (Nilsson et al., 2012). The reversal of the Cl[−]/HCO₃⁺ neuronal membrane gradient results in a reversal of the intended GABA signaling. Therefore, GABA receptor activation results in hyperpolarization of the neuron rather than depolarization. This could potentially lead to inappropriate or overactivation of neurons. $CO₂$ -induced increases in the amplitude of neuronal responses in the mediodorsal olfactory bulb in response to odorants are consistent with this hypothesis. Inhibitory GABAergic neurons in the olfactory bulb play a critical role in synchronization and regulation of neuronal signals required for appropriate odor discrimination (Lizbinski & Dacks, 2017; Tabor, Yaksi, & Friedrich, 2008). The lack of observed effects of $CO₂$ in the olfactory epithelium and some discrete regions of the olfactory bulb may be due to differential spatial distribution of GABAergic neurons and GABA receptors within these tissues and the role of GABAergic neurons in regulating signaling of specific odorants and mixtures (Cocco et al., 2017; Lizbinski & Dacks, 2017; McGann, 2013; Tabor et al., 2008). For example, while G protein-coupled GABA_B receptors are present in the axonal presynaptic region of the olfactory sensory neurons within the olfactory bulbs, GABA_A receptors are broadly present on mitral/tufted cell secondary neurons within the olfactory bulb (McGann, 2013; Tan, Savigner, Ma, & Luo, 2010).

Consistent with the hypothesis that $CO₂$ effects on olfactory behaviors involve GABA signaling, our RNA‐Seq analysis found that several genes involved in GABA signaling were altered at a $CO₂$ concentration shown to cause neurobehavioral disruption. These results are largely similar to studies that examined elevated $CO₂$ effects on mRNA expression of GABAA receptor genes in other fish species (Lai, Fagernes, Jutfelt, & Nilsson, 2016; Schunter et al., 2017). Interestingly, while we found no change in expression of the GABAA receptor mRNA in the olfactory bulb under high $CO₂$ conditions, we did observe a significant increase in *gaba_{B2}* receptor mRNA expression. The metabotropic $GABA_B$ receptor is involved in a distinct inhibitory pathway compared to ionotropic GABAA receptor and works to modulate neural activity via presynaptic and postsynaptic signaling pathways. However, GABAA and GABAB receptors play complementary and distinct roles in modulating olfactory signaling. The GABAB receptor is a G protein‐coupled receptor that, upon activation, inhibits calcium channel function (which can in turn reduce neural excitability and neurotransmitter release) and activates potassium channels to hyperpolarize neurons (Bettler, Kaupmann, Mosbacher, $& Gassmann, 2004$). Neuronal hyperpolarization via $GABA_{\Delta}$ receptor modulation of Cl[−] influx is quicker than the GABA_B pathway as it does not rely on slower secondary messengers.

To our knowledge, we are the first to report changes in $GABA_B$ gene expression under elevated $CO₂$, which presents an interesting new component to the list of signaling molecules involved in behavioral alterations under elevated $CO₂$. Increased expression of the GABA_B receptor could indicate a response by salmon olfactory bulb neurons to compensate for the loss of normal function of the GABA_A receptor pathway. Increased expression of GABA_A receptor mRNA, as a potential compensation for loss of function under elevated $CO₂$ conditions, is also found in three-spined sticklebacks (Lai et al., 2016). This theory is supported by the fact that several other genes associated with GABA signaling were also significantly altered in coho salmon in the present study. The significant increase in *hcn2*, which plays critical roles in membrane excitability, integration of synaptic inputs, and the generation of membrane potential oscillations within the olfactory bulb, suggests alterations in signal modulation under elevated $CO₂$ conditions (He, Chen, Li, & Hu, 2014). Two other genes associated with synaptic transmission and modulation of neuronal GABA signaling through Cl[−] transport, *snap25* and *kcc1*,

