The Great Atlantic Sargassum Belt
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Abstract: Pelagic Sargassum is abundant in the Sargasso Sea, but a recurrent Great Atlantic Sargassum Belt (GASB) is observed in satellite imagery since 2011, often extending from West Africa to the Gulf of Mexico. In June 2018, the 8850-km GASB contained > 20 million tons of Sargassum biomass. The spatial distribution of the GASB is mostly driven by ocean circulation. The bloom of 2011 might be a result of Amazon River discharge in previous years, but recent increases and inter-annual variability after 2011 appear to be driven by upwelling off West Africa during boreal winter and Amazon River discharge during spring and summer, indicating a possible regime shift and the possibility that recurrent blooms in the tropical Atlantic and Caribbean Sea may become the new norm.

One Sentence Summary: A recurrent cross-Atlantic Sargassum belt from West Africa to the Gulf of Mexico is discovered, representing the world’s largest macroalgae bloom.

Main text: 2045 words

The Sargasso Sea is named after the floating mats of Sargassum seaweed, first reported by Christopher Columbus in the 15\textsuperscript{th} century. These seaweed attract fish, shrimp, crabs, birds, and turtles (1-3), providing essential habitats and serving as hotspots for biodiversity and productivity. Two species of Sargassum, S. fluitans and S. natans, are the most abundant in the Sargasso Sea and the Gulf of Mexico (1, 4), which are notably connected by ocean currents.

Large quantities of Sargassum have recently been reported in the Central Atlantic Ocean and the Caribbean Sea (5-14), accompanied by frequent beaching events that caused serious environmental, ecological, and economic problems (15, 16). Numerous workshops have been held to develop strategies to respond to Sargassum inundations (17, 18). A critical question is whether a regime shift in the atmospheric and/or oceanic climatic conditions has led to the recent changes. Several hypotheses have been proposed concerning the relative roles of warming temperatures, climate change, and nutrient enrichment (19-23), but the lack of large-scale Sargassum data has prevented reaching a solid conclusion.

We address these questions using long-term satellite data, numerical models, and field measurements. For the first time, the 19-year record of observations from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) satellite instruments reveal a recent, recurrent Sargassum
belt extending across the Intra-Americas Sea and the tropical Atlantic (Fig. 1). The environmental and field data, along with numerical models, help us to understand the formation of this Great Atlantic Sargassum Belt (GASB), the connectivity between different regions, as well as the mechanisms behind the tipping point in 2011 and inter-annual variations in subsequent years.

The entire monthly sequence of Sargassum abundance distributions (Movie. S1) shows that from 2000 to 2010, the Central Atlantic showed very low abundance (5-6, 12-13), with occasional small quantities near the Amazon River mouth from August to November. The first massive Sargassum bloom in the Central Atlantic started in 2011 (6), and in later years the bloom developed to a GASB extending from West Africa to the Caribbean Sea and into the Gulf of Mexico (Fig. 1 and Movie. S1).

The GASB formed in the summer months (northern hemisphere in this paper) of 2011 – 2018 except in 2013 (Fig. 1C). In 2015 and 2018, the GASB showed the highest coverage, extending > 8850 km and carrying a wet biomass of > 9 million tons (> 20 million tons in June 2018) (24). Once reaching the Gulf of Mexico, the belt followed the Loop Current and Gulf Stream to enter the North Atlantic. Some Sargassum were transported directly into the North Atlantic from the Central West Atlantic following the Antilles Current (Fig. 1C).

While multiple sources of Sargassum may exist, the shape of the GASB is consistent with advection by the ocean circulation patterns in the tropical Atlantic. Through particle-tracking numerical experiments that account for both physical transport and biological growth, the July GASB patterns were well-reproduced by forward-tracking of simulated Sargassum particles for six months (Fig. S1). This is true even when a uniform particle distribution was used to initialize the model, although a more realistic initialization using MODIS observations led to improved model performance in reproducing the GASB patterns in July (25, 26; Fig. S1A and Fig. S1B). Furthermore, after accounting for the biological factors under various scenarios, Sargassum density in the tropics could be even better captured (Fig. S1).

In both July and January, most of the simulated Sargassum particles in the Central Atlantic are traced back to the same region, with a very weak connection to West Africa and almost no connection to the North Atlantic or Caribbean Sea (Fig. S2, 25). This suggests that the major blooms in the Central Atlantic were likely developed locally rather than from seeds in the Sargasso Sea. The weak connection between West Africa and the Central Atlantic (Fig. S2B) indicates that some Sargassum may enter the Central Atlantic from West Africa and bloom there. These match well with previous modeling work emphasizing the role of North Equatorial Recirculation Region (NERR) as a potential source region (19-21, 27) and other modeling efforts on the regional connections (28, 29). Field measurements of species compositions (30) also suggest that the Sargasso Sea is unlikely to have induced the major blooms in the Central Atlantic.

