https://doi.org/10.25923/org6-y073

Pacific Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program
Data Report[1]

Ecological monitoring 2018—reef fishes and benthic habitats of the Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument and American Samoa

K. McCoy[1], J. Asher[1], P. Ayotte[1], A. Gray[1], K. Lino[1], T. Kindinger[1], and I. Williams[2]

1 Joint Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research
University of Hawai`i at Manoa
1000 Pope Road
Honolulu, HI 96822

2 Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center
National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA Inouye Regional Center
1845 Wasp Boulevard, Building 176
Honolulu, HI 96818

[1] NOAA Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center
PIFSC Data Report DR-19-008
Issued 18 December 2018.

This report outlines some of the coral reef monitoring surveys conducted by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center's (PIFSC) Ecosystem Sciences Division (ESD) in 2018. This includes the following regions: Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument and American Samoa.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to all those onboard the NOAA Ship *Hi`ialakai* for their logistical and field support during the 2018 Pacific Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program (Pacific RAMP) research cruise and to the following divers for their assistance with data collection: Chelsie Counsell, Louise Giuseffi, Alice Lawrence, Jeff Milisen, Laura Rock, Rebecca Weible, and Tate Wester. We thank Rusty Brainard for his central role in developing and sustaining the Pacific RAMP, and the staff of NOAA PIFSC ESD for assistance in the field and data management. This work was funded by the NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program (CRCP), and PIFSC.

Report and figures compiled by K. McCoy, maps by P. Ayotte.

Acronyms

BSR	Benthic substrate ratio
CRCP	Coral Reef Conservation Program
ESD	Ecosystem Sciences Division
NCRMP	National Coral Reef Monitoring Program
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Pacific RAMP	Pacific Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program
PMNM	Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument
PRIA	Pacific Remote Island Areas
PRIMNM	Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument
SPC	Stationary Point Count

Contents

Introduction

Background

The Ecosystem Sciences Division (ESD) established a long-term monitoring program, known as the Pacific Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program (Pacific RAMP) in 2000. Pacific RAMP, which is supported by NOAA's Coral Reef Conservation Program (CRCP) and the Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center (PIFSC), is tasked with documenting and understanding the status and trends of coral reef ecosystems in the U.S. Pacific. Pacific RAMP monitors reef areas in the following regions: the Hawaiian and Mariana Archipelagos, American Samoa, and the Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument (PRIMNM, formerly Pacific Remote Island Areas—PRIA), which include Johnston and Wake Atolls and the U.S. Line and Phoenix Islands (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Coral reef areas surveyed by NOAA-ESD for Pacific RAMP. White areas represent the exclusive economic zones for each U.S. Pacific region surveyed.

Pacific RAMP encompasses interdisciplinary monitoring of oceanographic conditions and biological surveys of organisms associated with hard-bottomed habitats in the 0–30-m depth range. From 2000 to 2011, regions were surveyed on a biennial basis, changing to a triennial cycle in 2012, as part of the implementation of NOAA’s National Coral Reef Monitoring Program (NCRMP) funded by the NOAA CRCP (NOAA CRCP, 2014).

The NCRMP aims to support integrated, consistent, and comparable monitoring of coral reefs across all U.S.-affiliated regions. Partnership and cooperation with other federal and jurisdictional management groups is a core principle of the NCRMP. For example, NOAA’s Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (PMNM) conducts a subset of coral reef monitoring surveys in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands using similar survey designs and methods, with considerable overlap in observers and database management processes. Data gathered by PMNM is therefore readily merged with data gathered specifically for NCRMP by ESD.

The NCRMP has three themes: biological, climate, and socioeconomic monitoring. Under the biological monitoring theme, the Pacific RAMP collects the following benthic and reef-associated fish data: fish and coral demographic information (species, size, abundance, disease (coral only), bleaching (coral only)); and information on benthic composition and key species (see Appendix 1: Pacific RAMP data types collected for the biological theme of NCRMP). This report focuses on the data collected using the stationary point count method to survey the fish assemblage and paired rapid visual assessments of benthic composition (see Section: Methods). The Pacific RAMP collects additional, related benthic data via benthic transects (for more information see NCRMP 2014), which are not included in this report.

Monitoring scope and historical programmatic changes

Pacific RAMP includes the following biological monitoring objectives:

- Gather information on and document the status and trends of coral reef fishes and benthic assemblages in the U.S. Pacific;
- Provide information on status and trends of coral reef taxa of ecological and economic importance;
- Generate data suitable for tracking and assessing changes in reef assemblages in response to human, oceanographic, or environmental stressors; and
- Generate data suitable for evaluating the effectiveness of specific management strategies, and to support appropriate adaptive management.

These objectives are based on the key monitoring questions for NCRMP and the CRCP support for baseline observations and monitoring (refer to NCRMP 2014 and NOAA CRCP 2009 for more details).

Pacific RAMP involves monitoring over very large spatial scales: ~ 40 islands and atolls spread over thousands of kilometers. The target of Pacific RAMP biological monitoring under NCRMP is to provide periodic snapshot assessments of coral reef assemblages at U.S.-affiliated islands in the Pacific, with the core reporting unit being at the island scale (or sub-island scale for large islands), and as such the survey design and effort are optimized to generate data at the spatial scale of islands and atolls. The NCRMP is therefore explicitly a “wide-but-thin” survey program, with the aim of generating large-scale, regional status and trend information of the Nation’s shallow water (0–30 m) coral reef ecosystems, to provide a broad-scale context and perspective to local jurisdictions and other survey programs.

In 2012, Pacific RAMP changed from surveying regions once every 2 years to once every 3 years. In addition to routine coral reef monitoring, most islands in American Samoa were also surveyed in 2016 as a stand-alone reef fish survey. The sampling design and methods used to monitor coral reef fish species and habitats for Pacific RAMP have evolved over time. More specifically, from 2000 to 2006, surveys were conducted at haphazardly located permanent sites using various belt transect methods. During 2007 to 2009, ESD and PMNM conducted comparative reef fish surveys using both the belt transect and the stationary point count (SPC) methods, and incorporated a stratified random sampling survey design. Survey replication (i.e., the number of sites sampled) greatly increased over this period, and this higher level of replication has been maintained (Appendix 2: Surveys per region per year and method used). Following this methods calibration period, from 2009 onwards, the SPC method and depth-stratified random sampling were applied routinely in Pacific RAMP for surveying reef fishes and associated benthic communities.

Report structure

This report summarizes the reef fish survey data and a subset of the benthic data collected by the ESD for Pacific RAMP survey missions in 2018. During 2018, surveys were conducted in the following regions: Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument and American Samoa. The status of reef fish assemblages in each region is first described in the wider Pacific context (Section: U.S. Pacific reefs: the status of reef fishes), and later described at the island scale. By collecting data using the same methods over time, we are able to look at time series for the two regions monitored in 2018. For the regional comparison, data from 2009–2018 were averaged. Even though the ESD began collecting data in 2000, given the substantial changes in methods and design used for the reef fish assemblage surveys, this section shows observations collected since 2009. After this point, the reef fish assemblage surveys for Pacific RAMP were consistently conducted using the SPC method under a depth-stratified random sampling design.

