

Hydrothermal plume mapping as a prospecting tool for seafloor sulfide deposits: A case study at the Zouyu-1 and Zouyu-2 hydrothermal fields in the southern Mid-Atlantic Ridge

Tao Chunhui^{1,2}, Chen Sheng^{1,2†}, Li Huaiming², Liang Jin², Liao Shili², Chen Yongshun³, Edward T. Baker⁴, Deng Xianming², Zhang Guoyin², Gu Chunhua², Wu Jialin²

1. College of GeoExploration Science and Technology, Jilin University, Changchun 130026, China

2. The Second Institute of Oceanography, State Oceanic Administration, Hangzhou 310012, China

3. School of Earth and Space Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

4. Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean—PMEL, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

†Corresponding author. Email: chensheng1988@126.com

Abstract

Seafloor hydrothermal polymetallic sulfide deposits are a new type of resource, with great potential economic value and good prospect development. This paper discusses turbidity, oxidation-reduction potential, and temperature anomalies of hydrothermal plumes from the Zouyu-1 and Zouyu-2 hydrothermal fields on the southern Mid-Atlantic Ridge. We use the known location of these vent fields and plume data collected in multiple years (2009, 2011, 2013) to demonstrate how real-time plume exploration can be used to locate active vent fields, and thus associated sulfide deposits. Turbidity anomalies can be detected 10s of km from an active source, but the location precision is no better than a few kilometers because fine-grained particles are quasi-conservative over periods of many days. Temperature and oxidation-reduction potential anomalies provide location precision of a few hundred meters. Temperature anomalies are generally weak and difficult to reliably detect, except by chance encounters of a buoyant plume. Oxidation-reduction potential is highly sensitive (nmol concentrations of reduced hydrothermal chemicals) to discharges of all temperatures and responds immediately to a plume encounter.

Real-time surveys using continuous tows of turbidity and oxidation-reduction potential sensors offers the most efficient and precise surface ship exploration presently possible.

Keywords: Sulfide-prospecting criteria, hydrothermal plume dispersion, Mid-Atlantic Ridge

1. Introduction

Most hydrothermal fields are found on mid-ocean ridges (Beaulieu et al. 2015). Slow-spreading mid-ocean ridges account for 60% of the 64,000 km total length of global mid-ocean ridges. It is estimated that about 85% of mid-ocean ridge sulfide deposits occur on slow-spreading ridges (Hannington et al. 2011). The shape, type, and size of mid-ocean ridge polymetallic sulfide deposits are controlled by complex geological factors, such as local magma supply, crustal permeability, tectonics, and magmatism (e.g., Devey et al. 2010). Currently, the investigation of seafloor hydrothermal systems is still in the scientific research stage. With progress in deep-sea survey technology and depletion of mineral resources on land, the development of seabed mineral resources has become a research objective for countries around the world. Since 2011, surveys of seafloor polymetallic sulfide resources by nations and corporations have progressed to an exploratory stage (Nautilus Minerals Inc. 2014).

An important aspect of this exploration is development of realistic survey protocols for determining the location of active hydrothermal sites. Ore-prospecting criteria for polymetallic sulfide deposits have been proposed by studying geology, topography, water mass characteristics, mineralogical and geochemical characteristics

of nearby sediments, geophysical characteristics, and living organisms (Yao et al. 2011; Fang et al. 2015; Shao et al. 2015). However, these papers focus on seafloor criteria and do not describe how hydrothermal plume studies can be used to locate seafloor vent sites.

Because of the present difficulty in locating inactive deposits, exploration has focused on the detection of active sites, followed by a search for nearby inactive sulfide fields (Tao et al. 2014; German et al. 2016). Since the discovery of the Trans-Atlantic Geotraverse (TAG) hydrothermal vent, located at 26°N in the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR) (Rona et al. 1986), studies of active seafloor vent sites in the slow-spreading MAR have increased, as they are a key area in the investigation and study of submarine hydrothermal sulfides (Rona et al. 2010). Other hydrothermal fields in the northern MAR from 12°N to 40°N include Logatchev, Snake Pit, Broken Spur, Rainbow, Lucky Strike, Menez-Gwen and Ashaze (Charlou et al. 1988; Kong et al. 1988; Murton et al. 1994; German et al. 1996; Langmuir et al. 1997; Krasnov et al. 1995). Fewer active hydrothermal sites have been confirmed in the southern MAR. Before 2009, only five hydrothermal fields were known on the southern MAR, all located between the equator and 10°S near Ascension Island ([Figure 1](#)) (Devey et al. 2005; Melchert et al. 2006; Haase et al. 2007; German et al. 2008; Keir et al. 2008; Melchert et al. 2008; Haase et al. 2009; Haase et al. 2012).