It is natural to ask, then, what conditions in the Central Atlantic triggered the first Sargassum bloom in 2011? Small amounts of Sargassum existed in the Central Atlantic in previous years (Movie. S1), representing the seed population. In 2009, higher-than-usual nutrients from the Amazon River discharge (31; Fig. 2B; Fig. S3A; Fig. S4C) as well as from upwelling in the eastern Atlantic (Fig. 2D, Fig. S4D, Table S2) could stimulate Sargassum growth (32, 33), allowing massive blooms to occur. A related question is, why did a massive bloom not occur in 2010? We suggest that this was due to higher-than-usual sea surface temperatures (hereinafter, SST) in 2010 (Fig. 2D), which, according to laboratory experiments (34; Fig. S5) and our analyses of satellite-derived Sargassum change rates (26), would suppress Sargassum growth. In 2011, the SST were
more suitable for *Sargassum* growth and the recycled nutrients from previous years and new nutrients from the current year would create the correct conditions to initiate a massive bloom. Also, the low salinity induced by a large freshwater input from 2009 and 2010 would hinder *Sargassum* growth (34). A reasonable scenario of the 2011 bloom is therefore: nutrient *accumulations* from 2009 due to stronger upwelling in the eastern Atlantic and excessive Amazon River discharge in the western Atlantic provided the initial conditions, while *high temperature and low salinity* in 2010 delayed the bloom until 2011.

After 2011, the *Sargassum* abundance in the Central Atlantic showed similar seasonality as in the Gulf of Mexico, with increased abundance from January to June and decreased abundance from July to December (Fig. 3B and Fig. S6). Considering the weak seasonality in solar insolation in the tropics, this seasonality might be the result of an innate biological clock (circannual rhythm), which exists in other brown seaweeds (35), and the seasonal nutrient supply (26). Such a mechanism is further examined in the supplemental materials.

In 2012, a *Sargassum* bloom first developed in spring and summer, but decreased rapidly from August to December. The earlier growth could be a result of higher nutrient supply from upwelling processes and lower SST in the Central East Atlantic from winter 2011 to spring 2012, while the rapid decrease after August could be due to the overall lower nutrient supply from the Amazon River during 2010-2011 and relatively higher SST after late summer. By January 2013, most *Sargassum* disappeared across the Central Atlantic (Movie. S1). The reduced seed populations from 2012, higher SST in the growth phase, and limited nutrients, together appeared to lead to a non-bloom year in 2013 (Table S2 and Movie. S1).

In 2014, *Sargassum* grew rapidly during spring and summer. Unlike 2011, the first *Sargassum* aggregation was identified in early January in the Central East Atlantic. This rapid growth is likely attributed to the nutrient enrichment from the West Africa upwelling from winter 2013 to spring 2014 (Table S2). The bloom continued to develop when reaching the Central West Atlantic as the *Sargassum* was nourished by high riverine nutrients accumulated in 2013-2014 (Table S2, Fig. 2B). The favorable growth conditions (higher nutrients and lower SST) in the Central East Atlantic and Central West Atlantic would also sustain the winter bloom, providing higher-than-usual seed populations to initiate the massive bloom in 2015 (Table S2).

In 2015, although the initial *Sargassum* growth rate was not as high as in 2014, the seed population was much larger than in previous years. This, along with higher nutrients from the Central East Atlantic in spring and early summer as well as from the Amazon River from 2014 to 2015, led to a massive *Sargassum* bloom in 2015 (Table S2). The higher concentrations of *Sargassum* required more nutrients to sustain, which would also explain the lower change rate in spring 2015 (Fig. 3A and Fig. 3B). From fall to winter, *Sargassum* decreased faster than in 2014 under comparatively warmer waters and reduced nutrients (Table S2). This significant decrease continued to February 2016.

In 2016, only a small amount of *Sargassum* survived from 2015 and it was located in the Central East Atlantic. The early growth was limited by the lower nutrient supply from West African upwelling from winter 2015 to spring 2016 (Table S2). When the *Sargassum* was transported eastward to the Central East Atlantic after December 2016, however, the *Sargassum* grew faster due to local nutrient enrichments (Table S2), providing seed populations for the 2017 bloom.
In 2017, in general the bloom developed faster than in 2016 due to possibly higher nutrient supplies from the Amazon River and the West Africa upwelling since winter 2016 (Table S2). During winter 2017, the *Sargassum* change rate was much higher than in 2016, which would also have benefited from the lower SST in addition to higher availability of nutrients. The large amount of *Sargassum* developed in the winter months helped to form the bloom in 2018.

