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ABSTRACT

Yellowfin sole (Limanda aspera) is a major fishery resource of the eastern Bering Sea, ranking second in abundance only to walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma). Yellowfin sole are relatively small, slow-growing flatfish. They averaged $26.5 \mathrm{~cm}(10.4 \mathrm{in})$ and $27.7 \mathrm{~cm}(10.9 \mathrm{in})$ in foreign trawl catches in 1979 and 1980, respectively. These sizes are representative of fish ll-12 yr of age. Even at the oldest ages (15-20 yr), most of the fish average less than 1 lb. Recent commercial catches have ranged between 87,000 and 99,000 metric tons (t) annually. However, the population is presently capable of supporting larger catches.

The abundance of yellowfin sole was reduced by intense exploitation in early years of the foreign fishery when catches averaging $400,000 \mathrm{t}$ annually were taken over the $4-y r$ period of 1959-62. Cohort analyses have indicated that population abundance remained at a reduced level until the early 1970's. Cohort analyses and resource assessment data both show that abundance has been increasing since the early 1970 's and in recent years has reached a level that may have approximated or even exceeded the biomass of the stock prior to the period of intense exploitation that began in the late 1950's. The total population biomass in 1981 was minimally estimated to be 2.0 million $t$. The primary reason for this increase has been the recruitment to the population of a series of strong year-classes spawned in 1966-70. These year-classes at ages l1-15 continued to provide the major share of commercial catches in 1981. Recent survey data indicate that a new series of strong year-classes have entered the population (the 1973-76 year-classes). These year-classes should maintain the resource in good condition for a number of years.

Future trends in abundance of the yellowfin sole population and potential levels of harvest were examined in this report using a numeric population simulator. The simulator projects numbers-at-age from given numbers-at-age in a base year using estimates of natural (M) and fishing (F) mortality and recruitment. The value of $M$ used in the simulation was 0.12 . $F$ values were varied (corresponding to exploitation rates of $0.05,0.10,0.15$, and 0.20 ) to examine the response of the population to various levels of exploitation. An $F$ value corresponding to a constant catch of $214,500 t$ was also used which represents an estimate of maximum sustainable yield. The simulations were run with two levels of recruitment: 1.403 billion fish, which is the average recruitment at age 7 in $1959-81$ based on cohort analysis, and 1.074 billion fish, which is the average abundance of age 7 fish in this same period of years, excluding the exceptionally strong year-classes of 1969, 1970, 1973, and 1974.

The simulations indicate that population biomass will remain high at least through 1985. Abundance of primary age groups in the fishable stock (ages 8-17) is expected to range between 1.4 and 2.0 million $t$ in 1985 , varying within this range depending on the levels of exploitation and recruitment. Based on these findings, it is believed that the resource can sustain catches of at least $200,000 t$ annually until 1985 .

Long-term equilibrium yields were also examined for yellowfin sole using the PROBUB ecosystem model. Calculations based on the model indicate that the equilibrium biomass (the biomass of yellowfin sole that would be in equilibrium with other components of the ecosystem) ranges from $880,000-1,328,000 \mathrm{t}$. Annual catches of as much as 175,000 t were found to maintain the population within this range and was, therefore, considered an estimate of the long-term equilibrium yield.

The current biomass of yellowfin sole is apparently at the upper end of a natural cycle in abundance and exceeds intermediate levels of abundance which, according to the ecosystem model, keeps the overall ecosystem in equilibrium. Catches should, therefore, be increased to $200,000 \mathrm{t}$ or more to take advantage of this surplus.
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Yellowfin sole (Limanda aspera) is a major fishery resource of the eastern Bering Sea, ranking second only to walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma) in abundance. During a major demersal trawl survey by the Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center (NWAFC) in 1979, yellowfin sole represented $63 \%$ of the total estimated flatfish biomass and $22 \%$ of the total fish biomass in the region. Current estimated biomass is about 2 million metric tons ( $t$ ), based on research vessel surveys.

Yellowfin sole was the initial target species of distant water fisheries from Japan and the U.S.S.R. in the eastern Bering Sea following World War II. Yellowfin sole was utilized for the production of fish meal and this fishery expanded very rapidly in the late 1950 's to harvest an average $400,000 \mathrm{t}$ annually in the 4-year period of 1959-62. Catches of this magnitude apparently exceeded equilibrium yield, and the population size was considerably reduced.

Population abundance remained at a reduced level until the early 1970's. In about 1972, the resource began to recover and abundance has since increased. In 1979-81, the estimated biomass had reached a level that is believed to be at least as high as the size of the population prior to the intense exploitation in the late 1950's and early 1960's.

There is current interest in yellowfin sole as a potential resource for exploitation by U.S. fisheries, particularly through joint venture operations with foreign processing vessels. This report is intended to provide information on the characteristics of the resource, its present condition, and potential future abundance as a possible aid to the development of U.S. fisheries.

## COMMERCIAL FISHERY <br> Historical Catch Statistics

Annual catches of yellowfin sole throughout the history of the post World War II fishery are given in Table $l$ (Japan also had a small-scale fishery in the eastern Bering Sea in 1933-37 and 1940-41 for pollock and flatfish with annual catches of all species ranging from 3,300-43,400 t). The data illustrates the rapid expansion of the Japanese and U.S.S.R. fisheries in the late 1950's when catches reached a peak of $554,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1961. Catches then declined just as rapidly, falling below $100,000 t$ in 1963. This decline is believed to stem from reduced availability of yellowfin sole as a result of overfishing in 1959-63, but the severity of the decline may have been heightened by the diversification of these fisheries to other species and areas of the Bering Sea. For example, in 1964 the Japanese begin utilizing walleye pollock which then became the primary target species of Japanese fisheries and later of U.S.S.R. fisheries. Since the mid-1960's, catches of yellowfin sole have fluctuated between $42,000 \mathrm{t}$ (in 1974) and $167,000 \mathrm{t}$ (in 1969). In the most recent 3 yr , catches have ranged between 87,000 and 99,000 t.

The U.S.S.R. has not been allowed to conduct a directed fishery for yellowfin sole in the U.S. fishery conservation zone since early 1980. However, joint venture operations between U.S. catcher boats and Soviet processing vessels produced catches of about $9,600 \mathrm{t}$ in 1980 and $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1981. A second recent development in the fishery has been increased utilization of yellowfin sole by Republic of Korea fishing vessels with catches increasing to the 16,000 to 17,000 t range in 1980 and 1981 .

Table l.--Annual catches of yellowfin sole in the eastern Bering Sea (east of $180^{\circ}$ and north of $54^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) in metric tons. $1 /$

| Year | Japan | USSR | ROK | 2/ |  | 3/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Others | Joint Venture | Total |
| 1954 | 12,562 |  |  |  |  | 12,562 |
| 1955 | 14,690 |  |  |  |  | 14,690 |
| 1956 | 24,697 |  |  |  |  | 24,697 |
| 1957 | 24,145 |  |  |  |  | 24,145 |
| 1958 | 39,153 | 5,000 |  |  |  | 44,153 |
| 1959 | 123,121 | 62,200 |  |  |  | 185,321 |
| 1960 | 360,103 | 96,000 |  |  |  | 456,103 |
| 1961 | 399,542 | 154,200 |  |  |  | 553,742 |
| 1962 | 281,103 | 139,600 |  |  |  | 420,703 |
| 1963 | 20,504 | 65,306 |  |  |  | 85,810 |
| 1964 | 48,880 | 62,297 |  |  |  | 111,177 |
| 1965 | 26,039 | 27,771 |  |  |  | 53,810 |
| 1966 | 45,423 | 56,930 |  |  |  | 102,353 |
| 1967 | 60,429 | 101,799 |  |  |  | 162,228 |
| 1968 | 40,834 | 43,355 | -4/ |  |  | 84,189 |
| 1969 | 81,449 | 85,685 | - |  |  | 167,134 |
| 1970 | 59,851 | 73,228 | - |  |  | 133,079 |
| 1971 | 82,179 | 78,220 | - |  |  | 160,399 |
| 1972 | 34,846 | 13,010 | - |  |  | 47,856 |
| 1973 | 75,724 | 2,516 | - |  |  | 78,240 |
| 1974 | 37,947 | 4,288 | - |  |  | 42,235 |
| 1975 | 59,715 | 4,975 | - |  |  | 64,690 |
| 1976 | 52,688 | 2,908 | 625 |  |  | 56,201 |
| 1977 | 58,090 | 283 | - |  |  | 58,373 |
| 1978 | 62,064 | 76,300 | 69 |  |  | 138,433 |
| 1979 | 56,824 | 40,271 | 1,919 | 3 |  | 99,017 |
| 1980 | 61,295 | 6 | 16,198 | 269 | 9,623 | 87,391 |
| 1981 | 63,961 |  | 17,179 | 115 | 16,046 | 97,301 |

1/ Source: Wakabayashi and Bakkala (1978) for catches through 1976; catch data for 1977-79 from data submitted to the U.S. by nations fishing in the U.S. 200-mile fishery conservation zone. Catch data for 1980 and 1981 are estimates from the U.S. observer program (French et al. 1981, 1982).

