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Assessing the effects of current and projected population growth and development in the nation's coastal areas has been a continuing effort within the Special Projects office of NOAA's National Ocean Service. The mission of Special Projects is to enhance the performance and capacity of NOAA's National Ocean Service and its partners through strategic problem solving, integration, and innovation, as well as to ensure more effective and efficient delivery of products and services to the coastal stewardship community. Special Projects conducts analyses and assessments for coastal areas and works to identify issues and solutions, assemble and synthesize data, evaluate and prioritize options, and develop products that support quality coastal resource management.

This report updates a previous report issued by Special Projects that was compiled over a decade ago. It provides coastal population data, trends, and projections, and is intended to help provide insight as to where coastal population has occurred in the past few decades and where it is likely to occur in the next five years. This report will also be included in the Assessments section of NOAA's forthcoming Spatial Trends in Coastal Socioeconomics (STICS) Web site (http://stics.noaa.gov).
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## I ntroduction

Coastal areas are home to a wealth of natural resources and are rich with diverse species, habitat types, and nutrients (WRI, 2000). They also sustain a wealth of economic activity. Employment, recreation and tourism, waterborne commerce, and energy and mineral production are driving forces of population migration to these areas (Bookman et al., 1999; The Heinz Center, 2000; U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy, 2004). Coastal management policies seek to balance economic growth and environmental protection. The value of coastal resources is illustrated in the breadth and depth of their use. I ronically, the qualities that make them so desirable are the very ones that have led to their endangerment.

Coastal ecosystems are pressured by population growth, leaving them vulnerable to pollution, habitat degradation and loss, overfishing, invasive species, and increased coastal hazards such as sea-level rise (WRI, 2000; Hinrichsen, 1998; National Safety Council, 1998). It was estimated that in 2003, approximately 153 million people ( 53 percent of the nation's population) lived in the 673 U.S. coastal counties, an increase of 33 million people since 1980. With such a large percentage of the population living in coastal areas, it is no wonder that 10 of the 15 most populous cities in the United States are located in coastal counties (U.S. Census Bureau, 2001d).

Since 1980, coastal population growth has generally reflected the same rate of growth as the entire nation, but in the limited space of coastal counties. This increasing density, coupled with the fastgrowing economy of coastal areas (Colgan, 2004), will make the task of managing coastal resources increasingly difficult, especially with the nation's coastal population expected to increase by more than 7 million by 2008 and 12 million by 2015 (W\&PE, 2003).


Coastal areas are also subject to major population influxes during peak vacation periods. Ocean City, MD, for example, had almost 4 million seasonal visitors between the Memorial Day and Labor Day holidays in 2003 (Ocean City Public Relations Office, 2004). With more people comes the need for increased infrastructure, which may lead to even more negative effects on natural resources (National Safety Council, 1998). In the next few decades, coastal areas will also see a growing proportion of older Americans and an

## An estimated 153

 million people lived in coastal counties in 2003. unprecedented number of Americans reaching retirement age. This also has the potential to place demands on coastal resources as there will be more time for people to enjoy the many coastal amenities (Culliton, 1998).This report updates a previous population report issued by the National Ocean Service, NOAA (Culliton et al. 1990) and focuses on population change along our nation's coast from 1980 to 2008. Historical population trends and short-term projections of population change in the nation's coastal areas are provided. It is anticipated that coastal decision makers and stakeholders will use this update to enhance coastal management.

## Geographic Units

Physical boundaries and natural characteristics of the landscape, such as watersheds, provide meaningful geographic areas to evaluate the environmental consequences of a growing population. However, local and community-level decisions and legislation are usually made within the frame of political boundaries. The U.S. Census Bureau compiles population data using several different geographic units. There are 30 coastal states in the United States containing a total of 673 coastal counties, boroughs, parishes, or county equivalents NOAA's Special Projects office defines a county as coastal if one of the following criteria is met: (1) at a minimum, 15 percent of the county's total land area is located within a coastal watershed or (2) a portion of or an entire county accounts for at least 15 percent of a coastal cataloging unit. ${ }^{1}$ For the purposes of this report, coasta states and counties are grouped into five regions: Northeast, Southeast, Gulf of Mexico, Pacific, and Great Lakes. The number of states and coastal counties contained in each region is shown below.

TABLE 1. Coastal geographic regions, states, and counties

| Number <br> Region <br> of States | Number of <br> Coastal Counties | Land Area <br> (Sq. Mi.) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Northeast | 11 | 180 | 82,124 |
| Southeast | 4 | 103 | 63,516 |
| Gulf of Mexico | 6 | 144 | 116,644 |
| Pacific | 5 | 88 | 511,073 |
| Great Lakes | 8 | 158 | 115,418 |

Source: National Ocean Service/ NOAA

## Population Data

Population data for U.S. counties for 1980, 1990, 2000, and 2003 were obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau. The U.S. Census Bureau does not make population projections for the county level, but rather at the state and national levels. County-level population projections were obtained from three private firms and compared. Datasets from Geolytics, Inc., NPA Data Services, Inc., and Woods and Poole Economics, Inc., were aggregated
to the state level and compared both to state projections developed by the U.S. Census Bureau and to each other At the state level, all three datasets were comparable, not demonstrating significant differences. After further analyses, the Woods and Poole Economics, Inc., dataset demonstrated more conservative population projection estimates, and was used for this report.

Woods and Poole Economics, Inc., employs a four-step process to generate county population projections. First forecasts of total United States variables such as income, earnings, population, and inflation are made. Second, the country is divided into 172 Economic Areas (EA) Employment is projected and used to estimate earnings within each EA. EAs are defined by the Bureau of Economic Analysis to meet minimum size and other criteria necessary to facilitate regional analyses such as projections. County to county commuting flows are analyzed in defining the EA boundaries in an effort to ensure that, to the extent possible, each EA is both the place of work and the place of residence for its labor force (J ohnson, 1995). Third, total population for each EA is projected based on net migration rates projected from employment opportunities. Last, following this process using EAs as the control data, county population projections are generated (W\&PE, 2003).

Making estimates of future data is not an exact science. The methods Woods and Poole Economics, Inc., employ to make projections are based on analysis of historical data. Consequently, limitations are inherent to the data, and projections should not be interpreted as future predictions. Woods and Poole Economics, Inc. (2003) notes that economic and demographic events may result in outcomes different from the projections and that limitations may result from making projections for small geographic areas. Ultimately, the projections presented in this report are not intended to highlight the projected population change of individual counties but rather to present, on a regional basis, where change is likely to occur.

## National Overview

## Regional and State Trends

Our coasts are among the most rapidly growing and developed areas in the nation. In 2003, the coastal population was greatest in the Northeast and Pacific regions, followed by the Great Lakes, Gulf of Mexico, and finally the Southeast. Figure 1 shows the regional distribution of coastal population in 2003. Figure 2 shows the distribution of this population on a county basis.


FI GURE 1. Regional distribution of the nation's coastal population in 2003
Source: U.S. Census Bureau
Total coastal population between the years 1980 and 2003 increased by 33 million people or 28 percent, roughly consistent with the nation's rate of increase. Coastal population within the Pacific region showed the largest gain during this time with almost 12 million people, followed by the Northeast with 8 million people. The Southeast region, however, exhibited the largest rate of change with a 58 percent increase, followed by the Pacific at 46 percent, and the Gulf of Mexico at 45 percent. The rate of growth in the Northeast and Great Lakes regions was considerably smaller with 18 percent and 6 percent increases, respectively. Percent population change in coastal counties is presented in Figure 3.

The Southeast has increasingly become a leading destination for retirees and job-seekers. Between the years 1995 and 2000, the Census Bureau reported that the highest levels of migration were to states that fall within the Southeast region and the Gulf of Mexico region, particularly to Florida, Georgia, and North Carolina (Franklin, 2003).
n contrast, the lowest levels of migration were to states found in the Northeast region. Additionally, New York, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey saw a considerable amount of population lost to out-migration during this period (Franklin, 2003).

The leading states in terms of absolute and percent coastal population change during the past two decades are found in Table 2. California led in coastal population change, increasing by 9.9 million people, over twice the growth of any other state ( with the exception of Florida). This represents an increase of 1,179 persons every day in California's coastal areas. The coastal population change in Florida ranks second, accounting for an additional 7.1 million people. Other leaders in coastal population change included Texas, Washington, and Michigan. Of the states listed, half are within the Northeast region alone.

As one of the main drivers of coastal population increase in the Southeast and Gulf of Mexico regions, Florida shows the greatest percent population change between 1980 and 2003, reaching nearly 75 percent. Alaska and Washington also show high rates of growth, increasing by 63 percent and 54 percent, respectively.

TABLE 2. Leading states in coastal population growth, 1980-2003

| State | Total Change <br> (Million Persons) | State | Percent <br> Change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| California | 9.9 | Florida | 75 |
| Florida | 7.1 | Alaska | 63 |
| Texas | 2.5 | Washington | 54 |
| Washington | 1.7 | Texas | 52 |
| Virginia | 1.6 | Virginia | 48 |
| New York | 1.6 | California | 47 |
| New Jersey | 1.2 | New Hampshire | 46 |
| Maryland | 1.2 | Delaware | 38 |
| Michigan | 0.8 | Georgia | 35 |
| Massachusetts | 0.7 | South Carolina | 33 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

## Population of Coastal Counties: 2003



FI GURE 2. Coastal county population in 2003
Source: U.S. Census Bureau

| Leading Coastal Counties in Population in 2003 (Millions) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Los Angeles, CA 9.9 Harris, TX 3.6 San Diego, CA | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Cook, IL | 5.4 | Orange, CA | 3.0 | Kings (Brooklyn), NY | 2.5 |



## Population in Coastal Counties

## Population Trends

Coastal counties constitute only 17 percent of the total land area of the United States (not including Alaska), but account for 53 percent of the total population. This ratio of coastal county population to the population of the United States as a whole has remained relatively stable (between approximately 52 and 54 percent) since 1970. Coastal county population is not growing significantly faster than noncoastal population, but rather, it is the continued population growth in the limited Iand area of coastal counties that is of growing importance and the focus of increasing attention.