In the final section, the publications that were produced in 2018 as a result of those surveys are listed; these publications either use the Pacific RAMP fish data, or were co-authored by ESD members listed as co-authors on this report and are relevant to Pacific RAMP's ecological monitoring of fishes.

All data used in this report along with other monitoring data collected by ESD are available upon request to nmfs.pic.credinfo@noaa.gov.

Methods

Sampling domain and design

The target sampling domain is hard-bottom habitat in water shallower than 30 m. All islands/atolls within regions are stratified by reef zone (backreef, forereef, lagoon, protected slope) and depth zone: shallow (>0–6 m), mid (>6–18 m), and deep (>18–30 m). For the large majority of cases, entire islands or atolls are stratified by habitat and depth as described above; however, for populated large islands or where large portions of an island are under fundamentally different levels of management (e.g., inside or outside marine protected areas), there is an additional level of stratification based on "sector" (section of coastline and/or management status; Appendix 3: Sector maps). Specifically, Guam is subdivided into three sectors: "Marine Preserve" (being all areas within Guam's Marine Preserve System); "Guam Open East" (areas outside of Marine Preserves on the east side of Guam); and "Guam Open West" (areas outside of Marine Preserves on the west side of Guam). Furthermore, the generally larger, main Hawaiian Islands, and Tutuila of American Samoa, are divided into between two and seven sectors per island, with sector boundaries designed to reflect broad differences in oceanographic exposure, reef structure, and local human population density (Appendix 3: Sector maps). Finally, some of the smaller, more closely spaced islands are always pooled into single reporting and sampling units (i.e., Alamagan, Guguan, and Sarigan in the Mariana Archipelago; Ofu and Olosega in American Samoa; and Ni`ihau and Lehua in the main Hawaiian Islands). Due to their small size, these island groups are only allocated a limited number of sea days per cruise, and therefore total sampling effort per island is inadequate to report out data at the island level. Details of sectors and sampling effort on survey cruises covered by this report are given in Appendix 4: Samples per sector and strata in 2018.

Table 1. Sampling terms and definitions.

Term	Definition
Sample site data	The average values of estimated observed quantities from the SPC surveys conducted at each site. These are typically derived from a single pair of simultaneous surveys. Sites are tied to geographic coordinates.
Reporting unit	A collection of sample sites, typically an island or atoll, and in some cases small island groups or sectors of larger islands.
Sampling domain	Hard-bottom habitat in water less than 30 m depth.
Strata	Reef zone (backreef, forereef, lagoon, protected slope) Depth zone (shallow > 0–6 m[1], mid >6–18 m, deep >18–30 m) Sectors (e.g., management units and stretches of coastline with broadly similar habitat attributes and local human population density).

[1] For practical reasons, sites in which the center point of the survey cylinder is shallower than 1.5 m are not surveyed.

Site selection

Prior to each survey mission, sample site locations are randomly drawn from geographic information system (GIS) habitat and strata maps (Figure 2). That is, the latitude and longitude of site locations are randomly drawn from a map of the entire sampling domain.

Maps used in the site selection procedure were created using information from the NOAA National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science, reef zones (e.g., forereef) digitized from IKONOS satellite imagery or nautical charts, bathymetric data from the ESD-affiliated Pacific Islands Benthic Habitat Mapping Center at the University of Hawai`i at Mānoa, and prior knowledge gained from previous visits to survey locations.

During cruise planning, logistics and weather conditions factor into the allocation of monitoring effort around each island or atoll. Prior to the cruises, these constraints determine the area of target habitat from which sites are randomly selected; for instance, one side of an island may be deemed unsurveyable given seasonal wave conditions or ESD's allocation of sea days aboard the NOAA research vessel may curtail the time spent in a particular area. The density of sites that are sampled per stratum is therefore determined by proportionally allocating effort (e.g., the number of sites to be surveyed) based on a weighting factor calculated from the area per stratum per reporting unit and the variance of the target output metrics (e.g., consumer group biomass and total fish biomass; see Section: Fish groupings), combined with time constraints of ship time allotted per island or atoll.

During field operations on a research cruise, if a site is not suitable (e.g., soft- as opposed to hard-bottomed habitat) or accessible (e.g., due to inclement sea conditions), the dive is aborted and an alternate (backup) site is picked from the randomized list. In some cases, the spatial coverage of sampling sites around the entire area of target sampling domain is incomplete. As such, any inferences about coral reef fish assemblages and habitat made at the island-scale are only representative of the areas surveyed (Appendix 4: Samples per sector and strata in 2018). For further details on the methods and maps used to select sites, see Williams et al. (2011) or the Ecosystem Sciences Division Standard Operating Procedures: Data Collection for Rapid Ecological Assessment Fish Surveys (Ayotte et al., 2015).



Figure 2. An example of the benthic habitat and depth strata information used in the site selection process. Reef fish survey sites are randomly selected within each depth stratum. Survey effort is allocated to optimize island-scale biomass estimates. Prior to surveying, a series of primary sites are selected. Each circle identifies a site which falls on hard substrata (green) in the three depth strata (see map legend, shallow: <6 m, mid: >6–18 m and deep: >18–30 m). An alternate set of depth-stratified sites is also generated in the event that primary sites are not suitable or accessible.

Sampling methods

At each reef fish survey site, two types of data are collected; visual counts of the fish assemblage and surveys of the benthic habitat.

Counting and sizing reef fishes

The SPC protocol closely follows that used by Ault and colleagues (Ault et al., 2006) and involves a pair of divers conducting simultaneous counts in adjacent, visually estimated 15-m-diameter cylindrical plots extending from the substrate to the limits of vertical visibility (Figure 3). Prior to beginning each SPC pair, a 30-m line is laid across the substratum. Markings at 7.5 m, 15 m and 22.5 m enable survey divers to locate the midpoint (7.5 m or 22.5 m) and two edges (0 m and 15 m; or 15 m and 30 m) of their survey plots. Each count consists of two components. The first of these is a 5-min species enumeration period in which the diver records the taxa of all species observed within their cylinder. At the end of the 5-min period, divers begin the tallying portion of the count, in which they systematically work through their species listing and record the number and estimated size (total length, TL, to the nearest cm) of each individual fish. The tallying portion is conducted as a series of rapid visual sweeps of the plot, with one species-grouping counted per sweep. To the extent possible, divers remain at the center of their cylinders throughout the count. However, small, generally site-attached and semi-cryptic species, which tend to be under-represented in counts made by an observer remaining in the center of a 7.5-m radius cylinder, are left to the end of the tally period, at which time the observer swims through their plot area carefully searching for those species. In cases where a species is observed during the enumeration period but is not present in the cylinder during the tallying period, divers record their best estimates of size and number observed in the first encounter during the enumeration period and mark the data record as "non-instantaneous." Surveys are not conducted if horizontal visibility is < 7.5 m, i.e., when observers cannot distinguish the edges of their cylinder (see Ayotte et al., 2015).



Figure 3. Side view of the stationary point count method. Dive partners count and size fishes within adjacent cylinders measuring 7.5 m in radius. Once the fish survey is complete, divers estimate benthic habitat composition and a benthic photo-transect is collected, spanning the two cylinders.

