

Volume 6 • 2018

10.1093/conphys/coy044



Research article

Visual detection thresholds in two trophically distinct fishes are compromised in algal compared to sedimentary turbidity

Chelsey L. Nieman^{*}, Andrew L. Oppliger, Caroline C. McElwain and Suzanne M. Gray

School of Environment and Natural Resources, The Ohio State University, 2021 Coffey Rd, Columbus, OH 43210, USA ***Corresponding author:** 2021 Coffey Rd, Columbus, OH 43210, USA. Tel: +907-399-1608; Email: nieman.36@osu.edu

Increasing anthropogenic turbidity is among the most prevalent disturbances in freshwater ecosystems, through increases in sedimentary deposition as well as the rise of nutrient-induced algal blooms. Changes to the amount and color of light underwater as a result of elevated turbidity are likely to disrupt the visual ecology of fishes that rely on vision to survive and reproduce; however, our knowledge of the mechanisms underlying visual responses to turbidity is lacking. First, we aimed to determine the visual detection threshold, a measure of visual sensitivity, of two ecologically and economically important Lake Erie fishes, the planktivorous forage fish, emerald shiner (*Notropis atherinoides*), and a primary predator, the piscivorous walleye (*Sander vitreus*), under sedimentary and algal turbidity. Secondly, we aimed to determine if these trophically distinct species are differentially impacted by increased turbidity. We used the innate optomotor response to determine the turbidity levels at which individual fish could no longer detect a difference between a stimulus and the background (i.e. visual detection threshold). Detection thresholds were significantly higher in sedimentary compared to algal turbidity for both emerald shiner (mean_{sediment} \pm SE = 79.66 \pm 5.51 NTU, mean_{algal} \pm SE = 34.41 \pm 3.19 NTU) and walleye (mean_{sediment} \pm SE = 99.98 \pm 5.31 NTU, mean_{algal} \pm SE = 40.35 \pm 2.44 NTU). Our results suggest that across trophic levels, the visual response of fishes will be compromised under algal compared to sedimentary turbidity. The influence of altered visual environments on the ability of fish to find food and detect predators could potentially be large, leading to population- and community-level changes within the Lake Erie ecosystem.

Key words: emerald shiner (*Notropis atherinoides*), Lake Erie, optomotor response, turbidity, visual sensitivity, walleye (*Sander vitreus*)

Editor: Steven Cooke

Received 16 February 2018; Revised 7 July 2018; Editorial Decision 23 July 2018; accepted 3 August 2018

Cite as: Nieman CL, Oppliger AL, McElwain CC, Gray SM (2018) Visual detection thresholds in two trophically distinct fishes are compromised in algal compared to sedimentary turbidity. *Conserv Physiol* 6(1): coy044; doi:10.1093/conphys/coy044.

.....

Introduction

Visual ecology encompasses the physiological, evolutionary and environmental elements that enable visual cues to be detected by a receiver. Alteration of the visual environment is therefore expected to disrupt visually-mediated activities such as foraging, predator avoidance and reproduction (van der Sluijs *et al.*, 2011). In aquatic systems, anthropogenic particulate loading leading to increased turbidity is considered a primary threat to aquatic organisms, is known to alter the underwater visual environment, and has negative effects on aquatic biodiversity (Donohue and Molinos, 2009;

1

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press and the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Gray, 2016). Investigating the underlying mechanisms by which vision might be impaired due to elevated turbidity provides key information needed to predict the spatiotemporal population- and community-level shifts often associated with increased turbidity.

Turbidity causes light entering water to be scattered and absorbed by suspended particles, and therefore can lead to decreased light, shifts in the wavelength of light underwater, and blurred resolution of object borders being viewed (Lythgoe, 1979; Utne-Palm, 2002). Decreases in intensity and shifts in wavelength of light (i.e. the underwater environment becoming darker and differently colored, respectively) can significantly influence the ability of species that rely on vision to meet their ecological needs (Utne-Palm, 2002). Previous studies have shown that decreases in light availability often decrease an organism's visual field and reaction distance (i.e. the maximum distance at which an object can be visually perceived; Miner and Stein, 1996; Utne-Palm, 2002; Richmond et al., 2004; Radke and Gaupisch, 2005; Wellington et al., 2010). Wellington et al. (2010) found that juvenile yellow perch (Perca flavescens) prey consumption was lower in phytoplanktonic (i.e. algal) turbidity than in sedimentary turbidity; however, consumption declined in all types of turbidity (e.g. algal and sedimentary). In another example, reduced visibility caused by increased turbidity resulted in a delay in the ontogenetic shift of Eurasian perch (Perca fluviatilis) from planktivory to piscivory (Radke and Gaupisch, 2005). Thus, we can expect both individual- and population-level impacts of turbidity on fishes reliant on visual cues for survival and reproduction.

Low levels of turbidity are natural in most waterbodies. and in some cases moderate to low turbidity results in higher performance of an individual via increases to contrast between the prey item and the background, especially when the prey are transparent plankton (Utne-Palm, 2002; Horppila et al., 2004; Pangle et al., 2012). Waterbodies that historically have had lower turbidity, however, are now experiencing elevated and more persistent turbidity events (Kane et al., 2014; Gray, 2016). Anthropogenically-elevated inorganic (sedimentary) turbidity is typically the result of urban and agricultural surface water runoff (Mallin et al., 2009), dredging, deforestation, erosion and mining (Wantzen and Mol, 2013; Gray, 2016). Many fine sediments remain suspended in the water column (i.e. sedimentary turbidity), and can also be re-suspended after major events, such as storms, thus raising the severity of turbidity. Increasing anthropogenic nutrient loading, on the other hand, causes drastic increases in the growth of suspended algae (i.e. organic, or algal turbidity), which in many lakes and rivers results in algal blooms (Michalak et al., 2013). The production of toxins by some bloom-forming species of algae and cyanobacteria such as Microcystis, can result in algal blooms posing serious threats to the health of aquatic organisms and also to humans that utilize these waters (Ho and Michalak, 2015).

In general, we expect sedimentary and algal turbidity to differentially affect the underwater visual environment given the different light absorption and scattering properties of each type of particulate. Suspended sediments can vary drastically in size, shape and color depending on the local geological landscape, and typically resulting in greater scattering and loss of light at the ends of the visible spectrum (e.g. ultraviolet and red; Cronin et al., 2014). Algal biomass, resulting in algal turbidity, tends to grow colonially near the surface causing gradation in the water column with higher densities near the surface (Klausmeier and Litchman, 2001) and because it contains photosynthetic pigmentation (i.e. chlorophyll), the light underwater will appear green (Cronin et al., 2014). As the light attenuation properties of sediments are different than those of algae, the impacts of sedimentary and algal turbidity on visual ecology are not expected to be equal (Radke and Gaupisch, 2005; Wellington et al., 2010). The consequences of these differences for the visual ecology of aquatic organisms are not well understood.