WILLIAMS ET AL. 2973
 Example 2008 Change Biology — WILLEY 273

also showed significant increases in expression further suggesting altered neuronal signaling within the olfactory bulbs (Abe, Minowa, & Kudo, 2018; Delgado‐Martínez, Nehring, & Sørensen, 2007; Delpire, 2000; Wang et al., 2005). The increases in *slc6a13* and *slc38a3*, which can serve roles in taurine/GABA uptake and glutamate uptake needed for GABA synthesis, respectively, potentially indicate increased production or uptake of GABA as a compensatory response by the bulb neurons (Chan et al., 2016; Scimemi, 2014). There was also a significant decrease in *aldh9a1*, which is involved in the production of GABA, and was reported to be overexpressed in fish tolerant of elevated $CO₂$ exposures (Schunter et al., 2016). Furthermore, the significant changes in expression of the multitude of other genes involved in signal transduction, ion transport (such as slc26a6 which serves a vital role in transporting HCO₃⁺/Cl[−]), and machinery related to neurotransmitters such as glutamate, serotonin, and acetylcholine also indicate a potential compensatory response to restore normal neural signaling within the olfactory bulbs.

We found increased expression of major genes involved in melatonin and the circadian rhythm, *asmt* and *aanat*, genes that play key roles in the production of melatonin and its precursor *N*‐acetylserotonin. Melatonin production has been linked to modulation of ion regulation in rainbow trout in response to changes in salinity (López‐ Patiño, Rodríguez‐Illamola, Gesto, Soengas, & Míguez, 2011). Schunter et al. (2016) found similar results in damselfish wherein offspring from parents sensitive to elevated $CO₂$ also had elevated levels of asmt mRNA expression, as opposed to offspring from CO₂-tolerant parents. GABA signaling has also been linked to circadian rhythm regulation, and the alteration of expression of genes central to GABA_B function could be driving these changes in genes linked to the circadian rhythm as well (DeWoskin et al., 2015). In total, the RNA‐Seq data indicate that olfactory bulb neural signaling pathways experienced major changes on a wide scale in response to the elevated $CO₂$ exposure, potentially as a mechanism to restore normal function, albeit unsuccessful during the exposure window given our behavioral and neurophysiology results.

The results of our study highlight the fact that salmon, once acclimated to saltwater, are susceptible to neurophysiological changes that can influence behavioral function under shifts in pH similar to those expected with OA. These results are worrisome as the native range of coho salmon in the North East Pacific Ocean is characterized by strong upwelling currents and is predicted to be impacted by elevated $CO₂$ and low pH projected for the foreseeable future. Indeed, many areas in the Salish Sea (encompassing the Strait of Georgia, Strait of Juan de Fuca, and Puget Sound in Washington State, USA, and British Columbia, CDN) already experience $CO₂$ and pH levels, at certain times of the year, that are similar to those affecting fish in our study (Feely et al., 2010). Olfaction plays a central role in the salmon life history, and the impairment of normal olfactory‐driven behaviors in juvenile salmon can jeopardize their survival. Furthermore, the GABA signaling system hypothesized to be impaired under elevated $CO₂$ conditions is critical in many other areas of the central nervous system, including vision, mechanoreception, and control of anxiety. However, the effects of elevated $CO₂$ **974 | WILLIAMS ET AL.**
2008 | WILLIAMS ET AL. **Global Change Biology | WILLIAMS ET AL.**

on these critical neuronal systems, remain to be investigated and are largely unknown in salmon (Ou et al., 2015).

While future real-world exposures to $CO₂$ concentrations at 2,700 μatm are likely to only occur in a transient scenario similar to our exposure paradigm, longer term exposures would be informative to investigate a potential for salmon to acclimate to the changed chemistry and regain normal neural function. Furthermore, while our study did not investigate recovery of normal behavioral function following cessation of the exposures, there is evidence that such recovery does happen in fish (Chivers et al., 2014; Jarrold, Humphrey, McCormick, & Munday, 2017). The environment that salmon reside in (i.e., open ocean vs. nearshore environment, time of year they reside in each environment, and the water depth they reside at) is important to consider going forward as the degree of neural impairment driven by elevated $CO₂$ could vary (Jarrold et al., 2017; Pacella, Brown, Waldbusser, Labiosa, & Hales, 2018).

