work task 91-7.8,
work product 5a



Rockingham Planning Commission

121 Water Street, Exeter, N.H. 03833-2487
603-778-0885

INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS OF LAND AND WATER RESOURCES IN THE SQUAMSCOTT RIVER CORRIDOR

Newfields, NH

June 1993

DRAFT

INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS OF LAND AND WATER RESOURCES IN THE SQUAMSCOTT RIVER CORRIDOR

Newfields, NH

June 1993

DRAFT

Prepared by:

Rockingham Planning Commission
121 Water Street
Exeter, NH 03833

This document was financed in part by a grant from the Office of State Planning, New Hampshire Coastal Program, as authorized by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Award Number NA17OZ0311-01.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF MAPS

APPENDIX 1 & 2

I. INTRODUCTION

This project was designed to develop a series of natural and cultural resource inventories and analysis maps for the Squamscott River corridor in the Town of Newfields, NH. The purpose was, in part, to develop the capability to easily overlay, interrelate and analyze the information contained in the inventory maps. The information is intended to be used on an ongoing basis to evaluate the adequacy of existing land use regulations in protecting coastal resources and to identify and prioritize environmentally sensitive areas for future land acquisitions.

The information developed for this project is consistent with that developed for two previous projects carried out under the Coastal Program. The projects, entitled Inventory and Analysis of Land and Water Resources in Squamscott River Corridor -- Stratham, NH, June 1990, and Inventory and Analysis of Land and Water Resources in the Squamscott River Corridor -- Exeter, NH, 1991. The resource information presented in the Stratham, Exeter and Newfields projects can be combined into a single coverage to show corridor resources in a larger geographic area. Corridor maps developed with the GIS in Greenland, Newington, Portsmouth, Durham, Dover and Madbury, if developed, could likewise be combined into single coverages of the entire corridor of the Squamscott River and Great Bay.

The principal user of the information presented in this study will be the Town of Newfields. Other users may include the N.H. Coastal Program, the Wetlands Board, Port Authority, Fish and Game Department, and the Rockingham Planning Commission, as well as other agencies and groups that have an interest in coastal resource issues. Within the Town it is likely that both the Planning Board and Conservation Commission will use the data and maps.

The Newfields Conservation Commission has indicated its intention to develop a town-wide GIS-based set of natural resource coverages. Many of the same coverages that created for this report will be created on a town-wide basis in the Conservation Commissions study.

Project Setting

The Great Bay and its tidal rivers make up one of the most important estuarine environments on the eastern seaboard of the United States. In 1988 the U.S. Office of Coastal Resources Management (OCRM) designated the Squamscott River and its immediate shoreline environment as part of the "second tier" coastal zone in New Hampshire. In the following year, the Great Bay, including the lower reaches of the Squamscott, was accepted as a National Estuarine Research Reserve, part of a national system of 17 important estuaries.

One of the reasons that the estuary was eligible for Research Reserve designation was that its shoreline and near shore areas remain relatively undeveloped. Newfields, which covers about half of the western shore of the Squamscott River has been fortunate to have made it through the 1980's with much less impact from development than was the experience of most of the neighboring communities. Between 1970 and 1990 the Town's population grew from 843 to 888, an annual growth rate of less than 1%. However, since 1953 the land consumed for development has displayed a much more dramatically shift. In 1953 approximately 8% of the Town's land area was classified as "developed". By 1982 that figure had grown to 32.4% -- a four fold increase.

Development has occurred in many areas of Newfields during this period. Fortunately the shoreline of the Squamscott has been relatively untouched by the development. This can be attributed partly to the physical attributes of the land and partly to the Town's zoning land use regulations. Much of the shorelands are wet and poorly suited to development; the B' & M railroad lies between the Newfields Road and the shoreline and acts as an access barrier to the river. The Town has acted in the last five years to adopt shoreline protection and wetlands protection zoning districts.

To supplement these ordinances, the Town saw the benefits in develop a complete and permanent information base of the natural features, land uses and property ownership patterns in the river corridor. This information is needed to better support the rational basis for existing regulations, to identify the need to add to or strengthen regulations, and to identify and justify the future acquisition of environmentally sensitive areas.

The Newfields Planning Board and the Rockingham Planning Commission jointly proposed a project to utilize the RPC's Geographic Information System (GIS) to build such an information base. The principal product would include a set of digitized map overlays ("coverages") of natural resource feature and property information.

The purpose of developing the information base using a GIS is threefold:

1) to make the information "permanent" and easy to update;

2) to facilitate the analysis of land use and resource information, and;

3) to demonstrate to the Town of Exeter the techniques, information requirements, benefits and problems associated with using the GIS in resource analyses.

Study Area

The study area encompasses an irregular area VARYING IN DISTANCE FROM APPROXIMATELY 6000 to 10,600 feet upland and westward from the river's edge. The area is bounded by the Newmarket town line to the north, the Squamscott River to the east and an abandoned AT&T telephone transmission line to the west. The study area is approximately 1600 acres in size and makes up about 31% of Newfields' total land area (see Map 2 - Study Area).

All of the study area is located within Squamscott River Watershed. The Squamscott watershed is relatively small in area totalling about 12,000 acres. The river has a legislative classification of "B" (fishable, swimmable). The shoreline of the Squamscott is relatively undeveloped in Newfields. It is a high quality estuarine environment and supports highly valued habitat which is utilized by several threatened and endangered plant and animal species including the bald eagle, common loon and four-toed salamander.

II. OVERVIEW OF GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM

A Geographic Information System (GIS) is a computer based mapping and planning tool. It combines **spatial information** such as parcel boundaries (polygons), river shorelines (lines), or well locations (points), with **attribute data** such as property owners, water quality class, and soil types. Each set of information is entered and stored

in its own data layer or "coverage" which is referenced to an external coordinate system and base layer. This enables the user to query the system about a particular map feature, to combine layers, or to generate new layers based on selected attributes from several different layers. The GIS system also enables a user to generate buffer zones around particular features, such as setbacks along roads or shorelines. One of its most useful features for planning is the ability to overlay different layers of information obtained from sources at different scales. These features are intended to be used both interactively on the computer screen, and to produce high quality plots for presentation and other purposes.

III. DESCRIPTION OF DATA LAYERS

This study was designed to use readily available map sources including digitized and hard copy, in compiling the GIS coverages. The best available base map, especially in terms of geographic reference to the state plane coordinate system, was determined to be 7.5" U.S.G.S. quad maps (Newmarket, Exeter). All other map sources were scaled or recompiled to fit this base map.

A. Base Layer (Maps 1 and 2)

The base layer for the study area was digitized by the Rockingham Planning Commission from the Newmarket, Exeter, 1:24,000 USGS 7.5 minute quadrangles. These quadrangles are printed on a stable mylar material for accuracy. Information shown on USGS quads and included on the base map consists of water bodies, streams, roads, railways and political boundaries. There were few problems encountered when compiling this layer due to the accuracy of the source information and uniform reference to the State plane coordinate system. Map sections from the two quads matched without difficulty.

B. Property Boundaries (Map 3)

Information for this layer was digitized from the Newfields tax maps (original vellum set) which are produced at scales of 1 inch equals 100 feet and 1 inch equals 200 feet. The parcels shown on Map 3 represent the subdivision of land in the study area as shown on the current tax maps. The maps were last updated in April 1992.

Although Newfields' tax maps are referenced to the State plane coordinate system, the scales used made direct conversion somewhat inaccurate. This means that a relatively high level of error in spatial accuracy exists between the USGS quad based layers and the tax parcel layer. For example, when the tax parcel coverage is combined with the road and stream layers the "fit" is not very good. Extensive manipulation ("rubber-sheeting") of the parcel coverages was required to make a suitable match and consequently result was a much less accurate representation of tax parcels.

The greatest difficulty in combining USGS and property tax maps in Newfields's case was in resolving the differences in scale. The USGS maps are at 1:24000 scale, while the tax maps are at 1:2400 and 1:1200 scale -- 10 to 20 times greater. Typically this means that some of the detail in features (especially roads, streams and shorelines) was absent from the USGS map. For example, a stream segment appearing as a straight line on the USGS may actually have a number of bends are revealed on the tax maps.

It is important to note that much of the resource data overlaid with the tax parcel information was not designed to be used on small scale maps (i.e. maps which cover a smaller area and contain greater detail) such as tax maps. Combining parcel and soils data is particularly troublesome because the soil maps do not appear to be referenced to State plane coordinates. Also the soils data is relatively low resolution information, not meant to resolve soil areas smaller than one to two acres.

Due to the difference in scale and the lack of common State plane reference for the soils and flood hazard coverages, it is not possible to accurately locate streams, soil types, flood hazard areas, and other physical features in relation to the tax parcels shown. Roads and streams can be located with relatively high confidence; soils and flood hazard locations have relatively low confidence.

Despite the accuracy limitations, the combination of tax parcel coverages with physical feature coverages can produce valuable planning and analysis maps at a town wide scale. They can show, for example, the relative suitability of land for development in an area of town and simultaneously show the distribution and use of properties in the same area. They can also be used to identify properties that are located within defined zones and/or are subject to wetland, shoreline or flood hazard restrictions.

Appendix 1 and 2 contains a listing of all tax parcels included in the study area. The tax parcel ID number shown in the listing is referenced to the Tax Parcel Index Map (Map 3). Also shown for each parcel is the total acreage current use and protected land status, land use category, zoning district.

It is important to note that due to the inaccuracies of the source data, this information layer shows only the **approximate** location of the property boundaries in relation to the USGS base layer. It is not possible to precisely locate the position of streams, soil types, or other physical features in relation to the tax parcels shown. Where the tax parcel layer is combined with a layer showing physical features it is done only to show the approximate spatial relationships between them. Despite the limited accuracy of this information it can serve as a useful planning tool at a town wide scale. Features from different sources but that are referenced to the state plane coordinate system (e.g. parcels, roads, streams) are represented more accurately that ones that aren't (e.g. soils and flood hazard areas).

C. Flood Hazard Areas (Map 4)

This layer is based on the Federal Emergency Management Agency flood insurance rate maps for the Town of Exeter (dated May 17, 1982); these maps are at a scale of 1:1200 and were digitized by the RPC.

Table 1

Flood Hazard Areas

	Acres	% of Study Area
"Zone A" (100 year floodplain)		

"Zone B"
(500 year floodplain)

TOTAL

When overlaid with the base layer, the flood hazard area appeared to match poorly with common features. The location of flood areas were consistent with stream channel and shoreline location but their shapes were considerably distorted. Inconsistencies were corrected in favor of the base layer. There are approximately 1110.5 acres in the flood hazard Zone A (100 year flood plain) within the study area. This represents 19.9% of the study area.