Hydrothermal plumes, formed by the turbulent mixing of hot vent fluids and ambient seawater, are potent tools for locating, characterizing, and quantifying seafloor hydrothermal discharge. The emitted fluids rise by the buoyancy of the hot hydrothermal fluids and are gradually diluted by seawater, ascending until the plume becomes non-buoyant. Hydrothermal plumes can then spread laterally over distances of a few kilometers to more than 1000s of km (e.g., Baker et al. 1995). Hydrothermal

plumes are rapidly diluted $\sim 10^4$ -fold with ambient seawater, yet retain physical and chemical signatures distinctly different from background seawater (Speer and Rona 1989; Mottl and McConachy 1990). Hydrothermal plume parameters can be divided into three aspects: physical characteristics (temperature, turbidity), chemical characteristics (e.g., gases such as ^3He , H_2 , CO_2 , CH_4 , H_2S ; metallic elements such as Fe and Mn), and movement characteristics (rise height, advection of the non-buoyant layer) (Tivey 2007). The characteristics of hydrothermal plumes are closely associated with the characteristics of hydrothermal fields. Movement characteristics are related directly to the buoyancy flux and the local currents (Rudnicki and Elderfield 1992). Ore-prospecting criteria for seafloor polymetallic sulfide deposits include hydrothermal plume characteristics that can be used as clues during prospecting. The extent of hydrothermal plumes is much greater than that of sulfide deposits and more easily detected, enabling the effective and fast location of seafloor hydrothermal vents and potential seafloor polymetallic sulfide deposits.

This paper uses recent water column studies in a southern MAR tectonic segment to show how real-time measurements of hydrothermal plume tracers (turbidity, oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), and temperature) can be used to precisely locate active vent sites and, thus, the possibility of nearby sulfide deposits.

2. Geologic background

For this paper, we numbered ridge segments between the equator and 16°S in the southern MAR (**Figure 1**). The study area is located on a first-order ridge segment, which is separated by the Bode Verde II transform fault in the north and the Cardno fracture zone in the south, with a full spreading rate of 34 mm/yr (DeMets et al. 1994). A distinct second-order non-transform discontinuity (Macdonald 2001) at 13.5°S offsets the 13°S to 14°S ridge segment into two minor sections, labeled S14 and S15

(Figure 1).

The Zouyu-1 (former name: Valentine Valley) and Zouyu-2 (former name: Baily's Beads) hydrothermal fields (Figure 2) are located on the neovolcanic Zouyu ridge on the axis of a symmetrical spreading ridge (on the eastern side of the S14 segment) (Tao et al. 2011). The Zouyu ridge is >1 km shallower than the deepest part of the segment. The combination of magmatism and tectonic activities leads to the evolution of high-temperature hydrothermal venting at the ridge axis, which results in favorable conditions for large-scale polymetallic sulfide deposits.

The Zouyu-1 hydrothermal field (14.41°W, 13.25°S) was discovered during Chinese 22nd cruise (Table 1) (Figure 3). A sulfide chimney and a large area of altered basalt nearby were video recorded by underwater camera at a depth of 2315 m. About 4 km south, the Zouyu-2 field (14.41°W, 13.28°S), at a depth of 2288 m, was discovered during Chinese 21st cruise (Table 1). Hydrothermal anomalies were detected using deep-tow MAPRs (Miniature Autonomous Plume Recorder) and ECS (electrochemical sensor) hydrothermal detecting equipment. Black smokers, polymetallic sulfides, altered basalts, and diffuse venting were video recorded by underwater camera. Polymetallic sulfide samples were acquired by TVG (TV grab). These data provided the first evidence for the position of new hydrothermal vents. Sidescan sonar imagery acquired during the German MSM25 Cruise in 2013 (Devey 2014) showed the surface of this hydrothermal field to be composed of several sulfide structures (chimneys and mounds), with the highest chimney up to 20 m in height. The brightest backscatter was seen in the rift boundary, west of the hydrothermal field, suggesting this was the location of the most recent volcanism (Devey 2014).

During our surveys, the fractures in the study area were found to be shallow and narrow, and mostly N–S oriented (Tao et al. 2011). Rocks in the study area were

mainly basalt, with different characteristics in different areas. Four types of basalt were identified: pillow basalt, large breccia, rubble breccia, and hydrothermally altered rocks. Rubble breccia and sediment were distributed evenly around the area. Hydrothermally altered rocks were mainly distributed near the vent. The geological settings of Zouyu-1 and Zouyu-2 hydrothermal fields are similar to those in the Snake Pit and Turtle Pits (Devey 2010). All of these areas feature basalt basement, so an along-axis magma chamber likely provides the heat for these vents. Faults in the volcanic area, magma channels below the deep volcano, and fissures caused by cooling volcanic rock would provide channels for seawater infiltration and hydrothermal fluid discharge, promoting the development of large-scale polymetallic sulfide deposits.