Changes to the visual environment, especially in historically clear waters, can act as a strong selective agent in visually-reliant fishes, resulting in both plastic and genetic modifications of fish visual systems. Evidence suggests that fish that rely on vision for reproduction and survival have visual systems tuned to the ambient light environment. For example, three spine stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) from tannin-stained water tend to have red-shifted vision compared to populations found in clear lakes (Boughman, 2001). Under altered conditions, we might expect changes to visual sensitivity, even within very short-time frames. Rearing guppies (Poecilia reticulata) in turbid water, for example, led to a shift in wavelength-sensitive photopigments from mid-wavelength sensitive opsins (greens) to long wavelength-sensitive opsins (reds; Ehlman et al., 2015). Shifting visual sensitivity in this way to match the background light environment may enhance contrast detection, motion detection abilities and increase foraging abilities under turbid conditions. However, not all species are expected to have such flexible visual sensitivity, thus elevated turbidity may significantly impair vision and visuallymediated behaviors in some species or contexts.

Turbidity can serve as a top-down control on community structure, reducing foraging efficiency of predatory trophic levels, or as a bottom-up control, significantly decreasing the depth of the photic zone, subsequently resulting in a decline in phytoplankton biomass (Aksnes and Giske, 1993). High levels of turbidity are often harmful to aquatic organisms, physically damaging respiratory structures such as gills (Sutherland and Meyer, 2007; Gray *et al.*, 2014), and negatively impacting foraging success and anti-predatory behaviors of visually oriented species (Miner and Stein, 1996; Abrahams and Kattenfeld, 1997; Ludsin *et al.*, 2001; Pangle *et al.*, 2012; Gray, 2016; Klobucar and Budy, 2016). In reservoir systems, high levels of turbidity have been found to reduce lake trout (*Salvelinus namayaush*) consumption of

kokanee salmon (*Onchorynchus nerka*) to levels below the physiological demands of these fishes (Klobucar and Budy, 2016). Abrahams and Kattenfeld (1997) found an increase in risky behavior of fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) in slightly turbid waters (mean \pm SE = 11.01 \pm 0.34 NTU nephelometric turbidity units [NTU]), as compared to clearer water (<1 NTU), resulting in reductions of the size selectivity seen by yellow perch predators in clear waters. In Eurasian perch, predation success is highly influenced by size and type of suspended particulates, as opposed to prey size (Radke and Gaupisch, 2005), likely resulting in alterations to trophic interactions in this highly visual predator.

Low levels of turbidity may be beneficial to some planktivorous fishes, as encounter rate with their planktonic prey will not change, while the likelihood of being predated upon decreases with increasing turbidity (Giske et al., 1994; Abrahams and Kattenfeld, 1997). There is evidence that piscivorous fish should be disproportionately affected by turbidity, as their prey must be more visible at a larger distance than the small-bodied zooplankton that planktivorous fish predate upon (De Robertis et al., 2003). For example, Shoup and Wahl (2009) found that largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) placed in high levels of turbidity (i.e. 40 NTU) select different prey items than bass in lower turbidity treatments (i.e. 0 and 5 NTU), with a significant decrease in foraging return at high turbidities. There is also some evidence that certain piscivorous fish species will increase their feeding activity in moderately turbid conditions. This is likely due to the increase in prey contrast with ambient background light (Boehlert and Morgan, 1985; Bristow et al., 1996; Utne-Palm, 2002), as well as the decrease in likelihood of potential predation risk (Gregory and Northcote, 1993); however, this likely depends on the type and severity of the turbidity. The examples above, and the burgeoning literature on the effects of human-altered environments on predator-prey interactions across a number of systems (e.g. Miller et al., 2017; Santonja et al., 2017; Bastille-Rousseau et al., 2018), demonstrate the difficulty in making predictions about how such dynamic relationships might be affected by environmental change. Thus, determining the responses of fishes from different trophic levels to environmental stressors will contribute to our ability to predict population- and community-level changes.

Lake Erie has been identified as impaired by multiple US National and Federal agencies, with the main threat being excessive loading of sediment and nutrients (Ohio Lake Erie Commission, 2016). Over the past century, changes in turbidity have altered species composition as well as species abundance in Lake Erie (Holm and Mandrak, 2002). This has in turn affected the dynamics of commercial and sport fisheries, including location and size of desired populations. Ludsin *et al.* (2001) found that while some Lake Erie fishes (e.g. black crappie, *Pomoxis nigromaculatus*, bluegill, *Lepomis macrochirus*) are tolerant of eutrophic events, such as algal blooms that increase algal turbidity, other species exhibit declines in abundance under these conditions (e.g. channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus*, white crappie, *Pomoxis annularis*). However, the impacts of turbidity on aquatic life tend to be species-specific (e.g. Bonner and Wilde, 2002; Radke and Gaupisch, 2005; Donohue and Molinos, 2009; Dugas and Franssen, 2012; Gray *et al.*, 2014) and do not always impact different life history stages in the same way (Utne-Palm, 2002). This highlights the importance of accounting for individual variation in responses (e.g. testing across multiple stressors) to more fully understand the species-level responses to elevated turbidity.

Emerald shiner (Notropis atherinoides) is one of the most abundant species in Lake Erie (Hartman and Margraf, 1992; McKenna et al., 2008; Pothoven et al., 2009), and considered a dominant forage fish (Pothoven et al., 2009). Emerald shiner have been shown to highly influence the structure and composition of zooplankton communities (Hartman et al., 1992; Pothoven et al., 2009), as well as compose a large portion of the diet for many species of commercial and recreational fisheries of interest (e.g. walleye). Emerald shiner rely heavily on vision for foraging, schooling and predator avoidance behaviors (Bonner and Wilde, 2002) and based on congeneric vision studies are expected to have color vision (Bridges, 1964; Bowmaker, 1995). Bonner and Wilde (2002) found that stream populations of emerald shiner exhibit a decrease in prey consumption in sedimentary turbidity compared to clear water. The impact of turbidity on visual sensitivity thresholds has yet to be elucidated, and likely greatly influences the location and fitness of this species within Lake Erie.