D. Soil Based Wetlands (Map 5)

This layer shows wetland soil types within the study area. The soils information used to produce this layer was digitized by the Complex Systems Research Center at UNH for the Soils Conservation Service (SCS). The data has been made available through the State's GRANIT GIS. CSRC used SCS/Rockingham County Soil Survey maps as their source. The soils were originally mapped from aerial photographs at a scale of 1:20000. A listing of wetland soil types was obtained from the SCS which enabled the GIS to identify the wetland soils by selecting specified soil types in attribute tables. Both poorly and very poorly drained soils are shown. Table 2 below shows the acreages of wetlands within the study area.

Table 2

Wetland Areas

Soil & Drainage Class	Acres	% of Study Area
Poorly Drained	to be recalculated	
Very Poorly Drained		
TOTAL		

Although this information was digitized with very high accuracy, it was found that this layer does not correspond well with the USGS base layer. This is because the 1:20000 aerial photographs themselves show considerable distortion relative to the base layer. Problems are most evident at town boundaries, shoreline boundaries and rivers. It would be difficult to correct these kinds of differences due to the fundamental differences in the source maps. Correcting the problem would require a re-compilation of the soils data on the USGS -- a process of unknown feasibility. Despite these inaccuracies, as with property boundaries, the soils layer remains an invaluable planning tool and a critical component of the GIS-based inventory.

E. Soils Suitability for Septic Systems (Map 6)

This layer is based on the soils information prepared by Complex Systems

Research Center and made available through GRANIT. The GIS system identified soils and their suitability for septic systems based upon the report. "Soils Potential for Development" (Soil Conservation Services and Rockingham County Conservation District, May 1987).

As can be seen from the map, most of the study area is of medium potential to handle on site septic systems. A large portion of the Town Center is detailed as not rated due to Newfields' sewer district.

F. Existing Land Use (Map 7)

The existing land use layer was digitized by the RPC from mylar copies of the town tax maps at scales of one inch to 100 feet (1:1200) and one inch to 200 feet (1:2400). This information was provided on a parcel by parcel review of existing use through the efforts of the Planning Board and Board of Selectmen. This enables the map to be much more up-to-date than it would have otherwise been using the standard procedure of digitizing dated aerial photography to establish this coverage.

The following table shows the acreage of the different land uses:

Table 3

Existing Land Use

Category	Acres	% of Study Area
Residential	677.40	41.5%
Commercial	56.46	3.5%
Industrial	39.20	2.4%
Agricultural	37.80	2.3%
Town/Government	51.03	3.1%
Cemetery	5.20	.3%
Woodlot	115.00	9.5%
Open Space	615.29	37.7%
TOTAL	1559.58	100.3%

The study area is a fairly diverse environment as shown by the existing land use figures detailed on Table 5. For a community of Newfields' size this part of Town has a good mix of land uses. It is important to note that although the study area encompasses the Town center, over one-third of the study area is categorized as open space.

G. Zoning (Map 8)

As shown in Table 4, the study area includes 4 different conventional zoning districts. The boundaries shown were digitized from the Town's current zoning map (current through May, 1993) and adjusted to the study area base map as necessary to conform to physical features defined in the zone descriptions.

Two of the districts are predominantly residential in nature. The "R" zone or residential is by far the largest zone in the study area encompassing over 900 acres. The "R/A" zone (residential/agricultural) is the second largest zoning district within the study area with over 260 acres represented. Together with the residential zone these two districts comprise 80% of the study area. The study area also comprises just under 150 acres of industrial land as well as almost 100 acres of commercially zoned land.

Table 4

Conventional Zoning Districts

Zone	Acres [1]	% of Study Area
R Residential	918.1	64.42
R/A Residential Agricultural	261.4	18.34
C Commercial	93.7	6.57
I Industrial	148.3	10.40
TOTAL	1421.5	99.73

[1] Excludes Water bodies

IV. GIS-BASED ANALYSIS

Once the basic coverages of roads, hydrology, soils, wetlands, flood hazards, and property boundaries are prepared, numerous analyses of the information can be performed using the GIS. An analysis can be simple or complex. A simple analysis might include the overlaying of two coverages to compare areas in common. For example, existing land uses could be combined with zoning districts to determine areas where they conflict. A complex analysis might involve the display of features from multiple coverages which match a complex set of criteria. Only a few of the analyses possible using the available information are included here. Many others can be created as the need arises.

The following analyses maps were prepared for this study:

-- Identification and Analysis of Protected Land (Map 9 and 12);

-- Development suitability and existing land use (Map 10);

-- Combination of Development Suitability and Conventional Zoning Districts Map 11);

A. Identification and Analysis of Protected Lands (Map 9)

As shown in Table 5 below, 152 acres or slightly less than one-ten of the study area is classified as protected land. Protected land in the case of this study refers to those lands, either publicly or privately owned, with very low potential to be further developed.

In the case of the privately owned lands within the study area, only two parcels are included on the protected parcels map (Map 10) Both parcels are owned by the Society for the protection of new Hampshire Forests. One of the parcels is the George F. Smith Woodlot.

The publicly owned parcels are all owned by the Town of Newfields. There are 8 parcels making up the 70 acres. The lots range in size from 1.01 to 37 acres.

Table 5

Protected Lands

Protected Land Category	Acres	% of Study Area
Town Owned	70.45	4.3%
Private	82.00	5.0%
TOTAL	152.45	9.3%

B. Analysis of "Current Use" Lands

Over 37% of the 1631 acres of the study area are in parcels registered with the Assessors Office as current use or open space land. Under the provisions of the current use statute (RSA 79A), these parcels may not be developed or changed from their open space use without the payment of a land use change tax equal to 10% of the full market value.

This would indicate that a substantial portion of the open areas presently found within the study area is susceptible to future development pressure and is not in any way protected from conversion to more intensive land uses.

Table 6

Current Use Parcels

	Acres	% of Study Area
All Current Use	617.40	37.9%

C. Zoning Overlay Districts (Maps 4, 5, 8)

Many communities in New Hampshire use "overlay" zoning districts for wetlands, shoreline and other sensitive area protection measures. In many cases, the areas covered by individual overlay districts overlap. This phenomenon can be seen by looking at the soils based wetlands map, the flood hazard boundary map and the zoning map. On each of these maps the surface water resources within

the Town affect the boundaries of the different protection measures. Map __ displays the overlap that exists when Soil-based development suitability is overlaid upon the Towns zoning districts.The overlap is especially evident between the LC zone and septic system potentials ratings.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This project, along with the Stratham and Exeter portions before it, have demonstrated that a variety of existing natural and cultural resource information can be combined effectively with a GIS to inventory and analyze coastal resources. The scale and accuracy of the data makes the inventory useful for town-wide and river corridor wide planning purposes. It is not appropriate, however, for site specific analysis.

Among the specific conclusions that can be drawn from the project are the following:

1. Although existing mapped resource information has a variety of sources and scales, it can be successfully compiled using a GIS to create a useful and effective resource information base and planning tool.

2. Combining property tax maps and physical feature maps generates useful planning information and is among the most useful parts of the database. However, if used incorrectly, it is potentially misleading since the scale and geographic detail of the property information is not comparable to those other map sources. The use of this information should therefore be limited to town-wide or corridor-wide planning purposes. Any conclusions drawn from the data about specific lot conditions should be field verified.

3. The geographic inaccuracy of Newfields' tax maps makes site specific analysis suspect if diligent effort to check actual on-site characteristics is not undertaken. This study can be helpful in displaying to the Town the benefits to be garnered by investing in tax maps closely tied to the State Plane Coordinate system.

4. Considerable distortion exists between the USGS or Tax Maps and Soils and Flood Hazard base maps. Displacement of features is a common problem with both the soils and flood hazard data. Caution should be used in combining information from these layers. The Flood Hazard Maps are particularly distorted and require substantial manipulations to "fit" with State Plane referenced coverages.

5. Newfields' existing zoning ordinances (including overlay districts) appear to adequately protect environmentally sensitive areas from development. No substantial change is warranted in the Town's ordinances from the standpoint of resource protection.

The Town of Newfields is currently expanding its use of GIS technology. When plans can be finalized the Town intends on using the Geographic Information System to complete a natural resource inventory for the entire community. It is anticipated that additional resource coverages such as aquifers, areas with threatened or endangered species, and public access sites along the Squamscott River be included in that study.

Such a program is a logical extension of the planning process begun with this corridor

study. It is recommended that the Town of Newfields continue to incorporate the GIS as a tool to assist in general planning for resource protection in the study area and elsewhere in Town. Additional analysis of the resource information can be requested of the RPC to assist with specific problems.

Further information about this project can be obtained by contacting the Rockingham Planning Commission at 121 Water Street, Exeter, NH 03833; telephone 603-778-0885.

