



The Antarctic Peninsula Under a 1.5°C Global Warming Scenario

Martin Siegert^{1*}, Angus Atkinson², Alison Banwell³, Mark Brandon⁴, Peter Convey⁵, Bethan Davies⁶, Rod Downie⁷, Tamsin Edwards⁸, Bryn Hubbard⁹, Gareth Marshall⁵, Joeri Rogelj¹, Jane Rumble¹⁰, Julianne Stroeve^{11,12} and David Vaughan⁵

¹ Department of Earth Science and Engineering, Grantham Institute, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom, ² Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth, United Kingdom, ³ Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, United States, ⁴ School of Environment, Earth and Ecosystem Sciences, Open University, Milton Keynes, United Kingdom, ⁵ British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environment Research Council (NERC), Cambridge, United Kingdom, ⁶ Centre for Quaternary Research, Department of Geography, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, United Kingdom, ⁷ WWF UK, The Living Planet Centre, Woking, United Kingdom, ⁸ Department of Geography, King's College London, London, United Kingdom, ⁹ Department of Geography & Earth Sciences, Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth, United Kingdom, ¹⁰ Polar Regions Department, UK Foreign & Commonwealth Office, London, United Kingdom, ¹¹ Centre for Earth Observation Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada, ¹² Centre for Polar Observation and Modelling, University College London, London, United Kingdom

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Tomas Halenka,
Charles University, Czechia

Reviewed by:

Nathaniel K. Newlands,
Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada
(AAFC), Canada
Zoe Courville,
Cold Regions Research
and Engineering Laboratory,
United States

*Correspondence:

Martin Siegert
m.siegert@imperial.ac.uk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Interdisciplinary Climate Studies,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Environmental Science

Received: 12 April 2019

Accepted: 17 June 2019

Published: 28 June 2019

Citation:

Siegert M, Atkinson A, Banwell A, Brandon M, Convey P, Davies B, Downie R, Edwards T, Hubbard B, Marshall G, Rogelj J, Rumble J, Stroeve J and Vaughan D (2019) The Antarctic Peninsula Under a 1.5°C Global Warming Scenario. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 7:102. doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2019.00102

Warming of the Antarctic Peninsula in the latter half of the twentieth century was greater than any other terrestrial environment in the Southern Hemisphere, and clear cryospheric and biological consequences have been observed. Under a global 1.5°C scenario, warming in the Antarctic Peninsula is likely to increase the number of days above 0°C, with up to 130 of such days each year in the northern Peninsula. Ocean turbulence will increase, making the circumpolar deep water (CDW) both warmer and shallower, delivering heat to the sea surface and to coastal margins. Thinning and recession of marine margins of glaciers and ice caps is expected to accelerate to terrestrial limits, increasing iceberg production, after which glacier retreat may slow on land. Ice shelves will experience continued increase in meltwater production and consequent structural change, but not imminent regional collapses. Marine biota can respond in multiple ways to climatic changes, with effects complicated by past resource extraction activities. Southward distribution shifts have been observed in multiple taxa during the last century and these are likely to continue. Exposed (ice free) terrestrial areas will expand, providing new habitats for native and non-native organisms, but with a potential loss of genetic diversity. While native terrestrial biota are likely to benefit from modest warming, the greatest threat to native biodiversity is from non-native terrestrial species.

Keywords: polar change, glaciers and climate, sea ice, marine biology, terrestrial biology

BACKGROUND TO 1.5°C

Since the industrial revolution average global temperatures have risen ~1°C. The goal of the UN Paris Agreement is to hold global warming to well below 2°C and endeavor to limit it to 1.5°C, relative to preindustrial levels¹. Thus, a 1.5°C scenario relates to additional global warming of 0.5°C. Here, we look at the potential impacts of a 1.5°C scenario on the Antarctic Peninsula. Studies show that such an outcome is possible but requires ambitious societal transformation pathways between now and the middle of this century (IPCC, 2018). There is more than one way to achieve a 1.5°C outcome, which is why it is referred to correctly as “a” scenario as opposed to “the” scenario.

