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## STATE OF STOCK

This report on the butterfish (Peprilus triacanthus) stock is an update through 2016 of the previous benchmark assessment (NEFSC 2014). The recommended status determination is that the stock is not overfished, and overfishing is not occurring (Figs. 1-2).

The Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) Stock Assessment Workshop (SAW) 58 (NEFSC 2014) biological references points for butterfish were recalculated based on advice from the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC) Science and Statistical Committee (Boreman 2017). The stock assessment update was completed by adding catch and indices for 2013-2016 to data from 1989-2012 used in the previous assessment (NEFSC 2014). Estimated fishing mortality and spawning stock biomass (SSB) in 2016 was $0.05\left(\mathrm{CV}\left(\mathrm{F}_{2016}\right)=0.28\right)$ and $59,041 \mathrm{mt}(130.2$ million lb) (CV(SSB2016) = 0.25), respectively (Figs. 1-2). The 2016 fishing mortality rate ( 0.05 ) was $94 \%$ below the revised overfishing reference point Fmsy proxy $=0.82$. The 2016 SSB ( $59,041 \mathrm{mt}$ ) was $21 \%$ above the revised biomass reference point SSBmsy proxy $=$ $48,681 \mathrm{mt}(107.3$ million lb$)(\mathrm{CV}=0.25)$. SSB threshold was one half the SSBmsy proxy, or 24,341 mt ( 53.7 million lb).

## PROJECTIONS

Projections of total catch and SSB were done with a standard forward projection methodology sampling recruitment from the entire time series (NFT 2013). It was assumed that the catch of butterfish in 2017 would equal the Domestic Annual Harvest quota (20,652 mt) and that the stock would be fished at the revised fishing mortality threshold $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ proxy $=0.82$ in 20182020. The projected estimates are in the following table.

Overfishing Limit Catch and Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) in metric tons

| Year | Catch | SSB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 20,652 | 34,065 |
| 2018 | 29,155 | 37,242 |
| 2019 | 35,734 | 44,061 |
| 2020 | 37,269 | 45,709 |

## CATCH

Commercial landings in 2016 were 1,182 mt ( 2.6 million lb), while discards were 1,636 mt ( 3.6 million lb). Total butterfish catch for 2016 was $2,818 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 6.2 million lb).

Catch and Status Table: Butterfish (weights are in 000 smt ; age-0 recruitment in billions; fishing mortality for ages 2+)

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Min $^{1}$ | Mean $^{1}$ | Max $^{1}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| US landings | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 11.7 |
| US discards | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 5.6 | 11.5 |
| Foreign catch | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 31.7 |
| Total catch | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 11.0 | 39.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Min $^{2}$ | Mean $^{2}$ | Max $^{2}$ |
| Spawning biomass | 79.5 | 61.0 | 53.8 | 71.9 | 63.0 | 67.4 | 49.6 | 65.2 | 67.8 | 59.0 | 49.6 | 77.6 | 110.6 |
| Recruit numbers | 5.8 | 7.5 | 10.8 | 5.9 | 8.4 | 4.3 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 8.7 | 16.3 |
| Fishing mortality | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.14 |

## STOCK DISTRIBUTION AND IDENTIFICATION

The MAFMC Fishery Management Plan for butterfish defines the management unit as all butterfish under US jurisdiction north of Cape Hatteras, North Carolina (MAFMC 1979).

## DATA AND ASSESSMENT

The butterfish stock was last assessed in 2014 during SAW 58 (NEFSC 2014).

## Commercial Data

Landings and discard estimates, and commercial mean weights at age were used in this assessment update. Specifically, data for 2013-2016 were added to the data for 1989-2012 used in the previous assessment (Tables 1-4, Figs. 3-4).

## Survey Data

Swept area abundances, and abundance indices (number/tow) by age from the NEFSC fall bottom trawl surveys (inshore and offshore) and the Northeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (NEAMAP) fall bottom trawl survey were used in this assessment update (Tables 5-8; Figs. 5-7). NEFSC data for 2013-2016 were added to the data for 1989-2012 used in the previous assessment (NEFSC 2014). NEFSC offshore data for 2009-2016 were calibrated using the coefficient (1.935) in Miller et al. (2010). NEAMAP data for 2007-2016 included revised values for 2007-2012 used in the previous assessment.

