DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE NATIONAL METEOROLOGICAL CENTER

OFFICE NOTE 223

NMC Participation in the GARP BDS Experiment

Charles L. Vlcek Development Division

SEPTEMBER 1980

This is an unreviewed manuscript, primarily intended for informal exchange of information among NMC staff members.

1. INTRODUCTION

This note describes the results of NMC's participation in the Global Atmospheric Research Program (GARP) on numerical experimentation. Specifically, NMC's seven-layer primitive equation model (7L PE) was used to generate forecasts from global analyses for 4-9 November 1969 made by Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) as part of the Basic Data Set Project (Gadd, 1980). The results were then compared with those of other participating centers. Most of the discussion, tables (1 through 4), and figures are derived from Gadd's report.

2. HISTORY OF THE BDS

The BDS Project was conceived by the Working Group on Numerical Experimentation (WGNE) as a means of comparing the performance of different forecast models (Carson, 1978). The initial analyses for the project was made in early 1975 by the Dynamic Prediction Research Division (DPRD, now DRPN), which used a spectral format for global analyses for the period 4-9 November 1969.

A number of difficulties were encountered in attempting to use these analyses, and only eight of 24 participating stations were able to complete their work and submit results. NMC was one of the eight successful centers, using a 9-layer, 2.5% latitudelongitude global forecasting model (Stackpole, 1976).

Other difficulties in interpreting the results of that stage of the project arose due to the variety of initialization procedures and output formats. A partial solution was achieved by limiting intercomparison to 500 mb geopotential height forecasts, using a common projection and scale.

In April 1978 the WGNE decided to conduct a second forecast model intercomparison project, using analyses for 4-9 November 1969 prepared by GFDL. Comparisons would be made among forecasts up to 120 hours at 500 mb and 1000 mb (or sea level) geopotential heights.

3. GFDL ANALYSES

According to Gadd, the GFDL global analyses at 12 hour intervals from 00Z 4 November 1969 through 00Z 9 November 1969 uses an optimum interpolation routine and 4-D assimilation routine, in packed spectral form (Level III FGGE format). Unpacking and spectral synthesis routines were included with the data. The BDS contains fields of vorticity, divergence, temperature, mixing ratio and geopotential height at 19 levels plus sea level temperature and pressure. The 19 levels are 0.4, 2, 5, 10, 20, 30, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 400, 500, 700, 800, 850, 900, and 1000 mb. Sea surface temperatures were not included and had to be obtained separately.

4. NMC METHODOLOGY

2

The operational 7L PE model (Shuman and Hovermale, 1968) was used in generating forecasts up to 120 hours from GFDL analyses at 00Z 4 November 1969, 00Z 5 November, 00Z 6 November, 00Z 7 November, and 00Z 8 November. Table 1 shows the summary of integrations carried out in NMC and other participating centers. Seventy millibar data used in generating 7L PE forecasts was not available but was interpolated (linearly with respect to log P) between 50 mb and 100 mb. However, humidity data was not used in the forecast due to incompatibility between desired and available fields; an initial default value of 40% mean relative humidity everywhere was assigned instead. The LIII FGGE grid was interpolated linearly to the NMC 381 km polar stereographic grid.

5. NMC RESULTS: COMPARISON WITH OTHER CENTERS

Table 2 gives the RMS persistence errors in meters for 500 mb height for the 00Z 4 November 1969 data. NMC's persistence errors are slightly but consistently lower than those for other centers. This result implies that the NMC version of the GFDL analyses is somewhat smoother than that for other centers, possibly as a result of the linear interpolation from Level III FGGE to NMC polar stereographic format.

Table 3 gives the 500 mb forecast errors, in meters and as a percentage of persistence, for various centers. The NMC forecasts compare quite favorably with the rest of the field, at least for this case. NMC's 24 hour forecast is average but forecasts beyond 24 hours rank among the best in the field. "Percentage of persistence" is a better indicator of relative quality since the RMS error values are affected by the smoothness of the verifying analysis, reflected by persistence values (See Table 2).

Table 4 is similar to table 3, except that 1000 mb or sea level forecasts are evaluated, and only the percentage of persistence error is given. NMC's ranking here is similar to those for the 500 mb forecasts.

Spectrally decomposed 500 mb RMS errors, which were computed at a number of other centers, were not computed here. Forecast and difference maps are shown for 120 hour (Figures 1a, 1b), but not for 72 hour. The major feature of the 120 hour forecast error for all participants was the gross underforecast of a low near Iceland. The value of the maximum difference in this region was generally about 500 m, and the NMC result is no exception.