¹https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/english_paris_agreement.pdf

INTRODUCTION TO THE ANTARCTIC PENINSULA

We interpret the Antarctic Peninsula liberally as including the Peninsula itself and its off-lying islands (e.g., South Shetland Islands), as well as the surrounding continental shelf and nearby oceans. We do not include more northerly islands (e.g., the maritime Antarctic South Orkney and South Sandwich Islands and sub-Antarctic South Georgia). In the south, the Antarctic Peninsula extends to the southern end of George VI Sound and the northern edge of the Ronne Ice Shelf. Thus defined, the Antarctic Peninsula is divided down its lengthy mountainous spine by strong West to East gradients in atmospheric and ocean circulation, which makes for distinct characteristics in oceanography, glaciology and biology either side of its spine. The Peninsula is also affected by North-South changes from the fringe of the sub-Antarctic to the deep polar region. As a consequence of direct and remote scientific measurements over the last 100 years, we have more knowledge about natural processes and change in the Antarctic Peninsula than any other part of the continent.

HOW WILL THE ANTARCTIC PENINSULA RESPOND TO A 1.5°C SCENARIO?

Atmosphere

Climate model projections suggest that Antarctic Peninsula temperatures will increase by more than the global average in a world 1.5°C warmer than pre-industrial levels (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2018). Indeed, we note that warming since 1850 in the northernmost region of the Peninsula has already exceeded 1.5°C (Turner et al., 2005), despite a pause in rising temperatures during the first two decades of the twenty-first century (Turner et al., 2016). Nevertheless, projections show that regional temperatures could increase further beyond current levels in a 1.5°C scenario, by another 1–2°C in winter and 0.5–1.0°C in summer (Li et al., 2018), reducing the magnitude of the annual temperature cycle. Moreover, temperatures of the coldest nights will rise significantly more than those of the hottest days (Li et al., 2018). Of importance for terrestrial ecosystems, a 1°C mean annual warming will likely result in a 50–150% increase in days per year above 0°C, from 25–80 each year in the northern Antarctic Peninsula to 35–130. This introduces greater opportunity for rain, rather than snowfall, especially at low elevations.

Climate model projections also indicate a likely increase of 10–20% in precipitation (relative to the pre-industrial period) with an intensification of extreme precipitation events (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2018). Again, in the southern Peninsula, observed rates of precipitation change to date have been much higher (Thomas et al., 2008). There is unlikely to be much further increase beyond current levels (Li et al., 2018). The principal projected change in circulation affecting the Peninsula is a weakening of the circumpolar westerlies around Antarctica during summer, in response to ozone recovery.

We note that these projections are derived from climate models with known difficulties in reproducing Antarctic

Peninsula climate. Models have also had limited success in reproducing the observed warming in the twentieth century. Discrepancies occur in replicating both large-scale atmospheric circulation variability (Hosking et al., 2013) and important regional processes (Marshall and Bracegirdle, 2015), such as the Föhn winds that develop on the eastern Antarctic Peninsula and cause extremely rapid local warming (Turton et al., 2018).

Southern Ocean

The Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) buffers the Antarctic continent from the global ocean and it extends the Antarctic environment to its northern boundary, the Polar Front. South of the Polar Front, the ocean circulates clockwise around Antarctica with two large clockwise gyres in the Weddell and Ross Seas. A few hundred meters beneath the surface is a large volume of relatively warm water called Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW). Because of the ocean circulation, on the west of the Peninsula CDW arrives from the west. In contrast on the east of the Peninsula the circulation around the Weddell Sea and sea ice formation cools the CDW so that waters are much colder (Meredith and Brandon, 2017). We know that much of the Earth's anthropogenic warming has been absorbed in the Southern Ocean (Llovel and Terray, 2016), but we have no clear evidence that it is moving the Polar Front as a result (Gille, 2014). However, the CDW is both increasing in temperature and becoming more shallow (Schmidtke et al., 2014), and the amount of turbulence in the Southern Ocean is increasing (Hogg et al., 2015). We can expect these trends to continue.