PROPERTY OWNER	MAP	LOTNO1	LAND_USE	PROTECTED	CU	ACRES	G-ACRES
ABBOTT, PAMELA S.	102	26	RES		0.00	0.28	0.3
ABRAHAMSON, LEONARD W. & J. R.	101	17	RES		0.00	1.50	1.8
ADAMS, SANDRA J.	103	22	RES		0.00	0.61	0.5
ANDERSEN, CHRISTIAN T. & DONNA M.	207	14	RES		0.00	2.00	2.1
AREND, LAWRENCE R. & PEGGY L.	102	81	RES		0.00	2.00	1.9
BAIER, LYMAN O. & SHARON H.	102	64	RES		0.00	0.40	0.4
BATEMAN, VINCENT J., SR. & PAULINE G.	201	21	RES		0.00	1.50	1.4
BEATTIE, EDWARD B.	102	15	RES		0.00	0.45	0.4
BEATTY, DEBORAH J.	102	6	RES		0.00	0.49	0.4
BEATTY, THOMAS N. & MARCIA P.	204	16	RES		0.00	2.04	2.0
BEDDIE, CYNTHIA S.	203	13	RES		0.00	3.70	3.3
BEGIEBING, ROBERT J. & LINDA A.	101	14	RES		0.00	3.00	2.9
BEHANNA, JAMES K. & CATHERINE B.	207	18	RES		0.00	2.03	3.1
BERGERON, GLENN A. & DAVID G.	202	26	O/S		0.00	0.33	0.4
BERNIER CORPORATION	104	22	RES		0.00	0.33	0.3
BERNIER, EDWARD P. & ELAINE M.	104	15	RES		0.00	0.23	0.2
BOND, LAWRENCE S. & JENNIE L.	202	2	RES		0.00	2.00	2.1
BONNER, RICHARD E. & SUSAN C.	209	3	RES		0.00	2.03	2.5
BONNER, ROBERT E., JR & JANICE RAE	209	2	RES		0.00	3.06	1.1
BONNER, ROBERT E., SR.	209	4	O/S		0.00	7.40	2.0
BONNER, THE ESTATE OF MYRTLE	104	52	RES		0.00	0.67	0.7
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	201	1	O/S		0.00	3.90	1.7
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	201	4	O/S		0.00	3.60	1.0
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	18	O/S		0.00	1.00	1.0
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	21	O/S		0.00	22.00	21.2
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	22	O/S		0.00	25.02	23.6
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	204	1.02	O/S	Public	0.00	1.04	0.8
BRAGG, WILLIAM C. & MARGARET E.	101	13	RES		0.00	2.39	2.0
BROCKWAY, LOIS S.	101	15.2	O/S		0.00	4.21	2.8
BROCKWAY, LOIS S.	101	15.1	RES		0.00	3.36	2.6
BROOKE, ANNE P.	102	46	RES		0.00	0.64	0.6
BROOKE, ANNE P.	102	48	O/S		0.00	0.27	0.3
BROOKS, DOROTHY &	203	12	RES		0.00	6.80	8.4
BROOKS, PHILLIPS F. & CYNTHIA E.	203	11	RES		0.00	1.80	2.0
BURNETT, HOWARD F. & WENDY L.	104	41	RES		0.00	0.21	0.2
BUXTON, RAY P.	104	29	RES		0.00	0.26	0.3
BUXTON, RAY P. & EDNA M.	102	63	RES		0.00	0.14	0.1
BUXTON, WILLIAM G.	104	46	RES		0.00	0.00	0.2
BUXTON, WILLIAM G.	104	45	RES		0.00	0.52	0.2
BUXTON, WILLIAM G.	104	44	RES		0.00	0.23	0.2
CALEY ASSOCIATES	202	8.2	O/S		0.00	10.00	9.2
CALL, RAYMOND E. & JUDITH	102	70	RES		0.00	0.25	0.2
CAPRON, RAE & RICHARD B.	202	8.1	COM		0.00	9.00	9.3
CASWELL, CLIFFORD A. & HAM, JUDITH	103	18	RES		0.00	0.24	0.2
CHAFFEE, COLLEEN C.	104	53	RES		0.00	2.32	2.6
CHAPMAN, MARJORIE	202	10	RES		0.00	0.44	0.4
CHENEY, WALTER W.	201	7	O/S		0.00	1.50	0.6
CHICK, JOHN A. & DOROTHY P.	102	8	RES		0.00	0.64	0.6
CLAPP, DAVID D. & LINDA B.	204	4	RES		0.00	1.10	1.1
CLARK, JOANNE J.	202	24	RES		0.00	25.21	20.6
CLEGG, GORDON & KATHERINE	104	27	RES		0.00	0.23	0.2

PROPERTY OWNER	MAP	LOTNO1	LAND USE	PROTECTED	CU	ACRES	G-ACRES
CLUNE, ROBERT J.	103	16	RES		0.00	0.16	0.1
COES, STEVEN & BETSY ANN	101	9	RES		0.00	1.10	1.1
CONNER BOTTLING WORKS	203	17	RES		0.00	0.00	19.8
CONNER, ALFRED, JR.	206	2	WDL		18.00	18.00	22.3
CONNER, ALFRED, JR.	204	15	WDL		36.00	36.00	35.0
CONNER, THOMAS H. & JEANNE M.	102	11	RES		0.00	0.39	0.4
CORBIN, JUNE L. & CHAPMAN, JOHN I.	101	19	RES		0.00	0.94	1.1
COTE, ARMAND & BARBARA E.	201	11	O/S		0.00	17.00	21.1
COTE, CHRISTOPHER J. & BRENDA J.	207	11	RES		0.00	2.20	2.3
CRONSHAW, KENNETH	104	26	RES		0.00	0.32	0.3
CRONSHAW, WALTER R. & JULIA	101	5	RES		0.00	1.30	0.2
CROSS, ADRIAN & CECILE	101	16	RES		0.00	1.60	1.5
CROWLEY, PHILIP M. & MARIA A.	103	17	RES		0.00	0.35	0.3
CUNNINGHAM, CHARLES W. & M. D.	103	12	RES		0.00	0.89	1.3
D & G CONSTRUCTION	207	10	RES		0.00	2.12	2.6
D & G CONSTRUCTION	103	5.3	RES		0.00	2.49	3.0
DALEY, STEPHANY T.	202	19	COM		0.00	2.35	2.2
DALRYMPLE, HERBERT R. & RACHAEL C.	201	2	O/S		5.50	10.52	4.8
DAVIS, PHILLIP A., JR. & ANN W.	204	13	RES		0.00	2.50	2.7
DAWSON, LEE & CWIKLA, KRISTINE	104	38	RES		0.00	0.23	0.2
DAWSON, WILLIAM R. & DOROTHY M.	104	43	RES		0.00	0.22	0.2
DE RHAM, M. M. & KELLY, BRIAN R.	207	29	RES		0.00	2.94	3.0
DEVANTRY, ROBERT J. & NINA R.	204	9	RES		0.00	1.00	1.0
DEVEREAUX, PAULINE & JOHN	102	31	RES		0.00	0.55	0.5
DIAMENT, JOSEPH & PATTI S.	102	24	RES		0.00	0.43	0.4
DIMOCK, FREDERICK C. & JEAN A.	207	25	RES		0.00	2.02	1.9
DIXON, CARL & KATHLEEN	206	4	RES		0.00	2.70	3.4
DRELICK, JOEL, KAREN & PETER	207	9	O/S		22.00	22.00	0.6
DRINKWATER, GEORGE H. & TONI	102	50	RES		0.00	0.10	0.1
DUMAIS, MONICA & ROY, ALFRED L. &	202	23	O/S		0.00	9.80	7.6
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	27	RES		0.00	2.07	2.0
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	45	RES		0.00	0.00	1.9
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	44	RES		0.00	0.00	2.0
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	46	RES		0.00	1.00	1.8
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	43	RES		0.00	0.00	2.0
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	42	RES		0.00	0.00	1.9
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	41	RES		0.00	0.00	2.0
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	40	RES		0.00	0.00	1.9
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	39	RES		0.00	14.02	1.9
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	102	88-90	RES		0.00	28.27	2.4
EDGERLY, LAWRENCE R. & PATRICIA D.	201	15	RES		0.00	1.70	1.5
ELLIOTT, EMILY	104	34	RES		0.00	0.23	0.2
EVANS, JOHN R. & DOROTHY Z.	205	1	RES		0.00	9.70	8.6
FAVARA, JAMES C. & NANCY	104	40	RES		0.00	0.23	0.3
FERMERY, CAROL	203	10	RES		0.00	0.79	0.8
FINN, EDWIN R.	204	3	O/S		0.00	8.34	7.7
FINN, JOHN J. & BARBARA	204	14	WDL		61.00	61.00	63.1
FISHER, JERRY H. & ROSE M.	103	13	RES		0.00	0.91	1.5
FLOYD, ETHEL M.	102	73	RES		0.00	0.29	0.2
FOLEY, MARK D. & LYNNE P.	103	7	RES		0.00	1.08	0.8
GEBO, DONALD H. & PATRICIA M.	207	24	RES		0.00	2.04	2.1

PROPERTY OWNER	MAP	LOTNO1	LAND_USE	PROTECTED	CU	ACRES	G-ACRES
GILBERT, ETHEL	104	23	RES		0.00	0.35	0.3
GLASS, DEBRA R.	102	57	RES		0.00	0.33	0.3
GLASS, ROSS VERNON & CAROL B.	103	20	RES		0.00	0.32	0.5
GLENN, JEFFREY L.	101	29	RES		0.00	0.17	0.5
GOERNER, ROBERT & DORIS	104	56	RES		0.00	5.09	4.1
GOLDSMITH, SHEILA B.	203	15	HAY		0.00	26.00	64.9
GOLDSMITH, SHEILA B.	203	14	O/S		0.00	7.00	5.5
GOODRIDGE, RICHARD H. & JO-ANN	103	6	RES		0.00	1.02	0.8
GOSSELIN, ROLAND R. & JUDITH L.	207	27	RES		0.00	2.01	1.9
GOUGH, WILLIAM	104	47	RES		0.00	0.26	0.2
GOVE, SCOTT & CHERYL	102	77	RES		0.00	0.50	0.5
GRANT, MICHAEL D. & LEAH	207	16	RES		0.00	2.05	2.1
GREAT BAY CAMPING VILLAGE INC.	201	20	COM		0.00	31.00	26.8
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	18	RES		4.00	6.00	5.5
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	7	RES		0.00	0.34	0.3
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	8	O/S		0.00	2.40	3.7
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	9	O/S		0.00	2.40	0.7
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	6	O/S		5.30	5.30	4.8
HALLINAN, ROBERT J.	102	68	RES		0.00	0.32	0.3
HALLINAN, ROBERT J. & BARBARA A.	206	9	RES		0.00	8.20	2.8
HARDIN, RICHARD C. & DIANE J.	104	14	RES		0.00	0.23	0.3
HAUSHEL, BRUCE W. & JOANNE L.	201	5	O/S		0.00	8.60	8.1
HAYDEN, JAMES & BARBARA	201	23	RES		43.00	44.80	36.7
HAYDEN, JAMES & BARBARA	201	24	O/S		0.00	0.00	0.2
HAYDEN, MARK L. & SUE E.	102	27	RES		0.00	0.54	0.5
HEATH, LARRY & JOYCE	101	33	RES		0.00	0.57	0.7
HENNESSEY, FREDERICK R.	102	72	RES		0.00	0.22	0.2
HERLIHY, BEATRICE T.	102	69	RES		0.00	0.18	0.2
HETT, LESLIE & LETTY	102	34	RES		0.00	0.22	0.2
HETT, LESLIE & LETTY	102	35	RES		0.00	0.32	0.3
HEYL, JAMES T. & NANCY S.	204	18	HAY		10.00	11.80	10.7
HIGLEY, THEODORE N. & DAWN A.	204	12	RES		0.00	2.10	2.4
HILL, TERRY D, & CHERYL L.	207	28	RES		0.00	2.12	2.1
HIROSS, EDWARD & LINDA B.	102	28	RES		0.00	0.43	0.4
HOCHSCHWENDER, DAVID J. & C. A.	207	26	RES		0.00	2.00	1.9
HODGENS, HOWARD J. & LORRAINE M.	104	31	RES		0.00	0.23	0.3
HOGE, DONALD E. & DONNA K.	103	5.4	RES		0.00	3.25	3.2
HOLMES, PAUL R.	102	38	RES		0.00	0.14	0.1
HOLMWOOD, FRANK & MIRIAM	101	6	RES		0.00	1.60	2.0
HOLMWOOD, FRANK & MIRIAM	101	30&31	O/S		0.00	0.45	0.2
HOWCROFT, DONALD H.	204	6	RES		0.00	2.00	1.8
HOWCROFT, THOMAS H. & MARGARET	204	7	RES		0.00	3.85	3.5
HOYT, CARL B. & DOROTHY M.	101	28	RES		0.00	0.38	0.6
HOYT, JUDSON W.	207	6	O/S		76.00	76.00	74.5
HUGHES, STEPHEN E. & SHARON	207	13	RES		0.00	2.43	2.5
HULL, ELIZABETH E.	102	9	RES		0.00	0.73	0.7
HULL, ELIZABETH E.	102	45	RES		0.00	0.18	0.2
IANNICELLI, KATHIE P.	102	36	RES		0.00	0.29	0.3
JACKSON, RALPH W. & MARGARET	201	19	RES		0.00	3.20	2.7
JOURDENIAS, RICHARD & COLLEEN D.	104	36	RES		0.00	0.28	0.2
KAUFMANN, TERESA M. & WAYNE R.	203	16	RES		0.00	1.90	1.9