Of the 10 coastal counties that experienced the greatest increases in population from 1980 to 2003, six
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> Coastal counties contain 53\% of the nation's population, yet, excluding Alaska, account for only 17\% of U.S. Iand area.
leading coastal county in population increase in the years to come (2003-2008). It, along with Orange, San Bernardino, and Riverside counties, CA, will account for 12 percent of the nation's expected total coastal population increase. Counties in South Florida (Broward, Palm Beach, Orange, and Miami-Dade) along with Harris County, TX, also are anticipated to experience major growth during this period.

The largest rate of change from 1980 to 2003 occurred in coastal counties found in Florida, Alaska, Georgia, Texas, and Virginia. Flagler County, FL, located in the Southeast, increased 470 percent, followed by Osceola County, FL, at 318 percent. Several additional counties in Florida experienced substantial rates of increase during
this time as well. Florida has increasingly become a "retirement magnet," a migratory destination for retirees in recent decades (Frey, 2003). The largest state-tostate migration between 1995 to 2000, for example, was from New York to Florida, reflecting this migratory trend (Perry, 2003).

Despite the continual population growth in coastal counties, recent trends have also shown an increase in migration from coastal states to noncoastal states. For instance, from 1995 to 2000, California contributed to large migration flows to Nevada and Arizona (attributed to retiree migration and other economic factors) (Perry, 2003). In addition, California has contributed to at least one-third of Colorado's net migration during this period (Perry, 2003). At the county level, Maricopa County, AZ, and Clark County, NV, are expected to be two of the four leading counties in population growth in the entire United States from 2003 to 2008. Overall, from 1990-2003, noncoastal counties emerged as having a greater population increase than coastal counties. This greater population growth and percent change in noncoastal counties is expected to continue from 2003 to 2008.


## Population Density

Most of the nation's most densely populated areas are located along the coast. In fact, 23 of the 25 most densely populated U.S. counties are coastal. Coastal counties average 300 persons per square mile, much higher than the national average of 98 persons per square mile (population density values presented in this report exclude Alaska because its extensive coastal land area dilutes the national average). The most densely populated counties in the nation, New York (Manhattan), Kings (Brooklyn), Bronx, and Queens comprise portions of New York City. Together, these counties average almost 39 thousand persons per square mile.

Since 1980, population density has increased in coastal counties by 65 persons per square mile, or by 28 percent. By 2008, it is expected to increase by 13 persons per square mile, or 4 percent. The ratio of national,
coastal state, and noncoastal county population density to coastal population density has remained relatively constant since 1980 (only fluctuating by fractions of a percent). Figure 4 demonstrates this trend. For example, the population density of the nation as a whole has been approximately one-third that of coastal counties throughout this period. The population density of noncoastal counties has remained between 18\% and 19\% of coastal county population density. Figure 5 shows the population density of coastal counties nationwide.


FIGURE 4. Population density of the United States, coastal states, coastal counties and noncoastal counties from 1980 to 2008

Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc

| Leading States in Coastal Population |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Density in $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ (Persons per Square Mile) |  |  |  |
| Illinois | 4,330 | Massachusetts | 939 |
| New Jersey | 1,208 | Pennsylvania | 794 |
| Rhode Island | 1,030 | Connecticut | 719 |

Population Density of Coastal Counties: 2003


FI GURE 5. Coastal county population density in 2003
Source: U.S. Census Bureau

| Leading Coastal Counties in Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Density in | 2003 | (Thousands of Persons per Square Mile) |  |  |  |
| NewYork, NY | 68 | Bronx, NY | 32 | San Francisco, CA | 16 |
| Kings, NY | 35 | Queens, NY | 20 | Hudson, NJ | 13 |

## Building Along the Coast

## Housing

In 2000, coastal counties contained 52 percent of the nation's total housing supply (comparable to the proportion of coastal population to total U.S. population). The leading states in total housing units in coastal counties were California, Florida, and New York. Together, these states comprised 41 percent of the coastal county total.

At the county level, Los Angeles County, CA, had the highest number of housing units at approximately 3.3 million, double that of any other county except Cook County, IL, with 2.1 million housing units. Total housing units within coastal counties are shown in Appendix B.

Commercial, hotel, and recreational construction is an important component of the coastal economy and contributes significantly to overall development in some areas.

## Building Permits

The construction of single-family and multi-family homes may act as an indicator of both economic growth as well as increased "sprawl" along the coastline. The number of building permits issued for homes helps pinpoint where the greatest amount of residential development has occurred. In coastal counties from 1999 to 2003, 2.8 million building permits were issued for the construction of single-family housing units ( $43 \%$ of the nation's total) and 1 million building permits were issued for the

## Seasonal Housing

One component of total housing units is seasonal or vacation homes. The location and growth in the number of seasonal housing units indicate areas where people congregate seasonally or for short periods. In 2000, there were approximately 2.1 million seasonal homes in coastal counties ( 54 percent of the nation's total). Florida had the largest number of seasonal housing units, 24 percent of the coastal county total, followed by Michigan, California, and New York. Figure 6 shows total seasonal housing units within coastal counties in 2000.

Several coastal counties that are low in population emerge as being popular seasonal destinations. For instance, looking beyond the dominance of Florida and Southern California, large numbers of seasonal homes are found in Maine, the Outer Banks of North Carolina, northern Michigan, Maryland, and Delaware (Figure 6). It is important to note that some coastal counties and communities are subject to intense development not indicated by total housing or seasonal housing numbers.
construction of multi-family housing

## More than 1,540 singlefamily housing units are permitted for construction

 found in all five regions. Florida and this five-year period the leadin in single-family unit construction were California combined made up 37\% of all permits issued for single-family units and $42 \%$ of all multi-family units in coastal counties (U.S. Census Bureau, 2000, 2001a, 2002, 2003a, 2004). Table 3 shows the 10 leading states in coastal residential housing construction during this five-year period.TABLE 3. Leading coastal states in building permits issued for single-family and multi-family housing units from 1999 to 2003.

| Building Permits for <br> Single-Family Units <br> State |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^0]

## Characteristics of the Coastal Population

## Age

The breakdown of age groups can be a useful method to gauge the direction of population in coastal counties with regard to community lifestyle priorities (e.g., active marine recreation, family-oriented activities, seniororiented features). Figure 7 provides a breakdown of age groups in 2000 for coastal and noncoastal counties. The majority of the population within each age group lives in coastal counties. The difference between coastal and noncoastal county population is largest in the under-16 age group and in the 35-44 age group, which encompasses a significant portion of the Baby Boomer generation. In these age groups, coastal county population exceeded noncoastal population by approximately 3.2 and 3.3 million persons, respectively. In 2000, Baby Boomers ranged in age from 36 to 54 (Center for Health Communication, Harvard School of Public Health, 2004).

In coastal counties, over the 20-year period from 1980 to 2000, the 35-44 and 45-54 age groups saw


[^1]a significant increase in population, rising from 21 percent of the total coastal population to 30 percent of the total coastal population. The proportion of young adults (aged 18-24) fell from 13 percent to 9 percent of the total during this same time period. However, in the year 2000, the proportion of the population within each age group that resided in coastal counties (and within each specific coastal region) was relatively consistent with the national average (falling

> Between 1980 and 2000, middle-aged adults rose from 21 to 30 percent of the population in coastal counties.

within 1 to 2 percent).
The oldest age group (65 and older) is often one of special interest because of the assumption that older Americans retire to warmer areas near the ocean. The data do not show
any great change over the years, as this group increased 1 percent of the total coastal population each decade (from 1980 to 2000). Of growing attention, however, is the number of Americans that will enter the 65 and over age group in the upcoming decades.


FIGURE 7. Population by age group in coastal and noncoastal counties in 2000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

## Income

Income is a demographic attribute that can be very illustrative in the study of the geographic patterns of population. The geographic breakdown of income in coastal counties and between coastal and noncoastal counties can be an important determinant of why certain geographic areas are chosen over others and what attributes are important to residency patterns. Figure 9 shows the median household income of coastal counties.

Counties that fall within the highest category (median household income greater than $\$ 58,000$ ) appear to surround, are adjacent to, or are within commuting distance of large cities such as New York, Boston, Philadelphia, Chicago, Los Angeles, and San Francisco. Counties exhibiting the lowest median household income category (less than $\$ 34,000$ ) tend to be found in more rural areas, particularly in the Southeast and Gulf of Mexico regions.


Baltimore and Montgomery Counties, MD; M. Crossett

On average, coastal counties have a higher median household income than noncoastal counties, differing by almost 17\%. However, this difference decreases when coastal counties are compared to noncoastal counties within coastal states. The difference in average median household income is reduced to $14 \%$.

Median household income within coastal and noncoastal counties also differs within regions (Figure 8) as the location of large cities and the cost of living may vary. For instance, in the Northeast region, the average median household income in coastal counties is almost $\$ 13,000$ greater than noncoastal counties. The Pacific region shows a similar pattern with a difference of $\$ 8,600$. In the Gulf of Mexico region, there is less than one percent difference between coastal and noncoastal counties. The Southeast region is the only region where the average of median household income of noncoastal counties exceeds coastal counties.


FIGURE 8. Coastal and noncoastal median household income (county average) by region in 2000

[^2]
## Median Household Income of Coastal Counties: 2000



FI GURE 9. Median household income in 2000
Source: U.S. Census Bureau
Leading States in Median Household Income in 2000 (Dollars)

| Connecticut | 55,500 | Maryland | 51,300 | California | 48,700 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| New Jersey | 55,200 | Massachusetts | 48,900 | New Hampshire | 48,700 |

## Regional Trends



## Northeast Region

The Northeast region is the most populated coastal region in the United States. In 2003, 52.6 million people, or 34 percent of the nation's total coastal population, resided there. The Northeast region extends from northern Maine south to the tidewaters of Virginia, encompassing the coastlines of 11 states. Ten of the 11 states in the region have the majority of their populations in coastal counties. The 180 coastal counties found in this region (including the District of Columbia) constitute 40 percent of the region's total land area and contain 77 percent of the region's population. Of the nation's 10 largest metropolitan areas, four are located along the coast of this region: New York, Washington DC/Baltimore, Philadelphia, and Boston.