Sea Ice

Satellites can measure the area of frozen ocean—the sea ice extent—and the record extends back to October 1978. From July 1987 there are daily measurements. While thickness has not been routinely measured from satellites the way the ice extent has, sea ice thickness is typically in the range 2–4 m but it can, in places, pile up to form “ridges” more than 10 m thick (Williams et al., 2014). However, because satellites cannot currently measure sea ice thickness routinely, our knowledge of the “normal” state, and rates of change, are relatively poor.

The two sides of the Antarctic Peninsula have very different conditions. For any given month the ice edge is at higher latitude on the Peninsula's west compared with the east. In summer, virtually the whole Bellingshausen Sea is ice free, but on the east in the Weddell Sea the sea ice typically extends to the northern end of the Antarctic Peninsula, and is much thicker so even the highest classification ice-breaking ships have great navigational difficulty.

In total, since satellite records began around 30 years ago, there has been a modest increase in the total sea ice extent of $\sim 70,000 \text{ km}^2 \text{ yr}^{-1}$. In 2016/7 the summer sea ice extent for the Antarctic as a whole reached historic lows (Schlosser et al., 2018), but observed trends are comparable with reconstructed records of but past 200 years (Jones et al., 2016). Despite the overall positive trends in sea ice extent, inter-annual variability has increased (Turner and Comiso, 2017) and there have been large regional and seasonal changes. For example, to the Antarctic Peninsula's west, sea ice extent has decreased $\sim 6\text{--}10\%$ per decade

with the greatest changes in autumn and summer (Turner et al., 2015). The length of the sea ice season on the west of the Peninsula has reduced by ~ 4 days (Massom et al., 2018). To the east, summer sea ice coverage has increased, while decreasing in winter. Whilst current models of Antarctic sea ice extent simulate a wide range of historical sea ice conditions, their overall ability to reproduce current trends is poor (Zunz et al., 2013). Nevertheless, we expect increased variability on the west of the Peninsula, compared with the east as the climate warms.

Land Ice

The glaciers of the Antarctic Peninsula are generally steep and fast flowing, and respond rapidly to climatic changes. Hundreds of glaciers mapped north of 70°S terminate in the sea while remaining grounded, scores have floating termini and only a handful are land-terminating (Cook et al., 2014). Most of the glacierised area comprises large outlet glaciers flowing from the spine of the Antarctic Peninsula to the ocean. North of Alexander Island, one third of the total volume of grounded ice rests on rock below sea level, meaning that it is vulnerable to ice-shelf break-up and changes to ocean circulation and temperature (Huss and Farinotti, 2014).

Thinning and recession of glaciers and ice caps in the Peninsula, observed in recent decades (Wouters et al., 2015; Shepherd et al., 2018; Rignot et al., 2019), is expected to accelerate, driven by increased upwelling of CDW and increased sea surface temperatures around the western Antarctic Peninsula. However, it is worth noting that the loss of marine-terminating glaciers will probably be greater than for land-terminating glaciers, because they receive warmth from the ocean as well as direct atmospheric heating in the Peninsula (Cook et al., 2016). Hence, it is possible that glaciers will retreat to their land margins and then experience less thinning subsequently.

In the southern Peninsula, there is a small potential for more rapid glacier retreat under a marine ice sheet instability mechanism as glaciers here are grounded deeply below sea level (Turton et al., 2018). If the number of positive degree days increases substantially (Barrand et al., 2013), and if surface temperatures are influenced by occasional Föhn wind heat (Luckman et al., 2014; Turton et al., 2018), glaciers on land will experience more rainfall and direct surface melting than they do at present. The consequent ice loss may be at least partially balanced by increased snowfall, however.

Ice Shelves

Ice shelves are continuous layers of floating ice formed by land-based glaciers feeding into enclosed shoreline embayments. Ice shelves are prominent along the Antarctic Peninsula, where they cover an area of $\sim 120,000$ km² with the largest being Larsen C ($\sim 50,000$ km²; Cook and Vaughan, 2010). Importantly, ice shelves buttress the flow of upstream glaciers, modulating sea-level rise. Although ice shelves naturally lose mass by iceberg calving, and through surface and basal melting, they are susceptible to irrecoverable collapse if their extent and/or thickness reduce below a threshold of physical support.