Assessing benthic habitat characteristics

Two complementary methods are used to assess benthic composition within the same area where fish are surveyed. The first involves divers conducting a rapid visual assessment of the percentage cover of major functional categories of benthic cover, and the second involves collecting photo-quadrat images of the benthos taken along the survey transect line that are later analyzed (Figure 3). The rapid visual assessment method provides a coarse but immediate estimate of benthic composition. In contrast, the photo-quadrat surveys provide estimates of benthic composition at a higher taxonomic or functional resolution, but only after substantial post-survey data processing.

Benthic visual assessment

After completing the fish survey, both divers scan the benthos in their survey cylinder for 2–3 min and visually estimate the percentage cover of encrusting algae, upright macroalgae, hard coral, and sand. Divers also estimate the slope, broad habitat type, and structural complexity (Ayotte et al., 2015). Divers record reef habitat complexity by visually estimating the percentage of the cylinder that falls into the following levels of vertical relief: < 0.20 m, 0.20–0.50 m, 0.50–1 m, 1–1.5 m, and > 1.5 m. The abundance of free urchins (e.g., *Tripneustes, Heterocentrotus, Diadema,* and *Echinothrix)* and boring urchins (e.g., *Echinometra* and *Echnostrephus*) is also rapidly visually assessed and recorded on a DACOR scale (Dominant, Abundant, Common, Occasional, Rare). Finally, divers identify the broad-scale habitat type for the general area of the survey. The habitat classification scheme follows the geomorphological structures as identified by the Biogeography Branch of the NOAA National Ocean Service National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science. The coral reef and hard-bottom habitat types are: aggregate reef, individual patch reef, aggregated patch reefs, spur and groove, pavement, pavement with sand channels, pavement with patch reefs, sand with scattered coral/rock, reef rubble, and rock / boulder (Kendall and Poti, 2011). These visual assessments are used to estimate a benthic substrate ratio (BSR). This ratio indicates the balance between benthic components that contribute to reef accretion (coral and crustose coralline algae) and the other components of the hard-bottom (i.e., non-sand) substrate.

Photo-quadrat survey

With the fish survey and rapid benthic visual assessment completed, one diver takes photographs of the benthos at 1-m intervals along the transect line (30 photographs per site; Figure 3). A 1-m PVC stick is used to position a digital camera (Canon PowerShot G9X, 20.2 megapixel) directly above the substrate to frame an area of ~ 0.7 m^2 per photograph. These images are archived for future analysis.

Our primary benthic assessment method is the photo-quadrat survey because it is a proven standard method and because it allows benthic composition to be identified to a higher resolution. However, due to a lag in analyzing the photo-images, only the visual assessment data are shown in this report. Visual survey data have been shown to be generally comparable to photo-quadrat survey data, with some caveats (McCoy et al., 2015). However, we stress that benthic trends from rapid visual surveys should be considered indicative at best.

Data entry and storage

Data were entered into a custom data entry application built with Oracle Application Express, and stored in an Oracle mission-specific database. Upon completion of the monitoring cruise, all data were migrated to an existing master Oracle database that is stored on a server at the Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center.

Data quality control

Data quality control is implemented at three main stages:

- Prior to conducting fish surveys for Pacific RAMP, each observer takes the full training course.[2] In between field data collections, observers undergo regular and routine size estimation practice and fish identification tests (Figure 4: Pre-field).

[2] https://www.pifsc.noaa.gov/cred/survey_methods/fish_surveys/rapid_ecological_assessment_of_fish-survey_method_training.php

- Checking for errors at the data entry stage (Figure 4: In the field). This occurs on the cruise when observers check the data entered by their dive partner against their datasheet for typing and potential sizing errors. At the end of the cruise, a series of error checking scripts are run prior to migrating from the mission Oracle database to the master Oracle database (Figure 4: Post-field).
- Examining diver estimation accuracy. This occurs during and after the monitoring cruise when diver estimates are compared between dive partner pairs (Figure 4: In the field). Observer comparisons from the regions surveyed in 2017 are in Appendix 5: SPC Quality control: Observer cross-comparison.



Figure 4. The training, data collection, data processing, and reporting phases for Pacific RAMP SPC surveys.

Data handling

Calculating fish biomass and benthic cover estimates per site

Using the count and size estimate data collected per observer in each replicate survey, the body weight of individual fish is calculated using length-to-weight (LW) conversion parameters, and, where necessary, length-length (LL) parameters (for example, to convert TL to fork length [FL] for species with LW parameters based on FL). LW and LL conversion parameters were taken from FishBase (Froese and Pauly, 2010; Kulbicki et al., 2005). Biomass per fish is calculated using the standard length-weight equation. Herein, the term "biomass" refers to the aggregate body weight of a group of fishes per unit area (g m^{-2}). Site is the base sample unit, and the estimated biomass of fishes per site is calculated by taking the mean value from the paired SPC surveys, and in cases where more than one SPC paired survey is conducted, data from matched members of each pair are first averaged before pair-specific results are averaged to create site estimates. Similarly, the mean percentage cover estimates per benthic functional group and complexity measures are calculated as site-level means.

Fish groupings

In this report, species data are summarized at several different levels: consumer group, size class (only at the region scale), total fish biomass ("all fishes"), parrotfish biomass, and average total length (only at the island level). Consumer groups are: "primary consumers" (herbivores and detritivores); "secondary consumers" (omnivores and benthic invertivores); "planktivores"; and "piscivores," with classifications based on diet information taken largely from FishBase (Froese and Pauly, 2010). The size classes used at the region scale are 0–20, 20–50 and > 50 cm TL. Size classes for parrotfish are 10–30 and > 30 cm TL, as 30 cm is the legal minimum size for fishing on all islands (except Maui).

Generating island-scale estimates from the stratified design

Summary statistics (e.g., mean and variance) of survey quantities (e.g., biomass) are calculated by first averaging values within each stratum before calculating the reporting unit values. A weighted average method to calculate summary statistics is used because survey strata vary in size within each reporting unit.

Estimates of the mean and variance for each survey quantity considered are calculated based on the observed values at sampled sites within each stratum. Then, aggregate estimates of the quantities across all strata are calculated using the formulas below. For example, with respect to biomass we have:

(1) pooled mean biomass (*X*) across S strata: $X = \sum_1^S (X_i * w_i)$ and;

(2) pooled variance of mean biomass (*VAR*) across S strata: $VAR = \sum_1^S (VAR_i * w_i^2)$

where X_i is the estimate of mean biomass within stratum i, VAR_i is the estimated variance of X_i, and w_i is the stratum-weighting factor. Strata weighting factors were based on the size of strata, i.e., if a stratum is 50% of the total habitat area surveyed at an island, its weighting factor will be 0.5, and total of all weighting factors in an island sums to 1 (Smith et al. 2011).

In this report, only data from sites surveyed under the stratified sampling design are used, i.e., data collected from 2009 onwards; Appendix 6: Random stratified sites surveyed at each island per year. In the rare cases where fewer than two sites were surveyed in a stratum during a reporting period, these sites were removed from the island-scale parameter estimates for that period.

