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## Executive summary

The assessment of Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) Kamchatka flounder has been moved to a biennial schedule according to the stock assessment prioritization schedule. A partial assessment was done for BSAI Kamchatka flounder this year. In partial assessment years, an executive summary is presented with recommendations of harvest levels for the next two years. The 2016 full assessment is available online at https://www.afsc.noaa.gov/REFM/Docs/2016/BSAIkamchatka.pdf. The next full assessment will be conducted in 2018.

A statistical age-structured model was used as the primary stock assessment tool for BSAI Kamchatka flounder, which qualifies as a Tier 3 stock. This assessment consists of a population model to estimates historical time series of population estimates and a projection model to predict future population estimates and recommended harvest levels. The data sets used in this assessment included fishery catch data, biomass estimates from the Eastern Bering Sea shelf and slope surveys and the Aleutian Islands survey, length composition data from the fishery, the EBS shelf and slope surveys, and the Aleutian Islands survey, and age data from the EBS slope and Aleutian Islands surveys. For a partial assessment year, we do not re-run the assessment model, but update the projection model with the new catch data. This report incorporates the most current catch information without re-estimating model parameters and biological reference points.

## Summary of changes in the assessment inputs

Changes were not made to the assessment model inputs and the assessment model was not run since this was an off-year cycle.

New data added to the projection model included a new estimate of the 2016 catch and estimated catch for 2017 and 2018. The 2016 catch was updated from $4,533 \mathrm{t}$ to $4,851 \mathrm{t}$. Partial 2017 catch, $4,112 \mathrm{t}$, was also available for the projections. Projected catch to the end of 2017 was determined as the product of the 2017 TAC ( $5,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) and the average fraction of the TAC captured from the past two years ( $86.9 \%$ ). The projected 2017 catch was set equal to $4,347 \mathrm{t}$ and was lower than the value specified in last year's projections, $4,533 \mathrm{t}$. The 2018 catch value was set equal to 2017 catch.

Female and male fishery selectivity and the numbers-at-age were also updated. The 2016 assessment projections used the selectivity curves and numbers-at-age from a model run where natural mortality was set equal to 0.09 rather than the 0.11 . The accepted assessment model in 2016 assumed natural mortality was equal to 0.11 . The corrections to fishery selectivity and numbers-at-age were made to reflect the model output from the last accepted model. Figure 1 shows the differences between the selectivity curves. Female selectivity is higher for ages 2 through 8 for the 2017 projections than the 2016 projections (Figure 1a). Male selectivity was lower ages 3 through 10 for the 2017 projections than the 2016 projections (Figure 1b). The 2016 numbers-at-age were higher for ages 2 through 14 for the 2017 projections than the 2016 projections (Figure 2).

## Summary of the results

For the 2018 fishery, we recommend the maximum allowable ABC of $9,737 \mathrm{t}$ from the updated projection model. The recommended 2018 maxABC and ABC are larger than those specified for 2017 and 2018 from last year's projection model. Reference values for BSAI Kamchatka flounder are summarized in the following table with the recommended ABC and OFL values for 2018 in bold.

| Quantity | As estimated or specified last year for: |  | As estimated or recommended this year* for: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2018 | 2019 |
| $M$ (natural mortality rate) | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Tier | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Projected total (age 2+) biomass (t) | 170,300 | 181,000 | 189,868 | 199,223 |
| Female spawning biomass (t) |  |  |  |  |
| Projected | 60,300 | 62,200 | 63,718 | 67,390 |
| B $100 \%$ | 127,000* | 127,000* | 126,954 | 126,954 |
| B40\% | 50,800* | 50,800* | 50,782 | 50,782 |
| $B_{35 \%}$ | 44,400* | 44,400* | 44,434 | 44,434 |
| $F_{\text {OFL }}$ | 0.078 | 0.078 | 0.075 | 0.075 |
| $\operatorname{maxF}_{\text {ABC }}$ | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.064 | 0.064 |
| $F_{A B C}$ | 0.066 | 0.066 | 0.064 | 0.064 |
| OFL (t) | 10,360 | 10,700 | 11,347 | 12,022 |
| maxABC (t) | 8,800 | 9,200 | 9,737 | 10,317 |
| ABC (t) | 8,800 | 9,200 | 9,737 | 10,317 |
| Status | As determined last year for: |  | As determined this year for: |  |
|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Overfishing | No | n/a | No | n/a |
| Overfished | n/a | No | n/a | No |
| Approaching overfished | n/a | No | n/a | No |

* B100\%, B40\%, and B35\% specified last year were rounded estimates and were equal to this year's recommended values in the projection output file.