In recent decades, seven out of twelve ice shelves around the Antarctic Peninsula have either receded significantly (e.g.,

Wilkins in 2008) or collapsed almost completely (e.g., Larsen B in 2002). The -9°C mean annual isotherm marks the transition between stable and potentially unstable ice shelves on the Antarctic Peninsula (Morris and Vaughan, 1994), and is migrating southwards. Under a 1.5°C scenario, it is likely that Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves will continue to thin, mainly due to increased surface melting (Trusel et al., 2015) driven by widespread increases in air temperature and locally-enhanced Föhn winds, also increasing the exposure of low-albedo blue ice (Lenaerts et al., 2016). This surface melting will likely lead to increased surface ponding, which may cause ice-shelf flexure and fracture, particularly when lakes drain; a process implicated in the collapse of Larsen B (Banwell et al., 2013). In contrast, surface rivers flowing over impermeable refrozen ice (Hubbard et al., 2016) may mitigate against future ponding and ice-shelf instability (Bell et al., 2017). Ice shelves will also thin in response to sub-shelf melting due to the ingress of buoyant, warm ocean water (Bentley et al., 2005). While ice-shelf thinning increases the likelihood of iceberg calving, the largest of Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves (e.g., Larsen C and George VI) still have sufficient surface area to probably avoid catastrophic failure.

Thus, under a 1.5°C scenario, Antarctic Peninsula ice shelves will experience more surface melting and consequent structural change, but not imminent regional collapse. However, in the absence of a temperature reversal, longer-term feedback cycles such as that between surface melt and albedo, or incursions of warm ocean water, increase the likelihood of eventual wholesale ice shelf disintegration, especially for those known to have disappeared previously under slightly warmer conditions, such as George VI (Bentley et al., 2005).

Marine Ecosystems

The past and future response of marine biota to ongoing climate change is complicated by the effects of marine resource extraction. Sequential over-exploitation of seals, whales and some species of fish over the last two centuries has severely perturbed the food web, making it hard to unravel its effect from that of climate (Trivelpiece et al., 2011).

A warming Antarctic Peninsula has multiple effects including increasing water temperatures, seabed scour from icebergs, ocean acidification, meltwater, sediment inflow and ice free habitat, plus decreases in sea-ice habitat. Responses of the biota are also diverse, including changes in behavior, physiology, geographic- or depth- distribution plus evolutionary adaptation. Stresses can act either directly—for example rain reducing Adélie penguin breeding success—or they can act through the food web by changing the availability of their prey (Trivelpiece et al., 2011).

A pragmatic approach to estimate the future is to examine how the biota have already responded to rapid warming of the last century (Henley et al., 2019) and use that as a yardstick, although the potential existence of tipping points should not be discounted. The spatio-temporal distribution is the net response to multiple stressors, and a common pattern across multiple taxa is a southwards range shift (Constable et al., 2014). Despite variation and strong non-linearities in this response (Atkinson et al., 2019) we can project that these shifts will continue with warming, since the Antarctic Peninsula offers a long, north-south

continuum of broadly similar habitat across an environmental gradient. The strong juxtaposition of multiple stressors along the western Antarctic Peninsula last century may de-intensify under a 1.5°C scenario due to the reduced amplitude of projected sea ice and temperature changes in this particular area (Gutt et al., 2014). Nevertheless, benthic community structure is under threat from increased ice scour, ocean acidification and changes in seabed temperature (Turner et al., 2014).

Terrestrial Ecosystems

Terrestrial biology is limited to ice-free areas, currently about 3% of the Antarctic Peninsula, of which only a fraction is currently visibly colonized. The seasonally-exposed terrestrial area of the Peninsula is expected to expand by 2100 (Lee et al., 2017). This retreat of permanent ice cover will provide new habitats for colonization by both native and likely, non-native organisms. It will also lead to the coalescing of some areas that are currently isolated and, thus, to a loss of genetic diversity through genetic homogenization. Native terrestrial biota are well-adapted to the variable conditions of the Antarctic Peninsula (Peck et al., 2006; Perterra et al., 2017) and, in the absence of other influences, are likely to benefit from modest levels of warming (Convey, 2011).