PROPERTY OWNER	MAP	LOTNO1	LAND USE	PROTECTED	CU	ACRES	G ACRES
KEEP, WESLEY R. & CONSTANCE L.	104	17	RES		0.00	1.09	1.1
KELLEY, ROBERT J. & CAROL B.	202	16	RES		0.00	1.50	1.5
KENICK, PAULINE F.	103	21	O/S		0.00	8.70	6.6
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	102	56	IND		0.00	9.20	8.6
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	102	51	RES		0.00	1.60	1.4
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	102	52	O/S		0.00	2.40	3.1
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	204	5	O/S		0.00	21.00	12.3
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	204	1	IND		0.00	30.00	27.5
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	204	8	O/S		0.00	13.56	13.0
KNIPSTEIN, BRIAN J.	102	67	RES		0.00	0.21	0.2
KNIPSTEIN, BRIAN J.	102	67	RES		0.00	0.21	0.3
KNIPSTEIN, HARRIETT	102	66	RES		0.00	0.23	0.2
KURTH, SYLVIA	102	12	RES		0.00	0.93	1.7
LA BONTE, LIONEL & GRETA	201	13	O/S		0.00	130.00	117.9
LA BRANCHE, RAYMOND & JEANNETTE	101	10	RES		0.00	0.56	0.8
LAGASSE, DAVID & ELAINE L.	104	19	RES		0.00	0.64	0.7
LANE, FRANCIS F., JR. & SHEILA S.	102	18	RES		0.00	0.17	0.2
LAWRENCE, O. KENT III	101	25	RES		0.00	0.15	0.2
LE GAULT, ROBERT & LUCILLE	201	8	O/S		0.00	1.10	1.0
LE GAULT, ROBERT P. & DONNA E.	101	23	RES		0.00	0.16	0.2
LINSCOTT, KENNETH W. & AUDREY E.	102	42	RES		0.00	0.27	0.4
LLOYD OWEN, PAMELA H. & PIERS, S. C.	102	13	RES		0.00	0.22	0.2
LONG, HAROLD F. & DOROTHY P.	201	9	O/S		0.00	0.57	0.5
LOOSE, JOHN C.	102	21	RES		0.00	0.20	0.2
LYNCH, DONALD	102	78	PO		0.00	0.44	0.4
LYNCH, DONALD	203	19	RES		0.00	2.70	3.2
MACDONALD, TIMOTHY S. & PATRICIA	104	18	RES		0.00	0.32	0.3
MACQUARRIE, MICHAEL J. & KATHIE E.	102	75.2	RES		0.00	0.00	0.0
MAHER, MEGAN E. & DANIELS, MICHAEL	207	12	RES		0.00	2.03	2.1
MARSHALL, THOMAS, JR. & KATHERINE	101	20	RES		0.00	0.26	0.3
MARTIN, D. D. & L. HULL-MARTIN	103	9	COM		0.00	6.20	12.3
MARTIN, D. D. & L. HULL-MARTIN	102	79	RES		0.00	0.92	0.8
MASTROPIETRO, ARMAND R., JR.	202	12	O/S		0.00	0.00	1.5
MASTROPIETRO, ARMAND R., JR.	202	11	ATS		0.00	1.50	0.1
MATTHEWS, NANCY A.	103	15	RES		0.00	0.30	0.2
MCCARTHY, TIMOTHY C. & CONSTANCE	207	23	RES		0.00	2.00	2.1
MCCLURE, MARK P. & LOUISA J.	101	26	RES		0.00	0.32	0.4
MCGRAIL, MICHAEL D. & MARY P.	207	30	RES		0.00	2.02	2.1
MCGRAIL, MICHAEL D. & MARY P.	102	75.1	RES		0.00	0.00	0.0
MCLAUGHLIN, ROBERT H. & BARBARA	101	3	RES		0.00	0.73	0.8
MEDLEY, WILLIAM N., JR. & KELLY M.	207	17	RES		0.00	2.32	2.1
MICHAUD, BRETT	103	5.2	RES		0.00	2.01	1.7
MICHAUD, KENT R.	201	10	O/S		0.00	0.69	0.7
MICHAUD, KENT R.	204	19.1	RES		0.00	4.90	5.2
MICHAUD, RAYMOND, SR. & NORMA	104	25	RES		0.00	0.33	0.3
MONAGHAN, ESTATE OF MARY	102	23	RES		0.00	0.56	0.5
MONAGHAN, FRANK	103	10	RES		0.00	1.10	2.7
MOORE, WESLEY T., JR. & SUZANNE	207	5	O/S		0.00	17.95	18.9
MOORE, WESLEY T., JR. & SUZANNE	207	4	O/S		0.00	22.50	20.1
MOORE, WESLEY T., JR. & SUZANNE	207	31	RES		0.00	2.02	2.0
MORGAN, THOMAS F.	207	33	RES		0.00	2.26	3.2

PROPERTY OWNER	MAP	LOTNOI	LAND USE	PROTECTED	CU	ACRES	G-ACRES
MORSE, GERALD G. & ELIZABETH D.	102	3	RES		0.00	0.35	0.4
MUSJAK, JOSEPH G. & SHAW, LESLIE J.	104	13	RES		0.00	0.26	0.2
NATIONS CREDIT FINANCIAL SERVICES	101	22	RES		0.00	0.28	0.2
NEAL, ALDEN	104	11	RES		0.00	0.49	1.0
NELSON, BARBARA L.	101	32	RES		0.00	1.30	1.4
NELSON, CATHERINE M.	102	29	RES		0.00	0.23	0.2
NERNEY, MICHAEL G.	104	33	RES		0.00	0.23	0.2
NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE COMPANY	209	5	RES		0.00	0.39	0.2
NEWLIN, ELIZABETH B.	102	84	RES		0.00	3.00	3.4
NEWLIN, ELIZABETH B.	102	83	ATS		0.00	0.29	0.3
NEWLIN, ELIZABETH B.	203	1	O/S		16.00	16.00	16.6
NEWLIN, ELIZABETH B.	203	2	O/S		7.70	7.70	7.4
NOEL, JACK E. & DOREEN F.	102	25	RES		0.00	0.25	0.3
O'BRIEN, JOHN A.	102	41	RES		0.00	0.27	0.3
O'BRIEN, JOHN A. & ALICE A.	102	40	RES		0.00	0.77	0.7
O'DONNELL, JOAN	202	17	RES		0.00	2.09	1.8
OLIVER, CHARLES B. & LUCIE A.	102	62	RES		0.00	0.25	0.2
PALMER, PAUL K., JR. & ELIZABETH S.	101	1	RES		0.00	2.50	2.7
PARISH, LEWIS L. & GRACE A.	104	12	RES		0.00	0.33	0.3
PARKMAN, THEODORE B. & FLOYD H.	204	2	RES		0.00	4.20	3.5
PATRIDGE, HEIRS OF EVA	102	53	O/S		0.00	1.00	0.9
PEASLEE, DAVID H. & FRANCES P.	203	3	RES		0.00	3.40	3.4
PELLETIER, KAREN J.	102	55	RES		0.00	0.45	0.4
PELLETIER, RAYMOND J. & SUSAN E.	202	3	RES		0.00	3.50	3.8
PERKINS, GREGORY C. & SANDRA N.	101	24	RES		0.00	0.13	0.2
PERRY, CHRISTOPHER L. & ELIZABETH M.	102	17	RES		0.00	1.02	1.0
PETERSON FAMILY TRUST	207	20	RES		0.00	2.03	2.2
PHINNEY TRUST, B. PHINNEY TRUST	104	51	RES		0.00	1.80	2.9
PLANTE, MARGARET S.	102	16	FDG		0.00	0.11	0.1
PLOOF, MICHAEL F.	104	39	RES		0.00	0.23	0.3
PORTER, NATHAN B. & M E. SMALL	101	11	RES		0.00	0.42	0.8
PRO 2000 INC.	207	22	RES		0.00	2.28	2.1
PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF N H	201	22	RES		0.00	0.08	0.1
PUGH, ROBERT M. & DIANE J.	101	21	RES		0.00	0.28	0.3
QUINNEY, JAMES G. & PAULA E.	101	7	RES		0.00	0.24	0.3
RANDLETT, MARK R. & MAUREEN L.	102	30	RES		0.00	0.49	0.5
RANDLETT, RAYMOND & JANET	102	54	RES		0.00	0.34	0.4
RAWSON, HERBERT F., SR.	102	61	RES		0.00	0.34	0.3
RAY, KEVIN D. & PATRICIA G.	207	15	RES		0.00	2.18	2.3
RENNER, BARBARA A.	101	18	RES		0.00	0.90	1.1
RIDGELY, ERNEST A. & BEVERLY	104	32	RES		0.00	0.23	0.2
ROBINSON, WILLIAM R. & LEE J.	104	50	RES		0.00	0.26	0.3
ROBINSON, WILLIAM R. & LEE J.	104	49	RES		0.00	0.26	0.3
ROBINSON, WILLIAM R. & LEE J.	104	48	RES		0.00	0.26	0.2
ROGERS, MARIAN E.	201	14	RES		0.00	0.91	0.8
ROLLINS, KENNETH A. & SYLVIA J.	203	20	RES		0.00	1.90	2.1
ROLLINS, NORMAN S. & SHIRLEY L.	103	19	RES		0.00	0.72	0.2
ROY, BENJAMIN & MARILYNNE	202	25	O/S		0.00	0.53	0.5
RUGG, OLIVE L.	205	2	RES		128.00	122.00	60.9
RUMFORD, JARED N. & WILHELMINE W.	102	4	RES		0.00	0.27	0.2
RUMFORD, JARED N., JR. & CATHERINE	102	2	RES		0.00	0.24	0.2

