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COASTAL MARINE MAMMAL STUDY,
ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE PERIOD OF JULY 1, 1981-JUNE 30, 1982

Daniel J. Miller<br>California Department of Fish and Game Marine Resources Branch Marine Resources Laboratory Monterey, California 93940

INTRODUCTION

The results of the Marine Mammal Fisheries Interaction Study (Miller 1981; Miller et al. in press) revealed the areas of most intense interaction with marine mammals in California. Research activities of the California Department of Fish and Game in FY 1981-82 centered around assessment of the harbor seal population, determining the feasibility of the underwater acoustic harassment device developed at Oregan State University as a management tool, and determining the aesthetic value of marine mammals in the recreational boat fishery, particularly off southern California. Behavioral studies of the harbor seal were also designed, but difficulty in marking the animals precluded completion of this objective. Several of the studies were delayed in the first part of the FY, and only the basic development studies were completed for this annual report. The annual report for 1982-83 will present the final results of the acoustic harassment study and radio tagging of harbor seals at the Klamath River.
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## ABSTRACT

Three harbor seal censuses using vertical photography and ground truth observations were conducted in June 1981, April 1982 and May-June 1982. These censuses included all the mainland area between La Jolla to the Smith River, near the Oregon border. Hauled-out harbor seals were photographed through a port in a 185 Cessna using a Hasselblad camera with 100 mm lens and either 64 or 200 ASA Ektachrome film at $600 \mathrm{ft}(184 \mathrm{~m})$. A total of 183 ground truth stations was recorded by 96 observers in the three flights. The chi-square test of independence of sites and days were insignificant for each flight demonstrating that only one flight day per section of coastline is required. However, ground observations over at least 3 days in sequence at key sites are necessary to evaluate weather and human disturbance during the flight period. Optimum counts are made at tide heights ranging from 0.00 to +0.50 m during the midday to late afternoon period.

Counts of harbor seals were 10,717 and 13,066 in April and May-June 1982, respectively. The estimates for the April and May-June 1982 censuses were 12,216 and 14,700 , respectively. The counts were adjusted for the maximum daily peak numbers hauling out as determined from ground observations to arrive at the estimates. The June 1981 flight data were not complete with reliable data collected from only 96 of the 426 known mainland hauling sites. About 11 percent of the total count in April 1982 were newborn pups. These pup data do not represent annual production because pupping extends for a prolonged period over the coastline. About a third of all hauling sites were not occupied during each flight.

## INTRODUCTION

Harbor seal censuses in California have been conducted intermittently (Bonnot 1928; Carlisle and Aplin 1966; Frey and Aplin 1970; Carlisle and Aplin 1971; Mate 1977; Bonnell et al. 1978, 1981; Pierson et al. 1982; Stewart 1981, 1982). The California Department of Fish and Game censuses from 1965 through 1970 were designed to census California sea lions, Zalophus californianus, and Steller sea lions, Eumetopias jubatus. Harbor seals were tallied incidentally during the coastal and island coverage. These flights were conducted more during high tide levels, resulting in counts that did not accurately represent harbor seal abundance. None of the counts approached the numbers of harbor seals now present even when counts were made during lower tides substantiating the fact that harbor seals, as well as all the other pinnipeds except the Guadalupe fur seal, Arctocephalus townsendi, have been increasing in California waters.

The maximum counts of harbor seals for California in 1965, 1969, and 1970 were $1,062,2,139$ and 1,675 , respectively. Mate (Mate 1977) tallied about 2,500 harbor seals in California in 1975, with 1,949 of these along the mainland from Pismo Beach to Oregon. Mate's census was not designed specifically for harbor seal censusing, and much of the area was at high tide
when covered.

The censuses under contract from the Bureau of Land Management in the southern California bight were more designed to cover each mammal species and a more accurate count of harbor seals was achieved (Bonnell et al. 1978). There is little distrubance at most of the hauling sites at the offshore islands, and there are sandy beaches on which harbor seals can haulout at all tide levels. Consequently, insular counts are more reliable than if the same coverage was made along the mainland coast where there is considerable human distrubance, especially during minus tides. The 1975-76, 1976-77, and 1977-78 harbor seal counts at the offshore islands of southern California were 1,192, 1,714 , and 1,822 , respectively.

Stewart (1981) emphasized harbor seals in his censuses and tallied 2,491 harbor seals hauled out on Santa Rosa, Santa Cruz, Anacapa, San Miguel and San Nicolas islands. The maximum count by Bonnell et al. for these five islands was 1,822 harbor seals. Stewart (1982) tallied 3,707 harbor seals for all the offshore islands of southern California in 1982.

The ongoing censuses by the BLM-OCS Surveys Project in the central and northern California area yielded a maximum count of harbor seals in 1980 of 6,778 animals. The 1982 count (M. L. Bonnell, University of California, Santa Cruz, BLM-OCS Surveys Project pers. comm.) was 10,754 harbor seals for this same area. Our maximum count in 1982 was 13,066 harbor seals for the central and northern California area.

The large increases presented by Stewart at the offshore islands and for the mainland in this paper are the result of improved censusing techniques as well as an acutual increase in harbor seals. This paper presents the results of the Department of Fish and Game June 1981 and April and May-June 1982 harbor seal censuses alona the mainland coast of California from Oregon to Baja California.

METHODS
Optimum Censusing Conditions
Time of Year
Peak numbers of hauled-out adults and subadults occur during June and July in California (Loughlin 1979; Sullivan 1979; Bonnell et al. 1978, 1981; Stewart 1981). This time of the year is at the end of the pupping season, the beginning of breeding activity, and at the onset of the annual molt. The molting period may extend over two months before all animals have completed the molt. It is not known which of the above or possibly other behavioral or physiological parameters cause the animals to haulout in peak numbers each year in June and July. Studies in Oregon (Brown 1981; Beach et al. 1981) indicate that the peaks may vary between different estuaries and river systems and that the peak may also occur in August.

Pupping occurs from early spring, March through May, in the southern latitudes of California and from late April into late June in northern California. This confirms the results of the study by Bigg (1969) documenting earlier pupping in lower latitudes along the eastern Pacific coastline.

A total count of pups to achieve an estimate of the annual reproduction is not practical. There may be a prolonged pupping season of about 2 months (Bigg 1969; Bonnell et al. 1978), and the annual reproduction cannot be censused within a short period as with the other pinnipeds in California. The fastest growing first born pups of the year may approach the size of the slower growing yearlings from the previous year at the end of the pupping season, and pups may be considered yearlings, especially on aerial photographs. This was dramatically demonstrated in our May-June census (see below). New born pups are readily distinguishable from all others by size, but they may be difficult to locate on the film when they are wet and dark on dark rocky and algal substrates.

Our censuses are thus conducted in two aerial coverages of the coastline. The April census is designed to delineate the rookery areas and record a minimal count of pups at the major rookery areas. The June flight is made to record the peak population of hauled-out harbor seals to determine population trends in numbers and distribution. Two censuses near the annual peak haulout time also yeilds a more sound statistical evaluation of population trends. Two censuses are also important if adverse weather conditions should disrupt one of them.

Time of Day

Harbor seals on the southern California Channel Islands tend to haulout in increasing numbers as the day progresses (Stewart 1981). Our ground truth counts documented this behavior with harbor seals hauling-out in greater numbers after low tide than before on the average.

Early morning hours are not good for photography due to the deep shadows falling on beach hauling sites. Midday to late afternoon periods are optimum for both photographic quality (see below) and animal behavior.

## Tidal Height

Low tide periods are necessary for hauling-out at nearly all the waveswept rocky and beach hauling sites along the mainland coastline. There are a few still-water rocky areas where animals can haul out at moderate high tides such as in Yankee Cove, Whaler's Cove in Pt. Lobos State Reserve, and at Hopkins Marine Station, Pacific Grove. Low tide conditions are necessary for 420 of the 426 known hauling sites along the mainland.

In estuaries, bays, and some river mouth spits where the substrate is firm sand or mud and the total area is not covered by water at high tide, hauling-out can occur at high tides as well as low. In deep mud areas such as
in San Francisco and San Pablo Bays, hauling-out can occur only during high tides to enable the animals to reach the firmer substrate adjacent to the salt marshes.

A major concern of scheduling flights in relation to tidal height is that of human disturbance of hauling sites. Abalone pickers, poke-pole fishermen working tidepools, tidepool class studies, research studies, and tidepool pickers for small invertebrates and algae are present over most of the rocky coastline where there is public access on low minus tides. The flight made in June 1981 was conducted during an early morning minus tide, and many hauling sites were disturbed, especially in central California. The adverse effects of human disturbance can invalidate a harbor seal census.

The two 1982 censuses were scheduled during low tide periods in which the lowest tide was at 0.00 m . This tide height is not considered productive to tide-poolers and abalone pickers. There may be a few hauling sites that can be used only during minus tides, but evidence in our studies and by Hazard (1977) indicates these animals will most likely choose an alternative site and not be lost to the census as would animals frightened off a site immediately before the arrival of the census aircraft.

There are two low tide "windows" to be considered. It is important to keep the census period within the 0.0 to +0.50 m tide level. There is a minimum of human disturbance, and most all hauling sites are occupied at +0.50 m . Flight times extend from about 2.0 to 1.5 hours before low tide to about the same period after low tide to remain within this tide level at a base low tide of 0.00 m . The second "window" is the number of consecutive days in which the tide does not exceed 0.50 m during a 4 -hour flight. This "window" can extend over a 9-day period if early morning low tides are utilized, but if midday and afternoon flights are adhered to, the flight "window" is limited to 4 or 5 days (Table 1).

## Weather Conditions

Weather can be a factor in the airplane operation in that extremely turbulent air can be dangerous to the photographer who is lying on the floor with the camera equipment. The spring months from April through June are usually not periods of heavy storms with high swells, but strong, turbulent onshore winds can sometimes occur. These factors can also reduce hauling site substrate.

## Census Procedures

## Aerial Coverage

The aircraft used is a Cessna 185 with the back seat removed for installation of a photography port during the flight. The port is 8 in. in diameter and fitted with a piece of optical glass. There is one photographer, a recorder, and the pilot. The photographer lies on the floor and looks
through the port ahead of the plane searching for hauled out animals. The pilot flies the aircraft directly over the shoreline in the areas where animals can be expected to haulout and the recorder looks ahead of the plane for animals and keeps constant knowledge of the position of the airplane with landmarks. The recorder is equipped with maps upon which known hauling sites are entered and warns the pilot and photographer when approaching a known site. The time of coverage and number of exposed frames by roll number are entered on the map.

Flight patterns are flown from south to north to take advantage of the seasonal headwinds to reduce ground speed. The stalling speed of the aircraft is 55 mph , and ground speeds can vary from 70 to 100 mph depending upon the force and direction of the wind. The south to north direction also takes advantage of the low tide time differential along the coast which increases in time to the north (Table 1).

The flight height is at $600 \mathrm{ft}(184 \mathrm{~m})$. At this altitude, the width of the area taken on the film with the 100 mm lens is about 100 m . Nearly all hauling sites can be photographed on one overpass at this altitude. Higher altitudes may be more convenient when maneuvering the plane over the animals, but at higher altitudes the animals are harder to locate, and the images on the film are more "grainy."

## Camera and Film

The camera ia a Hasselblad model 500-ELM with 100 mm f3.5 lens. A motor drive is used, with frames taken at about 1 sec intervals. A sighting frame attached to the side of the camera is used rather than the ground glass through-lens viewfinder. The shutter is closed as the film is advanced, eliminating the view of the hauling site, resulting in poor visual sighting of the animals when using the through-lens viewer.

Film used is perforated 70 mm 64 and 200 ASA Ektachrome. A Spot SensorII Soligor spotmeter is used to take light value readings of the substrate upon which the animals are hauled out. The light value readings are made vertically through the photography port because oblique readings may result in high values due to atmospheric reflection. When making a choice of f-stop settings at a borderline reading, the higher setting (less light) is chosen. Overexposed film cannot be corrected whereas underexposed film can be used.

The shutter speed is set at its fastest $(1 / 500 \mathrm{sec})$, and the infinity setting is taped so it cannot inadvertently be moved during the flight. A shutter speed of $1 / 250 \mathrm{sec}$ at 600 ft may result in blurring of the images, especially if the air is turbulent. When light values fall below 13, the 64 ASA film magazine is removed and 200 ASA film is used.

The sharpest images are made when flying at 600 ft using the 100 mm lens rather than at 750 ft using the 100 mm lens with a doubler.

Several problems occurred with the Hasselblad format. One of these is that the camera may keep making exposures after the last frame on the roll has been exposed. Normally, this does not happen, but on the June 1982 flight this occurred. Constant checking of the exposure counter when the last frames are expected will note if the number remains the same as exposures are taken indicating to the photographer that the camera is malfunctioning. In about a third of the canisters, the last two to four exposures are sometimes lightstreaked or over-exposed. This may be due to used canister leakage or possibly to exposure made when loading or unloading the film. To correct for this, the last four exposures are retaken on the next roll of film.

Counting Harbor Seals on the Film

There are three methods of viewing the 70 mm film. One is to mount each frame and project the images on a smooth white or gray surface as described by Mate (1977) and Bonnell et al. (1978). The Southwest Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, has a Vanguard Motion Analyser No. P-N which projects 35 mm and 70 mm film on a ground glass screen. The third way is to count the animals on the film under a dissecting microscope.

All three methods were tried, and the best results were with the dissecting scope. All the hauling sites in the April 1982 census were read using the motion analyzer and under the microscope, and 13.4 percent more animals were counted using the scope. Projecting mounted slides on a large screen was not as thoroughly tested, but the results were similar to the projection on the motion analyzer screen. Also, much time and expense is involved in mounting the 70 mm frames.

The microscope used is a Wild Model M5D using 6 or 12 magnifications. The light is sent up through the film using a ground glass diffuser. The film is elevated about 20 mm above the ground glass to avoid focusing the film images in the same plane as the ground glass. If this is not done, the resolution of the images is lessened. The edges of the animals become "grainy" as when using 400 ASA Ektachrome.

The first procedure is to tape the film rolls in sequence from south to north and place a small white label with the haulout number entered on each exposure. This is done on a light table with the rolls of film from previous flights to determine the exact hauling sites photographed and to detect new hauling sites. Errors can be made when entering the census data on the maps and checking with previous photographs of the hauling sites can avoid mistakes.

Counting is done by placing a thin clear plastic strip on the film and marking each animal with a dissecting needle or a Rapidograph $5 \times 0$ pen without ink. The mark makes a bright silver dot which is readily visible. The counting strips can be labeled and preserved for future reference.

Photo interpretation to separate species of pinnipeds is not difficult when harbor seals are the target animals. The problem with harbor seals is
that the April to June period is immediately before the annual molt, and the white portions of the pelage are usually a dull brown. The brown and black mottled pelage becomes difficult to distinguish from the substrate when the animals are hauled out on rocky algal covered areas. When harbor seals are on even textured sandy or mud substrate, there is no problem in locating the animals. Some harbor seals acquire a bright red or green pelage due to growths in the fur in certain estuary habitats. These animals are readily discernible on any substrate. One animal in Tomales Bay possessed a bright green body with a bright red head.

Pups are readily visible on any substrate when they are dry and the pelage is silver. The pelage is black and shiny when they are wet, and these animals are difficult to note on rocky substrates but are readily visible on sand and mud haulouts.

Harbor seals will not tolerate each other closer than about 0.5 m when hauled out and do not present a crowded mass as do elephant seals, Mirounga angustirostris, and California sea lions. Harbor seals in the water can be seen when the water is clear and if they are near the surface. During the three censuses, no more than about 10 were seen in the water on the census film, mainly because our attention is only toward hauled-out animals. Ground truth observers record the number of animals in the water, and these data are utilized in estimates.

Ground Truth Information

Ground truth data are utilized for several corrections and evaluations. These are: (i) to determine the accuracy of the aerial photographs; (ii) to determine haulout patterns during the census period; (iii) to determine the maximum count at each station to establish factors for adjusting the aerial counts to an estimate of total animals hauling out for the flight period; (iv) to establish adult-pup ratios; (v) count the number of animals in the water adjacent to the hauling site; and (vi) to ensure that certain major hauling sites which are likely to be disturbed or fogged-in are covered.

The number of persons available are assigned to certain hauling sites. The coverage at each site is for 3 or 4 consecutive days and between 1.5-2.5 hours before and after low tide each day. Counts are made every 0.25 hour except when one ground observer covers two nearby hauling sites, counting at 0.50 hour interals at each. Other exceptions are when there are too many animals to count within 0.25 hour, such as when there may be over 500 animals in which case hourly counts are made. Ground truth stations are chosen at hauling sites where the animals are readily observable from the shore and are thus nonrandom.

Harbor Seals Not Included in the Census
Seals can sleep under the water, a behavior called "bottling" (Hewer and Blackhouse 1959; Ridgway et al. 1975; Sullivan 1979). Periods of underwater
sleep are up to 8 min . These seals, unless they are bottling near ground truth stations, are not available to the census.

Pitcher and McAllister (1981) reported that only about 35 to 60 percent of the radio tagged harbor seals in their Alaska experiment hauled out during the day. These values are minimal in that there was prolonged disturbance in their study area due to capturing, and not all days were covered continuously, including a prolonged period of about a month in which the animals were not tracked. Other studies indicate that the degree of hauling out may be higher. Boulva and McLaren (1979) mention that "some individuals could be recognized hauled out in the same area day after day" ... Finley (1979) indicated that midday counts may reveal 70 percent of ringed seals based on the occurrence of a recognizable animal. Other animals in the water that may be missed would be those feeding and not hauling out.

Hazard (1977) noted that there was movement between hauling sites in the Chicagof Island area of Alaska. During our experimental flights in April 1981, harbor seals were noted moving from a rocky hauling site to a nearby protected sandy beach as the incoming tide made their off-shore site unusable. The "turnover" of different animals entering and leaving a site was noted by several of the ground truth observers. Insufficient data are available to estimate the number of animals missed due to the turnover, but the degree of error is probably small.

Even though Pitcher and McAllister (1981) indicated a high degree of fidelity to certain hauling sites, they al so noted that there was considerable wandering of some individuals. Initial results of our radio tagging studies at the Klamath River indicate usage of four alternate sites within a 6 km range of the tagging area by the same animals. As mentioned above, Hazard (1977) noted there was movement between hauling sites and that seals sometimes used several hauling sites within a 24 -hour period. She also noted that only one of the 16 hauling sites in the study was occupied during all the censuses. The accumulative number of days censused for the 16 sites was 195, but only 101 sites were occupied by seals. Thus, there were 49 percent of the known hauling sites not occupied during Hazard's censuses. This compares with 33 percent of the hauling sites not occupied in both the April and May-June 1982 censuses in our study. Thus, the behavioral significance of utilizing alternative sites tends possibly to reduce the expected number of animals hauling out at a certain site.

Other groups of animals that may be missed on a census are those hauling out at high tide and those hauling out at night. Again, without an intensive radio tagging study in an area where there are nighttime hauling sites, it would not be known if indeed some of these animals were also hauling out during the day at an alternative site. Likewise, animals hauling out at high tide sites may also be hauling out during the day at an alternative site. The known sites which are predominately used at night are in areas where there is common human disturbance during the daytime. These are east of the Standard Oif Pier at Carpinteria (site \#5), at Ellwood, north of Santa Barbara (site \#10), at Strawberry Spit in Richardson's Bay (site \#212), and at the Klamath River spits (site \#409) during the salmon fishing season from June through September.

## RESULTS

## Hauling Sites

A hauling site is defined as substrate used for hauling out that in some way is separated from another site by a point of land, across an area of deeper water such as between the shore and offshore rocks, or merely shoreline substrates separated from each other by water by around 150 to 200 m . There are a few arbitrary decisions separating two nearby sites that others may consider the same site, but these are rare, and the hauling sites listed are easily distinguished from each other. The sites have been entered on 7.5 min Geological Survey topographic maps and are listed (Appendix II) to seconds of degrees if the exact location is known. A total of 348 of the 426 known mainland California hauling sites (including the Farallon Islands) are known to exact location. The remainder will be located in more detail during the next census. An exception to the listing of specific location of sites occurs in Drakes Estero, Tomales Bay, and Humboldt Bay where there are extensive muddy and sandy areas on which harbor seals can haul out in different areas from day to day. These bays are considered as single hauling sites.

Hauling sites were determined by recording the site on maps during flights, by noting sites referred to in the literature, and by contacting researchers and naturalists who are familiar with known hauling sites. In most cases it was not possible to determine the exact location of a site on the 70 mm census film because not enough land area appeared in the frame. A series of vertical 35 mm slides was taken at 200 ft of most areas where sites could not be located from shore. With this series of slides, hauling sites in these areas could be delineated to exact location. Subsequent to the 1982 censuses, a day was spent with M. Bonnell and M. Pierson (BLM-OCS Studies, UCSC) comparing their harbor seal census slides with our 70 mm census film, and 22 additional hauling sites were determined from their slides that were not recorded during our censuses. These sites have been entered into our hauling site listing.

Except for 15 of the new sites made aware to us after the 1982 flights, each site has been classified by habitat type (Appendix II). These types are: extended reef, offshore rock, onshore rock, ocean beach, harbor and estuary, and miscellaneous. An extended reef is a broken rocky area often interspersed with sandy patches that extends from shore. The area is exposed during low tides to the extent that humans can wade close to the outermost part. An offshore rock is a solid rock or series of rocks that cannot be reached by waders during low tides. An onshore rock is a solid rocky shelf that extends out into the ocean directly from a cliff. An ocean beach is exposed to swells, is usually inundated at high tide, and consists of sand or fine gravel often intermixed with boulders. Harbors and estuaries include the inside of major rivers and are always in calm water with sand or mud substrate.

More than half (53.1) of the mainland sites are offshore rocks where human distrubance is minimal or not possible (Table 2). About $26 \%$ are reefs
extended from shore where human disturbance is possible during minus tides. The onshore rock ( $4.7 \%$ ) and ocean beach areas ( $4.0 \%$ ) are in protected areas where access at this time is not possible or difficult. Even though only 5.4\% of the sites are in harbors and estuaries, $19 \%$ of the harbor seals along the mainland are in these sites. Maximum known counts for each site are also given (Appendix II). A summation of these counts for all hauling sites totals 19,031 harbor seals (Table 2).

Harbor seals are becoming accustomed to human presence at several sites where they are not harassed. On April 17, 1982, the senior author observed the harbor seals hauled out at Carpinteria State Beach (site \#7). As the tide receded, the rocky shelf where the seals were hauled out could be reached by the public. When the first seal watchers approached to within about 50 m , the 12 subadults present went into the water and swam to a reef about 50 m farther offshore that humans could not reach. Six adult harbor seals remained at the first site and allowed eight people to surround them to within about 3 m . After about 20 min . a child close to a seal reached out and touched the hind flippers. The reaction was of mild alarm by the animal, but it did not move. Throughout the remainder of the low tide for about 1.5 hours, there was steady flow of up to 9 humans at a time climbing onto the hauling site to closely observe the seals.

Other sites at which the seals allow close approach by humans are at La Jolla Cove (site \#3), Cypress Point parking lot (site \#152), and the Russian River spit (site \#262).

## Haulout Variation Between Consecutive Days

A total of 195 ground observations from 62 different hauling sites are available for replicate count analysis (Table 3). There was a 0.5 percent difference between minimum and maximum counts at sites where a 2-day replicate series was available. Comparable percentage differences between the minimum and maximum tallies for the 3-day and 4-day replicates were 4.5 and 6.1 percent, respectively.

Chi-square tests indicate independence between hauling sites and consecutive day counts for each flight except for three counts at site \#165 (Soquel Point) which was the only ground truth site seriously affected by tidal height, and one count at site \#225 which may have been influenced by human disturbance. The analysis for each flight follows.

June 1981. The chi-square test of independence between the hauling sites and days of census based on counts is not significant ( $x^{2}=88.04, \mathrm{df}=19$, $p=0.26)$. Levene's test for equality of variances of counts among days is not significant $(p=0.24)$. Al so, oneway ANOVA of differences of mean counts among days is not significant ( $p=0.65$ ) indicating that there is no difference in site counts among the days.
is April 1982. The chi-square test of independence between sites and days is highly significant $\quad\left(x^{2}=138.25, d f=68, \mathrm{p}=<0.001\right)$. It is rendered
insignificant when the two low counts on days 1 and 4 at site \#165 are excluded from this analysis ( $x^{2}=84.9$, $d f=66, p=0.059$ ).

May-June 1982. The chi-square test of independence between hauling sites and days is highly significant $\left(x^{2}=88.04, d f=38, p=<0.001\right)$. However, this lack of independence is heavily contributed by two particular counts, day 1 for site \#165 and day 3 for site \#225. When both were excluded from the analysis, the chi-square test is not significant ( $x^{2}=41.70, d f=36, p=0.94$ ). Variances and mean counts are not significantly different based on Levene's test ( $p=0.92$ ) and oneway ANOVA test ( $p=0.94$ ).

