QC 807.5 U66 no.400

NOAA Technical Report ERL 400-ARL 6



# NOAA Air Resources Laboratories

Stratospheric Lidar Project 1976 Results

Ronald W. Fegley

September 1978

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Environmental Research Laboratories NOAA Technical Report ERL 400-ARL 6



## NOAA Air Resources Laboratories

# Stratospheric Lidar Project 1976 Results

Ronald W./Fegley

Air Resources Laboratories/GMCC Boulder, Colorado

September 1978

MAR 8 1979 N.O.A.A. 807.5

mo. 400

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Juanita Kreps, Secretary

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Richard A. Frank, Administrator

Environmental Research Laboratories Wilmot Hess, Director

79

0828

#### NOTICE

Mention of a commercial company or product does not constitute an endorsement by NOAA Environmental Research Laboratories. Use for publicity or advertising purposes of information from this publication concerning proprietary products or the tests of such products is not authorized.

### CONTENTS

		]	Pa	ge
AE	3STRACT			1
1.	INTRODUCTION			1
2.	LIDAR SYSTEM DESCRIPTION			2
3.	DATA PROCESSING			3
4.	PERIODS OF DATA			4
5.	CALCULATION OF OTHER AEROSOL PARAMETERS			4
6.	DISCUSSION OF DATA			4
7.	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS			5
8.	REFERENCES			6
AF	PPENDIX			7

## NOAA AIR RESOURCES LABORATORIES STRATOSPHERIC LIDAR PROJECT 1976 RESULTS

#### **Ronald W. Fegley**

ABSTRACT. The NOAA Air Resources Laboratories have conducted a stratospheric measurement project since 1973 at the Mauna Loa Observatory in Hawaii (19.5° N, 155.6° W). Lidar soundings of stratospheric aerosols are taken biweekly, using a ruby laser with a wavelength of 694.3 nm. This report covers 1976. Nine profiles of the non-Rayleigh back-scatter coefficient are plotted and tabulated throughout the lower stratosphere from 16 to 25 km. These aerosols, which are of climatic importance, displayed a decreasing trend during 1976 as the stratosphere recovered from the October 1974 eruption of Fuego volcano in Guatemala (14.5° N, 91° W).

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

There is strong support within the meteorological community for the idea that substantial portions of the short-term fluctuations (one to several years) in global surface temperature may be caused by volcanically induced changes in the stratospheric aerosol burden (Mitchell, 1975).

There is theoretical evidence for the concept that increases in stratospheric aerosol will produce cooling at the earth's surface, assuming reasonable atmospheric parameters (Pollack et al., 1976; Coakley and Grams, 1976; Harshvardhan and Cess, 1976). Although the predictions vary depending upon the model, cooling of several tenths of a degree Celsius is typical for a moderate eruption such as that of Gunung Agung in Indonesia in 1963. Mitchell (1975) states that the typical waiting period for such an eruption is approximately 20 years.

There is some experimental support for these predictions. Mitchell (1970) discusses an analysis in which he estimated a cooling anomaly of approximately 0.1 °C for five years after a "typical" eruption. The temperature record he used extended from 1870 to 1960.

More recently, Oliver (1976) compared volcanic dust data with Northern Hemisphere temperature records and showed a fair correlation. Surface cooling anomalies were reproduced for several major volcanic events since 1881, and the relatively warm period from 1920 to 1940 is seen to be plausibly related to the scarcity of major volcanic eruptions. Hoyt (1978) has enhanced Oliver's analysis by finding evidence for volcanic injections in 1928 and 1932, which explains two temperature dips at those times in the record.

Mass and Schneider (1977) used the method of statistical compositing to study the relationship between surface temperature records and stratospheric dust concentrations. They detected a definite surface cooling following major volcanic injections.

The stratospheric aerosol layer normally fluctuates in intensity, particularly after major volcanic injections of sulfur-bearing gasses and debris (Cadle and Grams, 1975). Major penetrations of the stratosphere are produced by large eruptions, such as those of Indonesia's Krakatoa and Agung, and the residence time for these aerosols can easily be 1 to 2 years. More frequently, less intense eruptions can enhance the layer in the lower stratosphere, producing radiative perturbations of a few months' duration (Fegley and Ellis, 1975b).

In order to complete our understanding of this climatic phenomenon, it is necessary to acquire observational data on the amount, geographical distribution, and radiative properties of these volcanic clouds as they evolve. A common lament in the aforementioned papers concerns the dearth of observational data for the major eruptions during the period of reliable temperature data. It is necessary to speculate to a large degree about the dust cloud parameters for each event. With such poor data, the calculated temperature anomalies are subject to large error, and comparison with measured temperatures is difficult.

In order to be ready for future major eruptions, NOAA has planned a network of laser radar (lidar) systems to be located at its Geophysical Monitoring for Climatic Change baseline stations situated at various latitudes (NOAA, 1977). Lidar is a practical way to monitor the stratospheric aerosol layer and has shown good agreement with other sampling methods (Northam et al., 1974; Russell et al., 1976).

During 1972, a ruby Lidar system (Fegley et al., 1978) was installed at the NOAA Mauna Loa Observatory at 3.4 km altitude on the island of Hawaii (19°32' N, 155°35' W). A fortnightly observation schedule was established in April 1973, and has continued to the present. The data are now archived in Boulder, Colorado, and will be published periodically as NOAA/ERL Data Reports. Brief reports have appeared recently (NOAA, 1976; Fegley and Ellis, 1975a and b).

Lidar has an advantage over surface-based radiation measurements in the monitoring of stratospheric aerosol concentrations. With lidar, one can unambiguously state that the aerosols are in the stratosphere, whereas, with radiometers, the perceived radiation decreases may be due to low-altitude pollution, tropospheric aerosols, sub-visible cirrus, or other factors.

#### 2. LIDAR SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Briefly, the lidar system consists of the components shown in Fig. 1. The ruby laser fires a pulse of light into the atmosphere. This pulse typically has an energy of 1 joule, a duration of 30 nsec, and a peak power of 30 megawatts. The wavelength is 694.3 nm. The pulse is triggered by a signal from the master timing control box which is sent to the laser power supply. A photodiode at the back of the laser generates a pulse at the actual time of firing. This pulse triggers the transient digitizer which then begins to acquire data from the receiver system.