2/ Other nations are Taiwan, West Germany, and Poland.
3/ Joint venture operations between U.S. catcher boats and USSR processing vessels.
4/ - indicates fishing but no reported catch.

Yellowfin sole are presently utilized for freezing after various degrees of processing. Based on U.S. observer reports, they are frozen in the round on Soviet processing vessels while only the smaller fish are utilized in this manner on Japanese processing vessels. Fish greater than 140 g ( 0.3 lb ) may be headed, gutted, and the tails removed manually before freezing on Japanese processors or a stamping machine may be used to remove the head, tail, fins, and viscera. The dark side may also be skinned from these latter two products. The larger yellowfin sole (approximately 0.5 lb and larger) may also be filleted. Wastes from the processing operations are used for fish meal along with some other species from the catch.

## Fishing Areas

Distribution of catches of yellowfin sole by trawl fisheries in 1977 and 1980 are illustrated in Figure 1. Two years of catch data are shown because the Japanese fishery for yellowfin sole, which has usually taken the major share of the catch, has shifted from a winter fishery (September-'February) prior to 1978 to a summer-early fall fishery (June-October) in recent years. However, the area of major catches were similar in the two seasons with a large portion of the catches coming from the central shelf region east of the Pribilof Islands. Catches south and northwest of this area were much smaller and represent incidental catches of yellowfin sole taken by target fisheries for other species, primarily pollock.

## POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

Seasonal Distribution

Yellowfin sole conduct seasonal migrations from waters of the outer shelf of the eastern Bering Sea, which they occupy during winter and early spring



Figure l.--Distribution of catches of yellowfin sole (in loot units) by the foreign trawl fishery in 1977 and 1980.
months to central and inner shelf regions in summer. The migrations to deeper water in winter are probably in response to the advance of pack ice that covers extensive, but variable portions of the eastern Bering sea in winter and spring. Inshore migrations are for feeding and spawning; spawning takes place in nearshore waters along the Alaska mainland in July to September.

Figure 2 illustrates the distribution of yellowfin sole observed in April and May 1976 during a NWAFC resource assessment survey. The spring of 1976 was particularly cold and the pack-ice distribution in April was at an extreme southern location. The distribution in April was, therefore, probably typical of the late winter-early spring distribution of yellowfin sole during years of extensive ice cover in the eastern Bering Sea. Fadeev (1970) and Wakabayashi (1974) have observed winter concentrations in similar locations in years prior to 1976. Yellowfin sole may also remain in central shelf waters throughout the winter in warmer years, such as 1977, as is indicated by the location of major catches east of the Pribilof Islands by the foreign trawl fishery in September to February 1977 (Figure 1).

In April 1976, yellowfin sole formed the largest concentration north of Unimak Island (Figure 2). Some extremely high catches, estimated at 22,700$27,200 \mathrm{~kg}(50,000-60,000 \mathrm{lb})$ per half hour tow, were made in this concentration during the spring 1976 survey. In May 1976, this Unimak Island concentration had begun to move inshore, apparently following the receding ice edge.

Two other lesser winter concentrations have been described by Fadeev (1970) and Wakabayashi (1974) and they were also evident in April and May 1976 (Figure 2); one located west and the other southeast of the Pribilof Islands. These concentrations also migrate inshore in spring and in 1976 had left these offshore waters by June.



Figure 2.--Distribution and relative abundance of yellowfin sole in April and May as shown by a trawl survey of the Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center in 1976.

Figure 3 illustrates the summer distribution of yellowfin sole as shown by NWAFC surveys in 1975 and 1979. They become distributed in waters of less than 100 m ( 55 fathoms) from the Alaska peninsula to as far north as Norton Sound. Main concentrations, however, are limited to waters south of Nunivak Island. Some variation in distribution can be noted between 1975 and 1979 which may be related to differences in temperature conditions. The environment was colder in 1975, and the major area of concentration was located further south than in 1979, which was a considerably warmer year. Bottom temperatures near the Alaska Peninsula in June averaged $0.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 1975 and $5.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 1979 (International Pacific Halibut Commission 1975, 1980).

Size Composition
Yellowfin sole are relatively small, slow-growing flounders. When they begin to recruit to the fishery at age 5, they average only 17 cm (about 7 in ) and 56 g or about $1 / 8 \mathrm{lb}$ (Table 2 ). At age 9 , when they are fully recruited to the fishery, average length is 24 cm ( 9.5 in ) and average weight 159 g or $\mathrm{l} / 3 \mathrm{lb}$ (Table 2). Even at the oldest ages (15-20 yr), most of the fish average less than a pound.

The length distribution of yellowfin sole as shown by NWAFC survey and Japanese commercial fishery data in 1979 and 1980 are illustrated in Figure 4. Almost all of the surveyed population ranged between 10 and 35 cm (about 4-14 in). They averaged between 23 and $24 \mathrm{~cm}(9.0-9.5 \mathrm{in})$ in the two years. In 1979, 47\% and in 1980, 42\% of the surveyed population were 25 cm or larger, which is considered a commercially usable size in the U.S.-U.S.S.R. joint venture fishery.

Yellowfin sole taken by the Japanese trawl fishery (Figure 4) primarily ranged between 20 and 35 cm (about $8-14 \mathrm{in})$. They averaged 26.5 cm (10.4 in)


Figure 3.--Distribution and relative abundance of yellowfin sole in August-October 1975 and in May-August 1979 as shown by trawl surveys of the Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center.

Table 2.--Mean length and weight at age of yellowfin sole of the eastern Bering Sea as shown by 8 yr of survey data of the Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center.

| Age | Length |  | weight |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cm | in | g | 1b |
| 3 | 11.6 | 4.6 | 17.50 | 0.04 |
| 4 | 14.3 | 5.6 | 33.19 | 0.07 |
| 5 | 17.1 | 6.7 | 56.37 | 0.12 |
| 6 | 19.8 | 7.8 | 87.70 | 0.19 |
| 7 | 21.5 | 8.5 | 112.26 | 0.25 |
| 8 | 22.9 | 9.0 | 134.57 | 0.30 |
| 9 | 24.2 | 9.5 | 158.54 | 0.35 |
| 10 | 25.4 | 10.0 | 184.59 | 0.41 |
| 11 | 26.5 | 10.4 | 209.67 | 0.46 |
| 12 | 27.4 | 10.8 | 231.88 | 0.51 |
| 13 | 28.6 | 11.3 | 263.68 | 0.58 |
| 14 | 29.3 | 11.5 | 281.34 | 0.62 |
| 15 | 29.8 | 11.7 | 296.15 | 0.65 |
| 16 | 31.7 | 12.5 | 357.45 | 0.79 |
| 17 | 31.9 | 12.6 | 364.22 | 0.80 |



Figure 4.--Length-frequency distributions of yellowfin sole in the eastern Bering Sea in 1979 and 1980 as shown by surveys of the Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center and U.S. observer samples from the foreign trawl fishery.
in 1979 and 27.7 cm (10.9 in) in 1980. About 74\% in 1979 and 81\% in 1980 of the fish taken in the trawl fishery for yellowfin sole were 25 cm or larger.

## Age Composition

Yellowfin sole is a long-lived species reaching ages of 20 yr or more. A fairly long series of age data is now available from the eastern Bering Sea from both NWAFC surveys and from U.S. observer samples taken in the foreign fishery (Figure 5). During this period, there has occurred a marked change in the age structure of the population, resulting from the recruitment and advancement through the population of a series of strong year-classes originating from spawning in 1966-70. These year-classes have predominated research vessel catches as well as catches by the commercial fishery since 1973. These strong year-classes are now relatively old ranging from ll-15 yr in 1981. They still contribute the major share of commercial catches (55\% in 1981), however, and may continue to contribute substantially to the commercial fishery in the next 3 or 4 yr .

The 1980 and 1981 NWAFC survey data indicates that a new series of strong year-classes has entered the population (Figure 5). These are the 1973 to 1976 year-classes which appear to be as strong or possibly even stronger than the 1966-70 year-classes. The age structure of the population appears to be excellent and should maintain the resource in a healthy state in the foreseeable future.

## ABUNDANCE ESTIMATES 1959-81

Resource Assessment Surveys

Biomass estimates from NWAFC surveys for years in which a major portion of the eastern Bering Sea continental shelf has been sampled are shown in Table 3.


Figure 5.--Age composition of yellowfin sole of the eastern Bering Sea as shown by data from trawl surveys of the Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center and by U.S. observer data from the foreign fishery. Year-classes for more abundant ages are shown with the appropriate bars, and darkened bars represent stronger than average year-classes.

Methods of data collection and analysis used in developing these estimates are described by Smith and Bakkala (1982) and Wakabayashi et al. (1982).