Walleye (Sander vitreus) are a top predator in the Lake Erie ecosystem. Walleye are an economically important species in the area, as the recreational fishery in Lake Erie is the largest walleve fishery in the world, valued at \$1.9 billion dollars annually (US Department of the Interior et al., 2011). Walleye have adaptations in their visual sensory structures to accommodate foraging in low-light conditions. Specifically, they have a tissue layer, called a tapetum lucidum, that lays inside the retina and enhances scotopic, or low-light vision (Wahl, 1994). They are known to forage primarily in lower light levels, and foraging during daylight hours has been associated with low to moderate levels of turbidity (Ryder, 1977; Einfalt et al., 2012). This fits with theoretical predictions that low to moderately turbid water might enhance the contrast of prev against the background, making it an easy target for predators (e.g. Utne-Palm, 2002; Pangle et al., 2012). However, it is likely that too much light scattering in turbid water will pose a threat to the visual abilities of walleye at higher turbidity levels.

Our first objective was to test the visual sensitivity of these two ecologically and economically important Lake Erie fishes, the planktivorous forage fish, emerald shiner and a primary predator, the piscivorous walleye, under sedimentary and algal turbidity. We additionally aimed to compare the visual abilities of emerald shiner and walleye across

turbidity types, given their distinct trophic positions. To determine visual sensitivity thresholds for each species under different types of turbidity, we used an optomotor response apparatus which utilizes the innate optikinetic response of an animal (i.e. following a moving object with the eye). This manifests as an optomotor response, which is the continual following of a moving object by an organism. The optomotor response is taxonomically widespread and has been observed in many species of fish, such as zebrafish (Danio rerio) and medaka (Oryzias latipes; Mueller and Neuhauss, 2010), guppies (Poecilia reticulata; Anstis et al., 1998) and cichlids (Pundamilia spp.; Maan et al., 2006), as well as other taxa including insects (McCann and MacGinitie, 1965; Collett, 1980; Honegger, 1981), mice (Prusky et al., 2004; Abdeljalil et al., 2005), and birds (Eckmeier and Bischof, 2008). This methodology allows the quantification of the ability of an animal to distinguish contrast between a moving black stimulus against a white background, as the test subject will follow the moving stimulus as long as it can be visually distinguished from the background. As turbidity is increased, we expect the contrast between the signal and the background to decrease to a point at which the organism can no longer distinguish the contrast, known as the visual detection threshold, and indicated by the cessation of following behavior by the animal (Robson, 1966). We tested the optomotor response of individuals under increasing sedimentary and algal turbidity, as well as under a treatment that combined sedimentary and algal particulates. These treatments mimic conditions potentially experienced in Lake Erie, for example during spring runoff and storms (sedimentary turbidity), late summer algal blooms (algal turbidity), and storms or dredging activities when a bloom is present (sediment plus algal turbidity). We predicted that sedimentary and algal turbidity would elicit different visual detection thresholds due to the different ways that each type of suspended particulate alters the underwater visual environment. Further, we predicted that the visual detection thresholds of emerald shiner would differ from those of walleye based on the different trophic positions they occupy, as well as the different foraging strategies on which they rely. Specifically, walleye should have relatively higher detection thresholds under low-light conditions (i.e. given the presence of the tapetum lucidum in the eye). While these species may also utilize different sensory modalities to forage and avoid predation (e.g. lateral line), here we solely focus on the alteration to visual processes caused by increasing turbidity.

Methods

Emerald shiner were collected between June and August 2016 and 2017 using seine and cast net methods off the shore of South Bass Island in the western basin of Lake Erie. Fish care and experimental trials took place at The Ohio State University's Franz Theodore Stone Laboratory located on South Bass Island, Ohio. Fish were held in 40 L aquaria with filtered lake water and maintained at a mean

temperature of 23.3 \pm 2.1°C on a natural light regime. Juvenile walleye were collected using otter trawls set at approximately 9.1 m depth from June to August 2017 in the waters surrounding South Bass and Middle Bass Islands in the western basin of Lake Erie. Walleye were held in a 1660l cylindrical tank with a flow-through system and coarsely filtered Lake Erie water maintained at a mean temperature of 21.7 \pm 1.3°C on a natural light regime. All fish were collected and held under Ohio Department of Natural Resources permit #18–82 and The Ohio State University IACUC protocol #2014A00000055.

Experimental sedimentary turbidity was created by mixing 20 g of sieved and dried Lake Erie benthic sediments (collected with an Eckman grab) with lake water (9000 mg/l). Algal turbidity solution consisted of emulsified spinach (450 g) sieved through a 1-mm mesh. Spinach was utilized in a similar study, citing the similarity in color, size and light scattering properties of emulsified spinach relative to common algal bloom species (Wellington et al., 2010). The 'sediment plus algal' treatment, hereafter 'combination turbidity', was created using a mixture of 25% sediment solution to 75% algal solution, which, due to the relative concentration of each solution, resulted in a mixture whereby sediment and algal turbidity were contributing roughly equally to the resultant turbidity (measured in NTU). To show the relative change in light intensity and spectral composition created across treatments, we measured down-welling absolute irradiance approximately 15 cm below the surface of the water for each of the three treatments at 20 NTU. A portable Jaz spectrometer (OceanOpticsInc.) and a 600-um fiber optic cable with a cosin corrector attached were used to measure the light (see Gray et al., 2011). We then calculated the intensity and distribution of light relative to clear water and found the wavelength of maximum intensity (λ_{max}) for each treatment.

The optomotor apparatus consisted of a rotating screen with alternating 3-cm wide black and white stripes (Fig. 1). All trials were conducted between the hours of 10:00 am and 3:00 pm to utilize natural lighting and were recorded on digital video so that visual responses could be validated after trials were complete. Emerald shiner optomotor response trials were conducted in a cylindrical glass tank with a diameter of 18 cm and filled with 1500 ml of aerated lake water and surrounded by the optomotor screen at a distance of 2 cm from the outside of the tank. The optomotor screen was rotated at a speed of eight rotations per minute, as determined by pilot studies that confirmed the fish would follow the screen at this rate under clear conditions. Each individual emerald shiner (n = 17) underwent a total of four trials, two in sedimentary turbidity and two in algal turbidity. A subset of emerald shiner (n = 5) were also tested twice under a combination treatment. Walleye optomotor response trials were conducted in a 40-cm diameter cylindrical acrylic tank filled with 101 of aerated lake water. The optomotor screen (2 cm away from the outside of the tank) was rotated at a speed of



Figure 1: The optomotor apparatus consists of a cylindrical tank surrounded by a rotating screen which is situated approximately 2 cm from the edge of the tank. The screen has 3 cm repeating black stripe pattern for contrast. Fish will follow the black and white rotating stimulus until the turbidity level is such that the fish can no longer distinguish the contrast between the black stimulus and white background. Walleye were tested in a 40-cm diameter tank while Emerald Shiner were tested in an 18-cm diameter tank.



