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## Summary

by<br>The Plan Team for the Groundfish Fisheries of the Gulf of Alaska

## Introduction

The National Standard Guidelines for Fishery Management Plans published by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) require that a stock assessment and fishery evaluation (SAFE) report be prepared and reviewed annually for each fishery management plan (FMP). The SAFE reports are intended to summarize the best available scientific information concerning the past, present, and possible future condition of the stocks and fisheries under federal management. The FMPs for the groundfish fisheries managed by the Council require that drafts of the SAFE reports be produced each year in time for the December North Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) meetings.

The SAFE report for the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) groundfish fisheries is compiled by the Plan Team for the Gulf of Alaska Groundfish FMP from chapters contributed by scientists at NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC) and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G). The stock assessment section includes recommended acceptable biological catch (ABC) levels for each stock and stock complex managed under the FMP. The ABC recommendations, together with social and economic factors, are considered by the Council in determining total allowable catches (TACs) and other management strategies for the fisheries.

The GOA Groundfish Plan Team met in Seattle on November 13-17, 2017 to review the status of stocks of twenty three species or species groups that are managed under the FMP. The Plan Team review was based on presentations by ADF\&G and NMFS AFSC scientists with opportunity for public comment and input. Members of the Plan Team who compiled the SAFE report were James Ianelli (co-chair), Jon Heifetz (cochair), Craig Faunce, Sandra Lowe, Chris Lunsford, Ben Williams, Kresimir Williams (new member), Janet Rumble, Nat Nichols, Dan Lew, Paul Spencer, Jim Armstrong, and Obren Davis.

## Management Areas and Species

The Gulf of Alaska (GOA) management area lies within the 200-mile U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of the United States (Fig. 1). Formerly, five categories of finfishes and invertebrates were designated for management purposes: target species, other species, prohibited species, forage fish species and nonspecified species. Effective for the 2011 fisheries, these categories have been revised in Amendments 96 and 87 to the FMPs for Groundfish of the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands (BSAI) and Gulf of Alaska (GOA), respectively. This action was necessary to comply with requirements of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSA) to prevent overfishing, achieve optimum yield, and to comply with statutory requirements for annual catch limits (ACLs) and accountability measures (AMs). Species and species groups must be identified "in the fishery" for which ACLs and AMs are required. An ecosystem component (EC) is also included in the FMPs for species and species groups that are not:

1) targeted for harvest
2) likely to become overfished or subject to overfishing, and
3) generally retained for sale or personal use.

The effects of the action amended the GOA and BSAI groundfish FMPs to:

1) identify and manage target groundfish stocks "in the fishery"
2) eliminate the "other species" category and manage (GOA) squids, (BSAI and GOA) sculpins, (BSAI and GOA) sharks, and (BSAI and GOA) octopuses separately "in the fishery";
3) manage prohibited species and forage fish species in the ecosystem component category; and
4) remove the non-specified species outside of the FMPs.


Figure 1. Gulf of Alaska statistical and reporting areas.
Species may be split or combined within the "target species" category according to procedures set forth in the FMP. The three categories of finfishes and invertebrates that have been designated for management purposes are listed below.
In the Fishery:

1) Target species - are those species that support a single species or mixed species target fishery, are commercially important, and for which a sufficient data base exists that allows each to be managed on its own biological merits. Accordingly, a specific total allowable catch (TAC) is established annually for each target species or species assemblage. Catch of each species must be recorded and reported. This category includes walleye pollock, Pacific cod, sablefish, shallow and deep water flatfish, shallow water flatfish, rex sole, flathead sole, arrowtooth flounder, Pacific ocean perch, shortraker rockfish, rougheye/blackspotted rockfish, northern rockfish, "other" rockfish, dusky rockfish, demersal shelf rockfish, thornyhead rockfish, Atka mackerel, squids, sculpins, sharks, octopus, big skates, longnose skates, and other skates.

## Ecosystem Component:

2) Prohibited Species-are those species and species groups the catch of which must be avoided while fishing for groundfish, and which must be immediately returned to sea with a minimum of injury except when their retention is authorized by other applicable law. Groundfish species and species groups under the FMP for which the quotas have been achieved shall be treated in the same manner as prohibited species.
3) Forage fish species-are those species listed in the table below, which are a critical food source for many marine mammal, seabird and fish species. The forage fish species category is established to allow for the management of these species in a manner that prevents the development of a commercial directed fishery for forage fish. Management measures for this species category will be specified in regulations. These may include measures prohibiting
directed fishing, limiting allowable bycatch retention, or limiting commercial exchange and the processing of forage fish in a commercial facility.
4) Grenadiers - The grenadier complex (family Macrouridae), also known as "rattails", are comprised of at least seven species of grenadier known to occur in Alaskan waters, but only three are commonly found at depths shallow enough to be encountered in commercial fishing operations or in fish surveys: giant grenadier (Albatrossia pectoralis), Pacific grenadier (Coryphaenoides acrolepis), and popeye grenadier (Coryphaenoides cinereus).

The following lists the GOA stocks within these FMP species categories:

| In the Fishery | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Walleye pollock, Pacific cod, Sablefish, Flatfish (shallow-water flatfish, deep- } \\ \text { water flatfish, rex sole, flathead sole, arrowtooth flounder), Rockfish (Pacific } \\ \text { ocean perch, northern rockfish, shortraker rockfish, rougheye/blackspotted } \\ \text { rockfish, other rockfish, dusky rockfish, demersal shelf rockfish }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| rockfish), Atkarnyhead |  |
| skates), Squids, Sculpins, Skares (big skates, longnose skates, and other |  |$\}$

${ }^{1}$ TAC for each listing. Species and species groups may or may not be targets of directed fisheries
${ }^{2}$ Must be immediately returned to the sea
${ }^{3}$ Management delegated to the State of Alaska
${ }^{4}$ Management measures for forage fish which are an Ecosystem Component are established in regulations implementing the FMP
${ }^{5}$ The grenadier complex was added to both FMPs as an Ecosystem Component in 2014
This SAFE report describes stock status of target and non-target species in the fishery. Amendments 100/91 added grenadiers to the GOA and BSAI FMPs as an Ecosystem Component in 2014.

A species or species group from within the fishery category may be split out and assigned an appropriate harvest level. Similarly, species in the fishery category may be combined and a single harvest level assigned to the new aggregate species group. The harvest level for demersal shelf rockfish in the Eastern Regulatory Area is specified by the Council each year. However, management of this fishery is deferred to the State of Alaska with Council oversight.

The GOA FMP recognizes single species and species complex management strategies. Single species specifications are set for stocks individually, recognizing that different harvesting sectors catch an array of species. In the Gulf of Alaska these species include pollock, Pacific cod, sablefish, Pacific ocean perch, flathead sole, rex sole, arrowtooth flounder, northern rockfish, shortraker rockfish, dusky rockfish, Atka mackerel, big skates, and longnose skates. Other groundfish species that are usually caught in groups have been managed as complexes (also called assemblages). For example, other rockfish, rougheye and
blackspotted rockfish, demersal shelf rockfish, thornyhead rockfish, deep water flatfish, shallow water flatfish, and other skates have been managed as complexes.
Beginning in 2011, squids, sculpins, octopus, and sharks are managed as individual complexes (previously they were managed as "other species"). Also in 2011, the rockfish categories were reorganized: widow and yellowtail rockfish were removed from the pelagic shelf rockfish complex leaving dusky rockfish as a single species category. Widow and yellowtail rockfish were added to the 15 species that were part of the former "other slope" rockfish group to form a new category in the Gulf of Alaska, "other rockfish". Previously, yellowtail and widow rockfish were part of the "pelagic shelf" rockfish group in the Gulf of Alaska, which no longer exists (for assessment purposes) since 2012. Both shortraker rockfish and "other rockfish" were presented as separate SAFE chapters in 2013. Separating these two chapters responds to recommendations from the Gulf of Alaska Plan Team and the NPFMC Scientific and Statistical Committee.

The FMP authorizes splitting species, or groups of species, from the complexes for purposes of promoting the goals and objectives of the FMP. Atka mackerel was split out from "other species" beginning in 1994. In 1998, black and blue rockfish were removed from the GOA FMP and management was conferred to the ADF\&G. In 2008, dark rockfish were similarly removed from the GOA FMP with sole management taken over by the ADF\&G. Beginning in 1999, osmerids (eulachon, capelin and other smelts) were removed from the "other species" category and placed in a separate forage fish category. In 2004, Amendment 63 to the FMP was approved which moved skates from the other species category into a target species category whereby individual OFLs and ABCs for skate species and complexes could be established.

Groundfish catches are managed against TAC specifications for the EEZ and near coastal waters of the GOA. State of Alaska internal water groundfish populations are typically not covered by NMFS surveys and catches from internal water fisheries generally not counted against the TAC. The Team has recommended that these catches represent fish outside of the assessed region, and should not be counted against an ABC or TAC. Beginning in 2000, the pollock assessment incorporated the ADF\&G survey pollock biomass, therefore, the Plan Team acknowledged that it is appropriate to reduce the Western (W), Central (C) and West Yakutat (WY) combined GOA pollock ABC by the anticipated Prince William Sound (PWS) harvest level for the State fishery. The 2001 through 2019 W/C/WY pollock ABCs have been reduced by the PWS GHL as provided by ADF\&G, before area apportionments were made. At the 2012 September Plan Team meeting, ADFG presented a proposal to set the PWS GHL in future years as a fixed percentage of the W/C/WY pollock ABC of $2.5 \%$. That value is the midpoint between the 2001-2010 average GHL percentage of the GOA ABC $(2.44 \%)$ and the 1996 and 2012 levels $(2.55 \%)$. The Plan Team accepted this proposal, but noted concern regarding the lack of a biomass-based allocation in PWS. The Team continues to encourage the State to work with the AFSC in order to provide a biomass-based evaluation for PWS prior to fixing a percentage in regulation. In the interim, the Plan Team will deduct a value for the 2018 and 2019 PWS GHL (equal to $2.5 \%$ of the recommended 2019 and 2019 W/C/WY pollock ABCs) from the recommended 2018 and 2019 W/C/WY pollock ABCs (listed in the summary table), before area apportionments are made. It is important to note that the value of the PWS GHL is dependent on the final specified W/C/WY pollock ABC. The values used by the Plan Team to derive the 2018 and 2019 W/C/WY pollock apportioned ABCs are listed in the pollock summary under Area apportionment.
The Plan Team has provided subarea ABC recommendations on a case-by-case basis since 1998 based on the following rationale. The Plan Team recommended splitting the EGOA ABC for species/complexes that would be disproportionately harvested from the West Yakutat area by trawl gear. The Team did not split EGOA ABCs for species that were prosecuted by multi-gear fisheries or harvested as bycatch. For those species where a subarea ABC split was deemed appropriate, two approaches were examined. The point estimate for WY biomass distribution based on survey results was recommended for seven species/complexes to determine the WY and East Yakutat/Southeast Outside subarea ABC splits. For some species/complexes, a range was recommended bounded by the point estimate and the upper end of the $95 \%$ confidence limit from all three surveys. The rationale for providing a range was based on a desire to
incorporate the variance surrounding the distribution of biomass for those species/complexes that could potentially be constrained by the recommended ABC splits.

| No Split | Split, Point Estimate | Split, Upper 95\% CI |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pacific cod | Pollock | Pacific ocean perch |
| Atka mackerel | Sablefish | Dusky rockfish |
| Shortraker rockfish | Deep-water flatfish |  |
| Rougheye/blackspotted rockfish | Shallow-water flatfish | Rex sole |
| Nornyhead | Arrowtooth flounder |  |
| Demern rockfish | Flathead sole |  |
| All skates | Other rockfish |  |

## Biological Reference Points

A number of biological reference points are used in this SAFE. Among these are the fishing mortality rate $(F)$ and stock biomass level $(B)$ associated with MSY ( $F_{M S Y}$ and $B_{M S Y}$, respectively). Fishing mortality rates reduce the level of spawning biomass per recruit to some percentage P of the pristine level ( $F_{P \sigma}$ ). The fishing mortality rate used to compute ABC is designated $F_{A B C}$, and the fishing mortality rate used to compute the overfishing level (OFL) is designated $F_{\text {OFL }}$.

## Definition of Acceptable Biological Catch and the Overfishing Level

Amendment 56 to the GOA Groundfish FMP, approved by the Council in June 1998, defines ABC and OFL for the GOA groundfish fisheries. The new definitions are shown below, where the fishing mortality rate is denoted $F$, stock biomass (or spawning stock biomass, as appropriate) is denoted $B$, and the $F$ and $B$ levels corresponding to MSY are denoted $F_{M S Y}$ and $B_{M S Y}$ respectively.
Acceptable Biological Catch is a preliminary description of the acceptable harvest (or range of harvests) for a given stock or stock complex. Its derivation focuses on the status and dynamics of the stock, environmental conditions, other ecological factors, and prevailing technological characteristics of the fishery. The fishing mortality rate used to calculate ABC is capped as described under "overfishing" below.
Overfishing is defined as any amount of fishing more than a prescribed maximum allowable rate. This maximum allowable rate is prescribed through a set of six tiers which are listed below in descending order of preference, corresponding to descending order of information availability. The SSC will have final authority for determining whether a given item of information is reliable for this definition, and may use either objective or subjective criteria in making such determinations. For Tier (1), a pdf refers to a probability density function. For Tiers (1-2), if a reliable pdf of $B_{M S Y}$ is available, the preferred point estimate of $B_{M S Y}$ is the geometric mean of its pdf. For Tiers (1-5), if a reliable pdf of $B$ is available, the preferred point estimate is the geometric mean of its pdf. For Tiers (1-3), the coefficient $\alpha$ is set at a default value of 0.05 , with the understanding that the SSC may establish a different value for a specific stock or stock complex as merited by the best available scientific information. For Tiers (2-4), a designation of the form " $F_{X}$ " refers to the $F$ associated with an equilibrium level of spawning per recruit (SPR) equal to X\% of the equilibrium level of spawning per recruit in the absence of any fishing. If reliable information sufficient to characterize the entire maturity schedule of a species is not available, the SSC may choose to view SPR calculations based on a knife-edge maturity assumption as reliable. For Tier (3), the term $B_{40 \%}$ refers to the long-term average biomass that would be expected under average recruitment and $F=F_{40 \%}$.

```
Tier 1) Information available: Reliable point estimates of \(B\) and \(B_{M S Y}\) and reliable pdf of \(F_{M S Y}\).
    1a) Stock status: \(B / B_{M S Y}>1\)
    \(F_{O F L}=\mu_{A}\), the arithmetic mean of the pdf
    \(F_{A B C} \leq \mu_{H}\), the harmonic mean of the pdf
    1b) Stock status: \(\alpha<B / B_{M S Y} \leq 1\)
        \(F_{O F L}=\mu_{A} \times\left(B / B_{M S Y}-\alpha\right) /(1-\alpha)\)
        \(F_{A B C} \leq \mu_{H} \times\left(B / B_{M S Y}-\alpha\right) /(1-\alpha)\)
    1c) Stock status: \(B / B_{M S Y} \leq \alpha\)
    \(F_{O F L}=0\)
    \(F_{A B C}=0\)
2) Information available: Reliable point estimates of \(B, B_{M S Y}, F_{M S Y}, F_{35 \%}\), and \(F_{40 \%}\).
    2a) Stock status: \(B / B_{M S Y}>1\)
    \(F_{O F L}=F_{M S Y}\)
    \(F_{A B C} \leq F_{M S Y} \times\left(F_{40 \%} / F_{35 \%}\right)\)
    2b) Stock status: \(\alpha<B / B_{M S Y} \leq 1\)
    \(F_{O F L}=F_{M S Y} \times\left(B / B_{M S Y}-\alpha\right) /(1-\alpha)\)
    \(F_{A B C} \leq F_{M S Y} \times\left(F_{40 \%} / F_{35 \%}\right) \times\left(B / B_{M S Y}-\alpha\right) /(1-\alpha)\)
    2c) Stock status: \(B / B_{M S Y} \leq \alpha\)
    \(F_{O F L}=0\)
    \(F_{A B C}=0\)
3) Information available: Reliable point estimates of \(B, B_{40 \%}, F_{35 \%}\), and \(F_{40 \%}\).
    3a) Stock status: \(B / B_{40 \%}>1\)
    \(F_{O F L}=F_{35 \%}\)
    \(F_{A B C} \leq F_{40 \%}\)
    3b) Stock status: \(\alpha<B / B_{40 \%} \leq 1\)
    \(F_{O F L}=F_{35 \%} \times\left(B / B_{40 \%}-\alpha\right) /(1-\alpha)\)
    \(F_{A B C} \leq F_{40 \%} \times\left(B / B_{40 \%}-\alpha\right) /(1-\alpha)\)
    3c) Stock status: \(B / B_{40 \%} \leq \alpha\)
    \(F_{O F L}=0\)
    \(F_{A B C}=0\)
4) Information available: Reliable point estimates of \(B, F_{35 \%}\), and \(F_{40 \%}\).
        \(F_{O F L}=F_{35 \%}\)
        \(F_{A B C} \leq F_{40 \%}\)
5) Information available: Reliable point estimates of \(B\) and natural mortality rate \(M\).
    \(F_{O F L}=M\)
    \(F_{A B C} \leq 0.75 \times M\)
6) Information available: Reliable catch history from 1978 through 1995.
    \(O F L=\) the average catch from 1978 through 1995, unless an alternative value is established by the
        SSC on the basis of the best available scientific information
    \(A B C \leq 0.75 \times O F L\)
```