## Population Density

In 2003, the population density of the combined coastal counties in this region was 641 persons per square mile, up from 543 in 1980, and is expected to climb to 661 in 2008. This is over 11 times the nation's noncoastal population density (not including Alaska). Of the 25 most densely populated coastal counties in the United States, 21 are found in the Northeast region.

## Hot Spots of Growth

From 2003 to 2008, the Northeast coastal population is expected to increase by approximately 1.7 million people. This change will occur most heavily in counties that fall within, are adjacent to, or are one county beyond major metropolitan centers. Six of the counties expecting large population increases will be found in and around New York City and four outside of Washington, DC (Figure 10). For instance, Fairfax, VA, located adjacent to Washington, DC, is expected to show the greatest increase, growing by over 100,000 people in this fiveyear period. Queens County, Kings County, and Suffolk County, NY, are all expected to increase between 54,000 and 86,000 people.

In contrast to population change, percent population change from 2003 to 2008 reveals a different pattern. The greatest percent coastal population change is expected to occur in the two southernmost states of the region, Maryland and Virginia (Figure 11). Of the 10 leading coastal counties in percent population change, eight are located in Virginia and two are located in Maryland, all averaging a 13 percent to 23 percent increase in growth. Additionally, many of these counties are located further from major metropolitan centers than those leading in absolute growth.

[^3]Projected Population Change in the Northeast Region: 2003-2008

Population Change (Thousands)$40.1+$
20.1-40.0
10.1-20.0
$-42.0-10.0$

FIGURE 10
2003-2008
Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.

## Projected Percent Population Change

## in the Northeast Region:

 2003-2008Percent Change$15.1+$
10.1-15.0
5.1-10.0


FIGURE 11. Projected percent population change in the Northeast Region: 2003-2008

Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.


## Southeast Region

The Southeast region is the least populated coastal region of the United States. In 2003, 14 million people, or 9 percent of the nation's coastal county population, resided there. The Southeast region extends from the northern Outer Banks of North Carolina to the southern tip of Florida. Only one of the region's states, Florida, has most of its population living in coastal counties. The 103 coastal counties in this region constitute 33 percent of the region's total land area and contain 37 percent of the region's population. The region's largest metropolitan area found along the coast is Miami/Fort Lauderdale, FL (the twelfth largest in the entire United States).

## Population Density

In 2003, the coastal population density of the region was 224 persons per square mile, up from 142 in 1980, and expected to increase to 241 in 2008 . The expected population density increase from 1980 to 2008 represents the largest percent increase of any region. The most densely populated counties in the region are Broward County, FL, with 1,437 persons per square mile, and Seminole County, FL, with 1,254 persons per square mile. By 2008, these counties are expected to increase in population density by 10 percent and 14 percent, respectively.

## Hot Spots of Growth

From 2003 to 2008, coastal population in the Southeast region is expected to grow by 1.1 million people or 8 percent. This is the largest percent increase of all regions within this period. Of the 10 leading counties in population change, 8 are expected to be in Florida (Figure 12). Population growth will be most prominent in the southernmost portion of Florida, with Broward County expected to increase by 167,000 persons and Palm Beach County expected to increase by 151,000 persons.

Coastal counties with high percent population change are found throughout the region. Particularly, counties in Florida and North Carolina rank highest (Figure 13). For example, Brunswick, NC, is expected to show the greatest percent increase, 17 percent, followed by Nassau, FL, with 16 percent. The fastest-growing counties in South Carolina and Georgia are expected to average 10 to 12 percent growth.

## Land Conversion

The nation's coastal counties are losing 1,997 acres of farmland per day to urban and other land uses. This is approximately 2 percent faster than noncoastal counties. The average size of farms in coastal counties has decreased by 15 percent between 1987 and 2002 compared to a decrease of 7 percent in noncoastal counties (USDA, 2004).


Miami-Dade County, Florida; SFWMD


FIGURE 12. Projected population change in the Southeast Region: 2003-2008
Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc


FIGURE 13. Projected percent population change in the
Southeast Region: 2003-2008
Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.


## Gulf of Mexico Region

The Gulf of Mexico region is the fourth most populated coastal region in the United States. In 2003, the region's coastal population was just over 19.1 million, 13 percent of the nation's coastal population. The Gulf of Mexico region extends from the Florida Keys westward to the southern tip of Texas, following the coastline of six states. Only two of the region's states, Louisiana and Florida, have the majority of their populations in coastal counties. The 144 coastal counties found in this region constitute 23 percent of the region's total land area and contain 32 percent of the region's population. Of the nation's 10 largest metropolitan areas, one is located along the coast in this region: Houston-GalvestonBrazoria.

## Population Density

In 2003, the population density of coastal counties in this region was 164 persons per square mile, up from 113 in 1980, and expected to increase to 175 in 2008. This is the least densely populated of all the regions (when Alaska is not included in the population density calculation for the Pacific region). Two of the three most densely populated coastal counties in the region are Pinellas, FL , found within the large metropolitan area of Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, with 3,308 persons per square mile, and Harris, TX, found within Houston-Galveston-Brazoria with 2,080 persons per square mile. By 2008, these counties are expected to increase in population density by 4 percent and 5 percent, respectively.

## Hot Spots of Growth

From 2003 to 2008, the Gulf of Mexico's coastal population is expected to grow by just over 1.2 million people or 7 percent. This is the second-highest rate of growth during this period, just behind the Southeast region. The leading coastal counties in population change are found in Texas and along Florida's central

Gulf Coast (Figure 14). Harris, TX, located northwest of Galveston Bay and containing the city of Houston, is expected to increase by 168,750 persons. This is more than double that of any other county in this region.

In terms of percentages, coastal population growth is expected to occur heavily in the Florida panhandle, in Alabama, and in southern Texas (Figure 15), where the increase is expected to reach over 18 percent in some counties.

## Waterborne Commerce

Nationwide, waterborne tonnage coming through the principal U.S. ports has increased by 14.5 million in the past five years. Of the 10 leaders in waterborne tonnage, seven are found in the Gulf of Mexico. The Port of South Louisiana alone accounts for approximately $9 \%$ of all the waterborne tonnage through principal U.S. ports (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1998; 2000).?



FIGURE 14. Projected population change in the Gulf of Mexico Region: 2003-2008 Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc


[^4] Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.

## Pacific Region

The Pacific region is the second most populated coastal region in the United States. In 2003, the population reached 39.4 million people, or 26 percent of the nation's total coastal population. The Pacific region includes the coastlines of California, Oregon, Washington, Alaska, and the entire state of Hawaii. All five states in the Pacific region have the majority of their populations in coastal counties. The 88 coastal counties constitute 57 percent of the region's total land area and contain 84 percent of the region's population. Of the nation's 10 largest metropolitan areas, two are found along the coast in this region: Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County, CA, and San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose, CA. Other metropolitan areas include Honolulu, HI, Portland, OR, and Anchorage, AK.

## Population Density

In 2003, the coastal population density (not including Alaska) of the Pacific Region was 303 persons per square mile, up from 207 in 1980, and expected to increase to 320 in 2008. Of the 25 most densely populated coastal counties in the United States, two are found in the Pacific region: Orange County, CA, and San Francisco County, CA. The state of Alaska has the smallest coastal population density with an average of 1.4 persons per square mile.

## Hot Spots of Growth

From 2003 to 2008, the Pacific region is expected to increase by 2.2 million people or 6 percent in coastal population. A large portion of this growth is expected to occur in Southern California, where four counties make up 37 percent of this projected growth (Figure 16). The 10 leading coastal counties in expected population increase contain, fall within, or are adjacent to the large metropolitan areas of San Diego, CA, Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County, CA, San Francisco-OaklandSan Jose, CA, Sacramento-Yolo, CA, and Seattle-TacomaBremerton, WA. San Diego County, Orange County, and

San Bernardino County, CA, also are expected to be leaders of population growth for the entire nation.

In terms of percentages, coastal population growth presents a much different pattern, where counties in California represent only half of the 10 leading counties in expected percent increase (Figure 17). San Benito, CA, shows the highest expected increase with 19 percent, followed by Jefferson County, WA, with 16 percent.

Population projection data provided by Woods and Poole Economics, Inc., for individual counties in Alaska are insufficient and therefore not presented graphically.

## Water Consumption

The Pacific region consumes 9.6 billion gallons of water per day. This is more than double that of any other region with the exception of the Gulf of Mexico region ( 6 billion gallons per day). In total, the nation's coastal counties consume 20 billion gallons of water per day (however, this is four times less than the total water consumption in noncoastal counties) (U.S. Census Bureau, 2001c). ${ }^{3}$



FIGURE 16. Projected population change in the Pacific Region: 2003-2008

Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.
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FIGURE 17. Projected percent population change in the Pacific Region: 2003-2008

Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.


## Great Lakes Region

The Great Lakes region is the third most populated coastal region in the United States. In 2003, 27.5 million people, or 18 percent of the nation's total coastal population, resided there. The Great Lakes region extends from the northeasternmost counties in New York westward toward Minnesota, encompassing the coastlines of eight states along Lake Ontario, Lake Huron, Lake Erie, Lake Michigan, and Lake Superior. Only two states within this region, New York and Michigan, have the majority of their populations in coastal counties. The 158 coastal counties in this region constitute 28 percent of the region's total land area and contain 33 percent of the region's population. Of the nation's 10 largest metropolitan areas, two are found along the coast in this region: Detroit and Chicago.

## Population Density

In 2003, the population density of the combined coastal counties in this region was 238 persons per square mile, up from 2226 in 1980, and expected to climb to 244 in 2008. This is the third-highest following the Northeast and Pacific regions. Of the 25 most densely populated coastal counties in the United States, one is found in the Great Lakes region: Cook County, IL.

