Library

NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-48

NOAA/NMFS MILESTONE REPORT TO EPA

Environmental Assessment of Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1975-1980

A report to the Environmental Protection Agency on work conducted under provisions of Interagency Agreement EPA-IAG-D5-E693-EO during 1975-1980.

Volume II

FISHES AND MACRO-CRUSTACEANS



SOUTHEAST FISHERIES CENTER
GALVESTON LABORATORY



GALVESTON, TEXAS

NOVEMBER 1980

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Marine Fisheries Service
Southeast Fisheries Center
Galveston Laboratory
Galveston, Texas 77550

NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-48

Environmental Assessment of Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field In the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1975-1980.

VOL. II-PELAGIC, REEF AND DEMERSAL FISHES, AND MACRO-CRUSTACEANS/BIOFOULING COMMUNITIES

BY

B.J. Gallaway, Ph.D.

LGL Ecological Research Associates, Inc.
1410 Cavitt Street
Bryan, Texas 77801

A report to the Environmental Protection Agency on work conducted under provisions of Interagency Agreement EPA-IAG-D5-E693-EO during 1975-1980.

EDITORS

William B. Jackson
Senior Advisor
Contracts & Deliverables
and
E. Peter Wilkens
Fishery Biologist

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Philip M. Klutznick, Secretary

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Richard A. Frank, Administrator

National Marine Fisheries Service
Terry L. Leitzell, Assisistant Administrator for Fisheries

This TM series is used for documentation and timely communication of preliminary results, interim reports, or similar special purpose information. Although the memos are not subject to complete formal review, editorial control, or detailed editing, they are expected to reflect sound professional work.

DISCLAIMER

This document is a Milestone Report. It has been reviewed by the National Marine Fisheries Service and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and approved for printing. Such approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, NOAA or NMFS. This Report has not been formally released by the EPA. Mention of trade names or commerical products herein does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

NOTICE

This document is a Milestone Report. It has not been formally released by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and should not at this stage be construed to represent Agency policy.

This volume should be cited as follows:

Gallaway, B. J. 1980. Pelagic, reef and demersal fishes and macrocrustaceans/biofouling communities. Vol. II. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1975-1980. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-48. 82 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Volume II - FISHES AND MACRO-CRUSTACEANS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Editors' Section

	Page
Project Administration	v
List of Volumes	vii
Foreword	ix
List of Reports and Publications	xi
Published Reports	xi
Dissertations and Theses	xvi
Publications in Press or in Preparation	xvi
List of Archived Data	xviii
Introduction	xxi
Location of Study Area	xxi
Operation History of Buccaneer Field	xxi
Fig. 1. Location of Buccaneer Field	xxii
Fig. 2. Buccaneer Field Structures	xxiv
Fig. 3. Shell Oil Company's Alphanumerical Identification of Buccaneer Field Structures	xxv

II. Principal Investigators' Section

Work Unit 2.3.7/ 2.3.8	Pelagic, Reef and Demersal Fishes, and Macro-crustaceans/Biofouling Communities	xxvi

PROJECT ADMINISTRATION

NOAA

Program Manager

W. Lawrence Pugh
Oceans Program Office
Rockville, Maryland

NMFS

Contracting Officer's Technical Representative

Edward F. Klima, Ph.D.
Director
Galveston Laboratory
Southeast Fisheries Center

Project Manager

Charles W. Caillouet, Ph.D.
Chief, Environmental Research Division

Project Staff (Environmental Research Division)

William B. Jackson
Senior Advisor
Contracts and Deliverables

Gregg R. Gitschlag
Senior Advisor
Field Operations and Logistics

E. Peter H. Wilkens
Fishery Biologist

Gary M. Faw
Fishery Biologist

Robert M. Avent, Ph.D.
Oceanographer

Dennis Koi
Computer Programmer

Petronila C. Prado
Clerk Stenographer

Mary Taylor
Clerk Typist

Patsy Hunter
Clerk Typist

Susan Gray
Clerk Typist

Beatrice Richardson
Clerk Typist

Leesa Young
Biological Aide

Julie Mellen
Student Aide

Richard Devereux
Coop. Student Biologist

LIST OF VOLUMES

This Milestone Report is printed in six separate volumes:

Volume I - SEDIMENTS, PARTICULATES AND VOLATILE HYDROCARBONS

Work Unit 2.3.2	Investigations of Surficial Sediments, Suspended Particulates and Volatile Hydrocarbons at Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field
	Texas A&M University
	J. Brooks, Ph.D. E. Estes, Ph.D. D. Wiesenburg C. Schwab H. Abdel-Reheim

Volume II - FISHES AND MACRO-CRUSTACEANS

Work Unit 2.3.5/ 2.3.8	Pelagic, Reef and Demersal Fishes, and Macro-crustaceans/Biofouling Communities
	LGL Ecological Research Associates, Inc.
	B. Gallaway, Ph.D.

Volume III - BACTERIA

Work Unit 2.3.7	Bacteriology of a Gulf of Mexico Gas and Oil Field
	University of Houston
	R. Sizemore, Ph.D. K. Olsen

Volume IV - CURRENTS AND HYDROGRAPHY

Work Unit 2.3.9	Currents Patterns and Hydrography of the Buccaneer Field and Adjacent Waters
	NMFS Atlantic Environmental Group
	R. Armstrong

Volume V - HYDROCARBONS

Work Unit 2.4.1	Hydrocarbons, Biocides and Sulfur
	University of Houston
	B. Middleditch, Ph.D.

Volume VI - TRACE METALS

Work Unit 2.4.2	Trace Metals
	Southwest Research Institute
	J. Tillery

FOREWORD

Increased petroleum development of the outer continental shelf (OCS) of the United States is anticipated as the U.S. attempts to reduce its dependency on foreign petroleum supplies. To obtain information concerning the environmental consequences of such development, the Federal Government has supported major research efforts on the OCS to document environmental conditions before, during, and after oil and gas exploration, production, and transmission. Among these efforts is the Environmental Assessment of Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico, a project funded by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through interagency agreement with the National Oceanic and Atomospheric Administration (NOAA) and managed by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), Southeast Fisheries Center (SEFC), Galveston Laboratory, in Galveston, Texas. Initiated in the autumn of 1975, the study was completed in 1980. Its major products have been annual reports disseminated by the National Technical Information Service, data files archived and disseminated by NOAA's Environmental Data and Information Service, and research papers written by participating investigators and published in scientific or technical journals. Results have also been made available through EPA/NOAA/NMFS project reviews and workshops attended by project participants, and various governmental (Federal and State), private, and public user groups. The final product are these milestone reports summarizing the findings of the major investigative components of the study.

Objectives of the project were (1) to identify and document the types and extent of biological, chemical and physical alterations of the marine ecosystem associated with Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, (2) to determine specific pollutants, their quantity and effects, and (3) to develop the capability to describe and predict fate and effects of Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field contaminants. The project used historical and new data and included investigations both in the field and in the laboratory. A brief Pilot Study was conducted in the autumn and winter of 1975-76, followed by an extensive biological/chemical/physical survey in 1976-77 comparing the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field area with adjacent undeveloped or control areas. In 1977-78, investigations were intensified within Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, comparing conditions around production platforms, which release various effluents including produced brine, with those around satellite structures (well jackets) which release no effluents. In 1978-79, studies around Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field structures focused on (1) concentrations and effects of pollutants in major components of

the marine ecosystem, including seawater, surficial sediments, suspended particulate matter, fouling community, bacterial community, and fishes and macro-crustaceans, (2) effects of circulation dynamics and hydrography on distribution of pollutants, and (3) mathematical modeling to describe and predict sources, fate and effects of pollutants. The final year, 1979-80, of study continued to focus on items (1) and (2) and on preparation of the milestone reports which represented the final products of this study.

This project has provided a unique opportunity for a multi-year investigation of effects of chronic, low-level contamination of a marine ecosystem associated with gas and oil production in a long-established field. In many respects, it represents a pioneering effort. It has been made possible through the cooperation of government agencies, Shell Oil Company (which owns and operates the field) and various contractors including universities and private companies. It is anticipated that the results of this project will impact in a significant way on future decisions regarding operations of gas and oil fields on the OCS.

Charles W. Caillouet, Project Manager
Chief, Environmental Research Division
and
William B. Jackson and E. Peter Wilkens,
Editors

LIST OF REPORTS AND PUBLICATIONS

Published Reports

Armstrong, R. S. 1980. Current patterns and hydrography . Vol. IV. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (eds.). Environmental Assessment of Buccaneer gas and oilfield in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1975-1980. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-50. p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Brooks, J. M., E. L. Estes, D. A. Wiesenburg , C. R. Schwab and H. A. Abdel-Reheim. 1980. Investigations of surficial sediments, suspended particulates and volatile hydrocarbons at Buccaneer gas and oil field. Vol I. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens, (eds.). Environmental Asessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1975-1980. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-47, 89 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield Virginia.

Brooks, J. M. , E. L. Estes and W. Huang. 1980. Investigations of surficial sediments and suspended particulates at Buccaneer field. Vol. II. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1978-1979. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-36, 261 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Danek, L. J. and M. S. Tomlinson. 1980. Currents and hydrography of the Buccaneer field and adjacent waters. Vol. VI. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens, (eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1978-1979. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-40, 33 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Finucane, J. H. 1977. Ichthyoplankton of the Buccaneer oil field off Galveston, Texas. Paper presented at the Fourth Biennial International Estuarine Research Conference, Mt. Pocono, Pa. (abstract published in proceedings).

Fucik, K. and I. T. Show, Jr. 1980. Sources, fate and effects modeling. Vol. IX. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1978-1979. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-43, p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Gallaway, B. J. 1980. Pelagic, reef and demersal fishes and macrocrustaceans/biofouling communities. Vol II. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (eds.). Environmental Assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1975-1980. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-48, 82 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Gallaway, B. J., R. Howard, K. Green and L. Martin. 1976. A study plan for the Buccaneer oil field assessment program. A report to NMFS from LGL Limited-U.S., Inc., Bryan, Texas, 69 pp.

Gallaway, B. J. and L. R. Martin. 1980. Effect of gas and oil field structures and effluents on pelagic and reef fishes, and demersal fishes and macrocrustaceans. Vol. III. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1978-1979. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-37, 49 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Harper, D. E., Jr., R. J. Scrudato and C. S. Giam. 1976. A preliminary environmental assessment of the Buccaneer oil/gas field (pilot study of the Buccaneer oil field (benthos and sediments). A final report from Texas A&M University to the National Marine Fisheries Service, Galveston, Texas (Contract No. 03-6-042-35110), 63 pp.