PROPERTY OWNER	MAP	LOTNO1	LAND USE	PROTECTED	CU	ACRES	G ACRES
RYAN, HELEN S.	103	14	RES		0.00	2.10	1.6
SACRED HEART CHURCH	101	4	CHR		0.00	0.88	1.1
SANCHEZ, MANUAL G. & JUDITH R.	207	21	RES		0.00	2.01	2.1
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	1	RES		65.00	112.30	42.9
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	5	RES		0.00	0.00	0.9
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	4	T/O		0.00	0.00	0.3
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	3	O/S		0.00	0.00	3.1
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	7	RES		0.00	0.00	0.2
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	8	RES		0.00	0.00	0.2
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	9	RES		0.00	0.00	0.2
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	10	RES		0.00	0.00	0.2
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	2	RES		0.00	0.00	0.4
SCHNEER, CECIL J. & MARY B., TRUST	102	47	RES		11.50	12.60	15.1
SCOTT, JOHN S., JR. & JUDITH C.	207	19	RES		0.00	2.00	2.4
SHARP, REGINALD H. & CHARLOTTE H.	102	58 & 59	O/S		0.00	1.18	0.9
SHAW, PAULINE R. & GEORGE J.	101	2	RES		0.00	0.95	1.2
SHEEHY, FREDERICK B.	202	5	RES		0.00	8.70	3.5
SLATTERY, ROBERT G. & KATHLEEN A.	104	37	RES		0.00	0.28	0.3
SMITH, CARL F., SR., HELEN D. &	206	5	RES		0.00	7.20	7.4
SMITH, FLORENCE	101	12	RES		0.00	2.55	1.6
SMITH, GARY D. & SHARON L.	104	54	RES		0.00	2.40	3.2
SOCIETY FOR PROTECTION NH FORESTS	202	9	O/S	Private	33.00	33.00	32.6
SOCIETY FOR PROTECTION NH FORESTS	202	7	O/S	Private	49.00	49.00	41.6
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	202	13	ORD		0.00	17.00	16.2
STETSON, ELVIN & PEARL	102	60	RES		0.00	1.50	1.3
STEVENS, MARY E.	102	82	RES		0.00	1.00	1.0
STEWART, JACQUELINE	201	18	RES		0.00	0.43	0.4
SUDDUTH, S S & SUDDUTH, GAIL R.	102	14	RES		0.00	6.20	6.5
SWANSON, GORDON	201	17	COM		0.00	2.00	1.7
SWEET, LYNNE P.	207	7	O/S		13.40	13.40	14.0
SWISHER, HELEN K.	203	21	RES		0.00	3.10	3.8
TAYLOR, ALLEN S. & NANCY E.	102	32	RES		0.00	0.31	0.2
TAYLOR, JEFFREY B.	206	8	RES		0.00	7.20	3.6
TERRIO, MARGARET M.	204	17	RES		0.00	2.30	2.2
THEOBOLD, LOUIS C. & JEAN H.	102	85	RES		0.00	0.38	0.3
TOTH, TIBOR & DIANA	206	3	RES		0.00	6.10	5.4
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	202	14	CEM		0.00	3.70	3.6
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	101	8	T/O	Public	0.00	14.03	14.6
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	202	38	RES	Public	0.00	6.88	7.2
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	101	34	WWT		0.00	13.00	7.0
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	103	2	O/S	Public	0.00	1.02	0.8
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	103	3	O/S	Public	0.00	1.01	0.8
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	103	4	O/S	Public	0.00	1.47	1.2
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	104	35	RES		0.00	0.04	0.0
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	20	RES		0.00	0.00	1.2
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	10	RES		0.00	0.00	1.1
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	1	CEM		0.00	1.50	2.8
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	7	WTS		0.00	0.14	0.1
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	74	T/O		0.00	0.00	0.1
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	80	RES		0.00	0.18	0.2
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	87	ELE		0.00	0.00	3.8

PROPERTY OWNER	MAP	LOTNO1	LAND USE	PROTECTED	CU	ACRES	G ACRES
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	49	LNC		0.00	0.61	0.6
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	206	1	PLY		0.00	0.00	3.4
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	86	EMS		0.00	0.00	0.5
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	44	OSW		0.00	0.04	0.0
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	204	1.01	O/S	Public	0.00	8.00	7.7
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	205	3	T/O	Public	0.00	37.00	33.7
TRIPP, HOMER G. & JEAN C.	202	1	RES		0.00	0.91	0.9
TYLER, GEORGE P.	201	16.3	O/S		0.00	2.07	1.4
TYLER, GEORGE P.	201	16.2	O/S		0.00	4.76	4.4
TYLER, GEORGE P.	201	16.1	COM		0.00	4.52	3.9
UNIVERSALIST SOCIETY	102	76	CHR		0.00	0.00	0.2
VIEIRA, ROBERT F. & DIANE R.	102	39	RES		0.00	0.89	0.8
WALKER, RICHMAN G.	207	8	O/S		0.00	4.10	3.9
WEBB, LYLE R. & SHIRLEY I.	102	37	RES		0.00	0.53	0.5
WEBB, ROBERT	201	3	O/S		0.00	2.50	3.5
WELLER, RICHARD J. & KATHERINE A.	101	27	RES		0.00	0.37	0.5
WHITE, BRUCE D. & EILEEN R.	104	55	RES		0.00	3.47	5.3
WIDELL, WILLIAM D. & CATHEY J.	104	30	RES		0.00	0.23	0.2
WILDER, D. A. & JANEWAY, B.	103	11	RES		0.00	0.56	1.1
WILLIAMS, ALAN G. & JANET	104	28	RES		0.00	0.26	0.2
WILLIAMS, CRAIG B.	201	25	COM		0.00	1.39	1.5
WILLIAMS, DAVID L. & ELAINE B.	104	16	RES		0.00	0.23	0.2
WILSON, DONALD A. & CHRISTINE D.	102	5	RES		0.00	1.60	1.5
WINKLER, MATTHEW & FRANCES	202	15	RES		13.00	13.50	13.1
WOODS, JOHN R. & LAURIE A.	102	43	RES		0.00	0.45	0.4
WRAY, JERRY W. & BARBARA E.	104	42	RES		0.00	0.22	0.2
YOUNG, GEORGE A., JR. & PATRICIA S.	104	24	RES		0.00	0.34	0.4
ZALANSKAS, KEVIN R. & ALLEYNE M.	102	19	RES		0.00	0.41	0.4
TOTALS					617.40	1631.11	1446.3

NAME	MAP	LOTNO	ZONDESC	ACRES	GIS_ACRES
ABBOTT, PAMELA S.	102	26.0	R-A	0.3	0.3
ABRAHAMSON, LEONARD W. & JOSEPHINE R.	101	17	R	1.8	1.8
ADAMS, SANDRA J.	103	22	R-A	0.5	0.5
ANDERSEN, CHRISTIAN T. & DONNA M.	207	14	R	2.1	2.1
AREND, LAWRENCE R. & PEGGY L.	102	81	R-A	1.9	1.9
BAIER, LYMAN O. & SHARON H.	102	64	R-A	0.2	0.4
BAIER, LYMAN O. & SHARON H.	102	64	I	0.2	0.4
BATEMAN, VINCENT J., SR. & PAULINE G.	201	21	C	1.4	1.4
BEATTIE, EDWARD B.	102	15	R-A	0.4	0.4
BEATTY, DEBORAH J.	102	6	R-A	0.4	0.4
BEATTY, THOMAS N. & MARCIA P.	204	16	R	2.0	2.0
BEDDIE, CYNTHIA S.	203	13	R	3.3	3.3
BEGIEBING, ROBERT J. & LINDA A.	101	14	R	2.6	2.9
BEGIEBING, ROBERT J. & LINDA A.	101	14	R	0.3	2.9
BEHANNA, JAMES K. & CATHERINE B.	207	18	R	2.4	3.1
BEHANNA, JAMES K. & CATHERINE B.	207	18	R-A	0.6	3.1
BERGERON, GLENN A. & DAVID G.	202	26	C	0.1	0.4
BERGERON, GLENN A. & DAVID G.	202	26	I	0.3	0.4
BERNIER CORPORATION	104	22	R-A	0.3	0.3
BERNIER, EDWARD P. & ELAINE M.	104	15	R-A	0.1	0.2
BERNIER, EDWARD P. & ELAINE M.	104	15	R	0.1	0.2
BOND, LAWRENCE S. & JENNIE L.	202	2	C	0.1	2.1
BOND, LAWRENCE S. & JENNIE L.	202	2	R	1.2	2.1
BOND, LAWRENCE S. & JENNIE L.	202	2	R	0.3	2.1
BOND, LAWRENCE S. & JENNIE L.	202	2	R	0.5	2.1
BONNER, RICHARD E. & SUSAN C.	209	3	R	2.3	2.5
BONNER, ROBERT E., SR.	209	4	R	0.7	2.0
BONNER, THE ESTATE OF MYRTLE	104	52	R	0.7	0.7
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	201	1	R-A	0.2	1.7
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	201	1	R	1.4	1.7
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	201	4	R-A	0.7	1.0
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	201	1	R-A	0.1	1.7
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	201	4	R	0.3	1.0
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	18	C	1.0	1.0
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	21	I	3.8	21.2
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	22	I	0.9	23.6
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	22	I	1.7	23.6
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	21	I	3.2	21.2
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	22	I	21.1	23.6
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	21	C	0.3	21.2
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	21	I	13.5	21.2
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	21	C	0.2	21.2
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	202	21	C	0.1	21.2
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	204	1.02	R	0.2	0.8
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	204	1.02	R	0.4	0.8
BOSTON & MAINE RAILROAD	204	1.02	I	0.1	0.8
BRAGG, WILLIAM C. & MARGARET E.	101	13	R	2.0	2.0
BROCKWAY, LOIS S.	101	15.2	R	2.3	2.8