Overfished or approaching an overfished condition is determined for all age-structured stock assessments by comparison of the stock level in relation to its MSY level according to the following two harvest scenarios (Note for Tier 3 stocks, the MSY level is defined as $B_{35 \%}$ ):
Overfished (listed in each assessment as scenario 6):
In all future years, $F$ is set equal to $F_{\text {OFL. }}$. (Rationale: This scenario determines whether a stock is overfished. If the stock is expected to be 1) above its MSY level in 2019 or 2 ) above $1 / 2$ of its MSY level in 2019 and above its MSY level in 2028 under this scenario, then the stock is not overfished.)
Approaching an overfished condition (listed in each assessment as scenario 7):
In 2018 and 2019, $F$ is set equal to $\max F_{A B C}$, and in all subsequent years, $F$ is set equal to $F_{O F L}$. (Rationale: This scenario determines whether a stock is approaching an overfished condition. If the stock is 1) above its MSY level in 2019 or 2) above $1 / 2$ of its MSY level in 2019 and expected to be above its MSY level in 2029 under this scenario, then the stock is not approaching an overfished condition.)

For stocks in Tiers 4-6, no determination can be made of overfished status or approaching an overfished condition as information is insufficient to estimate the MSY stock level.

## Overview of Stock Assessments

The status of individual groundfish stocks managed under the FMP is summarized in this section. The spawning biomass of pollock, Dover sole, flathead sole, northern and southern rock sole, arrowtooth flounder, Pacific ocean perch, rougheye and blackspotted rockfish, northern rockfish, and dusky rockfish are above target stock size (Fig. 2). The spawning biomass of Pacific cod and sablefish is below target stock size. The target biomass levels for deep-water flatfish (excluding Dover sole), shallow-water flatfish (excluding northern and southern rocksole), rex sole, shortraker rockfish, other rockfish, demersal shelf rockfish, thornyhead rockfish, Atka mackerel, skates, sculpins, squid, octopus, and sharks are unknown.


Figure 2. Summary of Gulf of Alaska stock status next year (spawning biomass relative to $B_{M S Y}$; horizontal axis) and current year catch relative to fishing at $F_{m s y}$ (vertical axis). Note that sablefish is for Alaska-wide values including the BSAI catches.

## Summary and Use of Terms

Tables 1 and 2 provide a summary of the status of the groundfish stocks, including catch statistics, ABCs, and TACs for 2017, and recommendations for ABCs and overfishing levels (OFLs) for 2018 and 2019. Fishing mortality rates $(F)$ and OFLs used to set these specifications are also listed in Table 2 and Plan Team recommended rates (and corresponding ABCs) that were lower than the maximum permissible are given in Table 3. ABCs and TACs are specified for each of the Gulf of Alaska regulatory areas illustrated in Figure 1. Table 4 provides historical groundfish catches in the GOA, 1956-2017.

The sums of the preliminary 2018 and 2019 ABCs for target species are 536,558 and 480,190 t respectively which are within the FMP-approved optimum yield (OY) of $116,000-800,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the Gulf of Alaska. The sums of the 2018 and 2019 OFLs are 655,853 and $604,337 \mathrm{t}$, respectively. The Team notes that because
of halibut bycatch mortality considerations in the high-biomass flatfish fisheries, an overall OY for 2018 will be considerably under this upper limit. For perspective, the sum of the 2017 TACs was $535,863 \mathrm{t}$, and the sum of the ABCs was $667,877 \mathrm{t}$ (and catch through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$ was just above 298,500 t).
The following conventions in this SAFE are used:

1) "Fishing mortality rate" refers to the full-selection $F$ (i.e., the rate that applies to fish of fully selected sizes or ages). A full-selection $F$ should be interpreted in the context of the selectivity schedule to which it applies.
2) For consistency and comparability, "exploitable biomass" refers to projected age+ biomass, which is the total biomass of all cohorts greater than or equal to some minimum age. The minimum age varies from species to species and generally corresponds to the age of recruitment listed in the stock assessment. Trawl survey data may be used as a proxy for age+ biomass. The minimum age (or size), and the source of the exploitable biomass values are defined in the summaries. These values of exploitable biomass may differ from values listed in the corresponding stock assessments if the technical definition is used (which requires multiplying biomass at age by selectivity at age and summing over all ages). In those models assuming knife-edge recruitment, age+ biomass and the technical definitions of exploitable biomass are equivalent.
(3) The values listed as 2016 and 2017 ABCs correspond to the values (in metric tons, abbreviated "t") approved by NMFS. The Council TAC recommendations for pollock were modified to accommodate revised area apportionments in the measures implemented by NMFS to mitigate pollock fishery interactions with Steller sea lions and for Pacific cod removals by the State water fishery of not more than $25 \%$ of the Federal TAC. The values listed for 2018 and 2019 correspond to the Plan Team recommendations.
(4) The exploitable biomass for 2016 and 2017 that are reported in the following summaries were estimated by the assessments in those years. Comparisons of the projected 2018 biomass with previous years' levels should be made with biomass levels from the revised hindcast reported in each assessment.
(5) The catches listed in the following summary tables are those reported by the Alaska Regional Office Catch Accounting System (alaskafisheries.noaa.gov/sustainablefisheries/catchstats.htm) unless otherwise noted.
(6) The values used for 2018 and 2019 were from modified assessments for selected species, rolled over (typically for Tiers 4-6) or based on updated projections. Note that projection values often assume catches and hence their values are likely to change (as are the Tiers 4-6 numbers when new data become available and/or is incorporated in the assessment).

## General recommendations

The Team recommends that authors ensure survey and fishery data are updated over the entire time series (biomass estimates, composition data, etc.)

## Two year OFL and ABC Determinations

Amendment $48 / 48$ to the GOA and BSAI Groundfish FMPs, implemented in 2005, made two significant changes with respect to the stock assessment process. First, annual assessments for rockfish, flatfish, and Atka mackerel since changed to correspond with new survey data were available. E.g., full assessments were provided in 2015 to coincide with new survey data available from the 2015 GOA trawl and longline surveys. This amendment also required specifications for a period of at least two years (as in Tables 1 and 2). In the case of stocks managed under Tier 3 and for which modified assessments was produced, 2018 and 2019 ABC and OFL projections are typically based on the output for Scenarios 1 or 2 from the standard projection model using assumed (best estimates) of total year catch levels. For stocks managed under Tiers 3,4 and 5 for which only a summary was produced, the latest survey data (2017) was reported and for Tier

5 species used for ABC and OFL calculations. Tier 6 stocks may have alternatives based on updated catch information.

The 2019 ABC and OFL values recommended in next year's SAFE report are likely to differ from this year's projections for 2019 because data from any 2018 surveys may affect the status of stocks. Note that the next AFSC bottom trawl survey is scheduled for summer 2019.

## Revised Stock Assessment Schedule

Based on consideration of stock prioritization including assessment methods and data availability, some stocks are assessed on an annual basis while others are assessed less frequently. The following table provides an overview of the level of assessment presented in this year's SAFE report, the Tier level and schedule, as well as the year of the next full assessment by stock.

Stock Assessment schedule for the Gulf of Alaska

| Stock Assessment schedule for the Gulf of Alaska |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 <br> Assessment <br> status |  | Schedule <br> (years) | Year of next <br> Full Assessment |
| Stock | Full | 3 | 1 | 2018 |
| Pollock | Full | 3 | 1 | 2018 |
| Pacific cod | Full | 3 | 1 | 2018 |
| Sablefish | Full | 3 | 4 | 2021 |
| Northern and southern rock sole | Full | 5 | 4 | 2021 |
| Shallow water flatfish | Partial | $3 / 6$ | 4 | 2019 |
| Deepwater flatfish (Dover) | Full | 5 | 4 | 2021 |
| Rex sole | Full | 3 | 2 | 2019 |
| Arrowtooth flounder | Full | 3 | 4 | 2021 |
| Flathead sole | Full | 3 | 2 | 2019 |
| Pacific ocean perch | Partial | 3 | 2 | 2018 |
| Northern rockfish | Full | 5 | 2 | 2019 |
| Shortraker rockfish | Full | $4 / 5 / 6$ | 2 | 2019 |
| Other rockfish | Full | 3 | 2 | 2019 |
| Rougheye \& blackspotted rockfish | Partial | 3 | 2 | 2018 |
| Dusky rockfish | Partial* | $4 / 6$ | 2 | 2018 |
| Demersal shelfrockfish | Partial* | 5 | 2 | 2018 |
| Thornyheads | Full | 6 | 2 | 2019 |
| Atka mackerel | Full | 6 | 2 | 2019 |
| Octopus | Full | 5 | 2 | 2019 |
| Skates | Full | 5 | 4 | 2021 |
| Sculpins | None | 6 | 2 | 2018 |
| Sharks | Full | 6 | 2 | 2019 |
| Squid | None | eco | 2 | 2018 |
| Forage species | None | eco | 4 | 2020 |
| Grenadiers (BSAI/GOA) |  |  |  |  |

* Authors elected to undertake analysis


## Economic Summary of the GOA commercial groundfish fisheries in 2015-16

The ex-vessel value of all Alaska domestic fish and shellfish catch, which includes the amount paid to harvesters for fish caught, and the estimated value of pre-processed fish species that are caught by catcher/processors, decreased from $\$ 1,781$ million in 2015 to $\$ 1,717$ million in 2016. The first wholesale value of 2016 groundfish catch after primary processing was $\$ 2,379$ million. The 2016 total groundfish catch decreased by $2 \%$, and the total first-wholesale value of groundfish catch increased by $4 \%$, relative to 2015.

The groundfish fisheries accounted for the largest share (51\%) of the ex-vessel value of all commercial fisheries off Alaska, while the Pacific salmon (Oncorhynchus spp.) fishery was second with $\$ 444$ million or $26 \%$ of the total Alaska ex-vessel value. The value of the shellfish fishery amounted to $\$ 270$ million or
$16 \%$ of the total for Alaska and exceeded the value of Pacific halibut (Hippoglossus stenolepis) with $\$ 119$ million or $7 \%$ of the total for Alaska.

The Economic SAFE report (appendix bound separately) contains detailed information about economic aspects of the groundfish fisheries, including figures and tables, economic performance indices, catch share fishery indicators, product price forecasts, a summary of the Alaskan community participation in fisheries, an Amendment 80 fishery economic data report (EDR) summary, an Amendment 91 fishery economic data report (EDR) and vessel master survey summary, market profiles for the most commercially valuable species, a summary of the relevant research being undertaken by the Economic and Social Sciences Research Program (ESSRP) at the Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC), and a list of recent publications by ESSRP analysts. Beginning in this report, data tables have been re-organized and are now divided into four relatively distinct sections: (1) All Alaska, (2) BSAI, (3) GOA, and (4) Pacific halibut. Additionally, flatfish and rockfish data are now incorporated into the main data tables (rather than in the appendices in previous years). The figures and tables in the report provide estimates of total groundfish catch, groundfish discards and discard rates, prohibited species catch (PSC) and PSC rates, the ex-vessel value of the groundfish catch, the ex-vessel value of the catch in other Alaska fisheries, the gross product value of the resulting groundfish seafood products, the number and sizes of vessels that participated in the groundfish fisheries off Alaska, vessel activity, and employment on at-sea processors. Appendices contain global whitefish production from the FAO, fisheries export data from the Census Bureau, employment data from the Alaska Dept. of Labor, and alternative ex-vessel pricing and value based on CFEC fish tickets. Generally, the data presented in this report cover 2012-2016, but limited catch and ex-vessel value data are reported for earlier years to illustrate the rapid development of the domestic groundfish fishery in the 1980s and to provide a more complete historical perspective on catch. The data behind the tables from this and past Economic SAFE reports are available online at:
www.afsc.noaa.gov/refm/Socioeconomics/SAFE

## Decomposition of the change in first-wholesale revenues from 2015-16 in the GOA

The following brief analysis summarizes the overall changes that occurred between 2015-16 in the quantity produced and revenue generated from GOA groundfish. According to data reported in the 2017 Economic SAFE report, the ex-vessel value of GOA groundfish decreased from $\$ 208$ million in 2015 to $\$ 189$ million in 2016 (Figure 3), and first-wholesale revenues from the processing and production of groundfish in the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) were relatively flat between 2015 ( $\$ 354$ million) and 2016 ( $\$ 353$ million) (Figure 4). At the same time, the total quantity of groundfish products from the GOA increased from 126 thousand metric tons to 135 thousand metric tons, a $7 \%$ increase. These changes in the GOA are comparable to those in the BSAI, which together account for the $4 \%$ year-to-year increase in first-wholesale revenues from Alaska groundfish fisheries overall.
By species group, negative quantity effects were offset somewhat by smaller positive price effects for Pacific cod, but still resulting in a $\$ 12$ million net decrease in first-wholesale revenues from the GOA for 2015-16 (Figure 5). This was countered to an extent by positive price and negative quantity effects for sablefish resulting in a positive net effect of $\$ 9$ million. For pollock, large negative price and positive quantity effects mostly canceled each other out, resulting in a small positive net effect of about $\$ 1$ million. There was also a small negative price effect and larger positive quantity effect for rockfish, resulting in a net positive effect of almost $\$ 3$ million. By product group, small positive price effects coupled with larger positive quantity effects in the fillets category resulted in a positive net effect of $\$ 32$ million in the GOA first-wholesale revenue decomposition for 2015-16, while negative price and quantity effects in the whole and head and gut category and negative quantity effects for roe resulted in a negative net effect of $\$ 36$ million combined.

In summary, first-wholesale revenues from the GOA groundfish fisheries decreased by less than $\$ 1$ million from 2015-16. The main drivers of this was a negative net revenue effect for Pacific cod being offset by positive net effects for sablefish. In comparison, first-wholesale revenues increased by $\$ 93$ million from

2015-16 in the BSAI due in large part to positive price effects for flatfish and pollock, and positive quantity effects for Pacific cod.


Figure 3. Real ex-vessel value of the groundfish catch in the domestic commercial fisheries in the GOA area by species, 2003-2016 (base year $=2016$ ).


Figure 4. Real gross product value of the groundfish catch in the GOA area by species, 2003-2016 (base year $=2016$ ).


Figure 5. Decomposition of the change in first-wholesale revenues from 2015-16 in the GOA area. The first decomposition is by the species groups used in the Economic SAFE report, and the second decomposition is by product group. The price effect refers to the change in revenues due to the change in the first-wholesale price index (current dollars per metric ton) for each group. The quantity effect refers to the change in revenues due to the change in production (in metric tons) for each group. The net effect is the sum of price and quantity effects. Year-to-year changes in the total quantity of first-wholesale groundfish products include changes in total catch and the mix of product types (e.g., fillet vs. surimi).