Warming to date has been associated with rapid expansion of native higher plant and moss populations (Cannone et al., 2016, 2017), and local-scale colonization of newly exposed ground. However, movement of the “southern limit” of these plants has yet to be observed. This “greening” is expected to continue through the twenty-first century. While natural colonization of the region is expected to occur, rates of anthropogenic (human-assisted) introduction far outweigh natural colonization. It is already known that a wide range of non-native species could survive in parts of the Antarctic Peninsula region if given the opportunity to arrive. Thus, the threat of non-native species to native biodiversity likely far outweighs the impacts of climate change under a 1.5°C scenario.

IMPLICATIONS FOR OPERATIONS

Antarctic Peninsula infrastructure is likely to be affected by a 1.5°C scenario. Increased levels of surface water run-off (from rain and snow/glacial melt) and/or melting of any thin layers of sediment, may alter the geotechnical properties of ice-free land considerably, albeit for limited periods of the year. Such change may impact research station buildings and, potentially, air strips. Increased iceberg production, and inter-annual variability in sea ice conditions, will also need to be accounted for by shipping. The increased viability of alien species, coupled with expanded ice-free regions, means

environmental protection of the Antarctic Peninsula must remain resolute.

CONCLUSION

The Polar Regions have warmed twice as much as the global average since 1850. This has led to glacier retreat, ice shelf decay and the expansion of exposed land on which some plants have been able to grow. By restricting global temperature increase to 1.5°C above 1850 values, we can limit the damage to the Antarctic Peninsula's ecosystems. However, we cannot avoid further loss of ice, expansion of vegetation and invertebrate communities on land (potentially with alien species), and alteration to marine ecosystems that are still recovering from marine resource extraction decades ago. If we fail to restrict average global warming to 1.5°C, the Antarctic Peninsula will likely experience irreversible and dramatic change to glacial, terrestrial, ocean, and biological systems.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors have contributed to this multidisciplinary work, either in providing text, editing and/or contributing to the infographic.

FUNDING

Funding for a workshop at Imperial College London that led to the paper, involving all authors, was provided by the UK Foreign and Commonwealth Office.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank David Sugden for commenting on a draft of this paper and Claire Welsh for designing the infographic (**Appendix**). We also thank Nathaniel Newlands and Zoe Courville for their constructive and helpful reviews.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2019.00102/full#supplementary-material>

Supplementary material includes an abridged version of this paper that was submitted by the UK Foreign and Commonwealth Office to the XLII Antarctic Treaty Consultative Meeting held in Prague 1-11 July 2019 (**Supplementary Data Sheet 1**), and a modified version of the paper as an informal Grantham Institute Policy Briefing Note (**Supplementary Data Sheet 2**).

REFERENCES

- Atkinson, A., Hill, S. L., Pakhomov, E. A., Siegel, V., Reiss, C. S., Loeb, V. J., et al. (2019). Krill (*Euphausia superba*) distribution contracts southward during rapid regional warming. *Nat. Clim. Change* 9, 142–147. doi: 10.1038/s41558-018-0370-z
- Banwell, A. F., MacAyeal, D. R., and Sergienko, O. V. (2013). Breakup of the Larsen B Ice Shelf triggered by chain reaction drainage of supraglacial lakes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40, 5872–5876. doi: 10.1002/2013GL057694
- Barrand, N. E., Vaughan, D. G., Steiner, N., Tedesco, M., Kuipers-Munneke, P., van den Broeke, M. R., et al. (2013). Trends in Antarctic Peninsula surface melting