## Hot Spots of Growth

From 2003 to 2008, the Great Lakes coastal population as a whole is expected to increase by approximately 650,000 people. This is the smallest population increase of all regions. As in the Northeast region, coastal population increases and decreases will occur most heavily in counties that fall within, are adjacent to, or are one county beyond major metropolitan centers (Figure 18). Lake County, IL, located north of Chicago, is expected to climb by 74,000 people, and Oakland County, MI, by 72,000 people, the greatest increases of any counties in the region. Of the leading coastal counties in population change, two show large decreases in population.

While the largest overall population increases are expected to occur in southern Michigan, Illinois, and Ohio, coastal counties showing large increases in percent population are located primarily in northern Michigan (Figure 19). Benzie County, MI, is expected to increase by 15 percent, followed by Grand Traverse, MI, and Lake, MI, each of which are expected to increase by 13 percent.


Between the years 1980 and 2000, the number of vehicles in the nation's coastal counties have increased by 25.5 million (or $43 \%)^{4}$ The greatest increase was found in the Northeast region, with 8 million additional cars. The greatest percent increase of vehicles was found in the Southeast region, with 74 percent, and the smallest percent increase was in the Great Lakes region, with 24 percent more vehicles. Although the overall number of vehicles has increased since 1980, in 2000 there were fewer vehicles per capita (U.S. Census Bureau, 1980; 2001e).


FIGURE 18. Projected population change in the Great Lakes Region: 2003-2008
Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.


FI GURE 19. Projected percent population change in the Great Lakes Region: 2003-2008 Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.

## Population by Coastal Watershed

Watersheds are geographic areas defined by natura hydrology and provide a sensible foundation from which water and coastal resources can be managed. In an attempt to provide population information in geographic units that are useful to coastal managers and planners, NOAA has produced population estimates for coastal watersheds (Estuarine Drainage Areas (EDAs) and Coastal Drainage Areas (CDAs)) of the contiguous United States. Population estimates for coastal watersheds for 1980 were created by determining the Census tract centroids (and their associated population estimates) that fell within each watershed. ${ }^{5}$ The same method was applied to 1990 and 2000 population data with the use of Census block groups (National Ocean Service/ NOAA, 2000). The land area covered by coastal watersheds and their total population in 2000 are smaller than that of coastal counties by almost 145,000 square miles and 21 million people (not including Alaska and Hawaii).

The total population of coastal watersheds in 2000 was approximately 127 million people or 45 percent of the national population. This is a growth of 24 million people since 1980. The 10 most populated coastal watersheds in 2000 along with their population densities are shown in Figure 20.


Ventura County, CA; ©Rich Reid / Colors of Nature.com


FIGURE 20. Ten most populated coastal watersheds with their associated population density for 2000.

Source: National Ocean Service/NOAA, and U.S. Census Bureau

Five of the 10 most populated watersheds are located from southern Virginia to New England. The Hudson River/Raritan Bay and Chesapeake Bay watersheds were the most populated overall, with over 13 million and 10 million people, respectively. However, San Pedro Bay was the most densely populated coastal watershed with 4,634 persons per square mile.

Population change from 1980 to 2000 was greatest in the Chesapeake Bay, which grew by over two million. It was closely followed by San Francisco Bay, which grew by 1.8 million, and San Pedro Bay, which grew by 1.7 million. Areas of the country where growth was heaviest during this period are shown in Figure 21. Of the 10 most populated coastal watersheds, the greatest percent population changes are found in the Southeast and Pacific regions. The populations in St. Johns River, FL, Cape Canaveral, FL, and Santa Ana, CA, all grew by over 70 percent.


FIGURE 21. Population change in the coastal watersheds: 1980-2000
Source: National Ocean Service/NOAA, and U.S. Census Bureau

## Conclusion

Although population increase and coastal development give rise to numerous economic benefits, they also may result in the loss of critical habitat, green space, and biodiversity. Public policymakers and coastal managers are confronted with the daily task of finding a balance between benefiting from economic growth while mitigating the effects of this growth on coastal environments. This task is becoming ever more challenging as the coastal population continues to grow in a limited space.

Population estimates and projections should be used cautiously as uncertainty and limitations are inherent to the data. However, these data provide critical information for coastal decision makers about recent and projected demographic trends along the coast. Characteristics such as age and income data provide information about who is living on the coast and why. Planning for and managing increased demands on infrastructure and resources are becoming increasingly complex and require analyses of demographic data.

As the coastal population continues to grow (at the same rate as the rest of the nation), attention is brought to the methods by which the coastal environment is managed and studied. A change in paradigm is taking place, moving away from management based on political boundaries and toward an ecosystem-based management approach to population growth, urban sprawl, and their interactions with the sensitive coastal environment. Recently, the U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy (2004) highlighted the need to manage coastal resources in the framework of the watersheds that affect them, ultimately recognizing the crucial connection between coastal and upland areas and the effects of a growing population.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the following individuals for their assistance. John Hayes compiled Census data and provided an editorial review. Percy Pacheco compiled Census data. Gini Kennedy provided advice on graphic design. Susan Sargent, Alison Hammer, Brent Ache, and Pam Rubin provided editorial reviews. Kara Shuster assisted in compiling the appendices. Charles Bookman, James Fitzsimmons, Marc Perry, and Frank Hobbs provided peer reviews.

Bookman, C. A., T. J. Culliton, and M. A. Warren. 1999. Trends in U.S. Coastal Regions, 1970-1998. Addendum to the Proceedings, Trends and Future Challenges for U.S. National Ocean and Coastal Policy. Silver Spring, MD: U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Center for Health Communication, Harvard School of Public Health. 2004. Reinventing Aging: Baby Boomers and Civic Engagement. Boston, MA: Harvard School of Public Health. Available from:
<http://research.aarp.org/general/boomers_engageme nt. html> (accessed July 28, 2004).

Colgan, C. S. 2004. The National Ocean Economics Project. 2004. The Changing Ocean and Coastal Economy of the United States: A Briefing Paper for Governors. Prepared for National Governors Association.

Culliton, T. J. 1998. Population: Distribution, Density and Growth. Silver Spring, MD: DOC, NOAA. Available from: <http://oceanservice.noaa.gov/websites/retiredsites/su pp_sotc_retired.html> (accessed October 15, 2003).

Culliton, T. J., M. A. Warren, T. R. Goodspeed, D. G. Remer, C. M. Blackwell, and J. J. McDonough. 1990. 50 Years of Population Change Along the Nation's Coasts: 1960-2010, A Special Earth Week Report. Rockville, MD: DOC, NOAA. (Coastal Trends Series No. 2).

Franklin, R. S., U.S. Census Bureau. 2003. Domestic Migration Across Regions, Divisions, and States: 19952000. Washington, DC: DOC, U.S. Census Bureau. Available from:
<http://www.census.gov/prod/2003pubs/ censr-7.pdf> (accessed December 12, 2003).

Frey, W. H. 2003. Boomers and Seniors in the Suburbs: Aging Patterns in Census 2000. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution, Center on Urban and Metropolitan Policy. (Living Cities Census Series). Available from: <http://www.brookings.edu/dybdocroot/es/urban/publica tions/freyboomers.pdf> (accessed January, 21, 2004).

Geolytics, Inc. 2003. CensusCD Estimates, Projections, Consumer Expenditures and Profiles 2003/2008. East Brunswick, NJ.
H. John Heinz Center for Science, Economics and the Environment. 2000. Evaluation of Erosion Hazards. Washington, DC: The Heinz Center.

Hinrichsen, Don. 1998. Coastal Waters of the World: Trends, Threats, and Strategies. Washington, DC: Island Press.

Johnson, K. P., Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA). 1995. Redefinition of BEA Economic Areas. Available from: [http://www.bea.doc.gov/bea/regional/articles/0295rea](http://www.bea.doc.gov/bea/regional/articles/0295rea) (accessed May 15, 2004).

National Ocean Service, NOAA. 2000. Spatial patterns of socioeconomic data from 1970 to 2000: a national research dataset aggregated by watershed and political boundaries [electronic resource]. Available from:
[http://cads.nos.noaa.gov/](http://cads.nos.noaa.gov/) (accessed October 20, 2003).
National Safety Council. 1998. Coastal Challenges: A Guide to Coastal and Marine Issues. Environmental Health Center. Washington, DC: National Safety Council.

NPA Data Services, Inc. 2003. Key Indicators of County Growth 1970 - 2025, Extended to 2030 (2003 Edition). Arlington, VA.

Ocean City Public Relations Office. 2004. Number of Visitors to Ocean City, MD by Year \& Summer. Ocean City, MD.

Perry, M. J., U.S. Census Bureau. 2003. State-to-State Migration Flows: 1995 to 2000. Washington, DC: DOC, U.S. Census Bureau. Available from:
[http://www.census.gov/prod/2003pubs/censr-8.pdf](http://www.census.gov/prod/2003pubs/censr-8.pdf) (accessed December 12, 2003).
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1998; 2000; 2002. Waterborne Tonnage for Principal U.S. Ports and all 50 States and U.S. Territories. Waterborne Tonnages for Domestic, Foreign, Imports, Exports and Intra-State Waterborne Traffic. Navigation Data Center, Waterborne Commerce Statistics Center. Available from:
[http://www.iwr.usace.army.mil/ndc/wcsc/wcsc.htm](http://www.iwr.usace.army.mil/ndc/wcsc/wcsc.htm) (accessed April 13, 2004).
U.S. Census Bureau. 1980. 1980 Census of Population and Housing. Washington, DC. Obtained via Geolytics, Inc., East Brunswick, NJ.
1991. 1990 Census of Population and Housing.

Washington, DC, 1990. Obtained via Geolytics, Inc., East Brunswick, NJ.
2000. 1999 Residential Construction Data Files. Manufacturing and Construction Division. Residential Construction Branch. Washington, DC: DOC, U.S. Census Bureau.
. 2001a. 2000 Residential Construction Data Files. Manufacturing and Construction Division. Residential Construction Branch. Washington, DC: DOC, U.S. Census Bureau.