Figure 2: Relative (to ambient) light intensity spectra measured as down-welling irradiance 15 cm below the surface of the water in the optomotor tank in clear water (blue line), at 20 NTU of sedimentary turbidity (brown line), algal turbidity (green line) and a combination of algal and sedimentary turbidity (olive line). Natural daylight provided ambient light. Data was plotted using loess smoothing (span = 0.02).

12 rotations per minute, again based on a pilot study testing responsiveness of the fish to the rotating screen. All walleye (n = 6) were tested twice under each of the three conditions. Individuals of both species were randomly assigned a trial sequence using a random sequence generator so that the order of trials was random across the experiment. We also altered the direction of screen rotation between each of the trials within a treatment. Fish were given a 30-min acclimation period inside the test tank, the latter 15 min of which the screen was rotating. After the acclimation period, and only if the fish was following the screen, we added enough of the concentrated turbidity solution to increase turbidity by 4 NTU. This turbidity solution was added with a 3-ml pipette. We continued to add solution to increase turbidity by

4 NTU every 2 min (i.e. a turbidity step). Water samples were taken at each addition and turbidity measured using a LaMotte 2020e portable turbidimeter (accuracy \pm 0.2 NTU; LaMotte Company, Chestertown, MD, USA). The turbidity step at which the fish ceased following the screen (i.e. swimming backwards, stopping completely or swimming haphazardly) was recorded as the response variable (i.e. visual detection threshold). Both species followed the rotating screen for greater than 2 h in clear water during pilot studies and did not tire, thus we do not consider the time of trials to have influenced the step at which fish stopped swimming. At the completion of all trials, fish were euthanized in an overdose of clove oil solution (1:10 eugenol:ethanol) according to standard operating practices. Weight (g), standard length (cm) and total length (cm) measurements were taken before preservation in 10% buffered formalin.

Generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) were used to analyze trials (each species separately), with individual fish as a random factor to account for repeated use of each fish, as well as individual variation between fish; standard length and treatment were included as fixed effects. Post hoc Bonferroni–Holm correction of all-pair multiple comparisons was used to estimate pairwise comparisons within the statistical models. All statistical tests were conducted utilizing the statistical program R 3.4.2 (R Development Core Team, 2018), utilizing the linear mixed models in the R package lme4 (Bates *et al.*, 2015).

Results

Our measurements of spectral irradiance showed a decline in the amount of light and a shift in the dominant wavelength of light under different types of turbidity (Fig. 2). Sedimentary turbidity decreased the amount of light in the tank by approximately 35%, while algal turbidity reduced the amount of light by 42% and also shifted the dominant wavelength ($\lambda_{max} = 506$ nm) to a more green position ($\lambda_{max} = 563$ nm) indicative of high chlorophyll concentration. The combination treatment, having different concentrations of sediments and emulsified spinach, only decreased the amount of light slightly (~11%), but also green-shifted the light similar to the algae treatment.

Emerald shiner (standard length range: 4.1–6.2 cm) were determined to range from age 0 to 1, based on length–frequency analysis (Erzini, 1990). Model analysis showed that treatment significantly affected the visual detection threshold for emerald shiner (n = 17; Satterthwaite approx.; d.f. = 50, F = 65.791, P < 0.001). Visual detection thresholds for emerald shiner were significantly different between treatments (post hoc Bonferoni–Holm; d.f. = 50, t = -8.11, P < 0.001, SE = 5.57889, estimate = -45.25; Fig. 3a), with detection abilities diminished 43.2% in algal (mean_{algal} ± SE = 34.41 ± 3.19 NTU) compared to sedimentary (mean_{sediment} ± SE = 79.66 ± 5.51 NTU) turbidity. We also found variation in detection thresholds in the subset (n = 5; Fig. 3b; d.f. = 25, F = 65.766, P < 0.001) of emerald shiner that



Figure 3: Mean (\pm standard error) detection thresholds for Emerald Shiner with individuals tested in (**a**) sedimentary turbidity (brown bar) and algal turbidity (green bar; n = 17, P < 0.001) and (**b**) all three treatments (subset n = 5) including a combination treatment (olive bar, P < 0.05). Each individual was tested twice under each treatments and the values for an individual within a treatment averaged. Post hoc pairwise comparison determined relationships between each treatment, as indicated by letters above bars.

were tested under all three turbidity treatments (sedimentary $[\text{mean}_{\text{sediment}} \pm \text{SE} = 94.02 \pm 5.14]$ NTU, algal $[\text{mean}_{\text{algal}} \pm \text{SE} =$ 37.21 ± 3.66 NTU] and combination [mean_{combination} \pm SE = 66.10 ± 4.31 NTU] turbidity). Further analysis revealed that standard length was not on its own a significant indicator of detection threshold within each treatment (Satterthwaite approx.; d.f. = 5, F = 0.125, P = 0.737). Post hoc tests show that all three treatments were statistically distinct, with the highest detection threshold occurring in sedimentary turbidity (z = 5.557, P <0.001), with combination turbidity the second greatest (z =10.927, P < 0.001) and algal turbidity presenting the lowest sensitivity threshold (z = 5.370, P < 0.001). The relationship between standard length and visual detection threshold for the sediment treatment was found to be marginally significant (t = 2.039, $R^2 =$ 0.2171, P = 0.059), while length was not significant for any other treatment.



Figure 4: Mean (\pm standard error) visual detection thresholds for walleye (n = 6). Each individual was tested twice under each of three treatments and the values for an individual within a treatment averaged: sediment (brown bar), combination (dark green bar) and algal (light green bar) turbidity (P < 0.001). Post hoc pairwise comparisons revealed the relationships between each treatment, as indicated by letters above each bar.

Walleve ranged in size from 13.1 cm to 22.1 cm standard length and were all estimated to be less than 2-year-old juveniles based on size distribution for Lake Erie walleye (Crisovan, 2011). We found that treatment also significantly influenced visual detection thresholds in walleye (Satterthwaite approx., d.f. = 28, F = 53.037, P < 0.001), while standard length did not contribute significantly to the model (Satterthwaite approx., d.f. = 4, F = 3.107, P = 0.1527). Detection thresholds for walleye (n = 6; Fig. 4) were highest in the sedimentary treatment (mean_{sediment} \pm SE = 99.98 \pm 5.31 NTU), and decreased from the combination (mean_{combination} \pm SE = 66.47 \pm 3.27 NTU) to the algal (mean_{algal} \pm SE = 40.35 \pm 2.44 NTU) treatment, indicating that individual fish could detect the difference between the stimulus contrast at much higher levels of sedimentary compared to algal turbidity. Post hoc pairwise analysis revealed that these detection thresholds were statistically different, with the greatest being sedimentary turbidity (z = 6.432, P < 0.001), followed by combination turbidity (z = 14.686, P < 0.001), and with algal turbidity (z = 8.254, P < 0.001) 0.001) having the lowest visual detection threshold. An analysis of the relationship between standard length and detection thresholds revealed a significant positive relationship between standard length and the combination turbidity treatment (t = 4.688, $R^2 =$ 0.8075, P = 0.009, however, there was no relationship between standard length and the detection threshold for either the sedimentary or algal treatments.