The signal backscattered from the atmosphere is collected by a Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope with an aperture of 40 cm diameter. The exiting bundle of rays is collimated with a positive lens. This light then passes through an interference filter having a 1 nm passband. The light is detected with an RCA model 7265 photomultiplier tube.

The resulting electrical signal is filtered through a sharp low-pass filter having a cutoff frequency of 4 MHz. It is then digitized and stored in the transient digitizer. Upon command, a NOVA minicomputer reads out the stored data and processes them. The raw data are then punched onto paper tape for archiving purposes and future analysis. The results of the analysis are printed on a teletype for archiving in Boulder. These results are also archived on magnetic tape at the National Climatological Center in Asheville, North Carolina.

For a complete system description, the reader is referred to Fegley et al. (1978).



Figure 1. Hardware arrangement for Mauna Loa lidar. (1)
40 cm diameter Schmidt Cassegrain telescope. (2) Collimating lens. (3) 1 nm bandpass interference filter.
(4) RCA 7265 photomultiplier tube. (5) Low pass filter, 24 dB/octave, cutoff at 4 MHz. (6) Biomation model 8100 transient digitizer. (7) NOVA model 2-10 minicomputer. (8) Teletype terminal-data output. (9) Low reflectance laser output mirror. (10) Ruby rod-flashlamp. (11) Pockels cell Q switch. (12) Brewster stack polarizer. (13) Maximum reflectance mirror. (14) Silicon photodiode laser beam detector. (15) Master timing control box. (16) Laser power supply. (17) Beam monitor integrator.

#### 3. DATA PROCESSING

Output data voltages from the lidar photomultiplier tube (PMT) are digitized and stored by a Biomation model 8100 transient digitizer (Fig. 1). This instrument has an eight-bit word so the ultimate precision of the stored data can be no better than approximately 0.5% of the digitizer full scale. This can produce large errors at long ranges where the signal may be comparable with the uncertainty. For this reason, we normally use only the region of the return signal above about 5% of full scale. Since the dynamic range of the return signal is several orders of magnitude for a complete profile from ground level to the midstratosphere, it is necessary to use some form of signal compression. Our technique consists of changing the PMT voltage between series of shots.

At Mauna Loa Observatory (MLO) we find that when the PMT voltage is adjusted properly, the output voltage passes through the 95% full scale point at about 13 km msl and through the 5% level at about 23 km msl. This is a desirable situation.

In the making of lidar observations it is very difficult to absolutely calibrate the system. The usual procedure is to assume that some level of the atmosphere is aerosol- and cloud-free and that the lidar return from that level is purely Rayleigh (Barrett and Ben-Dov, 1967). When the temperature-pressure profile of the atmosphere is known, it is possible to subtract the Rayleigh backscatter from the absolute returns to derive the excess, or non-Rayleigh, backscatter as a function of altitude.

At Mauna Loa, we have almost always found the clean layer at or slightly below the tropopause, at approximately 15.5 km msl (Fernald and Schuster, 1977), so the altitude levels reported above include the calibration altitude near the tropopause, as well as the lower stratosphere, within the range of acceptable accuracy.

We also adjust the baseline of our return pulses so that a scattering ratio of 1.0 is produced at the greatest range, typically 33 km. This compensates for any baseline shift or background noise. The adjustment is very slight and has little effect at the altitude region of interest. These adjustments guarantee that there will always be at least two regions of pure Rayleigh atmosphere, one at the longest range and another at some intermediate altitude, usually near the tropopause.

The digitizer takes 2048 samples of the return signal, usually at 15 m increments of range. Our

processor averages these by 20's to produce approximately 102 points along the atmospheric profile. This gives us a range resolution of 0.3 km. We usually take a series of 10 laser shots to produce a single profile, so each of our 102 final points consists of the average of 200 samples (10 shots  $\times$  20 points average per shot) of the return signal from the range of interest.

The 102 data points are then recorded on paper tape for archiving. The processor corrects the data for the  $1/R^2$  range effect, the atmospheric density as a function of range (from the U.S. Standard Tropical Atmosphere), and the Rayleigh extinction along the two-way path. The result is a relative profile of backscatter which is normalized, or calibrated, as described above.

These calibrated results are then tabulated between any desired altitude limits with any desired amount of spatial averaging greater than 0.3 km.

For this report we have included the data in two formats: a graph of non-Rayleigh backscatter at 1 km altitude intervals (Fig. 2), and a tabulation of the non-Rayleigh backscatter coefficient (NRBC) at 1 km intervals (Appendix).



Figure 2. Non-Rayleigh backscatter coefficient as a function of altitude. Data taken at Mauna Loa Observatory.

#### 4. PERIODS OF DATA

We attempted to make observations every other week in 1976. Excluding days of cloudy weather and periods of hardware malfunctioning, 18 data sets were taken. Nine of these were subsequently discovered to be unusable, either because of unrecognized equipment problems or operator errors. Three usable data sets were taken in January, two in March, one in April, one in May, and two in June.

During the second half of the year data-gathering was hampered by a computer breakdown, a major hardware reconfiguration, and a subtle problem with the digitizer. No data sets were taken after June. This is a common experience in field work using sophisticated instrumentation.

### 5. CALCULATION OF OTHER AEROSOL PARAMETERS

The lidar results are expressed in terms of the non-Rayleigh backscatter coefficient at 694.3 nm as a function of altitude. This is the physical quantity measured by the lidar. It includes an assumption that the backscatter corresponds to pure Rayleigh scattering at some level of the atmosphere where we can calibrate the system.

Some investigators may be interested in other physical parameters. One may calculate these by using an appropriate optical model or one may do simultaneous determinations of the two or more quantities of interest and thereby "calibrate" the lidar system. For example, Northam et al. (1974) compared lidar backscatter to dustsonde measurements and found an equivalence between the two. This "calibration" may be a stable value and therefore generally useful.

Investigations of the stratospheric aeroso! have shown that its physical properties tend to remain fairly constant over long time periods. By and large, the composition seems to be a 75% sulfuric acid solution. The particles may be supercooled droplets or at least liquid-coated so that they are near spherical, making Mie theory calculations reasonable. These assumptions are probably less valid after volcanic injections when there may be large quantities of tephra present; however, these quickly settle out.