## Ecosystem Considerations summary

The Ecosystem Considerations 2017: Status of Alaska's Marine Ecosystems chapter consists of four main components:

1) an executive summary with ecosystem report cards, and physical, environmental, ecosystem, fishing, and fisheries trends,
2) responses to SSC comments,
3) an ecosystem assessment, and
4) ecosystem indicators.

The ecosystem assessment section combines information from the stock assessment chapters with the indicators followed in this chapter to summarize the climate and fishery effects on the ecosystem. An updated Gulf of Alaska ecosystem assessment was presented including 2017 Gulf of Alaska Report Cards. For 2017, two separate report cards were produced, one for the Western GOA and one for the Eastern GOA.

The Western GOA (which includes the CGOA and WGOA NMFS management areas) report card includes ten indicators summarized as follows:

- The Gulf of Alaska in 2017 continued with warm conditions but have moderated since the extreme heat wave of 2014-2016. The PDO remains in a positive pattern but with lower amplitude.
- The freshwater runoff into the GOA appears to have been greater than normal during the fall of 2016 and somewhat less than normal in summer 2017, with implications for the baroclinic component of the Alaska Coastal Current.
- Mesozooplankton biomass measured by the continuous plankton recorder has often shown a largely biennial trend, however biomass remained greater than average in 2014 - 2016. Biomass trends can be influenced by ecosystem conditions and mean size of the community. This suggests that prey availability for planktivorous fish, seabirds, and mammals has been variable recently. The biennial patterns suggest a possible link with biennially varying planktivorous pink salmon abundance which have shown lower than expected marine survival for the 2015 and 2016 outmigration year classes.
- Copepod community size remained small for the fourth consecutive year. The prevalence of small copepods fits predictions of warm conditions favoring small copepods. This suggests that planktivorous predators may have had to work harder to fill nutritional needs from the numerous, but small, prey items.
- Bottom trawl survey biomass of motile epifauna was below its long-term mean for the first time since 2001. The increase from 1987 to 2001 was driven by hermit crabs and brittle stars, which continue to dominate the biomass. Octopus catches, which were record high in 2015, declined to a low not seen since 1990 .
- Trends in capelin as sampled by seabirds and groundfish have indicated that capelin were abundant from 2008 to 2013, but declined in during the warm years of 2015-2016. Their apparent abundance coincided with the period of cold water temperatures in the Gulf of Alaska. Preliminary reports suggest that predators were again foraging on capelin in 2017.
- Fish apex predator biomass during 2017 bottom trawl surveys was at its lowest level in the 30year time series, and the recent 5 -year mean is below the long-term average. The trend is driven primarily by Pacific cod and arrowtooth flounder which were both at the lowest abundance in the survey time series. Pacific halibut and arrowtooth flounder have shown a general decline since their peak survey biomasses in 2003. Pacific cod has continued to decline from a peak survey biomass in 2009.
- Black-legged kittiwakes had moderate reproductive success in 2017 at the Semedi Islands, in contrast to the complete failure in 2015 for kittiwakes as well as other seabird species. Their reproductive success is typically variable, presumably reflecting foraging conditions prior to the breeding season, during, or both. In general, fish-eating seabirds had less successful reproduction in 2017 than mixed fish and plankton-eating seabird species.
- Modelled estimates of western Gulf of Alaska Steller sea lion non-pup counts were approaching the long-term in 2016, suggesting conditions had been favorable for sea lions in this area. However, preliminary estimates show a decline in the number of pups from 2015 to 2017 and declines in the number of non-pups in the Cook Inlet, Kodiak, and Semidi area.
- Human populations in the small ( $<1500$ people) fishing communities in the western Gulf of Alaska remained stable as a whole since 2000.

The Eastern GOA report card includes ten active indicators summarized as follows:

- The Gulf of Alaska in 2017 continued with warm conditions but have moderated since the extreme heat wave of 2014-2016. The neutral El Niño of last winter has lessened, and La Niña conditions are slightly more favored that neutral for next winter.
- The sub-arctic front was farther south than usual, which was consistent with surface currents. Strong winter winds from the north impelled the PAPA trajectory index to its most southerly latitude since the late 1930s. This represented a substantial change from the northerly surface current pattern during the previous three winters.
- Total zooplankton density in Icy Strait increased in 2016 relative to the previous three years but remained lower than the peak values in 2006-2009. Zooplankton were numerically dominated by gastropods and small copepods, while large copepod and euphausiid densities remained below average.
- Also in Icy Strait, the increase in large and decrease in small copepod abundances in 2016 relative to the previous year resulted in an increase in copepod community size. However, the low abundances of all copepods do not indicate substantially improved foraging conditions for planktivorous predators.
- Bottom trawl survey biomass of motile epifauna is typically dominated by brittle stars and a group composed of sea urchins, sand dollars and sea cucumbers. Record catches of hermit crabs influenced the peak biomass estimate in 2013. Catches of many of the more dominant members of this foraging guild were low in 2015. Brittle stars and miscellaneous crabs were the most abundant in 2017.
- A decrease in estimated total mature herring biomass in southeastern Alaska has been observed since the peak in 2011. Modeling indicates that the declines in biomass may be related to lower survival.
- Bottom-trawl survey fish apex predator biomass is currently below its 30 -year mean, following a peak in 2015. The trend is driven primarily by arrowtooth flounder which caught in great numbers in 2015. Pacific halibut and sablefish, the next most abundant species in this foraging guild have shown variable but generally stable trends in recent surveys. Pacific cod were at their lowest abundance in the time series in 2017, but had been at their highest relative abundance in 2015.
- Growth rates of piscivorous rhinoceros auklet chicks were anomalously low in 2015 and 2016, suggesting that the adult birds were not able to find sufficient prey to support successful chick growth. This is in contrast to 2012 and 2013, when chick growth rates were above the long-term average.
- Modelled estimates of eastern Gulf of Alaska Steller sea lion non-pup counts are above the long term mean through 2015. However, preliminary estimates suggest that non-pup counts declined $12 \%$ in 2017 relative to 2015 . This unusual recent decline in a long-increasing stock may indicate adverse responses to the marine heat wave of recent years.
- Human populations in the small ( $<1500$ people) fishing communities in the eastern Gulf of Alaska have remained stable in recent years following a gradual decline since peak population counts in thee mid-1990s.

There were two "hot topics" noted for the GOA this year:
Pyrosomes seen for first time in Gulf of Alaska research surveys - Researchers observed Pyrosoma atlanticum in three types of Alaska fish surveys this year - NOAA's acoustic, surface and bottom trawl surveys. Fishermen first reported seeing the organisms when trolling for salmon off Sitka, AK in February.

LEO Network - The NMFS AFSC is interested in documenting and learning from citizen science observations that may be incorporated into future Ecosystem Status Reports (ESRs). They have identified the LEO Network as a potential platform for tracking these observations. They are seeking Council input on the utilization of this network to gather citizen science observations on marine environment changes for future ESRs. Other citizen science efforts exist in Alaska, but these efforts are mostly project specific (e.g., bird spotting and identification) or community specific.

## Stock summaries

## 1. Walleye pollock

Status and catch specifications ( t ) of pollock and projections for 2018 and 2019. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data are current through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$. The GOA-wide and W/C/WYAK ABCs listed in this table are before reductions for the Prince William Sound GHL. However, the federal TACs from earlier years reflect reductions from the ABC due to State waters GHL. State waters GHL is presently computed as $2.5 \%$ of the total W/C/WYAK ABC. The ABC for 2019 is lower than Max ABC as it was based on an adjusted F40\% harvest rate.

| Area | Year | Age 3+ Bio. | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GOA | 2016 | $1,981,987$ | 349,310 | 274,150 | 257,872 | 173,226 |
|  | 2017 | $1,391,290$ | 249,033 | 213,689 | 208,595 | 184,243 |
|  | 2018 | $1,124,930$ | 198,756 | 170,265 |  |  |
|  | 2019 |  | 142,867 | 115,341 |  |  |
|  | 2017 | $1,981,987$ | 336,084 | 264,230 | 247,952 | 173,226 |
|  | 2018 | $1,391,290$ | 235,807 | 203,769 | 198,675 | 184,243 |
|  | 2019 | $1,124,930$ | 187,059 | 161,492 |  |  |
| SEO | 2016 |  | 131,170 | 106,568 |  |  |
|  | 2017 | 44,087 | 13,226 | 9,920 | 9,920 | 0 |
|  | 2018 | 38,989 | 13,226 | 9,920 | 9,920 | 0 |
|  | 2019 |  | 11,697 | 8,773 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

This year's pollock assessment features the following new data: 1) 2016 total catch and catch-at-age from the fishery, 2) 2017 biomass and age composition from the Shelikof Strait acoustic survey, 3) 2017 biomass and length composition from NMFS bottom trawl survey, 4) 2017 biomass and 2016 age composition from the ADFG crab/groundfish trawl survey, and 5) 2017 biomass and length composition from the summer GOA-wide acoustic survey.

The age-structured assessment model used for GOA W/C/WYAK pollock assessment was slightly modified from the 2016 assessment (Model 16.2). The 2017 assessment compared 4 models to the Model 16.2 with the new data:
Model 17.1—Age composition data reweighted using the Francis (2011) method.
Model 17.2-Same as model 17.1, but with random walks in survey catchability for the Shelikof Strait acoustic survey and the ADFG survey. This was the author's preferred model.
Model 17.3-Same as 17.2, but a smaller penalty on variation in catchability.
Model 17.4-Same as 17.2, but with an offset for natural mortality for the 2012 year class.
Model 17.1 explored using the Francis (2011) method in place of the McAllister and Ianelli method (1997) used since 2014. While this change reduced the effective sample size of age composition data by $46-86 \%$, the model results did not appear to be particularly sensitive to the weighting method used.

Models 17.2 and 17.3 implemented a random walk process to estimate year specific catchability for the Shelikof Strait and ADF\&G trawl surveys, as the proportion of total stock observed by these surveys could be expected to not to be constant. Model 17.3 differs from 17.2 in that the penalty term for annual variation was increased, allowing greater change in year-to-year catchability estimates. Model 17.2 was chosen as being less likely to overfit the data given a stronger constraint on change in catchability.

Model 17.4 implemented a cohort specific natural mortality for the 2012 year class, under the assumption that this may be lower given the dominance of this year class in the current surveys. A $26 \%$ reduction in M was estimated by the model, but the improvement in overall fit was negligible and therefore not recommended going forward.

Model 17.2 fits to biomass estimates follow general trends in survey time series. Fits to fishery age composition data were reasonable. The largest residuals tended to be at ages 1-2 in the NMFS bottom trawl survey due to inconsistencies between the initial estimates of abundance and subsequent information about year class size. Model fits to biomass estimates were like previous assessments, and general trends in survey time series were fit reasonably well. The model did not fit the most recent high Shelikof Strait acoustic survey biomass estimate, as this input was in contrast with the NMFS bottom trawl survey in 2017, which was substantially lower than previous years, and an age-structured pollock population cannot increase as rapidly as is indicated by this estimate. The model was unable to fit the extreme low value for the ADFG survey for 2015-2017, though otherwise the fit to this survey was quite good. The fit to the age-1 and age-2 Shelikof acoustic indices appeared adequate though variable.

## The Team concurred with the assessment author to use Model 17.2.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

In 1998, the stock dropped below $B_{40 \%}$ for the first time since the early 1980s and reached a minimum in 2003 at $25 \%$ of unfished stock size. Over the years 2009-2013, the stock increased from $32 \%$ to $60 \%$ of unfished stock size, but declined to $39 \%$ by 2016. The spawning stock is projected to increase again in 2018 as the strong 2012 year class continues to increase in body size. Survey data in 2017 are contradictory, with acoustic surveys indicating large or increasing biomass, and bottom trawl surveys indicating a steep decline in recent years. These divergent trends are likely due to changes in the availability of pollock to different surveying methods, though additional research is needed to confirm this hypothesis. The model estimate of female spawning biomass in 2018 is $342,683 \mathrm{t}$, which is $57.5 \%$ of unfished spawning biomass (based on average post-1977 recruitment) and above the $B_{40 \%}$ estimate of $238,000 \mathrm{t}$.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

Because the model projection of female spawning biomass in 2018 is above $B_{40 \%}$, the W/C/WYAK Gulf of Alaska pollock stock is in Tier 3a. The projected 2018 age-3+ biomass estimate is $1,124,930 \mathrm{t}$ (for the W/C/WYAK areas). Markov Chain Monte Carlo analysis indicated the probability of the stock dropping below $B_{20 \%}$ is negligible ( $<1 \%$ ) through 2022. For 2019, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ was adjusted downward to $\mathrm{F}_{47 \%}$ based on the author's recommendation.

The 2018 ABC for pollock in the Gulf of Alaska west of $140^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude (W/C/WYAK) is $161,492 \mathrm{t}$ which is a decrease of $21 \%$ from the 2017 ABC. The OFL is $187,059 \mathrm{t}$ for 2018. The 2018 Prince William Sound (PWS) GHL is $4,037 \mathrm{t}$ ( $2.5 \%$ of the ABC ).

For pollock in southeast Alaska (East Yakutat and Southeastern areas), the ABC for both 2018 and 2019 is $8,773 \mathrm{t}$ and the OFL for both 2018 and 2019 is $11,697 \mathrm{t}$. These recommendations are based on placing southeast Alaska pollock in Tier 5 of the NPFMC tier system, and basing the ABC and OFL on natural mortality ( 0.3 ) and the biomass estimate from a random effects model fit to the 1990-2017 bottom trawl survey biomass estimates in Southeast Alaska.

## Status determination

The Gulf of Alaska pollock stock is not being subjected to overfishing and is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Area apportionment

The assessment was updated to include the most recent data available for area apportionments within each season (Appendix C of the GOA pollock chapter). The NMFS bottom trawl survey, typically extending
from mid-May to mid-August, was considered the most appropriate survey time series for apportioning the TAC during the summer C and D seasons. Last year, the Plan Team recommended that summer acoustic survey data be averaged with the random effects model of bottom-trawl survey biomass to determine the summer allocation. Area apportionments, reduced by $2.5 \%$ of the ABC ( $4,037 \mathrm{t}$ in 2018 and $2,664 \mathrm{t}$ in 2019) for the State of Alaska managed pollock fishery in Prince William Sound, are as follows:

| Area apportionments (with ABCs reduced by Prince William Sound GHL) for 2018 and 2019 pollock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| ABCs for the Gulf of Alaska (t). | $\mathbf{6 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 0}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{6 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 0}$ | Central | WYAK | SEO | Total |  |
| $\mathbf{Y e a r}$ | Western | Central | Cent |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 30,188 | 79,495 | 40,939 | 6,833 | 8,773 | 167,375 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 19,921 | 52,459 | 27,016 | 4,509 | 8,773 | 113,824 |  |

## 2. Pacific cod

Status and catch specifications ( $\mathfrak{t}$ ) of Pacific cod in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data are current through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$.

| Year | Age 0+ biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2016 | 518,800 | 116,700 | 98,600 | 71,925 | 39,544 |
| 2017 | 426,384 | 105,378 | 88,342 | 64,442 | 33,115 |
| 2018 | 170,565 | 23,565 | 18,000 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 21,412 | 17,000 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

The fishery catch data was updated for 2016 and 2017 (2017 expected total year catch was projected). Fishery size composition data were updated for 2016, preliminary fishery size composition were included for 2017, and weight and age at length and age compositions for the 2015 bottom trawl survey were included. The AFSC 2017 longline and bottom trawl survey indices of abundance and their corresponding length composition data were included. Length composition data from ADF\&G port sampling program were used to augment pot fishery catch composition data where observer data were not present. Both the longline survey and trawl survey indices had steep declines. The 2017 trawl survey biomass estimate was the lowest in the time series and was $58 \%$ lower than the 2015 estimate. The longline survey RPN dropped $53 \%$ from 2016 to 2017.