Table 3.--Biomass estimates of the sampled population of eastern Bering Sea yellowfin sole, as shown by large-scale NWAFC resource assessment surveys. $1 /$

| Year | Mean estimate ( $t$ ) | 95\% Confidence interval ( $t$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 1,038,400 | 870,800-1,206,400 |
| 1976 | 1,192,600 | 661,700-1,723,600 |
| 1978 | 1,523,400 | 1,103,300-1,943,600 |
| 1979 | 1,932,600 | 1,669,000-2,196,100 |
| 1980 | 1,965,900 | 1,716,000-2,215,900 |
| 1981 | 2,039,919 | 1,791,006-2,288,832 |
|  | rn Bering Sea con e, but the major led in all years. | shelf surveyed in these of the yellowfin sole dis- |

Mainly as a result of the recruitment of the strong 1966-70 year-classes, the overall abundance of the population has shown a marked increase since the mid-1970's. The survey estimates indicate an almost doubling of biomass between 1975 and 1979 and then a leveling off of abundance at about 2.0 million t in 1980 and 1981. Thus, the increase in population weight resulting from the 1966-70 year-classes may now have reached a peak.

## Cohort Analysis

Cohort analysis or virtual population analysis utilizes commercial catch data to estimate population size. Basically, the method back calculates population size by sequentially adding the catch from the oldest age in a year-class to the next youngest age, e.g. if 4 fish were caught at age 20 the
virtual population is 4 and if 10 fish were caught at age 19 the virtual population is $4+10$ or 14. The analysis also accounts for natural or nonfishing mortality and adds the numbers lost to natural mortality to the virtual population. Since the analysis is based on fisheries data, it provides an independent estimate of abundance that can be used to assess the validity of estimates from research vessel surveys.

Cohort analyses have previously been conducted on eastern Bering Sea yellowfin sole by Wakabayashi (1975), Wakabayashi et al. (1977), and Bakkala et al. (1981). The analysis presented here is an update of the latter analysis.

## Methods

A FORTRAN program based on the equations of Pope (1972) was used for the cohort analysis.

Catch-at-age data used in the analysis for the years 1959-63 were from Wakabayashi (1975) and those for 1964-75 from Wakabayashi et al. (1977). For 1976-81, the catch in numbers at age was derived using catch data reported by commercial fisheries and age composition data collected by U.S. observers from these fisheries. Weight-length relationships and growth parameters used to convert catch weights to numbers of fish and numbers of fish from the cohort analysis to biomass were calculated from research survey data. Survey data were used because weight data collected by U.S. observers from the fishery appeared to be variable and inconsistent.

For 1976 to 1979, a single overall annual age distribution was used because age distributions were not available from some elements of the fishery. Applying a single annual age distribution to all elements of the fishery was thought to create less bias than applying age distributions from one element of the fishery to catches in another. Biological sampling was more complete
in 1980 and 1981 and catches were apportioned to age by nation, vessel class, and quarter year in these years. The catch-at-age data used in the analysis are shown in Table 4.

## Natural Mortality

An estimate of natural mortality (M), either age specific or an average value for all ages, is a necessary input variable for the cohort analysis. Natural mortality has not been clearly defined for yellowfin sole. Fadeev (1970) estimated $M$ as 0.25 based on catch curve analysis of samples collected in 1958 prior to the development of an intensive fishery. In the same paper, he reported $M$ as 0.16 during the early 1960 's following the intense exploitation of the population in 1959-62, based on comparisons of total mortality and effort between years. Wakabayashi (1975) used the Alverson and Carney (1975) procedure to estimate $M$ as 0.25 . However, natural mortality for yellowfin sole is likely much lower than 0.25 based on comparisons with other flatfish with similar life histories (Table 5).

Bakkala et al. (1981) ran cohort analyses varying m between 0.08 and 0.26 in increments of 0.02 . They used an $M$ of 0.12 based on the findings that the biomass of age 6 and older fish showed a decrease in abundance between 1978 and 1979 using $M$ values greater than 0.14 , while an $M$ of 0.12 produced a positive trend in biomass comparable to that shown by research vessel surveys. In the present analysis $M$ was estimated by a least squares analysis (Bledsoe and Lynde 1982). Catch-at-age data were fitted to pair trawl effort data while varying the catchability coefficient $(q)$ and $M$ simultaneously. The best fit to the data (the point where the residual variance was minimal) occurred with an $M$ of 0.12 and $q$ of 0.000067 . The value of 0.12 used by Bakkala et al. (1981) was, therefore, retained as the estimate of $M$.

Table 4.--Catch in number of yellowfin sole in the eastern Bering Sea, 1959-81.

| AGE | - 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 |  | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6723594 |
| 4 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 12211000 | 20000 | 0 | 11670211 |
| 5 | 43000 | 11000 | 25665000 | 12791000 | 1387000 | 19719090 |
| 6 | 6283000 | 25642000 | 23507000 | 138609000 | 25592000 | 50360512 |
| 7 | 24204000 | 120295000 | 158641000 | 256176000 | 35328000 | 133465272 |
| 8 | 55879000 | 175910000 | 422399000 | 361625000 | 63990000 | 233559552 |
| 9 | 112106000 | 248989000 | 591953000 | 356925000 | 94275000 | 55570601 |
| 10 | 158045000 | 306535000 | 550774000 | 273029000 | 89065000 | 62969061 |
| 11 | 143862000 | 291699000 | 369201000 | 184237000 | 63595000 | 66999397 |
| 12 | 95054000 | 219639000 | 197358000 | 115955000 | 40318000 | 46275989 |
| 13 | 53197000 | 141313000 | 92785000 | 70104000 | 24975000 | 14672095 |
| 14 | 27940000 | 83469000 | 41263000 | 41784000 | 15618000 | 5939147 |
| 15 | 14483000 | 47679000 | 18227000 | 25082000 | 9815000 | 1151574 |
| 16 | 7579000 | 27251000 | 8264000 | 15386000 | 6144000 | 259040 |
| 17 | 4057000 | 15924000 | 3922000 | 9728000 | 3830000 | 0 |
| AGE | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 598 | 5014 | 87464 | 0 | 1285 | 0 |
| 5 | 90509 | 35104 | 87464 | 238488 | 1043630 | 297410 |
| 6 | 1589045 | 811237 | 13825254 | 1362450 | 8367549 | 14054843 |
| 7 | 5639029 | 14580560 | 38051627 | 28933263 | 6928205 | 68608781 |
| 8 | 44352622 | 43836654 | 99373388 | 30452429 | 96990292 | 100576270 |
| 9 | 88833776 | 98842534 | 147145423 | 68903375 | 95491015 | 116358621 |
| 10 | 22124437 | 156105171 | 161736086 | 77131269 | 173961524 | 33464440 |
| 11 | 28150136 | 35307411 | 210406160 | 77338053 | 162682588 | 54684283 |
| 12 | 31096470 | 36809015 | 29106300 | 66943150 | 148507158 | 75496141 |
| 13 | 20079130 | 38612673 | 24403737 | 20036129 | 77383376 | 46522144 |
| 14 | 6183445 | 22385463 | 30626707 | 11410842 | 25164822 | 53240382 |
| 15 | 2127964 | 6720280 | 19690784 | 9849302 | 9273980 | 3491150 |
| 16 | 323315 | 1931171 | 7237420 | 6684740 | 6035161 | 2338472 |
| 17 | 260968 | 527349 | 1181296 | 3815143 | 8041342 | 0 |
| AGE | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 80781 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25974 |
| 5 | 190992 | 3861702 | 2725872 | 531754 | 502396 | 4467442 |
| 6 | 25464974 | 32756203 | 14271388 | 8448739 | 2896033 | 15246445 |
| 71 | 164834257 | 66426170 | 90269313 | 29532672 | 24721512 | 24882614 |
| 81 | 103298779 | 22441324 | 87217312 | 69979393 | 42327088 | 28648771 |
| 91 | 102127987 | 38100420 | 59427732 | 47770398 | 112219136 | 80128250 |
| 101 | 104085462 | 25018629 | 38651846 | 20367250 | 84126344 | 55635239 |
| 11 | 26949318 | 21883206 | 39891960 | 21747765 | 33730543 | 25713766 |
| 12 | 48856493 | 13816074 | 37660524 | 12316794 | 13410457 | 8311520 |
| 13 | 44422501 | 10807110 | 28522871 | 12009322 | 16042210 | 8285547 |
| 14 | 48937687 | 7032301 | 13667326 | 8241797 | 10861805 | 1740225 |
| 15 | 39448665 | 0 | 6966172 | 5002828 | 4797379 | 4337575 |
| 16 | 1608163 | 1193096 | 1356458 | 1801061 | 3674851 | 1220755 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 3287656 | 303444 | 976733 | 441550 |
| AGE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 41642 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4 | 380106 | 1560163 | 541340 | 206500 | 3510487 |  |
| 5 | 3522311 | 12730933 | 6162946 | 3251003 | 20190664 |  |
| 6 | 9578660 | 14103876 | 23194331 | 17797899 | 6757851 |  |
| 71 | 18650512 | 66837397 | 20654198 | 33140657 | 31066415 |  |
| 8 | 42546480 | 131677784 | 49428494 | 19740704 | 46191267 |  |
| 9 | 35679240 | 113767109 | 89612568 | 41251153 | 41740204 |  |
| 10 | 70547589 | 97791037 | 82949924 | 64094844 | 51734340 |  |
| 11 | 48273404 | 104343723 | 61254688 | 60753036 | 67242816 |  |
| 121 | 15812391 | 38879270 | 45056133 | 47678239 | 70640739 |  |
| 3 | 4738649 | 21592660 | 22902840 | 42362204 | 58389770 |  |
| 4 | 2888802 | 12294087 | 7120701 | 23223262 | 40197601 |  |
| 5 | 2179272 | 4493270 | 4080870 | 7353264 | 18477135 |  |
| 16 | 582828 | 2683481 | 1540737 | 10094428 | 5721428 |  |
| 17 | 253404 | 686472 | 1290887 | 4196986 | 4413815 |  |

Table 5.--Life history parameters of yellowfin sole and other flatfish.a/

youngest ages in the same year based on the assumption that catchabilities were similar. The terminal $F^{\prime}$ s and the $F$ values generated in the analysis are shown in Table 6.