2001b. Appendix A: Census 2000 Geographic Terms and Concepts. Available from:
http://www.census.gov/geo/www/tiger/glossry2.pdf (accessed April 5, 2004).
. 2001c. County and City Data Book: 2000 (13th Edition). Washington, DC: DOC, U.S. Census Bureau.

2001d. Statistical Abstract of the United States: 2002 (122nd Edition). Washington, DC: DOC, U.S. Census Bureau.
. 2001e. Summary File 1, 2000 Census of Population ān̄ Housing. Washington, DC. Obtained via Geolytics, Inc., East Brunswick, NJ.
2002. 2001 Residential Construction Data Files. Manufacturing and Construction Division. Residential Construction Branch. Washington, DC: DOC, U.S. Census Bureau.
. 2003a. 2002 Residential Construction Data Files. Manufacturing and Construction Division. Residential Construction Branch. Washington, DC: DOC, U.S. Census Bureau.
__. 2003b. 2003 County Population Estimates. Available from: <http://eire.census.gov/ popest/estimates.php> (accessed April 12, 2004).
. 2004. 2003 Residential Construction Data Files. Manufacturing and Construction Division. Residential Construction Branch. Washington, DC: DOC, U.S. Census Bureau.
U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy. 2004. Preliminary Report of the U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy Governors' Draft. Washington, DC.
U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2004. 2002 Census of Agriculture. National Agriculture Statistics Service. Available from:
[http://www.nass.usda.gov/census/](http://www.nass.usda.gov/census/) (accessed July 28, 2004).
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 8-Hour Ground-level Ozone Designations. Available from: [http://www.epa.gov/ozonedesignations/index.htm](http://www.epa.gov/ozonedesignations/index.htm) (accessed April 15, 2004).
U.S. Geologic Survey. 1987. Hydrologic Unit Maps, What are Hydrologic Units? Available from: [http://water.usgs.gov/GIS/huc.html](http://water.usgs.gov/GIS/huc.html) (accessed May 3, 2004).

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation. 2003. Soil and Water Conservation, Hydrologic Unit Hydrology. Available from:
[http://www.dcr.state.va.us/sw/hu.htm](http://www.dcr.state.va.us/sw/hu.htm) (accessed May 3, 2004).

Woods and Poole Economics, Inc. (W\&PE). 2003. 2003 Desktop Data Files. Washington, DC: W\&PE.

World Resources Institute (WRI). 2000. World Resources: 2000-2001. Washington, DC: WRI.

## Endnotes

${ }^{1}$ Hydrologic units are classified at four levels: regions, sub-regions, accounting units, and cataloging units. Cataloging units are the smallest hydrologic unit in this hierarchy (U.S. Geologic Survey, 1987). There are 2,150 cataloging units in the United States, with an average cataloging unit size of 703 square miles (Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation, 2003).

2 Principal ports are defined by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (2002). The five-year period of study was 1998 to 2002.
${ }^{3}$ Water consumption represents "that part of water withdrawn that is evaporated, transpired, incorporated into products or crops, consumed by humans or livestock, or otherwise removed from the immediate water environment" (U.S. Census Bureau, 2001).

4 Total number of vehicles for coastal counties was quantified using the "1-car per household," " 2-car per household," and "3-car per household" fields in the 2000 Census. Numbers of households were multiplied by the number of cars they contained and totaled (households with more than three cars were not used in this calculation).
${ }^{5}$ A Census Tract is a statistical subdivision of a county or county equivalent area containing between 1,500 and 8,000 persons. A Census block group is an aggregation of Census blocks (the smallest Census geographic unit) containing between 600 and 3,000 persons. In the standard hierarchy of Census geographic entities, block groups lie just below Census tracts (U.S. Census Bureau, 2000). For further information on Census geographies, visit http://www.census.gov/geo/www/reference.html.

## Appendix A: Population Change



Source: U.S. Census Bureau

## Appendix B: Total Housing Units

Total Housing Units: 2000


[^5]
## Appendix C: Northeast




Appendix C: Northeast

Coastal Counties

|  | Maine |  | Rhode Island |  | New J ersey |  | Delaware | 127 | Chesterfield | 164 | Colonial Heights |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Androscoggin | 33 | Bristol | 64 | Atlantic | 96 | Kent | 128 | Cumberland | 165 | Fairfax |
| 2 | Cumberland | 34 | Kent | 65 | Bergen | 97 | New Castle | 129 | Dinwiddie | 166 | Falls Church |
| 3 | Franklin | 35 | Newport | 66 | Burlington | 98 | Sussex | 130 | Essex | 167 | Fredericksburg |
| 4 | Hancock | 36 | Providence | 67 | Camden |  |  | 131 | Fairfax | 168 | Hampton |
| 5 | Kennebec | 37 | Washington | 68 | Cape May |  | Maryland | 132 | Fauquier | 169 | Hopewell |
| 6 | Knox |  |  | 69 | Cumberland | 99 | Anne Arundel | 133 | Fluvanna | 170 | Manassas |
| 7 | Lincoln |  | Connecticut | 70 | Essex | 100 | Baltimore | 134 | Gloucester | 171 | Manassas Park |
| 8 | Oxford | 38 | Fairfield | 71 | Gloucester | 101 | Calvert | 135 | Goochland | 172 | Newport News |
| 9 | Penobscot | 39 | Hartford | 72 | Hudson | 102 | Caroline | 136 | Hanover | 173 | Norfolk |
| 10 | Sagadahoc | 40 | Litchfield | 73 | Hunterdon | 103 | Carroll | 137 | Henrico | 174 | Petersburg |
| 11 | Somerset | 41 | Middlesex | 74 | Mercer | 104 | Cecil | 138 | Isle of Wight | 175 | Poquoson |
| 12 | Waldo | 42 | New Haven | 75 | Middlesex | 105 | Charles | 139 | James City | 176 | Portsmouth |
| 13 | Washington | 43 | New London | 76 | Monmouth | 106 | Dorchester | 140 | King and Queen | 177 | Richmond |
| 14 | York | 44 | Tolland | 77 | Morris | 107 | Harford | 141 | King George | 178 | Suffolk |
|  |  | 45 | Windham | 78 | Ocean | 108 | Howard | 142 | King William | 179 | Virginia Beach |
|  | New Hampshire |  |  | 79 | Passaic | 109 | Kent | 143 | Lancaster | 180 | Williamsburg |
| 15 | Belknap |  | New York | 80 | Salem | 110 | Montgomery | 144 | Louisa |  |  |
| 16 | Carroll | 46 | Albany | 81 | Somerset | 111 | Prince George's | 145 | Mathews |  |  |
| 17 | Hillsborough | 47 | Bronx | 82 | Sussex | 112 | Queen Anne's | 146 | Middlesex |  |  |
| 18 | Merrimack | 48 | Columbia | 83 | Union | 113 | St. Mary's | 147 | New Kent |  |  |
| 19 | Rockingham | 49 | Dutchess |  |  | 114 | Somerset | 148 | Northampton |  |  |
| 20 | Strafford | 50 | Greene |  | Pennsylvania | 115 | Talbot | 149 | Northumberland |  |  |
|  |  | 51 | Kings | 84 | Adams | 116 | Wicomico | 150 | Nottoway |  |  |
|  | Massachusetts | 52 | Nassau | 85 | Berks | 117 | Worcester | 151 | Orange |  |  |
| 21 | Barnstable | 53 | New York | 86 | Bucks | 118 | Baltimore | 152 | Powhatan |  |  |
| 22 | Berkshire | 54 | Orange | 87 | Chester |  |  | 153 | Prince Edward |  |  |
| 23 | Bristol | 55 | Putnam | 88 | Delaware | 119 | District of | 154 | Prince George |  |  |
| 24 | Dukes | 56 | Queens | 89 | Lancaster |  | Columbia | 155 | Prince William |  |  |
| 25 | Essex | 57 | Rensselaer | 90 | Lebanon |  |  | 156 | Richmond |  |  |
| 26 | Hampden | 58 | Richmond | 91 | Lehigh |  | Virginia | 157 | Spotsylvania |  |  |
| 27 | Middlesex | 59 | Rockland | 92 | Montgomery | 120 | Accomack | 158 | Stafford |  |  |
| 28 | Nantucket | 60 | Schenectady | 93 | Philadelphia | 121 | Amelia | 159 | Surry |  |  |
| 29 | Norfolk | 61 | Suffolk | 94 | Schuylkill | 122 | Appomattox | 160 | Westmoreland |  |  |
| 30 | Plymouth | 62 | Ulster | 95 | York | 123 | Arlington | 161 | York |  |  |
| 31 | Suffolk | 63 | Westchester |  |  | 124 | Buckingham | 162 | Alexandria |  |  |
| 32 | Worcester |  |  |  |  | 125 | Caroline | 163 | Chesapeake |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 126 | Charles City |  |  |  |  |