In conclusion, juvenile ocean‐phase coho salmon are sensitive to neurobehavioral disruption induced by exposure to elevated $CO₂$ associated with climate change predictions in the Puget Sound region. Salmon are a keystone species in many aquatic ecosystems in the North Eastern Pacific Ocean and already face substantial pressure from other anthropogenic and nonanthropogenic factors. The potential effects of elevated CO₂ on their mortality will only add to this pressure for long‐term survivorship of Pacific salmon.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We greatly appreciate the EOG and EEG lessons and expertise of Dr. David Baldwin. We appreciate the assistance and advice of Danielle Perez and Frank Sommers with this study. We are also grateful to David Baldwin and Krista Nichols for their comments that greatly improved the manuscript. This work was funded in part by grants from the Washington Ocean Acidification Center, the [Wash](gs2<ucode type=)[ington Sea Grant](gs2<ucode type=) Program, University of Washington, pursuant to National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Award No. NA10OAR4170057, Project R/OCEH‐5, and from the University of Washington Superfund Research Program, Grant NIEHS P42ES004696. Additional support was provided by the NOAA Fisheries Northwest Fisheries Science Center and the NOAA Ocean Acidification Program. The views expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the [University of](gs3<ucode type=) [Washington](gs3<ucode type=), NOAA, or any of its subagencies.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.W., A.D., E.G., P.M., T.B., and S.B. all participated in the design of the experiment. C.W. and M.M. conducted the study. T.B. and J.M. conducted the bioinformatics. C.W. wrote the paper with editorial input from A.D., E.G., P.M., S.B., T.B., J.M., and M.M.

ORCID

Andrew H. Dittman^D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0957-6589

REFERENCES

- Abbott, N. J., Patabendige, A. A. K., Dolman, D. E. M., Yusof, S. R., & Begley, D. J. (2010). Structure and function of the blood–brain barrier. *Neurobiology of Disease*, *37*(1), 13–25. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2009.07.030) [nbd.2009.07.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2009.07.030)
- Abe, T., Minowa, Y., & Kudo, H. (2018). Molecular characterization and gene expression of synaptosome‐associated protein‐25 (SNAP‐25) in the brain during both seaward and homeward migrations of chum salmon Oncorhynchus keta. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, *217*, 17–25. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.12.006) [org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.12.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.12.006)
- Allan, B. J. M., Miller, G. M., McCormick, M. I., Domenici, P., & Munday, P. L. (2014). Parental effects improve escape performance of juvenile reef fish in a high-CO₂ world. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Bio*logical Sciences*, *281*(1777), 20132179. [https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2179) [2013.2179](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2179)
- Ashur, M. M., Johnston, N. K., & Dixson, D. L. (2017). Impacts of ocean acidification on sensory function in marine organisms. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, *57*(1), 63–80. [https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/ic](https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icx010) [x010](https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icx010)
- Baldwin, D. H., Sandahl, J. F., Labenia, J. S., & Scholz, N. L. (2003). Sublethal effects of copper on coho salmon: Impacts on nonoverlapping receptor pathways in the peripheral olfactory nervous system. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, *22*(10), 2266–2274. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1897/02-428) [org/10.1897/02-428](https://doi.org/10.1897/02-428)
- Baldwin, D. H., & Scholz, N. L. (2005). The electro‐olfactogram: An in vivo measure of peripheral olfactory function and sublethal neurotoxicity in fish. *Techniques in Aquatic Toxicology*, *2*, 257–276.
- Bettler, B., Kaupmann, K., Mosbacher, J., & Gassmann, M. (2004). Molecular structure and physiological functions of GABAB receptors. *Physiological Reviews*, *84*(3), 835–867. [https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00036.2003) [00036.2003](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00036.2003)
- Brown, G. E., & Smith, R. J. F. (1997). Conspecific skin extracts elicit antipredator responses in juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *75*(11), 1916–1922. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1139/z97-821) [org/10.1139/z97-821](https://doi.org/10.1139/z97-821)
- Busch, D. S., Maher, M., Thibodeau, P., & McElhany, P. (2014). Shell condition and survival of puget sound pteropods are impaired by ocean acidification conditions. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(8), e105884. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105884) [1371/journal.pone.0105884](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105884)
- Canty, A., & Ripley, B. (2017). Package boot: Bootstrap R (S-Plus) Functions. R package version 1.3-20. Retrieved from [https://cran.r-project.](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/boot/) [org/web/packages/boot/](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/boot/)
- Chan, K., Busque, S. M., Sailer, M., Stoeger, C., Bröer, S., Daniel, H., … Wagner, C. A. (2016). Loss of function mutation of the Slc38a3 glutamine transporter reveals its critical role for amino acid metabolism in the liver, brain, and kidney. *Pflügers Archiv ‐ European Journal of Physiology*, *468*(2), 213–227. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-015-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-015-1742-0) [1742-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-015-1742-0)
- Chivers, D. P., McCormick, M. I., Nilsson, G. E., Munday, P. L., Watson, S.‐A., Meekan, M. G., & … Ferrari, M. C. O. (2014). Impaired learning of predators and lower prey survival under elevated $CO₂$: A consequence of neurotransmitter interference. *Global Change Biology*, *20*(2), 515–522.<https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12291>
- Chung, W.‐S., Marshall, N. J., Watson, S.‐A., Munday, P. L., & Nilsson, G. E. (2014). Ocean acidification slows retinal function in a damselfish through interference with GABAA receptors. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *217*(3), 323–326.<https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.092478>