## Results

The results of the cohort analysis are given in Table 7 in terms of numbers and in Table 8 in terms of biomass. It should be noted that cohort analysis is based on numbers of fish and conversion to biomass requires weight-at-age data. In this analysis conversion to biomass was based on the average weight-at-age obtained from research vessel surveys since 1973 (Table 2). Therefore, actual biomass may have been higher or lower in past years if growth rates were different than shown by these averages.

The cohort analysis indicates that the biomass of age 7 and older yellowfin sole, in the early years of high exploitation (1959-60) was approximately l.l-1.2 million t. At the end of this period of high exploitation (1962), the biomass had decreased to about half that level. The analysis shows that it remained at approximately this lower level through 1967 when there was a further decline to $273,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1972. Since then, the abundance has increased substantially due mainly to the recruitment to the population of the strong 1966-70 year-classes and the more recent series of strong year-classes spawned in 1973-76. In 1981, the abundance of age 7 and older yellowfin sole was estimated to be about 2.0 million $t$, the largest estimated biomass in the period 1959-81 based on results of the cohort analysis.

ABUNDANCE PROJECTIONS, 1982-89
Future trends in abundance of the yellowfin sole population and potential levels of harvest were examined using a numeric population simulator. The

Table 6.--Estimates of fishing mortality (F) by age for yellowfin sole of the eastern Bering Sea, 1959-81.

| AGE | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 3 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0065 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0072 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0193 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 |
| 5 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0123 | 0.0085 | 0.0012 | 0.0211 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 |
| 6 | 0.0036 | 0.0225 | 0.0313 | 0.0786 | 0.0195 | 0.0490 | 0.0019 | 0.0017 | 0.0193 |
| 7 | 0.0139 | 0.0811 | 0.1725 | 0.4961 | 0.0238 | 0.1232 | 0.0064 | 0.0203 | 0.0954 |
| 8 | 0.0386 | 0.1216 | 0.4069 | 0.6617 | 0.1995 | 0.1977 | 0.0504 | 0.0575 | 0.1714 |
| 9 | 0.1015 | 0.2206 | 0.6743 | 0.6510 | 0.3226 | 0.2436 | 0.0984 | 0.1390 | 0.2538 |
| 10 | 0.2006 | 0.3994 | 0.9561 | 0.6944 | 0.2986 | 0.3378 | 0.1322 | 0.2293 | 0.3218 |
| 11 | 0.2802 | 0.6202 | 1.0984 | 0.9263 | 0.3055 | 0.3497 | 0.2264 | 0.2935 | 0.4971 |
| 12 | 0.3114 | 0.8137 | 1.0657 | 1.2310 | 0.4721 | 0.3470 | 0.2473 | 0.4692 | 0.3813 |
| 13 | 0.3089 | 0.9478 | 0.9121 | 1.4357 | 0.8888 | 0.2845 | 0.2268 | 0.4987 | 0.5934 |
| 14 | 0.2886 | 1.0223 | 0.7343 | 1.4121 | 1.6322 | 0.4845 | 0.1700 | 0.3857 | 0.8635 |
| 15 | 0.2805 | 1.0317 | 0.5765 | 1.3583 | 1.7272 | 0.4187 | 0.2901 | 0.2576 | 0.6288 |
| 16 | 0.2401 | 1.1612 | 0.4353 | 1.3555 | 1.6000 | 0.1481 | 0.1799 | 0.4223 | 0.4413 |
| 17 | 0.2500 | 1.0300 | 0.4400 | 1.2870 | 1.6500 | 0.0000 | 0.2000 | 0.4500 | 0.4500 |


| AGE | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 3 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 4 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 5 | 0.0004 | 0.0014 | 0.0003 | 0.0001 | 0.0024 | 0.0013 | 0.0002 | 0.0001 | 0.0022 |
| 6 | 0.0024 | 0.0156 | 0.0208 | 0.0330 | 0.0268 | 0.0100 | 0.0044 | 0.0010 | 0.0048 |
| 7 | 0.0471 | 0.0138 | 0.1570 | 0.3263 | 0.1038 | 0.0883 | 0.0238 | 0.0148 | 0.0096 |
| 8 | 0.0947 | 0.2013 | 0.2574 | 0.3405 | 0.0611 | 0.1767 | 0.0841 | 0.0396 | 0.0197 |
| 9 | 0.1580 | 0.4326 | 0.3590 | 0.4097 | 0.1847 | 0.2082 | 0.1271 | 0.1728 | 0.0902 |
| 10 | 0.1872 | 0.6682 | 0.2406 | 0.5719 | 0.1507 | 0.2641 | 0.0937 | 0.3135 | 0.1114 |
| 11 | 0.2290 | 0.6727 | 0.4109 | 0.2837 | 0.2020 | 0.3458 | 0.2129 | 0.2027 | 0.1357 |
| 12 | 0.2626 | 0.8146 | 0.6973 | 0.7172 | 0.2102 | 0.5698 | 0.1553 | 0.1802 | 0.0644 |
| 13 | 0.4466 | 0.4967 | 0.5878 | 1.1053 | 0.3032 | 0.7866 | 0.3231 | 0.2833 | 0.1480 |
| 14 | 0.5571 | 1.5963 | 0.6912 | 2.9743 | 0.4472 | 0.7034 | 0.4937 | 0.4926 | 0.0409 |
| 15 | 0.6877 | 1.1496 | 0.9558 | 1.7847 | 0.0000 | 0.9937 | 0.5468 | 0.5430 | 0.3377 |
| 16 | 0.4080 | 1.1500 | 0.9500 | 1.7800 | 0.1852 | 1.0630 | 0.6850 | 0.9229 | 0.2318 |
| 17 | 0.4000 | 1.1500 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.6500 | 0.9200 | 0.2300 |


| AGE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 3 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 4 | 0.0001 | 0.0003 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.0020 |
| 5 | 0.0025 | 0.0037 | 0.0016 | 0.0010 | 0.0047 |
| 6 | 0.0053 | 0.0115 | 0.0076 | 0.0051 | 0.0024 |
| 7 | 0.0067 | 0.0424 | 0.0193 | 0.0123 | 0.0101 |
| 8 | 0.0189 | 0.0551 | 0.0367 | 0.0212 | 0.0197 |
| 9 | 0.0283 | 0.0591 | 0.0444 | 0.0359 | 0.0525 |
| 10 | 0.0984 | 0.0929 | 0.0514 | 0.0373 | 0.0530 |
| 11 | 0.1225 | 0.1893 | 0.0712 | 0.0445 | 0.0460 |
| 12 | 0.1062 | 0.1260 | 0.1070 | 0.0670 | 0.0615 |
| 13 | 0.0437 | 0.1894 | 0.0934 | 0.1275 | 0.1005 |
| 14 | 0.0648 | 0.1401 | 0.0807 | 0.1187 | 0.1572 |
| 15 | 0.0607 | 0.1248 | 0.0580 | 0.1030 | 0.1200 |
| 16 | 0.0628 | 0.0909 | 0.0528 | 0.1820 | 0.1000 |
| 17 | 0.0630 | 0.0900 | 0.0530 | 0.1820 | 0.1036 |

Table 7.--Estimated numbers of yellowfin sole (billions of fish) in the eastern Bering Sea, 1959-81, based on cohort analysis.