- conditions from observations and regional climate modelling. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.* 118, 315–330. doi: 10.1029/2012JF002559
- Bell, R. E., Chu, W., Kingslake, J., Das, L., Tedesco, M., Tinto, K. J., et al. (2017). Antarctic ice shelf potentially stabilized by export of meltwater in surface river. *Nature* 544, 344–348. doi: 10.1038/nature22048
- Bentley, M. J., Hodgson, D. A., Sugden, D. E., Roberts, S. J., Smith, J. A., Leng, M. J., et al. (2005). Early Holocene retreat of the George VI Ice Shelf, Antarctic Peninsula. *Geology* 33, 173–176. doi: 10.1130/G21203.1
- Cannone, N., Dalle Fratte, M., Convey, P., Worland, M. R., and Guglielmin, M. (2017). Ecology of moss banks at Signy Island (maritime Antarctica). *Bot. J. Linnean Soc.* 184, 518–533. doi: 10.1093/botlinnean/box040
- Cannone, N., Guglielmin, M., Convey, P., Worland, M. R., and Favero Longo, S. E. (2016). Vascular plant changes in extreme environments: effects of multiple drivers. *Clim. Change* 134, 651–665. doi: 10.1007/s10584-015-1551-7
- Constable, A. J., Melbourne-Thomas, J., Corney, S. P., Arrigo, K. R., Barbraud, C., and Barnes, D. K. (2014). Climate change and Southern Ocean ecosystems I: how changes in physical habitats directly affect marine biota. *Glob. Change Biol.* 20, 3004–3025. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12623
- Convey, P. (2011). Antarctic terrestrial biodiversity in a changing world. *Polar Biol.* 34, 1629–1641. doi: 10.1007/s00300-011-1068-0
- Cook, A. J., Holland, P. R., Meredith, M. P., Murray, T., Luckman, A., and Vaughan, D. G. (2016). Ocean forcing of glacier retreat in the western Antarctic Peninsula. *Science* 353, 283–286. doi: 10.1126/science.aae0017
- Cook, A. J., and Vaughan, D. G. (2010). Overview of areal changes of the ice shelves on the Antarctic Peninsula over the past 50 years. *Cryosphere* 4, 77–98. doi: 10.5194/tc-4-77-2010
- Cook, A. J., Vaughan, D. G., Luckman, A. J., and Murray, T. (2014). A new Antarctic Peninsula glacier basin inventory and observed area changes since the 1940s. *Antarct. Sci.* 26, 614–624. doi: 10.1017/S0954102014000200
- Gille, S. T. (2014). Meridional displacement of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current. *Philos. Trans. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 372:20130273. doi: 10.1098/rsta.2013.0273
- Gutt, J., Bertler, N., Bracegirdle, T. J., Buschmann, A., Comiso, J., Hosie, G., et al. (2014). The Southern Ocean ecosystem under multiple climate stresses – an integrated circumpolar assessment. *Glob. Change Biol.* 21, 1434–1453. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12794
- Henley, S. F., Schofield, O. M., Hendry, K. R., Schloss, I. R., Steinberg, D. K., Moffat, C., et al. (2019). Variability and change in the west Antarctic Peninsula marine system: research priorities and opportunities. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 173, 208–237. doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2019.03.003
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Jacob, D., Taylor, M., Bindi, M., Brown, S., Camilloni, I., et al. (2018). “Impacts of 1.5°C global warming on natural and human systems,” in *Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the Impacts of Global Warming of 1.5°C Above Pre-industrial Levels and Related Global Greenhouse Gas Emission Pathways, in the Context of Strengthening the Global Response to the Threat of Climate Change, Sustainable Development, and Efforts to Eradicate Poverty*, eds V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P. R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J. B. R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M. I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield. Available online at: <https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/>
- Hogg, A. M., Meredith, M. P., Chambers, D. P., Abrahamsen, E. P., Hughes, C. W., and Morrison, A. K. (2015). Recent trends in the Southern Ocean eddy field. *J. Geophys. Res. C Oceans* 120, 257–267. doi: 10.1002/2014JC010470
- Hosking, J. S., Orr, A., Marshall, G. J., Turner, J., and Phillips, T. (2013). The influence of the Amundsen-Bellinghousen Seas Low on the climate of West Antarctica and its representation in coupled climate model simulations. *J. Clim.* 26, 6633–6648. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00813.1
- Hubbard, B., Luckman, A., Ashmore, D. W., Bevan, S., Kulesa, B., Munneke, P. K., et al. (2016). Massive subsurface ice formed by refreezing of ice-shelf melt ponds. *Nat. Commun.* 7:11897. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11897
- Huss, M., and Farinotti, D. (2014). A high-resolution bedrock map for the Antarctic Peninsula. *Cryosphere* 8, 1261–1273. doi: 10.5194/tc-8-1261-2014