In addition to the forecasts generated from the 00Z 4 November 1969 data, results of NMC forecasts from 00Z 5 November 1969, 00Z 6 November, 00Z 7 November, and 00Z 8 November are also given in Table 5. Here the mean forecast error is shown in addition to the RMS, and a predominantly positive bias (forecast greater than observed) is clearly evident. The mean persistence error is also positive, particularly for forecasts from 4 and 5 November. The RMS values for 24, 48, and 72 hour forecasts respectively are fairly consistent from day to day. This consistency is more clearly seen in Table 6, where RMS errors are expressed as a percentage of persistence.

3

The statistics derived from NMC's 7-layer forecast model show that these forecasts compare favorably with those of other models but otherwise reveal no features of unusual interest. Statistics from forecasts generated from initial data after 4 November 1969 suggest little day to day change in RMS errors; some fluctuation does occur in mean error. REFERENCES



Carson, D. J., 1978: First Results from the GARP Basic Data Set Project. <u>The GARP Programme on Numerical</u> <u>Experimentation, Report #17</u>.

Stackpole, J. D., 1976: 'The National Meteorological Center Nine-Layer Global Forecast Model', <u>Preprint Volume Sixth</u> <u>Conference on Weather Forecasting and Analysis</u>, May 10-14, 1976, Albany, New York, Published by AMS, Boston, Mass.

Shuman, F. G. and Hovermale, J. B., 1968: An Operational Six-Layer Primitive Equation Forecast Model, <u>Journal of</u> <u>Applied Meteorology, No. 7</u>, pp 525-547.





יז מיויאיזי	Derm			n		
DRPN	1 2 3 4 5 6	<pre>6 , 5 levels 6 , 5 levels 6 , 5 levels 6 , 5 levels 7 , 5 levels 6 , 10 levels 6 , 7 levels, finite</pre>	spectral, R29 spectral, R29 spectral, R20 spectral, R20 spectral, R20 spectral, R20 spectral, R29 spectral, R29	global NH global NH NH NH	dry dry dry dry DRPN dry	divergence zeroed divergence zeroed divergence zeroed divergence zeroed divergence zeroed divergence zeroed
EERM		5 , 10 levels	250 km, polar stereographic	9°N	EERM	balance equation
LMD	1 2	5 , 11 levels 5 , 11 levels	N25, sine latitude/longitude (F) N25, sine latitude/longitude (F)	global global	none LMD	12 hour averaged fields 12 hour averaged fields
DW		p, 9 levels	254 km, polar stereographic	11 ⁰ N	DW	balance equation
JMA		5 , 4 levels	381 km, polar stereographic	approx NH	JMA	balance equation
ECMWF	1 2 3 4	<pre>6 , 15 levels 6 , 15 levels 6 , 15 levels 6 , 15 levels 6 , 15 levels</pre>	N48, latitude/longitude (F) N32, latitude/longitude (F) spectral, T40 N48, latitude/longitude (F)	global global global global	ECMWF ECMWF ECMWF GFDL	normal mode normal mode derived from N48 normal mode
МО	123456	<pre>p, 10 levels, 100(100)1000 p, 10 levels, 100(100)1000 6 , 10 levels p, 10 levels, 50(100)950 5 , 11 levels 6 , 11 levels</pre>	300 km, polar stereographic 300 km, polar stereographic 300 km, polar stereographic 300 km, polar stereographic 300 km, polar stereographic N45, latitude/longitude (K) N45, latitude/longitude (K)	15°N 15°N 15°N 15°N N5°N NH global	MO(i) MO(i) MO(ii) MO(ii) MO(iii) MO(iii)	balance equation. none balance equation balance equation none none
ŅMC		p, 7 levels	381 km, polar stereographic	NH	NMC	none

Table 1 Summary of the integrations carried out in various centres

CENTRE	day 1	day 2	day 3	day 4	day 5
DRPN	77	1 18	135	146	148
EERM	78	119	133	147	150
LMD	77	117	132	145	146
DW	78	119	133		
JMA	78	119	134	147	148
ECMWF	75	116	130	143	146
MO(i)	77	118	132	145	147
MO(ii)	77	118	132	146	150
NMC	75	115	-129	142	142
·					

Table 2 RMS persistence errors in metres for 500 mb height, calculated in the eight centres for the OOZ 4 Nov 1969 data.