Hollaway, S. J., G. M. Faw, R. K. Sizemore. 1980. The bacterial community composition of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Mar. Poll. Bull. 11:153-156.

Howard, R. L, G. S. Boland, B. J. Gallaway and G. D. Dennis. 1980. Effects of gas and oil field structures and effluents on fouling community production and function. Vol. V. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1978-1979. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-39, 60 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Jackson, W. B. (Editor). 1977. Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1976-1977. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-D5-E693-EO, 759 pp. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia, Accession No. PB283890.

Jackson, W. B. (Editor). 1979. Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1977-1978. Volume I: Synopsis. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number

EPA-IAG-D5-E693-EO. 62 pp. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia, Accession No. PB301339/AS.

Jackson, W. B. (Editor). 1979. Environmental assessement of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1977-1978. Volume III: Chemical and physical investigations. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-D5-E693-EO. 722 pp. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia, Accession No. PB80107899.

Jackson, W. B. (Editor). 1979. Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1977-1978. Volume II: Data management and biological investigations. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-D5-E693-EO, 799 pp. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia, Accession No. PB80165970.

Jackson W. B. and E. P. Wilkens. (Editors). 1980. Synopsis. Vol. I. In: Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1978-1979. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-35, p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Jackson, W. B., K. N. Baxter and C. W. Caillouet. 1978. Environmental assessment of the Buccaneer oil and gas field off Galveston, Texas: An overview. Proceedings of the Offshore Technology Conference, May, 1978, Houston, Texas, OTC 3081:277-284.

Jackson, W. B., K. N. Baxter, and C. W. Caillouet. 1979. Environmental assessment of an offshore oil field. Ecolibrium 8(1): 7-9.

McCall, J. O. and R. K. Sizemore. 1979. Description of a bacteriocinogenic plasmid in Beneckea harveyi. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 38(5):974-979.

McKinney, L. 1979. Liljeborgiid amphiopods from the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea. Bull. Mar. Sci. 29(2):140-154.

Middleditch, B. S. 1980. Hydrocarbons, biocides, and sulfur. Vol. III. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens, (eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1975-1980. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-51, 70 p. Available from NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Middleditch, B. S. and B. Basile. 1976. Deuteriated analogs as internal standards for the quantitation of environmental alkanes by gas chromatography. Analyt. Letters 9:1031-1034.

Middleditch, B. S. and B. Basile. 1979. Sulfur-34 as an internal standard for quantitation of environmental sulfur by combined gas chromatography-mass spectometry. Analyt. Letters 12(A):777-781.

Middleditch, B. S., B. Basile and E. S. Chang. 1977. Environmental effects of offshore oil production: alkanes in the region of the Buccaneer oil field. J. Chromatography 142(1977):777-785.

Middleditch, B. S., B. Basile and E. S. Chang. 1978. Alkanes in surficial sediments from the region of the Buccaneer oil field. J. Chromatography 158:449-463.

Middleditch, B. S., B. Basile and E. S. Chang. 1978. Discharge of alkanes during offshore oil production in the Buccaneer oil field. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 20:59-65.

Middleditch, B. S., B. Basile and E. S. Chang. 1979. Alkanes in seawater in the vicinity of the Buccaneer oil field. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 21:413-420.

Middleditch, B. S., E. S. Chang and B. Basile. 1979. Alkanes in barnacles (Balanus tintinnabulum) from the Buccaneer oil field. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 24:6-12.

Middleditch, B. S., E. S. Chang and B. Basile. 1979. Alkanes in plankton from the Buccaneer oil field. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 21:421-427.

Middleditch, B. S., E. S. Chang, B. Basile, and S. R. Missler. 1979. Alkanes in fish from the Buccaneer oil field. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 22:249-257.

Middleditch, B. S. and D. West. 1979. Bicyclohexyl interference in the quantitation of environmental alkanes by gas chromatography. Analyt. Letters 12:1279-1282.

Middleditch, B. S. and D. West. 1980. Hydrocarbons, biocides, and sulfur. Vol. VII. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens, (eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1978-1979. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-41, p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

National Marine Fisheries Service. 1977. Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. A report of NMFS/LGL Workshop I, 1977-1978. NMFS Galveston Laboratory, Southeast Fisheries Center, NOAA, USDOC. Project Number EPA-IAG-D5-E693-EO.

Savastano, K. and H. Holley. 1980. Implement, monitor, and modify data management system. Vol. I. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (eds.). Environmental asessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1978-1979. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-35. p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Show, I. T., Jr. 1979. An application of compartmental models to mesoscale marine ecosystems, pages 73-79. In: J. H. Matis, B. C. Patten, and G. C. White (eds.). Compartmental analysis of ecosystem models. International Co-operative Publishing House, Fairland, Maryland, U.S.A.

Sizemore, R. K. and K. Olsen. 1980. Bacterial communities. Vol. IV. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1978-1979. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-38, 32 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Sizemore, R. K. and K. Olsen. 1980. Bacteriology of a Gulf of Mexico gas and oil field. Vol. III. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (Eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1975-1980. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-49, 21 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Smedes, G., J. Calman and J. Beebe. 1980. Hydrodynamic modeling. Vol. X. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1978-1979. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-44, 57 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Tillery, J. B. 1980. Trace metals. Vol. VIII. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1978-1979. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-42, 93 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Tillery, J. B. 1980. Trace metals. Vol. VI. In: Jackson, W. B. and E. P. Wilkens (eds.). Environmental assessment of Buccaneer gas and oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1975-1980. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SEFC-52, 39 p. Available from: NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

Wheeler, R. B., R. R. Schwarzer and J. B. Anderson. 1978. Assessment of environmental impact of offshore production in the Buccaneer oil field: sedimentologic and geochemical results. Proceedings

of the Offshore Technology Conference, May 1978, Houston, Texas OTC 3082:285-290.

Dissertations and Theses

Basile, B. 1978. Environmental effects of offshore oil production. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Houston, Houston, Texas.

Boland, G. S. 1980. Morphological parameters of the barnacle, *Balanus tintinnabulum antillensis*, as indicators of physiological and environmental conditions. M. S. Thesis, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas.

Chang, E. S. 1978. Sterols in the marine food web. M.S. Thesis, University of Houston, Houston, Texas.

McCall, J. O. 1979. Isolation and characterization of a bacteriocinogenic strain of the bioluminescent marine bacterium *Beneckea harveyi*. M.S. Thesis, University of Houston, Houston, Texas.

West, D. L. 1979. Hydrocarbons, sulfur and acrolein in the marine ecosystem associated with offshore oil production. M.S. Thesis, University of Houston, Houston, Texas.

Wheeler, R. B. 1979. Environmental trace metal geochemistry of the Buccaneer oil and gas field. M.S. Thesis, Rice University, Houston, Texas. 176 pp.

Publications in Press or in Preparation

Anderson, J. B., R. R. Schwarzer, B. S. Middleditch, and B. Basile. Trace metal and hydrocarbon distribution in the region of the Buccaneer oil field. M.S. in preparation.

Devereux, R. and R. K. Sizemore. Incidence of degradative plasmids in hydrocarbon-utilizing bacteria. M.S. submitted to Developements in Industrial Microbiology.

Hada, H. S. and R. K. Sizemore. A survey of the incidence of plasmids in marine *Vibrio* spp. M.S. submitted to Appl. Environ. Microbiol.

McKinney, L. 1980. The genus Photis from the Texas coast with a description of a new species, Photis melanicus. Contrib. Mar. Sci. (in press).

Middleditch, B. S. and B. Basile. 1980. Alkanes in shrimp from the Buccaneer oil field. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. (in press).

Middleditch, B. S. and B. Basile. 1980. Alkanes in benthic organisms from the Buccaneer oil field. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. (in press).

Middleditch, B. S. and B. Basile. 1980. Discharge of elemental sulfur and its distribution in the region of the Buccaneer oil field. J. Chromatog. (in press).

Olsen, K. D. and R. K. Sizemore. Effects of an established offshore oil platform on the autochthonous bacteria community. M. S. submitted to Developments in Industrial Microbiology.

Rose, C. D. and T. J. Ward. Principles of aquatic hazard evaluation as applied to ocean-disposed wastes. M.S. in preparation; intended for publication as a report for Amer. Soc. Testing. Materials.

Wheeler, R. B. 1980. Environmental trace metal geochemistry of the Buccaneer oil and gas field. Environ. Geol. (in press).

LIST OF ARCHIVED DATA

Data available from U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA, EDIS, National Oceanographic Data Center, Washington, D.C. 20235

Year	Data Type	NODC Accession Number
1976-1977	Demersal Fish	78-0501
1976-1977	Sediment	78-0501
1976-1977	Birds	78-0501
1976-1977	Ichthyoplankton	78-0501
1976-1977	Pelagic Fish	78-0501
1976-1977	Plankton	78-0501
1976-1977	Sessile Fauna	78-0501
1976-1977	Total Organics	78-0501
1976-1977	Hydrocarbons	78-0501
1976-1977	Fish Determination	78-0501
1976-1977	Ocean Serial Stations	78-0501
1976-1977	Trace Metals	78-0501
1976-1977	Benthos	78-0501
1976-1977	Drift Bottle Releases	78-0501

Year	Data Type	NODC Accession Number
1977-1978	Brine Dye Release	80-0423
1977-1978	Fish Bioassay	80-0423
1977-1978	Ichthyoplankton	80-0423
1977-1978	Food Habits-Station	80-0423
1977-1978	Food Habits-Stomach	80-0423
1977-1978	Reef Fish Census	80-0423
1977-1978	Pelagic Fish Census	80-0423
1977-1978	Biofouling	80-0423
1977-1978	Transponding Buoy (2 Files)	80-0423
1977-1978	Drift Bottle Release/Recovery	80-0423
1977-1978	Dye Study-station	80-0423
1977-1978	Ocean Serial Stations	80-0423
1977-1978	Current Meter/Wind Records	80-0423
1977-1978	Non-Metal Analysis (Hydrocarbons)	80-0423
1977-1978	Bacteria - Behavior	80-0423
1977-1978	Bacteria - Degradation Rates	80-0423
1977-1978	Bacteria - Enumeration	80-0423
1977-1978	Bacteria - Enumeration	80-0423
1977-1978	Bacteria - Taxonomy/Physiological Diversity	80-0423