Overall, the recent bloom events show connections to nutrient enrichment and climatic variations. Higher winter-time North Atlantic Oscillation values in the bloom years correlated well with lower SST and stronger upwelling (Fig. 2; 36-37). Evidence for nutrient enrichment is also found in the *Sargassum* nutrient compositions. Specifically, the N:P ratios of the recent neritic samples show an increasingly P-limited growth compared to the historical baselines, which would be a result of long-term nutrient enrichment, and especially N enrichment, in recent years (24). Other evidence to support recent nutrient enrichment in the Central West Atlantic comes from increased deforestation and fertilizer use in Brazil, and significantly increased water-column nitrogen from 2010 to 2018 (Fig. S4).

The inter-annual changes in *Sargassum* blooms could be accounted for with changes in seed populations and oceanographic conditions, but a critical question remains: can we predict future blooms based on these hindcast analyses? The following conditions appear to be associated with massive *Sargassum* blooms at magnitudes comparable to those in 2015 and 2018: 1) Large seed populations during winter as a result of the previous year’s bloom; 2) Higher nutrient supply from the West Africa upwelling in winter months, which can be inferred from higher Chl and lower SST in satellite imagery; and 3) Higher nutrient supply from the Amazon River input but normal or lower SST during the current year. If these conditions are met, then a massive bloom is likely to occur in the Central Atlantic, followed by severe beaching events in the Caribbean Sea in later months. Furthermore, during November to December, the *Sargassum* change rates showed negative correlations with SST (Fig. S7A and S7B), suggesting that the former might serve as an indicator for possible blooms in the following year (Fig. 3A inset), with a lead time of at least 3-4 months.

Finally, we recognize that there are active discussions within the research community on the mechanisms driving the recent trends of *Sargassum* blooms. The explanation presented here is based on the physical connectivity across several regions, on the analysis of several environmental factors, on limited field studies, and on the satellite-based *Sargassum* observations. These modeling and observationally based analyses, although reasonable to the best of our knowledge, still require validation in the future, and admittedly may not rule out other explanations. On the other hand, the recurrent GASB clearly shows a regime shift after 2011 in bloom patterns and possibly in oceanographic conditions as well. A critical question is whether we have reached the point where recurrent GASB and beaching events may become the new norm. Under continued nutrient enrichment due to deforestation and fertilizer use in agriculture (Fig. S4), along with the significant mass of *Sargassum* seeds lingering in the tropics (Movie. S1), the answer is likely positive, and more recent satellite observations between January and April 2019 also support this interpretation. On the other hand, the significant macroalgal accumulations along the pathway of the GASB underline the need for multi-disciplinary research to better understand their ecological and biogeochemical impacts (24, 38) as well as their impacts on coastal environments, tourism, economies, and human health (39), especially if the role of *Sargassum* changes from an essential habitat to a significant and perpetual nuisance.
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Fig. 1. *Sargassum* distributions in the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Ocean. (A) Monthly mean *Sargassum* areal coverage in the Caribbean Sea and central Atlantic Ocean, with a maximum of ~ 6000 km² or >20 million tons in June 2018. (B) Monthly mean *Sargassum* density (% cover) in January, April, July, and October of 2011 – 2017 after excluding the non-bloom year of 2013. (C) Monthly mean *Sargassum* density for the month of July from 2011 to 2018. The GASB is observed in all years except 2013.
Fig. 2. Environmental conditions and climate indexes used to explain inter-annual changes of GASB. (A) Mean NAO index averaged from December to February (winter NAO) for 1990 - 2018. (B) Seasonal mean discharge anomaly of the Amazon River from 1990 to 2018 measured at the Obidos station. (C) Latitude-averaged (from 5°S to 23°N) Sargassum monthly areal coverage density from 2009 to 2018. (D) Latitude-averaged monthly mean SST anomaly from 2009 to 2018. In (C) and (D), the vertical lines mark the locations of 88°W, 61°W, 50°W, 38°W, and 15°W, representing the Yucatan peninsula coast, Barbados coast, the Amazon River mouth, the middle of the central Atlantic, and the West Africa coast, respectively.
Fig. 3. *Sargassum* biomass and change rate from April 2011 to December 2018. (A) Monthly mean *Sargassum* biomass in the Caribbean Sea and Central Atlantic. These estimates represent lower bounds because satellite measurements are insensitive to *Sargassum* accumulations in the vertical direction. The inset shows the correlation between the mean change rate in November and December (derived from the mean biomass change from October to December) with the annual mean *Sargassum* biomass in the next year. The red dot marks the data from 2019 (biomass averaged between January - April 2019) (B) *Sargassum* monthly change rate since 2011. The gray dashed line marks the climatological change rate between 2011 and 2018 except 2013.