## Thermal Habitat Availability Index

The time series of thermal habitat availability indices used in SAW 58 was revised with an updated and improved Regional Ocean Modeling System numerical ocean model to develop bottom water temperatures (Manderson et al. 2017). The revised values for 1989-2012, along with new estimates for 2013-2015, changed the mean thermal habitat availability index from $A=0.68$ (used in SAW 58) to $A=0.62$ for this assessment update (Fig. 8).

## Model

The age-structured assessment program (ASAP) version 4 (Miller and Legault 2015) used in SAW 58 was used for this assessment update. Advancements in version 4 are: catchability can be fixed as the product of availability and efficiency (see Special Comments); which allows the estimation of natural mortality, and a length-based calibration performed internal to the model.

Internal model retrospective analysis for $F$ and SSB produced Mohn's rho (Mohn 1999) values of 0.29 (Fig. 9) and -0.21 (Fig. 10), respectively. The 2016 model estimates of $F$ and SSB adjusted for this internal retrospective error are within the model estimated $90 \%$ confidence intervals (Fig. 2). Thus, no retrospective adjustment was necessary for the terminal year estimates of $F$ and SSB.

## BIOLOGICAL REFERENCE POINTS

The revised overfishing reference point is based on Patterson (1992), i.e., $F=2 M / 3=2$ $\times 1.23 / 3=0.82(\mathrm{CV}=0.05)$. The revised biomass reference point, using the same procedure as SAW 58 (NEFSC 2014), is SSB ${ }_{\text {MSy }}$ proxy $=48,681 \mathrm{mt}\left(107.3\right.$ million lb) ( $\mathrm{CV}=0.25$ ). SSB $_{\text {threshold }}$ is one half the SSBMSY proxy, or $24,341 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 53.7 million lb).

## FISHING MORTALITY

The peak in fishing mortality rate on fully selected ages (ages $2+$ ) was $F=0.14$, which occurred in 1993-1994 (Table 9; Fig. 11). Fishing mortality ranged between 0.04 and 0.14 during 1995-2001, but has been $\leq 0.07$ since 2002. In 2016 estimated $F=0.05$, with a $90 \%$ confidence interval of 0.03 to 0.08 .

## SPAWNING STOCK BIOMASS

SSB averaged 77,621 mt (171.1 million lb) during 1989-2016 (Figs. 1 and 12-13). SSB peaked in 2000 at $110,550 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 243.7 million lb), and has been above the SSBmsy proxy for the entire time period considered in the assessment model (Table 9; Figs. 1-2). In 2016 estimated SSB $=59,041 \mathrm{mt}$ ( 130.2 million lb ), with a $90 \%$ confidence interval of 38,563 ( 85.0 million lb ) to 88,511 mt (195.1 million lb).

## RECRUITMENT

Recruitment, which can be highly variable from year to year, averaged 8.7 billion butterfish during 1989-2016 (Table 9; Figs. 12-13). The 1997 year class was the largest estimate in the time series at 16.3 billion butterfish, while the 2016 year class was the smallest estimate at 2.0 billion butterfish. A similar pattern was observed in SAW 58 when the terminal year estimate of recruitment was the lowest in the time series (Figure 14). Additional years of data have since raised the 2012 recruitment estimate, suggesting a retrospective pattern. However, internal model retrospective analysis for recruitment produced a relatively low Mohn's rho of -0.21 (Fig. 15).

## SPECIAL COMMENTS

The effect of the revised thermal habitat availability index was to scale SSB up and $F$ down (Figure 16). Accordingly, the recalculated reference points enable internal consistency with the estimate of $M$ from this assessment update.

The revised natural mortality from the previous assessment ( $M=1.23$ ) changed to $M=$ 1.25 in this assessment update.