Year	Data Type	NODC Accession Number
1977-1978	Respirometry Experiment	80-0423
1977-1978	Trace Metals - Sediment (Diver Core)	80-0423
1977-1978	Sediment Size Analysis	80-0423
1977-1978	Stomach Contents	80-0423
1977-1978	Demersal Fish	80-0423
1977-1978	Shrimp Bioassay	80-0423
1977-1978	Trace Metals	80-0423
1977-1978	Trapped Suspended Sediment	80-0423
1977-1978	Non-Metal Analysis	80-0461
1977-1978	Bacteria - Behavior	80-0461
1977-1978	Bacteria - Degradation Rates	80-0461
1977-1978	Bacteria - Enumeration	80-0461
1977-1978	Bacteria - Taxonomy/Physiological Diversity	80-0461
1977-1978	Respirometry Experiment	80-0461
1977-1978	Trace Metals - Sediment (Diver Core)	80-0461
1977-1978	Sediment - Size Analysis	80-0461
1977-1978	Stomach Contents	80-0461
1977-1978	Demersal Fish	80-0461
1977-1978	Shrimp Bioassay	80-0461
1977-1978	Trace Metals	80-0461
1977-1978	Trapped Suspended Sediment	80-0461

Year	Data Type	NODC Accession Number
1978-1979	Stomach Contents	80-0416
1978-1979	Clay Mineralogy	80-0416
1978-1979	Bioassay (Toxicity)	80-0416
1978-1979	Algae	80-0416
1978-1979	Tagging	80-0416
1978-1979	Histopathology and Bacteriology	80-0416
1978-1979	Morphometric	80-0416
1978-1979	Benny Census	80-0416
1978-1979	Biomass Samples - Weight and Barnacles	80-0416
1978-1979	Pistol Shrimp and Stone Crab	80-0416
1978-1979	Biomass - Large Cryptic Samples	80-0416
1978-1979	Surficial Sediments	80-0416
1978-1979	Suspended Particulates	80-0416
1978-1979	Sediments	80-0416

Year	Data Type	NODC Accession Number
1978-1979	Pb - 210	80-0416
1978-1979	Bacteria - Enumeration	80-0416
1978-1979	Bacteria - Degradation Rates	80-0416
1978-1979	Bacteria - Taxonomy	80-0416
1978-1979	Bacteria - Growth Characteristics	80-0416
1978-1979	Trace Metals	80-0416
1978-1979	Trace Metals - Organism, Sediment, Water	80-0416
1978-1979	Hydrography	80-0416
1978-1979	Electromagnetic Current Meter	80-0416
1978-1979	Total Suspended Solids	80-0416
1978-1979	Continuous Current Meter	80-0416
1978-1979	Meteorological Data	80-0416
1978-1979	Wave Data	80-0416
1978-1979	Hydrocabons, Biocides and Sulfur	80-0416
1978-1979	Respirometry	80-0416

Year	Data Type	NODC Accession Number
1979-1980	Data being archived, will be available in late 1980	TBA

INTRODUCTION

Location of Study Area

The area selected for study is the operational Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field located approximately 49.6 kilometers (26.8 nautical miles) south southeast of the Galveston Sea Buoy off Galveston, Texas (Figure 1). This field was selected in 1975 as the study area because: (a) the field had been in production for about 15 years, which time had allowed full development of the associated marine communities; (b) it was isolated from other fields which facilitated the selection of an unaltered area (for comparison) within a reasonable distance of the field; (c) it produced both gas and oil that represented sources of pollutants from marine petroleum extraction; (d) its location simplified logistics and reduced the cost of the research; and (e) the Texas offshore area had not been fully developed for gas and oil production but was expected to experience accelerated exploitation in the future.

Operation History of Buccaneer Field

Buccaneer Field was developed by Shell Oil Company in four offshore blocks leased in 1960 and 1968 as follows:

Year	Lease Number	Block Number	Acreage	Hectares
1960	G0709	288	2,790	1,129
1960	G0713	295	4,770	1,930
1960	G0714	296	4,501	1,821
1968	G1783	289	2,610	1,056

In development of the field, 17 structures were built; two are production platforms, two are quarters platforms, and 13 are satellite structures surrounding well jackets. Initial exploratory drilling began about mid-summer of 1960 with mobile drilling rigs. When (as the result of the exploratory drilling) proper locations for platforms were selected, the permanent production platforms were constructed.

There have been no reports of major oil spills from this field. There have been some reported losses of oil due to occasional mechanical failure of various pieces of equipment. The largest reported spill was three barrels in 1973. The reported oil spill chronology and quantity for Buccaneer Field is as follows:



FIGURE 1. LOCATION OF BUCCANEER FIELD

Date	Source	Amount	
		Barrels	Liters
September 1973	Platform 296-B	0.5	79
November 1973	Unknown	3.0	477
July 1974	Platform 296-B	0.5	79
August 1974	Platform 296-B	1.7	265
September 1975	Platform 288-A	0.2-0.4	38-56
Totals		5.9-6.1	938-956

Buccaneer Field first began operations with the production of oil. Later, when significant quantities of gas were found, the field began producing both oil and gas and has continued to do so to date.

The production platforms and satellites (well jackets) are connected by a number of pipelines with a 50.8 centimeters (20-inch) diameter main pipeline connecting the field to shore. All of the pipelines that are 25.4 centimeters (10 inches) or greater in diameter are buried. The Blue Dolphin Pipeline Company was granted a pipeline permit (No. G1381, Blocks 288 and 296) in 1965 and has operated the pipeline since its construction.

Buccaneer Field occupies a limited area (about 59.3 km^2; 22.9 sq. statute miles) leased in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Four types of structures are located in Buccaneer Field: production platforms, quarters platforms, satellites (well jackets), and flare stacks. These are shown in Figure 2, which is an oblique aerial photograph of production platform 288-A and vicinity within Buccaneer Field. A map of Buccaneer Field, (Figure 3) depicts the locations of platforms and satellites within the field.



FIGURE 2. BUCCANEER FIELD STRUCTURES



FIGURE 3. SHELL OIL COMPANY'S ALPHANUMERICAL IDENTIFICATION OF BUCCANEER GAS AND OIL FIELD STRUCTURES

WORK UNIT 2.3.5/ - PELAGIC, REEF AND DEMERSAL FISHES, AND
2.3.8 MACRO-CRUSTACEANS/BIOFOULING COMMUNITIES

LGL Ecological Research Associates, Inc.

B. J. Gallaway, Ph.D.

ABSTRACT

Environmental and ecosystem alterations associated with the development and release of contaminants from an active gas and oil field were found to have been primarily related to the presence of the structures *per se* (~ 16,000 m^2 hard substrate) and the discharge of produced water (1,000 to 1,400 bbl/day). The presence of the structures contributed to turbulent mixing, and allowed for the development of a rich and diverse biofouling community. The resulting artificial reefs were found to serve as points of aggregation for nektonic and demersal reef fishes as well as species which prey upon them--particularly man.

The produced waters contained low levels of contaminants and were toxic at varying degrees to all organisms tested. Due to turbulent mixing and the resulting rapid diffusion, the detrimental direct effects of the produced water on the biota were limited to within a few meters of the outfall (typically < 1 m^3 of water at the point of outfall contained produced water at the 96-h LC50 level for the most sensitive animal tested). Indirect effects were not determined with certainty, but were indicated to have been probably minimal or non-existent. Measurable uptake of contaminants was apparently restricted to those species in the biofouling food chain, and there was no evidence of marked contaminant accumulation through food chain transfers.

The effects of the recreational fisheries associated with petroleum platforms in the Gulf on the stocks of red snapper appear to represent a major area of concern. The recreational fishery is primarily accountable for the current, overfished state of red snapper stocks, and most of th sportfishing effort offshore Texas (and probably other areas) is expended at petroleum platforms.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	2.3.5/2.3.8-i
LIST OF FIGURES	2.3.5/2.3.8-iv
INTRODUCTION	2.3.5/2.3.8-1
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	2.3.5/2.3.8-3
STUDY AREA	2.3.5/2.3.8-4
LOCATION AND HISTORY	2.3.5/2.3.8-4
STRUCTURES AND ASSOCIATED PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES	2.3.5/2.3.8-6
Satellite Platforms	2.3.5/2.3.8-6
Production Platforms	2.3.5/2.3.8-6
Quarters Platforms	2.3.5/2.3.8-8
Flare Stacks	2.3.5/2.3.8-10
Pipelines	2.3.5/2.3.8-10
OIL SPILLS	2.3.5/2.3.8-10
INVESTIGATIVE PROGRAM	2.3.5/2.3.8-12
PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND APPROACH	2.3.5/2.3.8-12
SAMPLING METHODS	2.3.5/2.3.8-16
Demersal Fishes and Macrocrustaceans	2.3.5/2.3.8-16
Biofouling Community	2.3.5/2.3.8-16
Structure-Associated Fishes	2.3.5/2.3.8-16
SAMPLE AND DATA ANALYSIS	2.3.5/2.3.8-17
ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING AND ALTERATIONS	2.3.5/2.3.8-18
SETTING	2.3.5/2.3.8-18
Water Column Structure	2.3.5/2.3.8-18
Bacteria	2.3.5/2.3.8-25
Benthos	2.3.5/2.3.8-25
ALTERATIONS	2.3.5/2.3.8-25
Types and Amounts of Contaminants	2.3.5/2.3.8-25
Water Column	2.3.5/2.3.8-27
Sedimentary Regimes	2.3.5/2.3.8-29
Bacteria	2.3.5/2.3.8-33
Benthos	2.3.5/2.3.8-33
MAJOR ECOSYSTEM COMPONENTS AND EFFECTS	2.3.5/2.3.8-35
DEMERSAL FISHES AND MACROCRUSTACEANS	2.3.5/2.3.8-35
Characterization	2.3.5/2.3.8-35
Effects	2.3.5/2.3.8-35

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

	Page
BIOFOULING COMMUNITY	2.3.5/2.3.8-37
Characterization	2.3.5/2.3.8-37
Effects	2.3.5/2.3.8-44
STRUCTURE ASSOCIATED FISHES	2.3.5/2.3.8-49
Sheepshead	2.3.5/2.3.8-50
Crested Blenny	2.3.5/2.3.8-57
Spadefish	2.3.5/2.3.8-61
Red Snapper	2.3.5/2.3.8-67
RECREATIONAL FISHERIES	2.3.5/2.3.8-72
BIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS OVERVIEW	2.3.5/2.3.8-74
LITERATURE CITED	2.3.5/2.3.8-77