There seems to be considerable variation among the size distributions measured by various investigators (Cadle and Grams, 1975). However, there does seem to be a tendency for dN/d(Log r)to have a slope of -2 through the optically important region of 0.1 to 1.0  $\mu$ m. Additionally, Pinnick et al. (1976) have shown that, for reasonable assumptions about the size distribution based upon their experimental data, the lidar backscatter is relatively insensitive to the exact size distribution. At least in the stratosphere, the "calibration" approach may be satisfactory over moderately long time and space intervals.

One such experiment was conducted by Northam et al. (1974) during 1972 in Laramie, Wyoming. They compared the data from a balloon-borne dustsonde (particles/cm<sup>3</sup>) with simultaneous lidar data (m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>3</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>). They found that, within the stratosphere, the lidar returns were proportional to the dustsonde data with a calibration factor of 1 particle/cm<sup>3</sup> corresponding to a backscatter coefficient of  $7 \times 10^{-9}$  m<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup> ±14%. This measurement was made at a time when stratospheric aerosol number densities were at rather low values, so it may be representative of times well after volcanic injections at mid-latitudes.

Russell et al. (1976) have calculated conversion coefficients for lidar data based on a stratospheric optical model. They assumed that the aerosol consisted of a 75%  $H_2SO_4$  aqueous solution with a spherical shape and a Deirmendjian Haze H size distribution. They calculated the lidar backscatter coefficient, extinction coefficient, and number and mass densities, and arrived at the following correlations:

- 1 particle/cm<sup>3</sup> number density is equivalent to 1.97 × 10<sup>-9</sup> m<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>.
- 0.01 km<sup>-1</sup> extinction coefficient is equivalent to  $1.3 \times 10^{-7}$  m<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>.
- 1  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> mass density is equivalent to 3.84 × 10<sup>-8</sup> m<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup>.

We plan to compare the results from the lidar observations with those from other instruments at opportune times. Such instruments might be balloon-borne particle counters (University of Wyoming), impactors (NASA, Ames), or radiometers (University of Alaska).

#### 6. DISCUSSION OF DATA

In general, the data show a trend toward clearing of the stratospheric aerosol that has been present since the small injection by Fuego volcano (Guatemala) in late 1974 (Fegley and Ellis, 1975a). The maximum non-Rayleigh backscatter coefficient went from an average of about  $12 \times 10^{-9}$  to about  $8 \times 10^{-9}$  m<sup>-1</sup> sr<sup>-1</sup> during the period of January 8 to June 14. The vertical extent of the layer was typically between 18 and 22 km msl.

There was no obvious indication of a stratospheric enhancement as reported by Shaw (1978). He concluded that the dust from St. Augustine volcano in Alaska (59.6° N, 153.7° W), which erupted during January 1976, had increased the atmospheric extinction above Mauna Loa. Large-scale eddy processes in the midlatitude probably would have removed much of the stratospheric debris from St. Augustine before it reached Hawaii. It is more likely that the reduction in atmospheric extinction observed by Shaw was in part due to the continued stratospheric recovery from the October 1974 eruption of Fuego volcano in Guatemala.

Using the optical model of Russell et al. (1976), the particle number density at 20 km works out to about 5 particles cm<sup>-3</sup> during the period. The extinction coefficient due to aerosols at 20 km is 0.0008 km<sup>-1</sup>, and the mass density at 20 km is about 0.3  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>.

#### 7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Howard T. Ellis for his major contribution in the development and operation of the lidar program. Earl Barrett is to be thanked for designing and building the lidar system. He has also been continuously helpful over the years as a consultant regarding problems with the hardware and data interpretation. John DeLuisi is to be thanked for many useful discussions.

#### 8. **REFERENCES**

- Barrett, E. W. and O. Ben-Dov (1967): Application of the lidar to air pollution measurements. J. Appl. Meteorol., 6:500-515.
- Cadle, R. D. and G. W. Grams (1975): Stratospheric aerosol particles and their optical properties. *Rev. Geophys. and Space Phys.* 13:475–501.
- Coakley, J. A. and G. W. Grams (1976): Relative influence of visible and infrared optical properties of a stratospheric aerosol layer on the global climate. *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 15:679–691.
- Fegley, R. W., E. W. Barrett and H. T. Ellis (1978): Lidar measurements at Mauna Loa Observatory. To be included in the Mauna Loa 20th Anniversary Volume, J. Miller, ed.
- Fegley, R. W. and H. T. Ellis (1975a): Lidar observations of a stratospheric dust cloud layer in the tropics. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 2:139–141.
- Fegley, R. W. and H. T. Ellis (1975b): Optical effects of the 1974 stratospheric dust cloud. *Appl. Optics*, 14:1751–1752.
- Fernald, F. G. and B. G. Schuster (1977): Wintertime 1973 airborne lidar measurements of stratospheric aerosols. J. Geophys. Res., 82:433–437.
- Harshvardhan and R. D. Cess (1976): Stratospheric aerosols: effect upon atmospheric temperature and global climate. *Tellus*, 28:1–9.
- Hoyt, D. V. (1978): An explosive volcanic eruption in the Southern Hemisphere in 1928, unpublished manuscript.
- Mass, C. and S. H. Schneider (1977): Statistical evidence on the influence of sunspots and volcanic dust on long-term temperature records. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 34:1995–2004.
- Mitchell, J. M. (1970): A preliminary evaluation of atmospheric pollution as a cause of the global temperature fluctuation of the past century. In: S. F. Singer (ed.), *Global effects of environmental pollution*.
- Springer-Verlag, N.Y., 139-155.
- Mitchell, J. M., Jr. (1975): Note on solar variability and volcanic activity as potential sources of climatic variability. In: *The physical basis of climate and climate modelling*, GARP No. 16, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- NOAA (1976): Geophysical monitoring for climatic change — No. 4, summary report 1975. J. Watkins, ed., NOAA ERL, Boulder, Colo.
- NOAA (1977): A plan for surface-based monitoring of climatically important variables, Volume 1, Project development plan, NOAA ARL, Boulder, Colo., 22–24.
- Northam, G., J. Rosen, S. Melfi, T. Pepin, M. McCormick, D. Hofmann, and W. Fuller, Jr. (1974): Dustsonde and lidar measurements of stratospheric aerosols: a comparison. *Appl. Optics*, 13:2416–2421.