The author evaluated several models and presented a subset of models that included the model configuration from 2016 with updated data (Model 17.08.25). Model 17.08 .35 was recommended by the author and Team concurred. This model was the best fit to the data and had reasonable retrospective patterns. A major feature of this model that differed from last year's model was having natural mortality (M) estimated in two time blocks; 1) 1977-2014 and 2017 and 2) 2015 and 2016. This feature allowed the model to fit the recent steep declines in the longline and trawl survey indices of abundance that was likely due to temperature related mortality. The protracted warm conditions from 2014-2016 may have resulted in increased metabolic demands for Pacific cod that potentially lead to starvation and mortality. The estimate of $\mathrm{M}=0.49$ during the 1977-2014 and 2017 block was similar to Model 17.08.25 ( $\mathrm{M}=0.47$ ). The estimate of M was 0.71 for the 2015-2016 block.

Another feature of this model was specifying the AFSC longline RPN index to be conditioned on water temperature. This feature allowed the model to be consistent with changing availability of small fish to the longline survey due to bottom temperatures. Smaller fish are encountered more frequently in this survey in warm years than in cold years.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

The $B_{40 \%}$ estimate was $67,433 \mathrm{t}$, with projected 2018 spawning biomass of $36,209 \mathrm{t}$. Recruitment was generally above average for the 2005-2012 period and below average for 2013-2016. Spawning biomass is expected to decline sharply in the near future.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

This stock is in Tier 3b because the 2018 spawning biomass is estimated to be at $B_{21 \%}$. The $F_{35 \%}$ and $F_{40 \%}$ values are 0.82 and 0.66 , respectively. The Tier $3 \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{OFL}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ values are 0.42 and 0.34 , respectively. The OFL is $23,565 \mathrm{t}$ and the maximum permissible ABC is $19,401 \mathrm{t}$. The authors recommended that the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ value be reduced to 0.31 to help ensure that the stock does reach the $\mathrm{B}_{20 \%}$ value. If the Pacific cod stock is projected to be equal to or below $\mathrm{B}_{20 \%}$, directed fishing is prohibited due to Steller sea lion regulations. The Plan Team concurred with the author's recommended ABC and OFL values. The recommended ABC is $18,000 \mathrm{t}$ for 2018 which is an $80 \%$ decrease from the 2017 ABC of $88,342 \mathrm{t}$.

## Status determination

The stock is not being subjected to overfishing and is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Area apportionment

Since the 2014 assessment, the random effects model has been used for Pacific cod apportionment. Using this method with the trawl survey biomass estimates through 2017, the area-apportioned ABCs are:

| Year | Western | Central | Eastern | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 8,082 | 8,118 | 1,800 | 18,000 |
| 2019 | 7,633 | 7,667 | 1,700 | 17,000 |

## 3. Sablefish

Status and catch specifications (t) of sablefish in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2017 and 2018 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data are current through November 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2017$.

| Year | Age 4+ biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2016 | 122,000 | 10,326 | 9,087 | 9,087 | 9,354 |
| 2017 | 139,000 | 11,885 | 10,074 | 10,074 | 10,386 |
| 2018 | 356,000 | 22,703 | 11,505 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 35,989 | 16,194 |  |  |

Relative to last year's assessment, the following substantive changes in the current assessment were made.

## Changes in the input data

New data included in the assessment model were relative abundance and length data from the 2017 longline survey, biomass and length data from the 2017 bottom trawl survey, relative abundance, and length data from the 2016 fixed gear fishery, length data from the 2016 trawl fisheries, age data from the 2016 longline survey and 2016 fixed gear fishery, updated catch for 2016, and projected 2017-2019 catches. In addition, estimates of killer and sperm whale depredation in the fishery were updated and projected for 2017-2019.

## Changes in the assessment methodology

Relative to the 2016 assessment, which adopted several Center for Independent Experts (CIE) review panel recommendations for improving the reference model, there were no changes to the assessment methodology.

New for this year, a new Ecosystem and Socioeconomic Profile (ESP) was presented as an appendix that highlights specific ecosystem indicators that may help explain variability in the stock assessment and included an economic performance report for the sablefish fishery.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

Projected 2018 spawning biomass is $36 \%$ of unfished spawning biomass. The longline survey abundance index increased $14 \%$ from 2016 to 2017 following a 34\% increase between 2015 and 2016. However, the lowest point of the time series occurred in 2015. The fishery abundance index decreased $23 \%$ from 2015 to 2016 and is the time series low (the 2017 data are not available yet). Spawning biomass is projected to increase rapidly from 2018 to 2022, and then stabilize.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

Sablefish are managed under Tier 3 of NPFMC harvest rules. Reference points were calculated using recruitments from 1977-2013. The updated point estimates of $B_{40 \%}, F_{40 \%}$, and $F_{35 \%}$ from this assessment are $98,332 \mathrm{t}$ (combined across the EBS, AI, and GOA), 0.096 , and 0.114 , respectively. Projected female spawning biomass (combined areas) for 2018 is $88,928 \mathrm{t}\left(90 \%\right.$ of $B_{40 \%}$ or $B_{36 \%}$ ), placing sablefish in Tier 3b.

The authors recommended ABCs for 2018 and 2019 that are lower than maximum permissible ABC and the Team concurred for two important reasons. First, a lower ABC than maximum permissible was recommended based on estimates of whale depredation occurring in the fishery in the same way that was recommended and accepted in 2017. Second, the 2014 year class is estimated to be 2.5 times higher than any other year class observed in the current recruitment regime. Thus, the recruitment estimate for the 2014 year class was set equal to the 1977 recruitment estimate because there are concerns regarding the lack of older fish and spawning biomass, the uncertainty surrounding the estimate of the strength of the 2014 year class, and the uncertainty about the environmental conditions that may affect the success of the 2014 year class.

The maximum permissible value of $F_{A B C}$ under Tier 3 b is 0.086 . After accounting for the uncertainty surrounding the extremely high 2014 recruitment estimate and whale depredation, the authors' recommended $F_{A B C}$ equals 0.077 , which results in a recommended 2018 ABC of $11,505 \mathrm{t}$ for the GOA. The OFL fishing mortality rate is 0.102 which results in a 2017 OFL of $22,073 \mathrm{t}$ for the GOA.

## Status determination

Model projections indicate that this stock is not subject to overfishing, overfished, nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Area apportionment

Apportionments have been held constant since the 2013 fishery and the Teams concurred:

|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Region | OFL | ABC | TAC | OFL | ABC | OFL | ABC |
| W | -- | 1,349 | 1,349 | -- | 1,544 | -- | 2,174 |
| C | -- | 4,514 | 4,514 | -- | 5,158 | -- | 7,260 |
| $* *$ WYAK | -- | 1,605 | 1,605 | -- | 1,829 | -- | 2,573 |
| SEO | -- | 2,606 | 2,606 | -- | 2,974 | -- | 4,187 |
| GOA | $\mathbf{1 1 , 8 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 7 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 , 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 1 9 4}$ |
| BS | 1,499 | 1,274 | 1,274 | 2,887 | 1,464 | 4,576 | 2,061 |
| AI | 2,044 | 1,735 | 1,735 | 3,917 | 1,988 | 6,209 | 2,798 |
| Total | 15,428 | 13,083 | 13,083 | 29,507 | 14,957 | 46,775 | 21,053 |

[^0]
## 4. Shallow water flatfish

| Status and catch specifications ( t ) of shallow water flatfish and projections for 2018 and 2019. The shallow water complex is comprised of northern rock sole, southern rock sole, yellowfin sole, butter sole, starry flounder, English sole, sand sole and Alaska plaice. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. Catch data are through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| 2016 | 303,299 | 54,520 | 44,364 | 36,763 | 3,808 |
| 2017 | 299,858 | 54,583 | 44,514 | 36,843 | 2,481 |
| 2018 | 339,152 | 67,240 | 54,688 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 68,114 | 55,422 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

A full assessment for shallow water flatfish was presented. Age structured assessment models are used for northern and southern rock sole, and the random effects model is used for the remaining tier 5 species in the shallow water flatfish complex (as well as for apportionment). The northern and southern rock sole assessment model was updated with data through 2017, including updated 2016 catch and estimated 2017 catch, 2017 trawl survey biomass, 2017 fishery length composition, 2017 trawl survey length composition, and 2015 trawl survey conditional-age-at-length (CAAL). The random effects model was updated with 2017 trawl survey biomass.
The author's recommended change to the rock sole assessment models for 2017 incorporated the time series of trawl survey length compositions and removed the age compositions. The age data from the trawl survey is employed within the CAAL framework. The Plan Team concurred with the use of this model.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

The complex total current biomass estimate for 2018 is $339,152 \mathrm{t}$, which is a $13 \%$ increase from the 2017 value of $299,858 \mathrm{t}$. This increase is due primarily to an increase in the model estimate of both northern and southern rock sole and 2017 survey estimates that were higher than 2015 for yellowfin sole, starry flounder, sand sole, and Alaska plaice (estimated from the random effects model). The random effects model estimates for 2017 biomass of butter sole and English sole were smaller than estimated in 2017. On the whole, the random effects model estimated an increase in biomass in 2017 compared to 2015 for the complex combined.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

Northern and southern rock sole are in Tier 3a while the other species in the complex are in Tier 5. The GOA Plan Team agrees with authors' recommended ABC for the shallow water flatfish complex which was equivalent to maximum permissible ABC . For the shallow water flatfish complex, ABC and OFL for southern and northern rock sole are combined with the ABC and OFL values for the rest of the shallow water flatfish complex. This yields a combined ABC of 54,688 $t$ and OFL of $67,240 t$ for 2018.

## Status determination

Information is insufficient to determine stock status relative to overfished criteria for the complex as a whole. For the rock sole species, the assessment model indicates they are not overfished nor are they approaching an overfished condition. Catch levels for this complex remain below the TAC and below levels where overfishing would be a concern.

## Area apportionment

The recommended apportionment percentages based on the random effects model applied to survey biomass estimates for ABC are:

| Year | Western | Central | WYAK | SEO | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2018 | 25,206 | 25,315 | 2,242 | 1,925 | 54,688 |
| 2019 | 25,544 | 25,655 | 2,272 | 1,951 | 55,422 |

## 5. Deepwater flatfish complex (Dover sole and others)

Status and catch specifications ( t ) of deepwater flatfish (Dover sole and others) and projections for 2018 and 2019. Biomass for each year is for Dover sole only and corresponds to the model estimate associated with the ABC for that year. Catch data in this table are current through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$.

| Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2016 | 141,824 | 11,102 | 9,226 | 9,226 | 238 |
| 2017 | 143,333 | 11,182 | 9,292 | 9,292 | 241 |
| 2018 | 144,654 | 11,294 | 9,385 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 11,431 | 9,499 |  |  |

The deepwater flatfish complex is comprised of Dover sole, Greenland turbot, and deepsea sole. This complex is assessed every fourth year and was last assessed in 2015 and will be assessed again in 2019. In non-assessment years, such as 2017, an executive summary is completed to recommend harvest levels for the next two years.

## Changes from the previous assessment

For Dover sole, a single species projection model was run using parameter values from the accepted 2015 assessment model and using updated catch information for 2015-2017.

Greenland turbot and deepsea sole are Tier 6 stocks, and accordingly, ABCs and OFLs are based on historical catch levels and these quantities were not updated. ABCs and OFLs for the individual species in the deepwater flatfish complex are determined and then summed for calculating complex-level OFLs and ABCs.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

Dover sole is a Tier 3 stock and is assessed using an age-structured model. The single species projection model was run using parameter values from the accepted 2015 Dover sole assessment model. The 2018 and 2019 Dover sole ABCs are 9,202 t and 9,316 t, respectively, and 2018 and 2019 OFLs of 11,050 t and $11,187 \mathrm{t}$, respectively.

For the Tier 6 species in the complex, 2018 and 2019 OFL (average catch from 1978-1995) is 244 t , and $\mathrm{ABC}(75 \% \mathrm{OFL})$ is 183 t .

The GOA Plan Team agrees with the authors' recommendation to use the combined ABC and OFL for the deepwater flatfish complex for 2018 and 2019. This equates to a 2018 maximum permissible ABC of 9,385 $t$ and OFL of $11,294 t$ for the deepwater flatfish complex, and a 2019 maximum permissible ABC of 9,499 t and OFL of $11,431 \mathrm{t}$.

## Status determination

Gulf of Alaska Dover sole is not being subjected to overfishing, and is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition. Information is insufficient to determine stock status relative to overfished criteria for Greenland turbot and deepsea sole. Since Dover sole comprises approximately $98 \%$ of the deepwater flatfish complex they are considered the main component for determining the status of this stock complex. Catch levels for this complex remain well below the TAC and below levels where overfishing would be a concern.

## Area apportionment

The random effects model is used to determine area apportionment for Dover sole and was recommended by the GOA Plan Team in 2016. The Greenland turbot and deepsea sole portion of the apportionment is based on the relative proportion of survey biomass of these species found in each area, averaged over the years 2005-2015. The ABC by area for the deepwater flatfish complex is then the sum of the speciesspecific portions of the ABC.

| Year | Western | Central | WYAK | SEO | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 413 | 3,400 | 3,239 | 2,332 | 9,385 |
| 2019 | 416 | 3,442 | 3,279 | 2,361 | 9,499 |

## 6. Rex Sole

Status and catch specifications (t) of rex sole and projections for 2018 and 2019. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. Catch data are current through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$.

| Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 67,941 | 9,791 | 7,493 | 7,493 | 1,748 |
| 2017 | 75,359 | 10,860 | 8,311 | 8,311 | 1,410 |
| 2018 | 97,982 | 18,706 | 15,373 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 17,692 | 14,529 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

Rex sole are now assessed on a four year cycle. The recommended model added new assessment inputs including updated fishery catch and length data (2015-2017); new 2017 bottom trawl survey biomass estimates and length compositions; new 2015 bottom trawl survey age-at-length data; and newly available historical fishery age data. In addition, this model added a likelihood component to fit the model to fishery age composition data; estimated growth within the assessment model using a conditional age-at-length approach; and split the model into two areas with growth estimated within each area to account for differences in length-at-age between the Eastern GOA and Western-Central GOA. The Team supported use of the author's preferred model

The preferred model is a two area model with growth and survey selectivity parameters estimated separately for each region which substantially reduces uncertainty about fishing mortality rates and improves fits to fishery length and age composition data. Key results and reference points were computed for each region separately and then summed to get values for the entire stock.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

The model estimates of female spawning biomass and total biomass ( $3+$ ) for the Eastern GOA were 9,376 $t$ and $21,338 \mathrm{t}$ and for the Central-Western region were $36,374 \mathrm{t}$ and $76,644 \mathrm{t}$. Summing these values results in an overall spawning biomass estimate of $45,750 \mathrm{t}$ and a total biomass ( $3+$ ) of $97,982 \mathrm{t}$. Spawning biomass and total biomass have been declining since a peak in 2012 and are expected to decline slightly in 2019.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

Previously, rex sole were assessed as a Tier 5 stock because reliable fishery reference values were not available. The Team agreed that the recommended model produces reliable estimates of $F_{40 \%}$ and $F_{35 \%}$ which places rex sole in Tier 3a.

For rex sole in the Eastern GOA the maximum permissible value of $F_{A B C}$ under Tier 3a is 0.25 and in the Western-Central GOA $F_{A B C}$ is 0.23 . Combined, the overall ABC for GOA rex sole in 2018 is 15,373 and OFL is $18,706 \mathrm{t}$. These values are substantially higher than the 2017 ABC of $8,311 \mathrm{t}$ and OFL of $10,860 \mathrm{t}$, both of which were determined using the previously recommended Tier 5 approach.

## Status determination

The Gulf of Alaska rex sole is not being subjected to overfishing and is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition. Catches are well below TACs and below levels where overfishing would be a concern.