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Map showing locations of production platforms and well jackets in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field ...	2.3.5/2.3.8-5
2	A portion of the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field showing the active 296 production platform and attached quarters platform, several satellite jacket wells and the small flare stack across from the catwalk	2.3.5/2.3.8-7
3	Idealized experimental design	2.3.5/2.3.8-14
4	Diagrammatic representation of scraping templates..	2.3.5/2.3.8-16
5	Sediment distribution in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field	2.3.5/2.3.8-19
6	Characteristic environmental structure observed in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field during summer, 1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-20
7	Characteristic environmental structure observed in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field during fall, 1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-21
8	Characteristic environmental structure observed in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field during winter, 1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-22
9	Characteristic environmental structure observed in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field during spring, 1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-23
10	Hypothetical distribution of produced water in the water column	2.3.5/2.3.8-28
11	Schematic of idealized dispersion from continuous point source of effluent	2.3.5/2.3.8-30
12	Distribution of produced water based upon analytic steady state approximation, conditions 1 and 2	2.3.5/2.3.8-31
13	Distribution of produced water based upon analytic steady state approximation, conditions 3 and 4	2.3.5/2.3.8-32
14	Normal cluster analysis dendogram for trawl collections made during 1978-1979 Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field study	2.3.5/2.3.8-36
15	Comparisons of mean species diversity (H") levels at study area stations or platforms by season	2.3.5/2.3.8-38
16	Comparisons of mean abundance levels [Log_e (n+1)] of sugar shrimp at study area stations or platforms by season	2.3.5/2.3.8-39

LIST OF FIGURES (cont'd)

Figure		Page
17	Comparisons of mean abundance levels [Log_e (n+1)] of chevron shrimp at study area stations or platforms by season	2.3.5/2.3.8-40
18	Diurnal activity of the Mediterranean barnacle, 18-19 August 1978	2.3.5/2.3.8-42
19	Artistic representation of the spring decline of a hydroid colony at 8-m depth on 296Q, as indicated by time-lapse photography	2.3.5/2.3.8-43
20	Seasonal levels of biofouling biomass and characteristic hydrographic conditions	2.3.5/2.3.8-45
21	Standing crop biomass of biofouling community in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, summer 1980	2.3.5/2.3.8-46
22	Recolonization biomass produced on Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field structures, 1978-1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-47
23	Seasonal food habits of bluefish in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-51
24	Seasonal densities of sheepshead at Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field structures, 1978-1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-53
25	Size distribution of sheepshead in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, summer 1978-spring 1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-54
26	Seasonal food habits of sheepshead in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-56
27	Seasonal size distribution of crested blenny in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-58
28	Seasonal food habits of the crested blenny in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-59
29	Size distribution of Atlantic spadefish in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, summer 1978-spring 1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-62
30	Seasonal food habits of the Atlantic spadefish in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-64
31	Seasonal distribution, abundance, foods and feeding patterns of Atlantic spadefish in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field	2.3.5/2.3.8-66
32	Seasonal population estimates for red snapper at Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field Platform 296B and West Cameron Platform 333A, 1978-1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-68
33	Seasonal size distribution of red snapper in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-70

LIST OF FIGURES (cont'd)

Figure		Page
34	Seasonal food habits of the red snapper in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979	2.3.5/2.3.8-71
35	Distribution of fishing effort offshore Texas coast from Freeport to Beaumont-Port Arthur area..	2.3.5/2.3.8-73
36	Conceptual model of a Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field platform community	2.3.5/2.3.8-75

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

Increased development of petroleum reserves in offshore habitats is inevitable if the United States is to realistically reduce its dependency on foreign energy supplies. Thus, over the past few years, the Federal Government has intensified offshore marine research efforts in order to obtain information concerning the environmental consequences of increased oil and gas development on the outer continental shelf (OCS). Among the recent research efforts in this regard has been the Environmental Assessment of Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico, a project funded by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through interagency agreement with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and managed by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), Southeast Fisheries Center (SEFC), Galveston Laboratory in Galveston, Texas. The major goals of this program have been:

(1) To identify the types and extent of environmental and ecosystem alterations associated with development of and release of contaminants from an active gas and oil field.

(2) To determine the specific contaminants, their quantity, and effects on the various components of the marine ecosystem.

(3) To develop capabilities to describe and predict sources, fate and effects of gas and oil field contaminants in major components of the marine ecosystem.

Studies designed to provide information enabling an assessment of the effects of offshore oil and gas development activities on marine ecosystems in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico have historically consisted of broad multidisciplinary ecological surveys performed over large geographical areas. Two previous studies have been performed. The initial effort was a multidisciplinary study performed in 1973-1974 offshore Louisiana in the intensively-developed "oil patch" (Gulf Universities Research Consortium [GURC] 1974). This investigation was predicated upon synoptic comparisons of impacted (platform) sites with control sites which had not experienced petroleum activity (no platforms). As might have been expected, one of the major findings of the GURC study was the "reef effect" of the platforms. However, seasonal variation in most response variables were found to have been substantially greater than treatment variation, such that few significant differences could be delineated. The program yielded a wealth of descriptive data (about 1.5 million data points), but most of the data were inadequate to provide a meaningful assessment of impacts because of (1) the inadequacy of the controls (comparison of reef to non-reef habitats) and (2) the lack of

depth of the investigations (study was multi- as opposed to interdisciplinary). More recently (1978-1979), the GURC study was essentially repeated by the Bureau of Land Management's (BLM) study, Ecological Investigations of Petroleum Production Platforms in the Central Gulf of Mexico. Again, the "reef effect" of platforms was documented and measurable environmental and ecological change was found to be restricted to the immediate vicinity of the platforms.

The second historical approach to obtain data for evaluating effects of offshore oil and gas development has been based upon the baseline concept. Undeveloped areas subject to lease to industry for development have served as study areas for large multidisciplinary surveys intended to provide an "environmental benchmark" against which comparisons could be made following development (e.g. the BLM's Environmental Studies of the South Texas Outer Continental Shelf). Again, a wealth of descriptive data has been provided by these studies. However, due to annual and other sources of natural variability as well as to the general lack of depth in most of the disciplinary efforts attributable to the breadth of the surveys, the resulting data hold little immediate promise in terms of making realistic impact projections.

The approach of the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field (BGOF) studies has been to sacrifice breadth of spatial and disciplinary coverage for depth in selected studies specifically designed to measure impacts. Following a brief pilot study in 1975 (Harper et al. 1976), the first year's investigation (1976-1977) consisted of a multidisciplinary ecological survey comparing the relatively isolated BGOF to control, or undeveloped areas. Following the initial descriptive effort of 1976-1977, a series of iterative project reviews held on an annual basis served not only to reduce the disciplinary scope as appropriate, but also to transform the multidisciplinary effort into an interdisciplinary one. In this manner, the finite project resources were committed to in-depth investigations of key processes deemed suitable for study in terms of their promise for yielding data enabling a quantitative assessment of impacts. In 1977-1978, investigations were intensified within the BGOF, comparing conditions around production platforms (source of contaminant discharge) to those around satellite structures (structur s with no contaminant discharges). In 1978-1979, studies focused more on production platforms, particularly in terms of the amounts, fate, and effects of contaminants being discharged and the processes accounting for the observed dispersal and distribution of contaminants in the environment (circulation, hydrography, trophic linkages). During the final year, field studies were reduced to fill data gaps in areas of remaining uncertainty, and the major effort was devoted to preparing integrated milestone reports evaluating the effects of the BGOF on ecological and environmental systems. This report provides a synthesis of the observed effects of the BGOF on biological systems and fisheries of the study area. Demersal fishes and macrocrustaceans, the biofouling community, reef and pelagic fishes were used as indicators of impacts. Other biological components (bacteria, plankton and in-faunal benthos) were considered as part of the "environment" and were addressed only to the extent that th y directly impacted or limited th primary indicator groups.

SECTION 2

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon the results of field and laboratory investigations performed over the period 1976-1980, the following major conclusions are supported by the data:

- The major effect of the BGOF has been to provide substrate allowing for the development of a rich and diverse biofouling or artificial reef community.
- The structures and reefs aggregated nektonic species preferring these habitats, as well as those who preyed upon them--particularly man.
- Produced waters were toxic but the direct effects on the biota were restricted to within only a few meters of the outfall.
- Indirect effects of chronic, low-level contaminant discharge, although not known with certainty, were not indicated to have been significant.
- Measurable uptake of contaminants seemed minimal and restricted to those species in the biofouling food chain; there was little evidence of bioaccumulation.
- More concern seems to be in order relative to the effects of the recreational fishery on the fish rather than concern about the effects of the gas and oil field on either the fish or the recreational fishery.

Based upon subjective evaluations of the certainty of the conclusions, two areas should receive additional investigation. Laboratory and field studies should be directed towards examining the relationship of chronic, low-level contaminant discharges and seasonal fish disease epidemics, and population dynamics studies of red snapper at natural and artificial reefs should be determined as a basis for enlightened management of an apparently dwindling resource.

SECTION 3

STUDY AREA

LOCATION AND HISTORY

The BGOF is located approximately 52 km south by east from Galveston, Texas (Fig. 1). Initial seismic reflection surveys were conducted by Shell Oil Company in the BGOF in Federal Block 288 from 1953 through 1959. Based upon the results of these surveys and regional geological studies, Shell acquired some 17,450 ha at the Federal lease sale in February 1960 and proceeded with the drilling of a discovery well (288 No. 1). This well reached a total depth of 4,891 m in November 1960, but mechanical difficulties caused it to be abandoned and no tests were obtained on the potential pay sands.

During 1961 and 1962, additional seismic surveys were performed in Block 288 to better assess the size, shape, and complexity of the structural trap. In October 1963, another well (288 No. 2) was drilled at a location approximately 1.6 km east of 288-1. This test well led to a multi-well delineation program and within a year, 21 additional wells were drilled, 12 of which were cased and completed from 4-pile satellite jackets.

The delineation program indicated that the field reserves were primarily gas and that those reserves could be most economically developed from two drilling-production platforms. The "A" platform (288-A), was installed in September 1964 and 15 wells were drilled from the structure during the period of January-August 1965. Platform "B" (296-B), was placed down in May 1965, and 15 development wells were drilled from it during October 1965 to July 1966.

As the field developed, an onshore gasoline plant was constructed near Freeport, Texas, and the plant was connected to the field (Platform 288-A) by a 51-cm diameter flowline some 69 km in length. Upon completion of platform 288-A wells, gas sales commenced on 1 January 1966 at the contract rate of 100 MMCF/day (1×10^8 ft^3 day^{-1}) of residue. This was increased in January 1967 to 175 MMCF/day (17.5×10^7 ft^3 day^{-1}) as platform 296-B began production.