Discussion

We found a drastic difference in the abilities of both emerald shiner and walleye to detect a moving stimulus dependent on

the type of particulates used to create turbidity: detection thresholds were 43.2% and 40.4% lower, respectively, under algal turbidity compared to sedimentary turbidity in each species. These changes in detection threshold are likely due in part to the approximately 60 nm shift to longer wavelengths of light imposed by the algal compared to the sedimentary turbidity treatment and to the large decrease in the intensity of light, as measured at only 20 NTU (Fig. 2). Such differences in visual detection thresholds have been measured, for example, within and among individual cichlid fishes (Maan et al., 2006) and between populations of guppies (Anstis et al., 1998) using artificially altered colors and intensities of light; here, we demonstrate that individuals can detect and respond to ecologically relevant changes in the light environment as directly manipulated by increasing the concentration of different suspended particles in the water. We discuss the potential within- and between-species consequences of altered visual ecology imposed by elevated sedimentary and algal turbidity.

.....

We tested the immediate response of individual fish to different types of turbidity and found markedly different detection thresholds among treatments. In emerald shiner, we found a 43.2% decrease in the ability to detect contrast within the optomotor apparatus when in algal compared to sedimentary turbidity, with a reduction from 80 to 34 NTU. Walleye had a similar change in visual detection pattern with the ability to detect contrast reduced from approximately 100 NTU in sedimentary to 40 NTU in algal turbidity, a 40% decrease in detection threshold. Body size showed some influence on detection thresholds for walleve in sedimentary turbidity but not the other treatments; the limited range of sizes tested here may not have been sufficient to detect a morphological relationship with turbidity. Regardless, our results suggest it is likely that the performance of both species at any visual task would be highly compromised by relatively low levels of suspended algae (~38 NTU). At this level, we found the cessation of optomotor response, meaning that even lower levels of algal turbidity could decrease the efficiency of visually-mediated behaviors such as foraging and predator avoidance. Juvenile yellow perch, for example, showed decreased foraging efficiency at only 20 NTU (Wellington et al., 2010). Under mean levels of turbidity found in the western basin of Lake Erie (mean summer 2017 turbidity approx. 21 NTU; Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, 2017) both species would likely be able to see. However, during storm events that lead to resuspension of sediments and turbidities exceeding 300 NTU (Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, 2017) or algal blooms that can elevate turbidity to around or above 40 NTU (Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, 2017) we can expect significant disruption to visuallymediated behaviors. Additionally, while lower levels of turbidity may not eliminate visual cues, it is likely that even moderate levels of increased turbidity are likely to impede visually-mediated behaviors such as foraging.

Another ecologically relevant form of turbidity in Lake Erie is a combination of sediment and algae, for example created by a late summer storm where sediments may be stirred up during an algal bloom event. We therefore tested a subsample of individuals in an additional combination treatment of both forms of turbidity. While we did detect variation across individual fish in their response to each treatment (i.e. individual as a random effect was included in the model), the pattern of decreased visual abilities from sedimentary to combination to algal turbidity is clear in both species; both emerald shiner and walleve in combination turbidity exhibited detection thresholds intermediate to those found in sedimentary and algal turbidity. In this case, we held the level of turbidity contributed by each type of particulate constant, rather than keeping the concentration of particles constant. This led to the combination treatment having higher light intensity compared to either the sedimentary or algal treatments, though the wavelength of maximum intensity shifted to match that of the algal treatment (at 20 NTU; Fig. 2). The higher light intensity therefore may have compensated for the wavelength shift, allowing for an intermediate visual response relative to the other treatments. Ultimately, this means that responses in nature are expected to vary depending on the relative concentration of different particulate types responsible for elevated turbidity and the inherent ways in which light is scattered and absorbed underwater. For example, algal blooms in Lake Erie are dominated by the cyanobacterium Microcystis, which form dense surface mats (Zohary and Madeira, 1990). These dense mats reduce the amount of light penetrating through the water column and change the color of ambient underwater light; however, when mixing of these mats occurs during heavy winds (i.e. algal cells become distributed throughout the water column), the algal particles could diffuse the light more uniformly, thus degrading the visual environment a slightly different way. Additionally, storm events that result in resuspension of sediments during an algal bloom may result in a combination of both sedimentary and algal turbidity occurring concurrently.

Predator-prey relationships are important for the functioning of ecosystems, and research to understand how species interactions are affected by human alteration of the environment is expanding (e.g. Miller et al., 2017; Sanders and Gaston, 2018). With respect to turbidity elevated above natural conditions, predator-prey dynamics are expected to be influenced in different ways depending on the foraging strategy and size of organism (Abrahams and Kattenfeld, 1997). In this study, we tested each species in a size-specific optomotor apparatus with different speed and dimensions to accommodate size differences. This made a quantitative comparison of visual detection thresholds between species difficult; however, a qualitative assessment indicates some variation in the way that fish from different trophic levels respond to turbidity. Emerald shiner and walleve had relatively similar patterns of visual detection changes across

.....

turbidity type, indicating that trophic position may not necessarily be a driving force at the threshold of visual sensitivity (i.e. at the point where the fish can no longer detect contrast). While algal and combination turbidity affected the two species at a similar turbidity level, detection levels for walleve in sedimentary turbidity may be slightly higher, though further work is needed to evaluate this difference. The visual adaptations of walleye that give them an advantage under low-light conditions may contribute to their ability to detect contrast at higher sedimentary turbidity levels than emerald shiner. For example, the *tapetum lucidum* at the back of the walleve eve would be advantageous under the decreased light intensity resulting from sedimentary turbidity because it enhances light collection within the eye. However, shifts in wavelength of light, as expected with algal turbidity, may not be influenced by this adaptation. Until we better understand the species-specific photopic (color) vision sensitivities of walleye and emerald shiner it will be difficult to predict response to shifts in the wavelengths of water. The difference we detected between species with respect to sedimentary turbidity indicates that the consequences of elevated turbidity in general may have different impacts on fish populations and communities, though further work that examines individuals across a larger size range is warranted.