The low recruitment index appears to be related to the survey indices. Both survey indices were down in 2016 (Tables 5,7; Fig. 5), and the NEAMAP index was the lowest in the entire time series. Similarly, both survey age 0 indices were down in 2016 (Tables 6, 8) and the NEAMAP age 0 index was also the lowest in the entire time series.
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## TABLES

Table 1. Butterfish USA landings (mt), historic USA discards (mt), estimated USA discards (mt), foreign catch (mt), and total catch (mt), 1965-2016. See NEFSC (2014) for further details of historic data.

| Year | USA Landings | Historic USA Discards | USA Discards | Foreign Catch | Total catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1965 | 2944 |  | 11474 | 749 | 15167 |
| 1966 | 2461 |  | 10997 | 3865 | 17323 |
| 1967 | 2245 |  | 10174 | 2316 | 14735 |
| 1968 | 1585 |  | 9856 | 5437 | 16878 |
| 1969 | 2198 |  | 9421 | 15378 | 26997 |
| 1970 | 1731 |  | 8760 | 12450 | 22941 |
| 1971 | 1566 |  | 7977 | 8913 | 18456 |
| 1972 | 704 |  | 6653 | 12221 | 19578 |
| 1973 | 1521 |  | 6696 | 31679 | 39896 |
| 1974 | 1778 |  | 6197 | 15465 | 23440 |
| 1975 | 1973 |  | 5658 | 12764 | 20395 |
| 1976 | 1376 | 152 | 6193 | 14437 | 22006 |
| 1977 | 1296 | 152 | 7255 | 3312 | 11863 |
| 1978 | 3615 | 61 | 8675 | 1699 | 13989 |
| 1979 | 2646 | 185 | 9193 | 1107 | 12946 |
| 1980 | 5172 | 184 | 9956 | 1392 | 16520 |
| 1981 | 4855 | 0 | 9531 | 1400 | 15786 |
| 1982 | 8837 | 68 | 11098 | 1578 | 21513 |
| 1983 | 4743 | 162 | 10911 | 630 | 16284 |
| 1984 | 11715 | 257 | 10257 | 429 | 22401 |
| 1985 | 4633 | 106 | 8328 | 804 | 13765 |
| 1986 | 4418 |  | 7936 | 164 | 12518 |
| 1987 | 4578 |  | 7351 |  | 11929 |
| 1988 | 2107 |  | 7352 |  | 9459 |
| 1989 | 3216 |  | 4480 |  | 7696 |
| 1990 | 2298 |  | 533 |  | 2831 |
| 1991 | 2189 |  | 4887 |  | 7076 |
| 1992 | 2754 |  | 5025 |  | 7779 |
| 1993 | 4608 |  | 7577 |  | 12185 |
| 1994 | 3634 |  | 6694 |  | 10328 |
| 1995 | 2067 |  | 6353 |  | 8420 |
| 1996 | 3555 |  | 1049 |  | 4604 |
| 1997 | 2794 |  | 1134 |  | 3928 |
| 1998 | 1966 |  | 6412 |  | 8378 |
| 1999 | 2110 |  | 8867 |  | 10977 |
| 2000 | 1449 |  | 7044 |  | 8493 |
| 2001 | 4404 |  | 4969 |  | 9373 |
| 2002 | 872 |  | 2350 |  | 3222 |
| 2003 | 536 |  | 2088 |  | 2624 |
| 2004 | 497 |  | 1323 |  | 1820 |
| 2005 | 428 |  | 647 |  | 1075 |
| 2006 | 555 |  | 856 |  | 1411 |
| 2007 | 679 |  | 239 |  | 918 |
| 2008 | 452 |  | 1029 |  | 1481 |

Table 1, continued. Butterfish USA landings (mt), historic USA discards (mt), estimated USA discards (mt), foreign catch (mt), and total catch (mt), 1965-2016. See NEFSC (2014) for further details of historic data.

| Year | USA Landings | Historic USA Discards | USA Discards | Foreign Catch |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Total catch 91514

Table 2. Estimated USA Butterfish discards ( mt ) and total catch ( mt ) from Table 1, and respective coefficients of variation (CV), 1989-2016.