- IPCC (2018). “Summary for Policymakers,” in *Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the Impacts of Global Warming of 1.5°C Above Pre-industrial Levels and Related Global Greenhouse Gas Emission Pathways, in the Context of Strengthening the Global Response to the Threat of Climate Change, Sustainable Development, and Efforts to Eradicate Poverty*, eds V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P. R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J. B. R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M. I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield (Geneva: World Meteorological Organization), 32.
- Jones, J. M., Gille, S. T., Goosse, H., Abram, N. J., Canziani, P. O., Charman, D. J., et al. (2016). Assessing recent trends in high-latitude Southern Hemisphere surface climate. *Nat. Clim. Change* 6, 917–926. doi: 10.1038/nclimate3103
- Lee, J. R., Raymond, B., Bracegirdle, T. J., Chadès, I., Fuller, R. A., Shaw, J. D., et al. (2017). Climate change drives expansion of Antarctic ice-free habitat. *Nature* 547, 49–54. doi: 10.1038/nature22996
- Lenaerts, J. T. M., Lhermitte, S., Drews, R., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Berger, S., Helm, V., et al. (2016). Meltwater produced by wind–albedo interaction stored in an East Antarctic ice shelf. *Nat. Clim. Change* 7, 58–62. doi: 10.1038/nclimate3180
- Li, C., Michel, C., Seland Graff, L., Bethke, I., Zappa, G., Bracegirdle, T. J., et al. (2018). Midlatitude atmospheric circulation responses under 1.5 and 2.0 °C warming and implications for regional impacts. *Earth. Syst. Dynam.* 9, 359–382. doi: 10.5195/esd-9-359-2018
- Lovel, W., and Terray, L. (2016). Observed southern upper-ocean warming over 2005–2014 and associated mechanisms. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 11:124023. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/11/12/124023
- Luckman, A., Elvidge, A., Jansen, D., Kulesa, B., Kuipers Munneke, P., King, J., et al. (2014). Surface melt and ponding on Larsen C Ice Shelf and the impact of föhn winds. *Antarct. Sci.* 26, 625–635. doi: 10.1017/S0954102014000339
- Marshall, G. J., and Bracegirdle, T. J. (2015). An examination of the relationship between the Southern Annular mode and Antarctic surface air temperatures in the CMIP5 historical runs. *Clim. Dyn.* 45, 1513–1535. doi: 10.1007/s00382-014-2406-z
- Massom, R. A., Scambos, T. A., Bennetts, L. G., Reid, P., Squire, V. A., and Stammerjohn, S. E. (2018). Antarctic ice shelf disintegration triggered by sea ice loss and ocean swell. *Nature* 558, 383–389. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0212-1
- Meredith, M. P., and Brandon, M. A. (2017). “Oceanography and sea ice in the Southern Ocean,” in *Sea Ice, 3rd Edn*, eds D. N. Thomas (Chichester: John Wiley & Sons), 216–238.
- Morris, E. M., and Vaughan, D. G. (1994). Snow Surface Temperatures in West Antarctica. *Antarct. Sci.* 6, 529–535.
- Peck, L. S., Convey, P., and Barnes, D. K. A. (2006). Environmental constraints on life histories in Antarctic ecosystems: tempos, timings and predictability. *Biolog. Rev.* 81, 75–109. doi: 10.1017/S1464793105006871
- Pertierra, L. R., Aragón, P., Shaw, J. D., Bergstrom, D. M., Terauds, A., and Olalla-Tárraga, M. Á. (2017). Global thermal niche models of two European grasses show high invasion risks in Antarctica. *Glob. Change Biol.* 23, 2863–2873. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13596
- Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Scheuchl, B., van den Broeke, M., van Wessem, M. J., and Morlighem, M. (2019). Four decades of Antarctic Ice Sheet mass balance from 1979–2017. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 116, 1095–1103. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1812883116
- Schlosser, E., Haumann, F. A., and Raphael, M. N. (2018). Atmospheric influences on the anomalous 2016 Antarctic sea ice decay. *Cryosphere* 12, 1103–1119. doi: 10.5194/tc-12-1103-2018
- Schmidt, S., Heywood, K. J., Thompson, A. F., and Aoki, S. (2014). Multidecadal warming of Antarctic waters. *Science* 346, 1227–1231. doi: 10.1126/science.1256117
- Shepherd, A., Ivins, E., Rignot, E., Smith, B., Van Den Broeke, M., Velicogna, I., et al. (2018). Mass balance of the Antarctic Ice Sheet from 1992 to 2017. *Nature* 558, 219–222. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0179-y
- Thomas, E. R., Marshall, G. J., and McConnell, J. R. (2008). A doubling in snow accumulation in the western Antarctic Peninsula since 1850. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 35:L01706. doi: 10.1029/2007GL032529
- Trivelpiece, W. Z., Hinke, J. T., Miller, A. K., Reiss, C. S., Trivelpiece, S. G., and Watters, G. M. (2011). Variability in krill biomass links harvesting and climate warming to penguin population changes in Antarctica. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 7625–7628. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1016560108
- Trusel, L. D., Frey, K. E., Das, S. B., Karnauskas, K. B., Munneke, K. M., van Meijgaard, E., et al. (2015). Divergent trajectories of Antarctic surface melt under two twenty-first-century climate scenarios. *Nat. Geosci.* 8, 927–932. doi: 10.1038/ngeo2563