## Computation of Correction Factors for Maximum Daily Haulout Estimates

Instantaneous counts at each hauling site where there was no ground count were adjusted to an estimate derived from maximum counts recorded in the ground truth series (Appendices III and IV). Factors (Table 4) were computed by recording the percentage of the maximum count of each day at 0.25 hour intervals for each station. These percentages of maximum counts for all flights were then summed for each 0.25 hour interval and averaged to yield a factor to project instantaneous counts to an estimated maximum number of harbor seals hauling out at each site throughout the census.

The maximum peak values on the average fall between low tide and 1.5 hours after low tide for all size groups (Figures 1 and 2). Harbor seals hauled out in estuaries are less variable in numbers over a wide period of the tide with instantaneous counts ranging nearly 95 percent of the maximum over most of the period from 1.5 hours before to 1.5 hours after low tide. By size groupings, a higher percentage of the maximum peak is recorded by instantaneous counts as the size of the cluster increases (Table 4; Figure 1), demonstrating increased stability of hauling behavior in larger groups. This may result from the fact that the smaller clusters of animals are utilizing sub-optimum hauling substrate and tend to have a greater turnover of animals hauling out during each low tide period.

On the average, aerial counts recorded around 90 percent of the maximum number of harbor seals hauled out each day (see below).

Accuracy of Aerial and Ground Truth Counts
There were 29 rocky substrate and 4 estuary hauling sites at which aerial counts were directly compared with ground counts (Tables 5 and 6). In April, the aerial counts on rocky substrate recorded 98.3 percent of the animals tallied by the ground observers. However, the aerial counts were 106.2 percent of the ground counts in estuaries where the observers were at a low profile counting large numbers of animals. In the May-June 1982 flight, aerial counts were 93.1 percent of the ground observer counts at rocky hauling sites and 106.9 percent of the ground estuary counts. When both rocky and estuary substrates are combined, the aerial counts exceeded the ground counts by 1.6 percent.

For the April 1982 series, the chi-square test of independence between hauling sites and survey method (aerial and ground) based on total counts is not significant ( $x^{2}=4.0, d f=17, p=0.99$ ). Twoway ANOVA for differences in mean counts among surveys (ground vs aerial) and group (adult vs pup) show the mean count between ground and aerial surveys are not significantly different ( $\mathrm{p}=0.90$ ) .

The principal animals not recorded on the film were pups. Some pups were apparently considered as subadults in the photographic analysis, especially in the May-June census. Identification of pups is also a problem for ground observers. Pups of the year are difficult to distinguish from slow growing yearlings during the June period if both are wet.

June 17-22, 1981 Census
This flight was designed to develop flight coverage patterns and photographic techniques, to delineate hauling sites, and to census all hauled out harbor seals. The flight was conducted during an early morning minus low tide, and several photographs were underexposed due to early morning shadows falling across the hauled out animals. There was considerable human disturbance by tide pool users along the central and northern California coastline where the public had access. Several hauling sites were lost to fog in southern and central California, and extremely turbulent winds precluded coverage of about 30 km of coastline north of Fort Bragg. Turbulent air at other locations resulted in blurring of some exposures, and one roll of overexposed film resulted in the loss of 30 additional sites.

The results were that much was learned to develop a routine census, but a total count for statewide annual comparisons was not achieved. There were 96 hauling sites in 72 areas in this census for which usable aerial counts are available. Haulout "areas" consist of more than one hauling site in which the sites are nearly contiguous, yet spaced so that distinct substrates can be recognized and recorded each census. Major shoreline hauling site areas are: from Pt. San Luis to Diablo Cove (sites 31-39); Cayucos Point (sites 49-62); between Adobe Creek and Pt. Piedras Blancas (sites 76-80); Pt. Reyes Headlands (sites 226-235); Tomales Point (sites 239-246); Sea Ranch (sites 300-311); and Patricks Point (sites 358-405).

There was a high degree of difference between counts at most sites between censuses, indicating that it is not statistically correct to use a site count made during one census to represent a count missed at that site on another census. The chi-square test of independence between the 72 areas and the three censuses based on counts is highly significant ( $x^{2}=2614$, $\mathrm{p}=<0.0001$ ). Counts for each hauling site varies from census to census. However, oneway ANOVA of differences of mean counts among the three censuses is not significantly different $(p=0.34)$. Levene's test for equal variances is al so not significant $(p=0.075)$.

The apparent increase from 4,368 harbor seals in 1981 to 6,256 in June 1982 is partly due to large variances within each census. In addition, the 96
hauling sites in both series were not contiguous and possible movement of animals between these sites and sites not included in this analysis precludes use of these data for population trends. Also, the increase of 1,888 harbor seals for these 96 sites in one year far exceeds the possible maximum increase in recruitment.

## April 18-22, 1982 Census

The flight was from south to north flying at 184 m , using the Hasselblad with 100 mm lens. A 0.00 low tide period was chosen falling during midday and afternoon. Weather was excellent with mild winds and no fog except for the hauling sites at Point Conception (site \#11) and St. George Reef (site \#421). Point Conception (site \#11), La Jolla Cove (sites \#2 and 3), and the Farallon Islands (site \#422) were censused by ground observers only. The southern California offshore islands were censused by Stewart (1982).

Human disturbance occurred at six of the 32 ground truth stations (Tables 7 and 8) for which there are both instantaneous aerial and ground counts. The degree of disturbance is biased in that several ground truth sites were chosen because disturbance was most likely to happen at these sites, and a ground count was desired in case the distrubance occurred before the arrival of the plane. There were 27 disturbances occurring out of the total 149 stations. These 27 disturbances took place at 18 different sites (Table 7). The number of harbor seals lost to the census due to human disturbance was probably not more than about 300 animals or 2.8 percent of the total mainland count (Table 9). Over 200 animals of this possible loss occurred at Double Point (site \#221) where disturbance was caused due to a boat, which had been lost at sea, drifting onto the center of the beach. Law enforcement officials, press personnel, and interested public disturbed the area for 4 days. Sarah Allen, Point Reyes Bird Observatory, was present and recorded the animals' behavior during this period. It is possible that some of the animals that apparently left the site may have moved to nearby sites such as at Duxbury Reef or Drakes Estero. The data for the 15 other sites at which disturbance took place indicate that human disturbance during a 0.00 low tide does not preclude a reliable census as could happen during low minus tides in the morning. Ground truth observations are essential during each flight to evaluate disturbance.

## Total Counts and Estimates

A total of 10,669 harbor seals was counted for an estimate of 11,675 when adjusted for maximum hauling peaks (Table 9). There were 48 additional animals observed in the water by ground observers on flight days resulting in a total count of 10,717 harbor seals.

From a total 113 ground truth stations for which there were maximum counts, 1.58 percent of the total animals tallied were in the water. Projecting this ratio (minus the 48 tallied in the water, the total estimate for the flight was 11,815 harbor seals, not including an additional estimate for pups (see below).

A total of 2,770 harbor seals was tallied at the ground-truth-aerial direct comparison stations for a sample of 25.8 percent of the total count.

## Census by Counties and Dynamics of Hauling Patterns

The greatest concentrations of harbor seals were in Marin County followed by San Luis Obispo, Mendocino, and Humboldt counties (Tables 9 and 10). About 60 percent of the total was recorded north of San Francisco, and only about 5 percent of the total was south of Pt. Conception along the mainland.

There were ten estuary and river mouth spit hauling sites totaling 2,581 estimated animals ( 22 percent of the total estimate). A total of 1,559 harbor seals (13 percent of the total estimate) was hauled out on ocean sandy beaches.

The largest clusters were in Drakes Bay, south Humboldt Bay, and Double Point with 543, 518, and 465 harbor seals counted respectively (Appendix IV).

Fifty-five percent of the animals counted were in clusters greater than 100 animals (Table 10). There was a peak concentration in the 21-30 group size (10 percent of the total count), but only 340 ( 3 percent of the count) were recorded in the 1-10 size group. Only eight single harbor seals were sighted hauled out, demonstrating the strong social clustering behavior of this species.

Rookery Areas and Adult-Pup Ratios

There were 12 ground truth stations for which adult-pup ratios could be compared to aerial counts (Table 11). Pups represented 15.4 percent of the animals recorded in the ground sample and 11.6 percent of the aerial count. Some of the pups "missed" on the film may have been tallied as subadults and actually not lost to the census but resulted in a distorted adult-pup ratio. Al so, ground observers may err in determining whether an animal is a pup or a small yearling. This error would occur more in June than April for both ground and aerial observers.

The film count recorded about 89 percent of the maximum count of adult and subadult animals in this series, but only 64.3 percent of the pups were recorded. The total mainland pup count was 842 ( 7.9 percent of the total count). The estimate was 908 pups when correcting for peak hauling. However, when the estimate of 401 additional pups for those missed on the film are added, the total pup estimate was 1,309 (10.7 percent of the estimated 12,216 adults, subadults, and pups). Due to the prolonged pupping season and because some pups are missed by both aerial and ground observers, these estimates are minimal annual reproduction values and are not usable for total pup production. Future censuses will determine the value of these computations as indices of productivity.

There were 76 hauling sites ( 20 percent of the total) at which pups were observed (Appendix IV). Single pups (with mother) were observed at six sites, but it is not certain if these were rookery areas. A site was considered a rookery area when more than one newborn pup was present.

The principal rookery sites were, from south to north: Mugu Lagoon, Point Conception, the area between Point San Luis and Diablo Cove, the Cayucos Point area, between San Simeon and Pt. Piedras, a beach near Gamboa Point, Cypress Point and Seal Rocks in the Monterey Peninsula area, Waddell Creek and Año Nuevo Island, Mowry Slough in San Francisco Bay, Double Point, Drakes Bay, Tomales Bay, Bird Rock off Tomales Point, Sea Ranch properties in Sonoma County, Northport Cove, Hair Seal Rock, south Humboldt Bay, north Humboldt Bay, a beach area south of Endert's Beach, and Castle Rock. These 20 sites accounted for 86.5 percent of the pups observed.

May 31 -June 2, 1982 Census

Weather conditions were good with clear skies and light to moderate winds and turbulence. Fog was not a problem, but several exposures were slightly blurred due to air turbulence in the central portion of the state. Fewer ground truth stations were occupied due to the flight period falling on a major holiday and many students were finishing the academic term. A total of 84 ground truth observations was recorded at 32 different hauling sites (Appendix IV).

Human disturbance occurred at only one of the ground truth-aerial direct comparison sites. The animals returned to the site and became more numerous than before the disturbance by the time the aircraft arrived.

## Total Counts and Estimates

A total of 13,026 harbor seals was counted, and an estimate of 14,489 was derived from maximum daily hauling peak data. There were an additional 20 harbor seals tallied in the water by ground observers yielding a total 13,046 harbor seals counted (Table 12). There were 1,855 harbor seals tallied in the aerial-ground truth station, comparisons yielding a ground truth sample of 14.3 percent of the total count.

There were slightly fewer animals tallied in the water near the hauling sites than in April 1982 with 1.44 percent of the animals recorded by ground observers being in the water near the sites. Projecting this ratio to the total estimate yields, 211 animals were estimated in the water for an estimate of 14,700 harbor seals for the flight.

There were eight hauling sites not covered on the flight due to a mechanical failure of the camera. These sites were numbers 310 through 317 in the Sea Ranch area, Sonoma County. During April, 127 harbor seals were counted for these eight sites.

The greatest concentrations were essentially at the same areas as in April 1982 (Table 13) with the most animals recorded in Marin, Monterey, and Mendocino Counties.

Sixteen estuary and river mouth hauling sites were utilized totaling 3,830 animals (19.2 percent of the total estimate). Five ocean beach hauling sites were utilized totaling 1,329 animals for 9.0 percent of the estimate. The largest concentrations were at Drakes Estero, Northport Cove, and Double Point with 705, 612 and 566 harbor seals counted respectively.

There was an increase in clusters in the 100 to 200 group size (Table 13) compared to the April 1982 census. There were about the same numbers of animals in the 1-10 size group but fewer in clusters over 200 animals.

Rookery Areas and Adult-Pup Ratios
Ground truth tallies recorded 10.2 percent pups at 13 stations wereas only 4.1 percent of the animals tallied on the film were pups (Table 11). Some pups had grown to the extent that the first born of 1982 had approached the size of small yearlings, and these differences could not be accurately distinguished on the film, especially if the animals were wet. When the animals are dry at this time of the year, the pups of the year have bright coats whereas the yearlings possess dull brown "white" areas. Experienced observers can usually distinguish the age classes before the molt, even if individuals are the same approximate size.

Accuracy of Hauling Site Location From the Plane
A malfunction of the camera resulted in loss of eight sites, but due to this occurrence, there was a replicate of sightings of hauled out clusters from north of Haven's Neck (site \#318) to Laguna Point (site \#352), a distance of 90 km , encompassing 32 hauling sites.

On the first time through, it was not known that the camera was not functioning properly, and the recorder entered the number of frames taken at the sites on the maps. During the replicate flight one hour later, one of the clusters previously located was not relocated, and one additional cluster was located not previously seen. It was possible that over the hour time that lapsed between flights that the animals could have moved and that there were no site location errors, but even if one or both of the sites were in error, the clusters were small and the sighting error was negligible. There were four animals in the additional site out of the total 1,031 harbor seals tallied in these 32 sites.

## DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A reliable count and estimate of hauled out harbor seals can be obtained by conducting a vertical photography census during the spring months.

Possibly an index of productivity can al so be derived from these data. Ground truth information is essential in this type of census.

There was a high degree of constancy of number of animals hauling out over a 4-day period of a low tide sequence. Therefore, only one day's flight is required per section of coast as long as ground truth observations are conducted to record possible disruption in the normal hauling pattern due to weather changes. Human disturbance is minimal when conducting censuses during 0.00 to +0.50 m tidal heights.

Aerial censuses do not determine the number of harbor seals that are at sea during the flight period. This unknown parameter has been acknowledged by all pinniped researchers. Animals at sea may include those that are foraging offshore for a prolonged period, and those resting in the water near a hauling site.

The fact that about a third of the usable hauling sites during each flight were not occupied by harbor seals leads to several possibilities. One is that the large majority of animals of the population are in fact actually hauled out during the flight period. Another is that if hauling sites are a limiting factor to population increase, then the Calfiornia mainland population is probably not at maximum levels. However, if rookery hauling space is specifically limiting, then the fact that a third of all hauling sites are not used is not necessarily relevant. Our census data have not revealed whether pupping areas are presently saturated, and it is not certain if this kind of information can be derived solely from aerial censuses.

The number of harbor seals not hauling out on any given day during a low tide may be a function of the availability of optimum hauling substrate. This availability can change with the tidal height and swell strength. If censuses can be conducted in comparable conditions each year, then the results will be accurate values of population trends. If hauling substrate can be a limiting factor to population growth, then as the population nears maximum levels, a relatively larger portion of the population will not be hauled out during ideal conditions.

## Recommendations

A study to determine the relationship of hauling out with available hauling substrate is needed. This can be accomplished with extensive use of radio tagged animals in a zone in which all the possible hauling sites within at least 40 km of the release area can be monitored each day of a low tide sequence. Movemement between hauling sites during a low tide period has been observed by several researchers, and all sites must be covered to ensure that the animals are actually bottling and remaining in the water and not moving between sites from day to day. This type of study can be conducted at open ocean areas such as along the Monterey Peninsula.

The censuses should be continued each year to determine the trends of population growth throughout the mainland area. Vertical photography methods
are more accurate than oblique and should be continued for consistency of results. After 1983, only one "maximum count" census need be made during either June or July.

SUMMARY
Aerial Census Methods

A Cessna 185 aircraft is flown from south to north to take advantage of both the low tide period which advances up the coast and to face the usual headwinds blowing during the April-June period. The optimum altitude to fly is $600 \mathrm{ft}(184 \mathrm{~m})$. It is more difficult to locate the animals at higher altitudes, and at 600 ft , the swath of substrate recorded on the film is about 100 m wide which will encompass nearly all hauling clusters. ASA 64 Ektachrome is preferred, but when the light value (recorded on a spot meter) drops below 13, ASA 200 film is used. The camera is a Hasselblad with 100 mm lens and motor drive. All exposures are taken vertically through a 8 in. port fitted with optical glass.

Minus tides are avoided because human disturbance can bias the results along the northern coastline where abalones are sought in the intertidal zone. In central and southern California, tidepool pickers and fishermen also disturb hauling sites at minus tides. Optimum tide heights are from 0.00 to +0.50 m in the midday or afternoon. Flights are kept to about 6 days maximum to remain in the +0.50 m range, and the flight day is about 4 to 5 hours.

The film images are counted under a wild dissecting microscope at 6 or 12 magnifications. The film is kept about 20 mm above the ground glass light diffuser. The animals are counted by placing a thin clear velum strip on the film and making a bright dot over each animal with a needle or $5 \times 0$ Rapidograph pen when tallying.

Two flight periods are scheduled each year. There is a peak of hauling out from June to July, and for statistical reliability, it is desired to record two data points near the annual haulout peak. One flight is conducted in April to record the peak of pupping and near the seasonal peak of hauling out, and the other can be conducted in June or July to record the peak. It is possible that inclement weather or airplane operation problems could render one of the flights unusuable, and the alternate flight could be used in population trend analysis.

## Ground Truth Data

Ground truth information is essential to evaluate the accuracy of the flight and to ensure that certain key hauling sites are covered in case of human disturbance or fog. Ground counts yield hauling out patterns during the census period including the maximum number of animals hauling out on each day, the number in the water near the site, the degree of human disturbance, adultpup ratios, and the exact number hauled out as the census plane flies over.

Ground counts should extend over at least a 3-day period at each site and are scheduled between about 1.5 before to 2.0 hours after low tide each day.

During the three flights made in 1981 and 1982, 283 ground truth stations were occupied yielding 211 data points for computation of factors to yield an estimate based on daily maximum haulout numbers. There was about a 10 percent increase in the film counts when adjusted for maximum daily haulout peaks.

Accuracy of the ground counts and photographic record as revealed by ground observations indicates that there are conservative errors in both series. Aerial counts were about 95.4 percent of the harbor seals counted on rocky substrate by ground observers. Aerial counts of harbor seals hauled out in estuaries and sandy beaches were 106.2 percent of the ground counts. Aerial counts were 101.6 percent of the ground counts for all flights and substrates. A high percentage of the animals missed on the film were pups in rocky areas. The lower counts of ground observers in estuaries were due to the low oblique viewing of large concentrations of animals.

June 1981 Census

This census was exploratory, and a total haulout count was not achieved. Camera failure, dangerous turbulent winds, fog, and inexperience in the airplane and ground truth procedures all contributed to an incomplete census. Valuable information was gathered to develop a routine annual census. Delineation of hauling sites and rookery areas was made over most of the mainland coast, and valid aerial and ground counts were recorded for 96 of the total 426 known mainland hauling sites.

## April 1982 Census

The aerial count was 10,669 harbor seals. All hauling sites were covered except for the high tide sites in San Francisco Bay. The total estimate adjusting for daily maximum peak and animals in the water near the sites was 11,815 harbor seals. The ground truth sample was 25.5 percent of the total count.

About 60 percent of the mainland population was tallied north of San Francisco with only about 5 percent recorded below Pt. Conception. By county, the largest concentrations were in Marin, San Luis Obispo, Mendocino, and Humboldt Counties. Nearly half of the harbor seals were in clusters of greater than 100 animals. Only three percent of the animals were in groups of from 1 to 10 animals demonstrating the strong social clustering behavior of this species.

A total of 842 newborn pups was tallied for all hauling sites. Pups, when they are wet, are difficult to distinguish on dark rocky and algal substrate with the result that 36 percent of the pups observed by ground counters were missed on the film. The estimate of pups for the flight was 1,309 ( 10.8 percent of the total estimated 12,076 adults, subadults, and
pups). These are minimal estimates in that both the aerial and ground counts miss animals, especially pups. Pup data are not considered to represent an index of annual productivity.

May-June 1982 Census
A total of 13,026 harbor seals was counted, and an estimate of 14,485 was derived from daily maximum count data. There were an additional 20 harbor seals tallied in the water near hauling sites by ground observers yielding an aerial count of 13,046 animals. The total estimate, including animals observed in the water, was 14,700 harbor seals. There were eight sites not censused due to camera failure, and, if these had been covered, the total estimate would probably have been at least 15,000 animals. The ground truth sample count was about 14.3 percent of the total count.

Pup data were not reliable from aerial exposures in that the earliest born pups had approached the size of the slow growing 1981 pups and could not be accurately distinguished. Ground observers also had difficulty in identifying pups.

About 33 percent of the known hauling sites were not occupied by harbor seals during this flight. Good weather conditions, including low swells, could not account for this lack of widespread use of hauling sites. A study is needed to investigate the number of animals not hauling out during the day.
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Table 1. Low tide heights in the April 15-24, 1982 flight period demonstrating the "Window" used in the census with actual tidal heights given for the beginning and ending of each day's flight.

| Date | On Los Angeles |  | On San Francisco |  | On Humboldt Bay |  | Actual tidal start | Heights (m) ending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ht} \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ht} \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ht} \\ (\mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 15 | 0917 | 0.2 | 1029 | 0.2 | 1121 | 0.2 |  |  |
| 16 | 1031 | 0.2 | 1133 | 0.2 | 1223 | 0.2 |  |  |
| 17 | 1124 | 0.1 | 1235 | 0.1 | 1322 | 0.2 |  |  |
| 18 | 1159 | 0.0 | 1325 | 0.1 | 1417 | 0.2 | 0.18 | 0.07 |
| 19 | 1235 | 0.0 | 1409 | 0.0 | 1503 | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0.20 |
| 20 | 1303 | 0.0 | 1451 | $\underline{0.0}$ | 1543 | 0.1 | 0.19 | 0.45 |
| 21 | 1335 | 0.0 | 1529 | 0.1 | 1625 | 0.2 | 0.33 | 0.13 |
| 22 | 1403 | 0.1 | 1608 | 0.2 | 1701 | 0.2 | 0.37 | 0.27 |
| 23 | 1435 | 0.1 | 1647 | 0.2 | 1740 | 0.3 |  |  |
| 24 | 1511 | 0.2 | 1726 | 0.4 | 1821 | 0.5 |  |  |

$\qquad$ $=$ Flight Day

Table 2. Number and percent of mainland (including Farallon Islands) harbor sea hauling sites and maximum known number and percent of animals recorded* in these sites.

| Substrate <br> type | Hauling Sites <br> Number <br> Percent | Maximum Count <br> Number | Percent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 226 | 53.1 | 7556 | 39.4 |
| Offshore Rock | 110 | 25.8 | 3968 | 20.7 |
| Extended Reef | 23 | 5.4 | 3585 | 18.7 |
| Harbor and Estuary | 17 | 4.0 | 2144 | 11.2 |
| Ocean Beach | 12 | 2.8 |  |  |
| Extended Reef+ | 2 | 0.5 | 764 | 4.0 |
| Offshore Rock | 22 | 0.5 | 107 | 0.6 |
| Offshore Rock+ | 14 | 3.3 | 229 | 0.1 |
| Onshore Rock |  |  | 19,165 | 100.0 |
| Logs, Floats | 426 | 100.1 |  |  |
| Undetermined |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 3. Replicate maximum ground counts of harbor seals at certain hauling sites in the June 1981, April 1982, and May-June 1982 censuses.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site number | 1 | $2^{\text {Day }} 3$ |  | 4 | Haulout number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 23 | 25 | 18 | - | 422 | 51 | 48 | 45 | 48 |
| 4 | 92 | 96 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 142 | 16 | 25 | 30 | - | Total A | i1 19 |  |  |  |
| 145 | 13 | 11 | 12 | - | 2-day: | 1340 | 1322 |  |  |
| 147 | 19 | 37 | 48 | - | 3-day: | 1298 | 1276 | 1344 |  |
| 152 | 42 | 41 | - | - | 4-day: | 1024 | 1031 | 1086 | 1010 |
| 153 | 32 | 37 | 34 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 154 | 39 | 26 | 37 | - |  |  | May-Ju | 1982 |  |
| 155 | 44 | 45 | 33 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 157 | 135 | 155 | - | - | 3 | 5 | 6 | 3 | - |
| 162 | 84 | 86 | 94 | - | 4 | 71 | 84 | 85 | - |
| 165 | 22 | 25 | - | - | 11 | 392 | 387 | 412 | - |
| 393 | 79 | 86 | - | - | 79 | 32 | 49 |  | - |
| Total June 1981 |  |  |  |  | 83 | 48 | 58 | - | - |
| 2-day: | 640 | 695 |  |  | 152 | 42 | 36 | 24 | - |
| 3-day: | 270 | 292 | 306 |  | 154 | 58 | 47 | 43 | - |
|  | April |  |  |  | 157 | 145 | 121 | 137 | - |
|  |  | 1982 |  |  | 161 | 49 | 41 | 44 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | 162 | 129 | 129 | 149 | - |
| 3 | 17 | 19 | 13 | 14 | 165 | 5 | 28 | 52 | - |
| 5 | 30 | 21 | 19 | - | 166 | 97 | 133 | 138 | - |
| 6 | 15 | 26 | 21 | - | 169 | 39 | 37 | - | - |
| 7 | 29 | 14 | 24 | 31 | 175 | 53 | 53 | - | - |
| 11 | 199 | 216 | 215 | 216 | 188 | 10 | 9 | 8 | - |
| 74 | 35 | 40 | 33 | 30 | 190 | 23 | 31 | 39 | - |
| 78 | 47 | 30 | 54 | 53 | 192 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 79 | 38 | 43 | 42 | 34 | 202 | 25 | 26 | 31 | - |
| 142 | 12 | 11 | 13 | - | 221 | 570 | 541 | 615 | - |
| 145 | 20 | 23 | - | - | 225 | 516 | 474 | 416 | - |
| 147 | 22 | 23 | - | - | 399 | 71 | 71 | 68 | 76 |
| 152 | 28 | 22 | 29 | 35 | 422 | 50 | 54 | 54 | - |
| 153 | 16 | 16 | 14 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 157 | 138 | 145 | 145 | 141 | Total M | -June | 982 |  |  |
| 160 | 30 | 32 | 30 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 161 | 11 | 7 | 17 | - | 2-day: | 2431 | 2415 |  |  |
| 162 | 101 | 97 | 100 | 88 | 3-day: | 2259 | 2218 | 2318 |  |
| 165 | 1 | 33 | 21 | 0 | 4-day: | 71 | 71 | 68 | 76 |
| 166 | 86 | 41 | 85 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 169 | 32 | 39 | 32 | 28 | Total A | Cens |  |  |  |
| 174 | 57 | 74 | 84 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175 | 80 | 76 | 74 | 81 | 2-day: | 4411 | 4432 |  |  |
| 190 | 16 | 16 | 19 | 10 | 3-day: | 3827 | 3786 | 3968 |  |
| 192 | 25 | 34 | 24 | 15 | 4-day: | 1095 | 1102 | 1154 | 1086 |
| 215 | 14 | 12 | 17 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 262 | 190 | 164 | 174 | - |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Expansion factors derived from maximum counts by ground observers to estimate hauled out harbor seals.