WILLIAMS ET AL. 275
 Example 2008 Change Biology -WII FY 275

- Cocco, A., Rönnberg, A. C., Jin, Z., André, G. I., Vossen, L. E., Bhandage, A. K., … Winberg, S. (2017). Characterization of the γ‐aminobutyric acid signaling system in the zebrafish (*Danio rerio* Hamilton) central nervous system by reverse transcription‐quantitative polymerase chain reaction. *Neuroscience*, *343*, 300–321. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.07.018) [j.neuroscience.2016.07.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.07.018)
- Cripps, I. L., Munday, P. L., & McCormick, M. I. (2011). Ocean acidification affects prey detection by a predatory reef fish. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(7), e22736.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022736>
- Crozier, L. G., Hendry, A., Lawson, P. W., Quinn, T., Mantua, N., Battin, J., … Huey, R. (2008). Potential responses to climate change in organisms with complex life histories: Evolution and plasticity in Pacific salmon. *Evolutionary Applications*, *1*(2), 252–270.
- Delgado‐Martínez, I., Nehring, R. B., & Sørensen, J. B. (2007). Differential abilities of SNAP‐25 homologs to support neuronal function. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *27*(35), 9380–9391. [https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUR](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5092-06.2007) [OSCI.5092-06.2007](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5092-06.2007)
- Delpire, E. (2000). Cation‐chloride cotransporters in neuronal communication. *Physiology*, *15*(6), 309–312. [https://doi.org/10.1152/physiology](https://doi.org/10.1152/physiologyonline.2000.15.6.309) [online.2000.15.6.309](https://doi.org/10.1152/physiologyonline.2000.15.6.309)
- Devine, B. M., Munday, P. L., & Jones, G. P. (2012). Homing ability of adult cardinalfish is affected by elevated carbon dioxide. *Oecologia*, *168*(1), 269–276.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-011-2081-2>
- DeWoskin, D., Myung, J., Belle, M. D. C., Piggins, H. D., Takumi, T., & Forger, D. B. (2015). Distinct roles for GABA across multiple timescales in mammalian circadian timekeeping. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *112*(29), E3911–E3919. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1420753112) [org/10.1073/pnas.1420753112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1420753112)
- Dickson, A. G., Sabine, C. L., & Christian, J. R. (2007). Guide to best practices for ocean $CO₂$ measurements.
- Dittman, A., & Quinn, T. (1996). Homing in Pacific salmon: Mechanisms and ecological basis. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *199*(Pt 1), 83–91.
- Dixson, D. L., Jennings, A. R., Atema, J., & Munday, P. L. (2014). Odor tracking in sharks is reduced under future ocean acidification conditions. *Global Change Biology*, *21*(4), 1454–1462.
- Dixson, D. L., Munday, P. L., & Jones, G. P. (2010). Ocean acidification disrupts the innate ability of fish to detect predator olfactory cues. *Ecology Letters*, *13*(1), 68–75. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01400.x) [2009.01400.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01400.x)
- Domenici, P., Allan, B., McCormick, M. I., & Munday, P. L. (2011). Elevated carbon dioxide affects behavioural lateralization in a coral reef fish. *Biology Letters*, *8*, 78–81. Retrieved from [http://rsbl.royalsociety](http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/early/2011/08/10/rsbl.2011.0591.abstract) [publishing.org/content/early/2011/08/10/rsbl.2011.0591.abstract](http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/early/2011/08/10/rsbl.2011.0591.abstract).
- Fabry, V. J., Seibel, B. A., Feely, R. A., & Orr, J. C. (2008). Impacts of ocean acidification on marine fauna and ecosystem processes. *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal Du Conseil*, *65*(3), 414–432. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsn048>
- Feely, R. A., Alin, S. R., Newton, J., Sabine, C. L., Warner, M., Devol, A., … Maloy, C. (2010). The combined effects of ocean acidification, mixing, and respiration on pH and carbonate saturation in an urbanized estuary. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, *88*(4), 442–449. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2010.05.004>
- Ferrari, M. C., McCormick, M. I., Allan, B. J., Choi, R., Ramasamy, R. A., Johansen, J. L., … Chivers, D. P. (2015). Living in a risky world: The onset and ontogeny of an integrated antipredator phenotype in a coral reef fish. *Scientific Reports*, *5*, 15537–16000. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15537) [1038/srep15537](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15537)
- Ferrari, M. C. O., Manassa, R. P., Dixson, D. L., Munday, P. L., McCormick, M. I., Meekan, M. G., … Chivers, D. P. (2012). Effects of ocean acidification on learning in coral reef fishes. *PLoS ONE*, *7*(2), e31478. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031478>