3. Data collection and processing

Table 1 Cruise chronology in the study area

| Cruise & Leg | Ship | Date | Segment |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| The 4 th leg of Chinese 21 st Cruise (21IV) | <i>DayangYiHao</i> | Nov. -Dec. 2009 | The MAR 13-15°S |
| The 2 nd leg of Chinese 22 nd Cruise (22II) | <i>DayangYiHao</i> | Jan. -Feb. 2011 | The MAR 13-14°S |
| German MSM25 Cruise | <i>Maria S. Merian</i> | Jan. -Mar. 2013 | The MAR 13-33°S |

Data presented here were acquired during three cruises on the southern Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Table 1). During the 21IV leg in 2009 and the 22II leg in 2011, plume surveys were conducted on the Zouyu Ridge using a towed instrument package equipped with light-scattering and temperature sensors (MAPR), and H₂S and ORP

sensors (ECS) (Tao et al. 2011). The ECS, which is self-made by Zhejiang University, integrates ORP and H₂S concentration chemical electrodes together and can detect chemical changes in water column caused by active hydrothermal venting, and even weak chemical abnormalities by non-active massive sulfide hydrothermal mound which MAPR and CTD generally cannot detect (Han et al. 2015). The towed package was located using ultra-short baseline (USBL) positioning relative to the ship, which was located using differential global positioning system.

A series of MAPRs were connected at set intervals along a cable, forming a 300-500 m vertical MAPR array to cover the scope of a hydrothermal plume. The array provides rapid detection of plumes in situ by measuring multi-layered information in the lateral and vertical directions, including turbidity, temperature, and ORP. The survey is conducted at a relatively high speed (2 kts), improving the effectiveness of investigations. MAPRs can be attached to cables, CTD casts, or TVG casts to acquire small-scale vertical turbidity profiles. A series of processing steps was applied to the turbidity data, including correction for position deviation, data de-noising, and systematic error correction (Chen et al. 2014). During the 21IV leg, we focused four towing profiles and four vertical profiles (TVG stations) on the region. The 21IV-L04 and 21IV-L08 survey lines were in NE-SW direction and went through Zouyu-2 hydrothermal field. During the 22II leg, data were collected from four towing profiles, two TVG stations, and five CTD casts (Figure 3). Two lines are worth noting. The 22II-L09 survey line ran in NW–SE direction from the Zouyu-1 hydrothermal field to the Zouyu-2 hydrothermal field and the 22II-L07 survey line ran

through the Zouyu-2 hydrothermal field in E-W direction (Figure 3).

The German MSM25 voyage conducted a series of surveys from 13°S to 33°S in the southern MAR in 2013, including deep-tow lines and use of an autonomous underwater vehicle (AUV) for near-bottom mapping and hydrothermal plume detection (Devey 2014). Two tow-yo survey lines were conducted around the Zouyu-2 hydrothermal field (Figure 3). The Tow-yo_2 survey line runs North–South from the Zouyu-1 hydrothermal field to the Zouyu-2 hydrothermal field, approximately paralleling to the line 22II-L9. The AUV mission (dive #135) using ORP and turbidity sensors and high-resolution sidescan (410 kHz) was conducted at an altitude of 40 m over areas of known Zouyu-1 and Zouyu-2 hydrothermal fields.

4. Characteristics of hydrothermal plumes

4.1 Turbidity anomalies

4.1.1 Distribution of turbidity anomalies

The areal extent of turbidity anomalies around Zouyu-1 and Zouyu-2 in 2009 and 2011 shows that the highest values were concentrated around Zouyu-2 (Figure 4a). The turbidity anomalies were widely distributed, with a maximum anomaly value of 0.094 Δ NTU (Nephelometric Turbidity Units) southeast of the Zouyu-2 vent. The turbidity values around Zouyu-1 were lower. The locations of high turbidity values do not correlate exactly with the positions of Zouyu-2 hydrothermal vents (Figure 4a), with the position deviation of 0.85 km.

The MSM25 cruise AUV dive #135 found a distribution of turbidity values similar to our data collected in 2009 and 2011. Turbidity values were highest around Zouyu-2, with high values preferentially extending to the east (Figure 4a,b). Values around Zouyu-1 were lower, with little evidence of a broad plume.

The most distinct turbidity anomalies were observed on survey lines 21IV-L04

and L08 in the Zouyu-2 hydrothermal field (**Figure 5a**). This transect showed a concentrated plume layer at depths between 2050 m and 2250 m, with a maximum turbidity anomaly of 0.08 Δ NTU at a depth of 2120 m. The horizontal scale of hydrothermal plume maximum was \sim 2.5 km. The plume maximum is offset \sim 500 m east of the Zouyu-2 vent location, perhaps under the influence of local currents.