To assess Pacific-wide patterns in reef fish assemblages, statistics of total fish biomass (i.e., all fishes) and biomass within each consumer group and size class (mean and variance) are calculated per island per year and then averaged across years. In the section on U.S. Pacific reefs, summary graphs and metrics were generated from data collected since 2009 (see Section: U.S. Pacific reefs: the status of reef fish).

Island-scale values for total fish biomass (i.e., all fishes) and biomass per consumer group and parrotfish size class (mean and variance) are calculated by year (see Section: Region and island status and trends). For analysis purposes, MHI data from years 2010 and 2012 were pooled, and data from 2013 and 2015 were pooled. This is because the MHI are too large to be fully covered within single years; hence, different sections of coastline are sampled in different years. Data were also pooled for the NWHI for years 2016 and 2017 due to small sample sizes in 2017.

All data handling and analyses were performed using raw site data extracted from the NOAA ESD Oracle database, processed using a set of routine processing scripts written in R (R Development Core Team 2011; Figure 4: post field), and visualized using the ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2016). The site-level data used to generate all figures and summary statistics are available upon request.

U.S. Pacific reefs: the status of reef fishes

This section summarizes variation in reef fish community biomass across the following U.S. Pacific island regions: Northwestern Hawaiian Islands (NWHI), main Hawaiian Islands (MHI), the Mariana Archipelago, Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument (PRIMNM), and American Samoa. The islands and atolls in the regions surveyed span broad biogeographic, geologic, oceanographic, and human-impact gradients. Thus, patterns in the biological community will be influenced by a combination of these factors. There will also be within-island habitat variability that affects the reef fish assemblages surveyed. For instance, several islands contain a variety of habitat types, including forereef, lagoon, and backreef habitats, and for the purpose of this pan-Pacific comparison, only forereef data are presented.

At the region scale, the highest mean total fish biomass (2009–2018) was recorded in the Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument (mean ± standard error: 130.5 ± 4.9 g m^{-2}), followed in decreasing order by the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands (116.1 ± 5.0 g m^{-2}), the Northern Mariana Archipelago (70.2 ± 4.1 g m^{-2}), American Samoa (47.3 ± 1.4 g m^{-2}), the main Hawaiian Islands (29.3 ± 1.1 g m^{-2}), and the Southern Mariana Archipelago (19.1 ± 0.8 g m^{-2}; Figure 5: All fishes). Fish biomass is summarized by consumer group and size class in Figures 5 and 6 and Table 2. The regional mean (± standard error) values for total fish biomass and biomass per size class that are reported in this section are plotted as reference points for visual comparison in the following Region and island status and trends section.

Consumer groups



Figure 5. Mean fish biomass by consumer group per US Pacific reef area. Mean fish biomass (± standard error) per consumer group per reef area pooled across survey years (2009–2018). Islands are ordered within region by latitude See Appendix 4 and Appendix 6 for the sampling density per strata at each island by year. NWHI = Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, MHI = main Hawaiian Islands, N. Mariana = Northern Mariana Archipelago, S. Mariana = Southern Mariana Archipelago, PRINMN = Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument, Samoa = American Samoa, Sec. cons. = secondary consumers (omnivores and invertivores), Pri. cons. = primary consumers (herbivores), P&H = Pearl and Hermes, FFS = French Frigate Shoals, FDP = Farallon de Pajaros, AGS = Alamagan, Guguan, and Sarigan islands, O&O = Ofu and Olosega islands.

Size classes



Figure 6. Mean fish biomass per size class per US Pacific reef area. Mean fish biomass (± standard error) per size class (0–20, 20–50 and > 50 cm in total length (TL)) per reef area are pooled across survey years (2009–2018). Islands are ordered within region by latitude. See Appendix 4 **and** Appendix 6 **for the sampling density per strata at each island by year. NWHI = Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, MHI = main Hawaiian Islands, N. Mariana = Northern Mariana Archipelago, S. Mariana = Southern Mariana Archipelago, PRINMN = Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument, Samoa = American Samoa, P&H = Pearl and Hermes, FFS = French Frigate Shoals, FDP = Farallon de Pajaros, AGS = Alamagan, Guguan, and Sarigan islands, O&O = Ofu and Olosega islands, TL = total length.**

Table 2. Mean fish biomass (2009–2018) with standard error in parentheses for all fish biomass, biomass per consumer group and per size class for forereef habitat. NWHI = Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, MHI = main Hawaiian Islands, N. Mariana = Northern Mariana Archipelago, S. Mariana = Southern Mariana Archipelago, PRIMNM = Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument, Samoa = American Samoa, Sec. consumers = secondary consumers (omnivores and invertivores), Pri. consumers = primary consumers (herbivores), TL = total length.

Region	Sites[1]	All fishes	Piscivores	Sec. consumers	Pri. consumers	Planktivores	0–20 cm TL	20–50 cm TL	> 50 cm TL
NWHI	775	116.1 (5)	78.8 (4)	8.3 (0.4)	16 (0.6)	5.6 (0.7)	12 (0.5)	21.7 (1.1)	78.7 (4.3)
MHI	1167	29.3 (1.1)	4.6 (0.4)	7.0 (0.2)	12.6 (0.5)	3.7 (0.4)	10.2 (0.4)	15.5 (0.7)	3.0 (0.5)
N. Mariana	535	70.2 (4.1)	25.1 (2.3)	9.5 (0.4)	20.5 (0.7)	12.4 (1.2)	17.9 (0.5)	33.8 (1.5)	17.4 (2.7)
S. Mariana	666	19.1 (0.8)	3.5 (0.5)	4.5 (0.2)	8.5 (0.4)	2.2 (0.1)	10.9 (0.2)	5.6 (0.4)	2.5 (0.5)
PRIMNM	895	130.5 (4.9)	66.4 (3.4)	12.7 (0.5)	24.7 (0.9)	17.6 (0.9)	26.3 (0.7)	41.2 (1.5)	60.5 (3.8)
Samoa	1119	47.3 (1.4)	8.0 (0.6)	8.4 (0.3)	21.2 (0.5)	8.4 (0.5)	21.3 (0.4)	20.2 (0.8)	5.3 (0.8)

[1] The number of forereef sites surveyed during 2009–2018.

Region and island status and trends

This section summarizes SPC data collected at each island between 2010 and 2018, when comparable methods were used. For each island within a region, maps illustrate the SPC site-level data from 2012–2018 (2007–2010 site locations can be found in earlier reports, but are not shown in this report to prevent overcrowding of the maps), and a standard set of graphs shows summary information on the fish and benthic community at the habitat and island scale for each year-grouping, starting with 2010. On each fish biomass graph for the forereef habitat, a reference line indicates the region-wide mean estimate across all surveyed years, provided as a relevant regional comparison for island-level estimates. Fish biomass estimates are shown for each year surveyed of all fish, parrotfish in two size classes, and by consumer group. Total fish, consumer group and parrotfish biomass are core NCRMP indicators (NOAA NCRMP 2014). Large parrotfishes are believed to be important grazers, so parrotfish biomass is separately reported for two size groups: large (> 30 cm TL) and small (10–30 cm TL) fishes. Mean size per island and year is also reported, as mean size can be a useful indicator of fishing pressure; fishes smaller than 10 cm are excluded from that to reduce noise from variable levels of recent recruitment.

Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument (PRIMNM)

Baker Island

Baker Island was surveyed in 2010 (n = 21), 2012 (n = 24), 2015 (n = 36), and 2018 (n = 32).



Figure 7. Baker Island site survey data for 2012, 2015, and 2018 identified by year (top left). Total fish biomass recorded at each site per year (top right). Hard coral cover (%) assessed by rapid visual assessment (bottom left). Benthic substrate ratio (hard coral + crustose coralline algae) / (100 – (hard coral + crustose coralline algae + sand)) (bottom right).



Figure 8. Baker Island fish and benthic plots showing the biomass (g m^{-2} ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top), and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top) and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos. The Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument mean estimates of fishes are plotted for reference (red line).

Howland Island

Howland Island was surveyed in 2010 (n = 16), 2012 (n = 39), 2015 (n = 35), and 2018 (n = 29).



Figure 9. Howland Island site survey data for 2012, 2015, and 2018 identified by year (top left). Total fish biomass recorded at each site per year (top right). Hard coral cover (%) assessed by rapid visual assessment (bottom left). Benthic substrate ratio (hard coral + crustose coralline algae) / (100 – (hard coral + crustose coralline algae + sand)) (bottom right).



Figure 10. Howland Island fish and benthic plots showing the biomass (g m^{-2} ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top), and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top) and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos. The Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument mean estimates of fishes are plotted for reference (red line).

Jarvis Island

Jarvis Island was surveyed in 2010 (n=30), 2012 (n = 42), 2015 (n = 62), 2016 (n = 30), 2017 (n = 28), and 2018 (n = 39).



Figure 11. Jarvis Island site survey data for 2012, 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018 identified by year (top left). Total fish biomass recorded at each site per year (top right). Hard coral cover (%) assessed by rapid visual assessment (bottom left). Benthic substrate ratio (hard coral + crustose coralline algae) / (100 – (hard coral + crustose coralline algae + sand)) (bottom right).



Figure 12. Jarvis Island fish and benthic plots showing the biomass (g m^{-2} ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top), and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top) and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos. The Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument mean estimates of fishes are plotted for reference (red line).

Kingman Reef

Kingman Reef was surveyed in 2010 (n = 33), 2012 (n = 49), 2015 (n = 49), and 2018 (n = 40). Four habitats were surveyed: forereef, backreef, lagoon, and protected slope. Biomass estimates are shown for each habitat by all fish, parrotfish, and consumer group. Average total length and the major benthic groups are also shown for each habitat type.



Figure 13. Kingman Reef site survey data for 2012, 2015, and 2018 identified by year (top left). Total fish biomass recorded at each site per year (top right). Hard coral cover (%) assessed by rapid visual assessment (bottom left). Benthic substrate ratio (hard coral + crustose coralline algae) / (100 – (hard coral + crustose coralline algae + sand)) (bottom right).

The forereef habitat was surveyed in 2010 (n = 11), 2012 (n = 15), 2015 (n = 17), and 2018 (n = 9).



Figure 14. Kingman reef fish and benthic plots showing the biomass (g m^{-2} ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top), and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top) and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos, for forereef habitat only. The Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument mean estimates of fishes are plotted for reference (red line).

The lagoon habitat was surveyed in 2010 (n = 8), 2012 (n = 11), 2015 (n = 7), and 2018 (n = 10).



Figure 15. Kingman reef fish and benthic plots showing the biomass ($g\ m^{-2}$ ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top) and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top) and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos, for lagoon habitat only. No reference lines are shown due to small sample sizes.

The protected slope habitat was surveyed in 2010 (n = 7), 2012 (n = 11), 2015 (n = 18), and 2018 (n = 11).



Figure 16. Kingman reef fish and benthic plots showing the biomass ($g\ m^{-2}$ ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top) and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top), and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos, for protected slope habitat only. No reference lines are shown due to small sample sizes.

Palmyra Atoll

Palmyra Atoll was surveyed in 2010 (n = 40), 2012 (n = 42), 2015 (n = 78), and 2018 (n = 50).



Figure 17. Palmyra Atoll site survey data for 2012, 2015, and 2018 identified by year (top left). Total fish biomass recorded at each site per year (top right). Hard coral cover (%) assessed by rapid visual assessment (bottom left). Benthic substrate ratio (hard coral + crustose coralline algae) / (100 – (hard coral + crustose coralline algae + sand)) (bottom right).



Figure 18. Palmyra Atoll fish and benthic plots showing the biomass (g m^{-2} ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top), and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top) and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos, for forereef habitat only. The Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument mean estimates of fishes are plotted for reference (red line).

American Samoa

Ofu and Olosega Islands

Ofu and Olosega Islands were surveyed in 2010 (n = 30), 2012 (n = 30), 2015 (n = 52), 2016 (n = 11), and 2018 (n = 25). Due to their proximity, these islands were analyzed together.



Figure 19. Ofu and Olosega Islands site survey data for 2012, 2015, 2016, and 2018 identified by year (top left). Total fish biomass recorded at each site per year (top right). Hard coral cover (%) assessed by rapid visual assessment (bottom left). Benthic substrate ratio (hard coral + crustose coralline algae) / (100 – (hard coral + crustose coralline algae + sand)) (bottom right).



Figure 20. Ofu and Olosega Islands fish and benthic plots showing the biomass (g m^{-2} ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top), and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top) and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos. The American Samoa mean estimates of fishes are plotted for reference (red line).

Rose Atoll

Rose Atoll was surveyed in 2010 (n = 34), 2012 (n = 48), 2015 (n = 47), 2016 (n = 47), and 2018 (n = 20). Two habitats were surveyed: forereef and lagoon. Biomass estimates are shown for each habitat by all fish, parrotfish, and consumer group. Average total length and the major benthic groups are also shown for each habitat type.



Figure 21. Rose Atoll site survey data for 2012, 2015, and 2018 identified by year (top left). Total fish biomass recorded at each site per year (top right). Hard coral cover (%) assessed by rapid visual assessment (bottom left). Benthic substrate ratio (hard coral + crustose coralline algae) / (100 – (hard coral + crustose coralline algae + sand)) (bottom right).

The forereef habitat was surveyed in 2010 (n = 24), 2012 (n = 33), 2015 (n = 37), 2016 (n = 47), and 2018 (n = 16).



Figure 22. Rose Atoll fish and benthic plots showing the biomass (g m^{-2} ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top), and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top) and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos, for forereef habitat only. The American Samoa mean estimates of fishes are plotted for reference (red line).

The backreef habitat was surveyed in 2010 (n = 6), 2012, (n = 15), 2015 (n = 5), and 2018 (n = 4).



Figure 23. Rose Atoll fish and benthic plots showing the biomass (g m^{-2} ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top), and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top) and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos, for backreef habitat only. No reference lines are shown due to small sample sizes.

Swains Island

Swains Island was surveyed in 2010 (n = 24), 2012 (n = 38), 2015 (n = 32), and 2018 (n = 30).