| AGE | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2.040 | 1.620 | 0.931 | 1.407 | 1.108 | 1.047 | 1.320 | 1.519 | 2.394 |  |
| 2 | 2.308 | 1.810 | 1.437 | 0.826 | 1.248 | 0.983 | 0.928 | 1.171 | 1.347 |  |
| 3 | 2.826 | 2.047 | 1.605 | 1.275 | 0.733 | 1.107 | 0.871 | 0.823 | 1.039 |  |
| 4 | 1.029 | 2.506 | 1.815 | 1.424 | 1.130 | 0.650 | 0.976 | 0.773 | 0.730 |  |
| 5 | 1.382 | 0.912 | 2.223 | 1.599 | 1.263 | 1.003 | 0.565 | 0.865 | 0.685 |  |
| 6 | 1.856 | 1.226 | 0.809 | 1.947 | 1.406 | 1.119 | 0.871 | 0.501 | 0.767 |  |
| 7 | 1.865 | 1.640 | 1.063 | 0.696 | 1.596 | 1.223 | 0.945 | 0.771 | 0.444 |  |
| 8 | 1.565 | 1.632 | 1.342 | 0.793 | 0.376 | 1.383 | 0.959 | 0.832 | 0.670 |  |
| 9 | 1.234 | 1.336 | 1.282 | 0.792 | 0.363 | 0.273 | 1.006 | 0.809 | 0.697 |  |
| 10 | 0.923 | 0.989 | 0.950 | 0.579 | 0.366 | 0.233 | 0.190 | 0.809 | 0.624 |  |
| 11 | 0.625 | 0.670 | 0.588 | 0.324 | 0.256 | 0.241 | 0.148 | 0.147 | 0.570 |  |
| 12 | 0.377 | 0.419 | 0.320 | 0.174 | 0.114 | 0.168 | 0.151 | 0.104 | 0.097 |  |
| 13 | 0.213 | 0.245 | 0.165 | 0.098 | 0.045 | 0.063 | 0.105 | 0.104 | 0.058 |  |
| 14 | 0.118 | 0.138 | 0.084 | 0.059 | 0.021 | 0.016 | 0.042 | 0.074 | 0.056 |  |
| 15 | 0.063 | 0.079 | 0.044 | 0.036 | 0.013 | 0.004 | 0.009 | 0.031 | 0.045 |  |
| 16 | 0.038 | 0.042 | 0.025 | 0.022 | 0.008 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.006 | 0.022 |  |
| 17 | 0.019 | 0.026 | 0.012 | 0.014 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.003 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SUM=$=18.482$ | 17.337 | 14.695 | 12.064 | 10.051 | 9.513 | 9.089 | 9.343 | 10.250 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AGE | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2.779 | 3.693 | 5.662 | 6.117 | 3.542 | 2.390 | 5.964 | 6.791 | 5.461 |  |
| 2 | 2.123 | 2.465 | 3.275 | 5.022 | 5.425 | 3.141 | 2.120 | 5.289 | 6.023 |  |
| 3 | 1.195 | 1.883 | 2.186 | 2.905 | 4.454 | 4.812 | 2.786 | 1.880 | 4.691 |  |
| 4 | 0.921 | 1.060 | 1.670 | 1.939 | 2.576 | 3.950 | 4.268 | 2.471 | 1.668 |  |
| 5 | 0.648 | 0.817 | 0.940 | 1.481 | 1.719 | 2.285 | 3.504 | 3.785 | 2.192 |  |
| 6 | 0.608 | 0.574 | 0.724 | 0.833 | 1.313 | 1.521 | 2.024 | 3.107 | 3.356 |  |
| 7 | 0.668 | 0.538 | 0.501 | 0.629 | 0.715 | 1.134 | 1.336 | 1.787 | 2.753 |  |
| 8 | 0.358 | 0.565 | 0.471 | 0.380 | 0.402 | 0.572 | 0.921 | 1.157 | 1.562 |  |
| 9 | 0.501 | 0.289 | 0.410 | 0.323 | 0.240 | 0.336 | 0.425 | 0.751 | 0.986 |  |
| 10 | 0.480 | 0.379 | 0.166 | 0.254 | 0.190 | 0.177 | 0.242 | 0.332 | 0.560 |  |
| 11 | 0.401 | 0.353 | 0.172 | 0.116 | 0.127 | 0.145 | 0.120 | 0.195 | 0.215 |  |
| 12 | 0.308 | 0.283 | 0.160 | 0.101 | 0.077 | 0.092 | 0.091 | 0.086 | 0.141 |  |
| 13 | 0.059 | 0.210 | 0.111 | 0.071 | 0.044 | 0.056 | 0.046 | 0.069 | 0.064 |  |
| 14 | 0.028 | 0.034 | 0.113 | 0.055 | 0.021 | 0.029 | 0.022 | 0.030 | 0.046 |  |
| 15 | 0.021 | 0.014 | 0.006 | 0.050 | 0.002 | 0.012 | 0.013 | 0.012 | 0.016 |  |
| 16 | 0.021 | 0.009 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.007 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.006 | 0.006 |  |
| 17 | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.002 |  |
| SUM= 11.130 | 13.177 | 16.571 | 20.276 | 20.856 | 20.659 | 23.885 | 27.751 | 29.743 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| AGE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 7.389 | 2.674 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 4.843 | 6.554 | 2.372 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 3 | 5.342 | 4.296 | 5.813 | 2.104 | 0.000 |
| 4 | 4.161 | 4.738 | 3.810 | 5.155 | 1.866 |
| 5 | 1.479 | 3.690 | 4.201 | 3.379 | 4.572 |
| 6 | 1.940 | 1.308 | 3.261 | 3.720 | 2.993 |
| 7 | 2.963 | 1.711 | 1.147 | 2.870 | 3.283 |
| 8 | 2.418 | 2.610 | 1.455 | 0.998 | 2.514 |
| 9 | 1.358 | 2.105 | 2.191 | 1.244 | 0.867 |
| 10 | 0.799 | 1.171 | 1.759 | 1.859 | 1.064 |
| 11 | 0.444 | 0.642 | 0.946 | 1.482 | 1.588 |
| 12 | 0.167 | 0.349 | 0.472 | 0.782 | 1.258 |
| 13 | 0.118 | 0.133 | 0.273 | 0.376 | 0.648 |
| 14 | 0.049 | 0.100 | 0.098 | 0.220 | 0.293 |
| 15 | 0.039 | 0.041 | 0.077 | 0.080 | 0.174 |
| 16 | 0.010 | 0.033 | 0.032 | 0.064 | 0.064 |
| 17 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.027 | 0.027 | 0.048 |

SUM $=33.524 \quad 32.163 \quad 27.932 \quad 24.359 \quad 21.232$

Table 8.--Estimated biomass (in $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) of yellowfin sole in the eastern Bering Sea by age (with totals for all ages and ages 7 and above), 1959-81, based on cohort analysis.

| AGE | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10. | 8. | 5. | 7. | 6. | 5. | 7. | 8. | 12. |
| 2 | 21. | 16. | 13. | 7. | 11. | 9. | 8. | 11. | 12. |
| 3 | 51. | 37. | 29. | 23. | 13. | 20. | 16. | 15. | 19. |
| 4 | 34. | 83. | 60. | 47. | 37. | 21. | 32. | 26. | 24. |
| 5 | 77. | 51. | 124. | 90. | 71. | 56. | 32. | 48. | 38. |
| 6 | 163. | 108. | 71. | 171. | 124. | 98. | 77. | 44. | 68. |
| 7 | 209. | 184. | 119. | 78. | 179. | 137. | 106. | 86. | 50. |
| 8 | 211. | 220. | 181. | 107. | 51. | 187. | 129. | 112. | 90. |
| 9 | 196. | 212. | 204. | 126. | 58. | 43. | 160. | 129. | 111. |
| 10 | 171. | 183. | 176. | 107. | 68. | 43. | 35. | 150. | 115. |
| 11 | 131. | 141. | 124. | 68. | 54. | 51. | 31. | 31. | 120. |
| 12 | 88. | 97. | 74. | 40. | 26. | 39. | 35. | 24. | 23. |
| 13 | 56. | 65. | 43. | 26. | 12. | 17. | 28. | 28. | 15. |
| 14 | 33. | 39. | 24. | 16. | 6. | 5. | 12. | 21. | 16. |
| 15 | 19. | 23. | 13. | 11. | 4. | 1. | 3. | 9. | 13. |
| 16 | 13. | 15. | 9. | 8. | 3. | 1. | 1. | 2. | 8. |
| 17 | 7. | 10. | 4. | 5. | 2. | 0. | 1. | 1. | 1. |
| SUM | 1491. | 1492. | 1273. | 938. | 723. | 733. | 711. | 744. | 735. |
| SUM | $7+1135$. | 1189. | 971. | 592. | 461. | 523. | 540. | 593. | 562. |
| AGE | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |
| 1 | 14. | 18. | 28. | 31. | 18. | 12. | 30. | 34. | 27. |
| 2 | 19. | 22. | 29. | 45. | 49. | 28. | 19. | 48. | 54. |
| 3 | 22. | 34. | 39. | 52. | 80. | 87. | 50. | 34. | 84. |
| 4 | 30. | 35. | 55. | 64. | 85. | 130. | 141. | 82. | 55. |
| 5 | 36. | 46. | 53. | 83. | 96. | 128. | 196. | 212. | 123. |
| 6 | 53. | 51. | 64. | 73. | 116. | 134. | 178. | 273. | 295. |
| 7 | 75. | 60. | 56. | 70. | 80. | 127. | 150. | 200. | 308. |
| 8 | 48. | 76. | 64. | 51. | 54. | 77. | 124. | 156. | 211. |
| 9 | 80. | 46. | 65. | 51. | 38. | 53. | 68. | 119. | 157. |
| 10 | 89. | 70. | 31. | 47. | 35. | 33. | 45. | 61. | 104. |
| 11 | 84. | 74. | 36. | 24. | 27. | 30. | 25. | 41. | 45. |
| 12 | 71. | 66. | 37. | 24. | 18. | 21. | 21. | 20. | 33. |
| 13 | 16. | 55. | 29. | 19. | 12. | 15. | 12. | 18. | 17. |
| 14 | 8. | 9. | 32. | 15. | 6. | 8. | 6. | 8. | 13. |
| 15 | 6. | 4. | 2. | 15. | 1. | 3. | 4. | 4. | 5. |
| 16 | 8. | 3. | 1. | 1. | 3. | 1. | 1. | 2. | 2. |
| 17 | 4. | 5. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 2. | 0. | 1. | 1. |
| SUM | 664. | 675. | 622. | 666. | 717. | 890. | 1071. | 1314. | 1534. |
| SUM | $7+489$. | 469. | 353. | 317. | 273. | 371. | 456. | 631. | 895. |
| AGE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 37. | 13. | 0. | 0. | 0. |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 44. | 59. | 21. | 0. | 0. |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 96. | 77. | 105. | 38. | 0. |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 137. | 156. | 126. | 170. | 62. |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 83. | 207. | 235. | 189. | 256. |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 171. | 115. | 287. | 327. | 263. |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 332. | 192. | 128. | 321. | 368. |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 326. | 352. | 196. | 135. | 339. |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 216. | 335. | 348. | 198. | 138. |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 148. | 217. | 326. | 344. | 197. |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 93. | 135. | 199. | 311. | 334. |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 39. | 81. | 109. | 181. | 292. |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 31. | 35. | 72. | 99. | 171. |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 14. | 28. | 27. | 62. | 82. |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 12. | 12. | 23. | 24. | 51. |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 4. | 12. | 11. | 23. | 23. |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 2. | 3. | 10. | 10. | 17. |  |  |  |  |
| SUM | 1783. | 2029. | 2224. | 2432. | 2593. |  |  |  |  |
| SUM | $7+1216$. | 1401. | 1450. | 1708. | 2012. |  |  |  |  |