## Area apportionment

Area apportionments of rex sole ABC's for 2018 and 2019 are based on the random effects model applied to GOA bottom trawl survey biomass in each area.

| Year | Western | Central | WYAK | SEO | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 3,086 | 8,739 | 1,737 | 1,811 | 15,373 |
| 2019 | 2,909 | 8,236 | 1,657 | 1,727 | 14,529 |

## 7. Arrowtooth flounder

Status and catch specifications ( t ) of arrowtooth flounder and projections for 2018 and 2019. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. Catch data current through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$.

| Year | Biomass $^{\mathbf{1}}$ | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | $2,103,860$ | 219,430 | 186,188 | 103,300 | 19,830 |
| 2017 | $2,103,090$ | 219,327 | 186,093 | 103,300 | 26,007 |
| 2018 | $1,421,306$ | 180,697 | 150,945 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 173,872 | 145,234 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Total biomass (ages $1+$ ) from the projection model based on parameters from the age-structured model.

## Changes from the previous assessment

There were several changes from the previous assessment. The length-age conversion matrix was estimated from length at age data from 1984-2013, and the weight at age was re-estimated. An ageing error matrix was added, and the age and length and age composition information was weighted with the Francis (2011) method.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

Arrowtooth flounder biomass estimates in the current model have decreased relative to the projection model estimates in 2016. The projected spawning biomass for 2018, assuming fishing mortality equal to the recent 5 -year average, was $873,789 \mathrm{t}$. This was $24 \%$ lower than the projected 2018 biomass from the 2016 assessment of $1,154,310 \mathrm{t}$. The projected estimate of total biomass for 2018 of $1,421,306 \mathrm{t}$ was $32 \%$ lower than the estimate from 2016 projection model.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

The 2018 ABC of $150,945 \mathrm{t}$ was $11 \%$ lower than estimate from the 2016 projection model. Arrowtooth flounder is estimated to be in Tier 3a, and the Team accepted the recommended ABC and OFL.

## Status determination

This stock is not being subjected to overfishing and is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Area apportionment

The recommended area apportionment from the random effects model was used by the Team to provide apportionments for the 2018 and 2019 ABCs:

| Year | Western | Central | WYAK | SEO | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2018 | 37,253 | 73,480 | 16,468 | 23,744 | 150,945 |
| 2019 | 35,844 | 70,700 | 15,845 | 22,845 | 145,234 |

## 8. Flathead sole

Status and catch specifications (t) of flathead sole and projections for 2018 and 2019. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. Catch data are current through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$.

| Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 265,088 | 42,840 | 35,020 | 27,832 | 2,420 |
| 2017 | 269,638 | 43,128 | 35,243 | 27,856 | 1,875 |
| 2018 | 281,635 | 43,011 | 35,266 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 44,822 | 36,746 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

Flathead sole are assessed on a biennial schedule. This year a full assessment was conducted but no new changes were made to the assessment methodology. The 2015 assessment model was updated with the most recent fishery catch and length data (2015-2017), 2017 bottom trawl survey biomass and length compositions, and 2015 bottom trawl survey conditional age-at-length data.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

The 2018 spawning biomass estimate $(85,765 \mathrm{t})$ is above $B_{40 \%}(36,620 \mathrm{t})$ and projected to be stable through 2019. Total biomass ( $3+$ ) for 2018 is $281,635 \mathrm{t}$ and is projected to slightly increase in 2019.

Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs
Flathead sole are determined to be in Tier 3a. For 2018 the Plan Team concurred with the authors' recommendation to use the maximum permissible ABC of $35,266 \mathrm{t}$ which is nearly identical to the 2017 ABC of $35,243 \mathrm{t}$. The $\mathrm{F}_{\text {OFL }}$ is set at $\mathrm{F}_{35 \%}(0.40)$ which corresponds to an OFL of $43,011 \mathrm{t}$.

## Status determination

The Gulf of Alaska flathead sole stock is not being subjected to overfishing and is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition. Catches are well below TACs and below levels where overfishing would be a concern.

## Area apportionment

Area apportionments of flathead sole ABC's for 2018 and 2019 are based on the random effects model applied to GOA bottom trawl survey biomass in each area.

| Year | Western | Central | WYAK | SE | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 12,690 | 20,238 | 1,932 | 406 | 35,266 |
| 2019 | 13,222 | 21,087 | 2,013 | 424 | 36,746 |

## 9. Pacific Ocean Perch

Status and catch specifications ( t ) of Pacific ocean perch and projections for 2018 and 2019. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Total biomass estimates are age$2+$ from the age-structured model; catch data are current through November 4, 2017.

| Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2016 | 457,768 | 28,431 | 24,437 | 24,437 | 23,127 |
| 2017 | 445,672 | 27,826 | 23,918 | 23,918 | 22,919 |
| 2018 | 511,924 | 34,762 | 29,236 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 34,010 | 28,605 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

Changes to the input data include updated survey biomass estimates for 2017, survey age compositions for 2015, fishery age composition for 2014 and 2016, final catch for 2015 and 2016, and preliminary catch and projected catches for 2017-2019. The fishery length composition data was changed to 1 cm length bins with a plus group of 45 cm . The 1984 and 1987 bottom trawl survey biomass and age composition data were removed from the assessment.

Two changes to the 2015 assessment model were recommended for this year: 1) bottom trawl survey biomass is fit with a log-normal distribution; and 2) an additional fishery selectivity time period (2007present) was added to accommodate the Central GOA rockfish program and the availability of older fish to the fishery.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

There was a $22 \%$ increase in ABC and $13 \%$ increase in spawning biomass from 2017 to 2018, and the spawning stock biomass is projected to decrease by $1.4 \%$ from 2018 to 2019. Total biomass has been increasing since the early 1980s.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

The GOA Pacific ocean perch stock is in Tier 3a. The Team accepted the author recommended model resulting in an estimated maximum permissible ABC of $29,236 \mathrm{t}\left(F_{A B C}=F_{40 \%}\right.$ of 0.094$)$. The FofL is specified to be equal to the $F_{35 \%}(0.113)$ and results in an OFL of $34,762 \mathrm{t}$.

## Status determination

The stock is not being subjected to overfishing and is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Area apportionment

The following table shows the recommended apportionment for 2018 and 2019 from the random effects model.

| Area apportionment | Western | Central | Eastern | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2018 Area ABC $(\mathrm{t})$ | 3,312 | 20,112 | 5,812 | 29,236 |
| 2019 Area ABC $(\mathrm{t})$ | 3,240 | 19,678 | 5,687 | 28,605 |

Amendment 41 prohibited trawling in the Eastern GOA east of $140^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude. Trawling is allowed in the W. Yakutat (between $147^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $140^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) portion of the Eastern GOA, and the proportion of Eastern GOA biomass is 0.58 , smaller than the estimate of 0.61 from the 2015 assessment. The random effects
model was not applied for the WYAK and EYAK/SEO split and the weighting method of using upper $95 \%$ confidence of the ratio in biomass between these two areas used in previous assessments was continued. This results in the following apportionment of the Eastern Gulf area:

| Area apportionment | W.Yakutat | E.Yakutat/ <br> Southeast | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 Area ABC $(\mathrm{t})$ | 3,371 | 2,441 | 5,812 |
| 2019 Area ABC $(\mathrm{t})$ | 3,298 | 2,389 | 5,687 |

In 2012, the Plan Team and SSC recommended combined OFLs for the Western, Central, and West Yakutat areas (W/C/WYK) because the original rationale of an overfished stock no longer applied. However, because of concerns over stock structure, the OFL for SEO remained separate to ensure this unharvested OFL was not utilized in another area. The Council adopted these recommendations. This results in the following apportionment for the W/C/WYK area:

| Area apportionment | Western/Central/ <br> W.Yakutat | E.Yakutat/ <br> Southeast | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 Area OFL $(\mathrm{t})$ | 31,860 | 2,902 | 34,762 |
| 2019 Area OFL $(\mathrm{t})$ | 31,170 | 2,840 | 34,010 |

## 10. Northern Rockfish

Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data are current through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$. Note that for management purposes, northern rockfish in the EGOA are managed in the other rockfish complex, which is 4 t in 2018 and 3 t in 2019, respectively, from the northern rockfish ABC .

| Year | Age 2+ biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2016 | 77,596 | 4,783 | 4,004 | 4,004 | 3,437 |
| 2017 | 75,028 | 4,522 | 3,790 | 3,786 | 1,779 |
| 2018 | 74,748 | 4,380 | 3,685 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 3,984 | 3,350 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

For Gulf of Alaska northern rockfish in 2017, the authors presented a partial assessment to recommend harvest levels for the next two years. There were no changes in assessment methodology. New data added to the projection model included updated catch data from 2015 ( $3,944 \mathrm{t}$ ) and 2016 ( $3,437 \mathrm{t}$ ), and new estimated catches for 2017-2019.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

The 2018 spawning biomass estimate ( $28,017 \mathrm{t}$ ) is above $B_{40 \%}(27,983 \mathrm{t}$ ) and projected to decrease to 26,512 $t$ in 2019. Total biomass ( $2+$ ) for 2018 is $74,748 \mathrm{t}$ and is projected to decrease to 73,814 in 2019.

Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs
Northern rockfish are estimated to be in Tier 3a in 2018 and 3b in 2019. The Plan Team agreed with the authors' recommendation to use the maximum permissible 2018 ABC and OFL values of 3,685 t and 4,380 t , respectively.

## Status determination

This stock is not being subjected to overfishing and is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Area apportionment

Area apportionments of northern rockfish ABC's for 2018 and 2019 are based on the random effects model applied to GOA bottom trawl survey biomass estimates through 2015 for the Western, Central, and Easter Gulf of Alaska resulting in the following percentage area apportionments: Western 11.40\%, Central 88.50\% and Eastern $0.01 \%$. Note that the small northern rockfish ABC apportionments from the Eastern Gulf are combined with other rockfish for management purposes. Northern rockfish area apportionments for ABCs in 2018 and 2019:

| Year | Western | Central | Eastern | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2018 | 420 | 3,261 | 4 | 3,685 |
| 2019 | 382 | 2,965 | 3 | 3,350 |

## 11. Shortraker rockfish

Status and catch specifications (t) of GOA shortraker rockfish and projections for 2018 and 2019. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 2017 are current through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$.

| Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2016 | 57,175 | 1,715 | 1,286 | 1,286 | 777 |
| 2017 | 57,175 | 1,715 | 1,286 | 1,286 | 547 |
| 2018 | 38,361 | 1,151 | 863 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 1,151 | 863 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

The last full assessment for Gulf of Alaska shortraker rockfish was in 2015. New data included in this year's full assessment are 2017 survey biomass estimates.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

Applying the random effects model to trawl survey data from 1984-2017 results in a 2018 biomass of $38,361 \mathrm{t}$ for shortraker rockfish, a $33 \%$ decrease from the previous year's biomass ( $57,175 \mathrm{t}$ ).

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

Shortraker rockfish are Tier 5 species for specifications where $F_{\text {ABC }}=0.75 M=0.0225$, and $F_{O F L}=0.03$; applying this definition to the biomass results in an ABC of 863 t and an OFL 1, 151 t for 2018.

## Status determination

Available data are insufficient to determine stock status relative to overfished criteria. This stock was not being subjected to overfishing last year.

## Area apportionment

For area apportionment of ABC , the random effects model was fit to area-specific biomass and proportions of survey biomass by area were calculated. The following table shows the recommended area apportionment ( t ) for 2018 and 2019.

| Year | Western | Central | Eastern | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2018 and 2019 | $44(5.1 \%)$ | $305(35.3 \%)$ | $514(59.6 \%)$ | $863(100.0 \%)$ |

## 12. Dusky rockfish

Status and catch specifications ( t ) of dusky rockfish and projections for 2018 and 2019. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data are current through November 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2017$.

| Year | Age 4+ biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2016 | 60,072 | 5,733 | 4,686 | 4,686 | 3,328 |
| 2017 | 57,307 | 5,233 | 4,278 | 4,278 | 2,587 |
| 2018 | 56,103 | 4,841 | 3,957 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 4,488 | 3,668 |  |  |

## Changes in assessment methods and data

Dusky rockfish are assessed on a biennial stock assessment schedule to coincide with the availability of new survey data. This off-year assessment consists of updating the catch data and running the projection model from the 2015 assessment. There were no changes in the assessment methods. New data added to the projection model included updated 2015 catch and new projected catches for 2016-2018.

## Spawning biomass and stock status trends

Estimates of spawning biomass for 2017 and 2019 from the current year (2017) projection model are 23,201 t and 20,151 t, respectively. Both estimates are above the $\mathrm{B}_{40 \%}$ estimate of $19,707 \mathrm{t}$.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

The dusky rockfish stock is in Tier 3a. The Plan Team agreed with the authors' recommendation of maximum permissible ABC of $3,957 \mathrm{t}$ from the updated projection model. This ABC is $8 \%$ lower than the 2017 ABC of 4,278 t.

## Status determination

The stock is not being subject to overfishing, is not currently overfished, nor is it approaching an overfished condition.

## Area apportionment

The following table shows the recommended ABC apportionment for 2018 and 2019. The apportionment percentages are the same as in the last full assessment.

| Area Apportionment | Western | Central | Eastern | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 Area ABC $(\mathrm{t})$ | 146 | 3,502 | 309 | 3,957 |
| 2019 Area ABC $(\mathrm{t})$ | 135 | 3,246 | 287 | 3,668 |

Amendment 41 prohibited trawling in the Eastern area east of $140^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude. The ratio of biomass still obtainable in the W . Yakutat area (between $147^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $140^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) is 0.75 . This results in the following apportionment to the W. Yakutat area:

|  | W. Yakutat | E. Yakutat/Southeast |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 Area ABC (t) | 232 | 77 |
| 2019 Area ABC (t) | 215 | 72 |

## 13. Rougheye and blackspotted rockfish

Status and catch specifications (t) of rougheye and blackspotted rockfish and projections for 2018 and 2019. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projections given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team.
Total biomass estimates are age-3+ from the age-structured model; catch data are current as of Nov 4, 2017.

| Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2016 | 41,864 | 1,596 | 1,328 | 1,328 | 641 |
| 2017 | 41,650 | 1,594 | 1,327 | 1,327 | 536 |
| 2018 | 45,624 | 1,735 | 1,444 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 1,715 | 1,427 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

Data input changes included the following: Updated catch estimates for 2016, fishery ages for 2014 and 2016, fishery lengths for 2015, a trawl survey biomass estimate for 2017, trawl survey ages for 2015, longline survey relative population numbers (RPN) and lengths for 2016 and 2017. There were no changes to the assessment methodology.

## Spawning biomass and stock status trends

The 2018 projected spawning biomass estimate $(15,059 \mathrm{t})$ is above $B_{40 \%}(8,998 \mathrm{t})$ and projected to slightly decrease to $14,972 \mathrm{t}$ in 2019

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

The rougheye/blackspotted complex qualifies as a Tier 3a stock. For the 2017 fishery, the Plan Team accepts the authors' recommended maximum permissible ABC of $1,444 \mathrm{t}\left(F_{A B C}=F_{40 \%}=0.04\right)$ and OFL $\left(F_{O F L}=F_{35 \%}=0.048\right)$ of $1,735 \mathrm{t}$.

## Status determination

This stock is not being subjected to overfishing and is neither overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

## Area apportionment

The apportionment percentages have changed with the addition of the 2017 trawl survey biomass. In past assessments, apportionment was based on a 4:6:9 weighted average of the proportion of biomass in each area from the three most recent bottom trawl surveys. The Plan Team and SSC have requested that the random effects model be applied to the bottom trawl survey data. However, the author included the longline survey in the model, and chose to use the weighted average methodology. The following table shows the resulting ABC apportionment for the 2018 and 2019 fishery (from the three survey-weighted average).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WGOA | CGOA | EGOA | Total |
| 2018 ABC $(\mathrm{t})$ | 176 | 556 | 712 | 1,444 |
| $2019 \mathrm{ABC}(\mathrm{t})$ | 174 | 550 | 703 | 1,427 |

## 14. Demersal shelf rockfish

Status and catch specifications (t) of GOA demersal shelf rockfish and projections for 2018 and 2019. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 2017 are current through November 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2017$.

| Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2016^{1}$ | 10,559 | 364 | 218 | 211 | 117 |
| $2017^{1}$ | 10,347 | 357 | 227 | 124 | 124 |
| $2018^{1}$ | 11,508 | 394 | 250 |  |  |
| $2019^{1}$ |  | 394 | 250 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ For 2016-2019, the non-yelloweye DSR ABCs and OFLs are calculated using Tier 6 methodology. Non-yelloweye Tier 6 ABCs and OFLs are added to the Tier 4 yelloweye ABCs and OFLs for total DSR values.