The 22II-L9 survey line in 2011 showed a plume detectable at least 3 km to the north of Zouyu-2, but more than 100 m deeper than seen in 2009 (**Figure 5b**). The range of turbidity anomaly values detected in the Zouyu-2 hydrothermal field is 0.018–0.035 Δ NTU, values much less than that on the line 21IV-L04. The plume maximum was located directly above the Zouyu-2 vent field, and also coincident with an ORP anomaly and buoyant plume detected during the MSM25 cruise (**Figure 5d**) (Devey 2014). The lateral scale of the plume maxima during 21II-L9 was \sim 1 km, much less than on line 21IV-L4 and L8.

In 2013, results from the MSM25 cruise showed that the depth of the plume maxima was again \sim 2125 m, similar to that found in 2009, and maximum turbidity values exceed 0.1 NTU (**Figure 5c**). The remarkable difference between 2009/2013 and 2011 could arise from stronger currents in 2011 bending the plume and injecting it deeper into the water column (Rudnicki and German 2002) but unless such currents were steady over days plumes would be visible over a depth range of several hundred meters. Because of the weak turbidity values and greater plume depth in 2011, we favor the explanation that 2011 was a period of reduced buoyancy flux.

4.1.2 Dispersion trends of turbidity anomalies

Vertical casts at stations 0.5 km (21IV-TVG02), 1 km (21IV-TVG04), and 3.5 km (21IV-TVG03) northwest of the Zouyu-2 (**Figure 6**) found hydrothermal plumes between 2050 m and 2300 m, consistent with depths on the 21IV-L4 and L8 transect.

The turbidity at 2120 showed an obvious decreasing trend away from Zouyu-2, with maximum values of 0.04 Δ NTU, 0.03 Δ NTU, and 0.02 Δ NTU, respectively. The lateral scale of this plume detection is thus similar to that seen on the 22IV-L04/22IV-L08 transect (Figure 5).

Near the Zouyu-1 hydrothermal field, stations 22II-TVG12, 22II-CTD08, 22II-CTD11, and 21IV-TVG05 are located northwest of the vent, at distances of 0.28 km, 0.93 km, 2.17 km, and 3.77 km, respectively (Figure 6c). Turbidity decreased with dispersion of the non-buoyant layer and returned to the background value at a distance of ~2.2 km.

4.2 ORP anomalies

ORP anomalies were detected near Zouyu-2 in 2011 and 2013. On survey line 22II-L07 sharp and substantial ORP (~80 mV) and H₂S (2.5 nmol/L) anomalies occurred near 14.412°W, 13.28°S for ~300 m along the track line (Figure 7a). MSM25 AUV #135 dive in 2013 mapped ORP data at an altitude of 40 m on Zouyu Ridge (Figure 7b). ORP anomalies were seen only near Zouyu-2, but at much smaller intensity (a few mV), likely because the wide line spacing (100-300 m) and low altitude caused the AUV to miss detecting the rising plume.

Turbidity and ORP anomalies were seen at the roughly the same location by tow-yo_2 of the MSM cruise (Figure 5c, 5d). Strong turbidity and ORP anomalies were encountered at 14.408°W, 13.288°S, directly beneath the plume maximum seen on 22II-L09 two years earlier.

4.3 Temperature anomalies

Temperature anomalies are unequivocal indicators of a hydrothermal plume, but

are far less useful than many other tracers. Maximum vent fluid temperatures exceed ambient seawater temperature by a factor of $\sim 10^2$, but since plume dilution in the non-buoyant layer is often a factor of $\sim 10^4$ detecting a hydrothermal temperature anomaly is difficult. This problem is magnified in the Atlantic, where the salinity gradient is negative and the non-buoyant plume is cooler and fresher than the surrounding seawater (Speer and Rona 1989). Vent locations can be precisely determined if a CTD intercepts a rising buoyant plume, before maximum dilution has occurred, but such occurrences are rare (although this was accomplished during a tow-yo past Zouyu-2 on the MSM25 cruise ([Figure 5c, 5d](#)) (Devey 2014).

An example of the influence of a hydrothermal plume on the local water temperature can be seen from a MAPR tow near Zouyu-2 ([Figure 8](#)). In the absence of salinity measurements we can only observe changes in raw temperature, rather than calculating an accurate temperature anomaly (Lupton et al., 1985). In this example, temperature along the track line increased by as much as $\sim 0.03^\circ\text{C}$ even as the depth of the MAPR was largely unchanged (vertical movements would strongly affect the observed temperature even in ambient water). The temperature fluctuations tracked concomitant fluctuations in turbidity, strong evidence that the temperature increases were hydrothermally induced.

4. Using hydrothermal plume tracers for efficient vent and sulfide deposit exploration

Exploring for sulfide deposits on the seafloor is fundamentally different than

terrestrial exploration. On the seafloor, deposits are cloaked by an ocean that is opaque to electromagnetic inspection at distances of more than several meters. To efficiently locate these deposits we must use the clues provided by the plumes emitted by vents and dispersed by local currents. In this section we use our results from surveys of the Zouyu-1 and Zouyu-2 hydrothermal fields, in combination with knowledge about additional plume tracers, to describe how a broad tracer suite can be used to close range on seafloor polymetallic sulfides that occur in association with active venting.