- Gerlach, G., Atema, J., Kingsford, M. J., Black, K. P., & Miller‐Sims, V. (2007). Smelling home can prevent dispersal of reef fish larvae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *104*(3), 858–863. [http://](http://www.pnas.org/content/104/3/858.abstract) www.pnas.org/content/104/3/858.abstract
- Hamdani, E. H., & Doving, K. B. (2007). The functional organization of the fish olfactory system. *Progress in Neurobiology*, *82*(2), 80–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2007.02.007>
- Hamilton, S. L., Logan, C. A., Fennie, H. W., Sogard, S. M., Barry, J. P., Makukhov, A. D., … Bernardi, G. (2017). Species‐specific responses of juvenile rockfish to elevated pCO_2 : From behavior to genomics. *PLoS ONE*, *12*(1), e0169670. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169670) [0169670](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169670)
- Hamilton, T. J., Holcombe, A., & Tresguerres, M. (2014). CO₂-induced Ocean Acidification Increases Anxiety in Rockfish via Alteration of GABAA Receptor Functioning (Vol. *281*).
- Hara, T. J. (1992). *Fish chemoreception*. London: Chapman & Hall.
- He, C., Chen, F., Li, B., & Hu, Z. (2014). Neurophysiology of HCN channels: From cellular functions to multiple regulations. *Progress in Neurobiology*, *112*, 1–23. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2013.10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2013.10.001) [001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2013.10.001)
- Heuer, R. M., Welch, M. J., Rummer, J. L., Munday, P. L., & Grosell, M. (2016). Altered brain ion gradients following compensation for elevated CO(2) are linked to behavioural alterations in a coral reef fish. *Scientific Reports*, *6*, 33216.<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33216>
- Hoegh‐Guldberg, O., & Bruno, J. F. (2010). The impact of climate change on the world's marine ecosystems. *Science*, *328*(5985), 1523–1528.
- Hofmann, G. E., Barry, J. P., Edmunds, P. J., Gates, R. D., Hutchins, D. A., Klinger, T., & Sewell, M. A. (2010). The effect of ocean acidification on calcifying organisms in marine ecosystems: An organism‐to‐ ecosystem perspective. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *41*, 127–147. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120227) [110308.120227](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120227)
- Jarrold, M. D., Humphrey, C., McCormick, M. I., & Munday, P. L. (2017). Diel CO₂ cycles reduce severity of behavioural abnormalities in coral reef fish under ocean acidification. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 10153– 11000.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10378-y>
- Jovancevic, N., Wunderlich, K. A., Haering, C., Flegel, C., Maßberg, D., Weinrich, M., … Gelis, L. (2017). Deep sequencing of the human retinae reveals the expression of odorant receptors. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*, *11*, 03.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2017.00003>
- Jutfelt, F., & Hedgärde, M. (2015). Juvenile Atlantic cod behavior appears robust to near-future CO₂ levels. Frontiers in Zoology, 12(1), 1-7. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-015-0104-2.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-015-0104-2)
- Kroeker, K. J., Kordas, R. L., Crim, R., Hendriks, I. E., Ramajo, L., Singh, G. S., … Gattuso, J.‐P. (2013). Impacts of ocean acidification on marine organisms: Quantifying sensitivities and interaction with warming. *Global Change Biology*, *19*(6), 1884–1896. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12179) [gcb.12179](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12179)
- Lai, F., Fagernes, C. E., Jutfelt, F., & Nilsson, G. E. (2016). Expression of genes involved in brain GABAergic neurotransmission in three‐spined stickleback exposed to near‐future CO2. *Conservation*. *Physiology*, *4* (1), cow068‐cow068.<https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/cow068>.
- Leduc, A. O., Munday, P. L., Brown, G. E., & Ferrari, M. C. (2013). Effects of acidification on olfactory‐mediated behaviour in freshwater and marine ecosystems: A synthesis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *368*(1627), 20120447.
- Lizbinski, K. M., & Dacks, A. M. (2017). Intrinsic and extrinsic neuromodulation of olfactory processing. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*, *11*, 424.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2017.00424>
- López‐Patiño, M. A., Rodríguez‐Illamola, A., Gesto, M., Soengas, J. L., & Míguez, J. M. (2011). Changes in plasma melatonin levels and pineal organ melatonin synthesis following acclimation of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) to different water salinities. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *214*(6), 928–936.<https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.051516>
- Marshall, K. N., Kaplan, I. C., Hodgson, E. E., Hermann, A., Busch, D. S., McElhany, P., … Fulton, E. A. (2017). Risks of ocean acidification in the California Current food web and fisheries: Ecosystem model projections. *Global Change Biology*, *23*(4), 1525–1539. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13594) [1111/gcb.13594](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13594)