Figure 24. Swains Island site survey data for 2012, 2015, and 2018 identified by year (top left). Total fish biomass recorded at each site per year (top right). Hard coral cover (%) assessed by rapid visual assessment (bottom left). Benthic substrate ratio (hard coral + crustose coralline algae) / (100 – (hard coral + crustose coralline algae + sand)) (bottom right).



Figure 25. Swains Island fish and benthic plots showing the biomass (g m^{-2} ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top), and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top) and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos. The American Samoa mean estimates of fishes are plotted for reference (red line).

Tau Island

Tau Island was surveyed in 2010 (n = 24), 2012 (n = 22) 2015, (n = 46), 2016 (n = 50), and 2018 (n = 28).



Figure 26. Tau Island site survey data for 2012, 2015, 2016, and 2018 identified by year (top left). Total fish biomass recorded at each site per year (top right). Hard coral cover (%) assessed by rapid visual assessment (bottom left). Benthic substrate ratio (hard coral + crustose coralline algae) / (100 – (hard coral + crustose coralline algae + sand)) (bottom right).



Figure 27. Tau Island fish and benthic plots showing the biomass (g m^{-2} ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top), and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top) and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos. The American Samoa mean estimates of fishes are plotted for reference (red line).

Tutuila Island

Tutuila Island was surveyed in 2010 (n = 127), 2012 (n = 85), 2015, (n = 162), 2016 (n = 77), and 2018 (n = 81).



Figure 28. Tutuila Island site survey data for 2012, 2015, 2016, and 2018 identified by year (top). Total fish biomass recorded at each site per year (bottom).



Figure 29. Tutuila Island site survey data for 2012, 2015, 2016, and 2018. Hard coral cover (%) assessed by rapid visual assessment (top). Benthic substrate ratio (hard coral + crustose coralline algae) / (100 – (hard coral + crustose coralline algae + sand)) (bottom).



Figure 30. Tutuila Island fish and benthic plots showing the biomass (g m^{-2} ± SE) of fishes observed in total, per parrotfish size class (top), and per consumer group (middle), as well as mean size (cm TL, top) and the percentage cover (± SE) of the benthos. The American Samoa mean estimates of fishes are plotted for reference (red line).

Publications, information products, and data requests 2018

The following products published in 2018 were either produced using biological data collected during Pacific RAMP and related monitoring surveys, or were coauthored by members of the ESD listed as co-authors on this report.

Blogs

Wrapping up marine debris operations at Pearl and Hermes Atoll
https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/science-blog/wrapping-marine-debris-operations-pearl-and-hermes-atoll

Sea Tales of Deep Dives and Shallow Reefs
https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/science-blog/sea-tales-deep-dives-and-shallow-reefs

How Many Fish are Being Caught from the Reefs near the Shores of Hawaii?
https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/science-blog/how-many-fish-are-being-caught-reefs-near-shores-hawaii

Monitoring briefs

Ecosystem Sciences Division, Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries. 2018. Pacific Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program Fish Monitoring Brief: Swains, Tutuila, Ofu and Olosega, Tau Islands, and Rose Atoll, 2018. Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, PIFSC Data Report, DR-18-009, 2 p. https://doi.org/10.25923/npqt-xh37.

Ecosystem Sciences Division, Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries. 2018. Pacific Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program Fish Monitoring Brief: Jarvis Island, Palmyra Atoll, and Kingman Reef, 2018. Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, PIFSC Data Report, DR-18-013, 2 p. https://doi.org/10.25923/76pw-5d45.

Ecosystem Sciences Division, Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries. 2018. Pacific Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program. Fish Monitoring Brief: Howland, Baker, and Swains Islands, 2018. Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, PIFSC Data Report, DR-18-010, 2 p. https://doi.org/10.25923/f5sp-pv56.

Ecosystem Sciences Division, Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries. 2018. Pacific Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program. Fish monitoring brief: Southern Mariana Islands, 2017. Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, PIFSC Data Report, DR-18-005, 2 p. https://doi.org/10.7289/V5/DR-PIFSC-18-005.

Ecosystem Sciences Division, Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries. 2018. Pacific Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program. Fish monitoring brief: Northern Mariana Islands, 2017. Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, PIFSC Data Report, DR-18-004, 2 p. https://doi.org/10.7289/V5/DR-PIFSC-18-004.

Reports

Heenan A, Asher J, Ayotte P, Goropse K, Giuseffi L, Lino K, McCoy K, Zamzow J, Williams I. 2018. Pacific Reef Assessment and Monitoring Program. Fish Monitoring Brief: Jarvis Island Time Trends, 2008–2017. Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, PIFSC Data Report, DR-18-003, 5 p. https://doi.org/10.7289/V5/DR-PIFSC-18-003.

McCoy K, Heenan A, Asher J, Ayotte P, Gorospe K, Gray A, Lino K, Zamzow J, Williams I. 2018. Pacific Reef Assessment Monitoring Program data report: ecological monitoring 2017: reef fishes and benthic habitats of the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument, and the Mariana Archipelago.

Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, PIFSC Data Report, DR-18-008, 74 p. https://doi.org/10.7289/V5/DR-PIFSC-18-008.

Scientific publications

Cinner JE, Marie E, Huchery C, MacNeil MA, Graham NAJ, Mora C, McClanahan TR, Barnes ML, Kittinger JN, Hicks CC, D'Agata S, Hoey AS, Gurney GG, Feary DA, Williams ID, et al. 2018. Gravity of human impacts mediates coral reef conservation gains. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 115(27):E6116-E6125. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708001115.

Donovan MK, Friedlander AM, Lecky J, Jouffray J-B, Williams GJ, Wedding LM, Crowder LB, Erickson AL, Graham NAJ, Gove JM, Kappel C V., Karr K, Kittinger JN, Norström A V., Nyström M, Oleson KLL, Stamoulis KA, White C, Williams ID, Selkoe KA (2018) Combining fish and benthic communities into multiple regimes reveals complex reef dynamics. Sci Rep 8:16943.

Friedlander AM, Donovan MK, Stamoulis KA, Williams ID, Brown EK, Conklin EJ, DeMartini EE, Rodgers KS, Sparks RT, Walsh WJ (2018) Human-induced gradients of reef fish declines in the Hawaiian Archipelago viewed through the lens of traditional management boundaries. Aquat Conserv Mar Freshw Ecosyst 28:146–157.

Gorospe KD, Donahue MJ, Heenan A, Gove JM, Williams ID, Brainard RE. 2018. Local biomass baselines and the recovery potential for Hawaiian coral reef fish communities. Frontiers in Marine Science. 5:162. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00162.

Harborne AR, Green AL, Peterson NA, Beger M, Golbuu Y, Houk P, Spalding MD, Taylor BM, Terk E, Treml EA, Victor S, Vigliola L, Williams ID, Wolff NH, zu Ermgassen PSE, Mumby PJ (2018) Modelling and mapping regional-scale patterns of fishing impact and fish stocks to support coral-reef management in Micronesia. Divers Distrib 24:1729–1743.

McCoy KS, Williams ID, Friedlander AM, Ma H, Teneva L, Kittinger JN. 2018. Estimating nearshore coral reef-associated fisheries production from the main Hawaiian Islands. PLOS ONE. 13(4):e0195840. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195840.

