

NOAA Technical Report NMFS SSRF- 743 Average Density Index for Walleye Pollock, *Theragra chalcogramma*, in the Bering Sea

Loh-Lee Low and Ikuo Ikeda

November 1980

Marine Biological Laboratory LIBRARY

OCT 14 1992

Woods Hole, Mass.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service

NOAA TECHNICAL REPORTS

National Marine Fisheries Service, Special Scientific Report-Fisheries

The major responsibilities of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) are to monitor and assess the abundance and geographic distribution of fishery resources, to understand and predict fluctuations in the quantity and distribution of these resources, and to establish levels for optimum use of the resources. NMFS is also charged with the development and implementation of policies for managing national fishing grounds, development and enforcement of domestic fisheries regulations, surveillance of foreign fishing off United States coastal waters, and the development and enforcement of international fishery agreements and policies. NMFS also assists the fishing industry through marketing service and economic analysis programs, and mortgage insurance and vessel construction aubsidies. It collects, analyzes, and publishes statistics on various phases of the industry.

The Special Scientific Report—Fisheries series was established in 1949. The series carries reports on scientific investigationa that document long-term continuing programs of NMFS, or intensive scientific reports on studies of restricted scope. The reports may deal with applied fishery problems. The series is also used as a medium for the publication of bibliographies of a specialized scientific nature.

NOAA Technical Reports NMFS SSRF are available free in limited numbers to governmental agencies, both Federal and State. They are also available in exchange for other scientific and technical publications in the marine sciences. Individual copies may be obtained (unless otherwise noted) from D822, User Services Branch, Environmental Science Information Center, NOAA, Rockville, MD 20852. Recent SSRF's are:

700. Expendable bathythermograph observations from the NMFS/ MARAD Ship of Opportunity Program for 1973. By Steven K. Cook. June 1976, iii + 13 p., 10 figs. For sale by the Superintendent of Documenta, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; Stock No. 003-017-00382-5.

701. Seasonal abundance and distribution of zooplankton, fish egga, and fish larvae in the eastern Gulf of Mexico, 1972-74. By Edward D. Houde and Nicholas Chitty. August 1976, iii + 18 p., 14 figs., 5 tablea.

702. Length composition of yellowfin, skipjack, and bigeye tunas caught in the eastern tropical Atlantic by American purse seiners. By Gary T. Sakagawa, Atilio L. Coan, and Eugene P. Holzapfel. August 1976, iv + 22 p., 7 figs., 7 tables, 15 app. tables.

703. Aquacultural economics bibliography. By John Vondruska. October 1976, 123 p.

704. The macrofauna of the surf zone off Folly Beach, South Carolina. By William D. Anderson, Jr., James K. Dias, Robert K. Dias, David M. Cupka, and Norman A. Chamberlain. January 1977, iv + 23 p., 2 figs., 31 tables.

705. Migration and dispersion of tagged American lobsters, *Homarus americanus*, on the southern New England continental shelf. By Joseph R. Uzmann, Richard A. Cooper, and Kenneth J. Pecci. January 1977, v + 92 p., 45 figs., 2 tables, 29 app. tables.

706. Food of western North Atlantic tunas (*Thunnus*) and lancetfishes (*Alepisaurus*). By Frances D. Matthews, David M. Damkaer, Lealie W. Knapp, and Bruce B. Collette. January 1977, iii + 19 p., 4 figs., 1 table, 11 app. tables.

707. Monthly temperature and salinity measurements of continental shelf waters of the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1963-65. By Robert F. Temple, David L. Harrington, and John A. Martin. February 1977, iii + 26 p., 5 figs., 10 tables.

708. Catch and catch rates of fishes caught by anglers in the St. Andrew Bay system, Florida, and adjacent coastal waters, 1973. By Doyle F. Sutherland. March 1977, iii + 9 p., 2 figs., 9 tables.

709. Expendable bathythermograph observations from the NMFS/ MARAD Ship of Opportunity Program for 1974. By Steven K. Cook and Keith A. Hausknecht. April 1977, iv + 45 p., 10 figs., 9 tablea, 35 app. figs. For sale by the Superintendent of Documenta, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; Stock No. 003-017-00397-3.

710. Midwater invertebrates from the southeaatern Chukchi Sea: Species and abundance in catches incidental to midwater trawling survey of fishes, September-October 1970. By Bruce L. Wing and Nancy Barr. April 1977, iii + 43 p., 1 fig., 2 tables, 2 app. tables. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; Stock No. 003-020-00130-1.

711. A list of the marine mammals of the world. By Dale W. Rice. April 1977, iii + 15 p. For sale by the Superintendent of Docu-

ments, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; Stock No. 003-020-00134-3.

712. Annual physical and chemical oceanographic cycles of Auke Bay, southeastern Alaska. By Herbert E. Bruce, Douglas R. McLain, and Bruce L. Wing. May 1977, iii + 11 p., 16 figs., 1 table. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; Stock No. 003-020-00134-3.

713. Current patterns and distribution of river waters in inner Bristol Bay, Alaska. By Richard R. Straty. June 1977, iii + 13 p., 16 figs., 1 table.

714 Wind stress and wind stress curl over the California Current. By Craig S. Nelson. August 1977, iii + 87 p., 18 figs., 1 table, 3 app. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; Stock No. 003-020-00139-4.

715. Bottom obstructions in the southwestern North Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Sea. By G. Michael Russell, Abraham J. Barrett, L. Steve Sarbeck, and John H. Wordlaw. September 1977, iii + 21 p., 1 fig., 1 app. table. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; Stock No. 003-020-00140-8.

716. Fishes and associated environmental data collected in New York Bight, June 1974-June 1975. By Stuart J. Wilk, Wallace W. Morse, Daniel E. Ralph, and Thomas R. Azarovitz. September 1977, iii + 53 p., 3 figs., 3 tables. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; Stock No. 003-017-0040-4.

717. Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank ichthyoplankton collected on ICNAF larval herring surveys September 1971-February 1975. By John B. Colton, Jr. and Ruth R. Byron. November 1977, iii + 35 p., 9 figs., 14 tables.

718. Surface currents as determined by drift card releases over the continental shelf off central and southern California. By James L. Squire, Jr. December 1977, iii + 12 p., 2 figs.

719. Seasonal description of winds and surface and bottom salinities and temperatures in the northern Gulf of Mexico, October 1972 to January 1976. By Perry A. Thompson, Jr. and Thomas D. Leming. February 1978, iv + 44 p., 43 figs., 2 tables. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; Stock No. 003-017-00414-7.

720. Sea surface temperature distributions obtained off San Diego, California, using an airborne infrared radiometer. By James L. Squire, Jr. March 1978, iii + 30 p., 15 figs., 1 table. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; Stock No. 003-017-00415-5.

721. National Marine Fisheries Service survey of trace elements in the fishery resource. By R. A. Hall, E. G. Zook, and G. M. Meaburn. March 1978, iii + 313 p., 5 tables, 3 app. figs., 1 app. table.