976 | WILLIAMS ET AL.
2008 | WILLIAMS ET AL. **Global Change Biology | WILLIAMS ET AL.**

- Maryoung, L. A., Lavado, R., Bammler, T. K., Gallagher, E. P., Stapleton, P. L., Beyer, R. P., … Schlenk, D. (2015). Differential gene expression in liver, gill, and olfactory rosettes of *Coho salmon* (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) after acclimation to salinity. *Marine Biotechnology*, *17*(6), 703– 717.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10126-015-9649-5>
- McCormick, S. D. (2012). *Smolt physiology and endocrinology fish physiology*, Vol. *32* (pp. 199–251). Oxford, UK: Elsevier.
- McGann, J. P. (2013). Presynaptic inhibition of olfactory sensory neurons: New mechanisms and potential functions. *Chemical Senses*, *38*(6), 459–474.<https://doi.org/10.1093/chemse/bjt018>
- McIntyre, J. K., Baldwin, D. H., Beauchamp, D. A., & Scholz, N. L. (2012). Low-level copper exposures increase visibility and vulnerability of juvenile coho salmon to cutthroat trout predators. *Ecological Applications*, *22*(5), 1460–1471.<https://doi.org/10.1890/11-2001.1>
- Miller, G. M., Watson, S.‐A., Donelson, J. M., McCormick, M. I., & Munday, P. L. (2012). Parental environment mediates impacts of increased carbon dioxide on a coral reef fish. *Nature Climate Change*, *2*(12), 858–861.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1599>
- Munday, P. L., Dixson, D. L., Donelson, J. M., Jones, G. P., Pratchett, M. S., Devitsina, G. V., & Døving, K. B. (2009). Ocean acidification impairs olfactory discrimination and homing ability of a marine fish. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *106*(6), 1848–1852. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0809996106>
- Murray, C. S., Malvezzi, A., Gobler, C. J., & Baumann, H. (2014). Offspring sensitivity to ocean acidification changes seasonally in a coastal marine fish. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *504*, 1–11. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10791) [3354/meps10791](https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10791)
- Nilsson, G. E., Dixson, D. L., Domenici, P., McCormick, M. I., Sørensen, C., Watson, S.‐A., & Munday, P. L. (2012). Near‐future carbon dioxide levels alter fish behaviour by interfering with neurotransmitter function. *Nature Climate Change*, *2*(3), 201–204.
- Orr, J. C., Fabry, V. J., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Doney, S. C., Feely, R. A., … Yool, A. (2005). Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty‐ first century and its impact on calcifying organisms. *Nature*, *437* (7059), 681–686.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04095>
- Ou, M., Hamilton, T. J., Eom, J., Lyall, E. M., Gallup, J., Jiang, A., … Brauner, C. J. (2015). Responses of pink salmon to $CO₂$ -induced aquatic acidification. *Nature Climate Change*, *5*, 950–955. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2694) [1038/nclimate2694](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2694)
- Pacella, S. R., Brown, C. A., Waldbusser, G. G., Labiosa, R. G., & Hales, B. (2018). Seagrass habitat metabolism increases short‐term extremes and long-term offset of CO₂ under future ocean acidification. Pro*ceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *115*(15), 3870–3875.
- Patro, R., Duggal, G., & Kingsford, C. (2015). *Salmon: Accurate, Versatile and Ultrafast Quantification from rna‐seq Data Using lightweight‐alignment.* Biorxiv, 021592.
- Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A., & Kingsford, C. (2017). Salmon provides fast and bias‐aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nature Methods*, *14*, 417–419. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4197) [nmeth.4197](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4197)
- Porteus, C. S., Hubbard, P. C., Uren Webster, T. M., van Aerle, R., Canário, A. V. M., Santos, E. M., & Wilson, R. W. (2018). Near‐future CO2 levels impair the olfactory system of a marine fish. *Nature Climate Change*, *8*(8), 737–743. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0224-8) [0224-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0224-8)
- Pronin, A., Levay, K., Velmeshev, D., Faghihi, M., Shestopalov, V. I., & Slepak, V. Z. (2014). Expression of olfactory signaling genes in the eye. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(4), e96435. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096435) [0096435](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096435)
- Quinn, T. P. (2011). *The behavior and ecology of Pacific salmon and trout*. Vancouver, Canada: UBC Press.
- Reum, J. C., Alin, S. R., Harvey, C. J., Bednaršek, N., Evans, W., Feely, R. A., … McElhany, P. (2015). Interpretation and design of ocean acidification experiments in upwelling systems in the context of carbonate

chemistry co‐variation with temperature and oxygen. *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal Du Conseil*, *73*(3), 582–595.