Robinson JPW, Williams ID, Yeager LA, McPherson JM, Clark J, Oliver TA, Baum JK (2018) Environmental conditions and herbivore biomass determine coral reef benthic community composition: implications for quantitative baselines. Coral Reefs 37:1157–1168.

Stamoulis KS, Delevaux JMS, Williams ID, Poti M, Lecky J, Costa B, Kendall MS, Pittman SJ, Donovan MK, Wedding LM, Friedlander AM. 2018. Seascape models reveal places to focus coastal fisheries management. Ecological Applications. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1696.

Weijerman M, Gove JM, Williams ID, Walsh WJ, Minton D, Polovina JJ. 2018. Evaluating management strategies to optimise coral reef ecosystem services. Journal of Applied Ecology. 00:1-11. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13105.

Fish and benthic data requests

In 2018: 12 requests.

References

Ault JS, Smith SG, Bohnsack JA, Luo J, Harper DE, and McClellan DB, 2006. Building Sustainable Fisheries in Florida's Coral Reef Ecosystem: Positive Signs in the Dry Tortugas. Bulletin of Marine Science, 78 (3): 633-654.

Ayotte P, McCoy K, Heenan A, Williams I, Zamzow J, 2015. Coral Reef Ecosystem Program standard operating procedures: data collection for Rapid Ecological Assessment fish surveys. Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center Administrative Report H-15-07, 39 p.

Froese R and Pauly D, 2010. "Fishbase", World Wide Web electronic publication. http://www.fishbase.org/search.php

Kendall MS and Poti M (eds.), 2011. A Biogeographic Assessment of the Samoan Archipelago. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NCCOS 132. Silver Spring, MD. 229 p.

Kulbicki M., Guillemot N, and Amand M, 2005. A general approach to length-weight relationships for New Caledonian lagoon fishes. Cybium, vol. 29, 3, 235–252.

McCoy K, Williams I, Heenan A, 2015. A comparison of rapid visual assessments and photo-quadrat analyses to monitor coral reef habitats. Pacific Islands Fisheries Science Center, PIFSC Data Report, DR-15-011, 13 p. + Appendix.

NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program, 2009. Goals & Objectives 2010–2015, NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program. 40 p.

NOAA CRCP, 2014 National Coral Reef Monitoring Plan. NOAA Coral Reef Conservation Program. Silver Spring, MD. 40 p.
ftp://ftp.library.noaa.gov/noaa_documents.lib/CoRIS/CRCP/noaa_crcp_national_coral_reef_monitoring_plan_2014.pdf.

R Development Core Team, 2011. R: A Languange and Environment for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Richards BL, Williams ID, Nadon MO, and Zgliczynski BJ, 2011. A Towed-diver survey method for mesoscale fishery-independent assessment of large-bodied reef fishes. Bulletin of Marine Science 87 (1).

Smith SG, Ault JS, Bohnsack JA, Harper DE, Luo J, and McClellan DB, 2011. Multispecies survey design for assessing reef-fish stocks, spatially explicit management performance, and ecosystem condition. Fisheries Research 109(1):25–41.

Wickham H, 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.

Williams ID, Richards BL, Sandin SA, Baum JK, Schroeder RE, Nadon MO, Zgliczynski B, Craig P, McIlwain JL, Brainard RE, 2011. Differences in reef fish assemblages between populated and remote reefs spanning multiple archipelagos across the central and western Pacific. Journal of Marine Biology 2011, Article ID 826234, 14 p. DOI: 10.1155/2011/826234.

Appendices

Appendix 1: Pacific RAMP data types collected for the biological theme of NCRMP

Theme	Indicator	Method	Spatial sampling	Temporal scale
Benthos	Coral demographics and condition: species, abundance, size, bleaching, disease, mortality	Paired 18-m coral demographic transects	Stratified random sampling optimized for commercially and ecologically important fish and coral species in shallow (0–30 m) hard bottom areas. Strata include depth, habitat type, and management zone.	Surveys conducted every 3 years, all surveys generally conducted within the same 3-month season.
	Benthic percent cover	Paired 15-m photoquadrat transects		
	Benthic key species (presence/absence)	2000 × 10 m towed-diver survey		
	Rugosity			
Fish	Fish abundance, size, and species	Paired 15-m-diameter stationary point count (SPC) surveys		
	Fish key species	~ 2000 × 10 m^2 towed-diver survey		

Appendix 2: Surveys per region per year and method used

Table A2. 1. The number of belt transect and SPC sites surveyed per region per year. From 2000 to 2006 the belt transect method was used to survey coral reef fishes. During the calibration period that took place from 2006–2008, surveys were conducted using both the belt and the stationary point count (SPC) method. The SPC data collected prior to 2009 are not used in this report because sites were not selected based on the randomized depth stratified design (see Section: Methods). Furthermore, during the methods transition period, sites surveyed at the mid-depth strata in 2009 were the haphazardly selected, fixed sites selected in the previous years. Shallow and deep sites were randomly selected. Here we report all data from 2009 onwards, including the non-randomized mid-depth 2009 sites. In the future, these mid-depth sites should be excluded from any time series analysis.

Year	**2000-2005**	**2006-2008**	**2009**	**2010**	**2011**	**2012**	**2013**	**2014**	**2015**	**2016**	**2017**	**2018**
Region Method	**Belt**	**Belt & SPC**	**SPC**	**SPC**	**SPC**	**SPC**	**SPC**	**SPC**	**SPC**	**SPC**	**SPC**	**SPC**
N. Mariana	80	36	135	-	135	-	-	148	-	-	159	-
S. Mariana	59	60	116	-	219	-	-	198	-	-	172	-
main HI	73	243	-	184	-	163	287	-	294	257	-	-
NWHI*	298	366	203	118	141	91	-	89	96	182	92	-
PRIMNM	125	272	42	179	30	231	-	45	291	30	81	190
Am. Samoa	100	283	-	241	-	223	-	-	339	185	-	185

*In partnership with NOAA’s Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (PMNM), surveys have been conducted in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands on a more frequent, nearly annual basis.

Appendix 3: Sector maps

Tutuila

Tutuila has been divided into 4 main sectors (NE, NW, SE, SW), and 2 additional sectors for no-take sanctuary zones (Fagatele Bay, and Aunu'u Zone B) (Figure A3. 1).



Figure A3. 1. Tutuila sectors. Sectors were determined by the Biogeography Branch of the NOAA National Ocean Service National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science.

Appendix 4: Samples per sector and strata in 2018

Table A4. 1. The number of sites surveyed per depth strata and the sector used to pool the data in island level parameter estimates. For most islands, during the site selection process, the sector area from which site locations are randomly drawn are the islands. In some case, such as Tutuila, islands are broken down into smaller sectors. D = deep (>18–30 m), M = mid (>6–18 m), S = shallow (>0–6 m). Backreef site depths were pooled for analysis.