## Changes from the previous assessment

Catch information and the average weight of yelloweye rockfish caught in the commercial fishery were updated for 2017. Density estimates from the ROV survey were updated for the State EYKT subdistrict.

The results of a preliminary statistical age-structured assessment model (ASA) are not presented this year due to personnel changes. The ASA will be presented in full in 2018 or 2019; updates to the status quo methodology are presented here.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

The yelloweye rockfish biomass estimate increased from $10,347 \mathrm{t}$ to $11,508 \mathrm{t}$ from 2017 to 2018. The increase in abundance is largely driven by an increased density estimate for CSEO subdistrict - an area closed to directed commercial fishing since 2014 - as well as an increase in mean fish weight in CSEO and SSEO subdistricts.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

Under Tier 4 for yelloweye rockfish the overfishing level (OFL) was set using $F_{35 \%}=0.032$; which equates to 394 t for 2018. As in the past $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ is set based on $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{M}=0.02$ rather than the maximum permissible F . This results in an ABC for 2018 of 250 t , up slightly from that recommended for 2017.

## Status determination

The DSR stock complex in the SEO district of the Gulf of Alaska is not being subjected to overfishing. Information is insufficient to determine stock status relative to overfished criteria as estimates of spawning biomass are unavailable.

## Area apportionment

The ABC and OFL for DSR are for the SEO District. DSR management is deferred to the State of Alaska and any further apportionment within the SEO District is at the discretion of the State.

## 15. Thornyheads

Status and catch specifications ( t ) of thornyheads in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. Catch data for 2017 are current through November 4, 2017.

| Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 87,155 | 2,615 | 1,961 | 1,961 | 1,119 |
| 2017 | 87,155 | 2,615 | 1,961 | 1,961 | 1,012 |
| 2018 | 90,570 | 2,717 | 2,038 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 2,717 | 2,038 |  |  |

## Changes from previous assessment

In 2017, the North Pacific Fishery Management Council reviewed the frequency for groundfish stock assessments, and recommended that the thornyhead complex remain on a biennial assessment schedule with full assessments in even years and no stock assessments in odd years. Although not required, a partial assessment was produced this year to better monitor the time series of survey biomass estimates (which have displayed high variability) and evaluate catch relative to ABC, since catch in the western GOA has exceeded the subarea ABC for this area in the past. The partial assessment includes catch estimates (though 17 October 2017) and biomass estimates from the GOA trawl survey from 1984-2017.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

Estimates of spawning biomass are unavailable for thornyheads. The 2017 trawl survey estimate was $10 \%$ lower from the 2015 estimate, whereas the 2017 longline survey relative population number was $30 \%$ higher than the 2016 estimate, and the 2017 estimates for these two surveys were above their long-term means. The thornyhead complex is a Tier 5 stock, with biomass estimated by applying the random-effects method to the trawl survey biomass time series by region and depth in order to compensate for missing data (i.e., thornyheads are found to 1000 m , but deep survey strata are not sampled in in each survey).

The estimated catch to biomass ratios have been below $2 \%$ since 1995, and the annual catches during this period have generally been between $30 \%$ to $70 \%$ of the ABC . The 2017 catch (as of Oct 17 ) in the western GOA and central GOA are $36 \%$ and $23 \%$ lower, respectively, than the 2016.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

The Plan Team concurred with the author's recommendation for OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019. Gulfwide catch of thornyheads in 2016 was $46 \%$ of the ABC. The 2018 (and 2019) ABC recommendation $\left(F_{A B C}=0.0225\right)$ is $2,038 \mathrm{t}$ and the $\operatorname{OFL}\left(F_{O F L}=0.03\right)$ is $2,717 \mathrm{t}$.

## Status determination

The thornyhead complex is not being subjected to overfishing. Information is insufficient to determine stock status relative to overfished criteria as estimates of spawning biomass are unavailable.

## Area apportionment

Apportionment is based on random effects estimation of biomass by region, fit to 1984-2017 trawl survey biomass estimates. Subarea ABCs for 2018 and 2019 ABC are:

| 2018 and 2019 | Western | Central | Eastern | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABC | 344 | 921 | 773 | 2,038 |

## 16. Other rockfish

| Status and catch specifications (t) of other rockfish. Biomass estimates for 2018 and 2019 are based on the random effects model for Tier 4 and 5 species. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data are current through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$. Note that 4 t and 3 t of northern rockfish have been added to the 2018 and 2019 ABCs , respectively, for management purposes. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Survey biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| 2016 | 104,826 | 7,424 | 5,773 | 2,308 | 1,283 |
| 2017 | 104,826 | 7,424 | 5,773 | 2,308 | 1,059 |
| 2018 | 96,107 | 7,356 | 5,594 |  |  |
| 2019 |  | 7,356 | 5,593 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

Other rockfish are assessed on a biennial stock assessment schedule to coincide with the availability of new survey data. New data included in the assessment are 2017 Gulf of Alaska survey biomass estimates and standard errors, and updated total catch for 2003 - 2017. To determine biomass values the random effects time series of biomasses have been updated. ABC/OFL calculations are based on Tier 4, 5, and 6 methods (depending on species). There are no changes to the Tier 4 or 5 methods used in this assessment.

The historical catch time series used for the Tier 6 species was expanded from the $2013-2014$ time series used in the last assessment to include 2003 - 2016. As in the last assessment, the maximum value of catch during the time series is used. Maximum catches were calculated individually by species and summed for the Tier 6 ABC/OFL.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

For the Tier 4 and 5 species, the estimated biomass of $96,107 \mathrm{t}$ is based on the random effects model. The model indicates stability for this complex. There is considerable variation in individual species biomass estimates that can mostly be attributed to sampling variation as many of these species are not sampled well by the trawl survey.

## Tier determination/ Plan Team discussion and resulting ABC and OFL recommendations

The Plan Team agreed with the authors' recommendation of an OFL of $7,424 \mathrm{t}$ and a maximum permissible ABC of 5,594 t and 5,593 t for 2018 and 2019 (including values from the northern rockfish assessment). The Plan Team discussed the appropriateness of moving the demersal sub-group of other rockfish into the DSR assessment (i.e., the Tier 6 species) and make the DSR assessment GOA-wide. The Team reviewed this issue within the context of Council's Stock Structure and Spatial Management Policy. The Team again supported the conclusions of the author and reiterate that the demersal sub-group be moved into the DSR assessment and make the DSR assessment GOA-wide pending Council evaluation of management and economic implications.

## Status determination

The other rockfish complex is not being subjected to overfishing. Information is insufficient to determine stock status relative to overfished criteria as estimates of spawning biomass are unavailable. Catch levels for this stock remain below the TAC and below levels where overfishing would be a concern.

## Area apportionment

Area apportionment is based on the sum of random effects model biomass (Tier $4 / 5$ species) and catch history (Tier 6 species) by region. The Plan Team again recommends a single ABC for the combined WGOA and CGOA areas to address concerns about the ability to manage smaller ABCs in the WGOA. The apportionments recommended for 2018 and 2019 are:

| Year | Other Rockfish | W/C GOA | WYAK | EYAK/SE | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $A B C(t)$ | 1,737 | 368 | $3,489^{*}$ | 5,594 |
| 2019 | $A B C(t)$ | 1,737 | 368 | $3,488^{*}$ | 5,593 |

*Note for management purposes this includes values of northern rockfish from the northern rockfish stock EGOA allocation.

## 17. Atka mackerel

Status and catch specifications (t) of Atka mackerel in recent years. Atka mackerel are managed under Tier 6 because reliable estimates of biomass are not available. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data are current through November 4, 2017.

| Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | - | 6,200 | 4,700 | 2,000 | 1,092 |
| 2017 | - | 6,200 | 4,700 | 3,000 | 1,048 |
| 2018 | - | 6,200 | 4,700 |  |  |
| 2019 | - | 6,200 | 4,700 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

Atka mackerel are assessed on a biennial schedule to coincide with the timing of survey data. The last full assessment was conducted in 2015. New information in this year's full assessment includes updated catch data, biomass estimates and length frequency data from the 2017 GOA bottom trawl survey, and age data from the 2016 GOA fisheries.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

Estimates of spawning biomass are unavailable for Atka mackerel. The very patchy distribution of GOA Atka mackerel results in highly variable estimates of abundance. Therefore, survey biomass estimates are considered unreliable indicators of absolute abundance or indices of trend.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

Since 1996, the maximum permissible ABC has been $4,700 \mathrm{t}$ under Tier 6 and the OFL has been $6,200 \mathrm{t}$. The Plan Team continues to recommend that GOA Atka mackerel be managed under Tier 6. The Plan Team recommends a 2018 ABC for GOA Atka mackerel equal to the maximum permissible value of $4,700 \mathrm{t}$. The 2018 OFL is $6,200 \mathrm{t}$ under Tier 6 .

Due to concerns over uncertainty with the ABC estimates using Tier 6, a low TAC is recommended to provide for anticipated incidental catch needs of other fisheries, principally for Pacific cod, rockfish, and pollock fisheries.

## Status determination

Information is insufficient to determine stock status relative to overfished criteria. Catches are below ABC and below levels where overfishing would be a concern.

## 18. Skates

Status and catch specifications ( t ) of skates in recent years. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data are current through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$.

| Species | Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2016 | 50,857 | 5,086 | 3,814 | 3,814 | 2,101 |
| Big Skate | 2017 | 50,857 | 5,086 | 3,814 | 3,814 | 1,565 |
|  | 2018 | 37,975 | 3,797 | 2,848 |  |  |
|  | 2019 |  | 3,797 | 2,848 |  |  |
|  | 2016 | 42,737 | 4,274 | 3,206 | 3,206 | 1,396 |
| Longnose | 2017 | 42,737 | 4,274 | 3,206 | 3,206 | 1,119 |
| Skate | 2018 | 47,632 | 4,763 | 3,572 |  |  |
|  | 2019 |  | 4,763 | 3,572 |  |  |
|  | 2016 | 25,580 | 2,558 | 1,919 | 1,919 | 1,666 |
| Other | 2017 | 25,580 | 2,558 | 1,919 | 1,919 | 1,472 |
| Skates | 2018 | 18,454 | 1,845 | 1,384 |  |  |
|  | 2019 |  | 1,845 | 1,384 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

Skates are assessed on a biennial schedule with full assessments presented in odd years to coincide with the timing of survey data. A full assessment was completed for 2017. There were no changes in methodology but possible shifts in distribution were explored more thoroughly.

New inputs this year were the biomass estimates and length composition data from the 2017 GOA bottom trawl survey, updated groundfish fishery catch data, and fishery length composition data through 2017.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

The 2017 survey biomass estimates for big skates declined substantially from 2015, there were fewer largesized big skates that were encountered in the survey and fisheries with more small big skates in CGOA and fewer in EGOA. The biomass of the Other Skates declined also, mostly in the CGOA. The longnose skate biomass estimates increased from 2015 to 2017 with estimates increasing in the WGOA and CGOA. Fewer large-sized big skates were caught in the survey and in the fisheries during 2016 and 2017; the population is dominated by smaller individuals. Also, there may be shifts in abundance of big skates to the CGOA from EGOA. For longnose skates, they seem to have moved shallower in the water column.
The application of the RE model to the survey data for each skate category continues to provide reasonable results for biomass estimates.

The catches of big skates are substantially lower than in the years preceding 2014 (particularly 2009-2013). This decrease likely is due to prohibitions on retention of big skates in the CGOA (beginning in 2013), which discouraged "topping-off" behavior that resulted in high levels of catch, particularly for big skates in the CGOA. In January 2016, the Alaska Regional Office indefinitely reduced the maximum retainable amount for all skates in the GOA

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

Skates are managed in Tier 5 . Applying $M=0.1$ and $0.75 M$ to the estimated biomass from the random effects models for each stock component, gives stock specific OFLs and ABCs. The Team concurred with this approach as used in the 2016 assessment.

## Status determination

Catch as currently estimated does not exceed any gulf-wide OFLs, and therefore, none of the skate stocks are subject to overfishing. It is not possible to determine the status of stocks in Tier 5 with respect to overfished status.

## Area apportionment

The author continued the use of the random effects (RE) model that was introduced in the 2016 skate assessment for use in estimating survey biomass. In response to Plan Team and SSC requests, a separate RE model was run for each managed group, and for each regulatory area. The Team concurred with the use of the random effects model for estimating proportions by area. Big and longnose skates have area-specific ABCs and gulf-wide OFLs; other skates have a gulf-wide ABC and OFL.

|  |  |  | ABC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Years | Species | Western | Central | Eastern | Total |
| 2018 and 2019 | Big skate | 504 | 1,774 | 570 | 2,848 |
|  | Longnose skate | 149 | 2,804 | 619 | 3,572 |
|  | other skates |  |  |  | 1,384 |

## 19. Sculpins

| Status and catch specifications ( t$)$ of GOA sculpins and projections for 2018 and 2019. Biomass for each <br> year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and <br> ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 2017 are current <br> through November 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, <br> Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2016 | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| 2017 | 34,943 | 7,338 | 5,591 | 5,591 | 1,332 |
| 2018 | 34,943 | 7,338 | 5,591 | 5,591 | 1,284 |
| 2019 | 33,134 | 6,958 | 5,301 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

GOA sculpins are now being assessed on a quadrennial stock assessment schedule to coincide with the timing of the NMFS bottom trawl survey; prior to 2017, GOA sculpins were assessed biennially. There were no changes to the assessment methodology used in 2017. New information includes 2017 trawl survey biomass estimates and updated catch.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

The stock complex trends overall appear to be stable based on survey data. At the Plan Team's request, the author further explored the decline in survey biomass estimates of bigmouth sculpin; fecundity, fishing mortality, and survey catchability were considered, but no conclusions were drawn (See Plan Team minutes).

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABC and OFL recommendations

The Team concurred with the Tier 5 approach, including the biomass estimates based on the random effects model.

## Status determination

There is insufficient data to determine if the sculpin complex is in an overfished condition. Recent catches of sculpins have been well below the ABC first established for the sculpin complex in 2011. The sculpin complex is not currently being subjected to overfishing.

## Area apportionment

GOA sculpins are managed gulf-wide.

## 20. Sharks

In accordance with the approved schedule, no assessment was conducted for the shark complex this year; however, a full stock assessment will be conducted in 2018. Until then, the values generated from the previous stock assessment will be rolled over for 2018 specifications. Additional information can be found in the previous full assessment.

| Status and catch specifications ( $t$ ) of the GOA shark complex and projections for 2018 and 2019. Biomass <br> for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The <br> OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data for 2017 are <br> current through November 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Year 2017. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Area apportionment

GOA sharks are managed Gulf-wide.

## 21. Squid

| Status and catch specifications (t) of GOA squid. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those <br> recommended by the Plan Team. Catch data are current through November 4th, 2017. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Year | OFL | ABC | CAC | 239 |
| 2016 | 1,530 | 1,148 | 1,148 | 44 |
| 2017 | 1,516 | 1,137 | 1,148 |  |
| 2018 | 1,516 | 1,137 |  |  |
| 2019 | 1,516 | 1,137 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

Trawl survey data from 2017 was added to the assessment, and total catch and retention rates were updated. An executive summary was presented in the 2017 SAFE report.

## Spawning biomass and stock trends

The 2017 trawl survey biomass estimate was $2,296 \mathrm{t}$, the lowest it has been since 1999. Reliable estimates of spawning biomass and stock trends are unavailable. Squid catch in 2017 was low compared to recent prior years. Squid retention rates are variable but indicate that many captured squids were retained.

## Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs

Since reliable estimates of biomass do not exist, the squid complex is in Tier 6. The Plan Team concurred with the author's recommendation to set the OFL equal to the maximum historical catch between 1997 and $2007(1,516 \mathrm{t})$ and the ABC equal to $0.75 \mathrm{x} \operatorname{OFL}(1,137 \mathrm{t})$.