Additional wells were drilled in the field between 1966 and 1971 for the purpose of oil rim development, for geopressured gas and oil, and for untested fault blocks located by seismic reviews. Four wells in those categories have been drilled in Block 289, which was purchased at the May 1968 lease sale. Through June 1971, 81 wells had been drilled in the Block 288 field; of these, 56 were completed and the remainder were plugged. During the study there were 34 active well zones, including 31 gas completions and 3 oil completions.



Fig. 1. Map showing locations of production platforms and well jackets in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field (after Middleditch 1979).

Both production platforms were active at the time of project initiation, but Production Platform 288-A was active only intermittently after November of 1978. During the early stages of the project, production of gas was about 600 MMCF/day and production of oil and condensate was about 400 bbl/day. Production declined markedly through the course of the study. In early 1980, production of gas was only about 12.5 MMCF/day and oil and condensate was being produced at a rate of 450 bbl/day.

STRUCTURES AND ASSOCIATED PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES

Structures in the Buccaneer Field were of five types: production platforms, quarters platforms, satellite well platforms, flare stacks, and pipelines (Fig. 2). A total of 18 platforms had been constructed in the field: 2 production platforms, 2 quarters platforms, and 14 satellite platforms.

Satellite Platforms

During the study, there were 13 satellite wells or platforms located in the BGOF, six of which were active. Each structure or well jacket (Fig. 2) consisted of a small platform or deck (approximately 6 x 6 m) supported by 4 piles or legs which were appropriately braced. Each well jacket was open on one side such that it could be fitted around a well caisson. A boat landing was located on one side about 2 m above normal water level and was connected by stairway to the main deck. The christmas tree assembly (various valves and gauges) was on the main deck. The pipelines which connected the satellite wells to production platforms ran down the side of the structure to the bottom, and from there to the respective production platform. A stairway connected the main deck to a small helicopter landing above the main deck. Each platform was lighted and equipped with a foghorn which sounds at regular intervals.

The subsurface portion of each BGOF satellite structure provided about 548 m^2 of hard-substrate habitat, none of which was influenced by discharges. Oil and gas were neither stored nor processed at a satellite structure but were directly transported to a production platform. Collectively, satellite platforms in the BGOF provided some 7,124 m^2 hard-substrate habitat.

Production Platforms

The two production platforms in the BGOF were large, complex structures each of which provided about 3,800 m^2 subsurface habitat (Fig. 2). The basic design of each platform was identical, but they differed in that a large gas compressor was located on the upper deck of Production Platform 296-B, whereas oil storage tanks were located on the upper deck of Production Platform 288-A. Each platform was supported by 12 legs and was designed for an array of 24 well casings located in the approximate center of the structure. Pipelines from satellite wells

Fig. 2. A portion of the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field showing the active 296 production platform and attached quarters platform, several satellite jacket wells and the small flare stack across from the catwalk.

were located on one end of each structure and extended from the bottom up to the lower of the two top decks.

The separation process began on the lower deck of each platform. The product (condensate) flowed into high-pressure or first stage vessels which essentially separated the gas from oil and water. All of the gas produced from the field was compressed at Platform 296-B using air-cooled turbine compressors located on the upper deck. Once compressed, the gas was piped to Production Platform 288-A and thence to the Freeport facility.

The remaining oil and water flowed to interstage, pressure-step-down vessels which were located on the upper decks of the platforms. Here the pressure was further reduced to that of the atmosphere as the product flowed into the next vessel or "gun barrel." The "gun barrels" served as gravity separators, segregating the oil from the water. All of the oil produced and separated was piped to the large storage tanks located on the upper deck of Platform 288-A.

The remaining "produced water" flowed to "skim tank" vessels, also at atmospheric pressure, located on the lower decks. All drains on the production platform, including those from "drip pans," "skid pans," and gutters, also flowed into the "skim tanks". All petroleum products entering this vessel were separated and put back onto the oil-stream flow. The remaining water was then discharged overboard through pipes extending along a leg on the west side of the platform from the skim tank down to a point about 2 m above the water. Produced water discharge ranged from about 1,000 bbl/day early in the study to an average of about 1,400 bbl/day in 1978-1979.

The levels of microbial organisms which cause corrosion in the BGOF production system necessitated the use of biocides. For the period 1975 through April of 1978, two Champion Chemical Company biocides (BACTRON K-31 and K-14) were used and alternated to prevent the bacteria from developing resistant strains. This treatment was only partly successful and Shell switched to the use of the Magna Corporation acrolein biocide, Magnacide B. The biocides were injected in the system and flowed to the skim tank where they were scavenged prior to discharge.

Seawater pumps with subsurface intakes were located on the lower decks of each production platform. Seawater was used to maintain the platform's fire-fighting systems and for the production of fresh water. The pumps were fitted with back-pressure valves such that excess seawater was discharged when desalinization plants and fire fighting lines were at capacity and pressure, respectively.

Quarters Platforms

Quarters platforms contained in addition to kitchen, living and recreational quarters, a gas-fired electrical generator, a desalinization plant, and a sewage treatment plant. A helicopter landing pad was located on the upper deck. The upper and lower platform decks were

about 12.2 m x 12.2 m (40 ft x 40 ft). All garbage except food scraps were compacted and transported to shore for disposal; food scraps were collected and dumped overboard. Initially, about a dozen persons resided in the field, with the work force supplemented as necessary. Due to activity in other areas and the decline in BGOF production, the work force was greatly reduced over the course of the study.

Water needs were normally met by the desalinization plant, although lines were present to take fresh water onto the platform from boats. The energy source for the desalinization plants was hot water supplied in a closed system from the engine jacket water of the electrical generating plant. Seawater was boiled at a low temperature under vacuum to create vapor and the vapor was condensed to pure water which was stored in fresh water storage tanks. Raw seawater was pumped continuously through the fresh water condenser, with most of the seawater being discharged overboard. A certain quantity, however, was directed from the fresh water condenser outlet through an evaporator feed valve into the evaporator shell where it was boiled. Brine from this operation was continuously drawn off from the shell by the brine jet pump and was also discharged overboard.

Sewage treatment plants were located on the lower decks of the quarters platforms and utilized an activated sludge treatment process. Wastewater flowed from an inlet pipe into a screening basket which retained rags, sticks, and other large objects which were not allowed to enter the treatment plant. The wastewater then passed into the aeration compartment where it was mixed with activated sludge.

Blowers bubbled air into the aeration compartment through air diffusers which were suspended from an air header. The air was compressed with an electric motor-driven blower mounted on the treatment system units. Air bubbled into the aeration compartment established mixing velocities suitable to keep the solids in suspension and to provide the amount of dissolved oxygen needed for efficient treatment. This water-solids mixture is called "mixed liquor." Mixed liquor in the aeration compartment flowed into an energy absorption compartment through a submerged pipe. Flow entering the energy absorption compartment was first confined between two submerged baffles where the energy of the liquid was greatly reduced. This assured minimum turbulence in the clarifier. Low energy flow passed over and under the submerged baffles and through slots and into the clarifier.

Solids which were heavier than water settled to the clarifier bottom and were returned to the aeration compartment through a slot. Clear water near the surface of the clarifier passed over the outlet weir and was carried from the treatment system to a chlorine contact tank, and finally, the treated effluent was discharged overboard.

The treatment plants were equipped with a hydraulic skimming system for handling floating material. Floating material was returned to the aeration compartment through a specially designed skimmer trough.

The skimmer trough was fitted with flow deflector plates on the aeration compartment side of the wall that separated the settling and aeration compartments. Circulating flow past the deflector plates set up a suction or return flow in the skimmer trough.

Electricity for production and quarters platforms was generated on the quarters platforms using gas-fired electrical generating units. The units used a radiator-type cooling system. As indicated above, the engine jacket water was used as an energy source for the desalinization plant.

We did not have drawings from which to calculate the subsurface area of the quarters platforms. We estimated that the area provided was roughly equivalent to that provided by a satellite platform (about 550 m^2). Quarters platforms were slightly larger than satellite platforms but had fewer cross members and less bracing.

Flare Stacks

Each of the production platforms had an associated flare stack sited a short distance away from the platform (Fig. 2). Flare stacks served as emergency safety devices and no discharges were normally associated with these simple structures. In an emergency, condensate was shunted to and discharged out the flare stacks. These discharges, although infrequent, were spectacular. Each flare stack provided less than 50 m^2 subsurface habitat.

Pipelines

Satellite wells and production platforms within the field were connected by submerged pipelines; all 25 cm or greater in diameter were buried. A buried pipeline of 51-cm diameter connected the field at Platform A to the onshore facility at Freeport.

OIL SPILLS

The Buccaneer Field has a good record in terms of oil spills. From September 1973 through March 1978, a maximum of about six barrels were reported to have been spilled, and three of those barrels were from an unknown source (Table 1).

TABLE 1. REPORTED OIL SPILLS IN THE BGOF

Source	Date	Amount (gal)	Comments
Platform 296-B	9-28-73	21	0.5 barrels
Unknown	11-26-73	126	3.0 barrels
Platform 288-A	7-21-74	21	0.5 barrels
Platform 296-B	8-06-74	70	1.7 barrels, leak in line
Platform 288-A	9-30-75	10-15	0.4 barrels

(continued)

TABLE 1. (continued)

Source	Date	Amount (gal)	Comments
Platform 288-A	11-08-75	2	0.04 barrels
Platform 288-A	2-10-78	2-3	0.05 barrels
Platform 288-A	3-27-78	(?)	Sheen

We did not observe any oil spills from BGOF structures. On one occasion we observed a very short-lived discharge of condensate out the Production Platform 288-A flare stack.

SECTION 4

INVESTIGATIVE PROGRAM

PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND APPROACH

As indicated earlier, the first year of the study (1976-1977) was expended in characterizing the regional environment and biota. While most of the work was, by necessity, descriptive (the area had been little, if any, studied), the experimental design was (1) to compare the BGOF to control areas located 9.3 km from the field in each of the northeast and southwest directions and (2) to document and describe the "reef effect" of BGOF platforms. Work performed during the initial research year consisted of 13 work units devoted to (1) development of a data base and data management system (Work Units 2.1 and 2.2), (2) biological surveys (benthos, 2.3.3; demersal fishes and macrocrustaceans, 2.3.4; recreational and predatory pelagic fishes, 2.3.5; ichthyoplankton, 2.3.6; structure communities, 2.3.8 [two separate work units]), and (3) environmental characterizations (sedimentology and geochemistry, 2.3.2; hydrography, 2.3.9; hydrocarbon concentrations, 2.4.1; trace metal concentrations, 2.4.2; and levels of sediment organic carbon and composition, 2.4.3). Results of the 1976-1977 investigations are presented in Jackson (1977).