- Turner, J., Barrand, N. E., Bracegirdle, T. J., Convey, P., Hodgson, D. A., Jarvis, M., et al. (2014). Antarctic climate change and the environment: an update. *Polar Rec.* 50, 237–259. doi: 10.1017/S0032247413000296
- Turner, J., Colwell, S. R., Marshall, G. J., Lachlan-Cope, T. A., Carleton, A. M., Jones, P. D., et al. (2005). Antarctic climate change during the last 50 years. *Int. J. Climatol.* 25, 279–294. doi: 10.1002/joc.1130
- Turner, J., and Comiso, J. (2017). Solve Antarctica's sea-ice puzzle. *Nature* 547, 275–277. doi: 10.1038/547275a
- Turner, J., Hosking, J. S., Bracegirdle, T. J., Marshall, G. J., and Phillips, T. (2015). Recent changes in Antarctic Sea Ice. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 373:20140163. doi: 10.1098/rsta.2014.0163
- Turner, J., Lu, H., White, I., King, J. C., Phillips, T., Hosking, J. S., et al. (2016). Absence of 21st century warming on Antarctic Peninsula consistent with natural variability. *Nature* 535, 411–415. doi: 10.1038/nature18645
- Turton, J. V., Kirchgassner, A., Ross, A. N., and King, J. C. (2018). The spatial distribution and temporal variability of föhn winds over the Larsen C ice shelf, Antarctica. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 144, 1169–1178. doi: 10.1002/qj.3284
- Williams, G., Maksym, T., Wilkinson, J., Kunz, C., Murphy, C., Kimball, P., et al. (2014). Thick and deformed Antarctic sea ice mapped with autonomous underwater vehicles. *Nat. Geosci.* 8, 61–67. doi: 10.1038/ngeo2299
- Wouters, B., Martín-Español, A., Helm, V., Flament, T., van Wessem, J. M., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., et al. (2015). Dynamic thinning of glaciers on the Southern Antarctic Peninsula. *Science* 348, 899–903. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa5727
- Zunz, V., Goosse, H., and Massonnet, F. (2013). How does internal variability influence the ability of CMIP5 models to reproduce the recent trend in Southern Ocean sea ice extent? *Cryosphere* 7, 451–468. doi: 10.5194/tc-7-451-2013

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Siegert, Atkinson, Banwell, Brandon, Convey, Davies, Downie, Edwards, Hubbard, Marshall, Rogelj, Rumble, Stroeve and Vaughan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

APPENDIX




FIGURE A1 | Infographic.