| Year | USA Discards | CV |  | Year | USA Catch | CV |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1989 | 4480 | 0.85 |  | 1989 | 7696 | 0.49 |  |
| 1990 | 533 | 0.37 |  | 1990 | 2831 | 0.07 |  |
| 1991 | 4887 | 0.99 |  | 1991 | 7076 | 0.68 |  |
| 1992 | 5025 | 0.54 |  | 1992 | 7779 | 0.35 |  |
| 1993 | 7577 | 0.32 |  | 1993 | 12185 | 0.20 |  |
| 1994 | 6694 | 0.41 |  | 1994 | 10328 | 0.26 |  |
| 1995 | 6353 | 0.49 |  | 1995 | 8420 | 0.37 |  |
| 1996 | 1049 | 0.71 |  | 1996 | 4604 | 0.16 |  |
| 1997 | 1134 | 0.84 |  | 1997 | 3928 | 0.24 |  |
| 1998 | 6412 | 1.87 |  | 1998 | 8378 | 1.43 |  |
| 1999 | 8867 | 0.36 |  | 1999 | 10977 | 0.29 |  |
| 2000 | 7044 | 0.23 |  | 2000 | 8493 | 0.19 |  |
| 2001 | 4969 | 0.54 |  | 2001 | 9373 | 0.29 |  |
| 2002 | 2350 | 1.25 |  | 2002 | 3222 | 0.91 |  |
| 2003 | 2088 | 1.38 |  | 2003 | 2624 | 1.10 |  |
| 2004 | 1323 | 0.28 |  | 2004 | 1820 | 0.20 |  |
| 2005 | 647 | 0.21 |  | 2005 | 1075 | 0.13 |  |
| 2006 | 856 | 0.71 |  | 2006 |  | 1411 | 0.43 |
| 2007 | 239 | 0.60 |  | 2007 | 918 | 0.16 |  |
| 2008 | 1029 | 0.64 |  | 2008 |  | 1481 | 0.44 |
| 2009 | 1079 | 0.30 |  | 2009 |  | 1514 | 0.22 |
| 2010 | 4017 | 0.33 |  | 2010 | 4593 | 0.29 |  |
| 2011 | 1612 | 0.15 |  | 2011 | 2276 | 0.10 |  |
| 2012 | 1040 | 0.36 |  | 2012 | 1711 | 0.22 |  |
| 2013 | 444 | 0.22 |  | 2013 | 1525 | 0.06 |  |
| 2014 | 1144 | 0.19 |  | 2014 | 4279 | 0.05 |  |
| 2015 | 895 | 0.18 |  | 2015 | 3026 | 0.05 |  |
| 2016 | 1636 | 0.17 |  | 2016 | 2818 | 0.10 |  |

Table 3. Butterfish total catch mean weight at age (kg), 1989-2016. Italicized values were originally missing; thus they were interpolated as the age 3 value plus the average difference between age 3 and age 4 for the entire time series.

| Year | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4+ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1989 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.21 |
| 1990 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.12 |
| 1991 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.17 |
| 1992 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| 1993 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| 1994 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.18 |
| 1995 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.15 |
| 1996 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.10 |
| 1997 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.16 |
| 1998 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.17 |
| 1999 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.14 |
| 2000 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.17 |
| 2001 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.17 |
| 2002 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.14 |
| 2003 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.13 |
| 2004 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.17 |
| 2005 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.12 |
| 2006 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.16 |
| 2007 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.19 |
| 2008 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| 2009 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.17 |
| 2010 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.10 |
| 2011 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.11 |
| 2012 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.12 |
| 2013 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.11 |
| 2014 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.12 |
| 2015 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.15 |
| 2016 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.13 |

Table 4. Butterfish total catch proportion weight at age, 1989-2016.

| Year | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4+ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1989 | 0.14 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.14 | 0.01 |
| 1990 | 0.08 | 0.43 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.01 |
| 1991 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.01 |
| 1992 | 0.13 | 0.37 | 0.43 | 0.07 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.20 | 0 |
| 1994 | 0.14 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.05 |
| 1995 | 0.21 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.08 | 0 |
| 1996 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.48 | 0.24 | 0.03 |
| 1997 | 0.08 | 0.56 | 0.28 | 0.07 | 0.01 |
| 1998 | 0.10 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.05 | 0 |
| 1999 | 0.15 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.09 |
| 2000 | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.10 |
| 2001 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.55 | 0.08 | 0.03 |
| 2002 | 0.14 | 0.39 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 0.07 |
| 2003 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.08 |
| 2004 | 0.13 | 0.42 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.07 |
| 2005 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.12 |
| 2006 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.07 |
| 2007 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.17 | 0.04 |
| 2008 | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.02 |
| 2009 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.06 |
| 2010 | 0.16 | 0.42 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.01 |
| 2011 | 0.19 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.09 |
| 2012 | 0.09 | 0.49 | 0.25 | 0.13 | 0.05 |
| 2013 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.02 |
| 2014 | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.03 |
| 2015 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 0.12 | 0.02 |
| 2016 | 0.11 | 0.49 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 0.01 |