Northeast Population, 1980-2008

|  |  | 1980 |  | 1990 |  | 2000 |  | 2003 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | Land Area (Sq. Mi.) | Absolute* | Density** | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density |
| MAINE | 30,862 | 1,125 | 36 | 1,228 | 40 | 1,275 | 41 | 1,306 | 42 | 1,332 | 43 |
| Coastal | 20,226 | 1,016 | 50 | 1,122 | 55 | 1,184 | 59 | 1,215 | 60 | 1,244 | 62 |
| Coastal Percent | 66 | 90 |  | 91 |  | 93 |  | 93 |  | 93 |  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 8,968 | 921 | 103 | 1,109 | 124 | 1,236 | 138 | 1,288 | 144 | 1,356 | 151 |
| Coastal | 4,209 | 721 | 171 | 891 | 212 | 1,007 | 239 | 1,053 | 250 | 1,114 | 265 |
| Coastal Percent | 47 | 78 |  | 80 |  | 81 |  | 82 |  | 82 |  |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 7,840 | 5,737 | 732 | 6,016 | 767 | 6,349 | 810 | 6,433 | 821 | 6,598 | 842 |
| Coastal | 6,610 | 5,534 | 837 | 5,800 | 877 | 6,125 | 927 | 6,206 | 939 | 6,364 | 963 |
| Coastal Percent | 84 | 96 |  | 96 |  | 96 |  | 96 |  | 96 |  |
| RHODE ISLAND | 1,045 | 947 | 906 | 1,003 | 960 | 1,048 | 1,003 | 1,076 | 1,030 | 1,094 | 1,047 |
| Coastal | 1,045 | 947 | 906 | 1,003 | 960 | 1,048 | 1,003 | 1,076 | 1,030 | 1,094 | 1,047 |
| Coastal Percent | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| CONNECTICUT | 4,845 | 3,108 | 641 | 3,287 | 678 | 3,406 | 703 | 3,483 | 719 | 3,520 | 727 |
| Coastal | 4,845 | 3,108 | 641 | 3,287 | 678 | 3,406 | 703 | 3,483 | 719 | 3,520 | 727 |
| Coastal Percent | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| NEW YORK | 47,214 | 17,558 | 372 | 17,990 | 381 | 18,976 | 402 | 19,190 | 406 | 19,590 | 415 |
| Coastal | 7,751 | 12,232 | 1,578 | 12,593 | 1,625 | 13,572 | 1,751 | 13,773 | 1,777 | 14,136 | 1,824 |
| Coastal Percent | 16 | 70 |  | 70 |  | 72 |  | 72 |  | 72 |  |
| NEW JERSEY | 7,417 | 7,365 | 993 | 7,730 | 1,042 | 8,414 | 1,134 | 8,638 | 1,165 | 8,916 | 1,202 |
| Coastal | 7,059 | 7,280 | 1,031 | 7,639 | 1,082 | 8,312 | 1,177 | 8,529 | 1,208 | 8,802 | 1,247 |
| Coastal Percent | 95 | 99 |  | 99 |  | 99 |  | 99 |  | 99 |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 44,817 | 11,864 | 265 | 11,882 | 265 | 12,281 | 274 | 12,365 | 276 | 12,572 | 281 |
| Coastal | 6,884 | 5,280 | 767 | 5,464 | 794 | 5,750 | 835 | 5,826 | 846 | 5,941 | 863 |
| Coastal Percent | 15 | 45 |  | 46 |  | 47 |  | 47 |  | 47 |  |
| DELAWARE | 1,954 | 594 | 304 | 666 | 341 | 784 | 401 | 817 | 418 | 857 | 439 |
| Coastal | 1,954 | 594 | 304 | 666 | 341 | 784 | 401 | 817 | 418 | 857 | 439 |
| Coastal Percent | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| MARYLAND | 9,774 | 4,217 | 431 | 4,781 | 489 | 5,296 | 542 | 5,509 | 564 | 5,786 | 592 |
| Coastal | 7,578 | 3,882 | 512 | 4,407 | 582 | 4,865 | 642 | 5,055 | 667 | 5,301 | 700 |
| Coastal Percent | 78 | 92 |  | 92 |  | 92 |  | 92 |  | 92 |  |
| DC | 61 | 638 | 10,464 | 607 | 9,949 | 572 | 9,378 | 563 | 9,236 | 560 | 9,187 |
| Coastal | 61 | 638 | 10,464 | 607 | 9,949 | 572 | 9,378 | 563 | 9,236 | 560 | 9,187 |
| Coastal Percent | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| VIRGINIA | 39,594 | 5,347 | 135 | 6,187 | 156 | 7,079 | 179 | 7,386 | 187 | 7,809 | 197 |
| Coastal | 13,902 | 3,396 | 244 | 4,152 | 299 | 4,794 | 345 | 5,024 | 361 | 5,362 | 385 |
| Coastal Percent | 35 | 64 |  | 67 |  | 68 |  | 68 |  | 69 |  |
| TOTAL | 204,391 | 59,420 | 291 | 62,488 | 306 | 66,716 | 326 | 68,056 | 333 | 69,991 | 342 |
| Coastal | 82,124 | 44,629 | 543 | 47,630 | 580 | 51,417 | 626 | 52,620 | 641 | 54,295 | 661 |
| Coastal Percent | 40 | 75 |  | 76 |  | 77 |  | 77 |  | 78 |  |

*Thousand Persons **Persons per square mile
Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.

## Appendix D: Southeast



|  | North Carolina | 28 | Pender | 54 | Lancaster | 80 | Screven |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Anson | 29 | Perquimans | 55 | Lee | 81 | Tattnall |
| 2 | Beaufort | 30 | Pitt | 56 | Marion | 82 | Toombs |
| 3 | Bertie | 31 | Richmond | 57 | Marlboro | 83 | Ware |
| 4 | Bladen | 32 | Sampson | 58 | Sumter | 84 | Wayne |
| 5 | Brunswick | 33 | Scotland | 59 | Williamsburg |  |  |
| 6 | Camden | 34 | Tyrrell |  |  |  | Florida |
| 7 | Carteret | 35 | Washington |  | Georgia | 85 | Baker |
| 8 | Chowan | 36 | Wayne | 60 | Appling | 86 | Brevard |
| 9 | Columbus | 37 | Wilson | 61 | Atkinson | 87 | Broward |
| 10 | Craven |  |  | 62 | Bacon | 88 | Clay |
| 11 | Cumberland |  | South Carolina | 63 | Brantley | 89 | Duval |
| 12 | Currituck | 38 | Allendale | 64 | Bryan | 90 | Flagler |
| 13 | Dare | 39 | Beaufort | 65 | Bulloch | 91 | Indian River |
| 14 | Duplin | 40 | Berkeley | 66 | Camden | 92 | Martin |
| 15 | Edgecombe | 41 | Charleston | 67 | Charlton | 93 | Miami-Dade |
| 16 | Gates | 42 | Chesterfield | 68 | Chatham | 94 | Nassau |
| 17 | Halifax | 43 | Clarendon | 69 | Coffee | 95 | Okeechobee |
| 18 | Hertford | 44 | Colleton | 70 | Effingham | 96 | Orange |
| 19 | Hyde | 45 | Darlington | 71 | Glynn | 97 | Osceola |
| 20 | Jones | 46 | Dillon | 72 | Irwin | 98 | Palm Beach |
| 21 | Lenoir | 47 | Dorchester | 73 | Jeff Davis | 99 | Putnam |
| 22 | Martin | 48 | Florence | 74 | Jenkins | 100 | St. Johns |
| 23 | New Hanover | 49 | Georgetown | 75 | Liberty | 101 | St. Lucie |
| 24 | Northampton | 50 | Hampton | 76 | Long | 102 | Seminole |
| 25 | Onslow | 51 | Horry | 77 | Mcl ntosh | 103 | Volusia |
| 26 | Pamlico | 52 | Jasper | 78 | Montgomery |  |  |
| 27 | Pasquotank | 53 | Kershaw | 79 | Pierce |  |  |

Southeast Population, 1980-2008

|  |  | 1980 |  | 1990 |  | 2000 |  | 2003 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | Land Area (Sq. Mi.) | Absolute* | Density** | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 48,711 | 5,882 | 121 | 6,629 | 136 | 8,049 | 165 | 8,407 | 173 | 9,003 | 185 |
| Coastal | 19,591 | 1,598 | 82 | 1,756 | 90 | 1,985 | 101 | 2,017 | 103 | 2,138 | 109 |
| Coastal Percent | 40 | 27 |  | 26 |  | 25 |  | 24 |  | 24 |  |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 30,110 | 3,122 | 104 | 3,487 | 116 | 4,012 | 133 | 4,147 | 138 | 4,416 | 147 |
| Coastal | 15,233 | 1,288 | 85 | 1,455 | 96 | 1,653 | 109 | 1,713 | 112 | 1,820 | 120 |
| Coastal Percent | 51 | 41 |  | 42 |  | 41 |  | 41 |  | 41 |  |
| GEORGIA | 57,906 | 5,463 | 94 | 6,478 | 112 | 8,186 | 141 | 8,685 | 150 | 9,202 | 159 |
| Coastal | 12,076 | 620 | 51 | 705 | 58 | 821 | 68 | 844 | 70 | 889 | 74 |
| Coastal Percent | 21 | 11 |  | 11 |  | 10 |  | 10 |  | 10 |  |
| FLORIDA | 53,927 | 9,746 | 181 | 12,938 | 240 | 15,982 | 296 | 17,019 | 316 | 18,397 | 341 |
| Coastal | 16,616 | 5,483 | 330 | 7,288 | 439 | 9,072 | 546 | 9,664 | 582 | 10,468 | 630 |
| Coastal Percent | 31 | 56 |  | 56 |  | 57 |  | 57 |  | 57 |  |
| TOTAL | 190,654 | 24,213 | 127 | 29,531 | 155 | 36,230 | 190 | 38,258 | 201 | 41,019 | 215 |
| Coastal | 63,516 | 8,989 | 142 | 11,205 | 176 | 13,532 | 213 | 14,238 | 224 | 15,315 | 241 |
| Coastal Percent | 33 | 37 |  | 38 |  | 37 |  | 37 |  | 37 |  |

*Thousand Persons **Persons per square mile
Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.