It is also possible that eye size differences, for example a larger walleye eye collecting more light than a smaller shiner eye, might also contribute to differences in responses. There were some indications that within-species, standard length (and hence eye size, assuming an allometric relationship) may play a role in visual detection abilities. This is possible given the relationship between eye size and visual acuity in teleosts (e.g. Wanzenböck et al., 1996; Caves et al., 2017, Corral-López et al., 2017). For example, Dugas and Franssen (2012) found that populations of red shiner (Cyprinella lutrensis) from turbid waters have larger eyes than populations from clear water. Other examples show a negative relationship between eye size and turbidity (Huber and Rylander, 1992), suggesting concentration, duration and frequency of exposure may all be important factors in understanding visual responses to elevated turbidity. The direct functional role of eye size has rarely been experimentally tested in the context of elevated turbidity. It is likely that eye size highly influences visual abilities as teleost pupil size is fixed, and thus more light is capable of entering eyes with larger pupils, allowing greater visual processing under lowlight conditions (Higgs and Fuiman, 1996). Fish length has been recently demonstrated to correlate with eye size, and visual morphology is known to relate to visual abilities (Caves et al., 2017; Corral-López et al., 2017). In our study, fish size did ultimately influence the best model for sensitivity threshold of walleye, even though on its own standard length was not significant. This was likely due to the relatively small sample size. In addition, it was only possible to test juvenile walleve with our current optomotor apparatus. More conclusions could be drawn about the effect of fish and eye size with a larger sample size that included adult post-recruitment walleye. An increased sample size would allow us to tease apart the effects of eye size on individual within-species variation in detection thresholds.

In general, there is a growing body of literature that seeks to understand behavioral responses to human-induced environmental change (e.g. Wong and Candolin, 2015; Sih et al., 2011) and in particular how changes in species interactions might translate to shifts at higher levels of biological organization (Sih, 2013). Given that individuals of both species had different visual detection thresholds when tested under short-term exposure to different turbidity types in the optomotor apparatus, it seems fair to expect that increased turbidity fluctuations (e.g. from storm events enhanced by climate change; Whitehead et al., 2009) and incidents of exposure (e.g. from more severe algal blooms; Michalak et al., 2013) may have long-term consequences for populations of emerald shiner and walleye. Our study suggests that anthropogenically-induced algal turbidity may be more detrimental to the ability of fishes to function within that visual environment compared to sedimentary turbidity. Prolonged periods and increased density of algal turbidity are therefore likely to have severe consequences for populations of fish in Lake Erie that rely on vision for some or most of their life history and that remain in the western basin during intense algal blooms. Alternatively, visual foragers may begin to rely more heavily on alternative sensory modalities in order to find prey items (Sih et al., 2011; van der Sluijs et al., 2011). In addition, algal bloom events are associated with hypoxia (low dissolved oxygen) and higher water temperatures within the lake system, which can cause a variety of other negative consequences for aquatic species. For example, Arend et al. (2011) found a negative association between the quality of fish habitat and seasonal hypoxia occurrence in Lake Erie. Algal blooms may therefore pose significant challenges to fish in addition to altered visual environments leading to decreased foraging efficiency or a change in diet and consumption (Ludsin et al., 2001; Pangle et al., 2012; Klobucar and Budy, 2016), potentially resulting in decreased nutrition (e.g. if less preferable prey sources are more visible). Fish may also be likely to avoid areas in Lake Erie where turbidity is highest, leading to movement of populations out of the western basin during severe bloom years. In other systems, for example, juvenile coho salmon have been shown to behaviorally avoid high (>70 NTU) levels of turbidity (Bisson and Bilby, 1982). Avoidance responses were additionally found in six species of native New Zealand fishes at turbidity levels as low as 17 NTU (Boubée et al., 1997). Further research is necessary to determine how patterns of decreased visual detection thresholds affect visual acuity and prey consumption, as well as the dynamic relationship between predator and prey. As both sedimentary and algal turbidity occur in the Lake Erie ecosystem, it is important for managers to understand the seasonal fluctuations of turbidity level and type, and be able to understand the dissimilar changes that will occur to fish dynamics in a multitude of situations.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the staff of Ohio State University's Franz Theodore Stone Laboratory for fish collection and logistical help, especially M. Thomas, A. Wolf, and C. Genheimer; the ideas and support of J. Bruskotter, S. Ludsin, L. Pintor, and E. Braig; the assistance of R. Oldham and J. Robbins for helping to construct the optomotor apparatus; and the generous support of the John L. Crites Research Experience Forum Fellowship Endowment, the Thomas Huxley Langlois Research Fellowship Endowment and the F.T. Stone Laboratory Research Endowment for helping to support this research.

Funding

This work was supported by the Ohio Sea Grant College Program R/ER-111 [(through the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration NA14OAR4170067) to S.M.G. Ohio Sea Grant College Program Research Experience for Undergraduate scholarships were provided to A.L.O and C.C.M by the John L. Crites Research Experience Forum Fellowship Endowment [#601510], the Thomas Huxley Langlois Research Fellowship Endowment [#603930], and the F.T. Stone Laboratory Research Endowment [#602289].

References

- Abdeljalil J, Hamid M, Abdel-Mouttalib O, Stephané R, Raymond R, Johan A, José S, Pierre C, Serge P (2005) The optomotor response: a robust first-line visual screening method for mice. *Vis Res* 45: 1439–1446.
- Abrahams MV, Kattenfeld MG (1997) The role of turbidity as a constraint on predator-prey interactions in aquatic environments. *Behav Ecol Sociobio* 40: 169–174.
- Aksnes DL, Giske J (1993) A theoretical model of aquatic visual feeding. *Ecol Model* 67: 233–250.
- Anstis S, Hutahajan P, Cavanagh P (1998) Optomotor test for wavelength sensitivity in guppyfish (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Vis Res* 38: 45–53.
- Arend KK, Beletsky D, DePinto JV, Ludsin SA, Roberts JJ, Rucinski DK, Scavia D, Schwab DJ, Höök TO (2011) Seasonal and interannual effects of hypoxia on fish habitat quality in central Lake Erie. *Freshwater Biol* 56: 366–383.
- Bastille-Rousseau G, Schaefer JA, Peers MJL, Elligton EH, Mumma MA, Rayl ND, Mahoney SP, Murray DL (2018) Climate change can alter predator–prey dynamics and population viability of prey. *Oecologia* 186: 141–150.
- Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixedeffects models using Ime4. J Stat Soft 67: 1–48.
- Bisson PA, Bilby RE (1982) Avoidance of suspended sediment by juvenile Coho Salmon. *North Am J Fish Mana* 2: 371–374.