- Oliver, R. C. (1976): On the response of hemispheric mean temperature to stratospheric dust: an empirical approach. J. Appl. Meteorol., 15:933–950.
- Pinnick, R. G., J. M. Rosen and D. J. Hofmann (1976): Stratospheric aerosol measurements III: optical model calculations. J. Atmos. Sci., 33:304–314.
- Pollack, J. B., O. B. Toon, C. Sagan, A. Summers, B. Baldwind, and W. Van Camp (1976): Volcanic explosions and climatic change: a theoretical assessment. J. Geophys. Res., 81:1071-1083.
- Russell, P., W. Viezee, R. Hake, Jr., and R. Collis (1976): Lidar observations of the stratospheric aerosols: California, October 1972 to March 1974. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 102:675–695.
- Shaw, G. E. (1978): Multi-wavelength turbidity at the Mauna Loa Observatory. Rep. no. UAG R-251, Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska.

### APPENDIX Non-Rayleigh Backscatter Coefficients at One Kilometer Intervals

	Height (km msl)	Non-Rayleigh Backscatter Coefficient	Scattering Ratio <sup>2</sup>	
	15.5185	2.17812E-9	1.01994	
	16.5517	1.54725E-9	1.02079	
	17.4374	3.63257E-9	1.05328	
	18.4706	1.19056E - 8	1.21498	
	19.5039	1.33271E - 8	1.28813	
	20.5371	5.41259E - 9	1.14324	
Date	21.5/04	4.86937E - 9	1.14908	
1_9_76	22.456	3.70259E - 9	1.13338	
1-3-/6 Timel (LCT)	23.4892	1.74153E - 9	1.07189	
Time <sup>1</sup> (LST)	24.5225	4.20506E - 9 7.20684E 0	1.21832	
1910	25.5557	7.39684E - 9 1.47640E - 0	1.45354	
	20.339	1.47049E = 9 0.61820E = 10	1.10969	
	27.4740	9.01039E - 10 5.67655E - 0	1.068/7	
	20.5079	5.07055E - 9 1.02558E - 0	1.56523	
	29.3411	1.92336E - 9	1.22214	
	31.46	2.05252E = 9 4.10110E = 10	1.2/356	
	32 4932	-4.10119E = 10 4.12956E - 11	0.936593	
	52.7752	4.12930E - 11	1.01093	
	14 4852	5 20468E - 9	1 04746	
	15 5185	4.02273F - 9	1.04220	
	16 5517	-234574F - 11	1.04229	
	17.4374	2.67665E - 9	1.00007	
Date	18.4706	9.89925F - 9	1 17699	
1-26-76	19,5039	1.2574F - 8	1.17099	
Time (ICT)	20.5371	1.01268F - 8	1.27.371	
Time (LST)	21.5704	399619F - 9	1 12844	
1956	22.456	-1.88508F - 9	0.031735	
	23.4892	2.02881F - 9	1 0847	
	24.5225	355975E - 10	1.0047	
	25.5557	-6.44952E - 10	0.9601	
	15.5185	-5.96948E - 10	0.994056	
	16.5517	1.15921E - 9	1.01477	
	17.4374	3.59201E-9	1.05321	
	18.4706	9.11083E - 9	1.16397	
	19.5039	1.3287E-8	1.28757	
	20.5371	7.74529E-9	1.1999	
Dete	21.5704	7.10108E-9	1.22585	
Date	22.456	3.51239E - 9	1.12713	
1-29-76	23.4892	2.27675E - 9	1.10036	
Time (LST)	24.5225	1.97026E-9	1.10151	
1920	25.5557	1.51057E-9	1.09026	
	26.589	2.82478E-9	1.20336	
	27.4746	1.37794E-9	1.11686	
	28.5079	3.32991E - 9	1.32472	
	29.5411	1.03525E - 9	1.12006	
	30.4267	9.73219E - 10	1.12583	
	31.46	7.59112E - 10	1.11996	
	32.4932	4.32588E - 10	1.08057	

<sup>1</sup> Time is local standard time.

<sup>2</sup> Scattering ratio is ratio of Rayleigh plus non-Rayleigh backscatter at a given altitude to pure Rayleigh at that altitude.

	Height (km msl)		Non-Rayleigh Backscatter Coefficient	Scattering Ratio	
		1			
	15.5185		8.8253E - 10	1.00992	
	17 4374		7.94221E = 10 7.59102E = 9	1.01014	
	18.4706		1.24425E - 8	1 22231	
	19.5039		1.43782E - 8	1.31296	
	20.5371		1.39012E - 8	1.36146	
NAMES FRANKS	21.5704		8.70956E-9	1.27257	
Date	22.456		6.564E-9	1.24156	
3-19-76	23.4892		5.31443E-9	1.22958	
Time (LST)	24.5225		5.21304E-9	1.26756	
2012	25.5557		5.25918E-9	1.32196	
	26.589		3.51546E - 9	1.25292	
	27.4746		4.05769E-9	1.33296	
	28.5079		2.78793E - 9	1.26962	
	29.5411		2.83631E - 9	1.32799	
	30.420/		1.81954E - 9	1.2375	
	31.40		1.72536E - 9	1.27199	
	32.4732		2.84092E - 10	1.04876	
	15.5185		5.89274E-10	1.00677	
	16.5517		6.15988E-10	1.00838	
	17.4374		3.80369E-9	1.05748	
	18.4706		9.6955E-9	1.17417	
	19.5039		1.08491E-8	1.23241	
	20.5371		9.18795E-9	1.24002	
	21.5704		4.17411E-9	1.12861	
Date	22.456		3.50682E-9	1.12862	
3-29-76	23.4892		4.94755E - 9	1.21509	
Time (LST)	24.5225		3.24986E-9	1.16727	
1928	25.5557		1.98569E-9	1.1178	
	26.589		4.62597E - 9	1.33101	
	27.4746		1.59685E - 9	1.13239	
	28.5079		1.83325E - 9	1.17865	
	30.4267		3.09613E - 9	1.34991	
	31.46		1.39403E = 9 1.4354E = 0	1.18063	
	32 4932		1.4554E = 9 1.71621E = 10	1.21639	
			1.7 10212 10	1.03116	
	16.5517		1.43388E-9	1.01831	
	17.4374		5.51353E-9	1.08182	
	18.4706		9.43443E-9	1.16803	
	19.5039		8.20817E-9	1.17702	
	20.5371		8.60488E-9	1.22543	
	21.5704		5.51698E - 9	1.1706	
Date	22.456		2.59293E - 9	1.0946	
4-23-76	23.4892		3.59777E-9	1.1534	
Time (LST)	24.5225		1.25269E - 9	1.06081	
1957	25.5557		9.48//3E - 10	1.05453	
	20.309		2.81/26E - 9 9 20297E 10	1.20504	
	28 5079		0.2038/E - 10 2.45710E - 0	1.0/083	
	29 5411		-9.71312E - 11	0.001112	
	30.4267		1.80364F = 9	1 23542	
	31.46		3.35624F - 10	1.04347	
	32.4932		2.81822E - 10	1 04747	
	Sector and the sector of the sector of the				