NAME	MAP	LOTNO	ZONDESC	ACRES	GIS_ACRES
BROCKWAY, LOIS S.	101	15.1	R	2.6	2.6
BROCKWAY, LOIS S.	101	15.2	R	0.5	2.8
BROOKE, ANNE P.	102	46	R	0.6	0.6
BROOKE, ANNE P.	102	48	R	0.3	0.3
BROOKS, DOROTHY &	203	12	R	6.7	8.4
BROOKS, DOROTHY &	203	12	R	1.7	8.4
BROOKS, PHILLIPS F. & CYNTHIA E.	203	11	R	2.0	2.0
BURNETT, HOWARD F. & WENDY L.	104	41	R-A	0.2	0.2
BUXTON, RAY P.	104	29	R-A	0.3	0.3
BUXTON, RAY P. & EDNA M.	102	63	R-A	0.1	0.1
BUXTON, RAY P. & EDNA M.	102	63	I	0.1	0.1
BUXTON, WILLIAM G.	104	46	R-A	0.2	0.2
BUXTON, WILLIAM G.	104	45	R-A	0.2	0.2
BUXTON, WILLIAM G.	104	44	R-A	0.2	0.2
CALEY ASSOCIATES	202	8.2	C	1.8	9.2
CALEY ASSOCIATES	202	8.2	C	0.2	9.2
CALEY ASSOCIATES	202	8.2	C	7.3	9.2
CALL, RAYMOND E. & JUDITH	102	70	R-A	0.2	0.2
CAPRON, RAE & RICHARD B.	202	8.1	C	2.1	9.3
CAPRON, RAE & RICHARD B.	202	8.1	C	2.8	9.3
CAPRON, RAE & RICHARD B.	202	8.1	I	0.2	9.3
CAPRON, RAE & RICHARD B.	202	8.1	C	1.1	9.3
CAPRON, RAE & RICHARD B.	202	8.1	R	1.7	9.3
CAPRON, RAE & RICHARD B.	202	8.1	R	1.2	9.3
CAPRON, RAE & RICHARD B.	202	8.1	R	0.1	9.3
CASWELL, CLIFFORD A. & HAM, JUDITH M.	103	18	R-A	0.1	0.2
CASWELL, CLIFFORD A. & HAM, JUDITH M.	103	18	R	0.1	0.2
CHAFFEE, COLLEEN C.	104	53	R	2.0	2.6
CHAFFEE, COLLEEN C.	104	53	R-A	0.6	2.6
CHAPMAN, MARJORIE	202	10	C	0.4	0.4
CHENEY, WALTER W.	201	7	R-A	0.6	0.6
CHICK, JOHN A. & DOROTHY P.	102	8	R-A	0.6	0.6
CLAPP, DAVID D. & LINDA B.	204	4	R	1.1	1.1
CLARK, JOANNE J.	202	24	I	4.3	20.6
CLARK, JOANNE J.	202	24	R	0.7	20.6
CLARK, JOANNE J.	202	24	R	5.1	20.6
CLARK, JOANNE J.	202	24	R	1.2	20.6
CLARK, JOANNE J.	202	24	I	1.1	20.6
CLARK, JOANNE J.	202	24	R	5.0	20.6
CLARK, JOANNE J.	202	24	I	3.1	20.6
CLEGG, GORDON & KATHERINE	104	27	R-A	0.2	0.2
CLUNE, ROBERT J.	103	16	R-A	0.1	0.1
CLUNE, ROBERT J.	103	16	R	0.0	0.1
COES, STEVEN & BETSY ANN	101	9	R	0.1	1.1
COES, STEVEN & BETSY ANN	101	9	R-A	1.0	1.1
CONNER BOTTLING WORKS	203	17	R	2.5	19.8
CONNER BOTTLING WORKS	203	17	R	4.0	19.8
CONNER BOTTLING WORKS	203	17	R	0.4	19.8

NAME	MAP	LOTNO	ZONDESC	ACRES	GIS_ACRES
CONNER BOTTLING WORKS	203	17	R	13.0	19.8
CONNER, ALFRED, JR.	204	15	R	34.4	35.0
CONNER, ALFRED, JR.	206	2	R	21.1	22.3
CONNER, ALFRED, JR.	206	2	R	1.2	22.3
CONNER, THOMAS H. & JEANNE M.	102	11	R-A	0.4	0.4
CORBIN, JUNE L. & CHAPMAN, JOHN I.	101	19	R	1.1	1.1
COTE, ARMAND & BARBARA E.	201	11	R-A	18.3	21.1
COTE, ARMAND & BARBARA E.	201	11	R	2.7	21.1
COTE, CHRISTOPHER J. & BRENDA J.	207	11	R	2.3	2.3
CRONSHAW, KENNETH	104	26	R-A	0.3	0.3
CRONSHAW, WALTER R. & JULIA	101	5	R-A	0.2	0.2
CROSS, ADRIAN & CECILE	101	16	R	1.5	1.5
CROWLEY, PHILIP M. & MARIA A.	103	17	R-A	0.1	0.3
CROWLEY, PHILIP M. & MARIA A.	103	17	R	0.2	0.3
CUNNINGHAM, CHARLES W. & MADELYN D.	103	12	R-A	0.3	1.3
CUNNINGHAM, CHARLES W. & MADELYN D.	103	12	R	1.0	1.3
D & G CONSTRUCTION	103	5.3	R	3.0	3.0
D & G CONSTRUCTION	207	10	R	2.6	2.6
DALEY, STEPHANY T.	202	19	C	2.2	2.2
DALRYMPLE, HERBERT R. & RACHAEL C.	201	2	R	1.3	4.8
DALRYMPLE, HERBERT R. & RACHAEL C.	201	2	R-A	3.5	4.8
DAVIS, PHILLIP A., JR. & ANN W.	204	13	R	2.4	2.7
DAWSON, LEE & CWIKLA, KRISTINE	104	38	R-A	0.2	0.2
DAWSON, WILLIAM R. & DOROTHY M.	104	43	R-A	0.2	0.2
DE RHAM, MARGARET M. & KELLY, BRIAN R.	207	29	R	3.0	3.0
DEVANTRY, ROBERT J. & NINA R.	204	9	I	1.0	1.0
DEVEREAUX, PAULINE & JOHN	102	31	R-A	0.5	0.5
DIAMENT, JOSEPH & PATTI S.	102	24	R-A	0.4	0.4
DIMOCK, FREDERICK C. & JEAN A.	207	25	R	1.9	1.9
DIXON, CARL & KATHLEEN	206	4	R	3.3	3.4
DIXON, CARL & KATHLEEN	206	4	R-A	0.1	3.4
DRINKWATER, GEORGE H. & TONI	102	50	R	0.1	0.1
DUMAIS, MONICA & ROY, ALFRED L. &	202	23	R	6.0	7.6
DUMAIS, MONICA & ROY, ALFRED L. &	202	23	R	1.5	7.6
DUMAIS, MONICA & ROY, ALFRED L. &	202	23	R	0.2	7.6
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	102	88-90	R	1.9	2.4
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	102	88-90	R-A	0.5	2.4
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	27	R	2.0	2.0
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	45	R	1.9	1.9
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	44	R	2.0	2.0
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	46	R	1.8	1.8
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	43	R	2.0	2.0
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	42	R	1.9	1.9
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	41	R	2.0	2.0
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	40	R	1.9	1.9
DUNLIN WOODS REALTY CORPORATION	202	39	R	1.9	1.9
EDGERLY, LAWRENCE R. & PATRICIA D.	201	15	R-A	1.1	1.5
EDGERLY, LAWRENCE R. & PATRICIA D.	201	15	R	0.5	1.5

NAME	MAP	LOTNO	ZONDESC	ACRES	GIS_ACRES
ELLIOTT, EMILY	104	34	R-A	0.2	0.2
EVANS, JOHN R. & DOROTHY Z.	205	1	R	8.6	8.6
FAVARA, JAMES C. & NANCY	104	40	R-A	0.3	0.3
FERMERY, CAROL	203	10	R	0.8	0.8
FINN, EDWIN R.	204	3	R	7.7	7.7
FINN, JOHN J. & BARBARA	204	14	R	60.0	63.1
FINN, JOHN J. & BARBARA	204	14	R	0.2	63.1
FISHER, JERRY H. & ROSE M.	103	13	R-A	0.2	1.5
FISHER, JERRY H. & ROSE M.	103	13	R	1.3	1.5
FLOYD, ETHEL M.	102	73	R-A	0.2	0.2
FOLEY, MARK D. & LYNNE P.	103	7	R-A	0.8	0.8
GEBO, DONALD H. & PATRICIA M.	207	24	R	2.1	2.1
GILBERT, ETHEL	104	23	R-A	0.3	0.3
GLASS, DEBRA R.	102	57	I	0.3	0.3
GLASS, ROSS VERNON & CAROL B.	103	20	R-A	0.5	0.5
GLENN, JEFFREY L.	101	29	R	0.5	0.5
GOERNER, ROBERT & DORIS	104	56	R	3.2	4.1
GOERNER, ROBERT & DORIS	104	56	R-A	0.8	4.1
GOLDSMITH, SHEILA B.	203	15	R	20.9	64.9
GOLDSMITH, SHEILA B.	203	15	R	10.9	64.9
GOLDSMITH, SHEILA B.	203	15	R	16.6	64.9
GOLDSMITH, SHEILA B.	203	15	R	16.5	64.9
GOLDSMITH, SHEILA B.	203	14	R	5.5	5.5
GOODRIDGE, RICHARD H. & JO-ANN	103	6	R-A	0.8	0.8
GOSSELIN, ROLAND R. & JUDITH L.	207	27	R	1.9	1.9
GOUGH, WILLIAM	104	47	R-A	0.2	0.2
GOVE, SCOTT & CHERYL	102	77	R-A	0.5	0.5
GRANT, MICHAEL D. & LEAH	207	16	R	2.1	2.1
GREAT BAY CAMPING VILLAGE INC.	201	20	C	5.5	26.8
GREAT BAY CAMPING VILLAGE INC.	201	20	R-A	20.5	26.8
GREAT BAY CAMPING VILLAGE INC.	201	20	R	0.8	26.8
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	18	R	3.3	5.5
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	18	R	1.6	5.5
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	18	R	0.6	5.5
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	7	R	0.2	0.3
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	7	R	0.1	0.3
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	8	R	0.2	3.7
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	8	R	3.2	3.7
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	8	R	0.2	3.7
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	9	R	0.7	0.7
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	8	R	0.1	3.7
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	6	R	3.0	4.8
HACKETT, THOMAS R. & MARY R.	203	6	R	1.8	4.8
HALLINAN, ROBERT J.	102	68	R-A	0.3	0.3
HALLINAN, ROBERT J. & BARBARA A.	206	9	R	2.1	2.8
HARDIN, RICHARD C. & DIANE J.	104	14	R	0.2	0.3
HAUSHEL, BRUCE W. & JOANNE L.	201	5	R-A	6.6	8.1
HAUSHEL, BRUCE W. & JOANNE L.	201	5	R	1.1	8.1