Region	Island	Sector	Backreef	Forereef-D	Forereef-M	Forereef-S	Lagoon-D	Lagoon-M	Protected Slope-D	Protected Slope-M
PRIAs	Baker	Baker	0	8	14	10	0	0	0	0
PRIAs	Howland	Howland	0	6	11	12	0	0	0	0
PRIAs	Jarvis	Jarvis	0	7	18	14	0	0	0	0
PRIAs	Kingman	Kingman	10	2	4	3	8	2	3	8
PRIAs	Palmyra	Palmyra	0	13	21	16	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Ofu & Olosega	Ofu & Olosega	0	9	13	3	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Rose	ROS_INNER	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Rose	ROS_SANCTUARY	0	3	9	4	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Swains	SWA_OPEN	0	4	3	3	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Swains	SWA_SANCTUARY	0	4	7	9	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Tau	TAU_OPEN	0	7	13	3	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Tau	TAU_SANCTUARY	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Tutuila	TUT_FAGALUA	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Tutuila	TUT_FAGATELE	0	2	4	2	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Tutuila	TUT_NE_OPEN	0	4	13	3	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Tutuila	TUT_NW_OPEN	0	6	12	5	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Tutuila	TUT_SE_OPEN	0	3	6	3	0	0	0	0
SAMOA	Tutuila	TUT_SW_OPEN	0	4	7	4	0	0	0	0

Appendix 5: SPC Quality control: Observer cross-comparison

Estimates are compared between dive partner pairs to check for consistency between observers. This can be done for any parameter estimated, but here total fish biomass, species richness (number of unique species counted), and hard coral cover estimates are highlighted, three of the most frequently reported summary metrics from the stationary point count survey data. The difference between the estimates of each diver and those of their dive partner at each site is calculated and referred to here as diver performance. Real differences between dive partners are expected, as divers survey adjacent, not the same cylinder area. However, if there is no consistent bias in the estimates made by a diver, one would expect the median value of their performance to be close to zero i.e., with estimates in half of the counts being higher than their partner's estimates and half of the counts lower than their partner's estimates. Boxplots of diver performance, therefore, give (1) a strong but general indication of relative bias; if there is no consistent bias, then the median differences between a single diver and their dive partners will be close to zero and (2) an indication of how variable each diver's counts are compared to their dive partners—if a particular diver's performance varies widely compared to their partner's (i.e., several very high and/or several very low counts) that may indicate variability in their performances. As dive teams are regularly rotated throughout the course of a survey mission, measures of individual diver's counts reflect their performance relative to the entire pool of other divers participating in those surveys. These boxplots are routinely generated during and after field operations to give divers feedback on their performance relative to their colleagues and are summarized here by region (Figure A5. 1 Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument 2018, Figure A5. American Samoa 2018).

Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument 2018



Figure A5. 1. Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument comparison of observer diver vs diver partner estimates for total fish biomass, species richness, and hard coral cover during 2018 surveys. The boxplot shows the median difference (thick vertical line) in estimates for each diver. The box represents the location of 50% of the data. Lines extending from each box are 1.5 times the interquartile range which represents approximately 2 standard deviations; points greater than this (outliers) are plotted individually (black dots).

American Samoa 2018



Figure A5. 2. American Samoa comparison of observer diver vs dive partner estimates for total fish biomass, species richness, and hard coral cover during 2018 surveys. See Figure A5. 1 legend for details.

Appendix 6: Random stratified sites surveyed at each island per year

Table A6. 1. The total number of sites surveyed per island (ordered by region) per year under the depth stratified random sampling design, using the stationary point count method to survey the fish assemblage.

Region	Island	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Northwestern HI	Kure	43	25	-	20	-	-	8	39	6	-	141
Northwestern HI	Midway	53	-	30		-	34	14	-	10	-	141
Northwestern HI	Pearl & Hermes	-	41	18	31	-	-	23	56	20	-	189
Northwestern HI	Lisianski	19	25	9	25	-	28	18	40	17	-	181
Northwestern HI	Laysan	14	-	23	-	-	-	8	-	11	-	56
Northwestern HI	Gardner	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
Northwestern HI	Maro	39	-	25	-	-	-	17	-		-	81
Northwestern HI	French Frigate	-	27	8	15	-	27	8	47	28	-	160
Northwestern HI	Necker	13	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21
Northwestern HI	Nihoa	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8
Main HI	Ni`ihau	-	16	-	-	26	-	49	12	-	-	103
Main HI	Kaua`i	-	26	-	-	37	-	20	30	-	-	113
Main HI	O`ahu	-	40	-	35	64	-	35	54	-	-	228
Main HI	Moloka`i	-	10	-	50	39	-	48	23	-	-	170
Main HI	Lana`i	-	16	-	29	29	-	15	26	-	-	115
Main HI	Maui	-	33	-	49	34	-	30	29	-	-	174
Main HI	Kaho`olawe	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	-	-	24
Main HI	Hawai`i	-	43	-	-	58	-	97	24	-	-	257
N. Mariana	Farallon de Pajaros	7	-	12	-	-	11	-	59	16	-	46
N. Mariana	Maug	21	-	30	-	-	40	-	-	38	-	129
N. Mariana	Asuncion	13	-	20	-	-	21	-	-	19	-	73
N. Mariana	Agrihan	14	-	20	-	-		-	-	19	-	53
N. Mariana	Pagan	21	-	29	-	-	43	-	-	40	-	133
N. Mariana	AGS	19	-	24	-	-	33	-	-	27	-	103
S. Mariana	Saipan	23	-	30	-	-	48	-	-	37	-	138
S. Mariana	Tinian	14	-	19	-	-	19	-	-	24	-	76
S. Mariana	Aguijan	6	-	13	-	-	10	-	-	17	-	46
S. Mariana	Rota	14	-	24	-	-	28	-	-	28	-	94
S. Mariana	Guam	25	-	133	-	-	104	-	-	66	-	328
PRIMNM	Wake	29	-	30	-	-	45	-	-	53	-	157
PRIMNM	Johnston	-	39	-	35	-	-	31	-	-	-	105
PRIMNM	Kingman	-	33	-	49	-	-	49	-	-	40	171
PRIMNM	Palmyra	-	40	-	42	-	-	78	-	-	50	210
PRIMNM	Howland	-	16	-	39	-	-	35	-	-	29	119
PRIMNM	Baker	-	21	-	24	-	-	36	-	-	32	113
PRIMNM	Jarvis	-	30	-	42	-	-	62	30	28	39	231
Am.Samoa	Swains	-	24	-	38	-	-	32	-	-	30	124
Am.Samoa	Ofu & Olosega	-	30	-	30	-	-	52	11	-	25	148
Am.Samoa	Tau	-	24	-	22	-	-	46	50	-	28	170
Am.Samoa	Tutuila	-	127	-	85	-	-	162	77	-	81	531
Am.Samoa	Rose	-	34	-	48	-	-	47	47	-	21	197

Contact us

We are committed to providing ecological monitoring information that is transparent, readily accessible and relevant to the sound management of coral reef resources. For data requests contact: nmfs.pic.credinfo@noaa.gov

Users of this data report, we would welcome your comments on how to improve the utility of this document for future versions. Comments or suggestions on the content of this annual data report may be submitted to: nmfs.pic.credinfo@noaa.gov with the subject line addressed: For the Attention of the Fish Team Lead.