FORECAST	RMS error in metres	% of persistence error	5 day
FOULER	day day day day day 1 2 3 4 5	day day day day day 1 2 3 4 5	average %
DRPN 1 DRPN 2 DRPN 3 DRPN 4 DRPN 5 DRPN 6	54 87 103 125 137 53 87 103 125 136 52 83 96 117 131 52 83 96 118 134 54 61 89 107 127 44 69 81 92 108	70 74 77 86 93 69 74 77 86 92 67 70 72 80 88 67 70 72 80 88 67 70 72 81 90 44 52 67 73 86 57 58 61 63 73	80 79 76 76 64 62
EERM	38 70 88 101 125	49 59 66 69 83	65
LMD 1 LMD 2	40 68 86 94 122 47 76 89 95 119	52 58 65 65 84 61 65 67 65 81	65 68
DW	41 72 101	53 60 76	
JMA	41 74 92 116 147	53 62 61 79 99	72.
ECMWF 1 ECMWF 2 ECMWF 3 ECMWF 4	32 57 86 112 138 32 57 80 114 143 31 52 79 102 122 32 57 86 117 146	43 49 66 78 95 43 49 62 80 98 41 45 61 71 84 43 49 66 82 100	66 66 60 68
HO 1 HO 2 HO 3 HO 4 HO 5 HO 6	3763831011164365871081283762801111263761811111285374991111375167101117141	#8 53 63 70 79 56 55 66 #4 87 48 52 61 76 86 48 52 61 76 87 69 63 75 76 91 66 57 76 80 94	63 68 65 65 75 75 75
NMC	41 60 74 92 114	55 52 57 65 80	62

Table 3 RMS Forecast Errors, in Metres and as a Percentage of Persistence, for 500 mb Height Forecasts from 00Z Nov 1969 Data. See Table 1 for a Specification of the Forecasts.

•• •





61

NMC

The all greecesses the list fait fait we want the fait for Table 4 RMS Forecast Errons, as a Percentage of Persistence, for Sea Level Forecasts from 00Z 4 Nov 1969 Data. The Figures are Based on Mean Sea Level Pressure or 1000 mb Height as Indicated. See Table 1 for a Specification of the Forecasts.

79

94

1000 mb .

医输出性的 医肌上的 机拉拉 化乙酸乙酸乙酯

75

68

HAR CAPOCERS ANTONIA,

en la la

STATISTICAL VERIFICATIONS OF GARPBDS

. .

NMC-7 Layer Primitive Equation

Mean error (bias) and root-mean-square error of heights (Area weighted points north of 30 \mbox{N}) F: Forecast

Heights (meters)

P: Persistence

Initia	1 T	ime	4 Nov	. 1969			5 Nov.	1969		1	6 No	ov. 196	9	E S	7 Nov	7. 1969			8 Nov.	1969	
Leve	1 (mb) 10	000	500	0	1	000	5	00	100	0	50	0	100	ю	50	0	1000)	500	
Statis	tic	Mean	RMS	Mean	RMS	Mean	RMS	Mean	RMS	Mean	RMS	Mean	RMS	Mean	RMS	Mean	RMS	Mean	RMS	Mean	RMS
Foreca	.st_	Hour			•																
24HR	F P	13.83 15.49	37.92 53.26	5.27 11.31	40.91 74.73	8.96 8.47	32.33 59.29	0.48 4.57	38.50 76.33	5.96 -0.19	35.30 60.33	1.68 2.65	35.60 72.71	9.47 2.07	37.23 66.56	5.21 5.88	40.89 81.39	6.92 -3.83	32.98 55.26	-3.92 -5.86	33.95 72.51
48HR	F P	16.45 23.96	47.66 78.51	5.82 15.89	60.18 114.87	8.58 8.28	50.52 82.59	3.77 7.23	50.99 102.50	8.93 1.07	52.54 93.80	7.91 8.53	54.57 111.50	7.87 -1.77	49.74 90.09	-1.58 0.01	55.07 121.44				
72HR	F P	12.80 23.77	61.01 90.07	7.74 18.54	73.74 128.89	9.67 10.35	73.82 104.15	10.24 13.10	74.31 128.52	6.11 -1.96	62.14 109.29	3.72 2.66	66.64 139.05								
96HR	F P	19.23 25.84	78.50 99.12	28.65 24.42	,91.75 142.02																
. 120HR	F P	9.06 22.01	93.58 99.88	20.32 18.55	113.96 141.97																

Table 5 NMC 7L PE Forecast Statistics for GARPBDS Data.

All Initial Times are 00Z.





STATISTICAL VERIFICATION OF GARPBDS

NMC 7-Layer Primitive Equation Forecast RMS (% of persistence)

Initial Date: 4 Nor	v. '69	5 Nov.	'69 6 Nov.	'69 7 Nov.	'69 8 Nov. '6	69
24HR 1000 mb 500 mb	71 55	55 50	59 49	56 50	60 47	
48HR 1000 mb 6 500 mb	61 52	61 50	56 49	55 45		
72HR 1000 mb 6 500 mb	68 57	71 58	57 48			

Table 6 NMC 7L PE Forecast RMS Expressed as a Percentage of Persistence.

All Initial Times are 00Z.





Figure 1b. NMC 120 Hour Forecast Error (Forecast-Observed) of 500 mb Heights (dkm)