NAME	MAP	LOTNO	ZONDESC	ACRES	GIS_ACRES
HAUSHEL, BRUCE W. & JOANNE L.	201	5	R	0.3	8.1
HAUSHEL, BRUCE W. & JOANNE L.	201	5	waterbody	0.1	8.1
HAYDEN, JAMES & BARBARA	201	23	C	19.2	36.7
HAYDEN, JAMES & BARBARA	201	23	R-A	14.5	36.7
HAYDEN, JAMES & BARBARA	201	23	R	2.9	36.7
HAYDEN, JAMES & BARBARA	201	24	C	0.2	0.2
HAYDEN, MARK L. & SUE E.	102	27	R-A	0.5	0.5
HEATH, LARRY & JOYCE	101	33	R-A	0.7	0.7
HENNESSEY, FREDERICK R.	102	72	R-A	0.2	0.2
HERLIHY, BEATRICE T.	102	69	R-A	0.2	0.2
HETT, LESLIE & LETTY	102	34	R-A	0.2	0.2
HETT, LESLIE & LETTY	102	35	R-A	0.3	0.3
HEYL, JAMES T. & NANCY S.	204	18	R	10.7	10.7
HIGLEY, THEODORE N. & DAWN A.	204	12	I	1.4	2.4
HIGLEY, THEODORE N. & DAWN A.	204	12	R	0.9	2.4
HILL, TERRY D, & CHERYL L.	207	28	R	2.1	2.1
HIROSS, EDWARD & LINDA B.	102	28	R-A	0.4	0.4
HOCHSCHWENDER, DAVID J. & CHRISTINE A.	207	26	R	1.9	1.9
HODGENS, HOWARD J. & LORRAINE M.	104	31	R-A	0.3	0.3
HOGE, DONALD E. & DONNA K.	103	5.4	R	3.2	3.2
HOLMES, PAUL R.	102	38	R-A	0.1	0.1
HOLMWOOD, FRANK & MIRIAM	101	6	R-A	2.0	2.0
HOLMWOOD, FRANK & MIRIAM	101	30&31	R-A	0.2	0.2
HOWCROFT, DONALD H.	204	6	R	1.8	1.8
HOWCROFT, THOMAS H. & MARGARET R.	204	7	R	3.5	3.5
HOYT, CARL B. & DOROTHY M.	101	28	R	0.6	0.6
HOYT, JUDSON W.	207	6	R	74.2	74.5
HOYT, JUDSON W.	207	6	R	0.3	74.5
HUGHES, STEPHEN E. & SHARON	207	13	R	2.5	2.5
HULL, ELIZABETH E.	102	9	R-A	0.7	0.7
HULL, ELIZABETH E.	102	45	R	0.2	0.2
IANNICELLI, KATHIE P.	102	36	R-A	0.3	0.3
JACKSON, RALPH W. & MARGARET	201	19	C	2.7	2.7
JOURDENIAS, RICHARD & COLLEEN D.	104	36	R-A	0.2	0.2
KAUFMANN, TERESA M. & WAYNE R.	203	16	R	1.9	1.9
KEEP, WESLEY R. & CONSTANCE L.	104	17	R-A	0.6	1.1
KEEP, WESLEY R. & CONSTANCE L.	104	17	R	0.5	1.1
KELLEY, ROBERT J. & CAROL B.	202	16	C	1.5	1.5
KENICK, PAULINE F.	103	21	R-A	2.2	6.6
KENICK, PAULINE F.	103	21	R	4.3	6.6
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	102	56	I	8.6	8.6
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	102	51	I	0.2	1.4
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	102	51	R	1.0	1.4
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	102	51	waterbody	0.2	1.4
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	102	52	R	2.4	3.1
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	102	52	waterbody	0.7	3.1
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	204	5	R	7.8	12.3
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	204	1	R	3.8	27.5

NAME	MAP	LOTNO	ZONDESC	ACRES	GIS_ACRES
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	204	1	I	23.6	27.5
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	204	8	R	7.2	13.0
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	204	5	I	4.5	12.3
KINGSTON-WARREN CORP.	204	8	I	5.8	13.0
KNIPSTEIN, BRIAN J.	102	67	R-A	0.2	0.3
KNIPSTEIN, BRIAN J.	102	67	I	0.1	0.3
KNIPSTEIN, HARRIETT	102	66	R-A	0.1	0.2
KNIPSTEIN, HARRIETT	102	66	I	0.1	0.2
KURTH, SYLVIA	102	12	R	0.9	1.7
KURTH, SYLVIA	102	12	R-A	0.9	1.7
LA BONTE, LIONEL & GRETA	201	13	R	8.0	117.9
LA BONTE, LIONEL & GRETA	201	13	R-A	108.4	117.9
LA BONTE, LIONEL & GRETA	201	13	R	0.2	117.9
LA BONTE, LIONEL & GRETA	201	13	waterbody	1.2	117.9
LA BRANCHE, RAYMOND & JEANNETTE	101	10	R-A	0.8	0.8
LAGASSE, DAVID & ELAINE L.	104	19	R-A	0.7	0.7
LANE, FRANCIS F., JR. & SHEILA S.	102	18	R-A	0.2	0.2
LAWRENCE, O. KENT III	101	25	R	0.2	0.2
LE GAULT, ROBERT & LUCILLE	201	8	R-A	1.0	1.0
LE GAULT, ROBERT P. & DONNA E.	101	23	R	0.2	0.2
LINSCOTT, KENNETH W. & AUDREY E.	102	42	R	0.4	0.4
LLOYD OWEN, PAMELA H. & PIERS, S. C.	102	13	R-A	0.2	0.2
LONG, HAROLD F. & DOROTHY P.	201	9	R-A	0.5	0.5
LOOSE, JOHN C.	102	21	R-A	0.2	0.2
LYNCH, DONALD	102	78	R-A	0.4	0.4
LYNCH, DONALD	203	19	R	2.5	3.2
LYNCH, DONALD	203	19	R	0.7	3.2
MACDONALD, TIMOTHY S. & PATRICIA A.	104	18	R-A	0.3	0.3
MACQUARRIE, MICHAEL J. & KATHIE E.	102	75.2	R-A	0.0	0.0
MAHER, MEGAN E. & DANIELS, MICHAEL A.	207	12	R	2.1	2.1
MARSHALL, THOMAS, JR. & KATHERINE	101	20	R	0.3	0.3
MARTIN, D. DAVID & LAURA HULL-MARTIN	102	79	R-A	0.8	0.8
MARTIN, D. DAVID & LAURA HULL-MARTIN	103	9	R	6.1	12.3
MASTROPIETRO, ARMAND R., JR.	202	12	C	1.5	1.5
MASTROPIETRO, ARMAND R., JR.	202	11	C	0.1	0.1
MATTHEWS, NANCY A.	103	15	R-A	0.1	0.2
MATTHEWS, NANCY A.	103	15	R	0.1	0.2
MCCARTHY, TIMOTHY C. & CONSTANCE M.	207	23	R	2.1	2.1
MCCLURE, MARK P. & LOUISA J.	101	26	R	0.4	0.4
MCGRAIL, MICHAEL D. & MARY P.	102	75.1	R-A	0.0	0.0
MCGRAIL, MICHAEL D. & MARY P.	207	30	R	2.1	2.1
MCLAUGHLIN, ROBERT H. & BARBARA A.	101	3	R-A	0.8	0.8
MEDLEY, WILLIAM N., JR. & KELLY M.	207	17	R	2.1	2.1
MICHAUD, BRETT	103	5.2	R	1.7	1.7
MICHAUD, KENT R.	201	10	R-A	0.7	0.7
MICHAUD, KENT R.	204	19.1	R	5.2	5.2
MICHAUD, RAYMOND, SR. & NORMA	104	25	R-A	0.3	0.3
MONAGHAN, ESTATE OF MARY	102	23	R-A	0.5	0.5

NAME	MAP	LOTNO	ZONDESC	ACRES	GIS_ACRES
MONAGHAN, FRANK	103	10	R-A	1.0	2.7
MONAGHAN, FRANK	103	10	R	1.7	2.7
MOORE, WESLEY T., JR. & SUZANNE	207	5	R	3.1	18.9
MOORE, WESLEY T., JR. & SUZANNE	207	5	R	14.0	18.9
MOORE, WESLEY T., JR. & SUZANNE	207	5	I	1.7	18.9
MOORE, WESLEY T., JR. & SUZANNE	207	4	I	3.3	20.1
MOORE, WESLEY T., JR. & SUZANNE	207	4	R	16.8	20.1
MOORE, WESLEY T., JR. & SUZANNE	207	31	R	2.0	2.0
MORGAN, THOMAS F.	207	33	R	3.2	3.2
MORSE, GERALD G. & ELIZABETH D.	102	3	R-A	0.4	0.4
MUSJAK, JOSEPH G. & SHAW, LESLIE J.	104	13	R	0.2	0.2
NATIONS CREDIT FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP.	101	22	R	0.2	0.2
NEAL, ALDEN	104	11	R-A	0.8	1.0
NEAL, ALDEN	104	11	R	0.2	1.0
NELSON, BARBARA L.	101	32	R-A	1.4	1.4
NELSON, CATHERINE M.	102	29	R-A	0.2	0.2
NERNEY, MICHAEL G.	104	33	R-A	0.2	0.2
NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE COMPANY	209	5	R	0.0	0.2
NEWLIN, ELIZABETH B.	102	84	R-A	0.9	3.4
NEWLIN, ELIZABETH B.	102	83	R-A	0.3	0.3
NEWLIN, ELIZABETH B.	102	84	R	2.5	3.4
NEWLIN, ELIZABETH B.	203	1	R	16.2	16.6
NEWLIN, ELIZABETH B.	203	1	I	0.3	16.6
NEWLIN, ELIZABETH B.	203	2	R	4.0	7.4
NEWLIN, ELIZABETH B.	203	2	R	3.1	7.4
NEWLIN, ELIZABETH B.	203	2	waterbody	0.4	7.4
NOEL, JACK E. & DOREEN F.	102	25	R-A	0.3	0.3
O'BRIEN, JOHN A.	102	41	R	0.3	0.3
O'BRIEN, JOHN A. & ALICE A.	102	40	R	0.7	0.7
O'DONNELL, JOAN	202	17	C	1.8	1.8
OLIVER, CHARLES B. & LUCIE A.	102	62	R-A	0.1	0.2
OLIVER, CHARLES B. & LUCIE A.	102	62	I	0.1	0.2
PALMER, PAUL K., JR. & ELIZABETH S.	101	1	R	1.1	2.7
PALMER, PAUL K., JR. & ELIZABETH S.	101	1	R-A	1.7	2.7
PARISH, LEWIS L. & GRACE A.	104	12	R-A	0.1	0.3
PARISH, LEWIS L. & GRACE A.	104	12	R	0.2	0.3
PARKMAN, THEODORE B. & FLOYD H.	204	2	R	3.5	3.5
PATRIDGE, HEIRS OF EVA	102	53	I	0.9	0.9
PEASLEE, DAVID H. & FRANCES P.	203	3	R	3.4	3.4
PELLETIER, KAREN J.	102	55	I	0.4	0.4
PELLETIER, RAYMOND J. & SUSAN E.	202	3	C	1.6	3.8
PELLETIER, RAYMOND J. & SUSAN E.	202	3	C	0.3	3.8
PELLETIER, RAYMOND J. & SUSAN E.	202	3	R	1.8	3.8
PERKINS, GREGORY C. & SANDRA N.	101	24	R	0.2	0.2
PERRY, CHRISTOPHER L. & ELIZABETH M.	102	17	R-A	1.0	1.0
PETERSON FAMILY TRUST	207	20	R	2.2	2.2
PHINNEY TRUST, THE BETTY PHINNEY TRUST	104	51	R	2.6	2.9
PHINNEY TRUST, THE BETTY PHINNEY TRUST	104	51	R-A	0.3	2.9