| Hours from <br> low tide | $1-10$ | Rocky Areas <br> size groups <br> $11-30$ | 31-60 | $61+$ | Estuary and <br> sandy beach |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before Low Tide |  |  | 1.11 | 1.06 | 1.06 |
| 0.00 | 1.19 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 1.06 |
| 0.25 | 1.23 | 1.16 | 1.15 | 1.09 | 1.05 |
| 0.50 | 1.22 | 1.20 | 1.16 | 1.10 | 1.05 |
| 0.75 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.16 | 1.06 |  |
| 1.00 | 1.27 | 1.28 | 1.18 | 1.12 | 1.09 |
| 1.25 | 1.27 | 1.28 | 1.18 | 1.15 | 1.09 |
| 1.50 | 1.32 | 1.25 | 1.20 | 1.22 | 1.08 |
| 1.75 | 1.43 | 1.22 | 1.32 | 1.28 | 1.08 |
| 2.00 | 1.59 | 1.23 | 1.56 | 1.41 | 1.10 |
| 2.25 | 1.67 | 1.25 | 1.79 | 1.49 | 1.12 |
| 2.50 | - | 1.28 | - | 1.59 |  |

After Low Tide

| 0.25 | 1.15 | 1.14 | 1.10 | 1.06 | 1.08 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.50 | 1.15 | 1.14 | 1.10 | 1.06 | 1.08 |
| 0.75 | 1.20 | 1.14 | 1.11 | 1.06 | 1.08 |
| 1.00 | 1.23 | 1.15 | 1.11 | 1.05 | 1.06 |
| 1.25 | 1.22 | 1.18 | 1.12 | 1.05 | 1.08 |
| 1.50 | - | 1.20 | 1.14 | 1.04 | 1.08 |
| 1.75 | - | 1.22 | 1.19 | 1.05 | 1.08 |
| 2.00 | - | 1.23 | 1.22 | 1.09 | 1.08 |
| 2.25 | - | 1.20 | 1.22 | 1.10 | 1.09 |
| 2.50 | - | 1.19 | 1.20 | 1.12 | 1.10 |

Table 5. Comparison of instantaneous aerial and ground counts on rocky and estuarian substrates, April 1982.

| Hauling site number | Aerial Count |  |  | Ground Count |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adult | Pup | Total | Adult | Pup | Total |
| Rocky Substrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 2 | 14 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 74 | 27 | 3 | 30 | 25 | 5 | 30 |
| 78 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 48 | 2 | 50 |
| 79 | 40 | 5 | 45 | 38 | 4 | 42 |
| 142 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 11 |
| 145 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 22 | 1 | 23 |
| 148 | 27 | 4 | 31 | 25 | 5 | 30 |
| 152 | 20 | 2 | 22 | 24 | 2 | 26 |
| 156 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 14 |
| 157 | 138 | 6 | 144 | 137 | 7 | 144 |
| 160 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 27 | 0 | 27 |
| 161 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 165 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| 169 | 37 | 0 | 37 | 39 | 0 | 39 |
| 171 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 12 |
| 262 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| 393 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| Total Rocky Substrate | 507 | 22 | 529 | 499 | 39 | 538 |
| Percent Aerial/Ground | 101.6 | 56.4 | 98.3 |  |  |  |
| Estuarian and Sandy Beach Substrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 205 | 226 | 57 | 283 | 212 | 61 | 273 |
| 221 | 314 | 59 | 373 | 254 | 80 | 334 |
| 225 | 486 | 34 | 520 | 489 | 11 | 500 |
| Total Estuary and <br> $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Sandy Beach } & 1026 & 150 & 1176 & 955 & 152 & 1107\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent <br> Aerial/Ground | 107.4 | 98.7 | 106.2 |  |  |  |
| Total All Substrates: | 1533 | 172 | 1705 | 1454 | 191 | 1645 |
| Percent Aerial/Ground | 105.4 | 90.0 | 103.6 |  |  |  |

Table 6. Comparison of instantaneous aerial and ground counts on rocky and estuarian substrates, May-June 1982, and summation of the April and May-June censuses.

| Hauling site number | Aerial Count |  |  | Ground Count |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adult | Pup | Total | Adult | Pup | Total |
| Rocky Substrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 53 | 0 | 53 | 39 | 0 | 39 |
| 11 | 284 | 4 | 288 | 309 | 31 | 340 |
| 79 | 48 | 0 | 48 | 48 | 0 | 48 |
| 152 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 30 | 5 | 35 |
| 165 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 28 |
| 166 | 126 | 2 | 128 | 125 | 3 | 128 |
| 190 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 1 | 21 |
| 192 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 202 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 24 | 1 | 25 |
| 294 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| Total Rocky Substrate | 637 | 6 | 643 | 650 | 41 | 691 |
| Percent Aerial/Ground | 98.0 | 14.6 | 93.1 |  |  |  |
| Estuarian and Sandy Beach Substrate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225 | 463 | 46 | 509 | 454 | 22 | 476 |
| Percent Aerial/Ground | 102.0 | 209.1 | 106.9 |  |  |  |
| April and May-June Counts Combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rocky Substrate | 1144 | 28 | 1172 | 1149 | 80 | 1229 |
| Percent Aerial/Ground | 99.6 | 35.0 | 95.4 |  |  |  |
| Estuarian and Sandy Beach Substrate | 1489 | 196 | 1685 | 1409 | 174 | 1583 |
| Percent Aerial/Ground | 105.7 | 112.6 | 106.2 |  |  |  |
| Totals: | 2633 | 224 | 2857 | 2558 | 254 | 2812 |
| Percent Aerial/Ground | 102.3 | 88.1 | 101.6 |  |  |  |

Table 7. Ground truth station* collection and application of the June 1981, April 1982, and May-June 1982 harbor seal censuses.

| \begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline
\end{tabular} | June | April | May-June |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 8. Human disturbance of hauled out harbor seals at six ground truth stations during the April 1982 flight.

| Haulout number |  Possible <br> number of <br> animals lost <br> in count |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Mugu Lagoon | 0 | The maximum peak had taken place before helicopter disturbance and after the census plane had departed. |
| 5 | Standard Oil Pier, Carpinteria | 8 | The area was disturbed before the flight period. Eight animals were hauled out the next day. |
| 10 | Ellwood, N. of Goleta | 20 | The area was disturbed before the flight started. Twenty animals were in the water when the plane flew over. |
| 221 | Double Point, Pt, Reyes Nat. Seashore | 200 | A sailing boat was lost at sea and had drifted onto the center of the beach. There was much human disturbance and about 200 animals may have been kept off the beach. Some may have gone to nearby hauling sites. |
| 225 | Drakes Bay | 20 | A canoe came by the hauled out animals and frightened about 100 into the water. They began hauling out again and by the time the plane arrived about 80 had returned. |
| 262 | Russian River Spit | 50 | Harbor seal watchers (public) <br> frightened about 50 animals into the water. There was not time for them to haul out again or move to an alternative site. |

Table 9. Counts and estimates of harbor seals by county, the Farallon Islands, and San Francisco and San Pablo Bays in the April 1982 census.

| County | Count |  |  | Estimate |  |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Adult | Pup | Total | Adult | Pup | Total |  |
| San Diego | 19 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0.2 |
| Ventura | 38 | 5 | 43 | 38 | 5 | 43 | 0.4 |
| Santa Barbara | 419 | 28 | 447 | 442 | 31 | 473 | 4.1 |
| San Luis Obispo | 1262 | 64 | 1326 | 1482 | 82 | 1564 | 13.4 |
| Monterey | 1319 | 39 | 1358 | 1423 | 44 | 1467 | 12.6 |
| Santa Cruz | 283 | 9 | 292 | 286 | 9 | 295 | 2.5 |
| San Mateo | 398 | 6 | 404 | 435 | 7 | 442 | 3.8 |
| Farallon Istands | 51 | 0 | 51 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 0.4 |
| San FranciscoSan Pablo Bays | 263 | 60 | 323 | 284 | 65 | 349 | 3.0 |
| Marin | 1892 | 214 | 2106 | 2004 | 217 | 2221 | 19.0 |
| Sonoma | 963 | 20 | 983 | 1112 | 21 | 1133 | 9.7 |
| Mendocino | 1238 | 124 | 1362 | 1380 | 131 | 1511 | 12.9 |
| Humboldt | 1131 | 224 | 1355 | 1229 | 242 | 1471 | 12.6 |
| Del Norte | 550 | 50 | 600 | 582 | 54 | 636 | 5.4 |
| Totals | 9827 | 842 | 10669 | 10767 | 908 | 11675 | 100.0 |

Table 10. Number of hauling sites (HS) and number of harbor seals by size groups along the mainland coast of California in the April 1982 census.

| Group <br> size | San Diego, Ventura, <br> S. Barbara |  | San Luis Obispo |  | Monterey |  | Santa Cruz, San Mateo |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Marin } \\ & \text { S.F. Bay, } \\ & \text { Farallon I } \end{aligned}$ |  | Sonoma |  | Mendocino |  | Humboldt, Del Norte |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HS | Total |  | Total | HS | Total | HS | Total | HS | Total | HS | Total | HS | Total | HS | Total | HS | Total |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ,0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 8 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 22 |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 21 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 24 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 45 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 |
| 8 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 40 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 45 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 9 | 90 |
| 1-10 | 3 | 13 | 10 | 62 | 13 | 61 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 35 | 16 | 86 | 9 | 36 | 7 | 34 | 67 | 340 |
| $11-20$ | 5 | 81 | 13 | 205 | 7 | 101 | 2 | 32 | 6 | 84 | 6 | 83 | 6 | 92 | 3 | 46 | 48 | 724 |
| 21-30 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 226 | 9 | 235 | 6 | 129 | 2 | 53 | 8 | 216 | 8 | 200 | 2 | 54 | 44 | 1113 |
| 31-40 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 105 | 4 | 139 | 2 | 72 | 3 | 114 | 3 | 97 | 7 | 245 | 1 | 32 | 23 | 804 |
| 41-50 | 1 | 43 | 3 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 132 | 1 | 43 | 12 | 527 |
| 51-60 | 1 | 60 | 1 | 53 | 1 | 51 | 2 | 117 | 3 | 163 | 1 | 55 | 1 | 58 | 1 | 56 | 11 | 613 |
| 61-70 | 1 | 70 | 2 | 135 | 1 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 63 | 2 | 129 | 7 | 458 |
| 71-80 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 151 | 1 | 71 | 2 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 378 |
| 81-90 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 257 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 87 | 1 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 429 |
| 91-100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 197 |
| 101-200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 402 | 3 | 359 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 247 | 10 | 1281 |
| 201-300 | 1 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 266 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 471 | 5 | 1262 |
| 301-400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 338 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 354 | 1 | 325 | 3 | 1017 |
| 401-500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 465 |
| 501-600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 543 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 518 | 2 | 1061 |
| Totals | 12 | 509 | 46 | 1326 | 40 | 1358 | 20 | 696 | 28 | 2480 | 38 | 983 | 38 | 1362 | 23 | 1955 | 245 | 10669 |

Table 11. Adult-pup ratio comparisons between aerial and maximum ground counts in the April and May-June 1982 censuses.

| Haulout No. | Adult | Aerial Pup | Total | \% | Maximum Adult | Ground Pup | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d Count* } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 23 | 4 | 27 | 14.8 | 38 | 5 | 43 | 11.6 |
| 74 | 27 | 3 | 30 | 10.0 | 28 | 5 | 33 | 15.2 |
| 142 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0.0 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 27.3 |
| 144 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0.0 | 27 | 1 | 28 | 3.6 |
| 145 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0.0 | 24 | 1 | 25 | 4.0 |
| 148 | 27 | 4 | 31 | 12.9 | 29 | 7 | 36 | 19.4 |
| 152 | 20 | 2 | 22 | 9.1 | 26 | 3 | 29 | 10.3 |
| 157 | 138 | 6 | 144 | 4.2 | 138 | 7 | 145 | 4.8 |
| 175 | 63 | 3 | 66 | 4.5 | 73 | 3 | 76 | 3.9 |
| 205 | 226 | 57 | 283 | 20.1 | 212 | 61 | 273 | 22.3 |
| 221 | 224 | 56 | 280 | 20.0 | 334 | 131 | 465 | 28.2 |
| 225 | 486 | 34 | 520 | 6.5 | 507 | 36 | 543 | 6.6 |
| Totals | 1285 | 169 | 1454 | 11.6 | 1444 | 263 | 1707 | 15.4 |

May-June

| 4 | 78 | 4 | 82 | 4.9 | 79 | 6 | 85 | 7.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11 | 284 | 4 | 288 | 1.4 | 346 | 41 | 387 | 10.6 |
| 152 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 0.0 | 32 | 9 | 41 | 22.0 |
| 157 | 109 | 1 | 110 | 0.9 | 132 | 13 | 145 | 9.0 |
| 160 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 0.0 | 21 | 2 | 23 | 8.7 |
| 161 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 0.0 | 45 | 4 | 49 | 9.0 |
| 162 | 129 | 4 | 133 | 3.0 | 122 | 7 | 129 | 5.4 |
| 166 | 126 | 2 | 128 | 1.6 | 136 | 2 | 138 | 1.4 |
| 190 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0.0 | 22 | 1 | 23 | 4.3 |
| 202 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 0.0 | 24 | 1 | 25 | 4.0 |
| 221 | 508 | 28 | 536 | 5.2 | 476 | 90 | 566 | 15.9 |
| 225 | 594 | 58 | 652 | 7.1 | 491 | 25 | 516 | 4.8 |
| 382 | 480 | 3 | 483 | 0.6 | 221 | 44 | 265 | 16.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | 2447 | 104 | 2551 | 4.1 | 2147 | 245 | 2392 | 10.2 |

[^1]Table 12. Counts and estimates of harbor seals by county, the Farallon Islands, and San Francisco and San Pablo Bays in the May-June 1982 census.

| County | Adult | Count <br> Pup | Total | AdultEstimate <br> Pup | Total | Percent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| San Diego | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 |  |
| Ventura | 79 | 6 | 85 | 79 | 6 | 85 | 0.6 |
| Santa Barbara | 586 | 48 | 634 | 657 | 49 | 706 | 4.9 |
| San Luis Obispo | 1635 | 17 | 1652 | 1841 | 19 | 1860 | 12.8 |
| Monterey | 1781 | 74 | 1855 | 2119 | 92 | 2211 | 15.3 |
| Santa Cruz | 435 | 14 | 449 | 479 | 14 | 493 | 3.4 |
| San Mateo | 674 | 23 | 697 | 751 | 28 | 779 | 5.4 |
| Farallon Isls. | 47 | 3 | 50 | 47 | 3 | 50 | 0.3 |
| San Francisco- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Pablo Bays | 344 | 13 | 357 | 365 | 13 | 378 | 2.6 |
| Marin | 2363 | 221 | 2584 | 2517 | 224 | 2741 | 18.9 |
| Sonoma | 1033 | 22 | 1055 | 1224 | 26 | 1250 | 8.6 |
| Mendocino | 1766 | 110 | 1876 | 1921 | 115 | 2036 | 14.1 |
| Humboldt | 1209 | 31 | 1240 | 1265 | 37 | 1302 | 9.0 |
| Del Norte | 484 | 2 | 486 | 590 | 2 | 592 | 4.1 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13.

| － | ت |  |  <br>  | O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ～ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}+\sigma \infty \sim \sim O \infty \times 0$ | かMoñ | N |
| 艺㫫 | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{5} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | －1N00000000 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| 토잉 | 조 | －r0000000－1 | MN－ONOOHHOMNOHO | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { 웅 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ | NOOMLONOMO |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ |
| ¢ | $\sim$ | NOONHOHOHO | nmmutnoomonooor | ¢ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 厄్ } \\ & \text { 등 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\#} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | 1400000～00 |  | ก00 |
|  | $\mathfrak{\sim}$ | －NONOOOサーO | nんNNMNT000才0000 | ¢ |
| $=\stackrel{\text { సे }}{\text { స్ }}$ | П | 600000N00， |  | ন－ |
|  | ๙ | 600～00－10－1 | Я－onnwhomonhoon | ल |
|  | Ј | にOOOOOJm00 |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| に్N心 | $\cong$ | にOMOOONHन－ |  | m |
|  | ָ | ＾NMOU $\sim_{\sim}^{\infty} \times \infty \times \sim$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
|  | $\sim$ | へーい○ーMばNm | Nontonoronno | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { no 응 } \\ & \text { cis } \end{aligned}$ | － | $\rightarrow 0000$－ | MONNNONOOOMOOOO ニーN゙ | ～ |
|  | 꼬 | $\rightarrow 00$ NOーH゙いO |  | $\infty$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{0}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 000000000 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
|  |  | 00000－100－10 | ～NーHN－00－000－100 | $\exists$ |
|  | O\％ |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\pi}$ |



FIGURE 1. Mean percent of harbor seals hauled out on rocky substrate during $0.00-+0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ low tides at quarter hour intervals from 2 hours before to 2 hours after low tide by $1-10,11-30,31-60$, and $61+$ group sizes.
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## HARBOR SEAL CAPTURE EXPERIMENTS

## OBJECTIVES

Harbor seal capture and tagging experiments were designed for the Klamath River. The purpose of the study was to investigate the use of the underwater acoustic harassment device as a management tool for the Klamath River. Part of this study was to determine seasonal distribution of harbor seals present during the summer and fall salmon run. The experiment had to be completed in a short period of time to coincide with the July-October salmon run period. Therefore, the study necessitated a highly organized and successful capturing operation and availability of operating acoustic devices.

The permit to capture harbor seals was not received until the end of August 1981 and due to budgetary problems, the capture gear was not available until September. Consequently, it was not possible to conduct the Klamath River experiments in FY 1981-82 other than to determine the feasibility of the acoustic device (see next section) and to develop capture techniques. Permit No. 351 was amended (December 1981) to include capture of 12 additional harbor seals for radio tagging, totaling 40 animals for the Klamath River.

RESULTS

It was not feasible to utilize the pelage attachment of radio tags until after the molt which occurs from late June until mid-August in this area. Experiments in May and June, 1981 were designed to enable capture of animals in an efficient manner so that a large number of the harbor seals required for the acoustic harassment study could be captured immediately before the appearance of the salmon run. Five animals were captured in June 1981, but only streamers and rototags were attached.

Capture experiments in May 1981 were designed to develop how to lay out the capture net and to determine if harbor seals could be driven into the net with the acoustic device. It was originally planned to capture the animals by rapidly laying out the net adjacent to hauled out animals at the north spit. This method has been developed in the Columbia River fishery-marine mammal interaction study (Beach et al., 1981). Continual disturbance of the animals at the north spit in the Klamath River preclude use of this method and alternate methods were used.

The first phase of the capture experiments was to determine the behavior of the animals when being driven from upriver with use of the acoustic device. Some animals rest in the "estuary" area when they are not hauled out at the sand spits at the river mouth or on rocky areas north of the river on the outer coast. The usual natural distribution pattern is when the animals are frightened off the spits by the first fishermen at daybreak (if they are hauling out that night), about 20 to 30 of the $100-300$ animals usually present in summertime will move to the estuary area (Figure 1) where they will rest by bottling or will engage in interaction activity. Some of these animals
remained in the area throughout the day, but others would travel upriver to forage at gill nets at the Department of Fish and Game seining site or frequent the riffle above the bridge where recreational fishermen were active. When traveling downriver, the usual pattern was to remain near the southern river bank.

First acoustic device driving attempts were made to force the animals into a side channel on the south bank. The capture net was placed at an area where the channel narrowed and when an animal was driven past this point, the net was to be laid across the channel entrapping the seal (Figure 1, site A). On two occasions a harbor seal was driven back into the channel but would not go beyond a certain point (Figure 3, site B) which was not beyond the netting area. Even though the device skiff was within 3 m of the animals, they remained close to the bank with their heads out of the water and would rather bear the sounds than be forced farther up the channel. Once when the skiff passed them, they swam rapidly out of the river.

The usual response of harbor seals in the river estuary area was to immediately splash at the surface and disappear from view when the device was activated. Animals as far away as $300-400 \mathrm{~m}$ would respond as much as those closer. Most of the animals would not reappear at the surface, and it was assumed they went out the river mouth about 2.0 km downriver. Subsequent experiments in FY 1982-83 confirmed this behavior of leaving the area by traveling along the southern river bank without surfacing for most of the animals. Some of the animals did remain within 50 to 100 m of the device skiff and would surface several times before reaching the river mouth area. Occasionally, an animal would remain in the shallow water areas where they apparently were in a zone where the acoustic sounds may have been dampened in strength due to topography. There appeared to be one or two animals that were not as affected by the device as were the others.

The skiff with the device activated was maneuvered back and forth across the southern half of the river attempting to drive the animals into the open segment of the capture net. On most occasions, when an animal was captured, the harbor seal would not be seen since the initial activation of the device until it was seen surfacing in the net attempting to find a way out. On several occasions, an animal would be seen traveling toward the net making periodic surfacing to look at the device skiff. Continued slow pursuit of the animal would drive it into the net area in most cases.

The capture net was of 10 in stretched mesh, of \#16 green dyed nylon thread, 100 m in length and 3 m depth. The floats were spaced at 1.5 m intervals and were large enough to preclude looping into the mesh. The bottom line was weighted line rather than rope with lead weights. The method of net laying out and pulling is described below.

After the unsuccessful attempts to drive the animals up the side channel, the net was placed at the mouth of the side channel with the opening facing downriver (Figure 1, site C) in hopes that the animals could again be driven up the channel a short distance into the net and then pull the open end to the shore. After four attempts, the animals could be driven into the area but
except for one occasion, they swam into the outside of the net from upriver, came around the net on the outside, and continued down river.

The next placement (Figure 1, site D) took advantage of the behavior to swim down the southern edge of the main channel by facing the open end of the net upriver (Figures 1 and 2). On the first attempt with the acoustic device in this new site, three animals were captured. Two more were captured on subsequent sets. Subsequent experiments in placement of nets and use of a "fence" to herd animals tending to travel downriver in deeper water from the bank were developed in FY 1981-1983, but the results will be presented here to complete the description of this operation.

The fence was of netting borrowed from Peter Howorth (Santa Barbara) and from webbing purchased for this purpose of the same size mesh and twine as in the capture net. The distal (upriver) end of the capture net was attached to the downriver end of the fence by a release mechanism (Figure 4) which was tripped from shore by use of a $3 / 8$ in line. When the pin was pulled from between the metal rings attached to each net, the nets were free except for a thin cotton string which was threaded into the meshes of the two nets to prevent harbor seals from passing through this small aperature. The string was easily broken, and the nets were free within seconds of the initial pulling operation. The fence section was anchored at both ends. After each capture attempt, the capture net section was put aboard the net skiff, taken to the downriver end of the fence net, laced together with string and the release mechanism, then laid out downriver to the shore forming a cul-de-sac.

Harbor seals were able to pass through a small unlaced area between the nets, and it is not known how many animals were lost in this manner until it was noticed from shore. Of the 32 harbor seals known to enter the capture net section, five escaped over the corks, one escaped back out the opening when the net snagged on a submerged piece of driftwood, two escaped before the mouth of the net could be brought to shore, and two apparently went under the weighted bottom line when the cork line was pulled in ahead of the lead line (Figure 2D). One additional animal was drowned in the fence section. This was the only mortality in the tagging operation.