NOAA Technical Report NMFS SSRF- 743

Average Density Index for Walleye Pollock, *Theragra chalcogramma,* in the Bering Sea

Loh-Lee Low and Ikuo Ikeda

November 1980



Woods Hole, Mass.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Philip M. Klutznick, Secretary National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Richard A. Frank, Administrator

National Marine Fisheries Service Terry L. Leitzell, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) does not approve, recommend or endorse any proprietary product or proprietary material mentioned in this publication. No reference shall be made to NMFS, or to this publication furnished by NMFS, in any advertising or sales promotion which would indicate or imply that NMFS approves, recommends or endorses any proprietary product or proprietary material mentioned herein, or which has as its purpose an intent to cause directly or indirectly the advertised product to be used or purchased because of this NMFS publication.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
The walleye pollock fishery	1
Data base	2
Average density index	2
Topics of analysis	2
Examination for bias in the 1964-72 data base	3
Variability studies on pair trawl data	4
Comparison of ADIs from all-data versus pollock-majority-data	6
Index area-time cells	7
Trends in walleye pollock abundance	8
Summary	8
Acknowledgments	11
Literature cited	11

Figures

1.	Map of the Bering Sea showing statistical grids used in the Japanese ground fish data base	3
2.	Four area-time cells used for calculating average density indices for walleye pollock in the eastern	
	Bering Sea	7
3.	Trends in catch per unit effort (CPUE) and average density index (ADI) for walleye pollock in the	
	eastern Bering Sea, 1964-78	10

Tables

1.	Annual catch of walleye pollock, in thousand metric tons, in the eastern Bering Sea, 1964-78	2
2.	Percentage of walleye pollock catches relative to total catches accounted for by major Japanese ves- sel-gear categories in the eastern Bering Sea, 1973-76	3
3.	Statistics on variability studies on catch-effort data for pair trawlers in the 120-219 gross registered ton class in the Japanese surimi (a minced fish product) mothership fishery of the eastern Bering	
	Sea, 1964-76	4
4.	Statistics on variability studies on mean annual catch per unit effort (CPUE) of walleye pollock by pair trawlers of the 120-219 gross registered ton class in the Japanese surimi (a minced fish product)	
	mothership fishery in the eastern Bering Sea, 1964-76	6
5.	Average density indices, in metric tons per hour trawled, for all-data versus walleye pollock-major-	
	ity-data by vessel-gear categories in the eastern Bering Sea, 1973-76	6
6.	Number of major statistical block months in which average density indices computed from all-data versus walleve pollock-majority-data in the eastern Bering Sea were the same, differed by 0.1, or dif-	
	fered by more than 0.1 metric tons per hour trawled	6
7.	Comparison of average density indices, in metric tons per hour trawled, for walleye pollock in the	
	eastern Bering Sea by area-time cells (see Figure 2 and text) and vessel-gear categories, 1973-76	9
8.	Annual average density indices (ADIs; in metric tons per hour trawled) and relative ADIs (as percent-	
	age of 1976 ADI) for walleye pollock in the eastern Bering Sea by area-time cells (see Figure 2 and	
	text) and vessel-gear categories, 1973-76	10
9.	Overall average density indices (ADIs; as percent of 1976 metric tons per hour trawled) for walleye pollock in the eastern Bering Sea computed from ADIs in area-time cells which were weighted by	
	catches, 1973-76	10

Average Density Index for Walleye Pollock, Theragra chalcogramma, in the Bering Sea

LOH-LEE LOW¹ and IKUO IKEDA²

ABSTRACT

The data base and an average density index (ADI) procedure for assessing walleye pollock, Theragra chalcogramma, abundance in the eastern Bering Sea were evaluated. The data base consisted of daily eatch-effort records of individual fishing vessels in the Japanese groundfish fishery from 1964 to 1976. Varianees about the annual mean catch, effort, and eatch per unit effort (CPUE) data were low. Coefficient of variation of annual CPUE data was in the 1-2% range for the data base after 1969 hut higher in earlier years when the number of fishing records was lower. An ADI procedure is described which takes into consideration different types of vessels used in the fishery, species mix in the catch, distribution of pollock, and fishing pattern of the fleet. Data from five vessel class-gear types that fished mainly for pollock were selected to compute ADIs in four area-time cells. An overall ADI within these cells was determined, summarizing the results by vessel class-gear types and area-time cells. From 1964 to the early 1970s, overall ADI and CPUE trends increased as a result of increased polloek abundance and fishing power of vessels. For 2-3 years during the early 1970s, abundanees of polloek were at peak levels. Beginning in 1972, abundance declined but stabilized during 1975-78 at an intermediate level when 1.1 million metric tons of walleye pollock were harvested annually.

INTRODUCTION

Catch-effort data from commercial fisheries can be very useful for monitoring abundance of fish stocks. The concept for its use is simple: if conditions of fishing and vulnerability of the stock to fishing remain fairly constant, the amount of catch for a standard unit of fishing effort, catch per unit effort (CPUE), should reflect abundance of the stock under exploitation (FAO 1976). However, conditions of the fishery and dynamics of the stock (and therefore its vulnerability to fishing) frequently change, complicating the use of CPUE. These changes and the quality of data are variables that may invalidate the use of CPUE for measuring stock abundance. It is, therefore, of great importance to collect and analyze catch-effort data carefully so that these and other factors do not bias indices of abundance.

In the eastern Bering Sea, catch-effort data from the Japanese trawl fishery have been the most consistent and important source of information for assessing walleye pollock, *Theragra chalcogramma*, abundance. Since 1964, these data have been collected in a detailed and systematic manner. From this data base, CPUE computations to assess abundance of the pollock resource have been made over several years and results reported in documents submitted to the International North Pacific Fisheries Commission (INPFC).

At the 1974 annual meeting of the INPFC, Japanese scientists presented an extension of the CPUE index

used by U.S. scientists as a measure of pollock abundance termed an average density index (ADI). This ADI procedure involved some selection of pair trawl catcheffort data from the data base for its computations. Because the Japanese trawl fishery used various vesselgear combinations and took a variety of species, there was some difficulty in data selection for deriving unbiased measures of pollock abundance. In addition, there was a need to evaluate whether the fundamental data is representative of all data collected since not all daily catch-effort records were incorporated into the data base used to derive ADI values. To resolve these questions, the Committee on Biology and Research of INPFC established a working group to evaluate the data and the AD} procedure for assessing pollock abundance. This paper reports on results of the working group's study on the bias and variability of catch-effort data and the standardization of ADIs as a measure of pollock abundance.

THE WALLEYE POLLOCK FISHERY

Walleye pollock is of the family Gadidae and is distributed throughout the North Pacific. Its life span is about 15 yr, but 2-6 yr olds are the major ages exploited by the fishery. This age range corresponds to fish weighing 91 g (24 cm fork length) and 817 g (49 cm fork length). Pollock is by far the most abundant demersal fish in the eastern Bering Sea (Pereyra et al. 1976)³ and has been

^{&#}x27;Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, WA 98112.

²Far Seas Fisheries Research Laboratory, Fisheries Agency of Japan, 1000 Orido, Shimizu 424, Japan.

³Pereyra, W. T., J. E. Reeves, and R. G. Bakkala. 1976. Demersal fish and shellfish resources of the eastern Bering Sea in the baseline year 1975. Processed Rep., 619 p. Northwest and Alaska Fish. Cent., Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., NOAA, 2725 Montlake Boulevard E., Seattle, WA 98112.

the major target species of foreign trawl fisheries there. It supports the largest single species fishery in the North Pacific.

The modern history of groundfish exploitation in the Bering Sea began in 1954, but the fishery for pollock did not begin in earnest until the early 1960s. At that time yellowfin sole, Limanda aspera, declined substantially in abundance (Forrester et al. 1978) and in 1964 mechanized techniques for processing pollock into surimi (a minced fish product) were successfully implemented on Japanese motherships and large factory stern trawlers (Bakkala et al. In press). As a result pollock catches increased more than tenfold between 1964 and 1972 (from 175,000 t to nearly 1.9 million t, Table 1). Catches declined steadily thereafter to <1 million t in 1977-78 due to declining stock abundance and fishery restrictions on the catch. This trend in stock abundance was generally monitored by CPUE data from the fishery, but the ADI procedure appeared to be a good measure of abundance as well. They both depended on data compiled from the Japanese trawl fisheries in the Bering Sea.