$ { { { { { { { { { { { { { { { { { { {$		Height (km msl)	Non-Rayleigh Backscatter Coefficient	Scattering Ratio	
Date 5 -4 -76 6 -3 -76 6 -3 -76 12,309         16,5517 13,008 - 9 13,009         100518-9 6,81009E - 9 1,1484 3,009E - 9 1,1484 3,009E - 9 1,1484 3,009E - 9 1,1484 3,009E - 9 1,1484 3,009E - 9 1,1484 3,009E - 9 1,1655 4,009E - 9 1,1655 4,009E - 9 1,1655 4,009E - 9 1,1655 4,009E - 9 1,1655 4,009E - 9 1,1009E - 9 1,100997873 2,009E - 9 1,10097873 2,009E - 9 1,10097873 2,009E - 9 1,10097873 2,009E - 9 1,13246 2,2,4726 3,146 3,146 1,00149E - 9 1,1171 3,146 3,2,477E - 9 1,1344 3,147 3,147 4,2,275 1,0086E - 9 1,1344 4,00174E - 9 1,1344 4,00174E - 9 1,1344 4,00174E - 9 1,1348 4,00195 2,0,577 1,0086E - 9 1,1348 4,00195 2,0,577 1,0086E - 9 1,1348 4,00195 2,0,577 1,0086E - 9 1,1348 4,00195 2,0,577 1,0086E - 9 1,1348 4,00195 2,0,577 1,0086E - 9 1,1348 4,00195 2,0,577 1,0086E - 9 1,1348 4,00095 2,7,4746 2,2,4525 2,14322E - 9 1,1348 4,00095 2,7,4746 2,2,4525 2,2,9975E - 9 1,1348 4,0006 2,2,474 4,0008 4,0,474 5,2,577 1,0086E - 9 1,00098 1,0008 1,00					
Date         19303         6.8109E-9         1.184           Date         21.3704         5.3731E-9         1.16326           21.3704         5.3731E-9         1.16327           23.452         1.36237         1.3237           23.452         1.36237         1.3237           23.452         1.36231E-9         1.16334           23.4525         -1.62321E-11         0.997873           2026         25.5577         1.3308E-9         1.13146           22.4225         -1.62321E-10         1.11174           23.8079         1.33005E-9         1.13841           23.4746         1.3242E-9         1.11114           23.4932         2.96782E-10         1.1114           30.4267         9.94472E-10         1.13449           31.46         1.02149E-9         1.16625           24.932         2.96782E-10         1.001952           19.5039         5.4435E-9         1.1191           20.3571         6.46019E-9         1.13449           6-3-76         21.8704         4.96174E-9         1.1565           7         1.65817         1.0886E-9         1.06952           23.4892         2.017371         6.8602E-9         1.13494		16.5517 17.4374 18.4706	3.90813E - 10 3.8696E - 9 7.77939E - 9	1.00584 1.05703 1.13774	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		19.5039 20.5371	6.81069E - 9 1.1065E - 8	• 1.1484	
Date 5 - 4 - 76 5 - 4 - 76 2 - 25 - 4 - 76 2 - 25 - 4 - 76 2 - 25 - 557 2 - 1 - 628 - 11 0 09894 2 - 1 - 10 098973 2 - 1 - 10 098973 2 - 1 - 10 098973 2 - 1 - 10 0 0036 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 10 0 0036 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 10 0 0036 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 10 0 0036 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		21.5704 22.456	5.37301E - 9 3.62915E - 9	1.16636 1.13237	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Date	23.4892	1.58263E-9	1.06894	
Time (LS1) 25.557 1.33098 = 9 1.08071 2026 25.589 1.77479E - 9 1.13246 27.4746 1.33942E - 9 1.13841 29.5411 9.52485E - 10 1.11174 30.4267 9.94472E - 10 1.13449 30.4267 9.94472E - 10 1.13449 30.4267 9.94472E - 10 1.04036 16.5517 1.47016E - 9 1.0625 32.4932 2.96782E - 10 1.04036 16.5517 1.47016E - 9 1.00895 2.95039 5.49435E - 9 1.09952 2.95039 5.49435E - 9 1.09952 2.15704 4.96174E - 9 1.15665 22.456 3.65962E - 9 1.13448 6 -3 -76 22.456 3.65962E - 9 1.13448 6 -3 -76 24.527 1.0866E - 9 1.00608 1951 25.557 1.0866E - 9 1.00608 1951 25.557 1.0866E - 9 1.09976 27.4746 9.80358E - 10 1.08245 28.5079 1.27995E - 9 1.02976 27.4746 9.80358E - 10 1.0266 30.4267 5.2256E - 10 1.00786 30.4267 5.2256E - 9 1.1349 30.4267 5.2256E - 9 1.12409 33.46 2.72329E - 11 1.00786 30.4267 5.2256E - 9 1.0298 1.46 2.72329E - 11 1.00786 30.4267 5.2256E - 9 1.0298 1.46 2.72329E - 11 1.00786 30.4267 5.2256E - 9 1.0298 1.46 2.72329E - 11 1.00786 30.4267 5.2256E - 9 1.0209 2.5774 7.813E - 9 1.12409 2.5757 4.7313E - 9 1.02409 2.4593 9.9342E - 9 1.0209 2.5757 4.7313E - 9 1.0209 2.5757 4.7416E - 9 1.0262 2.456 8.3833E - 0 1.00262 2.4746 9.80358E - 0 1.00262 2.4746 9.80358E - 0 1.00262 2.4932 4.771505E - 9 1.0209 2.5557 4.4478E - 9 1.0209 2.5557 4.4478E - 9 1.0209 2.5557 4.4478E - 9 1.0209 2.459 9.12409 2.456 8.3833E - 0 1.00262 2.459 9.12409 2.456 8.3833E - 0 1.00262 2.459 9.12409 2.4574 7.4478E - 9 1.22456 3.3002 4.4478E - 9 1.22456 3.3002 4.4478E - 9 1.22457 2.020 2.6589 1.9006E - 9 1.14301 3.46 2.72329E - 10 1.00262 2.4589 1.9006E - 9 1.12411 2.25587 4.4478E - 9 1.22456 3.3002 4.4478E - 9 1.22457 2.456 8.3833E - 9 1.24411 2.2548E - 9 1.22457 2.4574 7.4478E - 9 1.22457 2.4574 7.4478E - 9 1.22457 2.4599 7.44478E - 9 1.22458 3.46 2.001E - 9 1.24207 3.46 2.001E - 9 1.24207 3.46 2.00	5-4-/6 Time (LCT)	24.5225	-1.62821E - 11	0.997873	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11me (LS1)	25.5557	1.33098E-9	1.08071	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2026	26.589	1.77479E - 9	1.13246	