One of the more important aspects of the capture operation was to use a truck with 4 -wheel drive to pull the distal (upriver) end (and later the center pull line) to shore when animals appeared in the capture section.

This could only be done, however, when there were not too many people and other vehicles in the way. The center pull line was used because most of the animals were beached in the section of the net farthest downriver. By pulling in the center line, only half of the net needs to be beached. This was of importance when the truck could not be used. The animals rarely become entangled in the meshes. The net acts as a beach seine, and it is not difficult to remove the animals from the capture net and place them in the holding hoops which are pegged down in the sand with the animals within awaiting their turn to be processed. These hoops were developed by researchers in Oregon and Washington and resemble large 2 m socks of small, strong mesh tied to 1 m hoops.

When using the truck, the net could be pulled to shore and the animals beached within about 5 min . The optimum number of people to have on hand to capture and tag harbor seals in this operation would be about 10. On the day 15 animals were captured in one set, only 6 persons were present (only 5 were present most of the time). However, with the use of the truck to pull the net to shore and with sufficient hoops to hold the 11 animals that did not escape, it took an average of 18 min to weigh, measure, attach roto tags on the flippers, and attach radios and streamers with epoxy to each animal.

It was discovered that one acoustic harassment device was actually better for driving the animals than when using two, one from each skiff. It was theorized that two devices, one being moved downriver close to shore, and the other being kept about mid-river would enhance driving the animals along the shore into the net. In several instances when the animals could be watched from shore, the directional ability to drive the animals was lost when using two devices. In one case, instead of the animal going down the edge of the river toward the net, the two devices actually drove the animal out of the water onto the bank where it had to be chased back into the water by one of the skiff operators. The most efficient operation was to drive the animals with one device at high tide from the estuary area, place a 100 m fence out into the river to herd the animals toward shore, and use two lines attached to the capture net pulled in by a truck.

FIGURE 1. Harbor seal capture area in the Klamath River.
A. NETS SET FOR CAPTURE
FIGURE 2. Description of: (A) placement of capture and fence net sections, (B) the release mechanism,
(C) function of the center pull line, and (D) incorrect pulling.
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## ACOUSTIC HARASSMENT EXPERIMENTS ON HARBOR

 SEALS IN THE KLAMATH RIVER, 1981
## OBJECTIVES

Harbor seals depredate about $13 \%$ of the salmon tangled in Native American gill nets annually in the Klamath River, and about $25 \%$ of the salmon captured in beach seines for tagging and release by the California Department of Fish and Game are estimated to be captured by harbor seals soon after release. The Department of Fish and Game Anadromous Fisheries Branch has been studying the behavior of harbor seals at the seine site for 2 years and has not been able to alleviate the losses of tagged fish. A permit to use seal bombs was approved by the National Marine Fisheries Service in 1981, but the use of explosives near the seine site has not reduced losses.

Anadromous Branch personnel affixed underwater sonic devices to released tagged salmon in 1980. The results of the study demonstrated that most of the released stressed salmon swam downriver into the shallower estuarine areas where the river widened. It is between the release site and these shallow areas downriver that the depredation of released salmon takes place.

Acoustic harassment appears to be the only procedure now available to alleviate the losses at the seine site and at the gill nets. In the 1981-82 federal fiscal year contract to the Department of Fish and Game, one of the studies is to determine the feasibility of acoustic harassment devices to preclude harbor seal depredation in the Klamath River and in the squid and mackerel fisheries in which California sea lions and pilot whales are a problem. This report presents the results of the feasibility study.

## METHODS

Throughout the summer Anadromous Fisheries Branch personnel were stationed at an observation point (Figures 1 and 2) near the CDF\&G seine site on each day that seining took place. This survey had been underway since July, and the behavior of harbor seals was documented. Effects of the use of seal bombs at the seine site was also studied. We requested that seal bombs not be used at the seine site for at least 3 days before our experiments. Intensive observations were made on harbor seal activity in the study area two days before and 3 days after the experiments. During the acoustic experiments, two observers were stationed at key locations on shore at the observation point and at the old bridge (Figure 1). A third observer moved along the road bordering the river to follow the location of the device boat (DB) and record the reaction of seals to the device as the DB moved down the river.

The study site was in an area in which a paved roadway paralleled the river with complete visibility of the river for about 2 km . The width of the river in this area ranged from about 200 to 400 m . All harbor seal surfacings were recorded by time and location and later entered on new study maps
(Figure 2) to facilitate analysis. Anadromous Fisheries Branch personnel loaned us the use of a 12 ft flatbottomed jet boat. A portable power generator and the acoustic instrumentation were supplied by Bruce Mate and Charles Greenlaw, Oregon State University.

RESULTS
September 28
Harbor seal activity was recorded at the seine site from 0730 to 1600. One harbor seal appeared at 0835 (Figure 1) with a second animal observed at about 1020. Seining operations were initiated at 1315, but no fish were caught until 1340. Three harbor seals were present at the time, and within an hour at least two salmon were caught in the area. One was tagged, but the other could not be observed sufficiently to determine if it was tagged.

According to the Anadromous Fisheries Branch observer, seal activity on the 28 th was typical for the area. The animals usually arrive at the site about when the seining operation routinely starts and remain slowly searching the area from about 100 m upriver of the seine site to at least 600 m downriver. Considering the large area of river to be watched by one person, the surfacings recorded are those that were seen and are not all the surfacings that may have occurred. At times, a seal's head may appear for only a second or two at the surface and some of these short term surfacings may not be observed.

Surface and dive times were recorded on two individual harbor seals when each was the only animal present. The average dive time for 13 dives was $1 \mathrm{~min} 57 \mathrm{sec} .$, with a range of from 1 min 05 sec to 4 min 00 sec . Surface times averaged 18 sec , with a range of from 01 sec to 40 sec . These dives were of seals searching for fish with no fish caught.

Apparently two of the four tagged fish were taken by harbor seals on this day. The four fish represented a poor catch indicating few fish in the river on that day.

September 29
Seining started at 1200 with larger numbers of fish captured per set indicating a heavy run had entered the river the previous night. Sportfishermen catches also revealed an increase in steelhead catches that day. Observations started at 0700 (daylight) at the shore fishing area about 1 km upriver of the seine site. No harbor seals were sighted in the study area at the fishing area at the base of McDonald Riffle (Figure 3). On the previous day fishermen reported that a harbor seal had taken a salmonid from a fisherman's line at that fishing area. There was a heavy ground fog obscuring the river until about 0900. By 1000, the fog had cleared and the entire study area could be observed. No harbor seals were noted until 1020. One harbor seal was present until 1200 when two more animals were sighted. Seining was

## initiated at 1151 (Figure 4).

The acoustic device was turned on near McDonald Riffle at 1206, and the DB moved at an angle across the river as it came downriver. The three harbor seals off the observation point headed downriver when the DB was about 400 m from them off the observation point. The animals moved out of the study area and did not pass the DB when it was anchored in midriver off the old bridge. The sonic device was turned off at 1300, and the DB returned upriver of Highway 101 bridge for another sweep of the river.

In the interim, three harbor seals returned as soon as the device was turned off and proceeded to search for salmon. One salmon was captured at 1325 as the DB was working down the river. The harbor seal with the fish moved downriver along with the other two seals as the sound came toward them. The DB was anchored again off the old bridge with no animals going past upriver and remained about 250 m downriver of the boat. At this time, the seals were starting to investigate the sound, and two of them came to within about 100 to 150 m before retreating downriver.

One harbor seal allowed the $D B$ to pass by it by remaining near the north bank with its head out of the water. The observer at the old bridge observed the animal but, the DB crew did not. The observer at the observation point noted the animal and notified the $D B$ crew about the event. The sound was turned off at 1407, and the DB headed upriver to sweep this animal back downriver. As the DB headed upriver past the observation point, the seal was underwater in pursuit of a salmon. The $D B$ crew did not see the animal when passing by.

The sound was turned off at 1402, on at 1403, and off again at 1407 at which time the DB was taken upriver to initiate another sweep. When the sound was turned off, the animals immediately went upriver to search near the observation point and seining site. No salmon were captured this time when the five animals returned. On this third sweep, the seals disappeared when the DB was about 500 m upriver, and they did not remain in sight of the shore observers when the DB was anchored off the old bridge. Two harbor seals moved back into the study area about 10 min after the experiment was terminated. Observations ended at 1530.

The behavior of the seals when the sonic device was on and the boat anchored was distinctly different than that observed on searching and foraging animals. There were no frantic movements, but the animals remained primarily on the surface with little diving. The noise dominated their activity and even though they proved to have a strong desire to return upriver, none would pass under water through the sound.

September 30

Observations started at 0730. Seining started at 0904 with good catches of fish, better than on the previous two days. More fish were caught than could be tagged due to the weakening of the fish in the nets and holding pens
before they could be processed. There were thus relatively larger numbers of weakened fish present for harbor seal predation, and consequently a higher number were taken that day, 11 in all (Figure 4).

Harbor seals first appeared at 0820 but soon disappeared with another observed at 0910. The DB started downriver from the Highway 101 bridge at 0955. The one animal present departed for downriver when the DB was about 300 m from it. No other animals came into view as the DB was anchored off the old bridge, and the decision was made to take the sonic device to the estuary area and experiment with animals in a wide section of the river. Herding of animals was attempted and indicated some success. One animal, however, did not want to be herded upstream and passed within 10 m of the $D B$ when pressed against the shoreline. The animal exhibited stress and swam rapidly past the DB.

While the DB was in the estuary area, harbor seals appeared at 1100 in the study area. Between 1100 and 1200 three harbor seals had captured five salmon. There was nearly continuous harbor seals foraging activity downriver of the seine site. At 1207 the DB returned upriver to the study area, driving three additional animals before it. The total now at the study area was seven harbor seals. From 1200 to 1245, three additional salmon were captured before the DB returned downriver at 1245. As before, all animals went downriver, remaining at least 200 m from the DB.

The third sweep downriver started at 1317, came as far as the seine site and returned upriver to start back again at 1326. At this time, there were four harbor seals in the study area in pursuite of a salmon as the DB approached. The animals allowed the boat to get to within about 200 m before heading downriver. A salmon was caught and the harbor seal went downriver rapidly with the fish, apparently due to the sonic device. When the harbor seal with the fish reached a point about 700 m downriver of the observation point, two other harbor seals began taking bites out of the salmon and a feeding frenzy was initiated. The DB headed for these animals and was able to approach to within about 30 to 50 m before they all retreated farther downriver away from the DB.

The device was turned off at 1350. At 1355 another salmon was caught about 800 m downriver of the observation point.

October 2
There was no seining, and the maximum number of animals present at one time was two (Figure 5). One salmon was observed being eaten and another possible capture was recorded during the 4 -hour observation period. Observations started at 0915 and terminated at 1315. Harbor seals appeared only occasionally and moved about throughout the study area from the seine site to about 800 m downriver of the observation point. Most of the searching activity was downriver of the observation point. A light rainfall started at 1315.

October 3.

No seining was conducted on this day. Observations started at 0800 (Figure 5) and continued until 1530. A maximum of three harbor seals were noted at any one time, and no salmon were caught. There was one possible pursuit and capture but only splashing was noted with no fish observed. Most surfacings were recorded downriver of the observation point.

## SUMMARY

The acoustic harassment device passed the feasibility test for river application. Harbor seals reacted whenever they were within at least 400 m of the activated device. Herding of harbor seals was accompanied, with one animal, however, determined to not be herded upriver and was forced to pass close to the boat without any apparent adverse stress to the animal. Two events occurred indicating possible learned avoidance or habituation to the device. One was when an animal allowed the device to pass by it by keeping its head out of the water. The other was during a feeding frenzy of three harbor seals during which the activated device was allowed to approach to within $30-50 \mathrm{~m}$ before rapidly swimming away.

It is recommended that an intensive experimentation be initiated:

1. Determine the degree of potential habituation to the device by use of marked animals during the intensive beach seining and gill netting period from July to November 1982.
2. Determine the possibility of using the sonic device to herd animals to facilitate capture in tangle nets in the Klamath River.
3. Determine if harbor seals can be swept out of the narrow areas of the river, upriver from the seine site and kept from returning upriver in the July-November gill netting period. At least two of the devices will be needed for this experiment.

## PART IV

AESTHETIC VALUES OF MARINE MAMMALS DERIVED FROM PARTYBOAT FISHERMEN SURVEYS

John Scholl
California Department of Fish and Game
Marine Resources Branch
Long Beach, California 90813

## INTRODUCTION

The results of the 1979-81 interaction study demonstrated that California sea lions were involved in harassment of partyboat fishermen in southern California. Animals were observed and reported taking fish off hooks and eating live bait that was tossed out, and there was some evidence that the fish catch rate either slowed or stopped completely when sea lions were present. Several partyboat operators have requested permits to take or harass sea lions interfering with fishing operations. The use of seal bombs, crackershells, and shooting are presently being considered as possible control measures.

One of the studies in the FY 1981-82 contract was to determine the attitudes of the fishermen on whether harassment should be allowed as a mitigating measure for this fishery. One of the factors in determining the feasibility of harassment and take would be the public opinion of such action. This is a summary of fishermen.'s opinions collected at the end of partyboat trips.

## METHODS

The study plan was to sample southern and central California recreational fishing ports once a month for a 10 -month period. These ports were San Diego, Long Beach, Santa Barbara, Santa Cruz and Sausalito. It was determined in the interaction study that mammal harassment took place in all southern California ports, especially at San Diego. A very small number of interactions occurred in central California being related only to the salmon sport fishery.

The sampling form (Appendix 1) contained five questions including experiences with marine mammals that day and during previous fishing trips. The last question centered upon the fisherman's personal opinion of control including whether the animals should be controlled and if so, whether underwater sounds of shooting were acceptable.

The survey was conducted after the fisherman had left the vessel and was alone or with his own party. This was done to avoid any influence the vesselis crew or other fishermen might have on the individualis answers. Only uniformed older department employees did the interviewing. This was determined necessary as it was discovered that some fishermen did not always trust young people who were in or just out of college and portrayed an "environmentalist image." Answers in this case could be biased toward pro "environmental" values. To prevent drawing answers from participants, questions were presented in a neutral and consistent dialogue. Most interviews conducted in this manner resulted in the interviewed person:s willingness to expound on the subject.

The information was also separated into whether the interviewee was male or female and further separated into small (juvenile), medium or obviously elderly age (gray hair) groups.

## RESULTS

Most people interviewed spoke freely and were open about why potential management tools should or should not be used. A total of 77 interviews was collected in southern and central Calîfornia (Table 1). The mean interview sample size was 4.9 individuals.

Due to unforseen constraints on field day allocation to fulfill all objectives of the contract, fewer days were allocated to this study than proposed. One reason is that in central California, the interviews all reflected a common attitude; that of unanimous "no shooting" of animals and possible use of underwater acoustic control methods.

Only salmon fishermen in central California reported losing fish to sea lions and in none of the 13 samples taken in 1981 (Table 1) was there a loss reported the day of the interview. Sixty-nine percent (9 of 13) of those interviewed in central California would accept some form of non-take control.

Southern California sampling (Table 1) was continued as often as possible until it was felt that a representative opinion was acquired. Seventy-two percent ( 46 of 64 ) of this group opposed shooting as a control measure but $64 \%$ (41 of 64) would accept proposed nonlethal control measures. Over half of the southern California fishermen interviewed had a prior loss of fish to sea lions which mostly occurred out of San Diego. Fishermen categorized into this group (Table 1) showed $66 \%$ ( 25 of 36 ) desiring some form of control and $77 \%$ (28 of 36) accepting the proposed nonlethal controls. Overall, group opposition to shooting ranged from 72 to 100 percent whereas nonlethal control acceptance ranged from sixty-four to seventy-four percent.

Opinions referenced to sex and age class were examined but not listed in the text. The medium male class was defined between juvenile (up to college) and elderly (gray hair). This class, making up $55 \%$ ( 42 of 77 ) of all interviews, showed a high group acceptance ( $81 \%$ ) of the proposed nonlethal take. Elderly males made up twenty-two percent of the interviews, and females, combining all age classes, made up only $12 \%$. These individuals were chosen as random as possible, and the percentage age and sex breakdown probably approximates actual composition of fishermen of partyboats. The data are too few to compare attitudes between each of these groups but in working with the data, no exceptional differences from the overall result appear. The strongest opinion, with all ports combined, was against shooting (77\%). If the 11 people surveyed as unsure for this answer were included, the actual figure could be as high as $91 \%$ against this kind of control.

Question 2 (Appendix \#1) dealing with personal enjoyment of viewing marine mammals was brought in after several surveys had already taken place. The question was included to allow participants the opportunity to express an
overall feeling for marine mammals as a group. Sixty-four percent (49 of 77) of the interviews included the question. Of those answering the question, $96 \%$ (47 of 49 ) reported enjoying the sight of marine mammals in general.

Surveys were conducted both on weekends and weekdays. This was necessary as skippers of partyboats have mentioned that weekday fishermen tend to prefer catching fish for food whereas weekend users are more demanding for surface action. Considering this information, weekday fishermen may have been more upset with losses to sea lions whereas, weekend groups might have viewed losses to sea lions as part of the action. The same may hold true where long partyboat trips are more for food to take home. Sixty-nine percent of the survey dates occurred on weekdays, and $44 \%$ of the interviews were with fishermen from three-quarter day or all-day fishing trips whereas, the other $56 \%$ (43 of 77) were half-day trip interviews.

The survey further asked the 36 fishermen having previously lost fish about the species and the location of occurrence. Seven species of fish were reported taken at four of the five interview fishing ports (Table 2). The most common species taken was bonito, and the port of highest interaction was San Diego.

The results of this survey strongly indicate that harming sea lions in an effort to improve fishing will probably alienate rather than satisfy the user public onboard. Further research is presently being conducted to test the effectiveness of nonlethal controls.

A list of comments taken verbatim from interviews (Appendix \#2) is included as further recognition of the strong feelings expessed.
Table 1

| Opinion Survey Groups | Answers | Enjoys marine mammals | Prior fish taken? | Wanted some form of control | Underwater sound deterrent OK? | Shooting OK? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central California Ports (13 interviews) | yes | 5 (38\%) | 3 (23\%) | 5 (38\%) | 9 (69\%) | 0 |
|  | no | $0$ | 10 (77\%) | 8 (62\%) | $1 \text { ( } 8 \% \text { ) }$ | 13 (100\%) |
|  | *N/A | 8 (62\%) | $0$ | $0$ | $3(23 \%)$ | $0$ |
| Southern California Ports (64 interviews) | yes | 42 (66\%) | 36 (56\%) | 28 (43\%) | 41 (64\%) | 7 (11\%) |
|  | no | $2(3 \%)$ | 28 (44\%) | 34 (54\%) | 12 (19\%) | 46 (72\%) |
|  | *N/A | 20 (31\%) | 0 | 2 (3\%) | 11 (17\%) | 11 (17\%) |
| All Ports Combined (77 interviews) | yes | 47 (61\%) | 38 (49\%) | 33 (43\%) | 49 (64\%) | 7 (9\%) |
|  | no | 2 (3\%) | 39 (51\%) | 42 (55\%) | 13 (17\%) | 59 (77\%) |
|  | *N/A | 28 (36\%) | 0 | 2 (3\%) | 15 (19\%) | 11 (14\%) |
| Fishermen Having Previously Lost Fish (38 interviews) | yes | 27 (71\%) | 38 (100\%) | 25 (66\%) |  |  |
|  | no | 4 (11\%) | (100\%) | 14 (37\%) | 8 (21\%) | 32 (84\%) |
|  | *N/A | 9 (24\%) | - | 1 (3\%) | 3 (9\%) | 3 (9\%) |

* $N / A=$ No comment or not sure


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { APPENDIX 1 } \\
\text { Marine Mammal Opinion - Partyboat Fishing } \\
\text { Date }
\end{gathered}
$$

Sampler $\qquad$ Fishing Location

Fish species or aggregate being sought $\qquad$
$\qquad$ F_ S M E

1. Did you see any marine mammals today? Y $\qquad$ N $\qquad$ If yes: What kind?
2. Do you get enjoyment from seeing whales, porpoises and seals on your fishing trip? Y $\qquad$ N $\qquad$
3. Did you see any near your boat while fishing? $\qquad$ N $\qquad$ If yes: Did it (they) take any fish from you or other passengers?
$\qquad$ N $\qquad$ Remarks:
4. Have marine mammals ever bothered you on previous partyboat trips? (Take fish off lines, take a bite out of a fish, or scare fish away from boat). Y $\qquad$ N

If yes: What kind of trip (species sought) and out of what port?
5. Do you feel that these animals should be controlled in some way to lessen loss to fishermen on partyboats? Y $\qquad$ N

If yes: Would you approve of:
a) Keeping them away from the boat by use of underwater sounds?
 N $\qquad$
b) Allowing the boat operator to shoot animals when they come near the boat? Y $\qquad$ N $\qquad$
Remarks:

## APPENDIX 2

Fishermen Comments - "Against Control"

1. "They have right to live also."
2. "They were here first."
3. "The half-day boat doesn't need to make controls."
4. "Move to other spot rather than do something to animal."
5. "No killing."
6. "No hurting in any way - it's their ocean."
7. "Leave the seals alone."
8. "They were there first."
9. "Go to other place rather than kill."
10. "I feel like hitting them sometimes, but I don't want to see them killed."
11. "It's exciting to watch the seals come around. Controlled only if you don't hurt them."
12. "Sea lions never take rockfish. Shooting is dangerous because of ricochet."
13. "We're in their area, it's their habitat and not ours."
14. "Don't need to go to the length of shooting."
15. "The seal is free to do as it pleases. It's the seals natural habitat."
16. "Seals have just as much right to nip the bait as the rest of the fish do. Wouldn't like sound system either because then you wouldn't have seen the animals that we saw today."
17. "I like the whales; I like the seals; I think everybody has a place in life."
18. "Don't let them do it. I'd rather lose the fish."
19. "There must be a way that we could trap the animal and move it away. I don't think it's a good idea to reduce the population, because if you reduce one species then you're going to find another species that's going to take its place and cause you a problem, cause an imbalance."

APPENDIX 2 - Cont'd

Fishermen Comments - "For Control"

1. "Not destroy the population only the pests."
2. "Shoot over the heads of the animals."
3. "Shoot to scare away - OK."
4. "Ruining sport fishing."
5. "If it's a problem, then they should be controlled."
6. "I don't like to see deer shot but "seals" OK.
7. "Sterilize the male sea lions."
8. "Nobody really likes that (shooting) but seals do shut the bite off. Everybody pays good money out there. You come back with nothing everybody is kind of pissed off. After a while, you hate to see them come around."

## APPENDIX I

Number of harbor seals counted from the air at each hauling site in the April and May-June 1982 censuses (NC=site not covered by either air or ground; ()=ground count, no air count made; a "O" during both censuses represents no animals were present during both flights at a known hauling site).