Hydrothermal species can be classified as conservative or non-conservative. Conservative species include heat and ^3He , and their concentration in plumes changes only by dilution. Non-conservative species, such as Mn, Fe, CH_4 , H_2 , and H_2S are also lost by chemical and biological degradation, or by deposition. Their residence time in the plume varies widely, from several years for dissolved Mn and Fe- and Mn-oxyhydroxides, to days or months for CH_4 , and to hours or days for FeS , H_2 , H_2S , and dissolved Fe^{+2} . These differences in residence time make it possible to use a broad suite of tracer samples to efficiently close range on the seafloor sources that create plumes in a given section of a ridge ([Figure 9](#)). The presence or absence of certain tracers also provides important clues to the temperature and chemistry of a source.

In this section we use our plume results and known vent field locations to calibrate a vent field search protocol. Although we lack plume chemistry samples, we describe how such samples would improve our protocol when surveying a MAR site such as segment 14.

The most sensitive hydrothermal tracer is ^3He , and a single cast in a deep axial valley of the MAR can likely determine if hydrothermal vent sites are active. However, this sensitivity, combined with the present inability to analyze ^3He at sea, limits its usefulness as a search tool. More effective segment-scale tracers are dMn and dFe_{org}. For example, dFe_{org}, dissolved Fe stabilized against precipitation by organic compounds (Sander and Koschinsky 2011), can persist in plumes for thousands of kilometers (Resing et al. 2015). No real-time, precise detection of dMn or dFe_{org} is yet widely available, so discrete sampling is required.

At smaller spatial scales, on the order of 10 km, CH₄ becomes an important tracer. CH₄ is not present in all hydrothermal plumes, and some plumes from low-temperature discharge may have CH₄ but no particles or metals (Charlou and Donvald 1993). For example, a survey of the slow-spreading Central Indian Ridge found a CH₄ plume traceable for ~10 km in one direction along axis but <5 km in the opposite direction (You et al. 2014). Similar to ^3He , sampling restrictions also limit the usefulness of CH₄ for real-time exploration. Although CH₄ is readily measured at sea from water samples, there is as yet no sensor that can confidently measure typical hydrothermal plume concentrations (<~50 nmol) in real time at plume depths.

Presently, real-time, continuous measurements of plume tracers are restricted to turbidity (light scattering and transmission), ORP (including H₂S (ECS)), and temperature. Turbidity measurements are by far the most commonly used tool for plume mapping. Turbidity anomalies have been traced up to 100s of km along a ridge axis, testifying to the long residence time of fine-grained Fe-oxyhydroxide particles

(pFe_{oxy}) (Baker and German 2004). Here, however, we look at the ability of turbidity data to precisely locate a vent source. Three survey lines passed close to the Zouyu-2 field: 21IV-L04&L08 in Nov. 2009, 22II-L09 in Feb. 2011, and MSM25-Tow-yo-2 in Feb. 2013. In each case, turbidity anomalies described a plume with a horizontal dimension of 3-5 km, with a maximum plume anomaly near the Zouyu-2 field (Figure 5). In each case the horizontal scale of the plume maximum was 1-2 km, which is thus the effective precision for the vent field location.

Certain chemical tracers are capable of even greater precision because they are rapidly oxidized, metabolized, or chemically transformed within hours or days. These include various sulfide species (such as HS⁻), Fe⁺², and H₂. Each of these reduced species produces a pronounced ORP response (German et al. 2008; Stranne et al. 2010, Baker et al., in press). Because these chemicals dissipate quickly, they can be detected only very close to their source. Baker et al. (in press) quantified the spatial scale of such anomalies by measuring the path length of 290 ORP anomalies during instrument tows along four different ridge sections. The mean path length was 0.57±0.72 km (median value = 0.30 km), suggesting a nominal precision in source location of <1 km. This estimate is a conservative one, because the source is likely closest to the location of maximum ORP response, which normally occurs over a spatial scale of 100s of meters or less (Figure 7a). The distance between known location of Zouyu-2 and the ORP max anomaly seen in Figure 7a is ~0.1-0.2 km.

5. Conclusions

We analyzed hydrothermal plume data from around the Zouyu Ridge on the southern MAR using deep-tow survey lines and vertical profiles from 2009, 2011, and 2013 to map turbidity, ORP, and temperature anomalies. The plume from the Zouyu-2 field was prominent, with a turbidity maximum ($\text{NTU} > \sim 0.04$) typically detectable > 3 km from the source. Sidescan sonar and video confirmed that the area was covered by fresh lava, related to hydrothermal eruptions. Plumes from the Zouyu-1 field were less robust and more difficult to detect farther than ~ 1 km from the source. Data from 2011 found the Zouyu-2 plume to have the lowest NTU values and a rise height ~ 100 m less than in 2009 or 2013, suggesting a temporary decrease in vent field buoyancy flux at that time.