NAME	MAP	LOTNO	ZONDESC	ACRES	GIS_ACRES
PLANTE, MARGARET S.	102	16	R-A	0.1	0.1
PLOOF, MICHAEL F.	104	39	R-A	0.3	0.3
PORTER, NATHAN B. & MARGARET E. SMALL	101	11	R	0.8	0.8
PRO 2000 INC.	207	22	R	2.1	2.1
PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	201	22	C	0.1	0.1
PUGH, ROBERT M. & DIANE J.	101	21	R	0.3	0.3
QUINNEY, JAMES G. & PAULA E.	101	7	R-A	0.3	0.3
RANDLETT, MARK R. & MAUREEN L.	102	30	R-A	0.5	0.5
RANDLETT, RAYMOND & JANET	102	54	I	0.4	0.4
RAWSON, HERBERT F., SR.	102	61	R-A	0.1	0.3
RAWSON, HERBERT F., SR.	102	61	I	0.2	0.3
RAY, KEVIN D. & PATRICIA G.	207	15	R	2.3	2.3
RENNER, BARBARA A.	101	18	R	1.1	1.1
RIDGELY, ERNEST A. & BEVERLY	104	32	R-A	0.2	0.2
ROBINSON, WILLIAM R. & LEE J.	104	50	R-A	0.3	0.3
ROBINSON, WILLIAM R. & LEE J.	104	49	R-A	0.3	0.3
ROBINSON, WILLIAM R. & LEE J.	104	48	R-A	0.2	0.2
ROGERS, MARIAN E.	201	14	R-A	0.6	0.8
ROGERS, MARIAN E.	201	14	R	0.2	0.8
ROLLINS, KENNETH A. & SYLVIA J.	203	20	R	2.1	2.1
ROLLINS, NORMAN S. & SHIRLEY L.	103	19	R-A	0.2	0.2
ROY, BENJAMIN & MARILYNNE	202	25	R	0.1	0.5
ROY, BENJAMIN & MARILYNNE	202	25	I	0.3	0.5
RUGG, OLIVE L.	205	2	R	57.5	60.9
RUMFORD, JARED N. & WILHELMINE W.	102	4	R-A	0.2	0.2
RUMFORD, JARED N., JR. & CATHERINE A.	102	2	R-A	0.2	0.2
RYAN, HELEN S.	103	14	R-A	0.3	1.6
RYAN, HELEN S.	103	14	R	1.3	1.6
SACRED HEART CHURCH	101	4	R-A	1.1	1.1
SANCHEZ, MANUAL G. & JUDITH R.	207	21	R	2.1	2.1
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	1	R	39.2	42.9
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	1	R-A	0.7	42.9
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	6	R-A	0.1	2.7
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	6	R	2.4	2.7
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	5	R-A	0.1	0.9
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	5	R	0.8	0.9
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	4	R	0.3	0.3
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	3	R	3.1	3.1
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	6	R-A	0.1	2.7
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	7	R	0.2	0.2
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	8	R	0.2	0.2
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	9	R	0.2	0.2
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	10	R	0.2	0.2
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	6	R-A	0.1	2.7
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	2	R-A	0.1	0.4
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	2	R	0.3	0.4
SCANLON, EDWARD J.	104	1	R	0.5	42.9
SCHNEER, CECIL J. & MARY B., TRUST	102	47	R	11.2	15.1

NAME	MAP	LOTNO	ZONDESC	ACRES	GIS_ACRES
SCHNEER, CECIL J. & MARY B., TRUST	102	47	R	3.2	15.1
SCHNEER, CECIL J. & MARY B., TRUST	102	47	waterbody	0.7	15.1
SCOTT, JOHN S., JR. & JUDITH C.	207	19	R	2.4	2.4
SHARP, REGINALD H. & CHARLOTTE H.	102	58 & 59	I	0.7	0.9
SHARP, REGINALD H. & CHARLOTTE H.	102	58 & 59	R	0.2	0.9
SHAW, PAULINE R. & GEORGE J.	101	2	R-A	1.2	1.2
SHEEHY, FREDERICK B.	202	5	R	2.3	3.5
SHEEHY, FREDERICK B.	202	5	R	1.1	3.5
SLATTERY, ROBERT G. & KATHLEEN A.	104	37	R-A	0.3	0.3
SMITH, CARL F., SR., HELEN D. &	206	5	R	7.4	7.4
SMITH, FLORENCE	101	12	R	1.6	1.6
SMITH, GARY D. & SHARON L.	104	54	R	2.3	3.2
SMITH, GARY D. & SHARON L.	104	54	R-A	0.9	3.2
SOCIETY OF PROTECTION NH FORESTS	202	9	I	32.6	32.6
SOCIETY OF PROTECTION NH FORESTS	202	7	I	7.5	41.6
SOCIETY OF PROTECTION NH FORESTS	202	7	R	34.1	41.6
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	202	13	C	3.2	16.2
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	202	13	C	12.9	16.2
STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	202	13	C	0.2	16.2
STETSON, ELVIN & PEARL	102	60	R-A	1.0	1.3
STETSON, ELVIN & PEARL	102	60	I	0.2	1.3
STETSON, ELVIN & PEARL	102	60	R	0.1	1.3
STEVENS, MARY E.	102	82	R-A	1.0	1.0
STEWART, JACQUELINE	201	18	C	0.4	0.4
SUDDUTH, SOLON SCOTT & SUDDUTH, GAIL R.	102	14	R	4.9	6.5
SUDDUTH, SOLON SCOTT & SUDDUTH, GAIL R.	102	14	R-A	1.7	6.5
SWANSON, GORDON	201	17	C	1.7	1.7
SWEET, LYNNE P.	207	7	R	13.3	14.0
SWISHER, HELEN K.	203	21	R	3.8	3.8
TAYLOR, ALLEN S. & NANCY E.	102	32	R-A	0.2	0.2
TAYLOR, JEFFREY B.	206	8	R	3.6	3.6
TERRIO, MARGARET M.	204	17	R	2.2	2.2
THEOBOLD, LOUIS C. & JEAN H.	102	85	R-A	0.3	0.3
TOTH, TIBOR & DIANA	206	3	R-A	1.0	5.4
TOTH, TIBOR & DIANA	206	3	R	0.1	5.4
TOTH, TIBOR & DIANA	206	3	R	4.3	5.4
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	101	8	R	10.7	14.6
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	101	8	R-A	3.9	14.6
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	101	34	R	5.8	7.0
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	101	34	R	0.9	7.0
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	101	34	waterbody	0.3	7.0
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	20	R-A	1.2	1.2
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	10	R-A	1.1	1.1
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	1	R-A	2.8	2.8
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	7	R-A	0.1	0.1
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	74	R-A	0.1	0.1
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	80	R-A	0.2	0.2
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	87	R-A	2.0	3.8

NAME	MAP	LOTNO	ZONDESC	ACRES	GIS_ACRES
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	49	R	0.5	0.6
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	86	R-A	0.5	0.5
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	102	87	R	1.8	3.8
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	103	2	R-A	0.8	0.8
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	103	3	R-A	0.8	0.8
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	103	4	R-A	1.2	1.2
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	202	14	C	3.6	3.6
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	202	38	R	7.2	7.2
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	204	1.01	R	0.3	7.7
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	204	1.01	R	3.0	7.7
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	204	1.01	R	3.9	7.7
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	204	1.01	I	0.5	7.7
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	205	3	R	28.8	33.7
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	205	3	R	4.4	33.7
TOWN OF NEWFIELDS	206	1	R	3.4	3.4
TRIPP, HOMER G. & JEAN C.	202	1	R	0.5	0.9
TRIPP, HOMER G. & JEAN C.	202	1	R	0.4	0.9
TYLER, GEORGE P.	201	16.3	R-A	1.2	1.4
TYLER, GEORGE P.	201	16.3	C	0.2	1.4
TYLER, GEORGE P.	201	16.2	C	0.9	4.4
TYLER, GEORGE P.	201	16.2	R-A	3.5	4.4
TYLER, GEORGE P.	201	16.1	C	1.0	3.9
TYLER, GEORGE P.	201	16.1	R-A	2.8	3.9
UNIVERSALIST SOCIETY	102	76	R-A	0.2	0.2
VIEIRA, ROBERT F. & DIANE R.	102	39	R	0.8	0.8
WALKER, RICHMAN G.	207	8	R	2.0	3.9
WEBB, LYLE R. & SHIRLEY I.	102	37	R-A	0.5	0.5
WEBB, ROBERT	201	3	R-A	2.5	3.5
WEBB, ROBERT	201	3	R	1.0	3.5
WELLER, RICHARD J. & KATHERINE A.	101	27	R	0.5	0.5
WHITE, BRUCE D. & EILEEN R.	104	55	R	4.4	5.3
WHITE, BRUCE D. & EILEEN R.	104	55	R-A	0.9	5.3
WIDELL, WILLIAM D. & CATHEY J.	104	30	R-A	0.2	0.2
WILDER, DONALD A. & JANEWAY, BARBARA	103	11	R-A	0.3	1.1
WILDER, DONALD A. & JANEWAY, BARBARA	103	11	R	0.8	1.1
WILLIAMS, ALAN G. & JANET	104	28	R-A	0.2	0.2
WILLIAMS, CRAIG B.	201	25	C	0.9	1.5
WILLIAMS, CRAIG B.	201	25	R	0.6	1.5
WILLIAMS, DAVID L. & ELAINE B.	104	16	R-A	0.2	0.2
WILSON, DONALD A. & CHRISTINE D.	102	5	R-A	1.5	1.5
WINKLER, MATTHEW & FRANCES	202	15	C	12.6	13.1
WINKLER, MATTHEW & FRANCES	202	15	C	0.5	13.1
WOODS, JOHN R. & LAURIE A.	102	43	R	0.4	0.4
WRAY, JERRY W. & BARBARA E.	104	42	R-A	0.2	0.2
YOUNG, GEORGE A., JR. & PATRICIA S.	104	24	R-A	0.4	0.4
ZALANSKAS, KEVIN R. & ALLEYNE M.	102	19	R-A	0.4	0.4
TOTAL				1425.1	