APPENDIX I.

| Site | April | May-June | Site | April | May-June | Site | April | May-June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-3 | 19 | 6 | 62 | 0 | 17 | 120 | 2 | 0 |
| 4 | 27 | 82 | 63 | 8 | 19 | 121 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | NC | NC | 64 | 27 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 18 |
| 6 | 8 | 0 | 65 | 32 | 42 | 123 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 13 | 53 | 66 | 17 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | NC | NC | 67 | 8 | 0 | 125 | 13 | 7 |
| 9 | NC | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 11 |
| 10 | 0 | (2) | 69 | 9 | 15 | 127 | 71 | 97 |
| 11 | (242) | 288 | 70 | 18 | 11 | 128 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 3 | 38 | 71 | 23 | 8 | 129 | 4 | 39 |
| 13 | 19 | 9 | 72 | 68 | 23 | 130 | 266 | 190 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 8 | 0 | 131 | 29 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 24 | 73.1 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 32 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 30 | 50 | 133 | 61 | 158 |
| 17 | 0 | 41 | 75 | 17 | 0 | 134 | 6 | 0 |
| 18 | 17 | 18 | 76 | 71 | 82 | 135 | 38 | 12 |
| 19 | 70 | 0 | 77 | 5 | 0 | 136 | 5 | 10 |
| 20 | 2 | 18 | 78 | 51 | 41 | 137 | 5 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 45 | 55 | 138 | 0 | 26 |
| 22 | 60 | 46 | 80 | 12 | 33 | 139 | 0 | 11 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 10 |
| 24 | 19 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 22 | 43 |
| 25 | 81 | 138 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 10 | 21 |
| 26 | 51 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 1 |
| 27 | 22 | 29 | 85 | 67 | 122 | 144 | 16 | 8 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 25 | 18 | 145 | 25 | 4 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 80 | 138 | 146 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | NC | NC | 88 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 0 | 32 |
| 31 | 40 | 67 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 10 | 25 |
| 32 | 0 | 1 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 21 | 71 |
| 33 | 13 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 51 | 93 |
| 34 | 11 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 36 | 53 |
| 35 | 20 | 58 | 93 | 0 | 1 | 152 | 22 | 32 |
| 36 | 0 | 22 | 94 | 0 | 2 | 153 | 28 | 6 |
| 37 | 16 | 60 | 95 | 1 | 1 | 154 | 0 | 18 |
| 38 | 9 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 1 | 155 | 0 | 29 |
| 39 | 29 | 132 | 97 | 22 | 1 | 156 | 11 | 40 |
| 40 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 19 | 59 | 157 | 144 | 110 |
| 41 | 23 | 57 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 2 | 0 |
| 42 | 17 | 9 | 100 | 0 | 9 | 159 | 6 | 0 |
| 43 | 0 | 21 | 101 | 14 | 9 | 160 | 28 | 22 |
| 44 | 24 | 0 | 102 | 20 | 44 | 161 | 4 | 44 |
| 45 | 1 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 1 | 162 | 73 | 133 |
| 46 | 41 | 29 | 104 | 0 | 7 | 163 | NC | NC |
| 47 | 1 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 6 | 164 | 0 | 15 |
| 48 | 19 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 1 | 165 | 30 | 28 |
| 49 | 15 | 0 | 107 | 29 | 34 | 166 | (41) | 128 |
| 50 | 86 | 53 | 108 | 0 | 15 | 167 | 0 | 28 |
| 51 | 15 | 0 | 109 | 4 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 1 |
| 52 | 23 | 24 | 110 | 128 | 125 | 169 | 37 | (37) |
| 53 | 90 | 153 | 111 | 0 | 13 | 170 | 0 | 1 |
| 54 | 0 | 42 | 112 | 13 | 7 | 171 | 0 | 8 |
| 55 | 0 | 35 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 120 |
| 56 | 30 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 27 | 173 | 23 | 12 |
| 57 | 46 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 29 | 23 |
| 58 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 66 | (53) |
| 59 | 3 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 29 | 176 | 25 | 3 |
| 60 | 10 | 0 | 118 | 7 | 10 | 177 | 60 | 71 |
| 61 | 0 | 4 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 178 | 43 | 83 |

APPENDIX I. - Continued

| Site | Apri1 | May-june | Site | April | May-June | Site | April | May-june |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 179 | 0 | 36 | 238 | 12 | 4 | 297 | 0 | 2 |
| 180 | 21 | 44 | 239 | 0 | 1 | 298 | 26 | 0 |
| 181 | 7 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 299 | 10 | 25 |
| 182 | 0 | 3 | 241 | 122 | 86 | 300 | 0 | 1 |
| 183 | 0 | 1 | 242 | 2 | 1 | 301 | 1 | 0 |
| 184 | 0 | 1 | 243 | 53 | 53 | 302 | 87 | 125 |
| 185 | 0 | 3 | 244 | 59 | 86 | 303 | 10 | 4 |
| 186 | 12 | 9 | 245 | 165 | 256 | 304 | 26 | 39 |
| 187 | 4 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 12 | 305 | 29 | 45 |
| 188 | 50 | 70 | 247 | 5 | 0 | 306 | 0 | 1 |
| 189 | NC | NC | 248 | 338 | 193 | 307 | 131 | 109 |
| 190 | 31 | 20 | 249 | 0 | 136 | 308 | U | 0 |
| 191 | NC | NC | 250 | 3 | 1 | 309 | 18 | 0 |
| 192 | 9 | 9 | 251 | 109 | 115 | 310 | 3 | iic |
| 193 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 311 | 8 | NC |
| 194 | 19 | 45 | 253 | 0 | 11 | 312 | 6 | ive |
| 195 | 0 | 34 | 254 | 9 | 1 | 313 | 27 | NC |
| 196 | 0 | 7 | 255 | 0 | 8 | 314 | 4 | $\therefore \mathrm{iC}$ |
| 197 | 57 | 104 | 256 | 0 | 8 | 315 | 39 | NC |
| 198 | 23 | 118 | 257 | 29 | 47 | 316 | 27 | NC |
| 199 | 0 | 0 | 258 | 2 | 0 | 317 | 13 | NC |
| 200 | 0 | 7 | 259 | 0 | 0 | 318 | ¢ | 15 |
| 201 | 30 | 0 | 260 | 2 | 0 | 319 | 5 | 0 |
| 202 | 0 | 21 | 261 | NC | NC | 320 | 42 | 57 |
| 203 | NC | NC | 262 | (119) | 127 | 321 | 0 | 4 |
| 204 | ( Inc | ded in 205) | 263 | 31 | 0 | 322 | U | NC |
| 205 | 273 | 197 | 264 | 2 | 0 | 323 | 25 | 17 |
| 206 | (Inc | ded in 205) | 265 | 0 | 0 | 324 | 36 | 0 |
| 207 | NC | 60 | 266 | 2 | 8 | 325 | 0 | 0 |
| 208 | NC | 7 | 267 | 5 | 0 | 325 | 63 | 84 |
| 209 | NC | NC | 268 | 8 | 0 | 327 | 33 | U |
| 210 | NC | NC | 269 | 6 | 0 | 328 | 20 | 48 |
| 211 | NC | NC | 270 | 0 | 0 | 329 | 2 | 0 |
| 212 | NC | NC | 271 | 8 | 8 | 330 | 25 | 32 |
| 213 | 40 | (85) | 272 | 1 | 12 | 331 | 0 | 0 |
| 214 | NC | (8) | 273 | 70 | 49 | 332 | 33 | 176 |
| 215 | 11 | 10 | 274 | 0 | 16 | 333 | 35 | 33 |
| 216 | 0 | (1) | 275 | 0 | 0 | 334 | 19 | 45 |
| 217 | 36 | 88 | 276 | 3 | 0 | 335 | 0 | 4 |
| 218 | 115 | 112 | 277 | 27 | 51 | 336 | 12 | 12 |
| 219 | 5 | 4 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 337 | 33 | 0 |
| 220 | 93 | 88 | 279 | 0 | 1 | 338 | 9 | 48 |
| 221 | 280 | 536 | 280 | 9 | 0 | 339 | 28 | 38 |
| 222 | 11 | 0 | 281 | 0 | 21 | 340 | 0 | 0 |
| 223 | 0 | 0 | 282 | 11 | 0 | 341 | 36 | 49 |
| 224 | (Inc | ded in 225) | 283 | 10 | 0 | 342 | 29 | 32 |
| 225 | 520 | 656 | 284 | 24 | 29 | 343 | 11 | 27 |
| 226 | 10 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 344 | 17 | 18 |
| 227 | 0 | 34 | 286 | 0 | 0 | 345 | 0 | 31 |
| 228 | 29 | 0 | 287 | 4 | 64 | 346 | 0 | 0 |
| 229 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 0 | 2 | 347 | 0 | 0 |
| 230 | - 7 | 1 | 289 | 10 | 9 | 348 | 0 | 0 |
| 231 | 38 | 101 | 290 | 31 | 0 | 349 | 44 | 51 |
| 232 | 3 | 64 | 291 | 0 | 1 | 350 | 0 | 26 |
| 233 | 24 | 31 | 292 | 1 | 0 | 351 | 2 | 1 |
| 234 | 13 | 0 | 293 | 18 | 0 | 352 | 215 | 183 |
| 235 | 17 | 0 | 294 | 0 | 4 | 353 | 23 | 55 |
| 236 | 19 | 1 | 295 | 0 | 40 | 354 | 0 | 30 |
| 237 | NC | NC | 296 | 35 | 52 | 355 | 0 | 9 |

APPENDIX I. - Continued

| Site | April | May-June | Site | April | May-June | Site | April | May-June |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 356 | 46 | 67 | 379 | 1 | 0 | 402 | 0 | 0 |
| 357 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 15 | 0 | 403 | 0 | 0 |
| 358 | 25 | 13 | 381 | 32 | 46 | 404 | 0 | 0 |
| 359 | 5 | 0 | 382 | 325 | 483 | 405 | 30 | 0 |
| 360 | 58 | 0 | 383 | NC | NC | 406 | 0 | 0 |
| 361 | 97 | 0 | 384 | 518 | 269 | 407 | 0 | 0 |
| 362 | 21 | 7 | 385 | 146 | 127 | 408 | 0 | 0 |
| 363 | 354 | 583 | 386 | 0 | 0 | 409 | 0 | 0 |
| 364 | 0 | 13 | 387 | 0 | 0 | 410 | 0 | 48 |
| 365 | 0 | 52 | 388 | 0 | 0 | 411 | 0 | 0 |
| 366 | 0 | 16 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 412 | 0 | 35 |
| 367 | 0 | 10 | 390 | 0 | 21 | 413 | 66 | 52 |
| 368 | 43 | 0 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 414 | 263 | 0 |
| 369 | 11 | 0 | 392 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 63 | 0 |
| 370 | 0 | 0 | 393 | 16 | 0 | 416 | 0 | 0 |
| 371 | 25 | 14 | 394 | 0 | 0 | 417 | 0 | 0 |
| 372 | 3 | 0 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 418 | 208 | 129 |
| 373 | 101 | 172 | 396 | 0 | 0 | 419 | 0 | 0 |
| 374 | 29 | 76 | 397 | 0 | 2 | 420 | NC | 0 |
| 375 | 10 | 1 | 398 | 0 | 0 | 421 | 0 | 0 |
| 376 | 1 | 0 | 399 | 10 | 15 | 422 | 51 | 50 |
| 377 | 4 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 378 | 5 | 0 | 401 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX II

Location, substate description, maximum known count, and pup-total count of harbor seal hauling sites.

```
*EXR = extended reef
OFR = offshore rock
    ONR = onshore rock
    HES = harbor and estuary sand
    OBE = ocean-sandy beach
```

APPENDIX II

| Site No. | Location | Latitude | Longitude | Description | Maximum count | Rookery count (pup-total) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1.2 km S. Point La Jolla | $32^{\circ} 50.56^{\prime}$ | $117^{\circ} 16.90^{\prime}$ | EXR | - |  |
| 2. | 0.6 km S " " | $32^{\circ} 50.87^{\prime}$ | $117^{\circ} 16.68^{\prime}$ | EXR | - |  |
| 3. | 0.5 km S. " | $32^{\circ} 50.90^{\prime}$ | $117^{\circ} 16.62^{\prime}$ | OFR | 19 |  |
| 4. | Mugu Lagoon | $34^{\circ} 06.10^{\prime}$ | $119^{\circ} 04.44^{\prime}$ | HES | 96 | 5-43 |
|  | Standard 0il Pier |  |  | OBE + 0 NR |  |  |
| 5. | 0.1 km E. Carpinteria | $34^{\circ} 23.11^{\prime}$ | $119^{\circ} 30.33^{\prime}$ | Night+H.0. | 116 |  |
| 6. | 0.3 km W. | $34^{\circ} 23.13^{\prime}$ | $119^{\circ} 30.47^{\prime}$ | OFR | 26 |  |
| 7. | Carpinteria State Beach | $34^{\circ} 23.36^{\prime}$ | $119^{\circ} 31.09^{\prime}$ | EXR + OFR | 53 | 2-14 |
| 8. | Sand Point (minus tide only) | $34^{\circ} 23.60^{\prime}$ | $119^{\circ} 32.30^{\prime}$ | OFR | 10 | - |
| 9. | Moore Mesa, 2.0 km E Goleta Pier | $34^{\circ} 24.98^{\prime}$ | $119^{\circ} 48.39^{\prime}$ | OFR | 70 |  |
| 10. | 1.2 km W. Ellwood 0il Pier | $34^{\circ} 26.10^{\prime}$ | $119^{\circ} 55.75^{\prime}$ | OBE | 148 | 3-12 |
| 11. | 0.8 km E . Pt. Conception | $34^{\circ} 26.90^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 27.78^{\prime}$ | OBE + EXR | 412 | 10-242 |
| 12. | N. Pt. Conception | $34^{\circ} 27$. | $120^{\circ} 28$. | OFR | 38 |  |
| 13. | N. " | $34^{\circ} 28$. | $120^{\circ} 28$. | EXR | 19 | 4-19 |
| 14. | Sudden | $34^{\circ} 32$. | $120^{\circ} 34$. |  | - |  |
| 15. | 1.0 km S. Rocky Point | $34^{\circ} 33$. | $120^{\circ} 37$. | ONR | 24 |  |
| 16. | " "1 | $34^{\circ} 33$. | $120^{\circ} 37$. |  |  |  |
| 17. | S. Point Arguello | $34^{\circ} 34$. | $120^{\circ} 38$. | EXR | 57 |  |
| 18. | S. Purisima Point | $34^{\circ} 44$. | $120^{\circ} 37$. | ONR | 127 | 2-17 |
| 19. | S. " " | $34^{\circ} 44$. | $120^{\circ} 37$. | EXR | 70 | 4-70 |
| 20. | Purisima Point | $34^{\circ} 45.35^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 38.20^{\prime}$ | EXR | 38 | 1-2 |
| 21. | Point Sal Area | $34^{\circ} 51$. | $120^{\circ} 37$. | ONR |  |  |
| 22. | N. Side Point Sal | $34^{\circ} 54.40^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 40.10^{\prime}$ | ONR | 60 | 2-60 |
| 23. | Mussel Point | $34^{\circ} 55.76^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 40.04^{\prime}$ | EXR | 1 |  |
| 24. | 1.7 km N. Pismo Beach Pier (Isolated O.R. north | $35^{\circ} 08$. | $120^{\circ} 38$. | OFR | 19 | 2-19 |
| 25. | Shell Beach of Browns Island) (O.R. distinct | $35^{\circ} 09.10^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 40.14^{\prime}$ | OFR | 138 |  |
| $26$ | 0.1 km N . site \#25 at low tide) | $35^{\circ} 09.15^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 40$. | EXR | 51 | 5-20 |
| 26 A. | E. Mallagh Landing | $31^{\circ} 10$. | $120^{\circ} 42$. |  |  |  |

APPENDIX II - Continued

| Site No. | Location | Latitude | Longitude | Description | Maximum count | Rookery count (pup-total) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27. | Pirates Cove (Mallagh Landing) | $35^{\circ} 11.07^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 42.86^{\prime}$ | OFR | 29 |  |
| 28. | (New) Fossil Point | $35^{\circ} 10$. | $120^{\circ} 43$. | OFR | 20 |  |
| 29. | (New) 0.8 km S . Pecho Rock | $35^{\circ} 10$. | $120^{\circ} 49$. | EXR | 1 |  |
| 30. | Pecho Rock | $35^{\circ} 10.75^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 48.95^{\prime}$ | OFR | 2 |  |
| 31. | Deer Canyon (Outside S) | $35^{\circ} 11.26^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 48.73{ }^{\prime}$ | EXR | 67 |  |
| 32. | Deer Canyon (Inside Cove) | $35^{\circ} 11.29^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 48.74{ }^{\prime}$ | EXR | 4 |  |
| 33. | 0.9 km N . Deer Canyon | $35^{\circ} 11.55^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 49.25^{\prime}$ | EXR | 13 | 2-13 |
| 34. | 1.0 km N. Deer Canyon | $35^{\circ} 11.56^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 49.28^{\prime}$ | ONR | 11 |  |
| 35. | $1.2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~N} .1{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $35^{\circ} 11.65^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 49.44^{\prime}$ | OFR | 58 |  |
| 36. | 1.5 km N. | $35^{\circ} 11.73{ }^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 49.60^{\prime}$ | EXR | 22 |  |
| 37. | 2.0 km N. | $35^{\circ} 11.79^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 49.92{ }^{\prime}$ | EXR | 7 | 2-6 |
| 38. | 2.1 km N. " | $35^{\circ} 11.80^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 49.99^{\prime}$ | EXR | 60 | 1-9 |
| 39. | 2.4 km N. | $35^{\circ} 11.88^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 50.18^{\prime}$ | EXR +0 FR | 132 | 2-29 |
| 40. | (New) 0.4 km Sntrance to Diablo Cyn Harbor | $35^{\circ} 12$. | $120^{\circ} 52$. |  | 126 |  |
| 41. | 0.6 km N. Lion Rock | $35^{\circ} 13.42^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 52.16^{\prime}$ | OFR | 57 | 2-23 |
| 42. | 1.8 km N .0 " (opp.-Reservoir) | $35^{\circ} 13.94^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 52.66^{\prime}$ | EXR | 19 | 2-17 |
| 43. | 2.3 km N. " " | $35^{\circ} 14.15^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 53.04{ }^{\prime}$ | OFR | 21 |  |
| 44. | 0.8 km S. Islay Creek | $35^{\circ} 16$. | $120^{\circ} 53$. | OFR | 24 |  |
| 45. | 0.5 km S. Hazard Cyn. | $35^{\circ} 17$. | $120^{\circ} 52$. | EXR | 1 |  |
| 46. | Morro Bay (Inside Harbor) | $35^{\circ} 20.25^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 51.07{ }^{\prime}$ | HES | 41 | 6-41 |
| 47. | 0.2 km W. Cayucos Pier | $35^{\circ} 26.91^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 54.44^{\prime}$ | OFR | 13 |  |
| 48. | 1.0 km W . " " | $35^{\circ} 26.84{ }^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 54.59^{\prime}$ | EXR | 22 |  |
| 49. | 1.3 km W . | $35^{\circ} 26.82^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 55.20^{\prime}$ | EXR $+0 F R$ | 15 | 2-15 |
| 50. | 0.1 km W. Black Rock | $35^{\circ} 26.78{ }^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 55.41{ }^{\prime}$ | OFR | 66 |  |
| 51. | 0.9 km E. Cayucos Point | $35^{\circ} 26.81{ }^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 55.80^{\prime}$ | EXR | 8 | 2-15 |
| 52. | $0.2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{E} .\mathrm{"}{ }^{\text {" }}$ | $35^{\circ} 26.79{ }^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 56.22^{\prime}$ | EXR | 23 | 1-23 |
| 53. | Cayucos Point | $35^{\circ} 26.76{ }^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 56.37^{\prime}$ | EXR | 153 | 5-90 |
| 54. | 0.2 km W. Cayucos Point | $35^{\circ} 26.77^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 56.47{ }^{\prime}$ | EXR | 65 |  |
| 55. | 0.4 km W. " | $35^{\circ} 26.76^{\prime}$ | $120^{\circ} 56.66^{\prime}$ | EXR | 60 |  |

APPENDIX II - Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. |  | Latitude | Longitude | Description | Maximum <br> count |

APPENDIX II - Continued

| Site No. | Location | Latitude | Longitude | Description | Maximum count | Rookery count (pup-total) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82. | Offshore - Pt. Piedras Blancas | $35^{\circ} 39.76^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 16.62^{\prime}$ | OFR | 70 |  |
| 83. | Beach area at Pt. Piedras Blancas | $35^{\circ} 39.86^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 16.56^{\prime}$ | EXR | 25 |  |
| 84. | (New) Harleck Castle Rock Area | $35^{\circ} 41$. | $121^{\circ} 17$. | EXR | 10 |  |
| 85. | La Cruz Rock | $35^{\circ} 42.40^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 18.68{ }^{\prime}$ | OFR | 122 | reported |
| 86. | 0.3 km S . Pt. Sierra Nevada | $35^{\circ} 42.68^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 18.82^{\prime}$ | OFR | 68 | reported |
| 87. | 0.9 km S. Breaker Point | $35^{\circ} 44.15^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 19.07^{\prime}$ | OFR | 138 | reported |
| 87A. | N. Breaker Point | $35^{\circ} 44.93^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 19.07^{\prime}$ | EXR | new |  |
| 88. | (New) N. Ragged Point | $35^{\circ} 46$. | $121^{\circ} 19$. |  | 5 |  |
| 89. | (New) N. Ragged Point | $35^{\circ} 47$. | $121^{\circ} 20$. |  | 20 |  |
| 90. | (New) 1.0 km S. Salmon Creek | $35^{\circ} 48$. | $121^{\circ} 21$. |  | 15 |  |
| 91. | 1.6 km S. Salmon Creek | $35^{\circ} 48.30{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 21.49^{\prime}$ | EXR | 1 |  |
| 92. | 0.3 km S . " " | $35^{\circ} 48.36{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 21.59^{\prime}$ | OFR | 12 |  |
| 93. | 0.5 km N . " | $35^{\circ} 48.65^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 22.10^{\prime}$ | OFR | 1 |  |
| 94. | Redwood Gulch | $35^{\circ} 50.02^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 23.74{ }^{\prime}$ | OFR | 2 |  |
| 95. | 0.3 km S . Alder Creek | $35^{\circ} 51.37^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 24.86^{\prime}$ | OFR | 7 |  |
| 96. | 0.8 km N. | $35^{\circ} 51.49^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 25.01^{\prime}$ | OFR | 1 |  |
| 97. | 1.3 km N .0 " | $35^{\circ} 51$. | $121^{\circ} 26$. | OFR | 22 |  |
| 98. | Bird Rock (Gorda Rock) | $35^{\circ} 52.54{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 27.15^{\prime}$ | OFR | 59 |  |
| 99. | 0.6 km S. Cape San Martin | $35^{\circ} 53.08^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 27.59^{\prime}$ | OBE | 5 |  |
| 100. | 1.5 km S. Plaskett Rock | $35^{\circ} 54.56^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 28.03^{\prime}$ | OFR | 9 |  |
| 101. | 0.7 km S. Prewitt Cr. | $35^{\circ} 55.75^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 28.39^{\prime}$ | OFR | 14 |  |
| 102. | $0.4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{S}$. | $35^{\circ} 55.91{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 28.58^{\prime}$ | OFR | 44 |  |
| 103. | 0.7 km N. " " | $35^{\circ} 56.47{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 28.64{ }^{\prime}$ | OFR | 1 |  |
| 104. | 0.1 km S. Wild Cattle Cr. | $35^{\circ} 57.43{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 29.02^{\prime}$ | OFR | 7 |  |
| 105. | 1.0 km E. Lopez Point | $36^{\circ} 01.17^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 33.31^{\prime}$ | OFR | 6 |  |
| 106. | 0.1 km E. " | $36^{\circ} 01.15^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 33.96^{\prime}$ | OFR |  |  |
| 107. | $0.1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~W} . \quad " \quad "$ | $36^{\circ} 01.19^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 34.03^{\prime}$ | EXR | 34 |  |
| 108. | 0.7 km S . Vicente Creek | $36^{\circ} 02.30^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 34.84^{\prime}$ | OFR | 4 |  |
| 109. | Vicente Creek | $36^{\circ} 02.68^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 35.10^{\prime}$ | OFR | 15 |  |

APPENDIX II - Continued

|  | $\underset{\substack{1 \\ \multirow{1}{1}{\hline}\\ \hline}}{\text { n }}$ $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \stackrel{y}{u} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  |
| \% |  <br>  <br>  |
| $\xrightarrow{\substack { 3 \\+\\ \begin{subarray}{c}{ \pm \\ \hline \sim{ 3 \\ + \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { \pm \\ \hline \sim } }\end{subarray}}$ | 둥 - - - <br>  <br>  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{ \pm}{\sim} \stackrel{0}{n}$ |  <br>  |