simulation model predicts age specific abundance in future years through a population decay function:

$$
N_{(i+l, j+1)}=N_{i j} e^{-(M+F)}
$$

where $\quad N_{i j}=$ number of age $i$ in year $j$
$N(i+1, j+1)=$ number of age $i$ in the following year.

The decay function projects numbers at age from a base year using estimates of natural (M) and fishing (F) mortality and recruitment.

The estimate of natural mortality used in the simulation was the same as that used in the cohort analysis (0.12). Two estimates of recruitment were used: 1.403 billion fish, which is the average recruitment at age 7 in 1959-81 from the cohort analysis, and 1.074 billion fish, which is the average abundance at age 7 in this same period of years, excluding the exceptionally strong year-classes of 1969 , 1970, 1973, and 1974. These values are relatively conservative. For example, during the period of 1973-81 when population abundance was increasing rapidly, average recruitment at age 7 was 2.109 billion fish.

The simulations were carried out under four levels of fishing mortality corresponding to exploitation rates of $0.05,0.10,0.15$, and 0.20 . In the recent period of $1977-81$, exploitation rates have averaged about 0.07 based on the estimates of abundance for that period from the cohort analysis and 0.06 based on abundance estimates from resource assessment surveys. A simulation was also run using a constant catch of $214,500 \mathrm{t}$, which is an estimate of maximum sustainable yield (MSY) for yellowfin sole (Bakkala et al. 1981).

The projections derived from these input data are given in Tables 9-12 and include estimates of abundance for ages 7-17 (ages fully recruited to research vessel catches), ages 8-17 (major ages taken by the commercial fishery), rates of exploitation (E) and fishing mortality (F), and estimated mean weight of individual fish in the fishable population.

The simulations indicate that population abundance will remain high through at least 1985 under most of the proposed conditions. The abundance of the fishable population (ages $8-17$ ) may remain as high as 2.0 million $t$, if exploitation rates remain low (0.05) and recruitment is at the higher average level ( 1.403 billion). Even at an exploitation rate as high as 0.15 (Tables 9 and 10) or with a constant catch of $214,500 \mathrm{t}$ (Tables 11 and 12) the exploitable population would be expected to range between 1.4 and 1.7 million $t$ in 1985. Only if exploitation rates were allowed to reach 0.20 would the fishable stock decline fairly rapidly, falling to $1.2-1.3$ million $t$ by 1985 (Tables 9 and 10).

Following 1985, the simulations indicate that population abundance would continue to decline at the given levels of recruitment. The fishable population could decline to about 1.0 million $t$ or less, if exploitation rates exceed 0.10 after 1985.

## ECOSYSTEM SIMULATION OF LONG-TERM YIELD

Results presented thus far such as those from the cohort analysis and numeric simulation model are based on single species population dynamic models and do not account for the interactions of yellowfin sole with other components of the ecosystem. The catch levels suggested by these single species models may not be the most appropriate when consideration is given to their influence

Table 9.--Forecast of yellowfin sole abundance in the eastern Bering Sea, 1982-89, under varying levels of exploitation (E), with natural mortality $(M)=0.12$, and recruitment the lower estimate for 1959-81. Projections are made for ages 7-17 (ages fully recruited to research vessel catches) and ages $8-17$ (principal ages in commercial trawl catches).

| Year | Estimated biomass |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Recruits } \\ \text { (millions) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ (1,000 \mathrm{t}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\underline{1 /}}$ | $\underline{2^{2}}$ | Mean individual fish weight (kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } 7-17 \\ & (1,000 \\ & \text { t }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } 8-17 \\ & (1,000 \quad t) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 2,012.1 | 1,644.5 | 3,282.0 | 97.3 | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.193 |
| 1982 | 2,048.8 | 1,928.5 | 1,074.0 | 96.4 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.198 |
| 1983 | 2,069.3 | 1,949.0 | 1,074.0 | 97.5 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.208 |
| 1984 | 2,051.7 | 1,931.4 | 1,074.0 | 96.6 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.218 |
| 1985 | 2,010.8 | 1,890.5 | 1,074.0 | 94.5 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.227 |
| 1986 | 1,921.2 | 1,800.9 | 1,074.0 | 90.0 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.229 |
| 1987 | 1,754.8 | 1,634.5 | 1,074.0 | 81.7 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.222 |
| 1988 | 1,576.8 | 1,456.5 | 1,074.0 | 72.8 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.215 |
| 1989 | 1,514.9 | 1,394.6 | 1,074.0 | 69.7 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.222 |
| 1981 | 2,012.1 | 1,644.5 | 3,282.0 | 97.3 | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.193 |
| 1982 | 2,048.8 | 1,928.5 | 1,074.0 | 192.8 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.192 |
| 1983 | 1,966.7 | 1,846.4 | 1,074.0 | 184.6 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.207 |
| 1984 | 1,861.1 | 1,740.8 | 1,074.0 | 174.1 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.217 |
| 1985 | 1,748.9 | 1,628.7 | 1,074.0 | 162.9 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.224 |
| 1986 | 1,612.2 | 1,491.9 | 1,074.0 | 149.2 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.224 |
| 1987 | 1,434.7 | 1,314.4 | 1,074.0 | 131.4 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.216 |
| 1988 | 1,270.6 | 1,150.3 | 1,074.0 | 115.0 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.208 |
| 1989 | 1,204.0 | 1,083.7 | 1,074.0 | 108.4 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.213 |
| 1981 | 2,012.1 | 1,644.5 | 3,282.0 | 97.3 | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.193 |
| 1982 | 2,048.8 | 1,928.5 | 1,074.0 | 289.3 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.192 |
| 1983 | 1,866.7 | 1,746.4 | 1,074.0 | 262.0 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.207 |
| 1984 | 1,685.4 | 1,565.1 | 1,074.0 | 234.8 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.216 |
| 1985 | 1,520.5 | 1,400.2 | 1,074.0 | 210.0 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.221 |
| 1986 | 1,356.1 | 1,235.9 | 1,074.0 | 185.4 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.219 |
| 1987 | 1,181.6 | 1,061.3 | 1,074.0 | 159.2 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.210 |
| 1988 | 1,037.7 | 917.4 | 1,074.0 | 137.6 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.201 |
| 1989 | 975.7 | 855.4 | 1,074.0 | 128.3 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.204 |
| 1981 | 2,012.1 | 1,644.5 | 3,282.0 | 97.3 | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.193 |
| 1982 | 2,048.8 | 1,928.5 | 1,074.0 | 385.7 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.192 |
| 1983 | 1,764.9 | 1,644.6 | 1,074.0 | 328.9 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.206 |
| 1984 | 1,517.0 | 1,396.7 | 1,074.0 | 279.3 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.214 |
| 1985 | 1,313.7 | 1,193.4 | 1,074.0 | 238.7 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.217 |
| 1986 | 1,136.5 | 1,016.2 | 1,074.0 | 203.2 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.214 |
| 1987 | 974.5 | 854.2 | 1,074.0 | 170.8 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.203 |
| 1988 | 854.1 | 733.8 | 1,074.0 | 146.8 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.194 |
| 1989 | 801.4 | 681.1 | 1,074.0 | 136.2 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.195 |

1/ $E=$ Exploitation rate for fished population (ages 8-17).
2/ $\mathrm{F}=$ Fishing mortality.