$ \begin{array}{c} 29.5411 \\ 29.5411 \\ 30.4267 \\ 9.54472E = 10 \\ 1.13449 \\ 31.46 \\ 1.02149E = 9 \\ 1.6625 \\ 32.4932 \\ 2.96782E = 10 \\ 1.04036 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 16.5517 \\ 17.4374 \\ 3.64314E = 10 \\ 1.00499 \\ 3.2477E = 9 \\ 1.01813 \\ 3.2475 \\ 2.05371 \\ 2.05371 \\ 2.05371 \\ 2.05371 \\ 2.05371 \\ 2.456 \\ 3.2677E = 9 \\ 1.17713 \\ 2.15704 \\ 4.96174E = 9 \\ 1.17713 \\ 2.15704 \\ 4.96174E = 9 \\ 1.13494 \\ 3.65962E = 9 \\ 1.13484 \\ 6 = -3 - 76 \\ 7.4746 \\ 2.2456 \\ 2.34892 \\ 2.3679 \\ 1.2792E = 9 \\ 1.06686 \\ 3.04267 \\ 3.24932 \\ -171505E = 9 \\ 1.0003E = 10 \\ 1.00266 \\ 3.04267 \\ 3.24932 \\ -171505E = 9 \\ 1.0003E \\ 1.0003E \\ -11 \\ 1.00126 \\ 3.24932 \\ -171505E = 9 \\ 1.00126 \\ 3.04267 \\ 3.24932 \\ -171505E = 9 \\ 1.00036 \\ -14 - 76 \\ 2.14992 \\ 4.7474 \\ 2.95116E - 9 \\ 1.00126 \\ 3.24932 \\ -171505E = 9 \\ 1.00026 \\ -14 - 76 \\ 2.14992 \\ 4.71411E - 9 \\ 1.2036 \\ -14478E \\ -9 \\ 1.2036 \\ -2456 \\ 8.3632E - 9 \\ 1.3062 \\ -2557 \\ 4.4478E - 9 \\ 1.2036 \\ -2456 \\ 8.3632E - 9 \\ 1.30062 \\ -2557 \\ 7.4746 \\ 1.11428E - 9 \\ 1.2036 \\ -2456 \\ 8.3632E - 9 \\ 1.30062 \\ -2557 \\ 7.4746 \\ 1.1430E - 9 \\ 1.2036 \\ -2557 \\ 7.44478E - 9 \\ 1.2036 \\ -2557 \\ -2557 \\ -2557 \\ -24478E - 9 \\ 1.2036 \\ -2557 \\ -$		27.4746	1.32942E - 9 1 35005E - 9	1.111/4	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		20.5079	1.33005E = 9 9.52485E = 10	1.13001	
31.46         1.0214E - 9         1.16625           32.4932         2.96782E - 10         1.04036           16.5517         1.47016E - 9         1.01813           17.4374         3.64314E - 10         1.00499           18.4706         3.28777E - 9         1.05952           20.5371         6.84019E - 9         1.17713           20.5371         6.84019E - 9         1.13494           6 - 3 - 76         23.4892         3.07232E - 9         1.13494           6 - 3 - 76         24.5225         2.14382E - 9         1.1065           71mm (LST)         25.5557         1.0886E - 9         1.099276           27.4746         9.80388E - 10         1.08245           28.5079         1.27995E - 9         1.12409           28.5079         1.27995E - 9         1.12409           28.5079         1.27995E - 9         1.00866           30.4267         5.22561E - 10         1.00262           74.474         2.95116E - 9         1.04531           30.4267         5.22551E - 10         1.00262           24.932         -1.71505E - 9         1.09709           21.5704         7.8313E - 9         1.24411           19.5039         9.3842E - 9         1.203		30 4267	9.92405E - 10 9.94472F - 10	1.11119	
32.4932         2.96782E - 10         1.04036           16.5517         1.47016E - 9         1.01813           17.4374         3.64314E - 10         1.00499           18.4706         3.28777E - 9         1.05952           19.5039.         5.49435E - 9         1.11915           20.5371         6.4019F - 9         1.17713           21.5704         4.96174E - 9         1.13494           6 -3 - 76         23.4892         3.0722E - 9         1.13488           6 -3 - 76         24.5225         2.14382E - 9         1.1065           1951         25.5577         1.0808E - 9         1.06908           25.599         1.27414E - 9         1.09276           28.5079         1.27995E - 9         1.12409           29.5411         8.98079E - 11         1.00262           28.5079         1.27955E - 9         0.690801           16.5517         2.01093E - 10         1.00262           28.4932         -1.71505E - 9         1.04531           30.4267         5.25577         4.47824E - 9         1.20361           30.4265         2.3689         9         3.0062           2.456         8.3638E - 9         1.30062           2.4746         1.1422		31.46	1.02149E - 9	1.16625	
$ { { { { { { { { { { { 16,5517} } } } \\ { { { { { { { { 17,4374} } } \\ { { { { { 36,4314E - 10} } \\ { { { { { 10,0499} } \\ { { { 18,4706} } \\ { { { 32,8777E - 9 } \\ { { { { 10,5522} } \\ { { { 19,5039. } \\ { { 20,3371} } \\ { { { 20,3371} } \\ { { { 20,3371} } \\ { { 21,5704} } \\ { { { 22,456} } \\ { { 33,5692E - 9 } \\ { { 21,15704} } \\ { { 22,456 } \\ { { 33,5692E - 9 } \\ { { 21,34892 } \\ { 30,7252E - 9 } \\ { { 11,3494 } \\ { 30,4257 } \\ { { 24,525 } \\ { 24,525 } \\ { { 24,525 } \\ { 24,525 } \\ { 24,525 } \\ { 24,3225 } \\ { 24,3225 } \\ { 24,3225 } \\ { 24,3225 } \\ { 24,3225 } \\ { 24,3225 } \\ { 24,3225 } \\ { 24,3225 } \\ { 24,3225 } \\ { 24,3225 } \\ { 24,3225 } \\ { 24,3225 } \\ { 27,4746 } \\ { 9,80358E - 9 } \\ { 10,60908 } \\ { 27,4746 } \\ { 9,80358E - 9 } \\ { 10,60908 } \\ { 30,4267 } \\ { 52,5557 } \\ { 10,8086E - 9 } \\ { 10,00266 } \\ { 32,4932 } \\ { - 1,71505E - 9 } \\ \\ { 10,0036 } \\ { 31,46 } \\ { 27,2329E - 11 } \\ { 10,00262 } \\ \\ { 32,4932 } \\ { - 1,71505E - 9 } \\ \\ { 10,0036 } \\ { 20,5371 } \\ { 7,38519E - 9 } \\ \\ { 21,5704 } \\ { 23,4892 } \\ { 4,71411E - 9 } \\ \\ { 22,456 } \\ { 8,38632 - 9 } \\ \\ { 30,0026 } \\ { 20,5371 } \\ { 23,5557 } \\ { 24,892 } \\ { 4,71411E - 9 } \\ \\ { 21,5704 } \\ { 23,4892 } \\ { 4,71411E - 9 } \\ \\ { 21,5704 } \\ { 23,4892 } \\ { 4,71411E - 9 } \\ \\ { 21,5704 } \\ { 23,4892 } \\ { 4,71411E - 9 } \\ \\ { 21,5704 } \\ { 23,4892 } \\ { 4,71411E - 9 } \\ \\ { 21,5704 } \\ { 23,4892 } \\ { 4,71411E - 9 } \\ \\ { 11,425E - 9 } \\ \\ { 10,90255 } \\ \\ { 28,5079 } \\ { 7,1591E - 10 } \\ \\ { 10,0705 } \\ \\ { 29,5411 } \\ { 22,6544E - 9 } \\ \\ { 10,2255 } \\ \\ { 28,5079 } \\ \\ { 7,1591E - 10 } \\ \\ { 10,0705 } \\ \\ { 29,5411 } \\ \\ { 22,6544E - 9 } \\ \\ { 10,2255 } \\ \\ { 28,5079 } \\ \\ { 7,1591E - 10 } \\ \\ { 10,0705 } \\ \\ { 29,5411 } \\ \\ { 20,202 } \\ \\ { 31,46 } \\ \\ { 20,001E - 9 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ } \\ \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ } \\ { 31,407 } \\ \\ \\ } \\ $		32.4932	2.96782E-10	1.04036	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		16.5517	1.47016E-9	1.01813	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		17.4374	3.64314E-10	1.00499	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		18.4706	3.28777E-9	1.05952	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		19.5039-	5.49435E - 9	1.11915	