APPENDIX II - Continued

| Site No. | Location | Latitude | Longitude | Description | Maximum count | Rookery count (pup-total) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 139. | 0.9 km S. Malpaso Creek | $36^{\circ} 28.40^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 56.20^{\prime}$ | OFR | 14 |  |
| 140. | Yankee Point Cove | $36^{\circ} 29.27^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 56.52^{\prime}$ | OFR | 19 |  |
| 141. | Yankee Point | $36^{\circ} 29.41^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 56.65^{\prime}$ | ONR | 71 |  |
| 142. | Bird Island (Pelican Point) | $36^{\circ} 30.40$ ' | $121^{\circ} 56.63{ }^{\prime}$ | OFR | 31 |  |
| 143. | 0.3 km N . Bird Island | 36 ' | 121 ' | OFR | 1 |  |
| 144. | Headland Cove | $36^{\circ} 31.17{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 57.01{ }^{\prime}$ | ONR | 28 |  |
| 145. | Whalers Cove | $36^{\circ} 31.20^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 56.14^{\prime}$ | OFR+EXR | 25 |  |
| 146. | The Pit, Whalers Cove | $36^{\circ} 31.30{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 56.13^{\prime}$ | OFR | (included in |  |
| 147. | Moss Cove (Pt. Loloos Reserve) | $36^{\circ} 31.35{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 56.04^{\prime}$ | OFR | $32$ |  |
| 148. | Arrowhead Point (outside) | $36^{\circ} 33.65{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 56.35^{\prime}$ | EXR | 25 | 1-10 |
| 149. | " " (inside) | $36^{\circ} 33.73^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 56.35^{\prime}$ | EXR | 71 | 3-21 |
| 150. | Pescadero Rocks | $36^{\circ} 33.70^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 56.62^{\prime}$ | OFR | 96 | 2-51 |
| 151. | 0.5 km N. Pescadero Pt. (Stillwater Cove) | $36^{\circ} 33.92^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 56.97^{\prime}$ | ONR+OFR | 53 |  |
| 152. | Cypress Pt. Parking Lot (South) | $36^{\circ} 34.58^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 58.36^{\prime}$ | EXR $+0 F R$ | 42 | 2-26 |
| 153. | 0.1 km S. Cypress Point | $36^{\circ} 34.75^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 58.60^{\prime}$ | OFR | 93 |  |
| 154. | Cypress Point | $36^{\circ} 34.82^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 58.62^{\prime}$ | OFR | 18 |  |
| 155. | 0.1 km N. Cypress Point | $36^{\circ} 34.83{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 58.52^{\prime}$ | EXR | 3 |  |
| 156. | 0.4 km N. " " | $36^{\circ} 34.94{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 58.48^{\prime}$ | OFR | 40 | 4-14 |
| 156A. | (New) Near Fan Shell Beach | $36^{\circ} 35.1$ | $121^{\circ} 57$. | EXR |  |  |
| 157. | Seal Rock | $36^{\circ} 35.30^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 57.90^{\prime}$ | OFR | 151 | 7-145 |
| 158. | 1.3 km N. Seal Rock (Ocean Ave.) | $36^{\circ} 35.97{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 57.76^{\prime}$ | EXR | 2 |  |
| 159. | 0.2 km S. Point Joe | $36^{\circ} 36.54{ }^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 57.48^{\prime}$ | EXR | 6 |  |
| 160. | Asilomar (Arena Ave.) | $36^{\circ} 37.60^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 56.47^{\prime}$ | EXR | 35 |  |
| 161. | 0.5 km W. Lovers Pt (Pacific Ave) | $36^{\circ} 37.70^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 55.17{ }^{\prime}$ | OFR | 49 |  |
| 162. | Hopkins Marine Station | $36^{\circ} 37.28^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 54.14^{\prime}$ | EXR $+0 F R$ | 147 | 1-73 |
| 163. | Monterey Harbor ( 0.2 km S. Breakwater) | $36^{\circ} 36.42^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 53.60^{\prime}$ | OFR | 2 |  |
| 164. | Elkhorn Slough (1.6 km E Hwy 1) | $36^{\circ} 48.87^{\prime}$ | $121^{\circ} 46.00^{\prime}$ | HES | 17 |  |
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| Site No. | Location | Latitude | Longitude | Description | Maximum count | Rookery count (pup-total) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 246 . \\ & 247 . \\ & 248 . \end{aligned}$ | 0.6 km S . Tomales Bluff | $38^{\circ} 14.12^{\prime}$ | $122^{\circ} 59.56^{\prime}$ | ONR | 12 |  |
|  | Tomales Bluff | $38^{\circ} 14.47^{\prime}$ | $122^{\circ} 59.61^{\prime}$ | EXR | 5 |  |
|  | 0.5 km N. Toms Pt., Tomales Bay | $38^{\circ} 13.00^{\prime}$ to | $122^{\circ} 57.26^{\prime}$ to |  |  |  |
|  |  | $38^{\circ} 13.50{ }^{\prime}$ | $122^{\circ} 57.50^{\prime}$ | HES | 338 | 23-238 |
| 249. | Hog Island (E. Spit) | $38^{\circ} 11.90{ }^{\prime}$ | $122^{\circ} 55.88^{\prime}$ | HES | 236 | 2-27 |
| 250. | Pinnacle Rock Area | $38^{\circ} 18$. | $123^{\circ} 01$. | OFR | 3 |  |
| 251. | Bodega Rock | $38^{\circ} 17.75^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 02.82^{\prime}$ | OFR | 115 |  |
| 252. | New Bodega Head | $38^{\circ} 17$. | $123^{\circ} 03$. | EXR | 11 |  |
| 253. | Mussel Point | $38^{\circ} 19.32^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 04.63^{\prime}$ | EXR | 11 |  |
| 254. | Arched Rock S. Carmet | $38^{\circ} 22.20^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 04.40^{\prime}$ | EXR | 9 |  |
| 255. | 0.7 km S . Duncans Pt. | $38^{\circ} 23.47^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 05.21^{\prime}$ | OFR | 8 |  |
| 256. | 0.3 km E." " (Cove) | $38^{\circ} 23.65^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 05.46^{\prime}$ | OFR | 8 |  |
| 257. | Wrights Beach | $38^{\circ} 24.27^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 06.01{ }^{\prime}$ | OFR | 47 |  |
| 258. | Furlong Gulch Area | $38^{\circ} 24$. | $123{ }^{\circ} 06$. | OFR | 1 |  |
| 259. | 0.9 km N . Shell Beach | $38^{\circ} 25.28^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 06.84^{\prime}$ | OFR | 1 |  |
| 260. | 1.2 km N." " | $38^{\circ} 25.39^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 06.90^{\prime}$ | OFR | 2 |  |
| 261. | Penny Island, Russian River | $38^{\circ} 26.75^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 06.83{ }^{\prime}$ | Up river logs | 3 |  |
| 262. | Russian River Spits | $38^{\circ} 27.02^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 07.64^{\prime}$ | HES | 190 |  |
| 263. | 1.3 km N. Russian River | $38^{\circ} 27.34^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 08.51^{\prime}$ | OFR | 31 |  |
| 264. | 1.6 km N. "' | $38^{\circ} 27.39^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 08.67^{\prime}$ | OFR | 2 |  |
| 265. | 2.0 km N. | $38^{\circ} 27.61^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 08.90^{\prime}$ | OFR | 10 |  |
| 266. | 1.6 km N. Russian Gulch | $38^{\circ} 28.60^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 10.18^{\prime}$ | OFR | 13 |  |
| 267. | 1.7 km N . | $38^{\circ} 28.59^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 10.26^{\prime}$ | OFR | 5 | 2-8 |
| 268. | 1.9 km N . | $38^{\circ} 28.63^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 10.32^{\prime}$ | EXR | 8 |  |
| 269. | 0.3 km S . Meyer Gulch | $38^{\circ} 28.96{ }^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 10.87^{\prime}$ | OFR | 13 | 2-13 |
| 270. | 1.8 km S . Fort Ross Reef | $38^{\circ} 29.75^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 12.46^{\prime}$ | OFR | 9 |  |
| 271. | $0.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{S}$. | $38^{\circ} 30.10^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 13.59^{\prime}$ | OFR | 28 |  |
| 272. | Fort Ross Reef | $38^{\circ} 30.06^{\prime}$ to | $123^{\circ} 13.92^{\prime}$ to |  |  |  |
|  |  | $38^{\circ} 30.12^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 13.75^{\prime}$ | EXR | 12 |  |
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| Site |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. |  | Latitude | Longitude | Description |
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| Site <br> No. | Location | Latitude | Longitude | Description | Maximum count | Rookery count (pup-total) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 357. | 0.4 km N. Cottoneva Cr . | $39^{\circ} 44.30^{\prime}$ | $123^{\circ} 49.88^{\prime}$ | OFR |  |  |
| 358. | Williams Pt. | $39 \times 45$. | $124^{\circ} 50$. | EXR | 25 |  |
| 359. | 0.3 km N . Soldier Frank Pt. | $39^{\circ} 45$. | $124^{\circ} 50$. | OFR | 5 |  |
| 360. | 0.5 km S . Anderson Gulch | $39^{\circ} 50.91^{\prime}$ | $124^{\circ} 53.11{ }^{\prime}$ | OBE | 58 |  |
| 361. | 0.3 km S . Anderson Gulch | $39^{\circ} 51.01^{\prime}$ | $124^{\circ} 53.21{ }^{\prime}$ | OBE | 97 |  |
| 362. | 0.3 km N . " " | $39^{\circ} 51.16^{\prime}$ | $124{ }^{\circ} 53.44^{\prime}$ | OBE | 21 |  |
| 363. | Northpoint Cove | $39^{\circ} 51.32^{\prime}$ | $124{ }^{\circ} 53.57{ }^{\prime}$ | OBE | 839 |  |
| 364. | 0.6 km N . Mistake Pt. | $39^{\circ} 51.85$ ' | $124^{\circ} 54.41^{\prime}$ | OBE | 13 |  |
| 365. | Jackass Creek area | $39^{\circ} 51 . \quad$ ' | $124{ }^{\circ} 54$. | OBE | 52 |  |
| 366. | Seal Rock 1.5 km S. Bear Harbor | $39^{\circ} 54.08{ }^{\prime}$ | $124^{\circ} 55.61{ }^{\prime}$ | OFR | 16 |  |
| 367. | Pt. No Pass | $39^{\circ} 58 . \quad '$ | $124^{\circ} 59 . \quad{ }^{\prime}$ | OFR | 10 |  |
| 368. | 1.4 km N. Pt. Delgada | $40^{\circ} 01.76{ }^{\prime}$ | $124^{\circ} 04.82 '$ | OFR | 43 |  |
| 369. | 5.0 km N . Big Flat Cr . | $40^{\circ} 09$. | $124{ }^{\circ} 12$. | OFR | 11 |  |
| 370. | Sea Lion Gulch | $40^{\circ} 14$. | $124^{\circ} 20$. |  |  |  |
| 371. | 1.7 km S. Punta Gorda | $40^{\circ} 14$. | $124^{\circ} 21$. | OFR | 25 |  |
| 372. | 1.3 km S . " " | $40^{\circ} 14$. | $124^{\circ} 21$. | OFR | 3 |  |
| 373. | Hair Seal Rock | $40^{\circ} 19.67{ }^{\prime}$ | $124^{\circ} 21.14^{\prime}$ | OFR | 172 |  |
| 374. | 1.6 km N. Hair Seal Rock | $40^{\circ} 20$. | $124^{\circ} 21$. | OFR | 76 |  |
| 375. | $2.2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~N} .{ }^{\text {c }}$ " " | $40^{\circ} 20$. | $124^{\circ} 21$. | EXR | 10 |  |
| 376. | 2.7 km N. " | $40^{\circ} 20$. | $124{ }^{\circ} 21$. | EXR | 1 |  |
| 377. | 1.7 km S. Sugarloaf Rock | $40^{\circ} 25$. | $124^{\circ} 24$. | OFR | 4 |  |
| 378. | 0.4 km S . " | $40^{\circ} 26.12{ }^{\prime}$ | $124^{\circ} 24.56^{\prime}$ | OFR | 5 |  |
| 379. | 0.2 km S . | $40^{\circ} 26.24{ }^{\prime}$ | $124^{\circ} 24.66^{\prime}$ | OFR | 5 |  |
| 380. | 0.3 km S. Sugarloaf Rock | $40^{\circ} 26.48^{\prime}$ | $124^{\circ} 24.69^{\prime}$ | OFR | 15 |  |
| 381. | False Cape | $40^{\circ} 30.60{ }^{\prime}$ | $124^{\circ} 23.17^{\prime}$ | OFR | 46 |  |
| 382. | Fel River Spit | $40^{\circ} 38.41^{\prime}$ | $124^{\circ} 18.75^{\prime}$ | HES | 442 |  |
| 383. | S. Humboldt Bay | $40^{\circ}$ | $124^{\circ}$ | HES | 487 |  |
| 384. | Daby Island | $40^{\circ} 48.68$ | $124^{\circ} 08.97^{\prime}$ | HES | 5 |  |
| 385. | N. Humboldt Bay | $40^{\circ} 50.30$ | $124^{\circ} 06.50^{\prime}$ | HES | 84 |  |


| Site |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. Location | Latitude | Rookery |  |
| Noxitude | Description | Maximum count | (pup-total) |




$\therefore$ 오 우
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## APPENDIX III

Harbor Seal Counts by Ground Observers. Counts are given in quarter hour intervals before and after low tide. Upper values are hauledout animals, lower values are animals in the water near the hauling site.
Appendix III. Harbor seal counts by ground observers. Counts are given in quarter hour intervals before and after low tide. Upper values are hauled-out animals, lower values are animals in the water near the hauling site.

| Site Day No. | Hours Before Low Tide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Low |  |  |  | Hours After Low Tide |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.50 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tide } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.50 |
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| Site Day No. |  | 2.50 | Hours Before Low Tide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { Tide } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hours } \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | After Low Tide |  |  | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2.25 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 1.25 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.50 | 1.75 |  |  |  |
| June 1981 - cont'd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{45}{2}$ |
| San C | Clemente |  | Island | June |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{12}{1}$ | $\frac{25}{2}$ | $\frac{24}{0}$ | $\frac{24}{0}$ | $\frac{28}{2}$ | $\frac{34}{0}$ | $\frac{29}{0}$ | $\frac{28}{0}$ | $\frac{30}{0}$ | $\frac{30}{1}$ | $\frac{35}{1}$ | $\frac{35}{1}$ | $\frac{40}{2}$ | $\frac{39}{2}$ |
| San N | Nicolas | Island | June |  |  |  |  | $\frac{47}{5}$ | $\frac{51}{2}$ | $\frac{52}{1}$ | $\frac{52}{0}$ | $\frac{54}{0}$ | $\frac{54}{0}$ | $\frac{54}{0}$ | $\frac{54}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { April } \\ 1 & 1982 \\ 16\end{array} \quad \frac{15}{0} \quad \frac{15}{0} \quad \frac{16}{0} \quad \frac{17}{0} \quad \frac{17}{0} \quad \frac{17}{0} \quad \frac{17}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 17* |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{19}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{0}$ | $\frac{15}{0}$ | $\frac{4}{0}$ | $\frac{6}{0}$ | $\frac{6}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $18^{*}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{13}{0}$ | $\frac{13}{0}$ | $\frac{9}{2}$ | $\frac{9}{2}$ | $\frac{8}{0}$ | $\frac{8}{1}$ | $\frac{8}{0}$ | $\frac{7}{0}$ | $\frac{7}{0}$ | $\frac{7}{0}$ | $\frac{7}{0}$ | $\frac{8}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 19* |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{13}{1}$ | $\frac{14}{0}$ | $\frac{14}{0}$ | $\frac{14}{0}$ | ${ }_{10}^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 20* |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{4}{0}$ | $\frac{2}{2}$ | $\frac{4}{0}$ | $\frac{4}{0}$ | $\frac{3}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{0}$ | $\frac{5}{0}$ | $\frac{5}{0}$ | $\frac{5}{0}$ | $\frac{5}{0}$ | $\frac{5}{0}$ | $\frac{5}{0}$ | $\frac{5}{0}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 415 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{50}{4}$ | $\frac{54}{2}$ | $\frac{33}{6}$ | $\frac{37}{9}$ | $\frac{40}{6}$ | $\frac{44}{6}$ | $\frac{42}{10}$ | $\frac{46}{5}$ | $\frac{61}{0}$ | $\frac{59}{2}$ | $\frac{62}{1}$ |
|  | 416 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{29}{2}$ | 23 | $\frac{35}{4}$ |
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| Site Day No. |  | 2.50 | Hours Before Low Tide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { Tide } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hours } \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ | Low Tide |  | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2.25 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 1.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.75 |  |  |  |
| April 1982-cont'd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{51}{2}$ | $\frac{56}{3}$ | $\frac{57}{2}$ | $\frac{41}{5}$ | $\frac{52}{2}$ | $\frac{59}{1}$ | $\frac{13}{16}$ | $\frac{8}{6}$ | $\frac{21}{4}$ | $\frac{10}{4}$ | $\frac{18}{0}$ | $\frac{20}{5}$ | $\frac{24}{3}$ | $\frac{23}{1}$ | $\frac{22}{3}$ | $\frac{25}{0}$ |  |
| 4 | 18* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{43}{6}$ | $\frac{36}{10}$ | $\frac{41}{7}$ | $\frac{41}{9}$ | $\frac{16}{13}$ | $\frac{21}{8}$ | $\frac{18}{4}$ | $\frac{21}{3}$ | $\frac{13}{1}$ | $\frac{11}{2}$ | $\frac{3}{4}$ |  |  |
| 4 | 19 | $\frac{44}{13}$ | $\frac{46}{11}$ | $\frac{43}{11}$ | $\frac{40}{17}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 16* |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{27}{1}$ | $\frac{30}{3}$ | $\frac{7}{5}$ | $\frac{7}{4}$ | $\frac{6}{4}$ | $\frac{8}{6}$ | $\frac{7}{6}$ | $\frac{8}{3}$ | $\frac{6}{4}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{4}{7}$ | $\frac{3}{5}$ | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{0}{6}$ |  |  |
| 5 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{15}{4}$ | $\frac{16}{5}$ | $\frac{15}{3}$ | $\frac{14}{0}$ | $\frac{16}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{0}$ | $\frac{20}{0}$ | $\frac{20}{0}$ | $\frac{21}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{3}$ | $\frac{9}{4}$ | $\frac{6}{8}$ |  |  |  |
| 5 | 20* |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{19}{1}$ | $\frac{19}{1}$ | $\frac{17}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{7}$ | $\frac{0}{6}$ | $\frac{0}{5}$ | $\frac{0}{7}$ | $\frac{0}{5}$ | $\frac{0}{7}$ | $\frac{0}{5}$ | $\frac{0}{7}$ | $\frac{0}{9}$ | $\frac{0}{5}$ |  |  |
| 6 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{2}$ | $\frac{4}{1}$ | $\frac{5}{4}$ | $\frac{5}{4}$ | $\frac{12}{2}$ | $\frac{10}{2}$ | $\frac{15}{2}$ | $\frac{12}{3}$ | $\frac{13}{2}$ | $\frac{11}{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{22}{1}$ | $\frac{18}{3}$ | $\frac{24}{1}$ | $\frac{25}{0}$ | $\frac{17}{4}$ | $\frac{21}{1}$ | $\frac{21}{2}$ | $\frac{23}{1}$ | $\frac{22}{1}$ | $\frac{23}{0}$ | $\frac{23}{0}$ | $\frac{26}{0}$ | $\frac{23}{1}$ |  |  |
| 6 | 20 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{0}$ | $\frac{10}{1}$ | $\frac{11}{0}$ | $\frac{14}{0}$ | $\frac{18}{0}$ | $\frac{16}{2}$ | $\frac{16}{1}$ | $\frac{20}{1}$ | $\frac{21}{0}$ | $\frac{21}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{1}$ | $\frac{19}{0}$ | $\frac{18}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 16* |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{29}{2}$ | $\frac{12}{5}$ | $\frac{8}{3}$ | $\frac{6}{9}$ | $\frac{11}{4}$ | $\frac{9}{3}$ | $\frac{6}{2}$ | $\frac{6}{2}$ | $\frac{5}{3}$ | $\frac{4}{6}$ | $\frac{3}{5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{14}{7}$ | $\frac{13}{4}$ | $\frac{13}{3}$ | $\frac{13}{4}$ | $\frac{12}{3}$ | $\frac{14}{3}$ | $\frac{13}{3}$ | $\frac{13}{4}$ | $\frac{10}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Site Day |  | Hours Before Low Tide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { Tide } \\ & \text { Tide } \end{aligned}$ | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hours } \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ | Low Tide |  |  | 2.25 | 2.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2.50 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2.00 |  |  |
| April 1982 - cont'd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{24}{0}$ | $\frac{24}{1}$ | $\frac{16}{5}$ | $\frac{20}{0}$ | $\frac{18}{1}$ | $\frac{12}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 20* |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{20}{0}$ | $\frac{31}{0}$ | $\frac{21}{3}$ | $\frac{21}{2}$ | $\frac{18}{2}$ | $\frac{16}{2}$ | $\frac{16}{4}$ | $\frac{17}{3}$ | $\frac{18}{3}$ | $\frac{17}{2}$ | $\frac{18}{3}$ | $\frac{17}{1}$ | $\frac{16}{6}$ |  |  |  |
| 10 | 15* |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{65}{0}$ | $\frac{68}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 16* |  |  |  | $\frac{13}{5}$ | $\frac{14}{6}$ | ${ }_{1} \frac{0}{3}$ | ${ }_{10}^{0}$ | 15 | $\frac{0}{6}$ | $\frac{0}{7}$ | $\frac{0}{6}$ | $\frac{0}{8}$ | $\frac{0}{7}$ | $\frac{1}{9}$ | $\frac{12}{10}$ | $\frac{22}{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 17* |  |  |  |  | $\frac{2}{11}$ | ${ }_{12}{ }^{2}$ | ${ }_{11}^{3}$ | ${ }_{1}{ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{\frac{2}{2}}$ | $\frac{2}{16}$ | ${ }^{\frac{2}{4}}$ | $1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | ${ }_{1} \frac{2}{4}$ | $\frac{2}{16}$ | $1^{\frac{1}{3}}$ | 15 | ${ }^{17}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 18* |  |  |  | ${ }^{\frac{3}{4}}$ | $\frac{12}{7}$ | ${ }_{15}^{2}$ | ${ }_{1}{ }^{\frac{2}{4}}$ | $1{ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{2} 14$ | ${ }^{\frac{2}{3}}$ | ${ }_{17}^{2}$ | $1^{\frac{4}{2}}$ | ${ }_{17}^{2}$ | ${ }^{2} 5$ | $1^{\frac{2}{4}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 19* |  |  |  |  | $\frac{34}{6}$ | $\frac{41}{7}$ | $\frac{25}{6}$ | $\frac{23}{6}$ | $\frac{9}{9}$ | $\frac{0}{6}$ | $\frac{0}{7}$ | $\frac{0}{8}$ | $\frac{0}{8}$ | $\frac{0}{6}$ | 13 | $1^{\frac{1}{3}}$ | $\frac{0}{8}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 20* |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{14}^{14}$ | ${ }^{12}$ | ${ }_{10}^{0}$ | $\frac{0}{6}$ | ${ }_{1}{ }^{0}$ | $\frac{9}{7}$ | $\frac{15}{5}$ | $\frac{18}{5}$ | $\frac{25}{4}$ | $\frac{35}{2}$ | $\frac{27}{8}$ | $\stackrel{46}{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 16 | $\frac{67}{5}$ | $\frac{81}{9}$ | $\frac{80}{13}$ | $\frac{85}{15}$ | $\frac{110}{10}$ | $\frac{109}{7}$ | $\frac{105}{6}$ | $\frac{117}{7}$ | $\frac{130}{11}$ | $\frac{145}{6}$ | $\frac{141}{10}$ | $\frac{148}{10}$ | $\frac{145}{12}$ | $\frac{163}{14}$ | $\frac{164}{9}$ | $\frac{182}{1}$ | $\frac{199}{8}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{216}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 18 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{198}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{215}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix III. - Continued

| Site Day No. |  | Hours Before Low Tide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { Tide } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | Hours <br> 1.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ | Low Tide |  | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2.50 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.50 | 1.75 |  |  |  |
| April 1982 - cont'd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{165}{12}$ | $\frac{157}{12}$ | $\frac{161}{14}$ | $\frac{160}{20}$ | $\frac{187}{23}$ | $\frac{208}{10}$ | $\frac{210}{9}$ | $\frac{185}{8}$ | $\frac{194}{6}$ | $\frac{216}{5}$ | $\frac{210}{6}$ |  |  |  |
| 11 | 20 | $\frac{99}{10}$ | $\frac{116}{17}$ | $\frac{112}{16}$ | $\frac{145}{13}$ | $\frac{158}{9}$ | $\frac{170}{12}$ | $\frac{178}{3}$ | $\frac{183}{1}$ |  | $\frac{195}{3}$ | $\frac{181}{16}$ | $\frac{198}{4}$ | $\frac{183}{2}$ | $\frac{205}{5}$ | $\frac{194}{3}$ | $\frac{206}{1}$ |  | $\frac{242}{1}$ | $\frac{226}{4}$ | $\frac{233}{3}$ |  |
| 74 | 17 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{20}{4}$ |  | $\frac{29}{0}$ | $\frac{30}{0}$ | $\frac{31}{1}$ | $\frac{31}{4}$ | $\frac{30}{1}$ | $\frac{34}{0}$ | $\frac{34}{2}$ | $\frac{35}{1}$ | $\frac{33}{9}$ | $\frac{32}{4}$ | $\frac{32}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 32 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 33 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 32 1 | 38 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 35 2 | 29 3 | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 33 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 1 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | 19 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{33}{0}$ |  | $\frac{31}{1}$ | $\frac{30}{2}$ | $\frac{26}{5}$ | $\frac{29}{0}$ | $\frac{32}{4}$ | $\frac{31}{1}$ | $\frac{28}{0}$ | $\frac{23}{0}$ | $\frac{20}{3}$ | $\frac{18}{2}$ | $\frac{16}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | 20 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{21}{0}$ | $\frac{24}{0}$ | $\frac{22}{1}$ | $\frac{23}{1}$ | $\frac{24}{1}$ | $\frac{27}{0}$ | $\frac{30}{0}$ | $\frac{27}{1}$ | $\frac{30}{0}$ | $\frac{30}{0}$ | $\frac{26}{0}$ | $\frac{25}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | 17* |  |  |  |  | $\frac{42}{0}$ | $\frac{44}{0}$ | $\frac{46}{0}$ | $\frac{43}{0}$ | $\frac{20}{0}$ | $\frac{25}{0}$ | $\frac{28}{0}$ | $\frac{32}{0}$ | $\frac{45}{0}$ | $\frac{45}{0}$ | $\frac{43}{0}$ | $\frac{47}{0}$ | $\frac{40}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | 18 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{14}{2}$ | $\frac{15}{3}$ | $\frac{16}{0}$ | $\frac{17}{0}$ | $\frac{18}{0}$ | $\frac{17}{0}$ | $\frac{22}{0}$ | $\frac{22}{0}$ | $\frac{23}{0}$ | $\frac{27}{3}$ | $\frac{30}{0}$ | $\frac{27}{0}$ | $\frac{27}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | 19 |  |  |  | $\frac{50}{2}$ | $\frac{50}{0}$ | $\frac{54}{0}$ | $\frac{50}{0}$ | $\frac{50}{0}$ | $\frac{48}{0}$ | $\frac{47}{0}$ | $\frac{44}{0}$ | $\frac{42}{0}$ | $\frac{40}{2}$ | $\frac{38}{0}$ | $\frac{44}{0}$ | $\frac{45}{0}$ | $\frac{47}{0}$ | $\frac{40}{0}$ | $\frac{36}{0}$ | $\frac{28}{2}$ |  |
|  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{42}{0}$ | $\frac{51}{0}$ | $\frac{48}{0}$ | $\frac{48}{0}$ | $\frac{43}{0}$ | $\frac{43}{0}$ | $\frac{53}{0}$ | $\frac{48}{0}$ | $\frac{46}{0}$ | $\frac{46}{0}$ | $\frac{42}{0}$ | $\frac{35}{0}$ | $\frac{27}{0}$ | $\frac{22}{0}$ |
| 79 | 17 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{36}{0}$ | $\frac{38}{0}$ | $\frac{30}{0}$ | $\frac{29}{0}$ | $\frac{31}{0}$ | $\frac{30}{0}$ | $\frac{30}{0}$ | $\frac{27}{0}$ | $\frac{29}{0}$ | $\frac{28}{0}$ | $\frac{33}{0}$ | $\frac{31}{0}$ | $\frac{30}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |

Appendix III. - Continued

Appendix III. - Continued

| Site Day No. |  | Hours Before Low Tide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { Tide } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hours } \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ | Low Tide |  | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2.50 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.50 | 1.75 |  |  |  |
| April 1982 - cont'd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{20}{4}$ | $\frac{21}{3}$ | $\frac{22}{2}$ | $\frac{23}{1}$ | $\frac{21}{3}$ | $\frac{22}{2}$ | $\frac{22}{3}$ | $\frac{22}{2}$ | $\frac{21}{2}$ | $\frac{19}{5}$ | $\frac{19}{5}$ | $\frac{18}{5}$ | $\frac{18}{4}$ | $\frac{18}{5}$ |  |  |
| 148 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{31}{1}$ |  | $\frac{28}{2}$ |  | $\frac{36}{1}$ |  | $\frac{30}{2}$ |  | $\frac{31}{2}$ |  | $\frac{30}{2}$ |  | $\frac{30}{4}$ |  |  |
| 152 | 17 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{21}{0}$ |  | $\frac{21}{0}$ |  | $\frac{21}{0}$ |  | $\frac{25}{0}$ |  | $\frac{28}{0}$ |  | $\frac{26}{1}$ |  | $\frac{26}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 152 | 18* |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{21}{1}$ |  | $\frac{22}{0}$ |  | $\frac{11}{2}$ |  | $\frac{13}{0}$ |  | $\frac{14}{0}$ |  | $\frac{15}{1}$ |  | $\frac{13}{3}$ |  |  |
| 152 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{25}{0}$ |  | $\frac{26}{0}$ |  | $\frac{25}{0}$ |  | $\frac{26}{0}$ |  | $\frac{27}{0}$ |  | $\frac{29}{0}$ |  | $\frac{25}{0}$ |  | $\frac{27}{0}$ |
| 152 | 20 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{35}{0}$ |  | $\frac{29}{0}$ |  | $\frac{28}{0}$ |  | $\frac{31}{0}$ |  | $\frac{30}{0}$ |  | $\frac{31}{0}$ |  | $\frac{31}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 153 | 17 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{12}{0}$ | $\frac{12}{0}$ | $\frac{12}{0}$ | $\frac{15}{0}$ | $\frac{15}{3}$ | $\frac{15}{0}$ | $\frac{15}{2}$ | $\frac{14}{2}$ | $\frac{14}{2}$ | $\frac{14}{2}$ | $\frac{14}{3}$ | $\frac{16}{2}$ | $\frac{11}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 153 | 18* |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{16}{0}$ |  | $\frac{16}{0}$ |  | $\frac{5}{0}$ |  | $\frac{5}{0}$ |  | $\frac{5}{0}$ |  | $\frac{5}{0}$ |  | $\frac{7}{0}$ |  |  |
| 153 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{13}{2}$ |  | $\frac{12}{1}$ |  | $\frac{13}{0}$ |  | $\frac{14}{0}$ |  | $\frac{14}{3}$ |  | $\frac{14}{2}$ |  | $\frac{8}{8}$ |  |  |
| 154 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{12}{2}$ | $\frac{13}{2}$ | $\frac{13}{3}$ | $\frac{14}{3}$ | $\frac{16}{3}$ | $\frac{18}{2}$ | $\frac{18}{2}$ | $\frac{18}{3}$ | $\frac{19}{2}$ | $\frac{19}{1}$ | $\frac{17}{2}$ | $\frac{18}{2}$ | $\frac{16}{2}$ |  |  |  |
| 155 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{45}{0}$ |  | $\frac{43}{0}$ |  | $\frac{44}{0}$ |  | $\frac{43}{0}$ |  | $\frac{42}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |

Appendix III. - Continued

Appendix III. - Continued

| Site Day No. |  | Hours Before Low Tide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { Tide } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hours } \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | After Low Tide |  |  | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2.50 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.25 | 1.50 | 1.75 |  |  |  |
| April 1982-cont'd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{0}{1}$ |  | $\frac{0}{0}$ |  | $\frac{0}{0}$ |  | $\frac{0}{0}$ |  | $\frac{0}{0}$ |  | $\frac{0}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 161 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{7}{0}$ |  | $\frac{7}{0}$ |  | $\frac{7}{0}$ |  | $\frac{7}{0}$ |  | $\frac{4}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 161 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{7}{1}$ |  | $\frac{11}{0}$ |  | $\frac{14}{1}$ | $\frac{15}{0}$ |  |  |  |  | $\frac{17}{0}$ |  | $\frac{13}{1}$ |  |  |  |
| 162 | 17* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{90}{2}$ | $\frac{101}{0}$ | $\frac{94}{1}$ | $\frac{101}{2}$ | $\frac{89}{5}$ | $\frac{92}{0}$ | $\frac{88}{0}$ | $\frac{80}{0}$ | $\frac{87}{0}$ | $\frac{88}{0}$ | $\frac{51}{0}$ |  |  |
| 162 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{93}{0}$ | $\frac{96}{0}$ |  | $\frac{97}{0}$ |  | $\frac{97}{0}$ |  | $\frac{97}{0}$ |  | $\frac{96}{0}$ |  | $\frac{68}{0}$ |
| 162 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{97}{1}$ |  | $\frac{100}{5}$ |  | $\frac{92}{1}$ |  | $\frac{72}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 162 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{69}{0}$ |  | $\frac{83}{0}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{88}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 165 | 19 |  |  | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{0}{4}$ | $\frac{0}{2}$ | $\frac{0}{1}$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{2}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{2}$ | $\frac{0}{1}$ | $\frac{0}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 165 | 20 |  |  |  | $\frac{23}{0}$ | $\frac{25}{3}$ | $\frac{27}{1}$ | $\frac{28}{2}$ | $\frac{29}{3}$ | $\frac{29}{0}$ | $\frac{29}{1}$ | $\frac{28}{1}$ | $\frac{29}{0}$ | $\frac{30}{0}$ | $\frac{31}{0}$ | $\frac{33}{0}$ | $\frac{33}{1}$ | $\frac{33}{0}$ | $\frac{33}{1}$ |  |  |  |
| 165 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{21}{2}$ | $\frac{21}{1}$ | $\frac{21}{1}$ | $\frac{20}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{5}$ | $\frac{17}{3}$ | $\frac{17}{2}$ | $\frac{13}{1}$ | $\frac{13}{0}$ | $\frac{13}{1}$ | $\frac{12}{0}$ | $\frac{11}{1}$ | $\frac{13}{0}$ |  |  |  |
| 165 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ | $\frac{0}{0}$ |  |  |  |

Appendix III. - Continued

Appendix III. - Continued

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site Day } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ |  | 2.50 | 2.25 |  | Hours Before Low Tide |  |  |  |  | 0.50 | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { Tide } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hours } \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ | Low Tide |  | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.75 |  |  | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 1.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.75 |  |  |  |
| April 1982-cont'd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{86}{0}$ | $\frac{86}{0}$ | $\frac{85}{0}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{81}{0}$ | $\frac{81}{0}$ |  |  |  |
| 175 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{78}{0}$ | $\frac{78}{0}$ | $\frac{80}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175 | 20 |  |  | $\frac{39}{0}$ | $\frac{46}{0}$ | $\frac{50}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{70}{2}$ | $\frac{76}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{70}{0}$ | $\frac{74}{0}$ | $\frac{74}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{81}{0}$ | $\frac{81}{0}$ | $\frac{81}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 190 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{14}{1}$ |  | $\frac{15}{0}$ |  | $\frac{16}{0}$ |  | $\frac{16}{0}$ |  | $\frac{16}{0}$ |  |  |
| 190 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{8}{0}$ | $\frac{9}{0}$ | $\frac{12}{0}$ | $\frac{13}{0}$ | $\frac{16}{0}$ |  | $\frac{16}{0}$ |  | $\frac{16}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 190 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{3}{0}$ |  | $\frac{6}{0}$ |  | $\frac{16}{0}$ | $\frac{18}{0}$ |  |  | $\frac{19}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 190 | 22 |  | $\frac{6}{0}$ |  | $\frac{6}{0}$ |  |  | $\frac{7}{0}$ | $\frac{7}{0}$ | $\frac{7}{0}$ |  | $\frac{8}{0}$ |  | $\frac{10}{0}$ |  | $\frac{10}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 191 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{13}{1}$ |  | $\frac{13}{1}$ | $\frac{13}{1}$ | $\frac{12}{1}$ | $\frac{12}{1}$ | $\frac{14}{1}$ | $\frac{12}{1}$ |  | $\frac{13}{1}$ |  |
| 191 | 20* |  |  |  |  | $\frac{18}{0}$ | $\frac{18}{1}$ | $\frac{16}{0}$ | $\frac{12}{1}$ | $\frac{4}{7}$ | $\frac{5}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{2}$ | $\frac{6}{2}$ | $\frac{8}{1}$ | $\frac{8}{1}$ | $\frac{9}{3}$ | $\frac{9}{0}$ | $\frac{9}{1}$ | $\frac{8}{1}$ |  |  |  |

Appendix III. - Continued

| Site DayNo. |  | Hours Before Low Tide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { Tide } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hours } \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ | Low Tide |  | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2.50 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.50 | 1.75 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{21}{0}$ | $\frac{17}{0}$ | $\frac{13}{0}$ | $\frac{14}{0}$ | $\frac{14}{0}$ | $\frac{14}{0}$ | $\frac{14}{0}$ | $\frac{14}{0}$ |  | $\frac{11}{0}$ | $\frac{11}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 191 | 22 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{14}{0}$ | $\frac{16}{0}$ | $\frac{17}{0}$ | $\frac{18}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{2}$ | $\frac{19}{2}$ | $\frac{16}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 192 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{23}{0}$ | $\frac{23}{0}$ |  |  | $\frac{25}{1}$ | $\frac{24}{0}$ | $\frac{24}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 192 | 20 |  |  |  | $\frac{34}{0}$ |  | $\frac{34}{0}$ | $\frac{27}{0}$ | $\frac{26}{0}$ | $\frac{26}{0}$ | $\frac{26}{1}$ | $\frac{26}{1}$ | $\frac{25}{0}$ | $\frac{26}{0}$ |  | $\frac{26}{2}$ |  | $\frac{26}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 192 | 21 |  |  |  | $\frac{24}{0}$ |  | $\frac{19}{0}$ | $\frac{17}{0}$ | $\frac{13}{0}$ | $\frac{11}{0}$ |  | $\frac{17}{0}$ |  | $\frac{13}{0}$ |  | $\frac{15}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 192 | 22 |  |  |  | $\frac{15}{0}$ |  | $\frac{15}{0}$ | $\frac{10}{0}$ |  |  | $\frac{10}{0}$ | $\frac{10}{0}$ | $\frac{10}{0}$ | $\frac{10}{0}$ | $\frac{10}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 194 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{25}{0}$ | $\frac{26}{0}$ | $\frac{26}{0}$ | $\frac{22}{0}$ | $\frac{21}{0}$ | $\frac{21}{0}$ | $\frac{21}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{0}$ | $\frac{18}{0}$ | $\frac{18}{0}$ | $\frac{17}{0}$ | $\frac{17}{0}$ | $\frac{17}{0}$ |  |  |
| 194 | 20 |  |  |  | $\frac{20}{0}$ | $\frac{20}{0}$ | $\frac{20}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{0}$ | $\frac{19}{0}$ | $\frac{16}{0}$ | $\frac{16}{0}$ | $\frac{16}{0}$ | $\frac{16}{0}$ | $\frac{16}{0}$ | $\frac{16}{0}$ | $\frac{15}{0}$ | $\frac{15}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 215 | 19 |  | $\frac{4}{3}$ | 9 | $\frac{10}{0}$ | $\frac{10}{1}$ | $\frac{12}{0}$ | $\frac{11}{2}$ | $\frac{14}{0}$ | $\frac{14}{0}$ | $\frac{12}{1}$ | $\frac{12}{1}$ | $\frac{10}{2}$ | $\frac{11}{0}$ | $\frac{11}{0}$ | $\frac{11}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 215 | 20 |  |  | $\frac{8}{1}$ | $\frac{9}{0}$ | $\frac{10}{1}$ | $\frac{10}{1}$ | $\frac{10}{1}$ | $\frac{11}{0}$ | $\frac{12}{0}$ | $\frac{12}{0}$ | $\frac{12}{0}$ | $\frac{10}{0}$ | $\frac{12}{0}$ | $\frac{12}{1}$ | $\frac{11}{1}$ | $\frac{11}{0}$ | $\frac{11}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 215 | 21 |  |  | $\frac{14}{0}$ | $\frac{11}{3}$ | $\frac{14}{3}$ | $\frac{15}{3}$ | $\frac{16}{1}$ | $\frac{17}{1}$ | $\frac{15}{5}$ | $\frac{15}{3}$ | $\frac{15}{2}$ | $\frac{16}{3}$ | $\frac{16}{2}$ | $\frac{16}{3}$ | $\frac{16}{2}$ | $\frac{15}{2}$ | $\frac{9}{3}$ | $\frac{9}{4}$ |  |  |  |
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| Site DayNo. |  | 2.50 | 2.25 | 2.00 | Hours Before Low Tide |  |  |  |  | 0.50 | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { Tide } \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hours } \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ | Low Tide |  | 2.00 | 2.25 | 2.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.75 |  |  | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 1.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.75 |  |  |  |
| April 1982-cont'd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 262 |  |  | $\frac{165}{4}$ | $\frac{157}{3}$ | $\frac{159}{3}$ | $\frac{166}{6}$ | $\frac{169}{5}$ | $\frac{169}{4}$ | $\frac{174}{2}$ | $\frac{170}{6}$ | $\frac{176}{4}$ | $\frac{178}{3}$ | $\frac{178}{2}$ | $\frac{178}{3}$ | $\frac{179}{1}$ | $\frac{184}{1}$ | $\frac{182}{1}$ | $\frac{185}{2}$ | $\frac{190}{0}$ | $\frac{189}{1}$ | $\frac{182}{2}$ | $\frac{183}{1}$ | $\frac{182}{1}$ |
| 262 | 18* | $\frac{137}{4}$ | $\frac{148}{3}$ | $\frac{147}{2}$ | $\frac{153}{1}$ | $\frac{159}{1}$ | $\frac{164}{9}$ | $\frac{164}{5}$ | $\frac{176}{4}$ | $\frac{182}{4}$ | $\frac{120}{0}$ | $\frac{149}{21}$ | $\frac{134}{30}$ | $\frac{145}{23}$ |  | $\frac{136}{17}$ | $\frac{141}{4}$ | $\frac{141}{8}$ | $\frac{139}{2}$ | $\frac{144}{4}$ | $\frac{145}{4}$ | $\frac{147}{2}$ |
| 262 | 19 |  |  | $\frac{173}{4}$ | $\frac{167}{6}$ | $\frac{168}{5}$ | $\frac{174}{4}$ | $\frac{149}{18}$ | $\frac{158}{8}$ | $\frac{160}{9}$ | $\frac{162}{8}$ | $\frac{167}{6}$ | $\frac{169}{6}$ | $\frac{168}{4}$ | $\frac{169}{4}$ | $\frac{172}{2}$ | 164 | $\frac{160}{4}$ | $\frac{158}{4}$ | $\frac{156}{5}$ | $\frac{157}{9}$ | $\frac{155}{6}$ |
| 262 | 20* | $\frac{68}{3}$ | $\frac{76}{4}$ | $\frac{88}{3}$ | $\frac{98}{3}$ | $\frac{110}{2}$ | $\frac{114}{4}$ | $\frac{119}{4}$ | $\frac{29}{23}$ | $\frac{55}{15}$ | $\frac{17}{20}$ | $\frac{43}{9}$ | $\frac{50}{4}$ | $\frac{55}{3}$ | $\frac{57}{3}$ | $\frac{59}{3}$ | $\frac{51}{6}$ | $\frac{47}{5}$ | $\frac{23}{10}$ | $\frac{23}{10}$ |  |  |
| 262 | 21* |  |  | $\frac{87}{1}$ | $\frac{107}{1}$ | $\frac{114}{1}$ | $\frac{104}{6}$ | $\frac{109}{3}$ | $\frac{117}{1}$ | $\frac{116}{1}$ | $\frac{92}{7}$ | $\frac{97}{0}$ | $\frac{96}{1}$ | $\frac{96}{1}$ | $\frac{60}{0}$ | $\frac{12}{0}$ | $\frac{9}{0}$ | $\frac{9}{0}$ | $\frac{8}{0}$ |  |  |  |
| 274 | 22 |  | $\frac{22}{0}$ | $\frac{22}{0}$ | $\frac{20}{1}$ | $\frac{20}{1}$ | $\frac{20}{1}$ | $\frac{20}{1}$ | $\frac{20}{1}$ | $\frac{21}{1}$ | $\frac{21}{0}$ | $\frac{21}{0}$ | $\frac{17}{4}$ | $\frac{14}{5}$ | $\frac{17}{3}$ | $\frac{17}{3}$ | $\frac{18}{3}$ | $\frac{17}{4}$ | $\frac{17}{4}$ | $\frac{17}{2}$ |  |  |
| 384 | 23** | $\frac{262}{1}$ | $\frac{266}{1}$ | $\frac{314}{2}$ | $\frac{285}{2}$ | $\frac{275}{0}$ | $\frac{285}{0}$ | $\frac{285}{0}$ | $\frac{290}{0}$ | $\frac{285}{0}$ | $\frac{291}{0}$ | $\frac{290}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 384 | 24 |  | $\frac{309}{12}$ | $\frac{329}{0}$ | $\frac{311}{3}$ | $\frac{315}{0}$ | $\frac{316}{0}$ | $\frac{314}{0}$ | $\frac{318}{0}$ | $\frac{320}{0}$ | $\frac{325}{0}$ | $\frac{320}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 384 | 23 | $\frac{75}{1}$ | $\frac{64}{0}$ | $\frac{73}{0}$ | $\frac{78}{0}$ | $\frac{79}{0}$ | $\frac{81}{0}$ | $\frac{78}{0}$ | $\frac{82}{0}$ | $\frac{78}{0}$ | $\frac{81}{0}$ | $\frac{81}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 384 | 24 |  | $\frac{78}{0}$ | $\frac{71}{0}$ | $\frac{77}{0}$ | $\frac{72}{0}$ | $\frac{72}{0}$ | $\frac{75}{0}$ | $\frac{78}{0}$ | $\frac{74}{0}$ | $\frac{73}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 384- \\ 030 \end{array}$ | 23 | $\frac{52}{1}$ | $\frac{44}{2}$ | $\frac{56}{0}$ | $\frac{61}{0}$ | $\frac{58}{0}$ | $\frac{52}{8}$ | $\frac{54}{4}$ | $\frac{63}{1}$ | $\frac{57}{3}$ | $\frac{58}{1}$ | $\frac{63}{1}$ | $\frac{57}{0}$ | $\frac{61}{0}$ | $\frac{47}{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Site Day No. | 2.50 |  | Hours Refore Low Tide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low } \\ & \text { Tide } \\ & \text { in.00 } \end{aligned}$ | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.75 | Hour1.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After } \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ | Low Tide |  |  | 2.25 | 2.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2.25 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2.00 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { May-June } \\ 384 \end{gathered}$ |  | - con | t'd |  |  | 31 | 34 | 38 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 385 | 4 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{158}{0}$ | $\frac{163}{0}$ | $\frac{163}{0}$ |  | $\frac{168}{0}$ | $\frac{168}{2}$ | $\frac{169}{0}$ | $\frac{170}{0}$ | $\frac{170}{0}$ | $\frac{170}{0}$ | $\frac{170}{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 385 | 1 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{65}{2}$ |  | $\frac{68}{0}$ |  | $\frac{68}{2}$ |  | $\frac{68}{1}$ |  | $\frac{70}{1}$ |  | $\frac{71}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 398- \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{67}{0}$ |  | $\frac{68}{0}$ |  | $\frac{71}{1}$ |  | $\frac{68}{0}$ |  | $\frac{71}{0}$ |  | $\frac{71}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 398- \\ 403 \end{gathered}$ | 3 |  |  | $\frac{61}{0}$ |  | $\frac{60}{0}$ |  | $\frac{64}{0}$ |  | $\frac{66}{0}$ |  | $\frac{68}{0}$ |  | $\frac{64}{0}$ |  | $\frac{66}{0}$ |  | $\frac{67}{0}$ |  | $\frac{67}{0}$ |  | $\frac{67}{0}$ |
| $398-$ 403 | 4 | $\frac{73}{0}$ |  | $\frac{74}{0}$ |  | $\frac{75}{0}$ |  | $\frac{75}{0}$ |  | $\frac{76}{0}$ |  | $\frac{76}{0}$ |  | $\frac{72}{0}$ |  | $\frac{72}{0}$ |  | $\frac{72}{0}$ |  | $\frac{72}{0}$ |  |  |

[^2]
## APPENDIX IV.

Harbor seal census information by hauling site. (*= a minus sign is before low tide, a + sign is after low tide; a ()= replicate count).