Table l0.--Forecast of yellowfin sole abundance in the eastern Bering Sea, 1982-89, under varying levels of exploitation (E), natural mortality $=0.12$, and recruitment the higher estimate for 1959-81. Projections are made for ages $7-17$ (ages fully recruited to research vessel catches) and ages 8-17 (principal ages in commercial trawl catches).

| Year | Estimated biomass |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Recruits } \\ \text { (millions) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ (1,000 \mathrm{t}) \end{gathered}$ | $E^{\underline{1 /}}$ | $\underline{F}$ | ```Mean individual fish weight (kg)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } 7-17 \\ & (1,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } 8-17 \\ & (1,000 \quad t) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 2,012.1 | 1,644.5 | 3,282.0 | 97.3 | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.193 |
| 1982 | 2,085.6 | 1,928.5 | 1,403.0 | 96.4 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.192 |
| 1983 | 2,145.5 | 1,988.4 | 1,403.0 | 99.4 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.205 |
| 1984 | 2,167.1 | 2,010.0 | 1,403.0 | 100.5 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.214 |
| 1985 | 2,164.7 | 2,007.6 | 1,403.0 | 100.4 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.221 |
| 1986 | 2,112.0 | 1,954.9 | 1,403.0 | 97.7 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.222 |
| 1987 | 1,980.0 | 1,822.9 | 1,403.0 | 91.1 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.216 |
| 1988 | 1,835.1 | 1,678.0 | 1,403.0 | 83.9 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.210 |
| 1989 | 1,803.0 | 1,645.9 | 1,403.0 | 82.3 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.217 |
| 1981 | 2,012.1 | 1,644.5 | 3,282.0 | 97.3 | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.193 |
| 1982 | 2,085.6 | 1,928.5 | 1,403.0 | 192.8 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.192 |
| 1983 | 2,043.0 | 1,885.8 | 1,403.0 | 188.6 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.205 |
| 1984 | 1,974.3 | 1,817.1 | 1,403.0 | 181.7 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.213 |
| 1985 | 1,896.4 | 1,739.3 | 1,403.0 | 173.9 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.218 |
| 1986 | 1,790.7 | 1,633.5 | 1,403.0 | 163.4 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.218 |
| 1987 | 1,640.5 | 1,483.3 | 1,403.0 | 148.3 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.210 |
| 1988 | 1,501.1 | 1,344.0 | 1,403.0 | 134.4 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.204 |
| 1989 | 1,455.6 | 1,298.4 | 1,403.0 | 129.8 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.208 |
| 1981 | 2,012.1 | 1,644.5 | 3,282.0 | 97.3 | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.193 |
| 1982 | 2,085.6 | 1,928.5 | 1,403.0 | 289.3 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.192 |
| 1983 | 1,942.9 | 1,785.8 | 1,403.0 | 267.9 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.204 |
| 1984 | 1,796.4 | 1,639.3 | 1,403.0 | 245.9 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.211 |
| 1985 | 1,661.9 | 1,504.8 | 1,403.0 | 225.7 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.214 |
| 1986 | 1,523.5 | 1,366.4 | 1,403.0 | 205.0 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.212 |
| 1987 | 1,370.4 | 1,213.3 | 1,403.0 | 182.0 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.204 |
| 1988 | 1,244.9 | 1,087.7 | 1,403.0 | 163.2 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.197 |
| 1989 | 1,197.5 | 1,040.4 | 1,403.0 | 156.1 | 0.150 | 0.167 | 0.200 |
| 1981 | 2,012.1 | 1,644.5 | 3,282.0 | 97.3 | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.193 |
| 1982 | 2,085.6 | 1,928.5 | 1,403.0 | 385.7 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.192 |
| 1983 | 1,841.1 | 1,684.0 | 1,403.0 | 336.8 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.204 |
| 1984 | 1,625.8 | 1,468.7 | 1,403.0 | 293.7 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.209 |
| 1985 | 1,449.2 | 1,292.1 | 1,403.0 | 258.4 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.210 |
| 1986 | 1,293.4 | 1,136.3 | 1,403.0 | 227.3 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.207 |
| 1987 | 1,147.9 | 990.8 | 1,403.0 | 198.2 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.197 |
| 1988 | 1,040.8 | 883.6 | 1,403.0 | 176.7 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.190 |
| 1989 | 998.0 | 840.9 | 1,403.0 | 168.2 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 0.191 |

1/ $\mathrm{E}=$ Exploitation rate for fished population (ages 8-17).
ㄹ/ $\mathrm{F}=$ Fishing mortality.

Table ll.--Forecast of yellowfin sole abundance in the eastern Bering Sea, 1982-89, with constant catches of $214,500 t$, natural mortality $=$ 0.12, and recruitment the lower estimate for 1959-81. Projections are made for ages $7-17$ (ages fully recruited to research vessel catches) and ages 8-17 (principal ages in commercial trawl catches).

| Year | Estimated biomass |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Recruits } \\ \text { (millions) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ (1,000 \mathrm{t}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $E^{\underline{1 /}}$ | $\underline{F^{-2 /}}$ | Mean individual fish weight (kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ages } 7-17 \\ & (1,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } 8-17 \\ & (1,000 \quad t) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 2,012.1 | 1,644.5 | 3,282.0 | 97.3 | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.193 |
| 1982 | 2,048.8 | 1,928.5 | 1,074.0 | 214.5 | 0.111 | 0.120 | 0.193 |
| 1983 | 1,944.5 | 1,824.3 | 1,074.0 | 214.5 | 0.118 | 0.128 | 0.207 |
| 1984 | 1,808.7 | 1,688.4 | 1,074.0 | 214.5 | 0.127 | 0.139 | 0.217 |
| 1985 | 1,658.4 | 1,538.1 | 1,074.0 | 214.5 | 0.139 | 0.154 | 0.223 |
| 1986 | 1,478.5 | 1,358.2 | 1,074.0 | 214.5 | 0.158 | 0.177 | 0.222 |
| 1987 | 1,258.6 | 1,138.3 | 1,074.0 | 214.5 | 0.188 | 0.216 | 0.213 |
| 1988 | 1,048.2 | 927.9 | 1,074.0 | 214.5 | 0.231 | 0.274 | 0.203 |
| 1989 | 906.3 | 786.0 | 1,074.0 | 214.5 | 0.273 | 0.334 | 0.203 |

1/ $\mathrm{E}=$ Exploitation rate for fished population (ages 8-17).
2/ $\mathrm{F}=$ Fishing mortality.

Table 12.--Forecast of yellowfin sole abundance in the eastern Bering Sea, 1982-89, with constant catches of $214,500 \mathrm{t}$, natural mortality $=$ 0.12 , and recruitment the higher estimate for 1959-81. Projections are made for ages $7-17$ (ages fully recruited to research vessel catches) and ages 8-17 (principal ages in commercial trawl catches).

| Year | Estimated biomass |  | Recruits(millions) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Catch } \\ (1,000 \mathrm{t}) \end{gathered}$ | $E^{\underline{l /}}$ | $\mathrm{F}^{2 /}$ | Mean individual fish weight (kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left.\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { Ages } & 7-17 \\ (1,000 & t \end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } 8-17 \\ & (1,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | 2,012.1 | 1,644.5 | 3,282.0 | 97.3 | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.193 |
| 1982 | 2,085.6 | 1,928.5 | 1,403.0 | 214.5 | 0.111 | 0.120 | 0.192 |
| 1983 | 2,020.8 | 1,863.6 | 1,403.0 | 214.5 | 0.115 | 0.125 | 0.205 |
| 1984 | 1,925.9 | 1,768.8 | 1,403.0 | 214.5 | 0.121 | 0.132 | 0.212 |
| 1985 | 1,817.4 | 1,660.2 | 1,403.0 | 214.5 | 0.129 | 0.142 | 0.217 |
| 1986 | 1,677.3 | 1,520.1 | 1,403.0 | 214.5 | 0.141 | 0.156 | 0.216 |
| 1987 | 1,494.0 | 1,336.8 | 1,403.0 | 214.5 | 0.160 | 0.180 | 0.208 |
| 1988 | 1,316.2 | 1,159.0 | 1,403.0 | 214.5 | 0.185 | 0.212 | 0.200 |
| 1989 | 1,208.2 | 1,051.1 | 1,403.0 | 214.5 | 0.204 | 0.237 | 0.202 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\underline{1 /} & E=\text { Exploitation rate for fished population (ages 8-17). } \\ \text { 2/ } & =\text { Fishing mortality. }\end{array}$
on the stock size of yellowfin sole and the subsequent influence of this stock size on other species in the ecosystem. The Prognostic Bulk Biomass Model (PROBUB) developed by Laevastu and Favorite (1978) has been used to examine these interactions and can be used to estimate long-term equilibrium yields for yellowfin sole that are compatible with the overall marine ecosystem.

## The PROBUB Model

PROBUB is a dynamic trophic model of the major components (marine birds, marine mammals, groundfish, pelagic fish, and benthic organisms) of the Bering Sea ecosystem. It is designed to evaluate the interrelations of these various components of the ecosystem. The model may be used to:

1. determine the sustainable biomass of the various marine ecological groups (with emphasis on fisheries resources).
2. assess the quantitative relationships between biomasses of the different ecological groups and the distribution of biomass with age among different species or groups; and
3. evaluate the stability of the ecosystem and seek levels of sustainable catches that will maintain stability.