## Status determination and area apportionment

As a Tier 6 stock, there is insufficient data to determine if the squid complex is in an overfished condition or being subject to overfishing and therefore the status is unknown. This complex is managed Gulf-wide.

## 22. Octopus

Status and catch specifications (t) of GOA octopus. Biomass for each year corresponds to the projection given in the SAFE report issued in the preceding year. The OFL and ABC for 2018 and 2019 are those recommended by the Plan Team. 2017 catches current through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$.

| Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2016 | 12,271 | 6,504 | 4,878 | 4,878 | 383 |
| 2017 | 12,271 | 6,504 | 4,878 | 4,878 | 180 |
| 2018 | 1,539 | 1,300 | 975 |  |  |
| 2019 | 1,539 | 1,300 | 975 |  |  |

## Changes from the previous assessment

There have been no changes in the assessment methods.
Spawning biomass and stock trends
The most recent data from the 2017 GOA trawl survey and suggested a decrease in octopus biomass.
Tier determination/Plan Team discussion and resulting ABCs and OFLs
The author recommended a biomass estimate based on trawl survey data and a conservative rate of natural mortality be used to set OFL and ABC, as in previous years. The Plan Team, however, recommends that maximum catch be used to set the ABC and OFL. Historically, there was high variability in the biomass estimates including a large decrease in the estimate from 2015 to 2017. Incidental catch of octopus varies greatly from year to year. There is a precedent for maximum catch to be used to set the ABC for other Tier 6 species including squid, sharks, flatfish, and rockfish. The Team believes this method is appropriate and does not have conservation concerns.

## Status determination and area apportionment

Biomass estimates for octopuses are unreliable so determination of spawning biomass or stock status is unavailable. The stock is not being subjected to overfishing. This stock is managed Gulf-wide.

## Tables

Table 1. Gulf of Alaska groundfish 2018 and 2019 OFLs and ABCs, 2017 TACs, and 2017 catch (reported through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$ ).

| Species | Area | 2017 |  |  |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch | OFL | ABC | OFL | ABC |
| Pollock | State GHL |  | 5,094 | 0 | - |  | 4,037 |  | 2,664 |
|  | W(61) |  | 43,602 | 43,602 | 49,878 |  | 30,188 |  | 19,921 |
|  | C(62) |  | 98,652 | 98,652 | 81,565 |  | 79,495 |  | 52,459 |
|  | C(63) |  | 48,929 | 48,929 | 52,760 |  | 40,939 |  | 27,016 |
|  | WYAK |  | 7,492 | 7,492 | 40 |  | 6,833 |  | 4,509 |
|  | Subtotal | 235,807 | 203,769 | 198,675 | 184,243 | 187,059 | 161,492 | 131,170 | 66568 |
|  | EYAK/SEO | 13,226 | 9,920 | 9,920 | - | 11,697 | 8,773 | 11,697 | 8,773 |
|  | Total | 249,033 | 213,689 | 208,595 | 184,243 | 198,756 | 170,265 | 142,867 | 115,341 |
| Pacific Cod | W |  | 36,291 | 25,404 | 17,239 |  | 8,082 |  | 7,633 |
|  | C |  | 44,180 | 33,135 | 15,823 |  | 8,118 |  | 7,667 |
|  | E |  | 7,871 | 5,903 | 53 |  | 1,800 |  | 1,700 |
|  | Total | 105,378 | 88,342 | 64,442 | 33,115 | 23,565 | 18,000 | 21,412 | 17,000 |
| Sablefish | W |  | 1,349 | 1,349 | 1,166 |  | 1,544 |  | 2,174 |
|  | C |  | 4,514 | 4,514 | 4,767 |  | 5,158 |  | 7,260 |
|  | WYAK |  | 1,605 | 1,605 | 1,667 |  | 1,829 |  | 2,573 |
|  | SEO |  | 2,606 | 2,606 | 2,786 |  | 2,974 |  | 4,187 |
|  | Total | 11,885 | 10,074 | 10,074 | 10,386 | 22,703 | 11,505 | 35,989 | 16,194 |
| Shallow Water Flatfish | W |  | 20,921 | 13,250 | 270 |  | 25,206 |  | 25,544 |
|  | C |  | 19,306 | 19,306 | 2,211 |  | 25,315 |  | 25,655 |
|  | WYAK |  | 3,188 | 3,188 | - |  | 2,242 |  | 2,272 |
|  | EYAK/SEO |  | 1,099 | 1,099 | - |  | 1,925 |  | 1,951 |
|  | Total | 54,583 | 44,514 | 36,843 | 2,481 | 67,240 | 54,688 | 68,114 | 55,422 |
|  | W |  | 256 | 256 | 20 |  | 413 |  | 416 |
|  | C |  | 3,454 | 3,454 | 211 |  | 3,400 |  | 3,442 |
|  | WYAK |  | 3,017 | 3,017 | 8 |  | 3,239 |  | 3,279 |
|  | EYAK/SEO |  | 2,565 | 2,565 | 2 |  | 2,332 |  | 2,361 |
|  | Total | 11,182 | 9,292 | 9,292 | 241 | 11,294 | 9,385 | 11,431 | 9,499 |
| Rex Sole | W |  | 1,459 | 1,459 | 48 |  | 3,086 |  | 2,909 |
|  | C |  | 4,930 | 4,930 | 1,360 |  | 8,739 |  | 8,236 |
|  | WYAK |  | 850 | 850 | 2 |  | 1,737 |  | 1,657 |
|  | EYAK/SEO |  | 1072 | 1072 | - |  | 1,811 |  | 1,727 |
|  | Total | 10,860 | 8,311 | 8,311 | 1,410 | 18,706 | 15,373 | 17,692 | 14,529 |
| Arrowtooth Flounder | W |  | 28,100 | 14,500 | 269 |  | 37,253 |  | 35,844 |
|  | C |  | 107,934 | 75,000 | 25,692 |  | 73,480 |  | 70,700 |
|  | WYAK |  | 37,405 | 6,900 | 32 |  | 16,468 |  | 15,585 |
|  | EYAK/SEO |  | 12,654 | 6,900 | 14 |  | 23,744 |  | 22,845 |
|  | Total | 219,327 | 186,093 | 103,300 | 26,007 | 180,697 | 150,945 | 173,872 | 145,234 |
| Flathead Sole | W |  | 11,098 | 8,650 | 73 |  | 12,690 |  | 13,222 |
|  | C |  | 20,339 | 15,400 | 1,802 |  | 20,238 |  | 21,087 |
|  | WYAK |  | 2,949 | 2,949 | - |  | 1,932 |  | 2,013 |
|  | EYAK/SEO |  | 857 | 857 | - |  | 406 |  | 424 |
|  | Total | 43,128 | 35,243 | 27,856 | 1,875 | 43,011 | 35,266 | 44,822 | 36,746 |

(continued on next page...)

Table 1. (continued) Gulf of Alaska groundfish 2018-2019 OFLs and ABCs, 2017 TACs, and 2017
catch (reported through November $4^{\text {th }}, 2017$ ).

*Note that the 4 t (2018) and $3 \mathrm{t}(2019)$ of EGOA northern rockfish is excluded from that stock's total as it is managed as part of the EGOA "other rockfish" category (grand totals deduct these since they appear twice in areas).

Table 2. Gulf of Alaska 2018 ABCs, biomass, and overfishing levels ( t ) for Western, Central, Eastern, Gulf-wide, West Yakutat, and Southeast Outside regulatory areas.

| Stock or Assemblage | Tier | Area | Biomass | 2018 |  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | OFL | FofL | ABC | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ | OFL | Fort | ABC | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ABC }}$ |
| Pollock* | 3a | W(61) |  |  | 0.30 | 30,188 | 0.26 |  | 0.30 | 19,921 | 0.24 |
|  |  | C(62) |  |  |  | 79,495 |  |  |  | 52,459 |  |
|  |  | C(63) |  |  |  | 40,939 |  |  |  | 27,016 |  |
|  |  | WYAK |  |  |  | 6,833 |  |  |  | 4,509 |  |
|  |  | Subtotal | 1,124,930 | 187,059 |  | 161,492 |  | 131,170 |  | 106,568 |  |
|  | 5 | EYAK/SEO | 38,989 | 11,697 |  | 8,773 |  | 11,697 |  | 8,773 |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  | 170,265 |  |  |  | 115,341 |  |
| Pacific Cod | 3b | W |  |  | 0.42 | 8,082 | 0.31 |  | 0.40 | 7,633 | 0.31 |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 8,118 |  |  |  | 7,667 |  |
|  |  | E |  |  |  | 1,800 |  |  |  | 1,700 |  |
|  |  | Total | 170,565 | 23,565 |  | 18,000 |  | 21,412 |  | 17,000 |  |
| Sablefish | 3a | W |  |  | 0.102 | 1,544 | 0.077 |  | 0.114 | 2,174 | 0.085 |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 5,158 |  |  |  | 7,260 |  |
|  |  | WYAK |  |  |  | 1,829 |  |  |  | 2,573 |  |
|  |  | EY/SEO |  |  |  | 2,974 |  |  |  | 4,187 |  |
|  |  | Total | 330,655 | 22,703 |  | 11,505 |  | 35,989 |  | 16,194 |  |
| Shallow water Flatfish | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{a}, \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | W |  | 25,206 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 0.462, \\ 0.326^{a} \end{array}$ | 25,206 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.382, \\ & 0.271^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.462, \\ & 0.326^{a} \end{aligned}$ | 25,544 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.382, \\ & 0.271^{a}, \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | C |  | 25,315 |  | 25,315 |  |  |  | 25,655 |  |
|  |  | WYAK |  | 2,242 |  | 2,242 |  |  |  | 2,272 |  |
|  |  | EYAK/SEO |  | 1,925 |  | 1,925 |  |  |  | 1,951 |  |
|  |  | Total | 339,152 | 67,240 |  | 54,688 |  | 68,114 |  | 55,422 |  |
| Deepwater Flatfish | $\begin{gathered} 3 \mathrm{a}, \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | W |  |  | 0.12 | 413 | 0.1 |  | 0.12 | 416 | 0.1 |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 3,400 |  |  |  | 3,442 |  |
|  |  | WYAK |  |  |  | 3,239 |  |  |  | 3,279 |  |
|  |  | EYAK/SEO |  |  |  | 2,332 |  |  |  | 2,361 |  |
|  |  | Total | 144,654 | 11,294 |  | 9,385 |  | 11,431 |  | 9,499 |  |
| Rex sole | 3a | W |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.31^{b} \\ 0.29 \end{gathered}$ | 3,086 | $\begin{gathered} 0.25^{b} \\ 0.23 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.31^{\mathrm{b}} \\ 0.29 \end{gathered}$ | 2,909 | $\begin{gathered} 0.25^{\mathrm{b}} \\ 0.23 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 8,739 |  |  |  | 8,236 |  |
|  |  | WYAK |  |  |  | 1,737 |  |  |  | 1,657 |  |
|  |  | EYAK/SEO |  |  |  | 1,811 |  |  |  | 1,727 |  |
|  |  | Total | 97,982 | 18,706 |  | 15,373 |  | 17,692 |  | 14,529 |  |
| Arrowtooth Flounder | 3a | W |  |  | 0.238 | 58,295 | 0.196 |  | 0.238 | 56,089 | 0.196 |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 62,597 |  |  |  | 60,229 |  |
|  |  | WYAK |  |  |  | 12,377 |  |  |  | 11,909 |  |
|  |  | EYAK/SEO |  |  |  | 17,676 |  |  |  | 17,007 |  |
|  |  | Total | 1,421,306 | 180,697 |  | 150,945 |  | 173,872 |  | 145,234 |  |
| Flathead sole | 3a | W |  |  | 0.36 | 12,690 | 0.28 |  | 0.36 | 13,222 | 0.28 |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 20,238 |  |  |  | 21,087 |  |
|  |  | WYAK |  |  |  | 1,932 |  |  |  | 2,013 |  |
|  |  | EYAK/SEO |  |  |  | 406 |  |  |  | 424 |  |
|  |  | Total | 281,635 | 43,011 |  | 35,266 |  | 44,822 |  | 36,746 |  |

*The Prince William Sound GHL ( $2.5 \%$ of ABC; $4,037 \mathrm{t}$ in 2018, 2,664 t in 2019) is deducted from the area apportioned pollock ABCs.
${ }^{a} \mathrm{~F}_{\text {OFL }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ values for shallow water flatfish are for Tier 3 northern and southern rock sole.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Rex sole is assessed for two different areas

Table 2. Continued... Gulf of Alaska 2018 ABCs, biomass, and overfishing levels (t) for Western, Central, Eastern, Gulf-wide, West Yakutat, and Southeast Outside regulatory areas.

| Stock or Assemblage | Tier | Area | Biomass | 2018 |  |  |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | OFL | FofL | ABC | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ABC }}$ | OFL | Fofl | ABC | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ |
| Pacific <br> Ocean <br> Perch | 3a | W |  |  | 0.113 | 3,312 | 0.094 |  | 0.113 | 3,240 | 0.094 |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 20,112 |  |  |  | 19,678 |  |
|  |  | WYAK |  |  |  | 3,371 |  |  |  | 3,298 |  |
|  |  | EYAK/SEO |  |  |  | 2,441 |  |  |  | 2,389 |  |
|  |  | Total | 511,934 | 34,762 |  | 29,236 |  | 34,010 |  | 28,605 |  |
| Northern <br> Rockfish | $3 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{~b}^{\text {a }}$ | W |  |  | 0.074 | 420 | 0.062 |  | 0.070 | 382 | 0.058 |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 3,261 |  |  |  | 2,965 |  |
|  |  | E |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 3 |  |
|  |  | Total | 74,748 | 4,380 |  | 3,685 |  | 3,984 |  | 3,350 |  |
| Shortraker | 5 | W |  |  | 0.03 | 44 | 0.0225 |  | 0.03 | 44 | 0.0225 |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 305 |  |  |  | 305 |  |
|  |  | E |  |  |  | 514 |  |  |  | 514 |  |
|  |  | Total | 38,361 | 1,151 |  | 863 |  | 1,151 |  | 863 |  |
| Dusky Rockfish | 3a | W |  |  | 0.121 | 146 | 0.098 |  | 0.121 | 135 |  |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 3,502 |  |  |  | 3,246 | 0.098 |
|  |  | WYAK |  |  |  | 232 |  |  |  | 215 |  |
|  |  | EYAK/SEO |  |  |  | 77 |  |  |  | 72 |  |
|  |  | Total | 56,103 | 4,841 |  | 3,957 |  | 4,488 |  | 3,668 |  |
| Rougheye / Blackspotted Rockfish | 3a | W |  |  | 0.048 | 176 | 0.040 |  | 0.048 | 174 | 0.040 |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 556 |  |  |  | 550 |  |
|  |  | E |  |  |  | 712 |  |  |  | 703 |  |
|  |  | Total | 45,624 | 1,735 |  | 1,444 |  | 1,715 |  | 1,427 |  |
| DSR | 4, 6 | Total | 10,347 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 394 | $0.032^{\text {d }}$ | 250 | $0.02^{\text {d }}$ | 394 | $0.032^{\text {d }}$ | 250 | $0.02^{\text {d }}$ |
| Thornyhead rockfish | 5 | W |  |  | 0.03 | 291 | 0.0225 |  | 0.03 | 291 | 0.0225 |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 988 |  |  |  | 988 |  |
|  |  | E |  |  |  | 682 |  |  |  | 682 |  |
|  |  | Total | 87,155 | 2,717 |  | 2,038 |  | 2,717 |  | 2,038 |  |
| Other <br> Rockfish | 4, 5, 6 | W |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.079^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & 0.073^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | 1,737 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.065^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & 0.055^{\mathrm{c}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.079^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & 0.073^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | 1,737 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.065^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & 0.055^{\mathrm{c}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 368 |  |  |  | 368 |  |
|  |  | E |  |  |  | 3,489 |  |  |  | 3,488 |  |
|  |  | Total | 96,107 | 7,356 |  | 5,594 |  | 7,356 |  | 5,593 |  |
| Atka mackerel | 6 |  | -- | 6,200 | -- | 4,700 | -- | 6,200 | -- | 4,700 | -- |
| Big Skates | 5 | W |  |  | 0.1 | 504 | 0.075 |  | 0.1 | 504 | 0.075 |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 1,774 |  |  |  | 1,774 |  |
|  |  | E |  |  |  | 570 |  |  |  | 570 |  |
|  |  | Total | 37,975 | 3,797 |  | 2,848 |  | 3,797 |  | 2,848 |  |
| Longnose Skates | 5 | W |  |  | 0.1 | 149 | 0.075 |  | 0.1 | 149 | 0.075 |
|  |  | C |  |  |  | 2,804 |  |  |  | 2,804 |  |
|  |  | E |  |  |  | 619 |  |  |  | 619 |  |
|  |  | Total | 47,632 | 4,763 |  | 3,572 |  | 4,763 |  | 3,572 |  |
| Other Skates | 5 |  | 18,454 | 1,845 | 0.1 | 1,384 | 0.075 | 1,845 | 0.1 | 1,384 | 0.075 |
| Sculpins | 5 |  | 33,134 | 6,958 | 0.21 | 5,301 | 0.16 | 6,958 | 0.21 | 5,301 | 0.16 |
| Sharks | 6 |  | 56,181 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 6,020 | $0.097^{\text {e }}$ | 4,514 | $0.073^{\text {e }}$ | 6,020 | $0.097^{\text {e }}$ | 4,514 | $0.073^{\text {e }}$ |
| Squid | 6 |  | -- | 1,516 | -- | 1,137 | -- | 1,516 | -- | 1,137 | -- |
| Octopus | 6 |  |  | 1,300 | -- | 975 | -- | 1,300 | -- | 975 | -- |
| Total |  | Total |  | 655,853 |  | 536,558 |  | 604,337 |  | 480,190 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Northern rockfish are in Tier 3a for 2018 and Tier 3b for 2019.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{F}_{\text {OFL }}$ equal to 0.079 for Tier 4 sharpchin and 0.73 for 17 Tier 5 other rockfish species.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ equal to 0.065 for Tier 4 sharpchin rockfish and 0.055 for 17 Tier 5 other rockfish species.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Values listed are for Tier 4 yelloweye rockfish.
${ }^{e}$ Values listed are for spiny dogfish. While spiny dogfish are a Tier 6 species, a Tier 5 approach is used. They are not a Tier 5 because the trawl survey biomass is not considered reliable for the species.

