

Supplement of Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 14787–14800, 2020  
<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-14787-2020-supplement>  
© Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under  
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



*Supplement of*


**Significant wintertime PM<sub>2.5</sub> mitigation in the Yangtze River Delta, China, from 2016 to 2019: observational constraints on anthropogenic emission controls**

**Liqiang Wang et al.**

*Correspondence to:* Shaocai Yu ([shaocaiyu@zju.edu.cn](mailto:shaocaiyu@zju.edu.cn)) and Pengfei Li ([lpf\\_zju@163.com](mailto:lpf_zju@163.com))


The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the CC BY 4.0 License.

1	Content:
2	Figure S1. Meteorological factors during the G20 summit and its adjacent period.
3	Figure S2. The NMB and R values of the simulated and constrained PM <sub>2.5</sub> .
4	Figure S3. The NMB values and their standard deviations of the simulated and constrained PM <sub>2.5</sub> on the basis of four
5	intervals of the observations.
6	Figure S4. The NMB values and their standard deviations of the simulated and constrained PM <sub>2.5</sub> at the 24-hour scale.
7	Figure S5. Time series of the observed and constrained temperature.
8	Figure S6. Time series of the observed and constrained relative humidity.
9	Figure S7. Time series of the observed and constrained wind speed.
10	Figure S8. Time series of the observed and constrained air pressure.
11	Figure S9. Spatial distributions of the monthly anthropogenic emissions.
12	Figure S10. Impacts of different emissions on the meteorology.
13	Figure S11. Standard deviations of the impacts of inherent biases.
14	Figure S12. The enlarged part in Figure 7c.
15	Table S1. Evaluation statistics of the constrained PM <sub>2.5</sub> .
16	Table S2. Evaluation statistics of the constrained temperature.
17	Table S3. Evaluation statistics of the constrained relative humidity.
18	Table S4. Evaluation statistics of the constrained wind speed.
19	Table S5. Evaluation statistics of the constrained air pressure.
20	Table S6. Different effects between the long-term emission controls and the emergency emission controls.
21	No. of Pages: 19
22	No. of Figures: 12
23	No. of Tables: 6




24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Figure S1. (a - t) The reanalysed meteorological factors (i.e., temperature, relative humidity, air pressure, and wind speed) at four cities during the G20 summit and its adjacent periods (i.e., Pre- and Post- G20 periods, from August 11 to August 23, 2016 and from September 18 to September 30, 2016, respectively) and (u) the corresponding atmospheric synoptic circulation patterns at 925 hPa (the geopotential height (GH) fields (colored shading) and wind vector fields (arrows)).




29  
30  
31

Figure S2. The NMB and R values of the simulated (black) and constrained (red) hourly  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations for January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column) over the whole domain (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).



32  
33  
34  
35


Figure S3. The mean NMB values (dots) and their standard deviations (bars) of the simulated (black) and constrained (red) hourly  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations on the basis of four intervals of the observations during January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column) over the whole domain (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).



36  
37  
38  
39

Figure S4. Time series of the mean NMB values and their standard deviations of the simulated and constrained hourly  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations for January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column) over the whole domain (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).






40

41

Figure S5. Time series of the hourly observed and constrained temperature for January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column) over the whole domain

42


(a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).



43  
44  
45

Figure S6. Time series of the comparisons between hourly observed and constrained relative humidity for January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column) over the whole domain (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).






46

47

Figure S7. Time series of the comparisons between hourly observed and constrained wind speed for January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column)


48

over the whole domain (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).




49

50 Figure S8. Time series of the comparisons between hourly observed and constrained air pressure for January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column)  
 51 over the whole domain (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).




52

53 **Figure S9. Spatial distributions of the monthly emissions of (a) CO, (b) NO<sub>x</sub>, (c) SO<sub>2</sub>, and (d) PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the prior anthropogenic emission inventory (MEICv1.2).**




54  
55  
56

Figure S10. Meteorological impacts on PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in three sensitivity cases over the whole domain as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Anhui. The three corresponding adjustment coefficients are 5 %, 25 %, and 40 %, respectively.



57  
58  
59  
60  
61

Figure S11. Standard deviations of the impacts of the inherent biases during the adjacent periods of the G20 summit (i.e., pre- and post- periods, from August 11 to August 23, 2016 and from September 18 to September 30, 2016, respectively). The dots denote the locations of ground PM<sub>2.5</sub> measurements.



62  
63 **Figure S12.** The enlarged part in Figure 7c. The black fishnets mark the grids covering the areas with the ultimate PM<sub>2.5</sub> mitigations, which are mostly located in urban Hangzhou.  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68



Table S1. Comprehensive evaluation statistics of the constrained PM<sub>2.5</sub> over the whole domain as well as in four representative cities as follows: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei.