- Sandahl, J. F., Baldwin, D. H., Jenkins, J. J., & Scholz, N. L. (2007). A sensory system at the interface between urban stormwater runoff and salmon survival. *Environmental Science and Technology*, *41*(8), 2998– 3004.<https://doi.org/10.1021/es062287r>
- Schild, D., & Restrepo, D. (1998). Transduction mechanisms in vertebrate olfactory receptor cells. *Physiological Reviews*, *78*(2), 429–466. <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.1998.78.2.429>
- Schmidt, M., Gerlach, G., Leo, E., Kunz, K. L., Swoboda, S., Pörtner, H. O., … Storch, D. (2017). Impact of ocean warming and acidification on the behaviour of two co‐occurring gadid species, Boreogadus saida and Gadus morhua, from Svalbard. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *571*, 183–191.<https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12130>
- Schunter, C., Welch, M. J., Nilsson, G. E., Rummer, J. L., Munday, P. L., & Ravasi, T. (2017). An interplay between plasticity and parental phenotype determines impacts of ocean acidification on a reef fish. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *2*, 334–342. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0428-8) [017-0428-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0428-8)
- Schunter, C., Welch, M. J., Ryu, T., Zhang, H., Berumen, M. L., Nilsson, G. E., … Ravasi, T. (2016). Molecular signatures of transgenerational response to ocean acidification in a species of reef fish. *Nature Climate Change*, *6*, 1014–2000.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3087>
- Scimemi, A. (2014). Structure, function, and plasticity of GABA transporters. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*, *8*, 161. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2014.00161) [3389/fncel.2014.00161.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2014.00161)
- Simpson, S. D., Munday, P. L., Wittenrich, M. L., Manassa, R., Dixson, D. L., Gagliano, M., & Yan, H. Y. (2011). Ocean acidification erodes crucial auditory behaviour in a marine fish. *Biology Letters*, *7*, 917–920. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2011.0293>
- Somjen, G. G. (2002). Ion regulation in the brain: Implications for pathophysiology. *The Neuroscientist*, *8*(3), 254–267. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858402008003011) [1177/1073858402008003011](https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858402008003011)
- Sommers, F., Mudrock, E., Labenia, J., & Baldwin, D. (2016). Effects of salinity on olfactory toxicity and behavioral responses of juvenile salmonids from copper. *Aquatic Toxicology*, *175*, 260–268. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.04.001) [org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.04.001)
- Soneson, C., Love, M. I., & Robinson, M. D. (2015). Differential analyses for RNA‐seq: transcript‐level estimates improve gene‐level inferences. *F1000Research*, *4*, 1521. [https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7563.2) [7563.2](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7563.2)
- Tabor, R., Yaksi, E., & Friedrich, R. W. (2008). Multiple functions of GABAA and GABAB receptors during pattern processing in the zebrafish olfactory bulb. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *28*(1), 117– 127.