## Appendix E: Gulf of Mexico



|  | Florida | 36 | Sarasota |  | Louisiana | 101 | Washington | 135 | Refugio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bay | 37 | Sumter | 66 | Acadia | 102 | West Baton Rouge | 136 | San Patricio |
| 2 | Calhoun | 38 | Suwannee | 67 | Ascension | 103 | West Feliciana | 137 | Starr |
| 3 | Charlotte | 39 | Taylor | 68 | Assumption |  |  | 138 | Tyler |
| 4 | Citrus | 40 | Wakulla | 69 | Avoyelles |  | Texas | 139 | Victoria |
| 5 | Collier | 41 | Walton | 70 | Beauregard | 104 | Aransas | 140 | Waller |
| 6 | DeSoto | 42 | Washington | 71 | Calcasieu | 105 | Austin | 141 | Washington |
| 7 | Dixie |  |  | 72 | Cameron | 106 | Bee | 142 | Webb |
| 8 | Escambia |  | Georgia | 73 | East Baton Rouge | 107 | Brazoria | 143 | Wharton |
| 9 | Franklin | 43 | Decatur | 74 | East Feliciana | 108 | Brooks | 144 | Willacy |
| 10 | Gadsden | 44 | Grady | 75 | Evangeline | 109 | Calhoun |  |  |
| 11 | Gilchrist | 45 | Thomas | 76 | I beria | 110 | Cameron |  |  |
| 12 | Glades |  |  | 77 | I berville | 111 | Chambers |  |  |
| 13 | Gulf |  | Alabama | 78 | J efferson | 112 | Colorado |  |  |
| 14 | Hardee | 46 | Baldwin | 79 | J efferson Davis | 113 | DeWitt |  |  |
| 15 | Hendry | 47 | Clarke | 80 | Lafayette | 114 | Duval |  |  |
| 16 | Hernando | 48 | Covington | 81 | Lafourche | 115 | Fayette |  |  |
| 17 | Hillsborough | 49 | Escambia | 82 | Livingston | 116 | Fort Bend |  |  |
| 18 | Holmes | 50 | Geneva | 83 | Orleans | 117 | Galveston |  |  |
| 19 | J ackson | 51 | Mobile | 84 | Plaquemines | 118 | Goliad |  |  |
| 20 | J efferson | 52 | Monroe | 85 | Pointe Coupee | 119 | Harris |  |  |
| 21 | Lafayette | 53 | Washington | 86 | Rapides | 120 | Hidalgo |  |  |
| 22 | Lake |  |  | 87 | Sabine | 121 | Jackson |  |  |
| 23 | Lee |  | Mississippi | 88 | St. Bernard | 122 | J asper |  |  |
| 24 | Leon | 54 | Amite | 89 | St. Charles | 123 | J efferson |  |  |
| 25 | Levy | 55 | George | 90 | St. Helena | 124 | J im Hogg |  |  |
| 26 | Liberty | 56 | Hancock | 91 | St. James | 125 | J im Wells |  |  |
| 27 | Madison | 57 | Harrison | 92 | St. John the Baptist | 126 | Kenedy |  |  |
| 28 | Manatee | 58 | J ackson | 93 | St. Landry | 127 | Kleberg |  |  |
| 29 | Marion | 59 | Lamar | 94 | St. Martin | 128 | Lavaca |  |  |
| 30 | Monroe | 60 | Marion | 95 | St. Mary | 129 | Liberty |  |  |
| 31 | Okaloosa | 61 | Pearl River | 96 | St. Tammany | 130 | Live Oak |  |  |
| 32 | Pasco | 62 | Pike | 97 | Tangipahoa | 131 | Matagorda |  |  |
| 33 | Pinellas | 63 | Stone | 98 | Terrebonne | 132 | Newton |  |  |
| 34 | Polk | 64 | Walthall | 99 | Vermilion | 133 | Nueces |  |  |
| 35 | Santa Rosa | 65 | Wilkinson | 100 | Vernon | 134 | Orange |  |  |

Gulf of Mexico Population, 1980-2008

|  |  | 1980 |  | 1990 |  | 2000 |  | 2003 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | Land Area (Sq. Mi.) | Absolute* | Density** | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density |
| FLORIDA | 53,927 | 9,746 | 181 | 12,938 | 240 | 15,982 | 296 | 17,019 | 316 | 18,397 | 341 |
| Coastal | 33,565 | 3,990 | 119 | 5,313 | 158 | 6,495 | 194 | 6,926 | 206 | 7,474 | 223 |
| Coastal Percent | 62 | 41 |  | 41 |  | 41 |  | 41 |  | 41 |  |
| GEORGIA | 57,906 | 5,463 | 94 | 6,478 | 112 | 8,186 | 141 | 8,685 | 150 | 9,202 | 159 |
| Coastal | 1,603 | 83 | 52 | 85 | 53 | 95 | 59 | 96 | 60 | 100 | 62 |
| Coastal Percent | 3 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| ALABAMA | 50,744 | 3,894 | 77 | 4,041 | 80 | 4,447 | 88 | 4,501 | 89 | 4,730 | 93 |
| Coastal | 8,731 | 610 | 70 | 640 | 73 | 712 | 82 | 721 | 83 | 768 | 88 |
| Coastal Percent | 17 | 16 |  | 16 |  | 16 |  | 16 |  | 16 |  |
| MISSISSIPPI | 46,907 | 2,521 | 54 | 2,573 | 55 | 2,845 | 61 | 2,881 | 61 | 3,022 | 64 |
| Coastal | 6,778 | 482 | 71 | 509 | 75 | 588 | 87 | 599 | 88 | 638 | 94 |
| Coastal Percent | 14 | 19 |  | 20 |  | 21 |  | 21 |  | 21 |  |
| LOUISIANA | 43,562 | 4,206 | 97 | 4,220 | 97 | 4,469 | 103 | 4,496 | 103 | 4,662 | 107 |
| Coastal | 25,733 | 3,253 | 126 | 3,292 | 128 | 3,510 | 136 | 3,539 | 138 | 3,683 | 143 |
| Coastal Percent | 59 | 77 |  | 78 |  | 79 |  | 79 |  | 79 |  |
| TEXAS | 261,797 | 14,229 | 54 | 16,987 | 65 | 20,852 | 80 | 22,119 | 84 | 23,766 | 91 |
| Coastal | 40,234 | 4,806 | 119 | 5,582 | 139 | 6,850 | 170 | 7,277 | 181 | 7,743 | 192 |
| Coastal Percent | 15 | 34 |  | 33 |  | 33 |  | 33 |  | 32 |  |
| TOTAL | 514,843 | 40,059 | 78 | 47,236 | 92 | 56,781 | 110 | 59,701 | 116 | 63,778 | 124 |
| Coastal | 116,644 | 13,225 | 113 | 15,421 | 132 | 18,250 | 156 | 19,159 | 164 | 20,406 | 175 |
| Coastal Percent | 23 | 33 |  | 33 |  | 32 |  | 32 |  | 32 |  |

*Thousand Persons **Persons per square mile
Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.

## Appendix F: Pacific



|  | California | 25 | Sonoma | 46 | I sland | 69 | Kenai Peninsula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Alameda | 26 | Sutter | 47 | J efferson | 70 | Ketchikan Gateway |
| 2 | Contra Costa | 27 | Trinity | 48 | King | 71 | Kodiak Island |
| 3 | Del Norte | 28 | Ventura | 49 | Kitsap | 72 | Lake and Peninsula |
| 4 | Humboldt | 29 | Yolo | 50 | Lewis | 73 | Matanuska-Susitna |
| 5 | Los Angeles |  |  | 51 | Mason | 74 | Nome |
| 6 | Marin |  | Oregon | 52 | Pacific | 75 | North Slope |
| 7 | Mendocino | 30 | Benton | 53 | Pierce | 76 | Northwest Arctic |
| 8 | Monterey | 31 | Clackamas | 54 | San Juan | 77 | Prince of Wales-Outer Ketchikan |
| 9 | Napa | 32 | Clatsop | 55 | Skagit | 78 | Sitka |
| 10 | Orange | 33 | Columbia | 56 | Skamania | 79 | Skagway-Hoonah-Angoon |
| 11 | Riverside | 34 | Coos | 57 | Snohomish | 80 | Valdez-Cordova |
| 12 | Sacramento | 35 | Curry | 58 | Thurston | 81 | Wade Hampton |
| 13 | San Benito | 36 | Douglas | 59 | Wahkiakum | 82 | Wrangell-Petersburg |
| 14 | San Bernardino | 37 | Josephine | 60 | Whatcom | 83 | Yakutat |
| 15 | San Diego | 38 | Lane |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | San Francisco | 39 | Lincoln |  | Alaska |  | Hawaii |
| 17 | San Joaquin | 40 | Multnomah | 61 | Aleutians East | 84 | Hawaii |
| 18 | San Luis Obispo | 41 | Tillamook | 62 | Aleutians West | 85 | Honolulu |
| 19 | San Mateo |  |  | 63 | Anchorage | 86 | Kalawao |
| 20 | Santa Barbara |  | Washington | 64 | Bethel | 87 | Kauai |
| 21 | Santa Clara | 42 | Clallam | 65 | Bristol Bay | 88 | Maui |
| 22 | Santa Cruz | 43 | Clark | 66 | Dillingham |  |  |
| 23 | Siskiyou | 44 | Cowlitz | 67 | Haines |  |  |
| 24 | Solano | 45 | Grays Harbor | 68 | J uneau |  |  |

Pacific Population, 1980-2008

|  |  | 1980 |  | 1990 |  | 2000 |  | 2003 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | Land Area (Sq. Mi.) | Absolute* | Density** | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density |
| CALIFORNIA | 155,959 | 23,668 | 152 | 29,760 | 191 | 33,872 | 217 | 35,484 | 228 | 37,430 | 240 |
| Coastal | 77,812 | 21,038 | 270 | 26,269 | 338 | 29,660 | 381 | 30,952 | 398 | 32,585 | 419 |
| Coastal Percent | 50 | 89 |  | 88 |  | 88 |  | 87 |  | 87 |  |
| OREGON | 95,997 | 2,633 | 27 | 2,842 | 30 | 3,421 | 36 | 3,560 | 37 | 3,832 | 40 |
| Coastal | 21,003 | 1,506 | 72 | 1,585 | 75 | 1,808 | 86 | 1,863 | 89 | 1,972 | 94 |
| Coastal Percent | 22 | 57 |  | 56 |  | 53 |  | 52 |  | 51 |  |
| WASHINGTON | 66,544 | 4,132 | 62 | 4,867 | 73 | 5,894 | 89 | 6,131 | 92 | 6,591 | 99 |
| Coastal | 24,714 | 3,109 | 126 | 3,777 | 153 | 4,587 | 186 | 4,778 | 193 | 5,160 | 209 |
| Coastal Percent | 37 | 75 |  | 78 |  | 78 |  | 78 |  | 78 |  |
| ALASKA | 571,951 | 406 | 1 | 552 | 1 | 627 | 1 | 649 | 1 | 685 | 1 |
| Coastal | 381,121 | 336 | 1 | 458 | 1 | 529 | 1 | 549 | 1 | 582 | 2 |
| Coastal Percent | 67 | 83 |  | 83 |  | 84 |  | 85 |  | 85 |  |
| HAWAII | 6,423 | 965 | 150 | 1,108 | 173 | 1,212 | 189 | 1,258 | 196 | 1,286 | 200 |
| Coastal | 6,423 | 965 | 150 | 1,108 | 173 | 1,212 | 189 | 1,258 | 196 | 1,286 | 200 |
| Coastal Percent | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| TOTAL | 896,874 | 31,804 | 35 | 39,130 | 44 | 45,026 | 50 | 47,082 | 52 | 49,825 | 56 |
| Coastal | 511,073 | 26,954 | 53 | 33,197 | 65 | 37,796 | 74 | 39,399 | 77 | 41,585 | 81 |
| Coastal Percent | 57 | 85 |  | 85 |  | 84 |  | 84 |  | 83 |  |

*Thousand Persons **Persons per square mile
Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.