Episode	Area	Observed	Constrained PM <sub>2.5</sub>		NMB		RMSE		R	
		PM <sub>2.5</sub>	(μg m <sup>-3</sup> )		(%)		(μg m <sup>-3</sup> )			
		(μg m <sup>-3</sup> )	UNCONS	CONS	UNCONS	CONS	UNCONS	CONS	UNCONS	CONS
2016	Total	76.50	89.30	78.78	16.73	2.97	38.66	7.15	0.63	0.98
	Shanghai	70.40	66.30	68.94	-5.82	-2.07	46.36	11.66	0.65	0.97
	Hangzhou	75.05	86.84	74.39	15.70	-0.89	47.73	11.16	0.48	0.97
	Nanjing	79.57	89.60	78.01	12.61	-1.95	57.64	10.56	0.42	0.98
	Hefei	87.64	118.29	92.96	34.97	6.08	72.90	14.56	0.35	0.97
2019	Total	70.66	97.08	73.52	37.40	4.05	44.17	5.90	0.71	0.98
	Shanghai	48.77	62.66	54.21	28.47	11.14	34.05	10.38	0.75	0.97
	Hangzhou	59.65	78.55	67.75	31.70	13.59	43.24	14.41	0.65	0.95
	Nanjing	71.32	104.67	75.36	46.75	5.67	62.24	10.11	0.66	0.97
	Hefei	85.29	136.71	92.69	60.30	8.68	80.88	14.36	0.52	0.95
G20	Total	38.05	59.31	41.99	55.89	10.35	27.74	6.60	0.59	0.93
	Shanghai	38.91	52.73	41.56	35.49	6.80	25.77	7.43	0.60	0.95
	Hangzhou	32.05	59.39	37.25	85.29	16.20	39.60	9.92	0.33	0.79
	Nanjing	33.18	49.60	37.26	49.50	12.31	31.83	8.18	0.41	0.92
	Hefei	47.05	79.53	49.18	69.01	4.53	40.99	8.53	0.40	0.92

**Table S2. Comprehensive evaluation statistics of the constrained temperature over the whole domain as well as in four representative cities as follows: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei.**

<b>Episode</b>	<b>Area</b>	<b>Observations (□)</b>	<b>Constrained temperature (□)</b>	<b>NMB (%)</b>	<b>RMSE (□)</b>	<b>R</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>Total</b>	3.67	3.09	-15.80	2.19	0.89
	<b>Shanghai</b>	5.67	4.55	-19.82	2.30	0.89
	<b>Hangzhou</b>	5.25	4.48	-14.75	2.77	0.83
	<b>Nanjing</b>	3.51	2.45	-30.31	2.94	0.84
	<b>Hefei</b>	3.80	2.75	-27.68	3.03	0.82
<b>2019</b>	<b>Total</b>	4.44	4.28	-3.53	1.31	0.90
	<b>Shanghai</b>	6.77	6.70	-1.06	1.30	0.85
	<b>Hangzhou</b>	5.93	5.87	-1.12	1.59	0.82
	<b>Nanjing</b>	4.18	3.58	-14.42	1.86	0.84
	<b>Hefei</b>	3.07	3.16	2.74	2.05	0.82
<b>G20</b>	<b>Total</b>	25.84	24.91	-3.61	2.19	0.90
	<b>Shanghai</b>	27.10	25.32	-6.55	2.97	0.72
	<b>Hangzhou</b>	26.48	25.02	-5.51	3.32	0.73
	<b>Nanjing</b>	25.86	24.81	-4.07	2.57	0.76
	<b>Hefei</b>	25.87	25.44	-1.70	2.96	0.80

**Table S3. Comprehensive evaluation statistics of the constrained relative humidity over the whole domain as well as in four representative cities as follows: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei.**

<b>Episode</b>	<b>Area</b>	<b>Observations (%)</b>	<b>Constrained Rative humidity (%)</b>	<b>NMB (%)</b>	<b>RMSE (%)</b>	<b>R</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>Total</b>	75.41	63.95	-15.21	13.25	0.93
	<b>Shanghai</b>	67.89	64.13	-5.53	7.92	0.93
	<b>Hangzhou</b>	78.85	66.72	-15.39	14.44	0.92
	<b>Nanjing</b>	71.58	62.02	-13.31	13.33	0.87
	<b>Hefei</b>	73.47	61.74	-15.96	15.01	0.87
<b>2019</b>	<b>Total</b>	77.62	72.87	-6.12	10.41	0.89
	<b>Shanghai</b>	71.72	77.27	7.74	11.45	0.84
	<b>Hangzhou</b>	77.65	77.79	0.18	12.47	0.81
	<b>Nanjing</b>	74.75	73.54	-1.63	12.22	0.85
	<b>Hefei</b>	80.91	72.82	-10.00	15.15	0.83
<b>G20</b>	<b>Total</b>	65.34	63.97	-2.09	11.21	0.76
	<b>Shanghai</b>	60.67	66.07	8.91	9.83	0.88
	<b>Hangzhou</b>	62.27	62.63	0.57	8.83	0.88
	<b>Nanjing</b>	57.25	60.09	4.95	7.06	0.87
	<b>Hefei</b>	61.13	59.08	-3.36	8.01	0.89