We used these data to evaluate the use of various tracers for locating active vent sites, and thus possible adjoining inactive sulfide deposits. Turbidity is the best real-time sensor for detecting active sites at ranges of ~ 10 km or more. At spatial scales of $< \sim 3$ km the quasi-conservative nature of fine particles, combined with current fluctuations, limits its usefulness in identifying a source location. Temperature and ORP are not useful tracers at scales $>$ a few kilometers, but are highly precise (a few hundred meters) at smaller scales. However, temperature anomalies are generally weak and variable. Real-time exploration with a combination of turbidity and ORP sensors is most effective.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) under contract No. 2012CB417305, China Ocean Mineral Resources R & D Association “Twelfth Five-Year” Major Program under contract No. DY125-11-R-01 and DY125-11-R-05, and Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. Q16D060018. Support for ETB was provided by the NOAA/PMEL Earth-Ocean Interactions Program and the Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO) under NOAA Cooperative Agreement

No. NA10OAR4320148. We thank the science parties of DY21 and DY22, who contributed to the success of the project. PMEL contribution 4486, JISAO contribution 2654.




Figure 1. Bathymetry and location of the spreading axis between the equator and 16°S in the Atlantic Ocean. Also shown are the segment names used in this paper. Red stars mark discovered hydrothermal sites. Map created with GeoMapApp

(<http://www.geomapapp.org>; (Ryan et al. 2009). Most bathymetric information is from satellite altimetry data with high-resolution information from the Lamont–Doherty Earth Observatory marine geophysics data (Smith and Sandwell 1997).




Figure 2. Bathymetry of the study area 13°S–14°S collected by the multibeam

sounding system during cruises 21IV and 22II. Also shown are the positions of active hydrothermal fields (red stars) and hydrothermal anomalies (red solid circles) (Tao et al. 2011). The white solid lines represent second-order ridge segments.




Figure 3. Distribution of stations and survey lines near the Zouyu ridge. Solid symbols indicate station measurements made during the 21IV leg, while open symbols indicate measurements made during the 22II leg. Red stars represent

390 hydrothermal vents. White dotted and dashed surveying lines were made during the
391 German MSM25 cruise, while the dotted rectangle shows the German AUV #135 dive
392 area. Black lines for 21IV leg survey lines, and red lines for 22II leg lines.




Figure 4. Scatter plot of turbidity anomalies at depths >2000 m using the data from Chinese 21st and 22nd cruises.




Figure 5. Transects of turbidity and ORP from survey lines spanning 2009 to 2011. (a) 21IV-L04/21IV-L08 and (b) 22II-L09. Red stars show the vent location found by Chinese 21st cruise, while bigger yellow stars show the location inferred from the maximum ORP during MSM25 tow-yo lines (Devey 2014) and Chinese 22II-L07 survey line. Black dashed lines show where Line 21IV-L04/L08 and Line 22II-L09 intersected.




Figure 6. Turbidity profiles in the Zouyu-1 and -2 hydrothermal fields. Different line colors represent different stations or lines.




Figure 7. (a) ORP and H₂S anomalies on the 22II-L07 survey line.




Figure 8. In situ temperature (red line), turbidity (green line), and depth (black line) from a MAPR at an altitude of ~200 m during Line 21IV-L04 (see Figure 5a).




Figure 9. An idealized comparison of how detectable plume chemistry can change over distance. Distances are qualitative and depend on source strength, current flow, and bathymetry. These changes mean that different tracers provide different information about the range to an active vent site. Each curve shows the change in the relative concentration of a tracer from a vent orifice (X_v) to a nominal 50 km distance. “ORP” refers to dissolved reduced substances such as H_2 , H_2S , and Fe^{+2} . All other tracers are dissolved species except FeS and Fe_{oxy} (Fe oxyhydroxides), which precipitate from dissolved species as vent fluids are diluted. About half the particulate Fe is deposited close to the vent as sulfides, while the other half more slowly precipitates and settles as fine-grained Fe_{oxy} . dMn precipitates and settles slowly, similar to dFe_{org} . Only 3He and heat (Q) are truly conservative, but heat anomalies are diluted beyond detection within a few kilometers of a vent source.

References

Baker ET and German CR (2004) On the global distribution of hydrothermal vent fields. In: German CR, Lin J, Parson LM (Eds.), *Mid-Ocean Ridges: Hydrothermal Interactions Between the Lithosphere and Oceans*, Geophys. Monogr. Ser. Vol. 148. AGU, Washington, D.C., pp. 245–266.

Baker ET, German CR, and Elderfield H (1995) Hydrothermal plumes over spreading-center axes: Global distributions and geological inferences, in *Seafloor Hydrothermal Systems: Physical, Chemical, Biological, and Geological Interactions*, Geophys. Monogr. Ser., 91, edited by S Humphris, R Zierenberg, LS Mullineaux, and R Thomson, pp. 47–71, AGU, Washington D.C..