- Tan, J., Savigner, A., Ma, M., & Luo, M. (2010). Odor information processing by the olfactory bulb analyzed in gene‐targeted mice. *Neuron*, *65* (6), 912–926. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.02.011.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.02.011)
- Tierney, K. B., Baldwin, D. H., Hara, T. J., Ross, P. S., Scholz, N. L., & Kennedy, C. J. (2010). Olfactory toxicity in fishes. *Aquatic Toxicology*, *96* (1), 2–26.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2009.09.019>
- Tresguerres, M., & Hamilton, T. J. (2017). Acid–base physiology, neurobiology and behaviour in relation to $CO₂$ -induced ocean acidification. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *220*(12), 2136–2148. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.144113) [10.1242/jeb.144113](https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.144113)
- Wang, C., Ohno, K., Furukawa, T., Ueki, T., Ikeda, M., Fukuda, A., & Sato, K. (2005). Differential expression of KCC2 accounts for the differential GABA responses between relay and intrinsic neurons in the early postnatal rat olfactory bulb. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *21*(5), 1449–1455.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.03975.x>
- Welch, M. J., & Munday, P. L. (2017). Heritability of behavioural tolerance to high $CO₂$ in a coral reef fish is masked by nonadaptive phenotypic plasticity. *Evolutionary Applications*, *10*(7), 682–693. [https://d](https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12483) [oi.org/10.1111/eva.12483](https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12483)

WILLIAMS ET AL. | **977**

- Welch, M., Watson, S., Welsh, J., McCormick, M., & Munday, P. (2014). Effects of elevated $CO₂$ on fish behaviour undiminished by transgenerational acclimation. *Nature Climate Change*, *4*, 1086–1089.
- Williams, C. R., & Gallagher, E. P. (2013). Effects of cadmium on olfactory mediated behaviors and molecular biomarkers in coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). *Aquatic Toxicology*, *140*, 295–302. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.06.010) [10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.06.010)
- Williams, C. R., MacDonald, J. W., Bammler, T. K., Paulsen, M. H., Simpson, C. D., & Gallagher, E. P. (2016). From the Cover: cadmium exposure differentially alters odorant‐driven behaviors and expression of olfactory receptors in juvenile Coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). *Toxicological Sciences*, *154*(2), 267–277. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfw172) [1093/toxsci/kfw172.](https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfw172)
- Yambe, H., Kitamura, S., Kamio, M., Yamada, M., Matsunaga, S., Fusetani, N., & Yamazaki, F. (2006). L‐Kynurenine, an amino acid identified as a sex pheromone in the urine of ovulated female masu salmon. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *103*(42), 15370–16000. <http://www.pnas.org/content/103/42/15370.abstract>

Zeileis, A., Cribari‐Neto, F., Gruen, B., & Kosmidis, I. (2016). betareg: Beta regression. [Computer software manual].

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Williams CR, Dittman AH, McElhany P, et al. Elevated $CO₂$ impairs olfactory-mediated neural and behavioral responses and gene expression in ocean‐phase coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). *Glob Change Biol*. 2019;25:963–977. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14532>