**Table S4. Comprehensive evaluation statistics of the constrained wind speed over the whole domain as well as in four representative cities as follows: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei.**

<b>Episode</b>	<b>Area</b>	<b>Observations (m s<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	<b>Constrained Wind speed (m s<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	<b>NMB (%)</b>	<b>RMSE (m s<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	<b>R</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>Total</b>	2.22	2.62	17.91	0.47	0.98
	<b>Shanghai</b>	0.70	0.73	4.01	0.17	0.99
	<b>Hangzhou</b>	2.27	2.61	15.34	0.55	0.95
	<b>Nanjing</b>	2.40	2.70	12.72	0.46	0.97
	<b>Hefei</b>	1.99	2.33	17.42	0.49	0.96
<b>2019</b>	<b>Total</b>	1.86	2.16	15.85	0.33	0.98
	<b>Shanghai</b>	0.62	0.62	0.25	0.23	0.97
	<b>Hangzhou</b>	1.99	2.10	5.16	0.17	0.99
	<b>Nanjing</b>	2.05	2.30	12.08	0.35	0.97
	<b>Hefei</b>	2.13	2.31	8.35	0.32	0.98
<b>G20</b>	<b>Total</b>	1.96	2.32	18.33	0.41	0.99
	<b>Shanghai</b>	0.51	0.64	26.10	0.14	0.99
	<b>Hangzhou</b>	2.61	2.83	8.64	0.46	0.96
	<b>Nanjing</b>	2.25	2.36	4.73	91.12	0.99
	<b>Hefei</b>	1.82	1.93	6.12	0.23	0.99

**Table S5. Comprehensive evaluation statistics of the constrained air pressure over the whole domain as well as in four representative cities as follows: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei.**

<b>Episode</b>	<b>Area</b>	<b>Observations (hPa)</b>	<b>Constrained air pressure (hPa)</b>	<b>NMB (%)</b>	<b>RMSE (hPa)</b>	<b>R</b>
<b>2016</b>	<b>Total</b>	1019.08	1023.50	0.43	4.48	0.99
	<b>Shanghai</b>	1026.53	1029.26	0.27	2.84	0.99
	<b>Hangzhou</b>	1021.98	1024.79	0.28	2.90	0.99
	<b>Nanjing</b>	1023.71	1027.61	0.38	3.94	0.99
	<b>Hefei</b>	1024.72	1028.18	0.34	3.50	0.99
<b>2019</b>	<b>Total</b>	1024.25	1023.27	-0.10	1.17	0.99
	<b>Shanghai</b>	1027.72	1027.73	0.00	0.81	0.98
	<b>Hangzhou</b>	1023.15	1023.21	0.01	0.84	0.99
	<b>Nanjing</b>	1024.63	1026.72	0.20	2.20	0.99
	<b>Hefei</b>	1022.00	1024.36	0.23	2.39	0.99
<b>G20</b>	<b>Total</b>	1003.93	1005.01	0.11	1.09	0.99
	<b>Shanghai</b>	1006.99	1007.54	0.06	0.64	0.99
	<b>Hangzhou</b>	1002.85	1003.52	0.07	0.68	0.99
	<b>Nanjing</b>	1004.13	1005.05	0.09	6.70	0.99
	<b>Hefei</b>	1005.18	1006.84	0.16	1.67	0.99

**Table S6. Different effects between the long-term emission controls from 2016 to 2019 and the emergency emission controls during the G20 summit on PM<sub>2.5</sub>.**

Effect	Region	Net impacts	Meteorological impacts	Anthropogenic impacts
		( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ / %)	( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ / %)	( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ / %)
Long-term emission controls	YRD	-2.13/-3.15	11.51/16.21	-13.64/-19.36
	Shanghai	-13.26/-21.22	5.41/17.55	-18.67/-38.77
	Hangzhou	-12.51/-17.18	5.88/19.57	-18.39/-36.75
	Nanjing	-6.32/-8.41	16.51/24.52	-22.83/-32.93
	Hefei	-2.31/-2.71	18.31/20.55	-20.62/-23.26
Emergency emission controls	YRD	-17.23/-41.45	4.83/2.57	-22.06/-44.02
	Shanghai	-11.33/-24.20	9.72/20.95	-20.95/-45.16
	Hangzhou	-21.31/-42.40	2.88/5.76	-24.21/-48.17
	Nanjing	-15.54/-31.51	5.27/10.70	-20.82/-42.21
	Hefei	-12.53/-27.05	5.22/11.27	-17.75/-38.33