DATA BASE

Major nations participating in the pollock fishery are Japan, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, Republic of Korea, and Taiwan (Table 1). Japan has accounted for more than 85% of walleye pollock catches (Forrester et al. 1978) and since 1964 has collected more detailed and complete catch-effort records on her fisheries than any other nation. It is this data base of catch-effort records where we place great reliance for monitoring stock abundance. The fundamental data collected were daily catcheffort statistics by species or groups, within statistical blocks (1° longitude by 1/2° latitude, Fig. 1), and by individual fishing vessels and gear type. Vessels were further classified according to 10 tonnage classes from 1 to 4,500 gross registered tons (GRT). Major gear types were pair trawls, Danish seines, side trawls, longlines, gill nets, and stern trawls.

For 1964-72, many daily catch-effort records for individual vessels were not incorporated by the Fisheries Agency of Japan into the data file for economic reasons. Rather, every third record of such records was selected for key-punching. These subsampled records were then extrapolated to represent the total fishery. Since 1973 all catch-effort records have been included in the data base. The total data base is maintained by the Fisheries Agency of Japan. Monthly summaries of the catch-effort information by statistical blocks by fishing gear type and vessel size class were subsequently made available to the United States and Canada as member nations in INPFC.

AVERAGE DENSITY INDEX

The ADI equation presented at the 1974 INPFC meeting by Japanese scientists⁴ was as follows:

Table 1.—Annual catch of walleye pollock, in thousand metric tons, in the eastern Bering Sea, 1964-78.

Year	Japan	$\rm USSR^2$	Republic of Korea	Taiwan	Total
1964	175		0	0	175
1965	231		0	0	231
1966	262	_	0	0	262
1967	550	_	0	0	550
1968	701		1	0	702
1969	830	27	5	0	862
1970	1,231	20	5	0	1,256
1971	1,514	220	10	0	1,744
1972	1,651	214	9	0	1,874
1973	1,476	280	3	0	1,759
1974	1,253	310	26	0	1,589
1975	1,137	217	3	0	1,357
1976	913	179	85	0	1,177
1977	869	63	45	1	978
1978	821	93	62	3	979

¹Data for 1964-76 were reported by respective nations to the U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service.

Data for 1977-78 were estimated by the U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service.

²Union of Soviet Socialist Republics.

ADI =
$$(\Sigma(A_1 \times \emptyset))/(\Sigma A_2)$$

where i = a statistical block number,

- $A = \text{area (km^2) of each statistical block}$,
- \emptyset = catch per unit effort in metric tons per hour trawled within each statistical block.

The application of this procedure for walleye pollock involved some selection of catch-effort data from pair trawlers which they determined to be the most consistent gear type directed to pollock fishing activities in each statistical block. Statistical blocks used in the calculations were those where the daily pollock catch was greater than that of any other species. From these selected "pollock-majority" catch-effort data an ADI was computed for an area made up of several statistical blocks.

TOPICS OF ANALYSIS

Objectives of our study were to evaluate the data base and procedures used for calculating ADIs for pollock. Specifically, we chose four topics to:

- determine if bias towards high or low catches was introduced in the data base by subsampling of daily data records during 1964-72;
- conduct variability studies in pair trawl data used for ADI and CPUE computations;
- compare pollock ADIs calculated from all-data and pollock-majority-data; and
- 4) identify area-time cells for more accurate assessment of pollock abundance.

⁴Fishery Agency of Japan. 1974. Pollock stocks in the eastern Bering Sea. Unpubl. manuscr., 38 p. Far Seas Fish. Res. Lab., 1000 Orido, Shimizu 424, Japan.



Figure 1.-Map of the Bering Sea showing statistical grids used in the Japanese groundfish data base.

EXAMINATION FOR BIAS IN THE 1964-72 DATA BASE

Since the data base for 1964-72 included only a third of the daily catch-effort records, we wanted to determine whether the partial data base is biased towards high or low catch levels by the subsampling procedures used. It was necessary to examine this question indirectly by using the complete 1973-76 data base because the missing records in the earlier 1964-72 data could not be easily restored. First we subsampled the 1973-76 data on pair trawlers in the same manner in which the 1964-72 data was created so as to create an equivalent file of one-third the daily fishing records. Pair trawl data were used because we determined that the vessels consistently accounted for the largest proportion (38%) of the walleye pollock caught, and should be most representative of pollock fishing activities (Table 2). As a result of this subsampling, two data files were created: a one-third pair trawl file and an all pair trawl file.

We compared these two files by testing if their monthly mean catch and effort data were statistically the same. This was achieved by t-tests of two means when their variances were unknown (Snedecor and Cochran 1967). The tests showed that the null hypothesis (that mean catch and effort of both data files were the same) was not rejected at the 5% level in all cases. Based on these tests, we concluded that subsampling of the 1964-72 data base probably resulted in a random sample of the population and was not biased towards high nor low catches.

Table	2.—P	Percenta	age	of	walley	e pollock	catches	rela	ative	to	total
catche	a acc	ounted	for	by	major	Japanese	vessel-g	ear	categ	çori	es in
the east	stern	Bering	Sea	a, 1	973-76.						

	1973	1974	1975	1976
Type 1-Pair trawlers,				
120-219 GRT	35	37	35	36
Type 2—All pair trawlers	39	37	38	37
Type 3—Stern trawlers,				
<300 GRT	4	5	7	6
Type 4—Factory trawlers,				
1,500-3,500 GRT	9	10	10	9
Type 5—Factory trawlers,				
>3,500 GRT	28	26	27	28

¹GRT = gross registered tons.

Source: Fishery Agency of Japan.

VARIABILITY STUDIES ON PAIR TRAWL DATA

Because the data records of Japanese groundfish catch-effort statistics that were available through INPFC were summaries of many daily trawls, variances about these statistics cannot be estimated. Therefore, we estimated variances of the fundamental daily catch, effort, and CPUE data for statistical interpretation of CPUE values. We selected the 120-219 GRT class of pair trawlers attached to the Japanese surimi mothership fishery for the analysis because these trawlers were probably the most representative vessels used in the fishery for monitoring pollock CPUE trends (Table 2).

The analysis by monthly and annual periods shows

that standard deviations of mean catch, effort, and CPUE values decreased substantially after 1973 when the number of daily catch-effort records (sample size) were increased from earlier years (Table 3). Variances about the monthly and annual CPUE values calculated from daily catch-effort records were small after 1965. Coefficient of variation (CV) for the 1964 and 1965 data was 19% and 9%, when the sample sizes were small (Table 4). When the sample size approached 300 (1966-69), CV generally was below 4%. As the sample size increased and approached 5,000 (1970-76) the CV decreased to the 1-2% range.

A comparison of annual mean CPUEs at the 95% confidence level shows that pollock CPUE increased significantly each year from 1963 to 1969, followed by a significant decrease from 1969 to 1971 (Table 4). Another

Table 3.—Statistics on variability studies on catch-effort data for pair trawlers of the 120-219 gross registered ton class in the Japanese surimi (a minced fish product) mothership fishery in the eastern Bering Sea, 1964-76.

Year	Month	Sample size ¹	Mean catch ²	Standard deviation	Mean effort ³	Standard deviation	Mean catch per effort ⁴	Standard deviation
1064	5	7	240	51	38 7	2.0	6.32	1.41
1904	6	6	195	31	45.8	6.4	4 20	45
	7	6	475	118	33.3	2.0	13.79	2.67
	8	4	82	64	35.5	9.0	4.13	3.20
	9	7	0	0	29.1	2.5	.00	.00
Annual		30	201	40	36.4	2.0	5.62	1.11
1965	5	14	195	24	14.9	1.8	14.05	1.90
	6	6	156	32	16.7	1.1	9.76	2.19
	7	6	265	19	20.0	1.3	13.47	1.20
	8	10	284	15	20.2	.6	14.22	.88
	9	12	40	6	14.4	.8	2.78	.32
Annual		48	178	16	16.7	.7	10.66	.94
1966	5	20	198	11	23.4	.4	8.59	.57
	6	16	183	17	23.6	.4	7.86	.83
	7	20	168	13	24.0	.0	7.02	.54
	8	20	153	17	23.1	.6	7.04	.96
	9	16	84	12	22.9	1.8	3.74	.50
Annual		92	159	7	23.4	.4	6.94	.35
1967	3	30	319	30	41.8	1.6	7.77	.70
	4	30	540	42	24.9	1.6	23.66	2.22
	5	46	340	30	43.9	2.4	7.87	.49
	6	50	347	32	43.9	2.6	7.66	.57
	7	55	583	47	48.3	3.0	11.96	.50
	8	50	674	57	44.1	2.9	14.35	.49
	9	44	475	52	37.1	2.9	12.76	.92
Annual		305	477	18	41.7	1.1	11.88	.41
1968	3	36	831	56	30.5	1.7	30.90	2.83
	4	50	644	41	34.5	1.3	20.47	1.75
	5	78	692	36	35.9	1.6	20.08	.94
	6	80	743	48	40.0	1.8	19.74	1.29
	7	82	705	38	28.2	1.3	26.90	1.49
	8	83	798	47	34.8	1.7	20.71	1.14
	9	74	640	40	32.7	1.0	19.01	9.99
Annual	10	15 498	649 723	103	35.7	4.0	22.80	.53
1060	2	30	9.17	67	13.8	9	80.89	7.55
1303	0	55	807	57	26.7	1.7	37.74	3.37
	5	75	594	41	38.2	2.1	18.85	1.65
	6	79	565	53	47.2	1.7	11.41	.91
	7	88	848	50	45.3	1.9	18.64	.86
	8	78	976	60	26.0	1.6	41.33	2.25
	9	61	980	67	31.7	2.1	33.45	1.66
Annual	v	475	802	22	34.8	.8	30.42	1.24

Table 3.-Continued.

Voar	Month	Sample	Mean	Standard	Mean	Standard	Mean catch per	Standard
1070	2	00	1 205	70	05.7	1.4	Cr op	
1970	4	110	1,335	79 51	25.7	1.4	64.23 24.73	5.36 1.40
	5	160	537	34	42.2	1.5	13 74	83
	6	202	851	35	44.3	1.5	20.26	.63
	7	205	1,070	41	37.2	1.5	32.52	.97
	8	199	909	41	42.3	1.4	22.74	.77
	9	181	898	41	38.0	1.3	23.99	.71
	10	24	257	32	23.6	.8	10.89	1.26
Annual		1,149	879	17	39.3	.6	25.39	.56
1971	3	113	1,121	56	29.1	1.3	43.46	2.43
	4	157	1,375	53	38.0	1.3	45.20	2.84
	5	249	782	29	46.5	1.2	21.07	1.21
	6 7	252	1005	24	55.5	1.1	14.39	.52
	8	211	1,005	20	04.7 54.9	1.1	19.54	.13
	0	203	1,200	30	04.2 49.6	1.2	24.09	.60
	10	18	531	60	22.2	1.3	23.30	.07 9.41
Annual	10	1,542	1,028	14	48.8	.5	23.03	.50
1972	3	125	1.442	68	25.5	1.0	61.13	2.87
	4	169	1,480	56	26.9	1.0	67.20	3.14
	5	329	713	25	46.3	1.0	16.29	.56
	6	329	725	27	45.8	1.1	16.87	.64
	7	342	1,241	33	45.2	1.1	30.26	.95
	8	321	1,331	42	43.0	1.1	34.26	1.06
	9	262	1,020	37	39.6	1.2	26.75	.64
Annual		1,877	1,078	16	41.4	.5	31.04	.59
1973	3	417	973	26	39.3	.5	26.13	.74
	4	555	985	20	51.9	.4	19.51	.42
	5	712	617	15	55.4	.7	11.60	.36
	6	920	729	20	51.7	.7	14.00	.34
	7	981	1,259	20	47.6	.6	28.35	.38
	8	964	1,088	16	44.7	.6	27.65	.44
Annual	9	5.353	968	18	43.2 48.0	.8	28.00 22.39	.49 19
1974	А	590	1.013	19	38.6	.0	27.89	60
1014	5	772	608	10	48.5	.5	12 99	.00
	6	1.046	727	10	45.8	.0	17.28	.20
	7	1.083	840	12	48.9	.6	18.85	.27
	8	1,068	853	12	50.7	.7	18.32	.22
	9	981	983	16	44.8	.7	24.55	.38
	10	20	655	36	43.4	1.7	15.76	1.27
Annual		5,560	832	6	46.8	.3	19.59	.14
1975	3	3	763	281	29.7	2.9	26.30	9.14
	4	391	634	15	43.8	.6	14.86	.34
	5	790	525	9	45.0	.5	11.78	.18
	6	1,019	614	8	45.9	.5	14.02	.17
	7	1,048	772	10	50.7	.6	16.88	.26
	8	1,034	798	9	45.1	.6	20.64	.41
	10	870	/b/ 615	15	41.7	.5	18.24	.30
Annual	10	5.310	615	4	43.5 45.6	.9	14.83	.48 12
1976	.1	267	486	1.4	47.7	5	10.74	26
1010	5	737	446	24	54.7	.0	8 27	.30
	6	840	678	7	53.3	.4	13.94	.10
	7	867	864	8	49.0	.0	19.14	26
	8	824	947	10	43.8	.5	24.54	46
	9	743	756	9	37.5	.5	22.38	.36
	10	545	772	11	42.6	.5	19.10	.32
Annual		4.823	734	4	47.2	2	17.54	15

¹Sample size is number of daily catch-effort records. ²Catch in 0.1 metric tons. ³Effort in 10-min trawling. ⁴Catch per unit effort in metric tons per 100-min trawling.

Table 4.—Statistics on variability studies on mean annual catch per unit effort (CPUE) of walleye pollock by pair trawlers of the 120-219 gross registered tons class in the Japanese surimi (a minced fish product) mothership fishery in the eastern Bering Sea, 1964-76.

Year	Mean annual CPUE (t/h)	±95°č confidence level	Coefficient of variation (%)	Sample size (no.)
1964	3.37	1.31	19	30
1965	6.40	1.11	9	48
1966	4.16	0.41	5	92
1967	7.13	0.48	3	305
1968	13.68	0.63	2	498
1969	18.25	1.46	4	475
1970	15.23	0.66	2	1,149
1971	14.85	0.59	2	1,542
1972	18.62	0.69	2	1,877
1973	13.43	0.22	1	5,353
1974	11.75	0.17	1	5,560
1975	9.80	0.14	1	5,310
1976	10.52	0.17	1	4,823

significant increase occurred from 1971 to 1972. Thereafter, CPUE declined significantly from 1972 to 1975.

COMPARISON OF ADIS FROM ALL-DATA VERSUS POLLOCK-MAJORITY-DATA

The manner in which data were selected for ADI computations by the Japanese scientists and for CPUE computations by the U.S. scientists was different. Japanese scientists used data from those trawls that presumably targeted on walleye pollock, which were determined as those in which the catch of pollock was greater than that of any other species (pollock-majority-data). U.S. scientists used data from all trawls because pollock was the target species almost all the time.

Either procedure can produce biased indices of abundance. Abundance may be overestimated by the exclusion of catch-effort data when the proportion of pollock in catches was low. On the other hand, it may be underestimated when data were included from trawls that were not fishing pollock.

In order to compare the two procedures, we calculated ADIs for 1973-76 using all-data and pollock-majority-

data. These calculations were based on data summarized by major statistical blocks (MBLs, Fig. 1) and months (MBL-months) from five vessel class-gear categories known to fish mainly for pollock in the surimi fishery (Table 2). These vessel-gear types were:

- Type 1—Pair trawlers, 120-219 GRT from the mothership fishery.
- Type 2—All pair trawlers combined from the mothership fishery.
- Type 3—Stern trawlers smaller than 300 GRT from the mothership fishery.
- Type 4—Factory stern trawlers of the 1,500-3,500 GRT class.
- Type 5—Factory stern trawlers larger than 3,500 GRT.

Our calculations showed that differences in ADIs by the two procedures were generally small when they occurred and that ADIs were higher for pollock-majoritydata (Table 5). Comparison of monthly ADIs (Table 6) shows that: 1) in over 80% of the observed number of

Table 5.—Average density indices, in metric tons per hour trawled, for all-data versus walleye pollock-majority-data by vessel-gear categories in the eastern Bering Sea, 1973-76.

		Vessel	l-gear cate	gory	
	Type 1	Type 2	Type 3	Type 4	Type 5
1973					
All-data	11.0	10.8	7.6	8.1	10.9
Pollock-majority-data	11.3	11.1	7.6	8.2	10.8
1974					
All-data	10.1	10.0	7.0	6.4	8.4
Pollock-majority-data	10.2	10.0	7.1	6.5	8.5
1975					
All-data	9.3	9.2	5.9	5.8	7.1
Pollock-majority-data	9.3	9.2	5.9	5.8	7.1
1976					
All-data	10.5	10.4	6.6	5.6	8.0
Pollock-majority-data	10.6	10.5	6.6	5.6	8.0

¹Type 1—Surimi (a minced fish product) mothership, pair trawlers, 120-219 gross registered tons (GRT).

Type 2-Surimi mothership, all pair trawlers.

Type 3-Surimi mothership, stern trawlers, <300 GRT.

Type 4-Surimi factory stern trawlers, 1,500-3,500 GRT.

Type 5-Surimi factory stern trawlers, >3,500 GRT.

Table 6.—Number of major statistical block months in which average density indices computed from all-data versus walleye pollock-majority data in the eastern Bering Sea were the same, differed by 0.1, or differed by more than 0.1 metric ton per hour trawled.

Vessel																
class-gear	19	73 diffe	renc	es	19	74 diffe	erenc	es	19	75 diffe	renc	es	197	76 diffe	rence	es
type ¹	Total	None	0.1	>0.1	Total	None	0.1	>0.1	Total	None	0.1	>0.1	Total	None	0.1	> 0.1
1	29	21	3	5	30	24	4	2	31	31	0	0	31	28	0	3
2	29	22	2	5	30	23	5	2	31	30	1	0	31	28	0	3
3	25	24	1	0	33	21	5	7	37	34	1	2	41	40	1	0
4	57	49	3	5	52	48	0	4	47	46	0	1	52	50	1	1
5	65	56	5	-4	64	51	4	9	59	52	4	3	60	56	1	3

Type 1-Surimi (a minced fish product) mothership, pair trawlers, 120-219 gross registered tons (GRT).

Type 2-Surimi mothership, all pair trawlers.

Type 3-Surimi mothership, stern trawlers, <300 GRT.

Type 4-Surimi factory stern trawlers, 1,500-3,500 GRT.

Type 5-Surimi factory stern trawlers, >3,500 GRT.

MBL-months, the two sets of ADIs were similar and 2) the frequency and magnitute of observed differences were fewer and lower in the most recent years. We found that differences occurred most frequently in the south-eastern Bering Sea (MBLs 21 and 22) during March-June for pair trawlers and in the central and northern Bering Sea (MBLs 32, 33, 43, and 44) during December-March for factory trawlers.

These differences occurred in times and areas where species other than pollock were caught in large quantities. As the proportion of species other than pollock increased in the catch, differences became greater. The differences, however, were not significant although exclusion of data from poor pollock catches by one criterion resulted in ADIs that were on the high side and inclusion of all data by the other resulted in lower ADIs. Since we cannot distinguish which ADI was truly indicative of pollock abundance and not biased by changed in species composition, it was necessary to define index area-time cells which more accurately reflect trends in abundance.

INDEX AREA-TIME CELLS

Based on distributional pattern of pollock and its life history features (Pereyra et al. 1976 see footnote 3) we identified four area-time cells (Fig. 2) which consistently accounted for high proportions (75% or more) of pollock in the catch for ADI computations.

- Area-time cell I MBLs 21 and 22, March-May, which represents the main spawning area during the spawning period.
- Area-time cell II MBLs 21 and 22, July-September, which represents the main spawning area during a feeding period.



Figure 2.—Four area-time cells used for calculating average density indices for walleye polloek in the eastern Bering Sea.

- Area-time cell III MBLs 32 and 33, April-July, which represent the transitional area (between spawning and feeding grounds) during a transitional period (between spawning and feeding periods).
- Area-time cell IV MBLs 43 and 44, June-September, which represents the northern feeding area during a feeding period.

Each area-time cell was selected to delineate a unique phase of the distribution of the pollock population during the year. From catch distribution patterns, we also determined that these phases of distribution were usually repeated during the same area-time cell each year, thereby providing comparability of ADIs from year to year.

After the selection of these four area-time cells, ADIs were computed for all vessel-gear categories except Type 2, which was already considered in Type 1. The computations were made using all-data as opposed to pollock-majority-data within each cell because their results were not expected to be significantly different. The equation used to determine these ADIs was:

$$ADI_{ag} = \binom{n_a \Sigma}{\alpha \alpha \alpha} (A_{ag} \times \emptyset_{ag})) / \binom{n_a \Sigma}{\alpha \alpha \alpha \alpha} A_{ag})$$

where a = 1,2,3,4 index area-time cells

g = 1,2,3,4 vessel-gear types

 $i = 1, 2, \ldots n$ statistical block number

- n_a = number of statistical blocks selected for computation in index area-time cell a
- \mathcal{Q}_{uag} = catch per unit effort (metric tons per hour trawled) by gear-vessel type g in statistical block i within index area-time cell a
- A_{iag} = area (km²) covered by vessel-gear type g which is made up of statistical blocks *i* within area-time cell *a*.

The results shown in Table 7 are ADIs calculated by the above equation from monthly data by vessel-gear type within each cell. A summarization of these monthly ADIs by cell and gear type is presented in Table 8. In this table, ADIs were weighted by the magnitude of catches and then expressed in percentages relative to 1976 pair trawl ADIs.

In the interpretation of ADI trends within area-time cells we also considered changes to the accustomed fishing pattern due to factors such as fishing regulations. Area-time cell I probably would not provide a good indicator of pollock abundance for 1973-76 because that cell was partially closed to trawling during some years (INPFC 1979). Time-area closures have not been a factor in the other three cells (II-IV) and fishing patterns did not change significantly during 1973-76. Thus, ADIs were better indicators of stock abundance in these latter three cells than in cell I.

The trend in ADIs shows that relative pollock abundance declined from 1973 or 1974 to 1976 in all cells (Table 9). Most of the decline occurred between 1973 and 1974, and from 1974 to 1976 relative abundance remained fairly stable.

TRENDS IN WALLEYE POLLOCK ABUNDANCE

Since we analyzed the 1964-76 data base at the working group meeting in 1977, we have updated the analyses on annual CPUE and ADI trends through 1978 as shown in Figure 3. They are trends of pair trawl data from the surimi mothership fishery. From 1964 to the early 1970s, there was a general increase in the CPUE and ADI trends which resulted from increased pollock abundance and fishing power of vessels. Catch composition and trends (Forrester et al. 1978) showed that pollock became increasingly abundant as the fishery progressed in the 1960s. At the same time, there must have been considerable learning experiences by fishermen which contributed to higher CPUEs as noted by Low (1974). Technological changes in the fishing fleet also took place, which no doubt increased the size and overall efficiency of fishing vessels. Effects of these physical changes on CPUE and ADI trends were probably held rather constant by selecting data from our five standard vessel class categories for computations. However, we cannot separate the effect of increased fishing power of vessels from the effect of increased pollock abundance in CPUE and ADI trends from 1964 to the early 1970s.

For 2-3 yr during the early 1970s, abundance of pollock appeared to be at peak levels. By this time most of the technological changes and human learning factors that contributed to increased fishing power have probably stablized. Beginning in 1972, both CPUE and ADI trends decreased but stabilized during 1975-78 at an intermediate level when 1.1 million t of pollock were harvested annually.

SUMMARY

The data base and an average density index (ADI) procedure for assessing abundance of walleye pollock in the eastern Bering Sea were evaluated. This data base was made up of daily catch-effort records of individual fishing vessels in the Japanese groundfish fishery. For the period 1964 through October 1972, the data base consisted of a third of the total daily catch-effort records. Thereafter, all daily records were included. Based on pair trawl data from the 120-219 gross registered ton class of vessels in the surimi mothership fishery, it was determined that one-third of the records provided a statistically representative sample of the entire data base. It was further determined that monthly summaries of daily catch-effort records that have been submitted by Japan to INPFC and used by U.S. scientists for assessing abundance of walleye pollock were not significantly different from daily records used by the Fisheries Agency of Japan in their assessments.

Cell Mar. Apr. May Annual Mar. Apr. May Annual Mar. Apr. May May <th>Area-time cells</th> <th>Sur</th> <th>imi mot (12</th> <th>thership 0-219 G</th> <th>pair tre RT)¹</th> <th>awlers</th> <th>Suri</th> <th>mi moth (</th> <th>iership s 300 GRJ</th> <th>tern tra</th> <th>wlers</th> <th>202</th> <th>urimi f (1,5</th> <th>actory si 00-3,500</th> <th>tern trav (GRT)</th> <th>wlers</th> <th>š</th> <th>urimi fa (></th> <th>ctory ste 3,500 G</th> <th>rn traw RT)</th> <th>lers</th>	Area-time cells	Sur	imi mot (12	thership 0-219 G	pair tre RT) ¹	awlers	Suri	mi moth (iership s 300 GRJ	tern tra	wlers	202	urimi f (1,5	actory si 00-3,500	tern trav (GRT)	wlers	š	urimi fa (>	ctory ste 3,500 G	rn traw RT)	lers
	Cell I	Mar.	Anr	Mav		Annual	Mar.	Apr.	Mav		Annual	Mar.	Apr.	May		Annual	Mar.	Apr.	May		Annual
	1973	14.1	10.8	5.5		9.6	6.6	7.3	2.5		6.6	6.7	7.6	5.7		7.0	11.0	8.9	7.2		9.7
	1974	1	12.9	7.2		6.6	9.1	10.0	Ι		9.7	5.5	6.7	6.1		6.4	7.6	6.1	4.7		6.2
	1975	1	8.5	6.8		7.5	7.6	4.3	3.1		4.2	6.8	4.9	2.7		4.8	9.1	6.4	4.6		5.9
Cell II Juy Aug. Sept. Annual July Annual	1976		6.4	3.3		4.5	I	4.5	2.7		3.4	5.3	3.4	3.3		3.9	6.5	5.9	3.9		5.2
	Cell II	July	Aug.	Sept.		Annual	July	Aug.	Sept.		Annual	July	Aug.	Sept.		Annual	July	Aug.	Sept.		Annual
	1973		1	• }		ļ	1	1	1		i	l	ļ	I			43.1	1	[43.1
	1974		11.8	13.4		12.8	7.2	6.3	6.8		6.6	8.7	5.5	8.4		7.5	11.5	10.8	9.6		10.5
	1975	9.8	9.6	12.8		10.9	9.3	5.8	8.8		7.8	1.7	6.6	8.7		7.6	9.0	8.9	12.8		10.1
Cell IIApr.MayJune <th< td=""><td>1976</td><td>10.9</td><td>17.8</td><td>13.9</td><td></td><td>13.9</td><td>10.2</td><td>12.7</td><td>6.4</td><td></td><td>9.8</td><td>6.5</td><td>6.2</td><td>+. +</td><td></td><td>5.9</td><td>L.T</td><td>7.7</td><td>8.8</td><td></td><td>8.0</td></th<>	1976	10.9	17.8	13.9		13.9	10.2	12.7	6.4		9.8	6.5	6.2	+. +		5.9	L.T	7.7	8.8		8.0
	Cell III	Apr.	May	June	July	Annual	Apr.	May	June	July	Annual	Apr.	May	·lune	July	Annual	Apr.	May	June	July	Annual
	1973	7.0	7.7	10.6	16.7	10.8	8.3	9.7	2.7	12.9	8.2	10.0	6.6	7.6	11.1	0.6	10.6	7.3	7.6	19.8	10.7
	1974	7.3	7.4	8.2	10.9	8.8	7.8	5.4	6.7	7.3	6.9	6.8	6.5	5.2	7.8	6.6	7.2	4.1	5.5	10.6	7.3
	1975	5.6	7.6	7.8	9.0	8.0	7.3	2.5	2.4	6.4	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.2	7.1	5.3	6.7	5.3	4.3	8.5	6.3
Cell IVJune <t< td=""><td>1976</td><td>5.7</td><td>5.6</td><td>8.4</td><td>10.7</td><td>8.6</td><td>4.9</td><td>3.9</td><td>7.2</td><td>6.4</td><td>5.8</td><td>4.7</td><td>3.3</td><td>3.5</td><td>6.8</td><td>4.8</td><td>5.0</td><td>4.7</td><td>7.5</td><td>7.0</td><td>6.2</td></t<>	1976	5.7	5.6	8.4	10.7	8.6	4.9	3.9	7.2	6.4	5.8	4.7	3.3	3.5	6.8	4.8	5.0	4.7	7.5	7.0	6.2
	Cell IV	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Annual	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Annual	June	yluly	Aug.	Sept.	Annual	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Annual
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1973	14.1	14.9	15.2	15.1	15.1	7,3	10.2	6.8	6.0	7.6	5.6	9.1	10.0	6.4	8.2	8.7	15.1	13.8	8.2	11.5
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1974	9.9	8.6	9.2	11.9	10.0	5.8	6.2			6.0	3.5	3.9	5.0		4.0	5.0	4.5	9.6	13.1	7.7
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1975	8.7	8.3	11.8	9.8	9.6	5.0	5.1	ł	4.0	4.7	4,3	4.3	12.6		4.8	1.1	9.7	12.3	5.3	6.2
Summary 1973 AnnualAnnualAnnualAnnual 1973 11.0 7.6 8.1 10.7 1974 10.1 7.0 6.4 8.4 1975 9.3 5.9 5.8 7.1 1976 10.5 6.6 5.6 8.0	1976	6.2	8.7	13.3	11.6	10.9	5.1	4.1	3.7	5.7	4.5	7.5	9.0	5.8	15.6	10.0	7.3	6.8	I	8.9	8.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Summary					Annual					Annual					Annual					Annual
1974 10.1 7.0 6.4 8.4 1975 9.3 5.9 5.8 7.1 1976 10.5 6.6 5.6 8.0	1973					11.0					7.6					8.1					10.7
1975 9.3 5.9 5.8 7.1 1976 10.5 6.6 5.6 8.0	1974					10.1					7.0					6.4					8.4
1976 6.6 5.6 8.0 8.0	1975					9.3					5.9					5.8					7.1
	1976					10.5					6.6					5.6					8.0

Area-	Mothership pair trawlers (120-219 GRT) ¹			Mothership stern trawlers (<300 GRT)			Factory stern trawlers (1,500-3,500 GRT)			Factory stern trawlers (>3,500 GRT)			Gear summary	
time cell	Catch (1,000 t)	AD1	Relative ADl	Catch (1,000 t)	ADI	Relative ADI	Catch (1,000 t)	ADI	Relative ADI_	Catch (1,000 t)	ADI	Relative ADI	Relative ADl	Standard ADI ²
Cell 1														
1973	124	9.8	218	19	6.6	194	17	7.0	179	41	9.7	107	204	9.2
1974	88	9.9	220	5	9.7	285	4	6.4	164	21	6.2	119	202	9.1
1975	19	7.5	167	7	4.2	124	4	4.8	123	16	5.9	113	147	4.4
1976	23	4.5	100	6	3.4	100	6	3.9	100	27	5.2	100	100	4.5
Cell II														
1974	31	12.8	92	27	6.6	67	39	7.5	127	87	10.5	131	114	15.8
1975	78	10.9	78	39	7.8	80	33	7.6	129	73	10.1	126	102	14.2
1976	98	13.9	100	38	9.8	100	26	5.9	100	70	8.0	100	100	13.9
Cell III														
1973	112	10.8	124	14	8.2	141	0	9.0	180	36	10.7	173	140	12.0
1974	126	8.8	107	17	6.9	119	12	6.6	138	28	7.3	118	108	9.3
1975	152	8.0	93	9	4.2	72	14	5.3	110	38	6.3	102	95	8.2
1976	105	8.6	100	18	5.8	100	11	4.8	100	30	6.2	100	100	8.6
Cell IV														
1973	258	15.1	139	61	7.6	169	41	8.2	82	170	11.5	140	140	15.3
1974	181	10.0	92	10	6.0	133	8	4.0	40	36	-7.7	94	92	10.0
1975	56	9.6	88	10	4.7	104	3	4.8	48	8	6.2	76	87	9.5
1976	69	10.9	100	4	4.5	100	1	10.0	100	8	8.2	100	100	10.9
Cell sui	nmary													
1973	517	11.0	105	120	7.6	115	122	8.1	145	420	10.7	134	121	12.7
1974	462	10.1	96	104	7.0	106	122	6.4	114	335	8.4	105	102	10.7
1975	369	9.3	89	105	5.9	89	112	5.8	104	277	7.1	89	91	9.6
1976	354	10.5	100	99	6.6	100	89	5.6	100	263	8.0	100	100	10.5

Table 8.—Annual average density indices (ADIs; in metric tons per hour trawled) and relative ADIs (as percentage of 1976 ADI) for walleye pollock in the eastern Bering Sea by area-time cells (see Figure 2 and text) and vessel-gear categories, 1973-76.

GRT is gross registered tons.

1974

1975

1976

Standardized to mothership pair trawler ADI units.

184

223

232

114

102

100

183

213

164

trawled) for walleye pollock in the eastern Bering Sea computed from ADIs in area-time cells which were weighted by catches, 1973-76. Feeding season, Transitional season, Feeding season, southern area transitional area northern area (Area-time cell III) (Area-time cell II) (Area-time cell IV) Summary Catch Relative Catch Relative Catch Relative Catch Relative (1,000 t)ADĪ (1,000 t)(1,000 t)ADI Year (1,000 t)ADI ADI 140 140 700 170 140 530 1973 0

108

95

100

235

77

82

92

87

100

602

513

478

104

97

100

Table 9- Overall average density indices (ADIs; as percent of 1976 metric tons per hour

20 200 150 15 CPUE (metric tons per hour) CPUE 100 9 50 0 1972 1974 1976 1978 1966 1968 1970 1964

Variances about the monthly and annual means of catch, effort, and catch per unit effort (CPUE) calculated from the daily records were small for most years. In 1964 and 1965 when the number of daily records was small, the coefficients of variation were 19% and 9%. As the annual sample size of daily records approached 300, coefficients of variation for CPUE generally declined to below 4%, which was about the level for the data from 1966 to 1969. As the fishery expanded after 1969 and the number of daily fishing records approached 5,000; coefficients of variation were reduced to between 1% and 2%.

Figure 3.—Trends in catch per unit effort (CPUE) and average density index (ADI) for walleye pollock in the eastern Bering Sea, 1964-78.

Catch-effort records of two types have been used for calculating ADIs: 1) records where walleye pollock were the most abundant species in the catch which have been defined as "pollock-majority-data" and 2) all-data records. Based on records from five vessels class-gear types, it was determined that ADIs resulting from the two procedures were statistically the same in 80% of the cases studied because of high proportion of pollock in catches. However, in certain area-time cells where other species formed a relatively high proportion of the catch, the two procedures resulted in lower ADIs. Therefore, use of data only from pollock-majority-data may cause abundance to be overestimated while use of all-data may cause it to be underestimated because some of the effort may have been directed to another species. Thus, ADIs calculated by either method may be biased towards the high or low side and may not be directly comparable from year to year.

In an attempt to reduce such bias in ADIs, four areatime cells were identified as potential index areas for abundance computations. Three of these four cells turned out to be good index areas for pollock. The ADI procedure used thus resulted in an extension to the original ADI procedure by Doi et al. (1972) to include: 1) selection of vessel-gear categories that were most representative of pollock fishing activities and 2) selection of area-time cells that provided good indexing areas.

Since then, the study has been updated to include data analyses from 1964 to 1978. Trends in CPUE and ADI show that from 1964 to the early 1970s, there was a general increase in the abundance of pollock. This trend was the result of increased pollock abundance and fishing power of vessels. However, we cannot determine the separate effect of these two factors. For 2-3 yr during the early 1970s, abundance of pollock appeared to be at peak levels. Beginning in 1972, both CPUE and ADI trends decreased but stabilized during 1975-78 at an intermediate level when 1.1 million t of walleye pollock were harvested annually.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The International North Pacific Fisheries Commission and the Fisheries Agency of Japan were instrumental in organizing the working group study which resulted in this report. We wish to thank Yoshiya Takahashi, Takashi Sasaki, Koya Mimura, Koji Imamura, and Yasuho Tadokoro for their assistance and advice during the workshop.

LITERATURE CITED

BAKKALA, R., W. HIRSCHBERGER, and K. KING.

- In press. The groundfish resources of the eastern Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Region. Mar. Fish. Rev.
- DOI, T., K. Y. MUN, K. TAKAÔ, K. ISHIOKA, and K. OKADA. 1972. Stock assessment of the Kuruma-prawn *Penaeus japonicus* Bate in Hiuti-Nada Area of the Seto Inland Sea. [In Jpn., Engl. abstr.] Bull. Tokai Reg. Fish. Res. Lab. 69:45-54.

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION. 1976. Monitoring of fish stock abundance: The use of catch and ef-

- fort data. FAO Fish. Tech. Rep. 155, 101 p.
- FORRESTER, C. R., A. J. BEARDSLEY, and Y. TAKAHASHI. 1978. Groundfish, shrimp, and herring fisheries in the Bering Sea and Northeast Pacific—Historical catch statistics through 1970. Int, North Pac, Fish. Comm. Bull. 37, 147 p.
- INTERNATIONAL NORTH PACIFIC FISHERIES COMMISSION. 1979. Annual report 1976. 115 p.

LOW, L. L.

1974. A study of four major groundfish fisheries of the Bering Sea. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Washington, Seattle, 256 p.

SNEDECOR, G. W., and W. G. COCHRAN.

^{1967.} Statistical methods. 6th ed. The Iowa State Coll. Press, Ames, Iowa, 593 p.

NOAA TECHNICAL REPORTS NMFS CIRCULAR AND SPECIAL SCIENTIFIC REPORT—FISHERIES GUIDELINES FOR CONTRIBUTORS

CONTENTS OF MANUSCRIPT

First page. Give the title (as concise as possible) of the paper and the author's name, and footnote the author's affiliation, mailing address, and ZIP code.

Contents. Contains the text headings and abbreviated figure legends and table headings. Dots should follow each entry and page numbers should be omitted.

Abstract. Not to exceed one double-spaced page. Footnotes and literature citations do not belong in the abstract.

Text. See also Form of the Manuscript below. Follow the U.S. Government Printing Office Style Manual, 1973 edition. Fish names, follow the American Fisheries Society Special Publication No. 6, A List of Common and Scientific Names of Fishes from the United States and Canada, third edition, 1970. Use short, brief, informative headings in place of "Materials and Methods."

Text footnotes. Type on a separate sheet from the text. For unpublished or some processed material, give author, year, title of manuscript, number of pages, and where it is filed—agency and its location.

Personal communications. Cite name in text and footnote. Cite in footnote: John J. Jones, Fishery Biologist, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, CA 92037, pers. commun. 21 May 1977.

Figures. Should be self-explanatory, not requiring reference to the text. All figures should be cited consecutively in the text and their placement indicated in the left-hand margin of the manuscript. Photographs and line drawings should be of "professional" quality—clear and balanced, and can be reduced to $6^{1/2}$ inches (40 picas) for page width or to $3^{1/8}$ inches (19 picas) for single-column width, but no more than 9 inches (54 picas) high. Photos should be printed on glossy paper—sharply focussed, good contrast. Label each figure. List, and typed double spaced, each figure legend. DO NOT SEND original figures to the Scientific Editor; NMFS Scientific Publications Office will request these if they are needed.

Tables. Each table should start on a separate page and should be self-explanatory, not requiring reference to the text. Headings should be short but amply descriptive. Use only horizontal rules. Number table footnotes consecutively across the page from left to right in Arabic numerals; and to avoid confusion with powers, place them to the *left* of the numerals. If the original tables are typed in our format and are clean and legible, these tables will be reproduced as they are. In the text all tables should be cited consecutively and their placement indicated in the left-hand margin of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments. Place at the end of text. Give credit only to those who gave exceptional contributions and *not* to those whose contributions are part of their normal duties.

Literature cited. In text as: Smith and Jones (1977) or (Smith and Jones 1977); if more than one author, list according to years (e.g., Smith 1936; Jones et al. 1975; Doe 1977). All papers referred to in the text should be listed alphabetically by the senior author's surname under the heading "Literature Cited"; only the author's surname and initials are required in the author line. The author is responsible for the accuracy of the literature citations. Abbreviations of names of periodicals and serials should conform to *Biological Abstracts List of Serials with Title Abbreviations*. Format, see recent SSRF or Circular.

Abbreviations and symbols. Common ones, such as mm, m, g, ml, mg, °C (for Celsius), c_c , γ_{**} , etc., should be used. Abbreviate units of measures only when used with numerals; periods are rarely used in these abbreviations. But periods are used in et al., vs., e.g., i.e., Wash. (WA is used only with ZIP code), etc. Abbreviations are acceptable in tables and figures where there is lack of space.

Measurements. Should be given in metric units. Other equivalent units may be given in parentheses.

FORM OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Original of the manuscript should be typed double-spaced on white hond paper. Triple space above headings. Send good duplicated copies of manuscript rather than carbon copies. The sequence of the material should be:

FIRST PAGE CONTENTS ABSTRACT TEXT LITERATURE CITED TEXT FOOTNOTES APPENDIX TABLES (each table should be numbered with an Arabic numeral and heading provided) LIST OF FIGURE LEGENDS (Entire figure legends, including "Figure" before each number) FIGURES

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Send ribbon copy and two duplicated copies of the manuscript to:

Dr. Jay C. Quast, Scientific Editor Northwest and Alaska Fisheries Center Auke Bay Laboratory National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA P.O. Box 155 Auke Bay, AK 99821

Copies. Fifty copies will be supplied to the senior author and 100 to his organization free of charge.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS STAFF 1700 WESTLAKE AVE. N., ROOM 336 SEATTLE, WA 98109 OFFICIAL BUSINESS



NOAA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration was established as part of the Department of Commerce on October 3, 1970. The mission responsibilities of NOAA are to assess the socioeconomic impact of natural and technological changes in the environment and to monitor and predict the state of the solid Earth, the oceans and their living resources, the atmosphere, and the space environment of the Earth

The major components of NOAA regularly produce various types of scientific and technical information in the following kinds of publications:

PROFESSION AL PAPERS — Important definitive research results, major techniques, and special investigations.

CONTRACT AND GRANT REPORTS — Reports prepared by contractors or grantees under NOAA sponsorship.

ATLAS — Presentation of analyzed data generally in the form of maps showing distribution of rainfall, chemical and physical conditions of oceans and atmosphere, distribution of fishes and marine mammals, ionospheric conditions, etc TECHNICAL SERVICE PUBLICATIONS — Reports containing data, observations, instructions, etc. A partial listing includes data serials; prediction and outlook periodicals; technical manuals, triaining papers, planning reports, and information serials; and miscellaneous technical publications.

TECHNICAL REPORTS -- Journal quality with extensive details, mathematical developments, or data listings.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS — Reports of preliminary, partial, or negative research or technology results, interim instructions, and the like.



Information on availability of NOAA publications can be obtained from:

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE INFORMATION CENTER (D822) ENVIRONMENTAL DATA AND INFORMATION SERVICE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

> 6009 Executive Boulevard Rockville, MD 20852