APPENDIX IV.

| Date | Site no. | Time | L.T.* Div. (hr) | Tide Ht. (m) | Film Count |  |  | Maximum |  |  | Estimate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | P | T | A |  | T | A | P | T |
| April 18, 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1-3 | 1030 | -0.75 | 0.15 | - | - | - | 19 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 19 |
|  | 4 | 1100 | -1.00 | 0.18 | 23 | 4 | 27 | 38 | 5 | 43 | 38 | 5 | 43 |
|  | 5 | 1106 | -1.13 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 1106 | -1.13 | 0.20 | 8 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  | 10 | 0 | 10 |
|  | 7 | 1106 | -1.13 | 0.20 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 2 | 14 | 12 | 2 | 14 |
|  | 8 | 1107 | -1.13 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9 | 1117 | -1.15 | 0.21 | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - |
|  | 10 | 1119 | -1.15 | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 12 |
|  | 11 | 1136 | -1.07 | 0.19 | Fog | - | - | 232 | 10 | 242 | 232 | 10 | 242 |
|  | 12 | 1146 | -0.90 | 0.16 | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  | 13 | 1146 | -0.90 | 0.16 | 15 | 4 | 19 |  |  |  | 19 | 5 | 24 |
|  | 14 | 1150 | -0.95 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 15 | 1152 | -0.97 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 16 | 1152 | -0.97 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 17 | 1153 | -0.97 | 0.18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 18 | 1203 | -0.68 | 0.12 | 15 | 2 | 17 |  |  |  | 18 | 3 | 21 |
|  | 19 | 1204 | -0.68 | 0.12 | 66 | 4 | 70 |  |  |  | 70 | 5 | 75 |
|  | 20 | 1205 | -0.65 | 0.12 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | 21 | 1208 | -0.60 | 0.11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 22 | 1214 | -0.50 | 0.09 | 58 | 2 | 60 |  |  |  | 67 | 2 | 69 |
|  | 23 | 1214 | -0.50 | 0.09 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 24 | 1225 | -0.38 | 0.07 | 17 | 2 | 19 |  |  |  | 20 | 2 | 22 |
|  | (25) | 1225 | -0.38 | 0.07 | 18 | 0 | 18 |  |  |  | 21 | 0 | 21 |
|  | (26) | 1226 | -0.38 | 0.07 | 51 | 0 | 51 |  |  |  | 58 | 0 | 58 |
|  | (27) | 1227 | -0.38 | 0.07 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| April 19, 1982 ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25 | 1157 | -1.67 | 0.33 | 81 | 0 | 81 |  |  |  | 99 | 0 | 99 |
|  | 26 | 1157 | -1.67 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 27 | 1159 | -1.63 | 0.33 | 22 | 0 | 22 |  |  |  | 27 | 0 | 27 |
|  | 28 | 1158 | -1.63 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 29 | 1159 | -1.63 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 30 | 1200 | -1.62 | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 31 | 1205 | -1.53 | 0.31 | 40 | 0 | 40 |  |  |  | 48 | 0 | 48 |
|  | 32 | 1205 | -1.53 | 0.31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 33 | 1205 | -1.53 | 0.31 | 11 | 2 | 13 |  |  |  | 11 | 5 | 16 |
|  | 34 | 1206 | -1.55 | 0.31 | 11 | 0 | 11 |  |  |  | 14 | 0 | 14 |
|  | 35 | 1206 | -1.55 | 0.31 | 15 | 5 | 20 |  |  |  | 19 | 6 | 25 |
|  | 36 | 1206 | -1.55 | 0.31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 37 | 1207 | -1.53 | 0.31 | 14 | 2 | 16 |  |  |  | 18 | 2 | 20 |
|  | 38 | 1207 | -1.53 | 0.31 | 8 | 1 | 9 |  |  |  | 13 | 1 | 14 |
|  | 39 | 1207 | -1.53 | 0.31 | 27 | 2 | 29 |  |  |  | 33 | 3 | 36 |
|  | 40 | 1208 | -1.52 | 0.31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 41 | 1210 | -1.30 | 0.26 | 21 | 2 | 23 |  |  |  | 26 | 3 | 29 |
|  | 42 | 1211 | -1.26 | 0.26 | 15 | 2 | 17 |  |  |  | 19 | 3 | 22 |
|  | 43 | 1211 | -1.26 | 0.26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 44 | 1213 | -1.25 | 0.26 | 24 | 0 | 24 |  |  |  | 31 | 0 | 31 |
|  | 45 | 1215 | -1.22 | 0.24 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 46 | 1218 | -1.17 | 0.23 | 35 | 6 | 41 |  |  |  | 41 | 7 | 48 |
|  | 47 | 1223 | -1.10 | 0.22 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 48 | 1223 | -1.10 | 0.22 | 19 | 0 | 19 |  |  |  | 22 | 0 | 22 |
|  | 49 | 1223 | -1.10 | 0.22 | 13 | 2 | 15 |  |  |  | 16 | 2 | 18 |
|  | 50 | 1223 | -1.28 | 0.26 | 86 | 0 | 86 |  |  |  | 99 | 0 | 99 |
|  | 51 | 1226 | -1.23 | 0.25 | 13 | 2 | 15 |  |  |  | 17 | 2 | 19 |
|  | 52 | 1226 | -1.23 | 0.25 | 21 | 1 | 23 |  |  |  | 28 | 1 | 29 |
|  | 53 | 1226 | -1.23 | 0.25 | 85 | 5 | 90 |  |  |  | 98 | 6 | 104 |
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| Date | Site no. | Time | L.T.* <br> Div. <br> (hr) | Tide Ht . <br> (m) | Film Count |  |  | Maximum Ground A P |  | Estimate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | $p$ | T |  | T | A | P | T |
| April 21, 1982 (Cont'd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 308 | 1404 | -0.60 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 309 | 1404 | -0.60 | 0.23 | 18 | 0 | 18 |  |  | 22 | 0 | 22 |
|  | 310 | 1406 | -0.57 | 0.22 | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |  | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 311 | 1407 | -0.55 | 0.22 | 8 | 0 | 8 |  |  | 9 | 0 | 9 |
|  | 312 | 1407 | -0.55 | 0.22 | 6 | 0 | 6 |  |  | 7 | 0 | 7 |
|  | 313 | 1407 | -0.55 | 0.22 | 27 | 0 | 27 |  |  | 32 | 0 | 32 |
|  | 314 | 1409 | -0.52 | 0.21 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  |  | 5 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 315 | 1410 | -0.50 | 0.21 | 39 | 0 | 39 |  |  | 45 | 0 | 45 |
|  | 316 | 1412 | -0.47 | 0.20 | 27 | 0 | 27 |  |  | 32 | 0 | 32 |
|  | 317 | 1415 | -0.43 | 0.20 | 11 | 2 | 13 |  |  | 14 | 2 | 16 |
|  | 318 | 1416 | -0.42 | 0.19 | 6 | 0 | 6 |  |  | 7 | 0 | 7 |
|  | 319 | 1417 | -0.47 | 0.20 | 5 | 0 | 5 |  |  | 6 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 320 | 1417 | -0.47 | 0.20 | 41 | 1 | 42 |  |  | 47 | 1 | 48 |
|  | 321 | 1417 | -0.45 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 322 | 1417 | -0.47 | 0.20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 323 | 1422 | -0.47 | 0.20 | 25 | 0 | 25 |  |  | 30 | 0 | 30 |
|  | 324 | 1424 | -0.63 | 0.24 | 36 | 0 | 36 |  |  | 42 | 0 | 42 |
|  | 325 | 1427 | -0.58 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 326 | 1427 | -0.58 | 0.23 | 63 | 0 | 63 |  |  | 69 | 0 | 69 |
|  | 327 | 1432 | -0.50 | 0.21 | 33 | 0 | 33 |  |  | 38 | 0 | 38 |
|  | 328 | 1432 | -0.50 | 0.21 | 20 | 0 | 20 |  |  | 24 | 0 | 24 |
|  | 329 | 1433 | -0.48 | 0.21 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 330 | 1436 | -0.46 | 0.19 | 25 | 0 | 25 |  |  | 30 | 0 | 30 |
|  | 331 | 1437 | -0.43 | 0.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 332 | 1440 | -0.37 | 0.18 | 33 | 0 | 33 |  |  | 38 | 0 | 38 |
|  | 333 | 1441 | -0.35 | 0.18 | 35 | 0 | 35 |  |  | 40 | 0 | 40 |
|  | 334 | 1441 | -0.35 | 0.18 | 19 | 0 | 19 |  |  | 22 | 0 | 22 |
|  | 335 | 1445 | -0.30 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 336 | 1445 | -0.30 | 0.17 | 12 | 0 | 12 |  |  | 12 | 0 | 12 |
|  | 337 | 1448 | -0.23 | 0.15 | 33 | 0 | 33 |  |  | 38 | 0 | 38 |
|  | 338 | 1449 | -0.22 | 0.15 | 9 | 0 | 9 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 10 |
|  | 339 | 1450 | -0.20 | 0.14 | 26 | 2 | 28 |  |  | 30 | 2 | 32 |
|  | 340 | 1451 | -0.18 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 341 | 1452 | -0.17 | 0.14 | 36 | 0 | 36 |  |  | 40 | 0 | 40 |
|  | 342 | 1453 | -0.15 | 0.13 | 29 | 0 | 29 |  |  | 33 | 0 | 33 |
|  | 343 | 1454 | -0.13 | 0.13 | 11 | 0 | 11 |  |  | 13 | 0 | 13 |
|  | 344 | 1456 | -0.10 | 0.12 | 17 | 0 | 17 |  |  | 20 | 0 | 20 |
|  | 345 | 1456 | -0.10 | 0.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 346 | 1456 | -0.10 | 0.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 347 | 1500 | -0.05 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 348 | 1500 | -0.05 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 349 | 1502 | -0.02 | 0.10 | 42 | 2 | 44 |  |  | 47 | 2 | 49 |
|  | 350 | 1503 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 351 | 1504 | +0.02 | 0.10 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 352 | 1504 | +0.05 | 0.11 | 82 | 3 | 85 |  |  | 87 | 3 | 90 |
|  | 353 | 1513 | +0.20 | 0.14 | 23 | 0 | 23 |  |  | 26 | 0 | 26 |
|  | 354 | 1513 | +0.20 | 0.14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 355 | 1518 | +0.28 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 356 | 1521 | +0.33 | 0.17 | 46 | 0 | 46 |  |  | 51 | 0 | 51 |
|  | 357 | 1522 | +0.33 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 358 | 1523 | +0.35 | 0.18 | 25 | 0 | 25 |  |  | 29 | 0 | 29 |
|  | 359 | 1525 | +0.37 | 0.18 | 5 | 0 | 5 |  |  | 6 | 0 | 6 |
|  | 360 | 1532 | +0.48 | 0.21 | 45 | 13 | 58 |  |  | 50 | 14 | 64 |
|  | 361 | 1532 | +0.48 | 0.21 | 77 | 20 | 97 |  |  | 82 | 21 | 103 |
|  | 362 | 1532 | +0.48 | 0.21 | 14 | 7 | 21 |  |  | 16 | 8 | 24 |
|  | 363 | 1535 | +0.53 | 0.22 | 280 | 74 | 354 |  |  | 297 | 78 | 375 |
|  | 364 | 1535 | +0.53 | 0.22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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| Date | Site no. | Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L.T.* } \\ & \text { Div. } \\ & \text { (hr) } \end{aligned}$ | Tide Ht. (m) | Film Count |  |  | Maximum |  |  | Estimate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | A | P | T | A | P | T | A | P | T |
| June 1, 1982 (Cont'd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 142 | 1045 | -2.98 | 0.90 | 20 | 2 | 22 |  |  |  | 34 | 3 | 37 |
|  | 143 | 1046 | -2.97 | 0.90 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  | 144 | 1047 | -2.97 | 0.89 | 8 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  | 14 | 0 | 14 |
|  | 145 | 1048 | -2.95 | 0.89 | 4 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  | 7 | 1 | 8 |
|  | 146 | 1048 | -2.95 | 0.89 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 147 | 1048 | -2.95 | 0.89 | 30 | 2 | 32 |  |  |  | 54 | 4 | 58 |
|  | 148 | 1053 | -2.78 | 0.86 | 25 | 0 | 25 |  |  |  | 43 | 0 | 43 |
|  | 149 | 1053 | -2.78 | 0.86 | 63 | 8 | 71 |  |  |  | 101 | 12 | 113 |
|  | 150 | 1055 | -2.75 | 0.85 | 91 | 2 | 93 |  |  |  | 145 | 3 | 148 |
|  | 151 | 1056 | -2.73 | 0.85 | 51 | 2 | 53 |  |  |  | 92 | 3 | 95 |
|  | 152 | 1058 | -2.70 | 0.84 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 9 | 41 | 32 | 9 | 41 |
|  | 153 | 1100 | -2.50 | 0.80 | 6 | 0 | 6 |  |  |  | 10 | 0 | 10 |
|  | 154 | 1100 | -2.50 | 0.80 | 18 | 0 | 18 |  |  |  | 23 | 0 | 23 |
|  | 155 | 1100 | -2.50 | 0.80 | 28 | 1 | 29 |  |  |  | 36 | 1 | 37 |
|  | 156 | 1101 | -2.48 | 0.80 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 39 | 8 | 47 | 39 | 8 | 47 |
|  | 157 | 1104 | -2.43 | 0.79 | 109 | 1 | 110 | 132 | 13 | 145 | 132 | 13 | 145 |
|  | 158 | 1104 | -2.43 | 0.79 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 159 | 1104 | -2.43 | 0.79 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 160 | 1106 | -2.40 | 0.78 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 21 | 2 | 23 | 21 | 2 | 23 |
|  | 161 | 1109 | -2.35 | 0.77 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 45 | 4 | 49 | 45 | 4 | 49 |
|  | 162 | 1109 | -2.35 | 0.77 | 129 | 4 | 133 | 122 | 7 | 129 | 126 | 7 | 133 |
|  | 163 | 1110 | -2.30 | 0.77 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 164 | 1115 | -2.25 | 0.75 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
|  | 165 | 1126 | -1.95 | 0.69 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 28 |
|  | 166 | 1132 | -1.80 | 0.66 | 126 | 2 | 128 | 136 | 2 | 138 | 136 | 2 | 138 |
|  | 167 | 1134 | -1.77 | 0.65 | 28 | 0 | 28 |  |  |  | 34 | 0 | 34 |
|  | 168 | 1136 | -1.73 | 0.65 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 169 | 1138 | -1.62 | 0.62 | - | - | - | 35 | 2 | 37 | 35 | 2 | 37 |
|  | 170 | 1140 | -1.58 | 0.62 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 171 | 1141 | -1.57 | 0.61 | 8 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  | 11 | 0 | 11 |
|  | 172 | 1142 | -1.55 | 0.61 | 120 | 0 | 120 |  |  |  | 146 | 0 | 146 |
|  | 173 | 1143 | -1.53 | 0.61 | 12 | 0 | 12 |  |  |  | 15 | 0 | 15 |
|  | 174 | 1143 | -1.53 | 0.61 | 23 | 0 | 23 |  |  |  | 29 | 0 | 29 |
|  | 175 | 1148 | -1.45 | 0.59 | - | - | - | 43 | 10 | 53 | 43 | 10 | 53 |
|  | 176 | 1156 | -1.32 | 0.56 | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  | 177 | 1150 | -1.42 | 0.58 | 66 | 5 | 71 |  |  |  | 66 | 5 | 71 |
|  | 178 | 1156 | -1.32 | 0.56 | 75 | 8 | 83 |  |  |  | 85 | 9 | 94 |
|  | 179 | 1156 | -1.32 | 0.56 | 33 | 3 | 36 |  |  |  | 38 | 4 | 42 |
|  | 180 | 1158 | -1.28 | 0.56 | 37 | 7 | 44 |  |  |  | 44 | 8 | 52 |
|  | 181 | 1159 | -1.26 | 0.55 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 182 | 1200 | -1.25 | 0.55 | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  | 183 | 1202 | -1.13 | 0.52 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 184 | 1203 | -1.12 | 0.52 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 185 | 1203 | -1.12 | 0.52 | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  | 186 | 1203 | -1.12 | 0.52 | 9 | 0 | 9 |  |  |  | 11 | 0 | 11 |
|  | 187 | 1204 | -1.10 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 188 | 1204 | -1.10 | 0.52 | 70 | 0 | 70 |  |  |  | 78 | 0 | 79 |
|  | 189 | 1205 | -1.08 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 190 | 1206 | -1.07 | 0.51 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 22 | 1 | 23 | 22 | 1 | 23 |
|  | 191 | 1206 | -1.05 | 0.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 192 | 1207 | -1.05 | 0.51 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
|  | 193 | 1207 | -1.05 | 0.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 194 | 1207 | -1.05 | 0.51 | 45 | 0 | 45 |  |  |  | 53 | 0 | 53 |
|  | 195 | 1214 | -1.10 | 0.52 | 34 | 0 | 34 |  |  |  | 40 | 0 | 40 |
|  | 196 | 1215 | -1.08 | 0.52 | 7 | 0 | 7 |  |  |  | 9 | 0 | 9 |
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| Date | Site no. | Time | L.T.* <br> Div. <br> (hr) | Tide Ht. (m) | Film Count |  | T | Maximum Ground <br> A <br> P |  | T | Esti A | te | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 1, 1982 (Cont'd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 252 | 1355 | +0.83 | 0.47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 253 | 1357 | +0.87 | 0.47 | 11 | 0 | 11 | - | - | - | 13 | 0 | 13 |
|  | 254 | 1402 | +1.03 | 0.50 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 255 | 1402 | +1.03 | 0.50 | 6 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  | 8 | 2 | 10 |
|  | 256 | 1403 | +1.05 | 0.51 | 8 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  | 10 | 0 | 10 |
|  | 257 | 1404 | +1.07 | 0.51 | 45 | 2 | 47 |  |  |  | 50 | 2 | 52 |
|  | 258 | 1357 | +0.87 | 0.47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 259 | 1404 | +1.07 | 0.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 260 | 1404 | +1.07 | 0.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 261 | 1404 | +1.07 | 0.51 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | (262) | 1407 | +1.12 | 0.52 | 122 | 1 | 123 | - | - | - | 128 | 1 | 129 |
|  | (269) | 1410 | +1.17 | 0.53 | 11 | 2 | 13 | - | - | - | 13 | 2 | 15 |
|  | (271) | 1413 | +1.30 | 0.56 | 7 | 0 | 7 | - | - | - | 9 | 0 | 9 |
|  | (272) | 1413 | +1.30 | 0.56 | 12 | 0 | 12 | - | - | - | 14 | 0 | 14 |
|  | (273) | 1414 | +1.38 | 0.58 | 46 | 0 | 46 | - | - | - | 52 | 0 | 52 |
|  | (274) | 1415 | +1.35 | 0.57 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| June 2, 1982 ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 262 | 1257 | -1.78 | 0.79 | 127 | 0 | 127 | - | - | - | 163 | 0 | 163 |
|  | 263 | 1257 | -1.78 | 0.79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 264 | 1258 | -1.77 | 0.79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 265 | 1300 | -1.67 | 0.77 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 266 | 1300 | -1.67 | 0.77 | 6 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  | 8 | 3 | 11 |
|  | 267 | 1300 | -1.67 | 0.77 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 268 | 1300 | -1.67 | 0.77 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 269 | 1300 | -1.67 | 0.77 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 270 | 1301 | -1.60 | 0.75 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 271 | 1302 | -1.57 | 0.74 | 8 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  | 11 | 0 | 11 |
|  | 272 | 1303 | -1.55 | 0.74 | 12 | 0 | 12 |  |  |  | 15 | 0 | 15 |
|  | 273 | 1303 | -1.55 | 0.74 | 49 | 0 | 49 |  |  |  | 59 | 0 | 59 |
|  | 274 | 1304 | -1.53 | 0.74 | 16 | 0 | 16 | - | - | - | 20 | 0 | 20 |
|  | 275 | 1305 | -1.52 | 0.73 | 20 | 0 | 20 |  |  |  | 25 | 0 | 25 |
|  | 276 | 1305 | -1.52 | 0.73 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 277 | 1305 | -1.52 | 0.73 | 48 | 3 | 51 |  |  |  | 57 | 4 | 61 |
|  | 278 | 1306 | -1.50 | 0.73 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 279 | 1306 | -1.50 | 0.73 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 280 | 1306 | -1.50 | 0.73 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 281 | 1309 | -1.45 | 0.72 | 21 | 0 | 21 |  |  |  | 26 | 0 | 26 |
|  | 282 | 1306 | -1.50 | 0.73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 283 | 1306 | -1.50 | 0.73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 284 | 1311 | -1.42 | 0.71 | 29 | 0 | 29 | - | - | - | 37 | 0 | 37 |
|  | 285 | 1312 | -1.47 | 0.72 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 286 | 1312 | -1.47 | 0.72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 287 | 1313 | -1.45 | 0.72 | 58 | 6 | 64 | - | - | - | 71 | 7 | 78 |
|  | 288 | 1314 | -1.43 | 0.72 | 2 | 0 | 2 | - | - | - | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  | 289 | 1315 | -1.42 | 0.71 | 9 | 0 | 9 | - | - | - | 12 | 0 | 12 |
|  | 290 | 1315 | -1.42 | 0.71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 291 | 1316 | -1.40 | 0.71 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 292 | 1316 | -1.40 | 0.71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 293 | 1316 | -1.40 | 0.71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 294 | 1321 | -1.32 | 0.69 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  | 5 | 0 | 5 |
|  | 295 | 1321 | -1.32 | 0.69 | 40 | 0 | 40 |  |  |  | 48 | 0 | 48 |
|  | 296 | 1321 | -1.32 | 0.69 | 48 | 4 | 52 |  |  |  | 56 | 5 | 61 |
|  | 297 | 1323 | -1.28 | 0.68 | 2 | 0 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  | 298 | 1323 | -1.28 | 0.68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 299 | 1324 | -1.27 | 0.68 | 24 | 1 | 25 | - | - | - | 31 | 1 | 32 |
|  | 300 | 1326 | -1.23 | 0.67 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | , | 0 | 1 |
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| Date | Site no. | Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L.T.* } \\ & \text { Div. } \\ & \text { (hr) } \end{aligned}$ | Tide Ht. <br> (m) | Film Count |  |  | Maximum Ground A P |  | T | Esti A | Pe | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 2, 1982 (Cont'd) 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 363 | 1552 | +1.08 | 0.64 | 520 | 63 | 583 | - | - | - | 546 | 66 | 612 |
|  | 364 | 1553 | +1.10 | 0.64 | 10 | 3 | 13 | - | - | - | 12 | 4 | 16 |
|  | 365 | 1554 | +1.10 | 0.64 | 47 | 5 | 52 | - | - | - | 52 | 6 | 58 |
|  | 366 | 1555 | +1.12 | 0.65 | 16 | 0 | 16 | - | - | - | 18 | 0 | 18 |
|  | 367 | 1603 | +1.23 | 0.67 | 10 | 0 | 10 | - | - | - | 12 | 0 | 12 |
|  | 368 | 1603 | +1.23 | 0.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 369 | 1603 | +1.23 | 0.67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 370 | 1605 | +1.30 | 0.69 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 371 | 1616 | +1.42 | 0.71 | 14 | 0 | 14 | - | - | - | 17 | 0 | 17 |
|  | 372 | 1616 | +1.42 | 0.71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 373 | 1619 | +1.32 | 0.69 | 165 | 7 | 172 | - | - | - | 172 | 7 | 179 |
|  | 374 | 1619 | +1.32 | 0.69 | 68 | 8 | 76 | - | - | - | 71 | 8 | 79 |
|  | 375 | 1625 | +1.30 | 0.69 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 376 | 1625 | +1.30 | 0.69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 377 | 1625 | +1.30 | 0.69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 378 | 1625 | +1.30 | 0.69 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 379 | 1625 | +1.30 | 0.69 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 380 | 1625 | +1.30 | 0.69 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 381 | 1628 | +1.30 | 0.69 | 46 | 0 | 46 | - | - | - | 48 | 0 | 48 |
|  | 382 | 1633 | +1.13 | 0.65 | 480 | 3 | 483 | 221 | 44 | 265 | 503 | 4 | 507 |
|  | 383 | 1635 | +1.13 | 0.65 | not | covered |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |
|  | 384 | 1638-1641 | $1+0.63$ | 0.54 | 260 | 9 | 269 | - | - | - | 267 | 14 | 281 |
|  | 385 | 1645 | +0.25 | 0.46 | 127 | 4 | 131 | - | - | - | 130 | 4 | 134 |
|  | 386 | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 387 | 14351 | $1.9{ }^{\prime} 1: 22$ | . 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 388 | 14361 | $1.9^{\prime} 1: 21$ | . 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 389 | 14361 | $1.9^{\prime} 1: 21$ | . 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 390 | 14371 | $1.9^{\prime} 1: 20$ | . 58 | 21 | 0 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 391 | 14381 | $1.8^{\prime} 1: 19$ | . 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 392 | 14381 | 1.8'1:19 | . 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 393 | 14401 | 1.8'1:17 | . 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 394 | 14401 | 1.8 '1:17 | . 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 395 | 1444 | $1.8^{\prime} 1: 13$ | . 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 396 | 14461 | 1.8'1:11 | . 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 397 | 1449 | 1.7'1:08 | . 52 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 398 | 1450 | 1.7 '1:07 | . 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 399 | 1450 | 1.7 '1:07 | . 52 | 15 | 0 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 400 | 1450 | 1.7 '1:07 | . 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 401 | 1450 | $1.7{ }^{\prime} 1: 07$ | . 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 402 | 1450 | $1.7^{\prime} 1: 07$ | . 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 403 | 1450 | 1.7 '1:07 | . 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 404 | 1450 | $1.7^{\prime} 1: 07$ | . 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 405 | 1451 | 1.7'1:06 | . 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 406 | 1454 | 1.7'1:03 | . 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 407 | 1456 | 1.7'1:01 | . 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 408 | 1458 | 1.7':59 | . 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 409 | 1507 | $1.6{ }^{\prime}: 50$ | . 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 410 | 1509 | 1.5':48 | . 46 | 48 | 0 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 411 | 1509 | $1.5^{\prime}: 48$ | . 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 412 | 1514 | $1.5^{\prime}: 41$ | . 46 | 35 | 0 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 413 | 1515 | $1.5^{\prime}: 42$ | . 46 | 52 | 0 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 414 | 1517 | $1.5^{\prime}: 40$ | . 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 415 | 1517 | $1.5^{\prime}: 40$ | . 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 416 | 1518 | $1.5^{\prime}: 39$ | . 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 417 | 1520 | $1.5^{\prime}: 37$ | . 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 418 | 1520 | $1.5{ }^{\prime}: 31$ | . 46 | 129 | 0 | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 419 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 420 | 1523 | $1.5^{\prime}: 34$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 421 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 422 | 388 | 1302 | 0.00 | - | - | - | 47 | 3 | 50 | 47 | 3 | 50 |


[^0]:    *Data sources for maximum counts included CDFG censuses; BLM-OCS censuses,
    (Michael Bonnell, pers, commun.); Sarah Allen, Point Reyes Bird Observatory;
    Gary Fellers, Pt. Reyes National Seashore; Lyman Fancher, Audubon Society;
    Ron Jameson and Jim Bodkin, Fish and Wildlife Service, Pt. Piedras Blancas.

[^1]:    *Maximum counts were usually larger than the instantaneous ground-aerial counts (see Tables 5 and 6).

[^2]:    *Human disturbance
    **Two separate hauling locations in site \#384