- Boehlert GW, Morgan JB (1985) Turbidity enhances feeding abilities of larval Pacific Herring, *Clupea harengus pallasi*. *Hydrobiologia* 123: 161–170.
- Bonner TH, Wilde GR (2002) Effects of turbidity on prey consumption by Prairie Stream fishes. *Trans Am Fish Soc* 131: 1203–1208.
- Boubée JAT, Dean TL, West DW, Barrier RFG (1997) Avoidance of suspended sediment by the juvenile migratory stage of six New Zealand native fish species. *New Zeal J Mar Fresh* 31: 61–19.
- Boughman JW (2001) Divergent sexual selection enhances reproductive isolation in sticklebacks. *Nature* 411: 944–948.
- Bowmaker JK (1995) The visual pigments of fish. *Prog Retin Eye Res* 15: 1–31.
- Bridges CDB (1964) Variation of visual pigment amongst individuals of an American minnow, *Notemignonus crycoleucas boscii. Vis Res* 4: 233–239.
- Bristow BT, Summerfelt RC, Clayton RD (1996) Comparative performance of intensively cultured larval Walleye in clear, turbid, and colored water. *Prog Fish-Cult* 58: 1–10.
- Caves EM, Sutton TT, Johnsen S (2017) Visual acuity in ray-finned fishes correlated with eye size and habitat. *J Exp Biol* 1: 1586–1596.
- Collett TS (1980) Angular tracking and the optomotor response an analysis of visual reflex interaction in a hoverfly. *J Comp Physiol* [A] 140: 145–158.
- Corral-López A, Garate-Olaizola M, Buechel SD, Kolm N, Kotrschal A (2017) On the role of body size, brain size, and eye size in visual acuity. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 71: 179. doi:10.1007/s00265-017-2408-z.
- Crisovan E (2011) Length-at-age and size-selective mortality of the western basin of Lake Erie Walleye (Sander vitreus) population, 2000–2008. Master's thesis. Windsor University, Windsor, ON, Canada.
- Cronin TW, Johnsen S, Marshall NJ, Warrant EJ (2014) *Visual Ecology*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- De Robertis A, Ryer CH, Veloza A, Brodeur RD (2003) Differential effects of turbidity on prey consumption of piscivorous and plank-tivorous fish. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 60: 1517–1526.
- Donohue I, Molinos JG (2009) Impacts of increased sediment loads on the ecology of lakes. *Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc* 84: 517–531.
- Dugas MB, Franssen NR (2012) Red shiners (*Cyprinella lutrensis*) have larger eyes in turbid habitats. *Can J Zool* 90: 1431–1436.
- Eckmeier D, Bischof HJ (2008) The optokinetic response in wild type and white zebra finches. J Comp Physiol [A] 194: 871–878.
- Ehlman SA, Sandkam BA, Breden F, Sih A (2015) Developmental plasticity in vision and behavior may help guppies overcome increased turbidity. *J Comp Physiol* [A] 201: 1125–1135.
- Einfalt LM, Grace EJ, Wahl DH (2012) Effects of simulated light intensity, habitat complexity and forage type on predator-prey interactions in walleye *Sander vitreus*. *Ecol Freshw Fish* 21: 560–569.

.....

Erzini K (1990) Sample size and grouping of data for length-frequency analysis. *Fish Res* 9: 355–366.

- Giske J, Aksnes DL, Fiksen Ø (1994) Visual predators, environmental variables and zooplankton mortality risk. *Vie Milieu* 44: 1–9.
- Gray SM (2016) Muddy waters: the influence of soil and sediment on aquatic life. *Encyclopedia of Soil Science*, 3rd ed. Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton, FL.
- Gray SM, Bieber FME, McDonnell LH, Chapman LJ, Mandrak NE (2014) Experimental envidence for species-specific response to turbidity in imperiled fishes. *Aquat Conserv* 24: 546–560.
- Gray SM, Sabbah S, Hawryshyn CW (2011) Experimentally increased turbidity causes behavioural shifts in Lake Malawi cichlids. *Ecol Freshw Fish* 20: 529–536.
- Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory (2017) Lake Erie Archived Real-Time Data. [Unpublished raw data]. https://www. glerl.noaa.gov/res/HABs_and_Hypoxia/rtMo.nSQL.php (last accessed 8 February 2018).
- Gregory RS, Northcote TG (1993) Surface, planktonic, and benthic foraging by juvenile Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in turbid laboratory conditions. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 50: 233–240.
- Hartman KJ, Margraf FJ (1992) Effects of prey and predator abundances on prey consumption and growth of Walleyes in Western Lake Erie. *Trans Am Fish Soc* 121: 245–260.
- Hartman KJ, Vondracek B, Parrish DL, Muth KM (1992) Diets of Emerald and Spottail Shiners and potential interactions with other Western Lake Erie planktivorous fishes. J Great Lakes Res 18: 43–50.
- Higgs DM, Fuiman LA (1996) Light intensity and schooling behaviour in larval Gulf Menhaden. *J Fish Biol* 48: 979–991.
- Ho JC, Michalak AM (2015) Challenges in tracking harmful algal blooms: a synthesis of evidence from Lake Erie. J Great Lakes Res 41: 317–325.
- Holm E, Mandrak NE (2002) Update COSEWIS status report on the Pugnose Shiner Notropis anogenus in Canada. COSEWIC assessment and update status report on the Pugnose Shiner Notropis anogenus in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endagered Wildlife in Canada, Ottawa.
- Honegger HW (1981) A preliminary note on a new optomotor response in crickets: antennal tracking of moving targets. *J Comp Physiol* [A] 142: 419–421.
- Horppila J, Liljendahl-Nurminen A, Malinen T (2004) Effects of clay turbidity and light on the predator–prey interaction between smelts and chaoborids. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 61: 1862–1870.
- Huber R, Rylander MK (1992) Quantitative histological study of the optic nerve in species of minnows (Cyprinidae, Teleostei) inhabiting clear and turbid water. *Brain Behav Evol* 40: 250–255.
- Kane DD, Conroy JD, Richards RP, Baker DB, Culver DA (2014) Reeutrophication of Lake Erie: correlations between tributary nutrients loads and phytoplankton biomass. J Great Lakes Res. doi:10. 1016/j.jglr.2014.04.004.