Tables

Table 5. Butterfish stratified mean number per tow from Northeast Fisheries Science Center fall surveys, and corresponding coefficients of variation (CV), for data collected in offshore strata 1989-2016 and inshore strata 1989-2008.

|  | Offshore |  |  | Inshore |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Number | CV | Year | Number | CV |
| 1989 | 377.34 | 0.38 | 1989 | 594.95 | 0.52 |
| 1990 | 379.94 | 0.23 | 1990 | 63.71 | 0.32 |
| 1991 | 187.87 | 0.43 | 1991 | 172.60 | 0.24 |
| 1992 | 246.05 | 0.27 | 1992 | 107.53 | 0.12 |
| 1993 | 248.98 | 0.25 | 1993 | 292.31 | 0.25 |
| 1994 | 510.35 | 0.47 | 1994 | 303.32 | 0.12 |
| 1995 | 116.57 | 0.26 | 1995 | 39.52 | 0.35 |
| 1996 | 78.85 | 0.22 | 1996 | 157.52 | 0.32 |
| 1997 | 220.26 | 0.13 | 1997 | 632.94 | 0.10 |
| 1998 | 214.49 | 0.33 | 1998 | 112.32 | 0.37 |
| 1999 | 247.81 | 0.38 | 1999 | 185.17 | 0.30 |
| 2000 | 202.92 | 0.28 | 2000 | 312.86 | 0.27 |
| 2001 | 63.62 | 0.31 | 2001 | 368.50 | 0.24 |
| 2002 | 92.61 | 0.21 | 2002 | 225.53 | 0.34 |
| 2003 | 187.75 | 0.15 | 2003 | 267.15 | 0.19 |
| 2004 | 75.50 | 0.29 | 2004 | 317.13 | 0.29 |
| 2005 | 39.19 | 0.30 | 2005 | 228.52 | 0.07 |
| 2006 | 179.31 | 0.24 | 2006 | 202.04 | 0.23 |
| 2007 | 41.21 | 0.23 | 2007 | 220.95 | 0.14 |
| 2008 | 131.93 | 0.23 | 2008 | 131.67 | 0.14 |
| 2009 | 182.45 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 128.16 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 250.38 | 0.28 |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 66.59 | 0.31 |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 63.92 | 0.22 |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 96.78 | 0.20 |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 290.70 | 0.33 |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 114.34 | 0.37 |  |  |  |

Table 6. Butterfish stratified mean number per tow at age from Northeast Fisheries Science Center fall surveys for data collected 1989-2016 in offshore strata.