Edward T. Baker, Joseph A. Resing, Rachel M. Haymon, Verena Tunnicliffe, J. William Lavelle, Fernando Martinez, Vicki Ferrini, Sharon L. Walker, and Koichi Nakamura (in press) How many vent fields? New estimates of vent field populations on ocean ridges from precise mapping of hydrothermal discharge locations, *Earth Planet Sci Lett*.

Beaulieu SE, Baker ET and German CR (2015) Where are the undiscovered hydrothermal vents on oceanic spreading ridges? *Deep Sea Res., Part II* doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.05.001i

Charlou J-L, Donvald J-P (1993) Hydrothermal Methane Venting Between 12°N and 26°N Along the Mid-Atlantic Ridg, *J. Geophys. Res.* 98:9625-9642

Charlou JL, Dmitriev L, Bougault H, Needham HD (1988) Hydrothermal CH₄ between 12°N and 15°N over the Mid-Atlantic Ridge *Deep Sea Res, Part I* 35:121-131

Chen S, Tao C, Li H, Chen Y, Zhou J, Wu T (2014) A data processing method for MAPR hydrothermal plume turbidity data and its application in the Precious Stone Mountain hydrothermal field *Acta Oceanol Sin* 33:34-43

DeMets C, Gordon R, Argus D, Stein S (1994) Effect of recent revisions to the geomagnetic reversal time scale on estimates of current plate motions *Geophys Res Lett* 21:2191-2194

Devey CW (2014) SoMARTerm: The Mid-Atlantic Ridge 13-33°S - Cruise No. MSM25 - January 24 - March 5, 2013 - Cape Town (South Africa) - Mindelo (Cape Verde). Bremen. doi:10.2312/cr_msm25

Devey CW, German C, Haase K, Lackschewitz K, Melchert B, Connelly D (2010) The Relationships Between Volcanism, Tectonism, and Hydrothermal Activity on the Southern Equatorial Mid - Atlantic Ridge Diversity of Hydrothermal Systems on Slow Spreading Ocean Ridges:133-152

Devey CW, Lackschewitz K, Baker E (2005) Hydrothermal and volcanic activity found on the Southern Mid - Atlantic Ridge Trans, Am Geophys Union 86:209-212

Fang J, Sun J, Xu H, Ye J, Chen J, Ren M, Tang C (2015) Prediction of seafloors polymetallic sulphides resources in the north Atlantic ridge area Advances in Earth Science 30:60-68

German, CR, Klinkhammer GP, Rudnicki MD (1996) The Rainbow hydro- thermal plume, 361150N, MAR. Geophys. Res. Lett. 23: 2979–2982.

German CR et al. (2008) Hydrothermal activity on the southern Mid-Atlantic Ridge: Tectonically- and volcanically-controlled venting at 4–5°S, Earth Planet Sci Lett. 273:332-344 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.06.048

German CR, Petersen S, Hannington MD (2016) Hydrothermal exploration of mid-ocean ridges: Where might the largest sulfide deposits be forming? Chem. Geol. 420: 114–126, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.11.006.

Haase K et al. (2012) Compositional variation of lavas from a young volcanic field on the Southern Mid-Atlantic Ridge, 8°48'S American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting 2012 abstract #V11D-2806

Haase KM et al. (2009) Diking, young volcanism and diffuse hydrothermal activity on the southern Mid-Atlantic Ridge: The Lilliput field at 9°33'S Mar Geol 266:52-64

Haase KM et al. (2007) Young volcanism and related hydrothermal activity at 5°S on the slow-spreading southern Mid-Atlantic Ridge Geochem, Geophys, Geosyst 8 doi:10.1029/2006gc001509

Han C, Wu G, Ye Y, Qin H Active hydrothermal and non-active massive sulfide mound investigation using a new multi-parameter chemical sensor. In: Testing and Measurement: Techniques and Applications: Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on Testing and Measurement Techniques (TMTA 2015), 16-17 January 2015, Phuket Island, Thailand, 2015. CRC Press, p 183

Hannington M, Jamieson H, Monecke T, Petersen S, Beaulieu S (2011) The abundance of seafloor massive sulfide deposits

Keir RS, Schmale O, Walter M, Sültenfuß J, Seifert R, Rhein M (2008) Flux and

dispersion of gases from the “Drachenschlund” hydrothermal vent at 8°18' S, 13°30' W on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge Earth Planet Sci Lett 270:338-348 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.03.054

Kong LSL, Detrick RS, Fox PJ, Mayer LA, Ryan WBF (1988) The morphology and tectonics of the Mark area from Sea Beam and Sea MARC I observations (Mid-Atlantic Ridge 23° N), Marine Geophysical Research 10:59-90

Krasnov et al. (1995) Detailed geographical studies of hydrothermal fields in the North Atlantic. Geol. Soc. London Spec. Pub. 87, Hydrothermal vents and processes, 43-64.