The stock is not being subjected to overfishing, is not currently overfished, nor is it approaching a condition of being overfished. The tests for evaluating these three statements on status determination require examining the official total catch from the most recent complete year and the current model projections of spawning biomass relative to $\mathrm{B}_{35 \%}$. The official total catch for 2016 is $4,851 \mathrm{t}$ which is less than the 2016 OFL of $11,100 \mathrm{t}$; therefore, the stock is not being subjected to overfishing. The estimates of spawning biomass for 2017 and 2018 from the current year (2017) projection model are $63,718 \mathrm{t}$ and $67,390 \mathrm{t}$, respectively. Both estimates are above the estimate of $\mathrm{B}_{35 \%}$ at $44,434 \mathrm{t}$ and, therefore, the stock is not currently overfished nor approaching an overfished condition.

The 2017 and 2018 catch inputs were lower than the inputs used for the last projections. The reduction in catch led to an increase in projected total biomass and spawning biomass. The change to selectivity (see Summary of changed in assessment inputs section) was minimal; however, the shifts led to FofL and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ABC}}$ to be lowered.

## Survey trends

The Kamchatka flounder assessment used the biomass estimates from three surveys; the EBS Shelf Survey, the EBS Slope Survey, and the Aleutian Islands Survey (Figure 3). New data exist for the EBS shelf survey only. The survey declined by $13 \%$ between 2016 and 2017 ( $55,324 \mathrm{t}$ in 2016 and $48,084 \mathrm{t}$ in 2017).

## Catch-biomass ratios

A time-series of catch, total biomass, and the catch-biomass ratio is presented in Table 1 and Figure 4. The denominator of the catch-biomass ratio was defined as total biomass. The ratio was stable through the 1990s until 2007, increased to a peak in 2010, and has declined since.

## Summaries for Plan Team

| Species | Year | Biomass | OFL | ABC | TAC | Catch |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kamchatka flounder | 2015 | 163,108 | 10,500 | 9,000 | 6,500 | 4,994 |
|  | 2016 | 171,433 | 11,100 | 9,500 | 5,000 | 4,851 |
|  | 2017 | 181,995 | 10,360 | 8,880 | 5,000 | $4,347^{+}$ |
|  | $2018^{*}$ | 189,868 | 11,347 | 9,737 |  |  |

*Estimates from projection model. ${ }^{+}$Estimated as the product of the 2017 TAC and the average fraction of the TAC captured from the past two years (86.9\%).

## Responses to SSC and Plan Team Comments on Assessments in General

None - There were no comments specific to this assessment in the December 2016 SSC minutes.

## Tables

Table 1. Time series of catch and biomass in metric tons and F , where $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{B}$.

| Year | Catch $(\mathrm{t})$ | Biomass $(\mathrm{t})$ | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{B})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 2205.2 | 83416.5 | 0.03 |
| 1992 | 1038.2 | 88386.6 | 0.01 |
| 1993 | 933.8 | 93996.2 | 0.01 |
| 1994 | 1436.6 | 98900.3 | 0.01 |
| 1995 | 928 | 102429 | 0.01 |
| 1996 | 1465.2 | 105836 | 0.01 |
| 1997 | 1005.4 | 108412 | 0.01 |
| 1998 | 1524.1 | 111332 | 0.01 |
| 1999 | 1057.3 | 113824 | 0.01 |
| 2000 | 1292.9 | 116700 | 0.01 |
| 2001 | 1390.8 | 119389 | 0.01 |
| 2002 | 1154 | 122538 | 0.01 |
| 2003 | 1283.4 | 127147 | 0.01 |
| 2004 | 1780.9 | 134016 | 0.01 |
| 2005 | 1368.5 | 141382 | 0.01 |
| 2006 | 1330.9 | 149910 | 0.01 |
| 2007 | 1183 | 158728 | 0.01 |
| 2008 | 6819 | 167427 | 0.04 |
| 2009 | 12802 | 169548 | 0.08 |
| 2010 | 21153 | 166011 | 0.13 |
| 2011 | 9935 | 153748 | 0.06 |
| 2012 | 9514 | 153848 | 0.06 |
| 2013 | 499420 | 153533 | 0.05 |
| 2014 | 4347 | 171433 | 0.04 |
| 2015 |  | 181,995 | 0.03 |
| 2016 |  |  | 0.03 |
| 2017 |  |  | 0.02 |
|  |  |  |  |

## Figures

Figure 1. a) Female and b) male fishery selectivity used in the 2016 and 2017 projections.
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Figure 2. The 2016 a) female and b) male numbers-at-age used in the 2016 and 2017 projections.
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Figure 3. Survey biomass estimates in tons, Aleutian Islands Survey estimates (top panel), Eastern Bering Sea Shelf Survey estimates (middle panel), and Eastern Bering Sea Slope Survey estimates (bottom panel).



Figure 4. Time series of a) total biomass (10s of tons), catch (tons), and b) the catch-biomass ratio from 1991-2017.
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