Date 22.456 3.65962E - 9 1.13494 6 -3 -76 23.4892 3.07252E - 9 1.13488 fine (LST) 25.5557 1.0886E - 9 1.06908 1951 26.589 1.27414E - 9 1.09276 27.4746 9.80358E - 10 1.08245 28.5079 1.27995E - 9 1.12409 29.5411 8.98079E - 11 1.00686 30.4267 5.22561E - 10 1.07309 31.46 2.72329E - 11 1.00126 32.4932 -1.71505E - 9 0.690801		20.5371	6.84019E - 9	1.17713	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		21.5/04	4.961/4E - 9	1.13665	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Date	22.450	3.05902E = 9 3.07252E = 9	1.13494	
Time (LST) $25.5557$ $1.0886E - 9$ $1.06908$ 1951 $26.589$ $1.27414E - 9$ $1.09276$ $27.4746$ $9.80338E - 10$ $1.08245$ $28.5079$ $1.27995E - 9$ $1.12409$ $29.5411$ $8.98079E - 11$ $1.00686$ $30.4267$ $5.22561E - 10$ $1.07309$ $31.46$ $2.72329E - 11$ $1.00126$ $32.4932$ $-1.71505E - 9$ $0.908011$ Intervalue of the second sec	6-3-76	23.4072	3.07252E = 9 2.14382F = 9	1 11065	
1951       26.589 $1.27414E - 9$ $1.09276$ 27.4746       9.80358E - 10 $1.08245$ 28.5079 $1.27995E - 9$ $1.12409$ 29.5411 $8.98079E - 11$ $1.00686$ 30.4267 $5.22561E - 10$ $1.07309$ 31.46 $2.72329E - 11$ $1.00126$ 32.4932 $-1.71505E - 9$ $0.690801$ V         V         V         1.100         1.00262         V $1.04531$	Time (LST)	25.5557	1.0886E - 9	1.06908	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1951	26.589	1.27414E-9	1.09276	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		27.4746	9.80358E-10	1.08245	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		28.5079	1.27995E-9	1.12409	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		29.5411	8.98079E - 11	1.00686	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		30.4267	5.22561E - 10	1.07309	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		31.46	2.72329E - 11	1.00126	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		32.4932	-1.71505E - 9	0.690801	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		16.5517	2.01093E - 10	1.00262	
18.4706 $6.40149E-9$ $1.11498$ $19.5039$ $9.3842E-9$ $1.20361$ $20.5371$ $7.58519E-9$ $1.19709$ $21.5704$ $7.8313E-9$ $1.24411$ $22.456$ $8.3863E-9$ $1.30062$ $6-14-76$ $24.5225$ $2.90972E-9$ $1.14301$ Time (LST) $25.557$ $4.4478E-9$ $1.26992$ $2020$ $26.589$ $1.96096E-9$ $1.13845$ $27.4746$ $1.11425E-9$ $1.09255$ $28.5079$ $7.15191E-10$ $1.07605$ $29.5411$ $2.2654E-9$ $1.24025$ $30.4267$ $1.78925E-9$ $1.24025$ $31.46$ $2.001E-9$ $1.2922$ $22.4932$ $1.89782E-10$ $1.03938$		17.4374	2.95116E - 9	1.04531	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		18.4/06	6.40149E - 9	1.11498	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		20 5371	9.3042E - 9 7.58510E - 0	1 19709	
Date       22.456       8.3863E - 9       1.30062         6-14-76       23.4892       4.71411E - 9       1.21233         Time (LST)       25.5557       2.90972E - 9       1.14301         2020       26.589       1.96096E - 9       1.3845         27.4746       1.11425E - 9       1.09255         28.5079       7.15191E - 10       1.07605         29.5411       2.2654E - 9       1.25499         30.4267       1.78925E - 9       1.24025         31.46       2.001E - 9       1.2922         32.4932       1.89782E - 10       1.03938		20.5571	7.8313E - 9	1.24411	
bate       23.4892       4.71411E - 9       1.21233         6-14-76       24.5225       2.90972E - 9       1.14301         Time (LST)       25.5557       4.4478E - 9       1.26992         2020       26.589       1.96096E - 9       1.13845         27.4746       1.11425E - 9       1.09255         28.5079       7.15191E - 10       1.07605         29.5411       2.26544E - 9       1.25499         30.4267       1.78925E - 9       1.24025         31.46       2.001E - 9       1.2922         32.4932       1.89782E - 10       1.03938	Data	22.456	8.3863E - 9	1.30062	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Date	23.4892	4.71411E-9	1.21233	
11110 $25.557$ $4.4478E-9$ $1.26992$ 2020 $26.589$ $1.96096E-9$ $1.13845$ $27.4746$ $1.11425E-9$ $1.09255$ $28.5079$ $7.15191E-10$ $1.07605$ $29.5411$ $2.26544E-9$ $1.25499$ $30.4267$ $1.78925E-9$ $1.24025$ $31.46$ $2.001E-9$ $1.2922$ $32.4932$ $1.89782E-10$ $1.03938$	0-14-/6 Time (ICT)	24.5225	2.90972E-9	1.14301	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	anne (LSI)	25.5557	4.4478E-9	1.26992	
27.4746 $1.11425E - 9$ $1.09255$ $28.5079$ $7.15191E - 10$ $1.07605$ $29.5411$ $2.26544E - 9$ $1.25499$ $30.4267$ $1.78925E - 9$ $1.24025$ $31.46$ $2.001E - 9$ $1.2922$ $32.4932$ $1.89782E - 10$ $1.03938$	2020	26.589	1.96096E - 9	1.13845	
28.50/9 $7.15191E = 10$ $1.07005$ $29.5411$ $2.26544E = 9$ $1.25499$ $30.4267$ $1.7892E = 9$ $1.24025$ $31.46$ $2.001E = 9$ $1.2922$ $32.4932$ $1.89782E = 10$ $1.03938$		27.4746	1.11425E - 9	1.09255	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		28.5079	7.15191E = 10 2.26544E = 0	1.07605	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		30 4267	1.78925F - 9	1 24025	
32 4932 1.89782E - 10 1.03938		31.46	2.001E - 9	1.2922	
		32.4932	1.89782E-10	1.03938	