The PROBUB model is described by Laevastu and Larkins (1981). The model determines predatory relationships and, consequently, the amount of each species or ecological group that must be present to sustain the various predators. The model computes changes in abundance and distribution of each of the species or species groups through monthly periods in five geographical subregions (Figure 6). The type of input data for PROBUB is described in Laevastu et al. (1980).


Figure 6.--Map of the Bering Sea showing delineation of the five geographical areas for ecosystem simulation. Dotted line shows the $200-\mathrm{m}$ isobath.

## Simulations

To estimate long-term sustainable yields for yellowfin sole, the PROBUB model was first used to simulate the dynamics of the Bering Sea ecosystem until it reached equilibrium. This initial simulation estimated equilibrium biomass of the various fish groups, given the estimated amount of apex (marine mammals and birds) predators and trophodynamic linkages among the various ecological groups. Biomass, growth, mortality, and other necessary input data used have been described by Laevastu and Larkins (1981).

After the ecosystem reached equilibrium, simulations were run to determine the effect of three levels of catch on the yellowfin sole population. Simulation series A assumes that annual catch levels for the major fisheries in the Bering Sea will remain constant at the 1980 level. These catch data, including estimates of catches discarded at sea and not reported, are tabulated in Table 13.

In simulation series $B$ and $C$, the 1980 catches were increased for selected species by the following factors:

| Species group | Series B <br> factor | Series C <br> factor |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Greenland turbot, Pacific halibut |  |  |
| Flathead sole, arrowtooth flounder | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Yellowfin sole, rock sole, Alaska plaice | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Other flatfish | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| Pacific cod, saffron cod | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Walleye pollock | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| Pacific ocean perch, other rockfish | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Pacific herring | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| Atka mackerel | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| Squids | 2.0 | 1.0 |

Table 13.--Reported 1980 catch data and estimated discards (in metric tons) of major fish and shellfish groups used for simulation of the Bering Sea ecosystem by the PROBUB model.

| Species |  | Area |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The model includes yellowfin sole as a component of a small flatfish group--yellowfin sole, rock sole (Lepidopsetta bilineata), and Alaska plaice (Pleuronectes quadrituberculatus). Yellowfin sole, however, makes up about $80 \%$ of the total catches of these three species. The levels of catch for yellowfin sole in the three simulation runs were, therefore, $86,000 \mathrm{t}$ (simulation series A); $120,000 \mathrm{t}$ (simulation series $B$ ); and $175,000 \mathrm{t}$ (simulation series C).

## Results

## Equilibrium Biomass

Laevastu and Larkins (1981) have summarized results of simulations from the PROBUB model to show estimates of minimum and maximum equilibrium biomass of the major species of fish and shellfish in the eastern Bering Sea (Table 14). These estimates were computed as follows:

1. minimum equilibrium biomass was computed using the lowest estimated food requirements and highest estimated growth rates of predators, and
2. maximum equilibrium biomass was computed using the highest estimated food requirements and lowest estimated growth rates of predators.

Assuming that yellowfin sole made up about $80 \%$ of the small flatfish category, equilibrium biomass for yellowfin sole would range from $880,000 \mathrm{t}$ to $1,328,000 \mathrm{t}$ (Table 14).

Sustainable Yield
Changes in the biomass of the yellowfin sole population with the three simulated catch levels from an initial equilibrium level with respect to the ecosystem are shown in Table 15 and Figure 7. The results indicate that constant catches at any of the three levels will maintain the biomass of yellowfin

Table 14.--Equilibrium and mean exploitable biomasses of major groups of fish and shellfish in the eastern Bering Sea as estimated by the PROBUB model (from Laevastu and Larkins 1981, Table 9).

| Species/ecological group designation | Estimated maximum equilibrium biomass $(1,000 \mathrm{t})$ | Estimated minimum equilibrium biomass ( 1,000 t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Halibut and Greenland turbot | 585 | 400 |
| Flathead sole, arrowtooth flounder | 875 | 650 |
| Yellowfin sole, rock sole, Alaska plaice | 1,660 | 1,100 |
| Other Flatfish | 1,160 | 850 |
| Cottids | 4,438 | 4,000 |
| Pacific cod | 1,468 | 1,000 |
| Sablefish | 183 | 120 |
| Walleye pollock | 15,165 | 8,000 |
| Rockfish | 1,825 | 1,000 |
| Pacific herring | 2,327 | 1,500 |
| Capelin | 5,149 | 3,500 |
| Atka mackerel | 1,438 | 1,100 |
| Salmon | (73) | (50) |
| Squid | 2,310 | 1,200 |
| Crab | 1,225 | 800 |
| Shrimp | 1,792 | 900 |

Table 15.--Effects of three catch levels of yellowfin sole on the size of its population biomass.

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Simulation } \\ \text { Run } \mathrm{A} \\ \text { Catch }=86,000 \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Simulation } \\ \text { Run } \mathrm{B} \\ \text { Catch }=120,000 \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Simulation } \\ \text { Run C } \\ \text { Catch }=175,000 \mathrm{t} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1154 | 1157 | 1157 |
| 2 | 1120 | 1114 | 1091 |
| 3 | 1163 | 1134 | 1066 |
| 4 | 1265 | 1206 | 1095 |
| 5 | 1309 | 1250 | 1106 |
| 6 | 1302 | 1215 | 1054 |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1249 | 1144 | 977 |
| 9 | 1249 | 1118 | 950 |
| 10 | 1293 | 1138 | 979 |
| 11 | 1315 | 1155 | 1009 |
| 12 | 1282 | 1130 | 998 |



Figure 7.--Results of ecosystem simulation of the yellowfin sole biomass given three levels of yellowfin sole catch. Catch and biomass numbers are expressed in thousand metric tons.
sole within the bounds of its maximum and minimum equilibrium biomass. The 1980 catch of about 86,000 t would allow the population to increase toward the maximum equilibrium biomass. A higher catch ( $120,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) would cause little change while a still higher simulated catch ( $175,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) may reduce the population towards the minimum sustainable level.

The PROBUB model simulations indicate that intermediate levels of population abundance maintain ecosystem stability and at these levels of abundance, the yellowfin sole resource can sustain catches of $86,000-175,000 t$. The population can be expected to fluctuate above and below the equilibrium biomass due to natural causes, as is the case presently. The current biomass of 2.0 million $t$ or more is approximately twice the estimated equilibrium biomass. Advantage of these periods of high abundance can be taken by increasing catches beyond those possible under equilibrium biomass conditions.

## DISCUSSION

Results from the cohort analysis and numeric population simulator are highly dependent on the value of natural mortality as well as levels of recruitment used. If natural mortality is greater than 0.12 , then population abundance in 1959-81 would have been higher than shown by the cohort analysis (Tables 7 and 8). Conversely, projected abundance from the numeric population simulator may be overestimated if natural mortality is higher than 0.12 (Tables 9-12). The value of 0.12 simulates results from resource assessment surveys and was the most appropriate value according to a least squares analysis based on the method of Bledsoe and Lynde (1982). Examination of catch curves indicate that $M$ increases with age rather than being constant. Had age specific $M$ values been used, the population estimates in the earlier years examined in
the cohort analysis would have been somewhat larger. Age specific rates have not yet been determined but are being studied.

Indications from the cohort analysis that natural mortality may be lower than the previously estimated 0.25 has implications in the determination of long-term sustainable yields. Current estimates of MSY, using a natural mortality value of 0.25 , range from $169,000-260,000 t$, with a mid-point of 214,500 t. If natural mortality was nearer to 0.12 , the yield equation would indicate that MSY is only about half the above estimate, or $107,000 \mathrm{t}$.

Abundance estimates from resource assessment surveys are believed to be relatively accurate for the portion of the yellowfin sole population sampled. The bottom dwelling nature of the species and the rather uniform and static distribution of the population during late spring and summer months, when surveys are normally conducted, creates conditions that are conducive to estimating abundance from trawl assessment methods. The consistency of trends in abundance and duplication of results from year to year (Table 3, Figure 5) provides evidence of the reliability of assessment methods. These methods, however, underestimate the abundance of the total population to some degree because a portion of the population occupies shallow nearshore waters not sampled. Additionally, a catchability coefficient of $1: 0$ (all fish in the path of the trawl are caught) is assumed in deriving abundance estimates. If the catchability coefficient varies above (from herding affects of the trawl rigging) or below 1.0 , then abundance of the sampled population would be inaccurately assessed. However, results of the cohort analysis (Table 8) are supportive of results from surveys indicating that abundance of the population is at least as high as 2.0 million $t$. Indications from age composition data and from the numeric population simulator are that abundance will
remain high at least through the mid-1980's under moderate levels of exploitation ( $\leq 0.15$ ).

Based on these findings, it is believed that the resource can sustain catches of at least 200,000 t annually until 1985. There is no indication from the projections that this level of exploitation would substantially reduce the abundance of the population within the next 4 yr.

The PROBUB ecosystem model suggests that the yellowfin sole population can be exploited at a sustainable level of about $175,000 t$ per year.

The results of this report, therefore, suggest that because of the present high level of abundance, the yellowfin sole resource can be exploited at about 200,000 $t$ annually until at least 1985. At average levels of abundance, however, the resource may only sustain catches of $175,000 \mathrm{t}$ or less annually.
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