| Year | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4+ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1989 | 325.84 | 39.43 | 11.45 | 0.62 | 0 |
| 1990 | 343.42 | 32.55 | 3.15 | 0.82 | 0 |
| 1991 | 167.26 | 18.37 | 2.21 | 0.02 | 0 |
| 1992 | 232.64 | 9.93 | 3.43 | 0.05 | 0 |
| 1993 | 195.92 | 46.58 | 6.07 | 0.42 | 0 |
| 1994 | 475.76 | 23.85 | 9.38 | 1.33 | 0.03 |
| 1995 | 41.44 | 48.16 | 26.91 | 0.07 | 0 |
| 1996 | 59.40 | 15.01 | 4.21 | 0.24 | 0 |
| 1997 | 204.14 | 13.81 | 2.14 | 0.19 | 0 |
| 1998 | 164.99 | 41.97 | 6.84 | 0.69 | 0 |
| 1999 | 241.17 | 4.92 | 1.72 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 151.05 | 45.85 | 5.73 | 0.29 | 0 |
| 2001 | 38.53 | 15.20 | 9.66 | 0.22 | 0 |
| 2002 | 80.45 | 9.27 | 2.84 | 0.05 | 0 |
| 2003 | 175.45 | 10.38 | 1.69 | 0.11 | 0.12 |
| 2004 | 57.31 | 12.75 | 4.81 | 0.22 | 0.41 |
| 2005 | 33.92 | 3.17 | 1.52 | 0.58 | 0 |
| 2006 | 155.83 | 17.51 | 5.17 | 0.74 | 0.06 |
| 2007 | 26.03 | 13.65 | 1.51 | 0.02 | 0 |
| 2008 | 124.81 | 6.17 | 0.94 | 0.02 | 0 |
| 2009 | 158.32 | 20.06 | 3.88 | 0.17 | 0.01 |
| 2010 | 84.10 | 35.90 | 6.90 | 1.25 | 0 |
| 2011 | 218.27 | 26.86 | 4.76 | 0.42 | 0.06 |
| 2012 | 27.15 | 28.83 | 9.91 | 0.62 | 0.07 |
| 2013 | 57.07 | 5.28 | 1.39 | 0.17 | 0.01 |
| 2014 | 75.71 | 17.54 | 2.95 | 0.59 | 0 |
| 2015 | 246.89 | 35.59 | 7.88 | 0.31 | 0.02 |
| 2016 | 76.18 | 28.54 | 7.87 | 1.60 | 0.15 |

Table 7. Butterfish arithmetic mean number per tow from Northeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program fall surveys, and corresponding coefficients of variation (CV), for data collected 2007-2016.

| Year | Number | CV |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2007 | 1052.53 | 0.36 |
| 2008 | 1028.89 | 0.17 |
| 2009 | 3597.7 | 0.14 |
| 2010 | 1071.53 | 0.12 |
| 2011 | 1647.62 | 0.16 |
| 2012 | 625.29 | 0.21 |
| 2013 | 3547.04 | 0.43 |
| 2014 | 3762.92 | 0.27 |
| 2015 | 1110.78 | 0.22 |
| 2016 | 417.85 | 0.19 |

Table 8. Butterfish stratified mean number per tow at age from Northeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program fall surveys for data collected 2007-2016.

| Year | Age 0 | Age 1 | Age 2 | Age 3 | Age 4+ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2007 | 960.60 | 69.75 | 18.98 | 2.89 | 0.31 |
| 2008 | 976.77 | 46.73 | 4.45 | 0.86 | 0.09 |
| 2009 | 3547.90 | 43.95 | 5.12 | 0.68 | 0.06 |
| 2010 | 844.99 | 171.41 | 46.07 | 8.21 | 0.85 |
| 2011 | 1466.73 | 143.57 | 32.29 | 4.50 | 0.53 |
| 2012 | 500.43 | 86.28 | 31.51 | 6.36 | 0.71 |
| 2013 | 3308.74 | 188.07 | 43.97 | 5.67 | 0.57 |
| 2014 | 3484.71 | 243.64 | 27.60 | 6.31 | 0.65 |
| 2015 | 906.52 | 156.43 | 38.88 | 8.11 | 0.84 |
| 2016 | 258.51 | 104.37 | 45.88 | 8.33 | 0.76 |

Table 9. Model estimates of spawning stock biomass (mt), recruitment (millions), fully selected fishing mortality $F$ (age 2+), and respective coefficients of variation (CV).