## Appendix G: Great Lakes

$\qquad$


|  | New York | 32 | Henry | 66 | Delta | 102 | Oceana |  | Wisconsin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cattaraugus | 33 | Huron | 67 | Dickinson | 103 | Ogemaw | 132 | Ashland |
| 2 | Cayuga | 34 | Lake | 68 | Eaton | 104 | Ontonagon | 133 | Bayfield |
| 3 | Chautauqua | 35 | Lorain | 69 | Emmet | 105 | Osceola | 134 | Brown |
| 4 | Clinton | 36 | Lucas | 70 | Gogebic | 106 | Oscoda | 135 | Calumet |
| 5 | Erie | 37 | Marion | 71 | Grand Traverse | 107 | Otsego | 136 | Door |
| 6 | Franklin | 38 | Medina | 72 | Hillsdale | 108 | Ottawa | 137 | Douglas |
| 7 | Genesee | 39 | Ottawa | 73 | Houghton | 109 | Presque Isle | 138 | Florence |
| 8 | Hamilton | 40 | Portage | 74 | Huron | 110 | Roscommon | 139 | Fond du Lac |
| 9 | Herkimer | 41 | Sandusky | 75 | Ionia | 111 | Saginaw | 140 | Forest |
| 10 | J efferson | 42 | Seneca | 76 | I osco | 112 | St. Clair | 141 | Iron |
| 11 | Lewis | 43 | Summit | 77 | J ackson | 113 | St. Joseph | 142 | Kenosha |
| 12 | Livingston | 44 | Trumbull | 78 | Kalamazoo | 114 | Sanilac | 143 | Kewaunee |
| 13 | Monroe | 45 | Wood | 79 | Kalkaska | 115 | Schoolcraft | 144 | Manitowoc |
| 14 | Niagara | 46 | Wyandot | 80 | Kent | 116 | Tuscola | 145 | Marinette |
| 15 | Onondaga |  |  | 81 | Keweenaw | 117 | Van Buren | 146 | Menominee |
| 16 | Ontario |  | Michigan | 82 | Lake | 118 | Washtenaw | 147 | Milwaukee |
| 17 | Orleans | 47 | Alcona | 83 | Lapeer | 119 | Wayne | 148 | Oconto |
| 18 | Oswego | 48 | Alger | 84 | Leelanau | 120 | Wexford | 149 | Outagamie |
| 19 | St. Lawrence | 49 | Allegan | 85 | Lenawee |  |  | 150 | Ozaukee |
| 20 | Wayne | 50 | Alpena | 86 | Livingston |  | I ndiana | 151 | Racine |
| 21 | Wyoming | 51 | Antrim | 87 | Luce | 121 | Elkhart | 152 | Shawano |
|  |  | 52 | Arenac | 88 | Mackinac | 122 | Kosciusko | 153 | Sheboygan |
|  | Pennsylvania | 53 | Baraga | 89 | Macomb | 123 | LaGrange | 154 | Washington |
| 22 | Erie | 54 | Barry | 90 | Manistee | 124 | Lake |  |  |
|  |  | 55 | Bay | 91 | Marquette | 125 | LaPorte |  | Minnesota |
|  | Ohio | 56 | Benzie | 92 | Mason | 126 | Noble | 155 | Carlton |
| 23 | Ashland | 57 | Berrien | 93 | Mecosta | 127 | Porter | 156 | Cook |
| 24 | Ashtabula | 58 | Branch | 94 | Menominee | 128 | St. Joseph | 157 | Lake |
| 25 | Crawford | 59 | Calhoun | 95 | Missaukee | 129 | Steuben | 158 | St. Louis |
| 26 | Cuyahoga | 60 | Cass | 96 | Monroe |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Defiance | 61 | Charlevoix | 97 | Montcalm |  | I llinois |  |  |
| 28 | Erie | 62 | Cheboygan | 98 | Montmorency | 130 | Cook |  |  |
| 29 | Fulton | 63 | Chippewa | 99 | Muskegon | 131 | Lake |  |  |
| 30 | Geauga | 64 | Clare | 100 | Newaygo |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Hancock | 65 | Crawford | 101 | Oakland |  |  |  |  |

Great Lakes Population, 1980-2008

|  |  | 1980 |  | 1990 |  | 2000 |  | 2003 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | Land Area (Sq. Mi.) | Absolute* | Density** | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density | Absolute | Density |
| NEW YORK | 47,214 | 17,558 | 372 | 17,990 | 381 | 18,976 | 402 | 19,190 | 406 | 19,590 | 415 |
| Coastal | 21,416 | 3,629 | 169 | 3,647 | 170 | 3,650 | 170 | 3,645 | 170 | 3,673 | 171 |
| Coastal Percent | 45 | 21 |  | 20 |  | 19 |  | 19 |  | 19 |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 44,817 | 11,864 | 265 | 11,882 | 265 | 12,281 | 274 | 12,365 | 276 | 12,572 | 281 |
| Coastal | 802 | 280 | 349 | 276 | 344 | 281 | 350 | 280 | 349 | 283 | 353 |
| Coastal Percent | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |
| OHIO | 40,948 | 10,798 | 264 | 10,847 | 265 | 11,353 | 277 | 11,436 | 279 | 11,727 | 286 |
| Coastal | 10,550 | 4,416 | 419 | 4,312 | 409 | 4,418 | 419 | 4,416 | 419 | 4,463 | 423 |
| Coastal Percent | 26 | 41 |  | 40 |  | 39 |  | 39 |  | 38 |  |
| MICHIGAN | 56,804 | 9,262 | 163 | 9,295 | 164 | 9,938 | 175 | 10,080 | 177 | 10,412 | 183 |
| Coastal | 51,155 | 8,207 | 160 | 8,251 | 161 | 8,859 | 173 | 8,984 | 176 | 9,297 | 182 |
| Coastal Percent | 90 | 89 |  | 89 |  | 89 |  | 89 |  | 89 |  |
| INDIANA | 35,867 | 5,490 | 153 | 5,544 | 155 | 6,080 | 170 | 6,196 | 173 | 6,466 | 180 |
| Coastal | 4,072 | 1,276 | 313 | 1,275 | 313 | 1,378 | 338 | 1,397 | 343 | 1,455 | 357 |
| Coastal Percent | 11 | 23 |  | 23 |  | 23 |  | 23 |  | 23 |  |
| ILLINOIS | 55,584 | 11,427 | 206 | 11,431 | 206 | 12,419 | 223 | 12,654 | 228 | 13,038 | 235 |
| Coastal | 1,394 | 5,694 | 4,085 | 5,621 | 4, 033 | 6,021 | 4,319 | 6,037 | 4,330 | 6,168 | 4,425 |
| Coastal Percent | 3 | 50 |  | 49 |  | 48 |  | 48 |  | 47 |  |
| WISCONSIN | 54,310 | 4,706 | 87 | 4,892 | 90 | 5,364 | 99 | 5,472 | 101 | 5,712 | 105 |
| Coastal | 15,394 | 2,268 | 147 | 2,322 | 151 | 2,469 | 160 | 2,499 | 162 | 2,563 | 166 |
| Coastal Percent | 28 | 48 |  | 47 |  | 46 |  | 46 |  | 45 |  |
| MINNESOTA | 79,610 | 4,076 | 51 | 4,375 | 55 | 4,919 | 62 | 5,059 | 64 | 5,360 | 67 |
| Coastal | 10,635 | 269 | 25 | 242 | 23 | 248 | 23 | 248 | 23 | 252 | 24 |
| Coastal Percent | 13 | 7 |  | 6 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  |
| TOTAL | 415,154 | 75,180 | 181 | 76,256 | 184 | 81,332 | 196 | 82,452 | 199 | 84,877 | 204 |
| Coastal | 115,418 | 26,039 | 226 | 25,946 | 225 | 27,324 | 237 | 27,506 | 238 | 28,153 | 244 |
| Coastal Percent | 28 | 35 |  | 34 |  | 34 |  | 33 |  | 33 |  |

*Thousand Persons **Persons per square mile
Source: U.S. Census Bureau and W\&PE, Inc.
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[^1]:    Patuxent River, Maryland; Mary Hoiinger NODC/NOAA

[^2]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau

[^3]:    Air Quality
    Ground-level ozone, created primarily from motor vehicles, industrial emissions, and chemical solvents, has the potential to cause respiratory health problems and is particularly dangerous to children with asthma. Of the 474 counties nationwide that do not meet the 8 hour ozone standard (or that cause a county downwind to fail), 231 are coastal (USEPA 2004). The majority (197) of these coastal counties are found in the Northeast and Great Lakes regions (USEPA 2004).

[^4]:    FIGURE 15. Projected percent population change in the Gulf of Mexico Region: 2003-2008

[^5]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau

