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#### Abstract

Breeding-season distribution and population growth rate of California sea lions (Zalophus californianus) in the U.S. population are estimated from counts of pups and non-pups collected during 1964 to 2014. Pup and non-pup count data were compiled from published and unpublished sources. These data showed that during this period the U.S. count of live-pups increased at an average annual rate of $4.7 \%$ per year ( $\mathrm{L} 95 \% \mathrm{CI}=4.2 \%$, U95\% CI=5.2\%). Average annual growth rates of live-pup counts at the four main island-rookeries in southern California (Santa Barbara Island, San Clemente Island, San Nicolas Island, and San Miguel Island; hence fore referred to as the Main Channel Islands) ranged from $4.2 \%$ to 5.5\% from 1964 to 2014. The Channel Islands count of non-pups (non-pup counts were unavailable for the entire U.S. population prior to 2003) increased at an average annual growth rate of $2.8 \%$ per year (L95\% CI=2.4\%, U95\% CI=3.4\%). San Nicolas Island and San Miguel Island were the largest rookeries in the U.S. population, both having the most pups and non-pups. Prior to 1990, 59.2\% of live pups counted in the Channel Islands were on San Miguel Island, and $32.4 \%$ were on San Nicolas Island. After 1990, these islands constituted $44.9 \%$ and $45.6 \%$ of Channel Island pups, respectively. California-wide surveys conducted during 2003-2005, 2007, and 2011-2013 indicated that the Main Channel Islands rookeries accounted for $99.71 \%$ of live pups counted in California and $77.35 \%$ of hauled-out non-pups in California during the breeding season. Sea lion counts were modeled (using generalized linear modeling) as a function of sea level height at Los Angeles, California (SLH-LA), Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), North Pacific Gyre Oscillation (NPGO), and Multivariate El Niño Index (MEI). This model indicated that more pups were produced during cold-water conditions and fewer pups were produced during warmwater conditions, and that fewer non-pups were present at southern California rookeries during warm-water conditions and more were present during cold-water conditions.


## INTRODUCTION

California sea lions (Zalophus californianus) are distributed from central Mexico to Alaska. The primary California sea lion (CSL) rookeries in the United States (U.S.) are located at the Channel Islands in southern California (Figure 1) and are separated by approximately 600 km from the primary rookeries of western Baja California, Mexico. The U.S. and Mexico populations are genetically distinct (Schramm et al., 2009). Although mixing of individuals occurs within their range, it is hypothesized that philopatric behavior, physical oceanography, and foraging behavior are responsible for creating five genetically distinct stocks of the species (Schramm et al., 2009). Schramm et al. (2009) called the U.S. population the Pacific Temperate population, but here CSLs found in the U.S. during the breeding season will be referred as the U.S. population.

The number of CSLs in southern California expands and contracts during various times of the year as individuals from Mexico enter and leave the area and as individuals from the Channel Islands in southern California migrate southward into Mexico or northward as far as British Columbia, Canada (Bartholomew, 1967; Mate, 1975; Bonnell et al., 1980, 1983; Bigg, 1988; Huber, 1991) and Alaska (Maniscalco et al., 2004). Sexually mature CSLs return to a rookery in the summer for pupping and breeding. Rookeries on four of the Channel Islands (Santa Barbara Island [SBI], San Clemente Island [SCI], San Nicolas Island [SNI], and San Miguel Island [SMI]; Figure 1) are the reproductive center of the U.S. population (Lowry and Maravilla-Chavez, 2005). Although a few births occur at Anacapa Island, Santa Catalina Island, Año Nuevo Island, and the Farallon Islands (Figure 1) or various other sites in central California (Pierotti, et al., 1977; Keith, et al., 1984; Lowry and Maravilla-Chavez, 2005), these sites did not qualify as rookeries previously because fewer than 50 pups were produced at those sites. Since 2007, the accepted definition of a pinniped rookery is one where $>50$ pups are born annually (Pitcher et al., 2007).

The CSL breeding season at rookeries in the U.S. begins in mid-to-late-May when fullterm pups are born, and sub-adult and adult males arrive at the rookery. Adult females are generally year-round residents at the rookeries. Juveniles are present at rookeries year round, as well as at other haulouts throughout California. The number of newborn pups and adult males present at southern California rookeries reaches maximum on or about July 2 (Heath and Francis, 1983, 1984; Stewart and Yochem, 1984, 1986). Soon after that date, the numbers of pups
decrease due to mortality and sub-adult and adult males begin to depart the rookery, leaving adult females at the rookery to forage in local waters and raise their pups. Many sub-adult males and adult males remain at the rookeries until late July, but most migrate north by early-to-midAugust.

Records of historic exploitation and distribution were compiled from the literature and personal communications by Helling (1984), Cass (1985), and Seagars et al. (1985). Extensive hunting of CSLs for their oil and hides took place in the middle 1800's resulting in population declines (Bonnot, 1928). Sea lions were protected by California laws passed in 1909 and 1927, but only in certain regions of the state (Bonnot, 1928). Until the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) became law in 1972, CSLs continued to be hunted for pet food, hides, trimmings, display (public and scientific), sport, and bounty; and also were killed to reduce fishery depredation and for target practice. It is assumed that when the MMPA was passed in 1972, the population size was below pre-exploitation levels, but to what extent cannot be determined from historical records.

The first documented counts of CSLs in California were made in 1927 and were continued intermittently until the mid-1970s, after which annual counts were made for most years (Bonnot, 1928, 1931, 1937, 1938; Bureau of Marine Fisheries, 1938; Bonnot and Ripley, 1948; Bartholomew and Boolootian, 1960; Ripley et al., 1962; Carlisle and Aplin, 1966, 1970, 1971; Frey and Aplin, 1966, 1970; Bonnell et al., 1980; Lowry and Maravilla-Chavez, 2005). Pups at all four major Channel Island rookeries were first counted during the breeding-season in 1964 (Odell, 1971). The counts were made by biologists on the ground or in small boats and from black-and-white or color photographs taken with small-format and large-format aerial cameras which were either hand-held for oblique photography or mounted vertically inside the aircraft (Table 1).

A complete census of CSLs using counts of hauled-out individuals is not possible because not all age classes are ashore at the same time. In the 1980's counts of pups became the principal age class used for monitoring the CSL population in the U.S. because it is the only age class available for a complete census (DeMaster et al., 1982; Boveng, 1988; Lowry et al., 1992; Lowry and Maravilla-Chavez, 2005). Newborn pup counts have been used to estimate total population size (using a life table model to extrapolate abundance for the other age classes) and to estimate population growth rates (Boveng, 1988; Lowry et al., 1992; Lowry and Maravilla-

Chavez, 2005). Although pups were sometimes surveyed in June before all were born, most surveys were conducted in July, and sometimes in August, after virtually all births had occurred. The slightly inconsistent timing of surveys adds measurement error to the time series in the sense that the fluctuations in the annual count data are in part due to variation in the survey timing rather than true variation in pup production.

In the 1980's ground counts of CSL pups was the primary method used for estimating pup abundance, but large groups of them could not be counted accurately and either a large workforce would be required or a long time-period would be needed to count them over a wide geographical area. In 1987, researchers at the Southwest Fisheries Science Center (SWFSC) developed aerial photographic survey methods using a 126 -mm-format surplus military recognizance camera mounted inside a low flying aircraft to census northern elephant seals (Mirounga angustirostris), Steller sea lions (Eumetopias jubatus), and CSLs (Lowry et al., 1996; Westlake et al., 1997; Lowry, 1999). Counts of CSL pups at San Nicolas Island during 19921994 from aerial color photographs taken with this camera were shown to be as accurate (and in some cases more accurate) than ground counts (Lowry, 1999). Subsequently, aerial photographic surveys became the primary method used to census CSLs and other pinniped species in the U.S.

Here, counts of CSLs in the U.S. population during the CSL breeding season are summarized for 1964 to 2014. Pup and non-pup count data from published sources were compiled with previously unpublished data collected by the NOAA Southwest Fisheries Science Center and Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC). A method is presented for correcting livepup counts that were made prior to the maximum or peak count to obtain annual pup estimates that are more comparable through time. This paper provides estimates of U.S. CSL population growth rates from counts of live pups and non-pups during 1964-2014 and describes geographical distribution of CSLs in California during the breeding season.

## METHODS

## Survey methods

CSL surveys were first designed to count every pup, and later included other age/sex classes present on land. Surveys were conducted primarily via ground counts through the mid-

1980s. A mix of ground counts and aerial photographic survey methods were used through the 1990s and 2000s. Ground count data was used in the analysis when aerial photographic count data was not obtained.

For ground counts, CSLs were tallied by biologists on the ground using mechanical handcounters; animals were observed directly or through a hand-held binocular or tripod-mounted spotting scope. Ground counts were made by one or more biologists over several days, depending on the size of the island. Because the counts were conducted when pups were too young to swim, double counting on subsequent days was not a concern for pups. However, movement of non-pups does occur, so we assume that their rate of movement during the survey period is constant within a rookery, between islands, and between wide geographical areas. At SBI, sea lions were also counted from a small boat 20-30 meters offshore. Ground and boatbased counts at SBI, SCI, and SNI were made in a manner that would minimize disturbance to sea lions. Disturbance counts of CSL pups were required at SMI in some areas that were not countable from a distance. In these areas, biologists herded pups into small groups and counted them as the pups streamed out of the herd.

For aerial photographic counts, CSLs were counted from vertical aerial photographs taken with a high-resolution aerial film camera during 1987-2009 or with a digital single lens reflex (DSLR) camera during 2011-2014. Aerial photographic surveys were conducted with a twin-engine, high-winged Aero Commander Twin 500B (1991-1994 aerial photo surveys of Año Nuevo Island) or with a Partenavia P-68 (1992-1993) or Partenavia P-68 Observer model aircraft (1987-1990 and 1994-2014). The glass nose of the Partenavia P-68 Observer model aircraft provided the pilot with excellent forward and downward views for aligning the aircraft over beaches or rocks and became the preferred aircraft for aerial photographic surveys of CSLs. Aircraft were flown at a ground speed of $185 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (100 knots) and at an altitude of approximately $213 \mathrm{~m}(700 \mathrm{ft})$ during 1987 to 2009 or approximately $244 \mathrm{~m}(800 \mathrm{ft})$ during 2011 to 2014, except at the Farallon Islands and SBI where the aircraft was flown at approximately 396 m (1300 ft) to prevent disturbance to nesting seabirds. The low altitude and lens configuration (see below) ensured that CSLs could be detected on rocky substrates (especially when animals were wet and consequently darkly colored), aided in identification of different pinniped species and CSL age/sex classes, and enabled accurate counts from aerial photographs. All CSLs onshore were photographed. The aircraft was flown directly over the coastline or
slightly offshore or inshore to locate and photograph sea lions onshore. Multiple overlapping photographic passes were made over large rocks or portions of coastlines and beaches to ensure that all hauled-out CSLs were photographed. Surveys were made without regard to tidal conditions and at any time of day between approximately 2 hours after sunrise and 2 hours before sunset. Aerial photographic surveys of CSLs in California took one to two weeks to complete.

Prior to the use of digital cameras in 2011, sea lions were photographed with a $126-\mathrm{mm}-$ format Chicago Aerial Industries, Inc. KA-45A or KA-76 military recognizance camera equipped with forward motion compensation and operated at a cycle rate that achieved $67 \%$ overlap between adjacent frames. The location of each photograph was recorded by linking the camera to a laptop computer and Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver. The camera was attached to a gimbal camera-mount placed vertically over a camera port inside the aircraft and was manually leveled with a bubble level to obtain a vertical image. A 152-mm-focal-length lens was used for low altitude photography (i.e., altitude of approximately $213 \mathrm{~m}[700 \mathrm{ft}]$ ) and a $305-\mathrm{mm}$-focal-length lens was used for higher altitude photography (i.e., altitude of approximately 396 m [1300 ft]). The camera was set at an aperture of $\mathrm{f} / 5.6$ with a shutter speed between $1 / 400$ second and $1 / 3000$ second. Three types of film were used: (1) Kodak Aerochrome MS Film 2448, a very fine-grained, medium-speed, color transparency, film was used during 1987-1999, (2) Aerochrome HS Film SO-359, a very fine-grained, high-speed, color transparency film was used during 1997-2005, and (3) KODAK Aerochrome III MS Film 2427, a very fine grained, medium-speed color-reversal aerial film was used during 2003-2009.

Two different DSLR cameras were used during 2011-2014. During 2011through 2013, CSLs were photographed with a Canon EOS 1Ds Mark III, full-frame 21.1-megapixel DSLR camera having a Zeiss $85-\mathrm{mm}$-focal-length lens for photographing at an altitude of approximately $244 \mathrm{~m}(800 \mathrm{ft})$ or a Canon $135-\mathrm{mm}$-focal-length lens for photographing at an altitude of approximately $396 \mathrm{~m}(1300 \mathrm{ft})$ altitude. In 2014, CSLs were photographed with a Canon EOS 5D Mark III, full-frame 22.3-megapixel DSLR having a Zeiss 85-mm-focal-length lens for photographing at an altitude of approximately 244 m or a Zeiss $135-\mathrm{mm}$-focal-length lens to photograph CSLs from 396 m altitude. Image motion compensation was achieved using a custom-made rocking mechanism in the camera mount (manufactured by Aerial Imaging

Solutions ${ }^{1}$ ). The focus ring of the 85 mm lens was immobilized with tape when focused at approximately 244 m and the 135 mm lens was taped when focused at approximately 396 m . A laptop computer was connected to the camera, a GPS receiver, radar altimeter, and controlled the camera's forward motion compensation mechanism. A video camera and monitor provided a view through the camera's viewfinder which allowed the operator to see what was being photographed. For each photograph, the computer recorded the geographical position, date and time the photograph was taken, altitude from the GPS and radar altimeter, lens being used, and ground speed of the aircraft in a comma separated variable (csv) text file. The DSLR camera was attached to a gimbal camera-mount placed vertically over a camera port inside the aircraft and the camera was manually leveled at the vertical position with a bubble level. The computer controlled all camera functions. Camera aperture was set at $\mathrm{f} / 5.6$ in aperture priority shooting mode and shutter speed was set at or above $1 / 800$ second by changing the ISO image sensor setting between 100 and 1000. Photographs were overexposed by $+1 / 3$ f-stop (for sunny condition) or $+2 / 3$ f-stop (for overcast condition). White balance in the camera was set on automatic, and all photographs were taken in JPEG image file format set at fine image quality and at 3:2 aspect ratio. The camera was operated at a cycle rate that achieved $40 \%$ overlap between adjacent photographs, and occasionally at $60 \%$ overlap for short photographic passes.

CSLs in 126-mm-format transparencies were counted through a 7-70X zoom binocular microscope as the photographs were back-illuminated on a light table. Images of animals were counted and marked on a clear acetate overlay with a different colored pen for each age/sex class category (see "Age-sex classes counted" below). Marks on the acetate were compared and verified with overlapping photographs. If all animals could not be counted in one photograph, the overlay was placed on another photograph at the exact location where the count ended previously and the count continued on the uncounted portion. Sea lions swimming in the water within approximately 30 meters of land were included in the count.

CSLs in digital photographs were counted in a two-step process: creation of mosaics from merged photographs and counting CSLs in the mosaics. Adobe Bridge CS5 was used to review and select digital photographs, and to initiate the photo-merging process. Adobe Photoshop CS5 Extended, version 12.1 x64 on Windows 7 64-bit operating system, received photographs from Adobe Bridge CS5 and was subsequently used to create photographic mosaics

[^0]from multiple overlapping digital photographs of a beach-section or rock within the Adobe Photoshop software screen on a 24 -inch or 27-inch Dell ultra-sharp computer monitor. Photographs were merged together manually using the move tool and transpose tools. Underexposed or over-exposed images were brightened or darkened with image tools in Adobe Photoshop. The brush tool was used to draw a line to separate and mark animals and to code areas on the mosaic that would be counted. Adjacent mosaic files of photographs were compared, and a brush-line was inserted onto the mosaic to separate counted animals from uncounted ones, or to mark areas where animals should be, or not be, counted. Age/sex class categories for counting were manually entered into the count tool of Adobe Photoshop Extended, each animal was marked with a unique colored dot and number using the computer mouse, and the software maintained a running total of each age/sex class category.

The number counted for each age/sex class category were entered into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet along with other metadata for the counts (e.g., date, time photo was taken location, area codes) and then converted into a Microsoft Access data-table in a database.

Two types of surveys were conducted over the study period: pup counts and total population counts. Surveys focused on counting pups covered all areas where pups were born and covered a smaller area than surveys focused on counting all animals in the population which included breeding and haulout areas. California-wide surveys for total population counts (excluding the continental coastline of southern California) were conducted in 2003-2005 and 2011-2013 to document breeding season distribution of CSLs within the state and estimate total numbers of CSL for seven age-sex classes.

## Age-sex classes counted

When the AFSC census of CSLs began in 1971 and by SWFSC in 1981, the primary objective was to census live-pups because pup counts were to be used for estimating population growth rate, population abundance, and to determine population status. While SWFSC biologists counted live-pups, counts were also made of all "non-pups" which included all age/sex classes except pups. The category "adult males" was first included in counts in 1986. Starting in 1992, CSL counts at all breeding areas and non-breeding haulout areas were expanded to include seven age-sex class categories:

1) Live-pup: Newborns, very small compared to other age/sex classes, dark to lite brown in color when dry, black when wet, short neck and muzzle.
2) Dead pup: Pups that are decomposing, are bloated, partially covered with sand, have a western gull (Larus occidentalis) eating it, or which are lying on their side with the head bent back toward the spine as a result of rigor mortis.
3) Juvenile: Larger than live-pups, about one-fourth to two-thirds the length of an adult female with brown or lite brown hair, elongated neck and muzzle. Sometimes found suckling on adult female or lying next to one.
4) Adult female: When dry, most often pelage will be blond in color, but sometimes are dark brown. They are dark grey when wet. Cranial sagittal crest is not present.
5) Young male: They are approximately the same size as an adult female. Cranial crest has not begun to develop, dark brown or charcoal color. During breeding season rarely if ever found within groups of breeding adult females but will be found at the periphery of adult female groups or will be within groups of "bachelor" males or juveniles. When in tide pools they will bark like an adult male and will play-fight with similar sized sea lions.
6) Sub-adult male: Sagittal crest is present and may or may not be fully formed; tuft of white hair on sagittal crest may or may not be present. Pelage is dark brown to grey in color. They do not have a wide chest and neck, and are larger than an adult female.
7) Adult male: Sagittal crest is fully formed and has tuft of white hair. Pelage is dark brown to grey in color. Has a wide chest and neck. Will often be patrolling a territory when in water or on land during the breeding season. When stationary or near vertical in the water, the tuft of white hair on the sagittal crest will be visible.

## Study areas, 1971-2014

A posteriori geographic strata were created (Figure 1A) for summarizing counts. The California coast was divided into three sections: (1) southern California, which includes the continental coast and all Channel Islands (the Coronado Islands, which are in Mexico, were not included); (2) central California, which includes the continental coastline, offshore rocks, and islands between Point Conception ( $34^{\circ} 26.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 120^{\circ} 28.0^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) and Point Reyes ( $38^{\circ} 00.0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $123^{\circ} 00.0^{\prime}$ W) and San Francisco Bay estuary; and (3) northern California, which includes the
continental coastline, offshore rocks, and islands between Point Reyes and the California-Oregon border ( $42^{\circ} 0^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 124^{\circ} 12.7 \mathrm{~W}$ ). Secondary, smaller strata within each California section were created as follows: (1) Central and northern California were stratified into 7 zones (zones A, B, and C in northern California; zones D, E, F, and G in central California; see Lowry and Forney, 2005); and (2) southern California was stratified into two zones with one zone consisting of the four principal CSL Channel Island rookeries (referred to as the Main Channel Islands and include SBI, SCI, SMI, and SNI) and the other zone having the remaining islands and rocks (referred to as the Other Channel Islands). The continental coast within the southern California section was not surveyed due to too extremely low abundance of CSLs in that area and safety concerns of flying at low level in a large metropolitan coastal area. Counts were also summarized separately for each Channel Island. The Farallon Islands were divided into South Farallon Islands and North Farallon Islands. Within San Francisco Bay Estuary, only CSLs at Pier 39 in San Francisco ( $37^{\circ} 48.6^{\prime}$ N, $122^{\circ} 25.2^{\prime}$ W) were surveyed during central California surveys.

CSL ground surveys at SMI by AFSC began in 1971 and aerial photographic surveys at SMI by SWFSC began in 1987 (Table 1; Figure 1B). In most years, AFSC ground surveys were also conducted at Castle Rock, a sub-island located 1 km offshore of SMI. Although aerial surveys at SMI by SWFSC began in 1987, ground counts by AFSC continued to be conducted annually to ensure uninterrupted data collection. When aerial survey data were available they were used for estimates of CSLs at SMI to provide consistency in analysis among areas. While AFSC ground counts were only made of pups, SWFSC aerial photographic counts also included other age/sex classes.

CSL ground and aerial photographic surveys at the Channel Islands in southern California by SWFC began at SCI in 1981and were later expanded to include other islands in southern California and the continental coastline of central and northern California (Table 1; Figure 1B). Año Nuevo Island and the Farallon Islands, in central California, were included in aerial photographic surveys in 1992 and 1995, respectively (Table 1; Figure 1C). The continental coastline in central California and northern California between Point Conception, California and the California/Oregon border was included in aerial photographic surveys in 1998 (Table 1; Figure 1A). At SCI, CSL ground surveys were conducted along the western shoreline between Seal Cove ( $32^{\circ} 54.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 118^{\circ} 32.3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) and 2.2 km south of Mail Point ( $32^{\circ} 52.1^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$,
$118^{\circ} 30.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) where all CSL pups at that island are born; aerial photographic surveys included the entire island (however, sometimes the entire island was not accessible due to naval operations). Aerial photographic surveys of SNI covered the entire island and ground surveys covered the southern shoreline between Point Vizcaino ( $33^{\circ} 16.7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 119^{\circ} 34.6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) and East End Sand Spit ( $33^{\circ} 17.8^{\prime}$ N, $119^{\circ} 25.9^{\prime}$ W) where CSLs occurred. At SBI, ground surveys and small-boat surveys were conducted during 1983-1998 and aerial photographic surveys were conducted during 1997-2014.

## Population Growth Rates and Trends

Population growth rates and trends were examined by combining data collected by AFSC and SWFSC during 1971-2014 (Lowry et al., 1987; Oliver and Lowry, 1987; Oliver et al., 1988; Wexler and Oliver, 1988; Oliver, 1991a, 1991b; Oliver and Wexler, 1991; Lowry, 1999; Carretta et al., 2000; Lowry and Maravilla-Chavez, 2005; M. Lowry and S. Melin, unpublished data; Table 2, 3, and 4) with published data collected by other biologists during 1964-1987 (Carlisle and Aplin, 1966; Peterson and Bartholomew, 1967; Odell, 1971, 1972; Bonnell et al., 1980, 1983; Heath and Francis, 1983, 1984; Stewart and Yochem, 1984, 1986; Francis and Heath, 1991; Stewart et al., 1993; Table 5). Two corrections to live-pup count data were made:

1. Castle Rock correction at SMI: CSL live-pups at Castle Rock, a small sub-island just off SMI, were not counted in every year, but Castle Rock is considered part of the SMI CSL colony. When both Castle Rock and SMI were censused, SMI represented an average of 0.962 of the total for the two sites. The inverse of $0.962(1 / 0.962=1.04)$ provided a multiplier that was applied to the years when Castle Rock was not censused to estimate total live-pups for the combined rookery during 1971, 1972, 1978, and 19811984.
2. Correction to counts of live-pups made prior to the peak count date of July 2: Heath and Francis $(1983,1984)$ and Stewart and Yochem $(1984,1986)$ provide a series of CSL live-pup counts made during the May-July breeding season (Table 6). The proportion of the maximum-live-pup-count was calculated for each count, year, and source. Logistic regression analysis (Figure 2) was used to obtain the following model describing the relationship between Julian date $(x)$ and relative pup count levels (as a proportion of the maximum counts expected around July 2):

$$
y=\frac{1}{1+e^{-\left(\frac{x-165.1168}{4.8434}\right)}}
$$

Multiplying the number of live-pups counted prior to July 2 by the inverse of $y$ (i.e., $1 / y$ ) yields an estimate of the July 2 maximum-live-pup-count, which is the metric used for growth rate and trend analysis in the study. The model fit to the data (Figure 2) was extremely precise (Adjusted $\mathbf{R}^{2}=0.972$ ), so error in $y$ is ignored. Counts of dead-pups were not added to the count of live-pups because they were not always counted, and they underestimate pre-census mortality due to decomposition, being covered by sand or washed out to sea.

CSL growth rates were computed from counts of live pups and, separately, from counts of non-pups. For counts of live pups, growth rates were estimated for the following: (1) each CSL rookery (SBI, SCI, SMI, SCI, Año Nuevo Island, and South Farallon Islands), (2) the Main Channel Islands rookeries group (which comprise nearly all pups produced in the U.S. [Lowry and Maravilla-Chavez, 2005]), and (3) the U.S. population (includes counts of pups from all available count data). For counts of non-pups, growth rates were estimated for the following: (1) each CSL rookery (SBI, SCI, SMI, SCI, Año Nuevo Island, and South Farallon Islands), (2) the Main Channel Islands rookeries group, (3) Other Channel Islands group, (4) southern California (includes all Channel Islands combined), (5) central and northern California separately and combined, and (6) Año Nuevo Island and South Farallon Islands combined. The initial analysis year was 1964 because that was the first year that pups were counted at all Main Channel Islands rookeries in the same year during the breeding season (prior to 1964, pups were either not counted or were not counted at all rookeries). Not all rookeries and haulout sites were surveyed every year, therefore, there is some sampling variation due to missing data or due to the use of estimated pup count data. A variety of methods were employed by various researchers to count CSLs (Table 1). Very few pups were produced at non-rookery areas. Any pups produced and not censused at non-rookeries were deemed insignificant and were assumed to have no significant effect on calculations for the U.S. population growth rate estimate.

CSL pup production dropped during 1983, 1992-1993, 1998, and 2009-2010 when El Niño conditions existed in the Pacific Ocean (Lowry and Maravilla-Chavez, 2005). To understand the influence of variability in marine environmental conditions on CSL pup production, four environmental indices were used as covariates in the analysis of CSL population
growth rate: (1) the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), a large-scale ocean-atmospheric cycle that affects productivity in the Pacific ocean (Mantua et al., 1997), (2) North Pacific Gyre Oscillation (NPGO), a basin-scale ocean-atmospheric cycle that affect the north Pacific ocean and is out of phase with the PDO (Di Lorenzo et al., 2008), (3) Multivariate El Niño Index (MEI), a measure of the El Niño Southern Oscillation cycle at the equator (Wolter and Timlin, 1993), and (4) sea level height at Los Angeles, California harbor (SLH-LA) with its seasonal and linear trends removed so as to index anomalies, as a local measure of environmental conditions (Zervas, 2009). PDO values were obtained from The Pacific Decadal Oscillation website (http://research.jisao.washington.edu/pdo/data; accessed on May 25, 2016). NPGO values were obtained from the North Pacific Gyre Oscillation website (http://www.o3d.org/npgo/; accessed on 20 May 2016). MEI values were obtained from NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory, Physical Science Division website (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/enso/mei/index.html\#Home, last accessed February 22, 2016). SLH-LA values were obtained from NOAA Center for Operational Oceanographic Products and Services website (http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/sltrends/sltrends.html; last accessed March 21, 2016). The October-to-May mean was calculated for each covariate because those months corresponded to the gestation period of CSLs and environmental conditions that affect prey available to pregnant females during this period would affect the annual birth rates. Log-transformed live-pup counts and (separately) non-pup counts were modeled as functions of year, PDO, NPGO, MEI, and SLH-LA, using a backward-stepwise Generalized Linear Model (GLM) with a normal/Gaussian error assumption in Systat 13.00.05 64-bit for Windows software. Rookeries having zero values had a 1 added, as per Sokal and Rolf (1995), to all values before being log transformed to prevent zero data from being eliminated in the analysis. The stepwise GLM model removed insignificant covariates ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). The annual rate of increase $(\lambda)$ was calculated as $e^{\mathrm{r}}$ where $r$ is the year coefficient of the GLM analysis (Eberhardt and Simmons, 1992). The 95\% confidence interval for the year coefficient was used to estimate the $95 \%$ CI for $\lambda$. The average annual growth rate was calculated separately for counts of live pups and counts of non-pups. Average percentage annual growth rate is computed as $\%=(\lambda-1) * 100$.

## RESULTS

From 1964 to 2014, counts of CSL live-pups in the U.S. population increased from 6,113 to a high of 67,398 in 2012 and counts of non-pups in southern California increased from 29,875 to a high of 95,814 in 2013 (Figure 3). The California wide total count of non-pups was between 91,772 (in 2003) and 113,141 (in 2013; Figure 3). In 2012 there were 169,813 CSLs (pups + non-pups) counted in California (excluding the continental coastline of southern California; Figure 4). This does not represent the full CSL population size because many non-pups were at sea and, thus, unavailable for counting. During the CSL breeding season, southern California had the most CSLs by age/sex class categories, followed by central California and northern California (Tables 2, 3, and 4; Figure 5). SNI and SMI were the largest rookeries in the U.S. population, having the most pups and non-pups (Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5; Figure 6A and 6B). Prior to $1990,59.2 \%$ of live pups counted in the Main Channel Islands rookeries were at SMI and $32.4 \%$ were at SNI (Figure 7A). After 1990, $44.9 \%$ of live pups were at SMI and $45.6 \%$ were at SNI. The percentage distribution of non-pups amongst the Main Channel Islands rookeries and the Other Channel Islands did not change over the years with SMI having the highest proportion ( $\sim 50 \%$; Figure 7B).

In years when all the California study areas were surveyed, $99.71 \%$ ( $\mathrm{SD}=0.239$ ) of pups counted were at the Main Channel Islands rookeries; $0.29 \%$ ( $\mathrm{SD}=0.197$ ) were in central California; $0.05 \%$ ( $\mathrm{SD}=0.044$ ) were at Other Channel Islands; none were in northern California (Table 7). Counts of non-pups at the Main Channel Islands rookeries averaged 77.35\% ( $\mathrm{SD}=5.040$ ) of the California totals, with $2.40 \%(\mathrm{SD}=1.378)$ found at Other Channel Islands, $18.96 \%$ ( $\mathrm{SD}=3.675$ ) in central California, and $1.29 \% ~(\mathrm{SD}=1.770$ ) in northern California. Those surveys also showed that San Miguel Island and San Nicolas Island had the greatest percentage of each age/sex class within the state (Table 8).

CSLs were not uniformly distributed in central and northern California (Table 3, Figure 5). More pups were produced at Año Nuevo Island (mean=35; $\mathrm{SD}=35$ ) and South Farallon Islands (mean=53; $\mathrm{SD}=60$ ), located within zones D and E , respectively, than at other zones (Tables 3 and 4). Beginning in 2009, more than 50 pups were counted at Año Nuevo Island and South Farallon Islands, qualifying them as new rookeries. Zone E had the most non-pups (mean=7,539; $\mathrm{SD}=3,121$ ), followed by zone G (mean $=6,066 ; \mathrm{SD}=2,866$ ) and D (mean=5,027; $\mathrm{SD}=2,816$ ). Northern California zones $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C had very few CSLs compared to central

California zones ( D through G ).
From 1964 to 2014, the U.S. count of live-pups and the count of live pups at the Main Channel Islands rookeries, increased at an average annual growth rate of 4.6\% per year (L95\% $\mathrm{CI}=4.1 \%$, U95\% CI=5.1\%; Table 9). For the same period, the southern California count of nonpups increased at an average annual growth rate of 2.8\% per year (L95\% CI=2.4\%, U95\% $\mathrm{CI}=3.4 \%$ ) and that of the Main Channel Islands rookeries increased at $2.9 \%$ per year ( $\mathrm{L} 95 \%$ $\mathrm{CI}=2.5 \%$, U95\% CI=3.4\%); Table 9). Average annual growth rates from live-pup counts were higher at San Nicolas Island (5.7\%) and Santa Barbara Island (5.3\%) than at San Clemente Island (4.7\%) and San Miguel Island (4.1\%). However, average annual growth rates from counts of non-pups at each of the Main Channel Islands rookeries were lower (ranged 2.2\% to 3.5\% for individual rookeries) than was estimated from counts of live-pups at the same rookeries (Table 9).

Stepwise GLM analysis indicated that the environmental covariates SLH-LA and NPGO were negatively related to the count of live pups at the Channel Islands (i.e., the negative slope of the coefficient indicates that fewer pups were produced as SLH-LA and NPGO increased), and that they were positively related to SLH-LA at the central California rookeries at Año Nuevo Island and the South Farallon Islands (i.e., the positive slope of the coefficient indicates that more pups were produced as SLH-LA increased; Table 9). Likewise, SLH-LA was negatively related to counts of non-pups at San Miguel Island, San Nicolas Island, and the combined Main Channel Islands rookeries group (Table 9). Non-pup counts at the South Farallon Islands increased as MEI increased (i.e., as conditions went from cold-water La Niña to warm-water El Niño) and non-pup counts at Año Nuevo Island increased as NPGO increased. None of the environmental covariates improved the model of non-pup counts for central and northern California (Table 9).

## DISCUSSION

During the 1980s and 1990s, CSL pup surveys were emphasized to estimate population status and only the Main Channel Islands rookeries were surveyed regularly; non-pups were not counted regularly and not counted at all the rookeries. With time, other age/sex class categories were counted and SWFSC surveys expanded geographically. Eventually, surveys covered all the

California Channel Islands and the continental coastline of California from Point Conception to the California/Oregon border. These surveys along with published data made it possible to examine statewide CSL population growth rates from counts of pups and non-pups over a fiftyyear period, and allowed examination of the geographical distribution within California of various age/sex classes during the July breeding season.

The first estimates of average annual growth rates of the U.S. population of CSLs were based on counts of pups at San Miguel Island and San Nicolas Island between 1970 and 1986 (Boveng, 1988). The estimates were variable depending on the time period included in the estimate: $3.4 \%$ (1971-1986), $6.4 \%$ (1970-1982), and $11.9 \%$ (1983-1986). The variability in the rates was attributed to effects of El Niño on pup production (Boveng, 1988). Lowry et al. (1992) then estimated a population growth at $4.6 \%$ from pup counts between 1975 and 1990 from the Main Channel Islands rookeries. From 1975 to 2000, the mean annual growth rate was estimated at $6.1 \%$ (Lowry and Maravilla-Chavez, 2005), and five years later in 2005 it declined to 5.6\% (Carretta et al., 2007). However, analyses generating these two estimates omitted pup counts obtained during 1983-1984, 1992-1993, and 1998 when El Niño conditions resulted in low pup production. The growth rates in this report incorporate more years (1964-2014) and did not omit pup count data but rather used four environmental condition indices as covariates (MEI, SLHLA, PDO and NPGO) to model the effect of the environmental conditions on pup count data, of which SLH-LA and NPGO were identified as being the most influential. These two environmental indices are positively correlated with ENSO and their negative relationships with pup counts, indicating that elevated temperature reflected by thermal expansion derived from sea level data and NPGO values resulted in fewer pups born.

Counts of non-pups were also used to estimate population growth. The $4.7 \%$ average annual growth rate obtained from counts of pups at the Main Channel Islands rookeries during 1964-2014 is probably more representative of California-wide population growth than the $2.9 \%$ growth rate obtained from non-pup data because it is unclear whether trends in non-pup counts (number of hauled-out animals) would be linearly 1:1 related to growth of the full population.

For generating stock assessment reports (SARs) under the Marine Mammal Protection Act, a default of $12 \%$ is assumed to be the maximum annual rate of increase for pinnipeds (Wade, 1998). The growth rates in this report are much lower. Possibly this reflects some density-dependence in the time series, noting that the population would only be expected to grow
at its intrinsic rate when population size is very low relative to available resources (i.e., in the earliest part of the time series). It is also possible it indicates that CSL maximum growth is less than $12 \%$. One factor likely affecting population growth rate estimates early in the time series was bycatch of non-pups in gillnet-fisheries. Mortality from U.S. west coast gillnet fisheries prior to a gillnet ban in southern California coastal waters in 1994 was on the order of a few thousand animals per year (Barlow et al., 1994), which would have corresponded to several percent of the total population size at the time and thus reduced the population growth rate. This fishery bycatch mortality on non-pups could also partially explain the difference in growth rate estimates between pups and non-pups.

The western coast of the contiguous U.S. periodically experiences above average warmwater periods associated with the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) cycle that occurs in equatorial waters off South America (Fahrbach et al., 1991). The ENSO cycle is composed of the warm-water El Niño period, the cold-water La Niña period, and a neutral phase. The El Niño periods decrease primary productivity and abundance and availability of CSL forage along the California coast (Arntz et al., 1991). El Niño periods have been observed to have short and longterm effects on the CSL population in the U.S. Short-term effects were apparent in drops in CSL pup production during 1983, 1992-1993, 1998, and 2009-2010 and were the most noticeable effect of recent El Niño periods on population growth (Fig. 3). The decline in pup births reflects an inability of pregnant females to find sufficient food to support the energetic demands of pregnancy. Lower numbers of pup births in the El Niño years resulted in fewer adults in later years for the affected cohorts resulting a long-term population affect. After an El Niño period, pup production sometimes rebounds in the following year to pre-El Niño levels (as was observed in 1994, 1999, and 2011), usually when the event is weak or mild or of short duration. The immediate rebound in pup production will not occur when adult females die during an El Niño event, as probably occurred during the very strong and prolonged 1982-1983 El Niño period (DeLong et al., 1991) due to fewer adult females of reproductive age in the population than were present prior to the El Niño event. Pup production took about five years to reach the level it was at prior to the 1982-1983 El Niño. Other characteristics of El Niño's are higher pup and juvenile mortality rates (DeLong et al., 1991), that also affect future recruitment into the adult population for the affected cohorts, and delayed recruitment into the breeding population of females that are born during El Niño conditions or experience El Niño conditions while they are juveniles (Melin
et al., 2012). These responses also slow population growth as was observed (in the form of reduced pup production) five to six years after the 1992-1993 El Niño (there was a drop in births in 1997 and 1998, with the 1998 also affected by the 1997-1998 El Niño) and in 2002 and 2003 after the 1997-1998 El Niño (Figs. 1 and 6). Other factors that have affected population growth rates are domoic acid poisoning from an environmental toxin that results in adult female and juvenile mortality and reproductive failure, and hookworm infections that result in elevated pup mortality rates (Scholin et al., 2000; Lefebvre et al., 2000; Lyons et al., 2001; Bejarano et al., 2008).

Four environmental covariate indices (MEI, SLH-LA, PDO and NPGO) were examined to determine whether and how they affected the U.S. population growth rate estimates of CSLs. Each of the four indices reflects different environmental conditions. NPGO measures sea surface height in the Northeast Pacific and has been found to correlate with fluctuations in salinity, nitrates, and chlorophyll-a in the southern portion of the California Current (Di Lorenzo et al., 2008). PDO is an El Niño like pattern that measures variability in North Pacific sea surface temperatures (Mantua et al., 1997) over multiple decades (20-30 years). MEI is an ENSO index derived from tropical measurements of sea level pressure, surface wind, and sea surface temperature at the equator (Wolter and Timlin, 1993). SLH-LA is the sea level height at Los Angeles, California harbor with its seasonal variation and long-term trend removed (Zervas, 2009), resulting in a measure of the thermal expansion and contraction of the water mass. The SLH-LA index used here, however, should not be confused with sea level rise due to climate change (e.g., melting glaciers) because seasonal and long-term trend were removed from the observed data.

Population growth rate analysis of CSLs at California rookeries indicated that SLH-LA and NPGO explained the rise and fall of pup production and SLH-LA explained presence/absence of non-pups. The relationship between CSL pup production and distribution of non-pups within California with SLH-LA and NPGO (which both represent heat content in oceanic water) may indicate how CSLs will respond to climate change. If oceanic water temperature increases in the Pacific Ocean (Overland and Wang, 2007) and the Southern California Bight (Auad et al., 2006) due to climate change, it is possible that fewer CSL pups will be produced at southern California rookeries and more CSLs may occur in central and northern California in the future.
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Table 1. Locations that CSLs were censused by observers on the ground (Gr), or by counts made from aerial color photographs taken with a 35 mm -format ( 35 Ph ), 126 mm -format ( Ph ), or 9 inchformat ( 9 Ph ) film cameras, a digital SLR camera ( DPh ), or by aerial observation (AO) during 1964-2014.

| Year |  | 恄 |  | ت ت 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1964 | 9 Ph | 9 Ph | 9 Ph | 9 Ph |  | 9 Ph | 9 Ph | 9 Ph | 9 Ph |  |  |  |  |
| 1965 | 9 Ph | 9 Ph | Gr | 9 Ph |  | 9 Ph | 9 Ph | 9 Ph | 9 Ph |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 |  |  |  | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 |  |  |  | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | Gr, 35Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | $\mathrm{Gr}, 35 \mathrm{Ph}$ | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | Gr, 35Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph | 35 Ph |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 |  | Gr |  | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 |  | Gr |  | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 |  |  | Gr | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 | Gr | Gr | Gr | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gr |  |  |
| 1982 | Gr | Gr | Gr | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 | Gr | Gr | Gr | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gr |  |  |
| 1984 | Gr | Gr | Gr | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gr |  |  |
| 1985 | Gr | Gr | Gr | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 | Gr | Gr | Gr | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 | Gr | Gr | Gr | Ph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 | Gr | Gr |  | Ph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | Gr | Gr |  | Ph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | Gr | Gr | Ph | Ph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | Gr | Gr | Gr | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | Gr | Gr | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph |  | Ph |  |  | Ph |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Gr | Gr | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph |  |  |  |  |  | Ph |  |  |  |
| 1994 | Gr | Gr | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph |  |  |  |  | Ph |  |  |  |
| 1995 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Gr | Ph | Ph |  |  |  |  |  | Ph | Ph |  |  |
| 1996 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Gr | Ph | Ph |  |  |  |  |  | Ph |  |  |  |
| 1997 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph |  |  |  |  |  | Ph | Ph |  |  |
| 1998 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph |  |  |  |  |  | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |
| 1999 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |  |  |  |  | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |
| 2000 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |  |  |  |  | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |
| 2001 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |  |  |  |  | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |
| 2002 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph | Ph |  | AO | Ph |  | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |
| 2003 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |
| 2004 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |  | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |
| 2005 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |
| 2006 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph | Ph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Gr | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |  |
| 2008 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{Ph}$ | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Gr |  |  | Gr |  |  |  |  |  | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |
| 2010 | Gr |  |  | Gr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{DPh}$ | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh |
| 2012 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{DPh}$ | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh |
| 2013 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{DPh}$ | DPh | DPh | DPh |  | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh |
| 2014 | $\mathrm{Gr}, \mathrm{DPh}$ | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh | DPh |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. The number of California sea lions counted by age/sex class at each of the Channel Islands in southern California from surveys conducted during 1971-2014. Counts were made by biologists on the ground or small boat (Gr), estimated from ground count (Est), and from vertical $126-\mathrm{mm}$ format aerial color photographs $(\mathrm{Ph})$ or vertical aerial digital photographs ( DPh ).
Counts were repeated on some dates by the same counter or counted by different people. AO denotes aerial observation when no animals were observed during the survey. No data obtained for blank cells.

| Census date | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & \frac{7}{0} \\ & \sum \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anacapa Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 Jul 11 | Ph | 5 | 0 | 351 | 341 | 0 | 341 | 11 | 10 | 713 | 718 |
| 2004 Jul 17 | Ph | 1 | 0 | 203 | 138 | 2 | 140 | 8 | 4 | 355 | 356 |
| 2005 Jul 8 | Ph | 4 | 0 | 257 | 182 | 1 | 183 | 6 | 11 | 457 | 461 |
| 2007 Jul 10 | Ph | 6 | 0 | 388 | 339 | 60 | 399 | 11 | 28 | 826 | 832 |
| 2008 Jul 12 | Ph | 6 | 0 | 840 | 400 | 2 | 402 | 7 | 14 | 1,263 | 1,269 |
| 2011 Jul 11 | Dph | 32 | 1 | 323 | 579 | 127 | 706 | 12 | 24 | 1,065 | 1,097 |
| 2012 Jul 13 | Dph | 50 | 0 | 458 | 418 | 113 | 531 | 15 | 14 | 1,018 | 1,068 |
| 2013 Jul 8 | Dph | 48 | 0 | 261 | 642 | 179 | 821 | 38 | 26 | 1,146 | 1,194 |
| 2014 Jul 8 | Dph | 52 | 0 | 189 | 400 | 230 | 630 | 49 | 20 | 888 | 940 |
| Richardson Rock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 Jul 18 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |  | 86 | 8 | 5 | 116 | 116 |
| 1994 Jul 17 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 7 |  |  | 125 | 42 | 10 | 184 | 184 |
| 1999 Jul 12 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 25 |  |  | 97 | 50 | 18 | 190 | 190 |
| 2000 Jul 7 | Ph | 2 | 0 | 35 |  |  | 86 | 52 | 24 | 197 | 199 |
| 2001 Jul 16 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 215 |  |  | 154 | 33 | 6 | 408 | 408 |
| 2003 Jul 8 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 70 | 77 | 7 | 84 | 78 | 8 | 240 | 240 |
| 2004 Jul 11 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 31 | 145 | 0 | 145 | 30 | 6 | 212 | 212 |
| 2005 Jul 21 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 85 | 46 | 47 | 93 | 33 | 9 | 220 | 220 |
| 2007 Jul 10 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 55 | 197 | 85 | 282 | 36 | 14 | 387 | 387 |
| 2008 Jul 12 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 32 | 171 | 76 | 247 | 36 | 12 | 327 | 327 |
| 2011 Jul 13 | Dph | 0 | 0 | 53 | 186 | 4 | 190 | 23 | 16 | 282 | 282 |
| 2012 Jul 14 | Dph | 1 | 0 | 51 | 135 | 2 | 137 | 20 | 7 | 215 | 216 |
| 2014 Jul 10 | Dph | 0 | 0 | 78 | 387 | 17 | 404 | 19 | 12 | 513 | 513 |
| San Clemente Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 Aug 18-19 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Gr | 666 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,052 | 1,718 |
| 1981 Aug 18-19 | Gr | 605 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,119 | 1,724 |
| 1981 Aug 18-19 | Gr | 590 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,031 | 1,621 |
| 1982 Jul 27-29 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Gr | 941 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,280 | 2,221 |
| 1983 Jul 21-25 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Gr | 353 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,274 | 1,627 |
| 1984 Jul 26-27 | Gr | 411 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 841 | 1,252 |
| 1985 Aug 25 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Gr | 609 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 739 | 1,348 |
| 1986 Jul 25-28 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Gr | 718 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,106 | 1,824 |
| 1987 Jul 31-Aug $3^{\text {e }}$ | Gr | 782 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,034 | 1,816 |
| 1988 Jul 29-Aug $1^{\text {f }}$ | Gr | 803 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 960 | 1,763 |
| 1988 Jul 29-Aug 1 | Gr | 790 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 | 999 | 1,789 |

Table 2. (Continued)

| Census date |  | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & \tilde{Z} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Clemente Island (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 Jul 21-23 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | Gr | 795 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 1,460 | 2,255 |
| 1990 Jul 20-21 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | Gr | 629 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 | 960 | 1,589 |
| 1991 Jul 12-14 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | Gr | 913 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 | 1,560 | 2,473 |
| 1992 Jul 24-25 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Gr | 789 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | 737 | 1,526 |
| 1993 Jul 23-249 | Gr | 745 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 | 637 | 1,382 |
| 1994 Jul $23{ }^{\text {g }}$ | Gr | 1,067 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 | 1,205 | 2,272 |
| 1995 Aug 15 | Gr | 1,189 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,656 | 2,845 |
| 1995 Jul 218 | Ph | 1,028 | 0 | 395 |  |  | 1,650 | 50 | 90 | 2,185 | 3,213 |
| 1995 Jul $22^{\text {g }}$ | Ph | 970 | 2 | 362 |  |  | 1,481 | 39 | 79 | 1,961 | 2,931 |
| 1996 Jul 12-148 | Gr | 1,207 |  | 227 |  |  | 1,076 | 49 | 111 | 1,463 | 2,670 |
| 1996 Jul 12-148 | Gr | 1,047 |  | 211 |  |  | 1,081 | 42 | 84 | 1,418 | 2,465 |
| 1996 Jul 12-148 | Gr | 1,040 |  | 225 |  |  | 1,085 | 45 | 93 | 1,448 | 2,488 |
| 1996 Jul 12-148 | Gr | 1,208 |  | 220 |  |  | 1,132 | 55 | 112 | 1,519 | 2,727 |
| 1996 Jul 21 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | Ph | 1,243 | 3 | 120 |  |  | 1,192 | 57 | 87 | 1,456 | 2,699 |
| 1996 Jul 23 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Ph | 1,468 | 1 | 138 |  |  | 1,198 | 29 | 79 | 1,444 | 2,912 |
| 1997 Jul 14 | Ph | 1,326 | 4 | 89 |  |  | 953 | 56 | 140 | 1,238 | 2,564 |
| 1997 Jul 15-16 | Gr | 1,248 |  | 147 |  |  | 857 | 26 | 89 | 1,119 | 2,367 |
| 1997 Jul 15-16 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Gr | 1,203 |  | 122 |  |  | 866 | 26 | 99 | 1,113 | 2,316 |
| 1998 Jul 18-20 | Gr | 537 |  | 35 |  |  | 787 | 7 | 87 | 916 | 1,453 |
| 1998 Jul 18-20 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Gr | 587 |  | 44 |  |  | 830 | 17 | 84 | 975 | 1,562 |
| 1998 Jul 20 | Ph | 682 | 4 | 97 |  |  | 1,291 | 43 | 123 | 1,554 | 2,236 |
| 1998 Jul $26^{\text {h }}$ | Ph | 600 | 0 | 80 |  |  | 1,142 | 41 | 96 | 1,359 | 1,959 |
| 1999 Jul 10 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | Ph | 1,004 | 3 | 339 |  |  | 1,837 | 55 | 161 | 2,392 | 3,396 |
| 1999 Jul 148 | Gr | 1,326 |  | 220 |  |  | 1,170 | 8 | 93 | 1,491 | 2,817 |
| 2000 Jul 25-26* | Gr | 1,660 |  | 338 |  |  | 1,305 | 14 | 87 | 1,744 | 3,404 |
| 2000 Jul $7^{\text {g }}$ | Ph | 1,735 | 1 | 422 |  |  | 2,454 | 127 | 174 | 3,177 | 4,912 |
| 2001 Jul 12 | Ph | 1,722 | 0 | 330 |  |  | 2,179 | 102 | 182 | 2,793 | 4,515 |
| 2001 Jul 17 | Gr | 1,629 |  | 328 |  |  | 1,576 | 97 | 79 | 2,080 | 3,709 |
| 2002 Jul 13 | Ph | 2,081 | 4 | 438 | 2,799 | 38 | 2,837 | 100 | 188 | 3,563 | 5,644 |
| 2002 Jul 30-31 | Gr | 1,631 |  | 315 |  |  | 2,150 | 35 | 51 | 2,551 | 4,182 |
| 2003 Jul 16-18 | Gr | 1,128 |  | 214 |  |  | 1,232 | 110 | 88 | 1,644 | 2,772 |
| 2003 Jul 7 | Ph | 1,549 | 3 | 311 | 2,337 | 5 | 2,342 | 148 | 160 | 2,961 | 4,510 |
| 2004 Jul 10 | Ph | 1,839 | 0 | 454 | 2,547 | 95 | 2,642 | 197 | 180 | 3,473 | 5,312 |
| 2004 Jul 29 | Gr | 1,630 |  | 96 |  |  | 1,686 | 33 | 68 | 1,883 | 3,513 |
| 2005 Jul 20 | Ph | 1,587 | 3 | 231 | 2,229 | 99 | 2,328 | 93 | 199 | 2,851 | 4,438 |
| 2005 Jul 56 | Gr | 1,479 |  | 129 |  |  | 1,685 | 91 | 201 | 2,106 | 3,585 |
| 2006 Jul 17 | Ph | 2,130 | 3 | 363 | 2,141 | 131 | 2,272 | 98 | 202 | 2,935 | 5,065 |
| 2006 Jul 18-19 | Gr | 1,859 |  | 178 |  |  | 1,581 | 54 | 181 | 1,994 | 3,853 |
| 2007 Jul 24-25 | Gr | 2,146 |  | 143 |  |  | 1,898 | 47 | 162 | 2,250 | 4,396 |
| 2008 Jul 10 | Ph | 2,144 | 3 | 496 | 2,292 | 149 | 2,441 | 203 | 267 | 3,407 | 5,551 |
| 2008 Jul 22-23 | Gr | 2,086 |  | 397 |  |  | 1,348 | 98 | 158 | 2,001 | 4,087 |
| 2009 Jul 21-22 | Gr | 1,813 |  | 566 |  |  | 1,377 | 62 | 123 | 2,128 | 3,941 |

Table 2. (Continued)

| Census date | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \frac{7}{0} \\ & \sum . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Clemente Island (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 Jul 13-14 | Gr | 1,680 |  | 390 |  |  | 1,812 | 116 | 181 | 2,562 | 4,242 |
| 2011 Jul 11 | Dph | 2,883 | 12 | 344 | 3,243 | 104 | 3,347 | 57 | 225 | 3,973 | 6,856 |
| 2011 Jul 67 | Gr | 2,460 |  | 268 |  |  | 2,327 | 307 | 213 | 3,115 | 5,575 |
| 2012 Jul 13 | Dph | 3,220 | 2 | 689 | 2,634 | 60 | 2,694 | 176 | 269 | 3,828 | 7,048 |
| 2012 Jul 27-28 | Gr | 2,616 |  | 291 |  |  | 2,165 | 63 | 118 | 2,637 | 5,253 |
| 2013 Jul 13 | Dph | 2,458 | 11 | 777 | 3,703 | 83 | 3,786 | 184 | 223 | 4,970 | 7,428 |
| 2013 Jul 26-27 | Gr | 2,182 |  | 389 |  |  | 2,410 | 54 | 87 | 2,940 | 5,122 |
| 2014 Jul 18-21 | Gr | 1,679 |  | 134 |  |  | 2,388 | 46 | 151 | 2,719 | 4,398 |
| 2014 Jul 7 | Dph | 1,927 | 12 | 696 | 4,064 | 83 | 4,147 | 121 | 265 | 5,229 | 7,156 |
| San Miguel Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 Jul 25 | Gr | 5,285 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 Jul 25 | Est | 5,496 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 Aug 21 | Gr | 3,501 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 Aug 21 | Est | 3,641 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 Aug 19-20 | Gr | 7,166 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 Jul 28-29 | Gr | 8,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 Jul 29-30 | Gr | 7,095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 Aug 4 | Gr | 6,854 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 Aug 4 | Est | 7,128 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979 Jul 31-Aug 2 | Gr | 8,359 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 Aug 2-5 | Gr | 6,950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 Aug 13 | Gr | 8,270 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1981 Aug 13 | Est | 8,601 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 Aug 5-7 | Gr | 10,132 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 Aug 5-7 | Est | 10,537 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 Jul 30 | Gr | 7,326 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 Jul 30 | Est | 7,619 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 Aug 2 | Gr | 8,873 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 Aug 2 | Est | 9,228 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 Jul 24 \& Aug 4 | Gr | 9,516 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 Jul 26 | Gr | 12,065 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 Jun 28 | Ph | $12,152^{\text {k }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 Jun 28 | Est | $12,760^{\mathrm{k}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1987 Jul 26 | Ph | 11,807 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1988 Jul 24 | Ph | 11,077 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 Jul 21 | Ph | 12,704 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 Jul 18 | Ph | 11,741 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 Jul 25 | Ph | 11,066 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. (Continued)

| Census date |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \sim \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\frac{0}{\pi}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ⿹ㅔ 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Miguel Island (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 Aug 4 | Gr | 16,503 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 Jul 18 | Ph | 9,116 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 77 | 4,629 |  |  | 13,413 | 561 | 1,367 | 19,970 | 29,086 |
| 1992 Jul 20 | Ph | 10,753 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 23 | 3,278 |  |  | 14,323 | 297 | 1,276 | 19,174 | 29,927 |
| 1993 Jul 15 | Ph | 11,985 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 66 | 2,390 |  |  | 17,223 | 1,566 | 1,760 | 22,939 | 34,923 |
| 1993 Jul 17 | Ph | 10,704 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 20 | 2,338 |  |  | 15,138 | 791 | 1,536 | 19,803 | 30,507 |
| 1994 Jul 16 | Ph | 16,539 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 408 | 2,190 |  |  | 16,328 | 1,151 | 1,249 | 20,918 | 37,457 |
| 1994 Jul 17 | Ph | 14,704 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 446 | 2,053 |  |  | 19,214 | 1,436 | 1,338 | 24,041 | 38,745 |
| 1995 Jul 23 | Ph | $15,624^{\mathrm{g}}$ | 173 | 8,815 |  |  | 18,873 | 1,492 | 1,311 | 30,491 | 46,115 |
| 1995 Jul 25 | Ph | $15,711^{\mathrm{g}}$ | 121 | 6,782 |  |  | 19,880 | 1,015 | 1,044 | 28,72 | 44,432 |
| 1996 Jul 22 | Ph | $16,962^{\mathrm{g}}$ | 166 | 3,324 |  |  | 13,737 | 1,157 | 1,157 | 19,375 | 36,337 |
| 1997 Jul 14 | Ph | 14,941 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 74 | 3,696 |  |  | 22,350 | 2,012 | 2,180 | 30,238 | 45,179 |
| 1998 Jul 20 | Ph | 8,111 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 208 | 2,275 |  |  | 12,174 | 1,328 | 1,509 | 17,286 | 25,397 |
| 1999 Jul 12 | Ph | 18,074 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 110 | 1,948 |  |  | 19,183 | 2,501 | 2,673 | 26,305 | 44,379 |
| 2000 Jul 7 | Ph | 20,609 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 169 | 4,460 |  |  | 22,020 | 2,787 | 3,718 | 32,985 | 53,594 |
| 2001 Jul 16 | Ph | 19,552 | 24 | 4,803 |  |  | 17,096 | 1,656 | 3,405 | 26,960 | 46,512 |
| 2002 Jul 16 | Ph | 21,126 | 50 | 9,980 | 19,477 | 2,071 | 21,548 | 2,594 | 3,295 | 37,41 | 58,543 |
| 2003 Jul 8 | Ph | 17,765 | 104 | 6,111 | 14,631 | 2,024 | 16,655 | 3,311 | 3,641 | 29,71 | 47,483 |
| 2004 Jul 11 | Ph | 18,278 | 56 | 10,821 | 25,745 | 1,764 | 27,509 | 2,740 | 3,145 | 44,215 | 62,493 |
| 2005 Jul 21 | Ph | 22,088 | 62 | 9,616 | 25,711 | 1,981 | 27,692 | 1,699 | 2,889 | 41,896 | 63,984 |
| 2006 Jul 17 | Ph | 24,583 | 47 | 4,905 | 25,140 | 3,129 | 28,269 | 1,513 | 2,092 | 36,779 | 61,362 |
| 2007 Jul 10 | Ph | 23,234 | 15 | 5,781 | 26,835 | 3,351 | 30,186 | 1,821 | 2,841 | 40,629 | 63,863 |
| 2008 Jul 12 | Ph | 25,148 | 21 | 4,966 | 26,004 | 2,829 | 28,833 | 2,549 | 2,688 | 39,036 | 64,184 |
| 2009 Jul 22-29 \& Aug 19 | Gr | 12,806 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 Jul 22-26 \& Aug 1 | Gr | 15,131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 Jul 13 | Dph | 26,953 | 260 | 10,094 | 26,109 | 2,003 | 28,112 | 2,853 | 3,401 | 44,460 | 71,413 |
| 2012 Jul 14 | Dph | 28,289 | 708 | 7,972 | 21,664 | 1,508 | 23,172 | 3,064 | 3,163 | 37,371 | 65,660 |
| 2013 Jul 11 | Dph | 21,014 | 167 | 8,563 | 26,826 | 1,708 | 28,534 | 3,402 | 2,878 | 43,377 | 64,391 |
| 2014 Jul 10 | Dph | 23,607 | 393 | 4,075 | 23,410 | 1,223 | 24,633 | 2,700 | 3,490 | 34,898 | 58,505 |
| San Nicolas Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 Jul 18 | Ph | 10,683 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 Jul 25 | Ph | $11,766^{\mathrm{g}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 Jul 19-21 | Gr | $11,827^{\mathrm{m}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,025 | 15,929 | 27,756 |
| 1992 Jul 17-18 | Gr | 6,468 ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 642 | 9,947 | 16,415 |
| 1992 Jul 18 | Ph | 8,869 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 22 | 554 |  |  | 9,705 | 438 | 983 | 11,680 | 20,549 |
| 1992 Jul 23 | Ph | 9,348 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 50 | 1,397 |  |  | 7,691 | 187 | 775 | 10,050 | 19,398 |
| 1993 Jul 11 | Ph | 10,595 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 78 | 1,556 |  |  | 10,649 | 747 | 1,031 | 13,983 | 24,578 |
| 1993 Jul 11 | Ph | $10,538{ }^{\text {m }}$ | 173 | 1,354 |  |  | 10,878 | 872 | 1,078 | 14,182 | 24,720 |
| 1993 Jul 15 | Ph | $9,702^{\mathrm{m}}$ | 53 | 2,185 |  |  | 10,305 | 652 | 1,007 | 14,149 | 23,851 |
| 1993 Jul 15 | Ph | $10,409^{\mathrm{m}}$ | 112 | 1,876 |  |  | 10,662 | 1,078 | 1,082 | 14,698 | 25,107 |
| 1993 Jul 16-18 | Gr | $9,262^{\mathrm{m}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 998 | 11,696 | 20,958 |
| 1993 Jul 16-18 | Gr | $9,748^{\mathrm{m}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 941 | 12,135 | 21,883 |

Table 2. (Continued)

| Census date | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \sum \\ & \sum \pm \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{W} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & Z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : } \\ & \text { 플 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Nicolas Island (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 Jul 17 | Ph | 9,698 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 84 | 2,066 |  |  | 9,373 | 620 | 1,067 | 13,126 | 22,824 |
| 1993 Jul 17 | Ph | $10,345^{\mathrm{m}}$ | 104 | 1,706 |  |  | 9,668 | 907 | 1,132 | 13,413 | 23,758 |
| 1993 Jul 23-26 | Gr | $8,723^{\text {m }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 400 | 8,113 | 16,836 |
| 1993 Jul 23-26 | Gr | $8,382^{\mathrm{m}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 683 | 7,782 | 16,164 |
| 1994 Jul 12-13 | Gr | $16,503{ }^{\text {m }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 803 | 15,777 | 32,290 |
| 1994 Jul 14 | Ph | $15,766^{\mathrm{m}}$ | 180 | 1,020 |  |  | 12,534 | 1,181 | 1,144 | 15,879 | 31,645 |
| 1994 Jul 16 | Ph | $16,889^{\mathrm{m}}$ | 247 | 966 |  |  | 12,782 | 1,101 | 1,171 | 16,020 | 32,909 |
| 1995 Jul 21 | Ph | 17,512 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 97 | 4,831 |  |  | 16,591 | 1,323 | 1,222 | 23,967 | 41,479 |
| 1995 Jul 22 | Ph | $16,926^{\text {g }}$ | 117 | 5,363 |  |  | 14,205 | 1,285 | 1,055 | 21,908 | 38,834 |
| 1996 Jul 21 | Ph | 19,308 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 112 | 1,659 |  |  | 12,199 | 853 | 1,206 | 15,917 | 35,225 |
| 1996 Jul 22 | Ph | 20,285 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 85 | 1,776 |  |  | 12,178 | 603 | 1,082 | 15,639 | 35,924 |
| 1997 Jul 14 | Ph | 20,488 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 120 | 1,167 |  |  | 13,531 | 1,511 | 1,986 | 18,195 | 38,683 |
| 1998 Jul 20 | Ph | 4,885 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 61 | 1,679 |  |  | 10,445 | 900 | 1,567 | 14,591 | 19,476 |
| 1999 Jul 10 | Ph | 19,878 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 87 | 2,010 |  |  | 16,531 | 1,517 | 2,614 | 22,672 | 42,550 |
| 2000 Jul 7 | Ph | 24,167 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 59 | 3,951 |  |  | 17,554 | 2,504 | 2,908 | 26,917 | 51,084 |
| 2001 Jul 12 | Ph | 24,741 | 56 | 5,248 |  |  | 17,140 | 2,037 | 2,797 | 27,222 | 51,963 |
| 2002 Jul 22 | Ph | 19,719 | 86 | 2,591 | 10,806 | 518 | 11,324 | 1,742 | 1,943 | 17,600 | 37,319 |
| 2003 Jul 7 | Ph | 15,702 | 50 | 4,496 | 15,384 | 1,652 | 17,036 | 3,112 | 2,824 | 27,468 | 43,170 |
| 2004 Jul 10 | Ph | 20,866 | 30 | 2,722 | 17,792 | 2,496 | 20,288 | 2,874 | 2,773 | 28,657 | 49,523 |
| 2005 Jul 21 | Ph | 21,799 | 85 | 2,459 | 17,015 | 1,807 | 18,822 | 1,311 | 2,109 | 24,701 | 46,500 |
| 2006 Jul 14 | Ph | 26,154 | 121 | 2,228 | 18,208 | 2,145 | 20,353 | 1,865 | 3,355 | 27,801 | 53,955 |
| 2007 Jul 11 | Ph | 25,198 | 5 | 2,053 | 16,811 | 2,119 | 18,930 | 1,970 | 3,246 | 26,199 | 51,397 |
| 2008 Jul 11 | Ph | 29,052 | 102 | 2,307 | 18,173 | 2,261 | 20,434 | 2,510 | 3,309 | 28,560 | 57,612 |
| 2009 Jul 3-4 ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | Est | 19,697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 Jul $16^{\text {n }}$ | Est | 15,131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 Jul 18 | Dph | 28,087 | 411 | 3,629 | 15,191 | 924 | 16,115 | 1,755 | 2,316 | 23,815 | 51,902 |
| 2012 Jul 13 | Dph | 31,972 | 276 | 5,317 | 20,171 | 1,527 | 21,698 | 2,612 | 3,251 | 32,878 | 64,850 |
| 2013 Jul 17 | Dph | 16,225 | 78 | 8,037 | 24,277 | 956 | 25,233 | 2,688 | 2,881 | 38,839 | 55,063 |
| 2014 Jul 9 | Dph | 19,587 | 81 | 4,205 | 23,363 | 1,082 | 24,445 | 2,446 | 3,350 | 34,446 | 54,033 |
| Santa Barbara Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1983 Jun $30^{\text {p }}$ | Gr | 237 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 Jul 11-12 ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | Gr | 280 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985 Jul 5-6 ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | Gr | 543 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986 Jul 9-10 ${ }^{\text {1, p }}$ | Gr | 796 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110 | 1,166 | 1,962 |
| 1986 Jul 9-10 ${ }^{\text {1, p }}$ | Gr | 792 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92 | 1,241 | 2,033 |
| 1987 Jul 9-10 | Gr | 917 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95 | 1,349 | 2,266 |
| 1988 Jul 8-9 | Gr | 1,089 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 Jul 14 | Gr | 1,307 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104 | 2,240 | 3,547 |
| 1990 Jul 8-9 | Gr | 1,286 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 155 | 2,549 | 3,838 |
| 1991 Jul 8-9 | Gr | 1,504 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 151 | 2,974 | 4,478 |
| 1992 Jul 6-7 | Gr | 1,470 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125 | 1,956 | 3,427 |

Table 2. (Continued)

| Census date |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ⿹ㅔ } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & Z \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Santa Barbara Island (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 Jul 6-7 | Gr | 949 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  | 230 |  |  | 1,725 | 40 | 106 | 2,101 | 3,050 |
| 1994 Jul 6-7 | Gr | 1,688 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  | 540 |  |  | 2,637 | 109 | 143 | 3,429 | 5,117 |
| 1995 Jul 17-18 | Gr | 1,647 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164 | 4,592 | 6,239 |
| 1996 Jul 7 | Gr | 2,326 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  | 549 |  |  | 2,466 | 175 | 170 | 3,360 | 5,686 |
| 1997 Jul 18 | Ph | 2,095 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 0 | 211 |  |  | 2,185 | 61 | 146 | 2,603 | 4,698 |
| 1997 Jul 8 | Gr | 2,467 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  | 146 |  |  | 2,047 | 83 | 160 | 2,436 | 4,903 |
| 1997 Jul 8 | Gr | 2,351 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  | 162 |  |  | 1,898 | 93 | 204 | 2,357 | 4,709 |
| 1998 Jul 13 | Gr | $564{ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 195 | 2,616 | 3,180 |
| 1998 Jul 20 | Ph | $707{ }^{\text {g }}$ | 1 | 186 |  |  | 2,191 | 30 | 129 | 2,536 | 3,243 |
| 1999 Jul 31 | Ph | 2,410 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 9 | 266 |  |  | 2,439 | 14 | 87 | 2,806 | 5,216 |
| 2000 Jul 7 | Ph | 2,851 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 5 | 1,009 |  |  | 3,932 | 166 | 305 | 5,412 | 8,263 |
| 2001 Jul 12 | Ph | 3,061 | 18 | 1,328 |  |  | 3,399 | 167 | 274 | 5,168 | 8,229 |
| 2002 Jul 15 | Ph | 2,697 | 9 | 458 | 3,177 | 102 | 3,279 | 245 | 242 | 4,224 | 6,921 |
| 2003 Jul 10 | Ph | 1,528 | 6 | 554 | 2,613 | 51 | 2,664 | 208 | 206 | 3,632 | 5,160 |
| 2004 Jul 10 | Ph | 2,484 | 3 | 545 | 4,191 | 112 | 4,303 | 196 | 267 | 5,311 | 7,795 |
| 2005 Jul 20 | Ph | 2,827 | 4 | 375 | 2,992 | 142 | 3,134 | 179 | 257 | 3,945 | 6,772 |
| 2006 Jul 11 | Ph | 3,277 | 11 | 374 | 3,294 | 190 | 3,484 | 141 | 325 | 4,324 | 7,601 |
| 2007 Jul 12 | Ph | 3,473 | 14 | 435 | 3,056 | 181 | 3,237 | 204 | 384 | 4,260 | 7,733 |
| 2008 Jul 11 | Ph | 3,424 | 16 | 516 | 2,697 | 249 | 2,946 | 217 | 342 | 4,021 | 7,445 |
| $2009{ }^{\circ}$ | Est | 1,597 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2010^{\circ}$ | Est | 1,508 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 Jul 18 | Dph | 3,941 | 31 | 359 | 2,414 | 165 | 2,579 | 134 | 244 | 3,316 | 7,257 |
| 2012 Jul 13 | Dph | 3,558 | 26 | 452 | 2,862 | 221 | 3,083 | 208 | 271 | 4,014 | 7,572 |
| 2013 Jul 11 | Dph | 2,918 | 24 | 615 | 3,495 | 100 | 3,595 | 258 | 351 | 4,819 | 7,737 |
| 2014 Jul 8 | Dph | 2,498 | 17 | 296 | 3,785 | 111 | 3,896 | 153 | 284 | 4,629 | 7,127 |
| Santa Catalina Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 Jul 13 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 95 | 95 |
| 2003 Jul 10 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 19 | 16 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 32 | 32 |
| 2005 Jul 20 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 76 | 76 | 6 | 0 | 132 | 132 |
| 2007 Jul 11 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 218 | 135 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 4 | 357 | 357 |
| 2008 Jul 10 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 118 | 89 | 0 | 89 | 3 | 1 | 211 | 211 |
| 2011 Jul 11 | Dph | 17 | 0 | 118 | 251 | 22 | 273 | 2 | 6 | 399 | 416 |
| 2012 Jul 13 | Dph | 31 | 0 | 74 | 147 | 6 | 153 | 4 | 7 | 238 | 269 |
| 2013 Jul 12 | Dph | 20 | 0 | 109 | 294 | 5 | 299 | 10 | 8 | 426 | 446 |
| 2014 Jul 7 | Dph | 19 | 0 | 36 | 247 | 24 | 271 | 3 | 12 | 322 | 341 |
| Santa Cruz Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 Jul 18 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 31 |  |  | 105 | 3 | 6 | 145 | 145 |
| 2002 Jul 16 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 98 | 98 | 12 | 6 | 145 | 145 |
| 2003 Jul 11 | Ph | 2 | 0 | 311 | 398 | 122 | 260 | 27 | 4 | 602 | 604 |
| 2004 Jul 17 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 256 | 128 | 11 | 2 | 258 | 258 |
| 2005 Jul 8 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 56 | 155 | 17 | 172 | 7 | 6 | 241 | 241 |

Table 2. (Continued)

| Census date |  | $\begin{aligned} & 00 \\ & \tilde{Z} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \ddot{y} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{\pi} \\ & \frac{\pi}{\Xi} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{3} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Santa Cruz Island (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 Jul 10 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 309 | 740 | 25 | 382 | 13 | 4 | 708 | 708 |
| 2008 Jul 12 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 40 | 305 | 11 | 316 | 18 | 1 | 375 | 375 |
| 2011 Jul 11 | Dph | 1 | 0 | 285 | 683 | 16 | 699 | 38 | 3 | 1,025 | 1,026 |
| 2012 Jul 13 | Dph | 0 | 0 | 402 | 1,078 | 56 | 1,134 | 20 | 15 | 1,571 | 1,571 |
| 2013 Jul 8 | Dph | 0 | 0 | 450 | 935 | 31 | 966 | 34 | 19 | 1,469 | 1,469 |
| 2014 Jul 8 | Dph | 0 | 0 | 119 | 650 | 56 | 706 | 32 | 13 | 870 | 870 |
| Santa Rosa Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 Jul 16 | AO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2003 Jul 11 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 33 | 53 | 0 | 53 | 2 | 1 | 89 | 89 |
| 2004 Jul 17 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 52 | 26 | 3 | 3 | 40 | 40 |
| 2005 Jul 8 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 19 |
| 2007 Jul 10 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 2 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 28 | 28 |
| 2008 Jul 12 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 234 | 296 | 0 | 296 | 4 | 2 | 536 | 536 |
| 2011 Jul 11 | Dph | 0 | 0 | 365 | 515 | 6 | 521 | 9 | 5 | 900 | 900 |
| 2012 Jul 13-14 | Dph | 3 | 0 | 609 | 835 | 87 | 922 | 38 | 12 | 1,581 | 1,584 |
| 2013 Jul 11 | Dph | 3 | 0 | 155 | 561 | 5 | 566 | 32 | 15 | 768 | 771 |
| 2014 Jul 10 | Dph | 1 | 0 | 109 | 651 | 118 | 769 | 12 | 7 | 897 | 898 |

${ }^{a}$ aliver and Lowry (1987)
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Oliver et al. (1988)
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Wexler and Oliver (1988)
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Oliver and Wexler (1991)
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Oliver (1991a)
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Oliver (1991)
sowry and Maravilla-Chavez (2005)
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Carretta, et al. (2000)
${ }^{i}$ Castle Rock (the sub-island at San Miguel Island) was not censused
${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ Estimate for Castle Rock added to ground count (ground count multiplied by 1.04)
${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ Revised count for Lowry and Maravilla-Chavez (2005); multiplying 12,152 pups counted by 1.05 yields 12,760 pups.
${ }^{1}$ Revised count for Lowry et al. (1987) and Lowry and Maravilla-Chavez (2005)
${ }^{m}$ Lowry (1999)
${ }^{\text {n }}$ Appendix 1
${ }^{\circ}$ Estimated from data in Appendix 1 (subtracted SMI+SNI+SCI from total estimate)
${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ Lowry, et al. (1987)

Table 3. Number of California sea lions counted within seven zones in central and northern California for surveys conducted in July or August (refer to map in Figure 1A for location of zones). Some zones required more than one day to survey due to weather conditions. Counts were made by biologists on the ground (Gr), from vertical $126-\mathrm{mm}$ format aerial color photographs (Ph), vertical aerial digital photographs (DPh), or hand-held digital photographs (HDPh). AO denotes aerial observation when no animals were observed during the survey.

| Census date(s) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{1} \\ & \underset{\sim}{5} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern California: Zone A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 Jul 15-16 | $\mathrm{Gr} / \mathrm{Ph}$ | 0 | 0 | 358 |  |  | 206 | 148 | 22 | 734 | 734 |
| 1999 Jul 7 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 111 |  |  | 167 | 5 | 4 | 287 | 287 |
| 2000 Jul 8 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 52 | 52 | 3 | 6 | 110 | 110 |
| 2001 Jul 17 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 361 | 0 | 49 | 49 | 37 | 14 | 461 | 461 |
| 2002 Jul 9 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 426 | 426 | 1 | 0 | 631 | 631 |
| 2003 Jul 12 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 1,521 | 0 | 333 | 333 | 20 | 2 | 1,876 | 1,876 |
| 2004 Jul 5 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 702 | 0 | 303 | 303 | 4 | 0 | 1,009 | 1,009 |
| 2005 Jul 12 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 267 | 267 | 15 | 1 | 537 | 537 |
| 2009 Jul 8 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 1,416 | 19 | 1,241 | 1,260 | 104 | 68 | 2,848 | 2,848 |
| 2011 Jul 15 | DPh | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 233 | 233 | 6 | 2 | 275 | 275 |
| 2012 Jul 5-6 | DPh | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 228 | 228 | 12 | 20 | 303 | 303 |
| 2013 Jul 6 | DPh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 13 |


| Northern California: Zone B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1998 Jul 7, 13, 15 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 2,382 |  |  | 116 | 162 | 62 | 2,722 | 2,722 |
| 1999 Jul 7 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 14 |
| 2000 Jul 8 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 2001 Jul 17 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 24 | 7 | 79 | 79 |
| 2002 Jul 9 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 21 |
| 2003 Jul 12 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 360 | 0 | 51 | 51 | 1 | 1 | 413 | 413 |
| 2004 Jul 5 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 12 |
| 2005 Jul 12 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 2009 Jul 8 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 446 | 0 | 161 | 161 | 58 | 31 | 696 | 696 |
| 2011 Jul 15 | DPh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 9 |
| 2012 Jul 5 | DPh | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 88 | 88 | 20 | 23 | 191 | 191 |
| 2013 Jul 6 | AO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Northern California: Zone C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1998 Jul 13, 18 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 320 |  |  | 287 | 190 | 101 | 898 | 898 |
| 1999 Jul 7 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2000 Jul 12 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 28 | 11 | 116 | 116 |
| 2001 Jul 17 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 422 | 0 | 181 | 181 | 132 | 146 | 881 | 881 |
| 2002 Jul 12 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 638 | 0 | 83 | 83 | 2 | 2 | 725 | 725 |
| 2003 Jul 8, 11, 12 | Ph | 1 | 0 | 1,644 | 1 | 450 | 451 | 40 | 14 | 2,149 | 2,150 |
| 2004 Jul 9 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 2005 Jul 12 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 137 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 10 | 18 | 198 | 198 |
| 2009 Jul 8, 10, 12, 13 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 965 | 62 | 876 | 938 | 94 | 28 | 2,025 | 2,025 |
| 2011 Jul 14-15 | DPh | 1 | 0 | 10 | 19 | 11 | 30 | 2 | 7 | 49 | 50 |

Table 3. (Continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. (Continued)

| Census date(s) | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { د } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{\pi} \\ & \underline{\Xi} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central California: Zone F (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 Jul 16 | DPh | 6 | 0 | 1,327 | 1,195 | 231 | 1,426 | 228 | 72 | 3,053 | 3,059 |
| 2012 Jul 3 | DPh | 6 | 0 | 1,712 | 609 | 196 | 805 | 47 | 18 | 2,582 | 2,588 |
| 2013 Jul 7 | DPh | 0 | 0 | 1,619 | 897 | 190 | 1,087 | 35 | 21 | 2,762 | 2,762 |
| Central California: Zone G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 Jul 10 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 779 |  |  | 1,362 | 92 | 30 | 2,263 | 2,263 |
| 1999 Jul 9 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 919 |  |  | 2,426 | 186 | 63 | 3,594 | 3,594 |
| 2000 Jul 6 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 2,637 | 1,632 | 620 | 2,252 | 148 | 61 | 5,098 | 5,098 |
| 2001 Jul 14, 16 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 3,810 | 2,271 | 489 | 2,760 | 191 | 50 | 6,811 | 6,811 |
| 2002 Jul 8, 23 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 4,825 | 0 | 1,496 | 1,496 | 214 | 49 | 6,584 | 6,584 |
| 2003 Jul 8 | Ph | 3 | 0 | 1,569 | 754 | 1,339 | 2,093 | 182 | 50 | 3,894 | 3,897 |
| 2004 Jul 9, 17 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 2,959 | 117 | 2,058 | 2,175 | 156 | 29 | 5,319 | 5,319 |
| 2005 Jul 10 | Ph | 0 | 0 | 4,757 | 2,505 | 7 | 2,512 | 195 | 57 | 7,521 | 7,521 |
| 2007 Jul 1,10 | Ph | 1 | 0 | 7,949 | 1,775 | 587 | 2,362 | 146 | 74 | 10,531 | 10,532 |
| 2009 Jul 6 | Ph | 2 | 0 | 2,096 | 7,229 | 2,973 | 10,202 | 288 | 106 | 12,692 | 12,694 |
| 2011 Jul 15, 16, 18 | DPh | 1 | 0 | 1,344 | 2,981 | 748 | 3,729 | 212 | 72 | 5,357 | 5,358 |
| 2012 Jul 3 | DPh | 2 | 0 | 2,475 | 931 | 673 | 1,604 | 166 | 77 | 4,322 | 4,324 |
| 2013 Jul 7 | DPh | 0 | 0 | 2,701 | 1,663 | 337 | 2,000 | 107 | 62 | 4,870 | 4,870 |

Table 4. Counts of California sea lions at Año Nuevo Island, South Farallon Islands, and North Farallon Islands from aerial photographic surveys conducted during 1992-2013.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Table 4. (Continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 5. Published counts or estimates of CSL live-pups and non-pups used to estimate population trends. Date or count of live-pups enclosed within parenthesis is an estimate.

| Census date | Live-pups counted (estimated maximum) | Non-pups counted | Citation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Miguel Island |  |  |  |
| 1964 Jun 20 | 1,895 (2,350) | 12,456 | Odell (1971) |
| 1965 Jun 1-3 |  | 11,641 | Carlisle \& Aplin (1966) ${ }^{\text {a, b }}$ |
| 1975 Jun 27 | 6,236 (6,610) | 12,192 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jun 19 | $7,130(9,269)$ | 16,965 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jun 30 | 6,323 (6,513) | 16,474 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1977 Jul 2 | 5,304 | $14,122^{\text {c }}$ | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| San Nicolas Island |  |  |  |
| 1964 Jun 20 | 2,300 (2,852) | 10,539 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Odell (1971) |
| 1965 Jul 4-6 | 3,604 | 5,771 | Peterson \& Bartholomew (1967) |
| 1968 Aug 3-4 | 875 |  | Odell (1972) |
| 1969 Jun 14-15 | 2,679 (4,501) | 9,056 | Odell (1972) |
| 1969 Jul18-20 | 2,957 |  | Odell (1972) |
| 1970 Jul 3-4 | 2,271 | 7,522 | Odell (1972) |
| 1971 Jul 3-5 | 3,500 | 8,806 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Odell (1972) |
| 1975 Jun28 | 3,800 (3,990) | 9,649 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jun19-20 | 3,533 (4,381) | 10,159 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jul1 | 2,887 (2,945) | 9,430 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1977 Jul 3 | 3,773 | 11,534 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1977 Jul 26-30 | 3,155 |  | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1978 | $(3,241 \pm 592)$ |  | Lowry \& Maravilla-Chavez (2005) |
| 1979 | $(4,880 \pm 499)$ |  | Lowry \& Maravilla-Chavez (2005) |
| 1980 Jul 6 | 6,096 | 8,211 | Stewart \& Yochem (1984) |
| 1981 Jul 5 | 6,704 | 9,305 | Stewart \& Yochem (1984) |
| 1981 Jun 24 | 5,693 | 11,645 | Heath \& Francis (1983) |
| 1982 Jun 20 | 6,648 $(8,244)$ | 13,680 | Heath \& Francis (1983) |
| 1982 Jul 4 | 7,738 | 12,554 | Stewart \& Yochem (1984) |
| 1982 Jul 12 | 6,805 | 11,035 | Heath \& Francis (1983) |
| 1982 Jul 26 | 6,952 | 8,547 | Heath \& Francis (1983) |
| 1983 Jun 19 | 3,281 (4,265) | 9,535 | Heath \& Francis (1984) |
| 1983 Jul 5 | 4,405 | 7,760 | Heath \& Francis (1984) |
| 1983 Jul 17 | 4,005 | 5,645 | Heath \& Francis (1984) |
| 1984 Jul 2 | 3,631 | 6,966 | Stewart \& Yochem 1986 |
| 1985 (Jul 2) | $\left(4,524^{\text {f }}\right.$ ) |  | Stewart et al. (1993) |
| 1986 (Jul 2) | $\left(4,157^{\text {f }}\right.$ ) |  | Stewart et al. (1993) |
| 1987 (Jul2) | $\left(5,321{ }^{\text {f }}\right.$ ) |  | Stewart et al. (1993) |
| Santa Barbara Island |  |  |  |
| 1964 Jun 12 | 220 (497) | 3,062 | Odell (1971) |
| 1965 Jun 1-3 |  | 1,100 | Carlisle \& Aplin (1966) ${ }^{\text {a, b }}$ |
| 1975 Jun 29 | 684 (711) | 1,104 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jun 19-20 | 410 (533) | 1,382 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jun 29-Jul 2 | 515 (530) | 1,114 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |

Table 5. (Continued)

| Census date | Live-pups counted (estimated maximum) | Non-pups counted | Citation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Santa Barbara Island |  |  |  |
| 1976 Jul 29 | 582 |  | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jul 31-Aug 3 | 403 |  | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1977 Jun 30-Jul 3 | 349 | 1,200 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1977 Jul 29 | 492 |  | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1978 (Jul 2) | 465 |  | Heath \& Francis (1983) |
| 1979 (Jul 2) | 625 |  | Heath \& Francis (1983) |
| 1980 | (773 $\pm 54$ ) |  | Lowry \& Maravilla-Chavez (2005) |
| 1981 (Jul 2) | 730 |  | Heath \& Francis (1983) |
| 1982 (Jul 2) | 818 |  | Heath \& Francis (1983) |
| San Clemente Island |  |  |  |
| 1964 Jun 12 | 183 (414) | 3,637 | Odell (1971) |
| 1965 Jun 1-3 |  | 1,900 | Carlisle \& Aplin (1966) ${ }^{\text {a, b }}$ |
| 1975 Jun 29 | 608 (632) | 1,239 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jun 19-20 | 413 (512) | 1,463 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jul 31-Aug 3 | 438 |  | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1977 Jul 3 | 351 | 1,067 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1978 | $(465 \pm 38)$ |  | Lowry \& Maravilla-Chavez (2005) |
| 1979 | $(549 \pm 31)$ |  | Lowry \& Maravilla-Chavez (2005) |
| 1980 | $(619 \pm 34)$ |  | Lowry \& Maravilla-Chavez (2005) |
| Richardson Rock |  |  |  |
| 1975 Jun 27 | 0 | 131 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jun 19 |  | 368 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jun 30 | 3 | 274 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1977 Jul 2 | 0 | 305 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| Anacapa Island |  |  |  |
| 1965 Jun 1-3 |  | 0 | Carlisle \& Aplin (1966) |
| 1975 Jun 27-30 | 0 | 0 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1977 Jun 30-Jul 3 | 0 | 0 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| Santa Cruz Island |  |  |  |
| 1964 Jun 20 | 0 | 89 | Odell (1971) |
| 1965 Jun 1-3 |  | 401 | Carlisle \& Aplin (1966) ${ }^{\text {a, b }}$ |
| 1975 Jun 27-30 | 0 | 25 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jun 19-20 | 0 | 212 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jun 29-Jul 2 | 0 | 239 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1977 Jun 30-Jul 3 | 0 | 185 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| Santa Rosa Island |  |  |  |
| 1964 Jun 20 | 0 | 0 | Odell (1971) |
| 1965 Jun 1-3 |  | 125 | Carlisle \& Aplin (1966) ${ }^{\text {a, b }}$ |
| 1975 Jun 27-30 | 0 | 0 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jun 29-Jul 2 | 0 | 111 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1977 Jun 30-Jul 3 | 0 | 0 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |

Table 5. (Continued)

| Census date | Live-pups counted (estimated maximum) | Non-pups counted | Citation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Santa Catalina Island |  |  |  |
| 1964 Jun 20 | 0 | 92 | Odell (1971) |
| 1965 Jun 1-3 |  | 35 | Carlisle \& Aplin (1966) ${ }^{\text {a, b }}$ |
| 1975 Jun 27-30 | 0 | 0 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1976 Jun 29-Jul 2 | 0 | 14 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| 1977 Jun 30-Jul 3 | 0 | 106 | Bonnell et al. (1980) |
| South Farallon Islands |  |  |  |
| 1982 July 15 | 2 | 1,836 | Huber et al. (1983) |
| 1983 July 7 | 2 | 3,494 | Huber et al. (1985) |
| 1984 July 6 | 1 | 2,297 | Huber et al. (1986) |
| Central California |  |  |  |
| 1980 July 1-3 |  | 4,272 | Bonnell et al. (1983) |
| 1981 June 30-July 2 |  | 7,935 | Bonnell et al. (1983) |
| 1982 June 28-30 |  | 11,208 | Bonnell et al. (1983) |
| Northern California |  |  |  |
| 1980 July 1-3 |  | 214 | Bonnell et al. (1983) |
| 1981 June 30-July 2 |  | 0 | Bonnell et al. (1983) |
| 1982 June 28-30 |  | 1 | Bonnell et al. (1983) |

${ }^{a}$ Counts of CSLs south of Point Conception may include Steller sea lions.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Count of non-pups may contain pups.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Count for Richardson Rock removed from San Miguel Island total.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Non-pup total derived from sum of adult males and females/immature males.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Non-pup total derived from sum of total males and females/immature males.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Counts estimated by digitizing Figure 4 in Stewart et al. (1993).

Table 6. Previously published counts of live California sea lion pups at San Nicolas Island, California used to estimate corrections for survey date (see text) when live pup counts were made prior to the July 2 maximum.

| Year | date | Julian <br> day | Live- <br> pup <br> count | Proportion of <br> maximum live- <br> pup count | Source of pup count data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1982 | 16-May | 136 | 6 | 0.001 | Heath and Francis (1983) |
| 1982 | 23-May | 143 | 43 | 0.006 | Heath and Francis (1983) |
| 1982 | 6-Jun | 157 | 1,853 | 0.267 | Heath and Francis (1983) |
| 1982 | 20-Jun | 171 | 6,648 | 0.956 | Heath and Francis (1983) |
| 1982 | 12-Jul | 193 | 6,805 | 0.979 | Heath and Francis (1983) |
| 1982 | 26-Jul | 207 | 6,952 | 1 | Heath and Franci (1983) |
| 1983 | 15-May | 135 | 2 | 0 | Heath and Francis (1984) |
| 1983 | 29-May | 149 | 127 | 0.029 | Heath and Francis (1984) |
| 1983 | 5-Jun | 156 | 728 | 0.165 | Heath and Francis (1984) |
| 1983 | 19-Jun | 170 | 3,281 | 0.745 | Heath and Francis (1984) |
| 1983 | 5-Jul | 186 | 4,405 | 1 | Heath and Francis (1984) |
| 1980 | 17-May | 138 | 34 | 0.006 | Stewart and Yochem (1984) |
| 1980 | 2-Jun | 160 | 1,276 | 0.209 | Stewart and Yochem (1984) |
| 1980 | 6-Jul | 188 | 6,096 | 1 | Stewart and Yochem (1984) |
| 1981 | 16-May | 136 | 0 | 0 | Stewart and Yochem (1984) |
| 1981 | 22-May | 142 | 121 | 0.018 | Stewart and Yochem (1984) |
| 1981 | 12-Jun | 163 | 3,336 | 0.498 | Stewart and Yochem (1984) |
| 1981 | 5-Jul | 186 | 6,704 | 1 | Stewart and Yochem (1984) |
| 1981 | 11-Jul | 192 | 6,626 | 0.988 | Stewart and Yochem (1984) |
| 1981 | 24-Jul | 205 | 6,676 | 0.996 | Stewart and Yochem (1984) |
| 1982 | 29-May | 149 | 274 | 0.035 | Stewart and Yochem (1984) |
| 1982 | 12-Jun | 163 | 3,396 | 0.439 | Stewart and Yochem (1984) |
| 1982 | 4-Jul | 185 | 7,738 | 1 | Stewart and Yochem (1984) |
| 1984 | 19-May | 140 | 3 | 0.001 | Stewart and Yochem (1986) |
| 1984 | 10-Jun | 162 | 631 | 0.174 | Stewart and Yochem (1986) |
| 1984 | 17-Jun | 169 | 1,786 | 0.492 | Stewart and Yochem (1986) |
| 1984 | 2-Jul | 184 | 3,631 | 1 | Stewart and Yochem (1986) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7. Mean percentage distribution (with standard deviation [SD]) of seven CSL age/sex class categories counted at the Main Channel Islands rookeries, Other Channel Islands, central California, and northern California from surveys conducted in 2003-2005, 2007 (pups only), and 2011-2013.

| Age/sex class | Southern California |  |  |  | Central California |  | Northern California |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main Channel Island Rookeries |  | Other Channel Islands |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | SD | Mean \% | SD | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mean } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | SD |
| Live pups | 99.71 | 0.239 | 0.05 | 0.044 | 0.29 | 0.197 | 0.00 | 0.001 |
| Juveniles | 59.41 | 8.142 | 3.50 | 1.894 | 33.70 | 6.607 | 3.39 | 5.741 |
| Adult females | 87.22 | 7.297 | 2.42 | 1.590 | 10.35 | 6.565 | 0.01 | 0.013 |
| Young males | 50.40 | 15.126 | 2.68 | 1.525 | 42.72 | 14.470 | 4.21 | 2.510 |
| Sub-adult males | 82.85 | 6.027 | 1.29 | 0.352 | 15.44 | 6.108 | 0.41 | 0.310 |
| Adult males | 91.90 | 2.748 | 0.56 | 0.277 | 7.22 | 2.488 | 0.32 | 0.324 |
| Non-pups | 77.35 | 5.040 | 2.40 | 1.378 | 18.96 | 3.675 | 1.29 | 1.770 |

Table 8. Mean percentage distribution (with standard deviation [SD]) of seven CSL age/sex class categories counted at zones in central California, northern California, and at each of the Channel Islands in southern California from surveys conducted in 20032005, 2007 (pups only), and 2011-2013. Refer to Figure 1 for location of zones and islands (Richardson rock is 10 km northwest of Point Bennett, San Miguel Island).

| Zone, Rock, or Island | Live pups |  | Juveniles |  | Adult females |  | Young males |  | Sub-adult males |  | Adult males |  | Non-pups |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean \% | SD | Mean \% | SD | Mean \% | SD | Mean \% | SD | Mean \% | SD | Mean \% | SD | Mean \% | SD |
| Northern CA, zone A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.77 | 2.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.89 | 1.59 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.70 | 0.74 |
| Northern CA, zone B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.18 |
| Northern CA, zone C | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.32 | 2.77 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.06 | 1.64 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.48 | 0.92 |
| Central CA, zone D | 0.14 | 0.13 | 6.33 | 5.91 | 1.71 | 1.46 | 18.49 | 8.50 | 7.06 | 4.83 | 3.78 | 1.97 | 4.77 | 2.10 |
| Central CA, zone E | 0.09 | 0.07 | 9.86 | 3.65 | 4.79 | 4.96 | 10.30 | 9.72 | 4.49 | 4.47 | 2.18 | 1.35 | 6.25 | 3.25 |
| Central CA, zone F | 0.01 | 0.01 | 6.69 | 2.35 | 1.21 | 0.66 | 3.66 | 2.81 | 1.19 | 1.21 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 2.69 | 0.49 |
| Central CA, zone G | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.82 | 4.74 | 2.64 | 1.85 | 10.28 | 7.78 | 2.70 | 1.08 | 0.83 | 0.23 | 5.25 | 1.46 |
| Richardson Rock | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.37 | 0.56 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.03 |
| San Miguel Island | 44.78 | 2.96 | 36.89 | 7.49 | 42.70 | 6.18 | 26.15 | 9.27 | 42.35 | 2.12 | 46.18 | 4.19 | 40.12 | 5.22 |
| Santa Rosa Island | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 1.06 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.54 | 0.61 |
| Santa Cruz Island | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.13 | 0.63 | 0.95 | 0.73 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.33 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.84 | 0.53 |
| Anacapa Island | 0.04 | 0.04 | 1.29 | 0.42 | 0.68 | 0.30 | 1.16 | 1.52 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.78 | 0.29 |
| Santa Barbara Island | 5.80 | 0.88 | 2.00 | 0.37 | 5.74 | 1.41 | 1.86 | 0.82 | 3.01 | 0.67 | 3.86 | 0.70 | 4.17 | 0.72 |
| San Nicolas Island | 44.71 | 3.49 | 18.34 | 7.78 | 33.54 | 4.44 | 20.94 | 7.46 | 35.31 | 5.82 | 38.78 | 3.73 | 29.22 | 3.91 |
| Santa Catalina Island | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.56 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.15 |
| San Clemente Island | 4.41 | 0.76 | 1.93 | 0.85 | 5.06 | 0.48 | 1.14 | 0.71 | 2.12 | 0.67 | 3.03 | 0.48 | 3.64 | 0.48 |

Table 9. Average annual rates of increase ( $\lambda$ ) during 1964 to 2014 predicted from (A) counts of live-pups and (B) counts of non-pups at rookeries or regions. Rates are estimated from the year coefficient of a backward-stepwise Generalized Linear Model (GLM) with Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI), Sea Level Height at Los Angeles, California harbor (SLH-LA), Pacific Decadal Oscillations (PDO), and North Pacific Gyre Oscillation (NPGO) as continuous covariates. Only significant ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ ) covariates are included.

| Rookery, group, or region (year data range) | $$ | Effect | Coefficient | t | pValue | 95\% CI for regression coefficients |  | Predicted $\lambda$ | 95\% CI for $\lambda$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |  | Lower | Upper |
| A. Live pup counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Miguel Island 41 (1964-2014) | 0.878 | Constant | -71.364 | -14.935 | <0.001 | -81.037 | -61.691 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year | 0.041 | 16.909 | <0.001 | 0.036 | 0.045 | 1.042 | 1.037 | 1.046 |
|  |  | SLH-LA | -2.279 | -2.906 | 0.006 | -3.866 | -0.691 |  |  |  |
| San Nicolas 39 <br> Island  <br> $(1964-2014)$  | 0.841 | Constant | -101.084 | -12.844 | <0.001 | -114.523 | -85.984 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year | 0.054 | 13.758 | <0.001 | 0.048 | 0.062 | 1.055 | 1.049 | 1.064 |
|  |  | SLH-LA | -7.830 | -5.776 | <0.001 | -9.932 | -4.090 |  |  |  |
|  |  | NPGO | -0.115 | -2.208 | 0.034 | -0.262 | -0.044 |  |  |  |
| Santa Barbara 38 <br> Island  <br> $(1964-2014)$  | 0.856 | Constant | -101.084 | -12.844 | <0.001 | -117.077 | -85.09 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year | 0.054 | 13.758 | <0.001 | 0.046 | 0.062 | 1.055 | 1.047 | 1.064 |
|  |  | SLH-LA | -7.83 | -5.776 | <0.001 | -10.585 | -5.075 |  |  |  |
|  |  | NPGO | -0.115 | -2.208 | 0.034 | -0.22 | -0.009 |  |  |  |
| San ClementeIsland(1964-2014) | 0.905 | Constant | -84.83 | -16.817 | <0.001 | -95.070 | -74.589 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year | 0.046 | 18.202 | <0.001 | 0.041 | 0.051 | 1.047 | 1.042 | 1.052 |
|  |  | SLH-LA | -4.633 | -6.011 | <0.001 | -6.198 | -3.068 |  |  |  |
| Año Nuevo  <br> Island 1 <br> $(1992-2013)$  | 0.739 | Constant | -421.492 | -7.222 | <0.001 | -545.219 | -297.76 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year | 0.212 | 7.262 | <0.001 | 0.15 | 0.274 | 1.236 | 1.162 | 1.315 |
|  |  | SLH-LA | 11.951 | 2.603 | 0.019 | 2.216 | 21.686 |  |  |  |
| S. Farallon Islands 18 (1981-2013) | 0.493 | Constant | -237.021 | -4.137 | 0.001 | -358.472 | -115.57 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year | 0.120 | 4.185 | 0.001 | 0.059 | 0.181 | 1.127 | 1.061 | 1.198 |
| Año Nuevo Is. + 15 <br> S. Farallon Islands <br> (1995-2013) | 0.557 | Constant | -379.106 | -4.118 | 0.001 | -579.693 | -178.52 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year | 0.191 | 4.158 | 0.001 | 0.091 | 0.291 | 1.210 | 1.095 | 1.338 |
|  |  | SLH-LA | 15.651 | 2.322 | 0.039 | 0.968 | 30.335 |  |  |  |
| Main ChannelIslands rookeries$(1964-2014)$ | 0.923 | Constant | -81.521 | -16.992 | <0.001 | -91.319 | -71.723 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year | 0.046 | 19.13 | <0.001 | 0.041 | 0.051 | 1.047 | 1.042 | 1.052 |
|  |  | SLH-LA | -5.369 | -6.361 | <0.001 | -7.092 | -3.645 |  |  |  |
|  |  | NPGO | -0.100 | -3.223 | 0.003 | -0.164 | -0.037 |  |  |  |
| U.S. population 34(1964-2014) | 0.924 | Constant | -81.669 | -17.120 | <0.001 | -91.411 | -71.927 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Year | 0.046 | 19.271 | <0.001 | 0.041 | 0.051 | 1.047 | 1.042 | 1.052 |
|  |  | SLH-LA | -5.346 | -6.370 | <0.001 | -7.059 | -3.632 |  |  |  |
|  |  | NPGO | -0.099 | -3.215 | 0.003 | -0.162 | -0.036 |  |  |  |


| B. Non-pup counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| San Miguel | 26 | 0.849 | Constant | -46.827 | -9.793 | $<0.001$ | -56.719 | -36.935 |  |  |  |
| Island |  |  | Year | 0.029 | 11.922 | $<0.001$ | 0.024 | 0.034 | 1.029 | 1.024 | 1.035 |
| $(1964-2014)$ |  |  | SLH-LA | -1.785 | -2.102 | 0.047 | -3.542 | -0.028 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| San Nicolas | 33 | 0.891 | Constant | -54.591 | -13.732 | $<0.001$ | -62.710 | -46.472 |  |  |  |
| Island |  |  | Year | 0.032 | 16.176 | $<0.001$ | 0.028 | 0.036 | 1.033 | 1.028 | 1.037 |
| $(1964-2014)$ |  |  | SLH-LA | -2.318 | -3.079 | 0.004 | -3.855 | -0.780 |  |  |  |

Table 9. (Cont.)

| Rookery, group, or region (year data range) | $\begin{gathered} \\ \mathrm{N} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text { Adjusted } \\ \mathrm{R}^{2} \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  | Effect | Coefficient | t | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{p}- \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ | 95\% CI for regression coefficients |  | Predicted $\lambda$ | 95\% CI for $\lambda$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower |  |  |  | Upper | Lower |  | Upper |
| B. Non-pup counts (Cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Santa Barbara <br> Is. (1964-2014) | 31 | 0.575 |  | Constant | -50.12 | -5.565 | <0.001 | -68.539 | -31.701 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Year | 0.029 | 6.449 | <0.001 | 0.020 | 0.038 | 1.029 | 1.020 | 1.039 |
| San Clemente Island$(1964-2014)$ | 39 | 0.498 | Constant | -39.671 | -3.879 | <0.001 | -60.412 | -18.93 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Year | 0.024 | 4.617 | <0.001 | 0.013 | 0.034 | 1.024 | 1.013 | 1.035 |
|  |  |  | PDO | -0.277 | -3.71 | 0.001 | -0.428 | -0.126 |  |  |  |
| Main Channel Islands rookeries (1964-2014) | 26 | 0.885 | Constant | -46.782 | -11.237 | <0.001 | -55.394 | -38.17 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Year | 0.029 | 13.843 | <0.001 | 0.025 | 0.033 | 1.029 | 1.025 | 1.034 |
|  |  |  | SLH-LA | -2.157 | -2.916 | 0.008 | -3.686 | -0.627 |  |  |  |
| Southern California (1964-2014) | 14 | 0.942 | Constant | -45.599 | -11.76 | <0.001 | -54.048 | -37.15 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Year | 0.028 | 14.568 | <0.001 | 0.024 | 0.033 | 1.028 | 1.024 | 1.034 |
| Other Channel Is.(1964-2014) | 14 | 0.647 | Constant | -120.165 | -4.71 | 0.001 | -175.751 | -64.579 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Year | 0.064 | 4.978 | <0.001 | 0.036 | 0.092 | 1.066 | 1.037 | 1.096 |
| Año Nuevo <br> Island <br> (1992-2013) | 19 | 0.197 | Constant | 20.46 | 0.6 | 0.557 | -51.884 | 92.803 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Year | -0.006 | -0.351 | 0.730 | -0.042 | 0.030 | 0.994 | 0.959 | 1.030 |
|  |  |  | NPGO | 0.204 | 2.312 | 0.034 | 0.017 | 0.392 |  |  |  |
| S. Farallon Islands (1982-2013) | 18 | 0.459 | Constant | -58.83 | -2.641 | 0.019 | -106.31 | -11.35 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Year | 0.034 | 3.010 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.057 | 1.035 | 1.010 | 1.059 |
|  |  |  | MEI | 0.403 | 3.459 | 0.004 | 0.155 | 0.652 |  |  |  |
| Año Nuevo Island + S. Farallon Is. <br> (1995-2013) |  | 0 | Constant | 23.312 | 0.729 | 0.479 | -45.794 | 92.418 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Year | -0.007 | -0.440 | 0.667 | -0.042 | 0.027 | 0.993 | 0.959 | 1.027 |
| Central California$(1980-2013)$ | 16 | 0.603 | Constant | -65.962 | -4.251 | 0.001 | -99.244 | -32.681 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Year | 0.038 | 4.878 | <0.001 | 0.021 | 0.054 | 1.039 | 1.021 | 1.055 |
| N. California (1980-2013) | 15 | 0.340 | Constant | -284.712 | -2.808 | 0.015 | -503.748 | -65.677 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Year | 0.145 | 2.866 | 0.013 | 0.036 | 0.255 | 1.156 | 1.037 | 1.290 |
| Central + North. California (1980-2013) | 15 | 0.556 | Constant | -67.063 | -3.754 | 0.002 | -105.653 | -28.474 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Year | 0.038 | 4.301 | 0.001 | 0.019 | 0.058 | 1.039 | 1.019 | 1.060 |



Figure 1. A. Map of California shoreline showing a posteriori strata of the coastline comprised of three sections (southern, central, and northern) and zones A through G within central and northern California. B. Map of Southern California strata showing names and location of California Channel Islands. Most of the U.S. population of CSL breeds at rookeries on San Clemente, San Nicolas, Santa Barbara and San Miguel Islands. C. Map of coastline from Monterey Bay to Bodega Bay showing location of northernmost CSL rookeries at Año Nuevo Island and the Farallon Islands.


Figure 2. Cumulative proportion of live pups counted for each Julian day that a count was made. Logistic equation (black line) is fit to published data (black circles) of live-pup counts made at San Nicolas during the breeding season (data from Heath and Francis 1983, 1984, Stewart and Yochem 1984, 1986). The logistic curve is parameterized to estimate the expected proportion of pups that would be counted on July 2 (Julian day 183).


Figure 3. Counts of CSL live-pups in the U.S. population, and counts of non-pups in southern California and total for California (southern California mainland not surveyed) for surveys conducted during 1964-2014. Grey bars indicate moderate or strong El Niño conditions based on SLH-LA. Gaps represent years when no counts were available.


Figure 4. Total of CSL age/sex class counts from complete surveys conducted in southern California, central California, and northern California during non-consecutive years, July 20022014.


Figure 5. Total of CSL age/sex classes of non-pups counted in southern California (CA), central California, and northern California during surveys conducted in non-consecutive years, July 2002-2014.

## A. Live pups



Figure 6. (A) CSL counts of live-pups and (B) counts of CSL non-pups at each of the four Main Channel Islands rookeries in southern California during 1964-2014. Grey bars indicate moderate or strong El Niño conditions based on SLH-LA. Gaps represent years when no counts were available.


Figure 7. (A) Percentage distribution of CSL counts of live-pups and (B) counts of non-pups at each of the Main Channel Islands rookeries in southern California during 1964-2014. Grey bars in panel (A) indicate moderate or strong El Niño conditions based on SLH-LA. Gaps represent years when no counts were available.

Total California sea lion (CSL) pup counts for the U.S. population were not available for 2009 and 2010, but a complete ground count was available for San Miguel Island (SMI) and a partial ground count was available for San Nicolas Island (SNI). The partial ground counts at SNI were obtained within the SNI trend study area (Figure A1). To expand the partial count from the SNI trend study area to a total island count, aerial photo count data at SNI for the years 1990, 1992-2008, and 2011-2013 was used (Table 3). For each year the proportion of pups in the trend study areas was computed from aerial photographic surveys (Table A1). A temporal trend with a cubic polynomial was fitted to the proportions with a log-link and a normal error distribution (Figure A2). With the predicted proportions from the regression for 2009 and 2010, the partial ground count was expanded to a total island count for SNI for those years. The SNI estimated total count was then added to the SMI ground count (Table A2). With the aerial survey data, the total U.S. count was regressed against the count at SMI and SNI to provide a correction factor to expand the total from SMI and SNI to the total U.S. pup count (Figure A3, Table A2).

Table A1. Aerial survey counts of California sea lion pups from 1990, 1992-2008, and 2011-2013 at San Nicolas Island. Counts are for the entire island and for the SNI trend study area. The proportion of the count in the SNI trend study area is also shown. Multiple counts were available for some years.

| Year | SNI Trend study area | U.S. Total | Proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 9,765 | 10,683 | 0.914 |
| 1990 | 10,361 | 11,766 | 0.881 |
| 1992 | 7,268 | 8,869 | 0.819 |
| 1992 | 7,617 | 9,348 | 0.815 |
| 1993 | 8,463 | 10,595 | 0.799 |
| 1993 | 8,315 | 10,538 | 0.789 |
| 1993 | 7,920 | 9,702 | 0.816 |
| 1993 | 8,366 | 10,409 | 0.804 |
| 1993 | 7,831 | 9,698 | 0.807 |
| 1993 | 8,285 | 10,345 | 0.801 |
| 1994 | 11,079 | 15,766 | 0.703 |
| 1994 | 11,885 | 16,889 | 0.704 |
| 1995 | 11,395 | 17,512 | 0.651 |
| 1995 | 11,218 | 16,926 | 0.663 |
| 1996 | 11,264 | 19,308 | 0.583 |
| 1996 | 11,841 | 20,285 | 0.584 |
| 1997 | 11,951 | 20,488 | 0.583 |
| 1998 | 2,373 | 4,885 | 0.486 |
| 1999 | 9,882 | 19,878 | 0.497 |
| 2000 | 11,323 | 24,167 | 0.469 |
| 2001 | 11,023 | 24,741 | 0.446 |
| 2002 | 8,717 | 19,719 | 0.442 |
| 2003 | 6,568 | 15,702 | 0.418 |
| 2004 | 8,167 | 20,866 | 0.391 |
| 2005 | 8,072 | 21,799 | 0.370 |
| 2006 | 9,232 | 26,154 | 0.353 |
| 2007 | 8,962 | 25,198 | 0.356 |
| 2008 | 10,134 | 29,052 | 0.349 |
| 2011 | 9,075 | 28,087 | 0.323 |
| 2012 | 10,399 | 31,972 | 0.325 |
| 2013 | 4,569 | 16,225 | 0.282 |
| 2014 | 5,676 | 19,587 | 0.290 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A2. Ground count of California sea lion pups at San Miguel Island, estimated number of pups at San Nicolas Island from partial ground count and estimate of total number of pups in U.S. waters for 2009-2010.

| Year | SMI Ground Count | SNI Estimate | U.S. Total Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 12,806 | 19,697 | 35,913 |
| 2010 | 15,131 | 15,554 | 33,873 |



Figure A1. Location of SNI Trend study area for monitoring California sea lion pup production at San Nicolas Island, California.


Figure A2. Proportion of California sea lion pup counts in SNI trend area and the fitted cubic polynomial.


Figure A3. Linear regression of total U.S. pup count against total of San Miguel Island and San Nicolas Island pup counts.
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