The mission of the Environmental Research Laboratories (ERL) is to conduct an integrated program of fundamental research, related technology development, and services to improve understanding and prediction of the geophysical environment comprising the oceans and inland waters, the lower and upper atmosphere, the space environment, and the Earth. The following participate in the ERL missions:

MESA	Marine EcoSystems Analysis Program. Plans,
	directs, and coordinates the regional projects
	of NOAA and other federal agencies to
	assess the effect of ocean dumping, municipal
	and industrial waste discharge, deep ocean
	mining, and similar activities on marine
	ecosystems.

- OCSEA Outer Continental Shelf Environmental Assessment Program Office. Plans and directs research studies supporting the assessment of the primary environmental impact of energy development along the outer continental shelf of Alaska; coordinates related research activities of federal, state, and private institutions.
- W/M Weather Modification Program Office. Plans and coordinates ERL weather modification projects for precipitation enhancement and severe storms mitigation.
- NHEML National Hurricane and Experimental Meteorology Laboratory. Develops techniques for more effective understanding and forecasting of tropical weather. Research areas include: hurricanes and tropical cumulus systems; experimental methods for their beneficial modification.
- RFC Research Facilities Center. Provides aircraft and related instrumentation for environmental research programs. Maintains liaison with user and provides required operations or measurement tools, logged data, and related information for airborne or selected surface research programs.
- AOML Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratories. Studies the physical, chemical, and geological characteristics and processes of the ocean waters, the sea floor, and the atmosphere above the ocean.
- PMEL
   Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory. Monitors and predicts the physical and biological effects of man's activities on Pacific Coast estuarine, coastal, deep-ocean, and near-shore marine environments.

- .ERL Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory. Studies hydrology, waves, currents, lake levels, biological and chemical processes, and lake-air interaction in the Great Lakes and their watersheds; forecasts lake ice conditions.
- GFDL Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory. Studies the dynamics of geophysical fluid systems (the atmosphere, the hydrosphere, and the cryosphere) through theoretical - analysis and numerical simulation using powerful, high-speed digital computers.
- APCL Atmospheric Physics and Chemistry Laboratory. Studies cloud and precipitation physics, chemical and particulate composition of the atmosphere, atmospheric electricity, and atmospheric heat transfer, with focus on developing methods of beneficial weather modification.
- NSSL National Severe Storms Laboratory. Studies severe-storm circulation and dynamics, and develops techniques to detect and predict tornadoes, thunderstorms, and squall lines.
- WPL Wave Propagation Laboratory. Studies the propagation of sound waves and electromagnetic waves at millimeter, infrared, and optical frequencies to develop new methods for remote measuring of the geophysical environment.
- ARL Air Resources Laboratories. Studies the diffusion, transport, and dissipation of atmospheric pollutants; develops methods of predicting and controlling atmospheric pollution; monitors the global physical environment to detect climatic change.
  - AL Aeronomy Laboratory. Studies the physical and chemical processes of the stratosphere, ionosphere, and exosphere of the Earth and other planets, and their effect on high-altitude meteorological phenomena.
- SEL Space Environment Laboratory. Studies solar-terrestrial physics (interplanetary, magnetospheric, and ionospheric); develops techniques for forecasting solar disturbances; provides real-time monitoring and forecasting of the space environment.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration BOULDER, COLORADO 80302