Langmuir C et al. (1997) Hydrothermal vents near a mantle hot spot: the Lucky Strike vent field at 37°N on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Earth Plan Sci Lett 148: 69-91.

Li B, Yang Y, Shi X, Ye J, Gao J, Zhu A, Shao M (2014) Characteristics of a ridge-transform inside corner intersection and associated mafic-hosted seafloor hydrothermal field (14.0°S, Mid-Atlantic Ridge) Marine Geophysical Research 35:55-68 doi:10.1007/s11001-013-9209-1

Lupton E et al. (1985) Entrainment and vertical transport of deep-ocean water by buoyant hydrothermal plumes. Nature 316: 621-623.

Macdonald K (2001) Mid-ocean ridge tectonics, volcanism and geomorphology Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences:1798-1813

Melchert B, Devey CW, German CR, Haase KM, Koschinsky A, Lacksschewitz K, Yoerger D (2006) Volcanic and Tectonic Setting of Hydrothermal Activity on the Southern Mid-Atlantic Ridge, 4° - 11°S AGU

Melchert B et al. (2008) First evidence for high-temperature off-axis venting of deep crustal/mantle heat: The Nibelungen hydrothermal field, southern Mid-Atlantic Ridge, Earth Planet Sci Lett 275:61-69 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.08.010

Mottl MJ, McConachy TF (1990) Chemical processes in buoyant hydrothermal plumes on the East Pacific Rise near 21°N, Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta 54:1911-1927

Murton BJ et al. (1994) Direct evidence for the distribution and occurrence of hydrothermal activity between 27°N–30°N on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, Earth Planet Sci Lett 125:119-128 doi:10.1016/0012-821x(94)90210-0

Nautilus Minerals Inc. (2014) Annual Report.

Resing JA, Sedwick PN, German CR, Jenkins WJ, Moffett JW, Sohst BM, Tagliabue A (2015) Basin-scale transport of hydrothermal dissolved metals across the South Pacific Ocean, *Nature* 523:200-203 doi:10.1038/nature14577

Rona P, Klinkhammer G, Nelsen T, Trefry J, Elderfield H (1986) Black smokers, massive sulphides and vent biota at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, *Nature* 321:33-37

Rona PA, Devey CW, Dymont J, Murton BJ (2010) Diversity of Hydrothermal Systems on Slow Spreading Ocean Ridges, *Geophys. Monogr. Ser. Vol. 188*. AGU, Washington, D.C.

Rudnicki MD and Elderfield H (1992) Theory applied to the Mid-Atlantic ridge hydrothermal plumes: the finite-difference approach *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 50:161-172

Rudnicki MD and Germa CR (2002) Temporal variability of the hydrothermal plume above the Kairei vent field, 25°S, Central Indian Ridge, *Geochem., Geophys., Geosyst.* 3 doi:10.1029/2001GC000240

Ryan WBF et al. (2009) Global Multi-Resolution Topography synthesis *Geochem Geophys Geosyst* 10 doi:10.1029/2008GC002332

Sander SG, Koschinsky A (2011) Metal flux from hydrothermal vents increased by organic complexation *Nature Geosci* 4:145-150

Shao K, Chen J and Ren M (2015) Evaluation methodology and indicator system of polymetallic sulfide Mineral resources in the southwest Indian ocean *Advances in Earth Science* 30:812-822

Smith WH and Sandwell DT (1997) Global sea floor topography from satellite altimetry and ship depth soundings *Science* 277:1956-1962

Speer KG and Rona PA (1989) A Model of an Atlantic and Pacific Hydrothermal Plume *J. Geophys. Res.*, 94:6213-6220

Stranne C, Sohn RA, Liljebladh B, Nakamura Ki (2010) Analysis and modeling of hydrothermal plume data acquired from the 85 E segment of the Gakkel Ridge, *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* 115.

Tao C et al. (2014) Seafloor hydrothermal activity and polymetallic sulfide exploration on the southwest Indian ridge, *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 59:2266-2276 doi:10.1007/s11434-014-0182-0

Tao C et al. (2011) Two hydrothermal fields found on the Southern Mid-Atlantic

Ridge Sci China: Earth Sci 54:1302-1303

Tivey MK (2007) Generation of seafloor hydrothermal vent fluids and associated mineral deposits, *Oceanography* 20:50-65

Yao H et al. (2011) Integration study on mode for seafloor polymetallic sulfide exploration *Journal of Central South University (Science and Technology)* (in Chinese) 42:114-122

You O-R, Son SK, Baker ET, Son J, Kim MJ, Barcelona MJ, Kim M (2014) Bathymetric influence on dissolved methane in hydrothermal plumes revealed by concentration and stable carbon isotope measurements at newly discovered venting sites on the Central Indian Ridge (11–13°S) *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 91:17-26 doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2014.05.011