As the first year's survey was in progress, an initial conceptual model of the ecosystem surrounding the BGOF was developed (Gallaway et al. 1976) as a means to facilitate program integration, future research planning and impact assessment. Important ecosystem components and key processes were identified, and a detailed description of the BGOF in terms of historical and current activities was developed. Indicators of impacts from the BGOF on biological systems were selected, based largely upon their perceived importance to man (direct, or indirect), and the likelihood of their being subject to impact either from direct exposure to contaminants or indirect exposure through the food chain. Information from other disciplines which was believed necessary in order to be able to make the biological assessment was identified and incorporated as additional objectives for the non-biological disciplines. Additionally, sample needs for other disciplines from the biological work group were identified. The program was thus integrated by means of a matrix of interdisciplinary data needs which identified the kinds of information each discipline needed from other disciplines. Care was taken to insure that data which were not readily appropriate for impact assessment purposes were not included in the study design.

The indicators selected for study of biological impact were (1) standing crop biomass, community structure and composition, production and health or condition of the biofouling community; (2) relative abundance of demersal fishes and macrocrustaceans; (3) pelagic fishes; and (4) reef fishes. Ichthyoplankton studies were continued to document spawning activities of important species aggregated at the platforms,

but infaunal benthic studies were discontinued. Results from the benthic investigations of 1976-1977 appeared adequate to delineate the direct impacts of historical operations and to characterize infaunal benthic faunas in terms of their seasonal abundance relative to the different bottom types represented in the study area. Further, the infauna, on an absolute basis, was sparse and did not appear of much direct trophic importance to most of the indicators being investigated.

The 1977-1978 research was organized into eleven work units--one (2.2.3) for data management, five biological investigations, four physical/chemical investigations and one ecological modeling effort (2.5.1). Biological studies consisted of bioassay work (2.3.4), investigations of pelagic, reef and demersal fishes and macrocrustaceans (2.3.5), bacterial processes (2.3.7) and studies of the biofouling community, including what was erroneously believed to be the top predator--Atlantic spadefish (2.3.8). The physical/chemical program consisted of sedimentology and geochemistry (2.3.2), oceanographic processes (2.3.9), and investigations of the levels of hydrocarbons (2.4.1) and trace metals (2.4.2) in important ecosystem components. Results and detail of these investigations were compiled in a three-volume report (Jackson 1979a, 1979b, 1979c).

The basic thrust of 1977-1978 investigations emphasized comparisons of conditions at and around production platforms with those at similar depths and distances around satellite jackets which served as controls. This approach enabled an assessment of direct effects. Additional emphasis was placed upon trophic linkages and systems ecology in order to assess (1) the integrity of the system, (2) contaminant pathways and (3) indirect effects within the system.

Research efforts for 1978-1979 utilized the same general approach undertaken in 1977-1978 (Fig. 3); but, emphasis was placed upon "near-field" effects. In other words, emphasis was placed upon delineating the spatial extent of observed effects which had been indicated by the comparisons of sites and locations on discharging and non-discharging structures in the proximity of the produced water discharge. Further, major information gaps concerning suspended sediments, plankton biomass and contaminant dispersal and diffusion (given the observed hydrological regimes) were identified and addressed. Ichthyoplankton data which had been obtained over the first two years were considered adequate, and sampling was discontinued. Thus, work unit distribution remained the same as for 1977-1978 except for the deletion of the ichthyoplankton work unit (2.3.6) and the addition of work unit 2.5.2, Hydrodynamic Modeling. Plankton studies were incorporated as part of work unit 2.3.2. Results of the 1978-1979 program are reported in Jackson and Wilkens 1980 (in press).

During 1979-1980, field sampling in the biological program was reduced to only that necessary to fill data gaps addressing the remaining uncertainties considered to be of importance (red snapper population levels, trophic linkages, condition of barnacles). The primary goal of



Fig. 3.. Idealized experimental design.

the last year of the program was to produce this report, an integrated synthesis of the major findings of the project.

SAMPLING METHODS

This and the following accounts in Section 4 provide a precis of the methods that were employed in the study of the selected indicator groups. Detail as to exact methods can be found in referenced reports of the Principal Investigators. For convenience, the descriptions provided below are grouped under (1) demersal fishes and macrocrustaceans, (2) biofouling, and (3) structure-associated fishes.

Demersal Fishes and Macrocrustaceans

Field studies of this group were conducted throughout the program by means of otter trawling (Emiliani et al. 1977, Workman and Jones 1979, Gallaway and Martin 1980). A 12-m semiballon otter trawl was used in 1976-1977, a 6.1-m trawl in 1977-1978, and a 12-m trawl was again utilized in 1978-1979. Time of trawl hauls and number of replicates differed among investigators. Studies performed in 1978-1979 consisted of triplicated trawl hauls, each of which was of 10-min duration. These samples were believed to provide the best estimates of seasonal and spatial abundance and are heavily relied upon herein.

Biofouling Community

Initial biofouling studies in the BGOF were conducted by Fotheringham (1977) and utilized scraping and photogrammetric techniques to characterize fouling community composition and structure, particularly in terms of relative abundance and coverage of platform substrate. During the remainder of the program, biofouling efforts were conducted by Gallaway et al. (1979a), Howard et al. (1980) and as described in this report. These studies relied largely upon scraping techniques utilizing templates to obtain replicated quadrat samples (Fig. 4). Standing crop biomass and rates of recolonization by the biofouling community of cleaned areas were emphasized and supplemented by experimental studies of production and condition of community dominants. *In-situ* respirometry investigations (Gallaway et al. 1979a, Howard et al. 1980) were used to further define effects of produced water on the biofouling community.

Structure-Associated Fishes

Pelagic, reef and other structure-associated fishes were investigated using a variety of field sampling techniques including (1) diver observation (Fotheringham 1977, Workman and Jones 1979, Gallaway et al. 1979a, Gallaway and Martin 1980, this report); photography (Workman and Jones 1979, this report); traps (Emiliani et al. 1977, Workman and Jones 1979, this report); trolling, gillnetting and long-lining (Trent 1977); hook-and-line (Fotheringham 1977, Emiliani et al. 1977, Trent 1977, Workman and Jones 1979, Gallaway et al. 1979a, Gallaway and Martin 1980, this report); air lift and other diver-operated devices (Fotheringham 1977,



Fig. 4 . Diagrammatic representation of scraping templates. Cells labeled A and B represent the two possible sampling schemes.

Workman and Jones 1979, Gallaway et al. 1979a,Gallaway and Martin 1980, this report); quantitative diver censuses of sedentary reef fish (Workman and Jones 1979, Gallaway and Martin 1980) and mark-recapture experiments (Workman and Jones 1979, Gallaway et al. 1979a,Gallaway and Martin 1980, this report). Field sampling was designed to yield (1) qualitative and quantitative estimates of population levels and structure, (2) descriptions of trophic ecology, (3) an assessment of the health and condition of species being investigated and (4) characterizations of the BGOF recreational fisheries.

SAMPLE AND DATA ANALYSIS

Samples returned to the laboratory for analysis were analyzed following published protocols for the respective disciplines with all data provided to project data management (Work Unit 2.2.3). In general, collection data were summarized using information theory species diversity indices and cluster analysis (see Gallaway et al. 1979a). Where data were adequate, statistical analyses were made using factorial analysis of variance supplemented by either orthogonal contrasts or Duncan's Multiple Range Tests (see Howard et al. 1980) to compare significance of differences among means.

Seasonal population estimates were made using both single- and multiple-census techniques (Gallaway and Martin 1980), and, for small cryptic species, by replicated quadrat counts (Workman and Jones 1979, Gallaway and Martin 1980). Food habit investigations were based upon both qualitative (Workman and Jones 1979) and quantitative gravimetric methods (Gallaway et al. 1979a,Gallaway and Martin 1980, this report) with feeding periodicity evaluated using index of fullness values (Gallaway et al. 1979a).

Health and condition evaluations for fishes were based upon (1) analysis of covariance of length-weight regressions (Workman and Jones 1979, Gallaway et al. 1979a,Gallaway and Martin 1980) and by direct histopathological (Gallaway and Martin 1980) and microbial examination (Gallaway and Martin 1980, Sizemore 1980). The latter analyses were performed by Texas A&M University (TAMU) under subcontract to LGL. For barnacles, health and condition indices were determined using regressions of cavity volume on meat weight (Boland 1980).

SECTION 5

ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING AND ALTERATIONS

SETTING

Water depths in the BGOF range from 17 to 22 m and overlie a rather diverse bottom (Anderson and Schwarzer 1979; Fig. 5). The majority of the BGOF structures are sited over silty/clayey sand, but two (including one of the controls, Satellite 288-5) were located on silty sand. The consensus reached from examination of all available information (Anderson and Schwarzer 1977, 1979; Behrens 1977; Middleditch 1977, 1979, 1980; Brooks and Estes 1980; Martin 1977; Armstrong 1979, 1980) was that bottom sediments of the area were scoured; i.e. sedimentation rates were characteristically low due to resuspension and transport out of the area by bottom currents.

Currents in the BGOF were found to be aligned principally in longshore directions, reversing seasonally from upcoast toward the northeast in summer (May-August) to downcoast toward southwest for October-April (Armstrong 1979, 1980). Transitional conditions appeared to rule in September and April. Current meter records showed layering of contrasting flows during some seasons. Local winds were apparently the main driving force for the circulation. Flow was typically with the wind but was deflected by the coastline such that there was compensating offshore transport with onshore winds, or to the right of the winds due to Ekman transport. Distinct departures from local wind-driven circulation develop during spring, when it seems high river discharge may establish a downcoast, geostrophic current which, from current meter records, may account for the layered currents of summer. Also, during early fall, currents of the area do not appear to relate to local winds, but may be responding to larger-scale atmospheric alterations. Spectral analyses of current meter records indicate that tidal currents and wind shifts account for most of the variability in flow dynamics, with dominant periods perhaps associated with passage of continental air masses in winter and fall, and longer-period maritime air mass development during summer.

Water Column Structure

As might be deduced from the above, the general structure of the BGOF water column underwent marked seasonal changes which are characterized below using 1979-1980 observations (Figs. 6-9). In general, during periods of vertical stratification, salinity stratification was more pronounced than temperature, and the distribution of turbid, or nepheloid, layers corresponded with pycnoclines. In summer 1979, two nepheloid layers were present under the conditions depicted by Fig. 6. The level of total suspended particulate material (TSM) in surface waters (288 μg/ℓ) was lower than that of bottom waters (538 μg/ℓ) and also differed in composition. On a relative basis, zooplankton ("cellular")



Fig. 5. Sediment distribution in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field (Anderson and Schwarzer 1979).