| Year | Spawning Biomass | CV | Recruitment | CV | F | CV |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1989 | 69,402 | 0.31 | 9,293 | 0.27 | 0.12 | 0.56 |
| 1990 | 96,272 | 0.27 | 10,088 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0.28 |
| 1991 | 81,532 | 0.23 | 8,377 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 0.71 |
| 1992 | 80,812 | 0.21 | 7,868 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.40 |
| 1993 | 81,560 | 0.19 | 11,491 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.28 |
| 1994 | 72,284 | 0.19 | 12,685 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.32 |
| 1995 | 81,524 | 0.18 | 5,486 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.40 |
| 1996 | 77,515 | 0.19 | 10,326 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.25 |
| 1997 | 97,372 | 0.18 | 16,289 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.31 |
| 1998 | 107,060 | 0.16 | 9,797 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.99 |
| 1999 | 92,925 | 0.17 | 15,052 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.35 |
| 2000 | 110,550 | 0.18 | 11,678 | 0.22 | 0.08 | 0.27 |
| 2001 | 103,890 | 0.19 | 8,727 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.34 |
| 2002 | 87,550 | 0.19 | 8,838 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.78 |
| 2003 | 82,649 | 0.18 | 9,981 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.88 |
| 2004 | 87,397 | 0.17 | 5,561 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.27 |
| 2005 | 56,859 | 0.17 | 8,151 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.22 |
| 2006 | 68,156 | 0.16 | 7,796 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.45 |
| 2007 | 79,453 | 0.16 | 5,783 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.23 |
| 2008 | 60,973 | 0.17 | 7,452 | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.46 |
| 2009 | 53,786 | 0.17 | 10,803 | 0.21 | 0.03 | 0.28 |
| 2010 | 71,873 | 0.18 | 5,912 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.35 |
| 2011 | 63,005 | 0.20 | 8,360 | 0.22 | 0.04 | 0.23 |
| 2012 | 67,370 | 0.21 | 4,300 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 0.31 |
| 2013 | 49,576 | 0.22 | 7,717 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 0.24 |
| 2014 | 65,215 | 0.23 | 7,131 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.25 |
| 2015 | 67,786 | 0.24 | 6,717 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.25 |
| 2016 | 59,041 | 0.25 | 1,992 | 0.32 | 0.05 | 0.28 |

Tables

## FIGURES



Figure 1. Butterfish stock status, 1989-2016, relative to the revised biological reference points SSB $_{\text {threshold }}=24,341 \mathrm{mt}$, SSB msy $^{\text {proxy }}=48,681 \mathrm{mt}$, and $\mathrm{F}_{\text {msy }}$ proxy $=0.82$. The tight grouping of points is expanded in the lower panel for clarity.



Figure 2. Butterfish stock status in 2016 relative to the revised biological reference points SSB $_{\text {threshold }}=24,341 \mathrm{mt}$, SSB $_{\text {msy }}$ proxy $=48,681 \mathrm{mt}$, and F msy $^{\text {proxy }}=0.82$. The black circle is the model estimate and the error bars are $90 \%$ confidence intervals; the red circle is the model estimate adjusted for internal model retrospective error. The plot is expanded in the lower panel to clarify that the retrospective adjustment is within the $90 \%$ confidence bounds.


Figure 3. US landings, US discards, and foreign catch of butterfish, 1965-2016.


Figure 4. Butterfish commercial catch numbers (000s) at age, 1989-2016.


Figure 5. Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) fall offshore and inshore survey stratified mean number per tow for butterfish; and Northeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program (NEAMAP) fall survey arithmetic mean number per tow for butterfish.


Figure 6. Butterfish stratified mean number per tow at age from Northeast Fisheries Science Center fall surveys for data collected 1989-2016 in offshore strata.


Figure 7. Butterfish stratified mean number per tow at age from Northeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program fall surveys for data collected 2007-2016.


Figure 8. Availability of butterfish to the Northeast Fisheries Science Center offshore survey, 1989-2015. Solid lines indicates availability $A$, while dashed lines show the respective 95\% confidence intervals.



Figure 9. Results of internal model retrospective analysis for fully recruited F. Mohn's rho =0.29



Figure 10. Results of internal model retrospective analysis for spawning stock biomass. Mohn's rho $=-0.21$.


Figure 11. Butterfish total catch (black) and fully recruited fishing mortality (red). The blue line is the revised Fmsy proxy.


Figure 12. Butterfish recruitment (vertical bars), and the spawning stock biomass (blue line) that produced the corresponding recruitment. Year refers to spawning year.


Figure 13. Butterfish stock-recruitment scatter plot.


Figure 14. Comparison of the recruitment index from SAW 58 (NEFSC 2014) and this stock assessment update.


Figure 15. Results of internal model retrospective analysis for recruitment. Mohn's rho = $\mathbf{- 0 . 2 1}$


Figure 16. Effect of revised thermal habitat availability index ( $A=0.62$ ) on model estimates of spawning stock biomass (upper) and fishing mortality (lower). $A=0.68$ was used in SAW 58 (NEFSC 2014).
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