- Klausmeier CA, Litchman E (2001) Algal games: the vertical distribution on phytoplankton in poorly mixed water columns. *Limnol Oceanogr* 46: 1998–2007.
- Klobucar SL, Budy P (2016) Consequences of seasonal variation in reservoir water level for predatory fishes: linking visual foraging and prey densities. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 73: 53–64.
- Ludsin SA, Kershner MW, Blocksom KA, Knight RL, Stein RA (2001) Life after death in Lake Erie: nutrient controls drive fish species richness, rehabilitation. *Ecol Appl* 11: 731–746.
- Lythgoe JN (1979) The Ecology of Vision. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Maan ME, Hofker KD, van Alphen JJM, Seehausen O (2006) Sensory Drive in Cichlid Speciation. *Ame Nat* 167: 947–954.
- Mallin MA, Johnson VL, Ensign SH (2009) Comparative impacts of stormwater runoff on water quality of an urban, a suburban, and a rural stream. *Environ Monit Assess* 159: 475–491.
- McCann GD, MacGinitie GF (1965) Optomotor response studies of insect vision. *Proc R Soc Lond B* 163: 369–401.
- McKenna JE Jr, Davis BM, Fabrizio MC, Savino JF, Todd TN, Bur M (2008) Ichthyoplankton assemblages of coastal west-central Lake Erie and associated habitat characteristics. J Great Lakes Res 34: 755–769.
- Michalak AM, Anderson EJ, Beletsky D, Boland S, Bosch NS, Bridgeman TB, Chaffin JD, Cho K, Confessor R, Dalağlu I, *et al.* (2013) Recordsetting algal bloom in Lake Erie caused by agricultural and meteorological trends consistent with expected future conditions. *PNAS* 110: 6448–6452.
- Miller CR, Barton BT, Zhu L, Radeloff VC, Oliver KM, Harmon JP, Ives AR (2017) Combined effects of night warming and light pollution on predator–prey interactions. *Proc Roy Soc B* 284: 20171195.
- Miner JG, Stein RA (1996) Detection of predators and habitat choice by small Bluegills: effects of turbidity and alternative prey. *Trans Am Fish Soc* 125: 97–103.
- Mueller KP, Neuhauss SC (2010) Quantitative measurements of the optokinetic response in adult fish. J Neurosci Methods 186: 29–34.
- Ohio Lake Erie Commission (2016) Lake Erie protection and restoration plan. Ohio Lake Erie Commission.
- Pangle KL, Malinich TD, Bunnell DB, DeVries DR, Ludsin SA (2012) Context-dependent planktivory: interacting effects of turbidity and predation risk on adaptive foraging. *Ecosphere* 3: 114.
- Pothoven SA, Vanderploeg HA, Ludsin SA, Höök TO, Brandt SB (2009) Feeding ecology of emerald shiners and rainbow smelt in central Lake Erie. J Great Lakes Res 35: 190–198.
- Prusky GT, Alam NM, Beekman S, Douglas RM (2004) Rapid quantification of adult and developing mouse spatial vision using a virtual optomotor system. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 45: 4611–4616.
- R Development Core Team (2018) *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria.

.....

- Radke RJ, Gaupisch A (2005) Effects of phytoplankton-induced turbidity on predation success of piscivorous Eurasian perch (Perca fluviatilis): possible implications for fish community structure in lakes. Naturwissenschaften 92: 91-94.
- Richmond HE, Hrabik TR, Mesinger AF (2004) Light intensity, prey detection and foraging mechanisms of age 0 year yellow perch. J Fish Biol 65: 195-205.
- Robson JG (1966) Spatial and temporal contrast-sensitivity functions of the visual system. J Opt Soc Am 56: 1141-1142.
- Ryder RA (1977) Effects of ambient light variation on behavior of yearling, subadult, and adult Walleyes (Stizostedion vitreium vitreum). J Fish Res Board Can 34: 1481–1491.
- Sanders D. Gaston KJ (2018) How ecological communities respond to artificial light at night. J Exp Zool 2018: 1-7.
- Santonja M, Minguez L, Gessner MO, Sperfeld E (2017) Predator-prey interactions in a changing world: humic stress disrupts predator threat evasion in copepods. Oecologia 183: 887-898.
- Shoup DE, Wahl DH (2009) The effects of turbidity on prey selection by Piscivorous Largemouth Bass. Trans Am Fish Soc 138: 1018-1027.
- Sih A (2013) Understanding variation in behavioural responses to human-induced rapid environmental change: a conceptual overview. Anim Behav 85: 1077-1088.
- Sih AH, Ferrari MCO, Harris DJ (2011) Evolution and behavioural responses to human-induced rapid environmental change. Evol Applic 4: 367-387.
- Sutherland AB, Meyer JL (2007) Effects of increased suspended sediment on growth rate and gill condition of two southern Appalachian minnows. Environ Biol Fishes 80: 389-403.

- U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Census Bureau (2011) National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation.
- Utne-Palm AC (2002) Visual feeding of fish in a turbid environment: physical and behavioural aspects. Mar Freshwater Behav Physiol 35: 111-128.
- van der Sluijs I, Gray SM, Amorim MCP, Barber I, Candolin U, Hendry AP, Krahe R, Maan ME, Utne-Palm AC, Wagner H-J, et al. (2011) Communication in troubled waters: the evolutionary implications of changing environments on fish communication systems. Evol Ecol 25: 623-640.
- Wahl CM (1994) Periodic cone cell twists in the Walleye, Stizostedion vitreum; a New Type of Retinomotor Activity. Vis Res 34: 11-18.
- Wantzen KM, Mol JH (2013) Soil erosion from agriculture and mining: a threat to tropical stream ecosystems. Agriculture 3: 660-683.
- Wanzenböck J, Zaunreiter M, Wahl CM, Noakes DLG (1996) Comparison of behavioural and morphological measures of visual resolution during ontogeny of roach (Rutilus rutilus) and vellow perch (Perca flavescens). Can J Fish Aquat Sci 53: 1506-1512.
- Wellington CG, Mayer CM, Bossenbroek JM, Stroh NA (2010) Effects of turbidity and prey density on the foraging success of age 0 year vellow perch Perca flavescens. J Fish Biol 76: 1729-1741.
- Whitehead PG, Wilby RL, Battarbee RW, Kernan M, Wade AJ (2009) A review of the potential impacts of climate change on surface water quality. Hyrdolog Sci J 51: 101–123.
- Wong BBM, Candolin U (2015) Behavioral responses to changing environments. Behav Ecol 26: 665-673.
- Zohary T, Madeira AM (1990) Structural, physical and chemical characteristics of *Microcystis aeruainosa* hyperscums from a hypertrophic lake. Freshwater Biol 23: 339-352.