Table 3. Maximum permissible fishing mortality rates and ABCs as defined in Amendment 56 to the GOA and BSAI Groundfish FMPs, and the Plan Team's 2018 and 2019 recommended fishing mortality rates and ABCs , for those species whose recommendations were below the maximum.

|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Species | Tier | Max $F_{A B C}$ | Max ABC | $F_{A B C}$ | ABC |
| Pacific cod | 3b | 0.34 | 19,401 | 0.31 | 18,000 |
| Sablefish | 3 b | 0.086 | 25,583 | 0.077 | 14,957 |
| Demersal shelf rockfish | 4,6 | 0.026 | 289 | 0.02 | 227 |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |  |
| Species | Tier | Max $F_{A B C}$ | Max ABC | $F_{A B C}$ | ABC |
| Pacific cod | 3 b | 0.32 | 17,634 | 0.31 | 17,000 |
| Walleye pollock | 3 a | 0.26 | 113,153 | 0.24 | 106,568 |
| Sablefish | 3 b | 0.096 | 41,044 | 0.085 | 21,053 |
| Demersal shelf rockfish | 4,6 | 0.026 | 289 | 0.02 | 227 |

Table 4. Groundfish landings (metric tons) in the Gulf of Alaska, 1956-2017.

| Year | Pollock | Pacific cod | sablefish | Flatfish |  | Arrowtooth Flounder Sloper | lope rockfish ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 |  |  | 1,391 |  |  |  |  |
| 1957 |  |  | 2,759 |  | a | Catch defined as follows: (1) 1961- |  |
| 1958 |  |  | 797 |  |  | only;(2)1979-1987, the 5 species of |  |
| 1959 |  |  | 1,101 |  |  | the Pacific ocean perch complex; |  |
| 1960 |  |  | 2,142 |  |  | 1988-90, the 18 species of the slope |  |
| 1961 |  |  | 897 |  |  | rock assemblage; 1991-1995, the 20 | 16,000 |
| 1962 |  |  | 731 |  |  | species of the slope rockfish | 65,000 |
| 1963 |  |  | 2,809 |  |  | assemblage. | 136,300 |
| 1964 | 1,126 | 196 | 2,457 | 1,028 | b | Catch from Southeast Outside | 243,385 |
| 1965 | 2,749 | 599 | 3,458 | 4,727 | c | Thornyheads were included in the | 348,598 |
| 1966 | 8,932 | 1,376 | 5,178 | 4,937 |  | other species category, and are | 200,749 |
| 1967 | 6,276 | 2,225 | 6,143 | 4,552 |  | foreign catches only. | 120,010 |
| 1968 | 6,164 | 1,046 | 15,049 | 3,393 | d | Other species category stabilized in | 100,170 |
| 1969 | 17,553 | 1,335 | 19,376 | 2,630 |  | 1981 to include sharks, skates, | 72,439 |
| 1970 | 9,343 | 1,805 | 25,145 | 3,772 |  | sculpins, eulachon, capelin (and other | er 44,918 |
| 1971 | 9,458 | 523 | 25,630 | 2,370 |  | smelts in the family Osmeridae and octopus. Atka mackerel and squid | 77,777 |
| 1972 | 34,081 | 3,513 | 37,502 | 8,954 |  | were added in 1989. Catch of Atka | 74,718 |
| 1973 | 36,836 | 5,963 | 28,693 | 20,013 |  | Mackerel is reported separately for | 52,973 |
| 1974 | 61,880 | 5,182 | 28,335 | 9,766 |  | 1990-1992; thereafter Atka mackerel | 1 47,980 |
| 1975 | 59,512 | 6,745 | 26,095 | 5,532 |  | was assigned a separate target | 44,131 |
| 1976 | 86,527 | 6,764 | 27,733 | 6,089 |  | species. | 46,968 |
| 1977 | 112,089 | 2,267 | 17,140 | 16,722 | e | Atka mackerel was added to the | 23,453 |
| 1978 | 90,822 | 12,190 | 8,866 | 15,198 |  | Other Species category in1988 and separated out in 1994 | 8,176 |
| 1979 | 98,508 | 14,904 | 10,350 | 13,928 | f | PSR includes light dusky, yellowtail, | , 9,921 |
| 1980 | 110,100 | 35,345 | 8,543 | 15,846 |  | widow, dark, dusky, black, and blue | 12,471 |
| 1981 | 139,168 | 36,131 | 9,917 | 14,864 |  | rockfish; black and blue excluded in | 12,184 |
| 1982 | 168,693 | 29,465 | 8,556 | 9,278 |  | 1998, dark in 2008, widow and | 7,991 |
| 1983 | 215,567 | 36,540 | 9,002 | 12,662 |  | yellowtail in 2012 (note only dusky | 7,405 |
| 1984 | 307,400 | 23,896 | 10,230 | 6,914 |  | remains in PSR since 2012) | 4,452 |
| 1985 | 284,823 | 14,428 | 12,479 | 3,078 | h | Does not include at-sea discards. <br> Catch data reported through | 1,087 |
| 1986 | 93,567 | 25,012 | 21,614 | 2,551 |  | November 4th,2017. | 2,981 |
| 1987 | 69,536 | 32,939 | 26,325 | 9,925 | i | Includes all species except | 4,981 |
| 1988 | 65,625 | 33,802 | 29,903 | 10,275 |  | arrowtooth. | 13,779 |
| 1989 | 78,220 | 43,293 | 29,842 | 11,111 | j | Does not include state fisheries | 19,002 |
| 1990 | 90,490 | 72,517 | 25,701 | 15,411 | k | Includes all managed skate species | 21,114 |
| 1991 | 107,500 | 76,997 | 19,580 | 20,068 |  |  | 13,994 |
| 1992 | 93,904 | 80,100 | 20,451 | 28,009 |  |  | 16,910 |
| 1993 | 108,591 | 55,994 | 22,671 | 37,853 |  |  | 14,240 |
| 1994 | 110,891 | 47,985 | 21,338 | 29,958 |  |  | 11,266 |
| 1995 | 73,248 | 69,053 | 18,631 | 32,273 |  |  | 15,023 |
| 1996 | 50,206 | 67,966 | 15,826 | 19,838 |  | 22,183 | 14,288 |
| 1997 | 89,892 | 68,474 | 14,129 | 17,179 |  | 16,319 | 15,304 |
| 1998 | 123,751 | 62,101 | 12,758 | 11,263 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  | 12,974 | 14,402 |
| 1999 | 95,637 | 68,613 | 13,918 | 8,821 |  | 16,209 | 18,057 |
| 2000 | 71,876 | 54,492 | 13,779 | 13,052 |  | 24,252 | 15,683 |
| 2001 | 70,485 | 41,614 | 12,127 | 11,817 |  | 19,964 | 16,479 |
| 2002 | 49,300 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 52,270 | 12,246 | 12,520 |  | 21,230 | 17,128 |
| 2003 | 49,300 | 52,500 | 14,345 | 10,750 |  | 23,320 | 18,678 |
| 2004 | 62,826 | 43,104 | 15,630 | 7,634 |  | 15,304 | 18,194 |
| 2005 | 80,086 | 35,205 | 13,997 | 9,890 |  | 19,770 | 17,306 |
| 2006 | 70,522 | 37,792 | 13,367 | 14,474 |  | 27,653 | 20,492 |
| 2007 | 51,842 | 39,473 | 12,265 | 15,077 |  | 25,364 | 18,718 |
| 2008 | 51,721 | 43,481 | 12,326 | 16,393 |  | 29,293 | 18,459 |
| 2009 | 42,389 | 39,397 | 10,910 | 17,360 |  | 24,937 | 18,621 |
| 2010 | 75,167 | 58,003 | 10,086 | 13,556 |  | 24,334 | 21,368 |
| 2011 | 79,789 | 62,475 | 11,148 | 10,043 |  | 30,890 | 19,612 |
| 2012 | 101,356 | 56,520 | 11,914 | 8,909 |  | 20,714 | 22,334 |
| 2013 | 93,733 | 51,792 | 11,945 | 12,283 |  | 21,620 | 19,367 |
| 2014 | 140,260 | 62,223 | 10,422 | 11,236 |  | 36,290 | 23,360 |
| 2015 | 163,065 | 55,260 | 10,313 | 7,572 |  | 19,054 | 24,915 |
| 2016 | 173,226 | 42,517 | 9,354 | 8,214 |  | 19,830 | 29,265 |
| 2017 | 184,243 | 33,115 | 10,386 | 6,007 |  | 26,007 | 26,840 |

Table 4. (cont'd) Groundfish landings ( $t$ ) in the Gulf of Alaska, 1956-2017. See legend on previous page for conditions that apply.

| Year | Pelagic Shelf rockfish | Demersal shelf rockfish ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Thornyheads ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Atka mackerel ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Skates ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | Other species ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,391 |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,759 |
| 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 797 |
| 1959 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,101 |
| 1960 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,142 |
| 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,897 |
| 1962 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65,731 |
| 1963 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 139,109 |
| 1964 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 248,192 |
| 1965 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 360,131 |
| 1966 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 221,172 |
| 1967 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 139,206 |
| 1968 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125,822 |
| 1969 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 113,333 |
| 1970 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84,983 |
| 1971 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115,758 |
| 1972 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158,768 |
| 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 144,478 |
| 1974 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 153,143 |
| 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 142,015 |
| 1976 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 174,081 |
| 1977 |  |  | 0 | 19,455 |  | 4,642 | 195,768 |
| 1978 |  |  | 0 | 19,588 |  | 5,990 | 160,830 |
| 1979 |  |  | 0 | 10,949 |  | 4,115 | 162,675 |
| 1980 |  |  | 1,351 | 13,166 |  | 5,604 | 202,426 |
| 1981 |  |  | 1,340 | 18,727 |  | 7,145 | 239,476 |
| 1982 |  | 120 | 788 | 6,760 |  | 2,350 | 234,001 |
| 1983 |  | 176 | 730 | 12,260 |  | 2,646 | 296,988 |
| 1984 |  | 563 | 207 | 1,153 |  | 1,844 | 356,659 |
| 1985 |  | 489 | 81 | 1,848 |  | 2,343 | 320,656 |
| 1986 |  | 491 | 862 | 4 |  | 401 | 147,483 |
| 1987 |  | 778 | 1,965 | 1 |  | 253 | 146,703 |
| 1988 | 1,086 | 508 | 2,786 | - |  | 647 | 158,411 |
| 1989 | 1,739 | 431 | 3,055 | - |  | 1,560 | 188,253 |
| 1990 | 1,647 | 360 | 1,646 | 1,416 |  | 6,289 | 236,591 |
| 1991 | 2,342 | 323 | 2,018 | 3,258 |  | 1,577 | 247,657 |
| 1992 | 3,440 | 511 | 2,020 | 13,834 |  | 2,515 | 261,694 |
| 1993 | 3,193 | 558 | 1,369 | 5,146 |  | 6,867 | 256,482 |
| 1994 | 2,990 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 540 | 1,320 | 3,538 |  | 2,752 | 232,578 |
| 1995 | 2,891 | 219 g | 1,113 | 701 |  | 3,433 | 216,585 |
| 1996 | 2,302 | 401 | 1,100 | 1,580 |  | 4,302 | 199,992 |
| 1997 | 2,629 | 406 | 1,240 | 331 |  | 5,409 | 231,312 |
| 1998 | 3,111 | 552 | 1,136 | 317 |  | 3,748 | 246,113 |
| 1999 | 4,826 | 297 | 1,282 | 262 |  | 3,858 | 231,780 |
| 2000 | 3,730 | 406 | 1,307 | 170 |  | 5,649 | 204,396 |
| 2001 | 3,008 | 301 | 1,339 | 76 |  | 4,801 | 182,011 |
| 2002 | 3,318 | 292 | 1,125 | 85 |  | 4,040 | 173,554 |
| 2003 | 2,975 | 229 | 1,159 | 578 |  | 6,339 | 180,173 |
| 2004 | 2,674 | 260 | 818 | 819 | 2,912 | 1,559 | 171,734 |
| 2005 | 2,235 | 187 | 719 | 799 | 2,710 | 2,294 | 185,211 |
| 2006 | 2,446 | 166 | 779 | 876 | 3,501 | 3,526 | 195,594 |
| 2007 | 3,318 | 250 | 701 | 1,453 | 3,498 | 2,928 | 174,887 |
| 2008 | 3,634 | 149 | 741 | 2,109 | 3,606 | 2,776 | 184,149 |
| 2009 | 3,057 | 138 | 666 | 2,222 | 7,020 | 2,870 | 169,604 |
| 2010 | 3,111 | 128 | 565 | 2,417 | 5,056 | 2,042 | 215,833 |
| 2011 | 2,531 | 82 | 612 | 1,615 | 4,437 | 2,362 | 225,596 |
| 2012 | 4,012 | 178 | 746 | 1,187 | 4,107 | 1,940 | 233,927 |
| 2013 | 3,978 | 218 | 1,153 | 1,277 | 6,160 | 6,766 | 230,292 |
| 2014 | 3,061 | 105 | 1,130 | 1,042 | 5,199 | 2,646 | 296,974 |
| 2015 | 2,781 | 108 | 1,034 | 1,228 | 4,968 | 3,808 | 294,106 |
| 2016 | 3,327 | 117 | 1,118 | 1,092 | 5,163 | 3,970 | 297,193 |
| 2017 | 2,587 | 124 | 1,012 | 1,048 | 4,156 | 3,013 | 298,538 |


[^0]:    *95:5 split in the EGOA following the trawl ban in SEO