Fig. 6. Characteristic environmental structure observed in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field during summer, 1979.



Fig. 7. Characteristic environmental structure observed in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field during fall, 1979.



Fig. 8. Characteristic environmental structure observed in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field during winter, 1979.



Fig. 9. Characteristic environmental structure observed in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field during spring, 1979.

particulates dominated in the upper part of the wat r column whereas clays were the dominant particulate in near-bottom water (Brooks and Estes 1980).

During fall 1979, the water column was characterized by a single, but deep, nepheloid layer over the bottom (Fig. 7). Particulate concentrations in surface and bottom waters were similar to summer levels, but relative abundance patterns of the components differed greatly. Clay was the dominant particulate in each water mass (Fig. 7). During winter 1979-1980, the entire water column was highly turbid (TSM = ≥ 100 μg/ℓ) and temperature-salinity stratification was weak or absent (Fig. 8). Clay and phytoplankton were the co-dominant particulate types on a relative basis, and zooplankton was scarcely represented in the samples. Surface waters contained measurable levels of non-cellular organic material during winter--probably representing winter-blooming mat organisms sloughed from the biofouling community.

The water column during spring 1980 was characterized by th pr - sence of three turbid layers and marked salinity stratification. During this period of transition, the water column was changing from a vertically mixed system to the characteristic stratified condition as exemplified by the summer data described above. Surface waters were represented by a highly turbid lens (TSM = 800 μg/ℓ) of low salinity (~30 ‰), isolated from the waters below by a pycnocline at about 5 m in depth (Fig. 9). The mid-depth nepheloid layer was at about 12 m and, again, was associated with a pycnocline which probably accounted for the selective congregation of sinking particulates at the observed mid-depth density interface. The dramatic bottom nepheloid layer (TSM = 1550 μg/ℓ) was attributable to turbulent mixing associated with bottom currents.

Although clay was the dominant suspended material in both surface and bottom waters during spring, phyto- and zooplankton were well represented, particularly in surface waters. Non-cellular biomass (probably of biofouling origin) was relatively abundant in surface waters (Fig. 9). As will be described in later sections, this material (suspended particles of biofouling mat; e.g. hydroids) is particularly important to the BGOF trophic system during the spring season.

In summary, the water column in the BGOF was stratified during all seasons except winter, with the seasonal density interfaces providing points of accumulation for suspended particulates of appropriate density (dense particles would "fall through" the pycnoclinal barriers whereas those less dense than the waters below would set at the interface). The presence of a near-bottom nepheloid layer during all seasons indicated that fine-grained surficial sediments within the field were in a continued state of resuspension, reworking, and transport.

Clay was the dominant particulate material in the water column during all seasons. The organic fraction consisted almost exclusively of cellular material (phytoplankton, zooplankton, and/or bacteria) during most seasons. However, particulate non-cellular carbon, probably

of biofouling origin, comprised 4 to 8% of the particulate load in surface waters during late winter and spring, respectively. The winter season was characterized by the highest levels of organic nutrients and an associated phytoplankton bloom.

Bacteria

Bacterial populations of the BGOF were of marine origin (94% of those enumerated required salt for growth) with biomass estimated to range between 6.2 and 44.0 x 10^{-6} g C/ℓ (Sizemore 1980). The dominant genera represented included *Vibrio*, *Pseudomonas*, *Aeromonas*, *Acinetobacter* and *Moraxella*. Bacterial diversity changed with season and was lowest in spring. Ninety percent of the bacteria in the water column were found to have been attached to particles greater than 3 μ in diameter.

A number of potential fish pathogens were well represented as part of the typical bacterial assemblage found in the water and on suspended sediments, surficial sediments and fish (Sizemore 1980, Gallaway and Martin 1980). These included several hemolytic species of *Vibrio* as well as species of *Aeromonas*. These opportunistic pathogens were implicated as potential agents for the spadefish disease epidemics observed during the winter seasons.

Benthos

The benthic macroinfauna of the BGOF was diverse (estimated between 400 and 420 species) and, compared to other areas of the Gulf, abundant (Harper et al. 1976, Harper 1977). During summer 1976, mean density of benthic organisms in the field was approximately 8,000 individuals/m^2, but declined from this level to approximately 4,500/m^2 in January 1977. By spring 1977, populations had increased to an average of about 6,000 individuals/m^2. Although the seasonal trends observed in the BGOF were similar to those observed for a nearby, more inshore area off Freeport, Texas, the densities of macroinfauna in the BGOF was an order of magnitude larger than the densities observed offshore Freeport. Polychaetes (65 to 70%) and amphipods (10 to 20%) dominated the fauna. Biomass levels of benthos were not measured in the study, but were considered low. Attempts to obtain a large enough (~ 5 to 10 g wet weight) sample of infauna for chemical analyses were seldom successful due to the small size of the average infaunal organism.

ALTERATIONS

Types and Amounts of Contaminants

The most obvious environmental alteration in the BGOF was the addition of an estimated 16,000 m^2 of hard substrate habitat extending from the bottom to some 21 m above the water's surface. The only discharges from these structures of any consequence were produced water from the production platforms and treated sewage effluent from the quarters platform. Produced water discharge, although variable, was estimated to have averaged about 2.5 ℓ/sec. Discharge of sewage effluent was

intermittent, but was estimated to have been approximately 30 ℓ/h (0.008 ℓ/sec.).

Produced waters were characterized in terms of alkanes, aromatics, volatiles, sulfur, and biocides by Middleditch (1980). He estimated the daily discharge of alkanes to have been, on the average, 382 g which represented 18% of the estimated 2 kg/day total of oil discharged from BGOF production platforms in produced waters. The light aromatic fraction of hydrocarbons in produced water was represented by some 68 different compounds having an average total concentration of 104.2 ppb. Twelve normal, branched and cyclic alkanes were characterized in the analysis of produced water volatiles. Three aromatics, comprising 64% of the volatile components measured, were identified as bezene, toluene and ethybenzene.

In contrast to the low levels of hydrocarbons being discharged in produced water, some 207 kg of sulfur was believed to have been discharged daily from BGOF production platforms. As sulfur has a specific gravity of about two and is insoluble in water, it may serve as the major transporter for oil through the water column and into sediments--if hydrocarbons can be absorbed on sulfur particles. The acrolein biocide used to control microbial aggrevated corrosion of pipes and vessels was not detected in produced water discharge samples. This biocide, while highly toxic, is quite labile.

Tillery (1980) found produced waters to have been enriched in Ba and Sr, but characterized the levels of other trace metals in the discharge as being extremely low. These findings confirmed the previous work of Anderson and Schwarzer (1979), also performed in the BGOF.

Produced water was found to have been toxic to marine organisms with crustaceans more sensitive than fish (Zein Eldin 1979, Rose pers. comm.).

TABLE 2. TOXICITY OF PRODUCED WATERS TO BGOF ORGANISMS.

Organism	Concentration (ppm) $\bar{x}$ 96-h LC50	Concentration (ppm) $\bar{x}$ 48-h LC50	Concentration (%)
Larval brown shrimp		9500	0.95
Subadult white shrimp	68,000	-	6.8
Adult white shrimp	70,000	-	7.0
Barnacle	83,000	-	8.3
Subadult brown shrimp	100,000	-	10.0
Adult brown shrimp	116,000	-	11.0
Crested blenny	269,000	-	26.9

The most sensitive organism among those tested was the larval brown shrimp which had a mean 48-h LC50 of about 9,500 ppm (1% produced water in seawater).

Water Column

We used two methods to project the concentrations of produced water in the receiving seawater. The first method was utilized by Smedes et al. (1980) to establish an initial concentration for use in the hydrodynamic model. Based upon dye studies performed by Workman and Jones (1979), the produced water (due to turbulent mixing beneath the platform) was assumed to completely mix in a volume of water approximately 1/8 of the volume occupied by the platform (Fig. 10). (The effects of pycnoclines on dye dispersion can be seen by comparing Figs. 6 and 10). The relative concentration of 0.088% of produced water in this volume beneath the platform was determined by letting

Q = rate of discharge (m^3/sec)
U = ambient current (m/sec)
L = length of the platform (m)
Z = water depth (m)

and then estimating the time (t) for the pollutant to be swept past the platform using:

$$t = L/U$$

The volume (V) of pollutant discharged during this time was calculated using:

$$V = Qt = \frac{QL}{U}$$

This volume of pollutant was considered to have been initially mixed into a volume (Vo) of ambient water approximately equal to:

$$Vo = 1/8\ L^2 Z$$

leading to the relative concentration (Xo) of 0.088% calculated:

$$Xo = \frac{V}{Vo} = \frac{8Q}{(ULZ)}$$

for the conditions where

U = 0.05 m/sec
L = 50 m
Z = 20 m
Q = 0.055 m^3/sec

The relative contaminant concentration projected by this method agrees well with the pollutant concentrations observed in waters beneath the platform (see Middleditch 1980, Tillery 1980) given the initial levels of contaminants in the produced water being discharged.

The second method employed was to use an analytic steady state approximation for diffusion from a continuous point source discharge having a mean advective component (ambient current) perpendicular to the dispersion (Fig. 11). The mean concentration ($\bar{C}$) at any point was determined using:

$$\bar{C} = \frac{Q}{4\ \pi\ r\ (K_y K_z)\ 1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{\bar{U}}{4x}\left(\frac{y^2}{K_y} + \frac{Z^2}{K_y}\right)\right]$$



Fig. 10. Hypothetical distribution of produced water in the water column.

Explanation of symbols is provided in Fig. 11. Although this model can be rightfully criticized (mixing beneath the platform is far more complex and effective than simple eddy diffusion), we believe it may be used to estimate maximum zones of toxicity around the point source under "worst case" conditions.

Eddy diffusion coefficients (K_y = 0.1 m^2/sec; K_z = 0.01) were selected based upon Nichoul (1975) and, although considered typical for the mixed layer, represent the greatest uncertainty associated with the above model. Typical current velocities in the BGOF range between 0.05 and 0.25 m/sec (Danek and Tomlinson 1980) and were used in the above model in conjunction with the average loading value at the point source (~ 2.5 ℓ produced water/sec) to calculate the results shown by Fig. 12. Under the conditions depicted, the maximum zone of toxicity (assuming a 1% concentration of produced water in seawater to be toxic) was about ≤ 1 m^3. Decreasing the diffusion rates each by an order of magnitude resulted in the "potentially toxic" volume increasing up to 5 m^3, mostly in the direction of current flow (Fig. 13). Increasing the diffusion rates resulted in a decrease in the potentially toxic volume, and in the limiting case, approximated results from method one described above.

Sedimentary Regimes

Particulate and sedimentary regimes of the BGOF were highly dynamic. The combination of wave and current energy served to resuspend and transport particulates out of the area. BGOF operations appeared to alter sedimentary regimes in several ways. The structures themselves not only contributed to turbulent mixing, but yielded a "rain" of metal ranging in size from microscopic flakes (Anderson and Schwarzer 1977) to large pieces of grating and batteries. We believe that the source of the small metal flakes which were very abundant in the water and sediment samples taken beneath the platforms was corrosion of the metal gratings which comprised the decks of the platforms. In any case, the observed metal flakes probably were a major source of the trace metal contamination of sediments reported for samples taken adjacent to the platforms.

As mentioned above, particulate sulfur was a major component in the produced water and, along with the metal flakes, may have served as a transporter of hydrocarbons on the bottom. Hydrocarbon levels in sediments beneath the production platforms, although highly variable, were typically higher than levels in sediments in control areas. Another possible transporter of hydrocarbons to the bottom was oily sand which was sometimes present in the skim tank and discharged overboard.

The presence of the structures allows development of a reef community which contributes particulates of biogenic origin ranging from parts of colonial organisms to fish scales to fecal pellets to whole barnacles. The last break-off the platforms during storms and have, in effect, formed a shell-rubble pad beneath the structures in the field. The observed decreasing gradient in organic and inorganic carbon



Schematic of idealized dispersion from continuous point source of effluent. The ambient current is flowing in the x direction with velocity ū (m/sec). Ky and Kz are eddy diffusion coefficients (m^2/sec) in the horizontal and vertical directions respectively. Q is the rate of effluent introduction at the source (m^3/sec). r is the distance (m) from the source to the point (x,y,z).

Fig. 11. Schematic of idealized dispersion from continuous point source of effluent.



NOTE: all contour numbers expressed in % of produced water.

Fig. 12. Distribution of produced water based upon analytic steady state approximation, conditions 1 and 2.



NOTE: all contour numbers expressed in % of produced water.

Fig. 13. Distribution of produced water based upon analytic steady state approximation, conditions 3 and 4.

away from the platforms in the BGOF was probably attributable to the contribution from the reef communities which develop on and around the structures.

With the exception of the large pieces of metal and the barnacle shells, the residence time of particulates beneath the platform was presumably very short due to resuspension (waves) and transport out of the area (currents). The direction of sediment transport appeared controlled by seasonal current patterns. Dilution and/or biodegradation appears to reduce levels of contaminants to that of background conditions within very short distances (≤ 50 m) from the platforms.

Bacteria

The bacteria data provide evidence that the degree of hydrocarbon contamination emanating from the BGOF was, indeed, minimal. Bacterial diversity and density levels in the BGOF were markedly similar to those in control areas. Although numerical densities and taxa represented in collections from the two areas were the same, the relative abundance of taxa was different between the BGOF and control areas. BGOF samples contained relatively more oil-degrading, sulfur-oxidizing and sulfate-reducing bacteria than did samples from control areas outside the field. These data indicate chronic, low-level pollution was occurring, but not to the extent that population levels were significantly increased.

Produced water inhibited or retarded the growth of laboratory cultures of bacteria, but appeared to have either no effect, or a stimulatory effect, on isolates obtained from the BGOF. Both pure and mixed cultures of bacteria from the BGOF exhibited the ability to degrade significant portions of the n-alkanes in BGOF crude oil.

Presumptive coliform microorganisms were more commonly encountered in samples taken near quarters platforms than in samples obtained from control areas, but no fecal coliforms were observed in any samples. Based upon the evidence provided by bacteria data, the BGOF was an environmentally clean operation during the period of study.

Benthos

Numerical (cluster) analysis of the benthic data based upon 67 abundant species yielded four distinct site groups. Site group I consist d of the majority of the stations in the study area, all of which exhibited a high degree of ecological similarity. Site group II was a group of five stations, mostly associated with clay substrates, characterized by reduced populations. Site group III was comprised of stations in the vicinity of Production Platforms 288-A and 296-B and was also considered to have had reduced populations. The last site group delineated was one consisting of only two stations. Both were markedly dissimilar from all the other groups as well as from each other. The reduced populations near production platforms are believed by us to have been attributable more to sediment differences than to contaminant levels.

The benthic collections around platforms were sometimes characterized by high densities of intact shells of a planktonic pteropod. We believe, based upon results of our Atlantic spadefish trophic investigations described below, that these accumulations were attributable to predation by Atlantic spadefish. On occasions during summer months, the guts of spadefish would be literally packed to maximum capacity with pteropods, many of which were probably passed with little or no digestive deterioration.

SECTION 6

MAJOR ECOSYSTEM COMPONENTS AND EFFECTS

DEMERSAL FISHES AND MACROCRUSTACEANS

Characterization

Demersal nekton communities in the vicinity of the BGOF were diverse and abundant. During 1976-1977, Emiliani et al. (1977) trawled 97 species of finfish and macrocrustaceans represented among 47,530 specimens. A much reduced trawl program conducted in 1977-1978 yielded 61 species (Workman and Jones 1979), and 104 species and 49,481 specimens were taken in 1978-1979 by Gallaway and Martin (1980). One undescribed species of jawfish was taken in the 1978-1979 program and the BGOF records will be included in a forthcoming revision of the jawfishes by William F. Smith-Vaniz of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philidelphia. Specimens were deposited with the Academy.

As exemplified by Fig. 14, demersal nekton were basically represented by two seasonal assemblages (summer and winter), separated by periods of transition in the spring and fall. The summer assemblage was characterized by low abundances, few species and strong dominance by one species, the longspine porgy. In contrast, the winter assemblage was characterized by high abundance, many species and dominance by a macrocrustacean--namely sugar shrimp. Commercially important shrimps (*Penaeus*) were never a collection dominant although brown shrimp were relatively abundant in collections taken during the fall periods of migration. The commercial shrimping fleet was seldom observed fishing within the BGOF but was active during summer and fall in the silty-sand area east of the BGOF (see Fig. 5).

Most species of the demersal nektonic community were benthic feeders, and they, in turn, were found to serve as an important source of food for some benthic reef species such as red snapper. The demersal nekton community was a major contributor to the ichthyoplankton--engraulids, sciaenids and bothids were the three most abundant taxa of fish larvae represented in the BGOF (Finucane et al. 1979). Surprisingly, based upon egg abundance the BGOF is a spawning ground for anguilliforms, callionymids, clupeids, sciaenids, scombrids and soleids, but eggs or ichthyoplankton of reef fish were not abundant. Finucane et al. (1979) did not detect any effects of contaminant discharges on ichthyoplankton.

Effects

The results reported by Emiliani et al. (1977), suggest that demersal nekton communities within the BGOF were more diverse and abundant than those associated with the control areas. These differences may



Fig. 14. Normal cluster analysis dendogram for trawl collections made during the 1978-1979 Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field study.

have been partly attributable to the greater habitat diversity associated with the field, particularly the presence of structures and exposed pipelines.

Comparisons of levels of species diversity and the abundances of dominant species within the BGOF were made by Gallaway and Martin (1980). Collections taken at a structure over a silty-sand substrate had significantly lower diversity than collections taken at structures over silty-clayey-sand substrates (Fig. 15). Within the latter substrate type, species diversity was significantly higher at the control satellite structure (no discharge) than at the production platforms (with contaminant discharge). This difference in species diversity was not associated with marked differences in the number of taxa represented in collections taken at two types of habitats, but appeared to result from the greater abundance of a few of the seasonally dominant species at production platforms than at satellite jackets (e.g. sugar shrimp, Fig. 16; chevron shrimp, Fig. 17). Most of the abundant species represented in the BGOF collections exhibited neither an attraction to, nor an avoidance of, discharging structures as compared to non-discharging structures. We believe that most of the observed differences in diversity and abundance were more attributable to substrate than to any other factor.

Brown shrimp were used as indicators of the health of the demersal nekton community. No histopathological anomalies were found and no evidence of any disease was observed. Bacterial flora on brown shrimp collected at production platforms were highly similar to the flora of specimens collected at control structures.

BIOFOULING COMMUNITY

Characterization

The biofouling community colonizing BGOF structures was diverse and abundant. Fotheringham (1977) identified 16 algae and 101 species of invertebrates. The community consisted of two main components--shelled organisms which comprise and shape the overall habitat, and an encrusting "mat community" which provides additional habitat. Each of the above is characterized by a closely associated cryptic fauna.

The large Mediterranean barnacle, *Balanus tintinnabulum*, was the perennial dominant of the biofouling community and was estimated to occupy some 77% of the original platform substrate. Individuals of this barnacle were observed to attain basal diameters of 3-4 cm and 6-8 cm in height. They characteristically grew in clusters forming a three-dimensional habitat some 10-15 cm thick.

The dominance of biofouling communities on BGOF structures by the Mediterranean barnacle represents a major zoogeographic finding of the program. It has been reported as an incidental species in the Gulf for some 20 years, and remains so on most platforms we have examined in the



Fig. 15. Comparisons of mean species diversity (H") levels at study area stations or platforms by season.



Fig. 16. Comparisons of mean abundance levels [Log_e (n+1)] of sugar shrimp at study area stations or platforms by season.



Fig. 17. Comparisons of mean abundance levels [$\log_e$ (n+1)] of chevron shrimp at study area stations or platforms by season.

Western Gulf of Mexico offshore Louisiana. Our observations indicate that this species may be the dominant barnacle on platforms from the BGOF to areas offshore West Cameron, but it is seldom abundant on structures further east.

The Mediterranean barnacle is a filter feeder on particulates and plankton and feeds mostly during the night (Fig. 18). It spawns during late spring or early summer and in fall, usually somewhat later than the competing acorn (*Balanus* spp.) barnacles which are seasonally abundant in the BGOF. The combination of the rapid growth and large size of the Mediterranean barnacle enable it to settle on and overgrow the smaller acorn barnacles.

The principal predators of BGOF barnacles were sheepshead and triggerfish and, to a much lesser degree, stone crabs. Another major source of natural mortality was caused by large clusters breaking-off and sinking to the bottom during periods of high waves and/or currents. Barnacle shells were the major component of the rubble pads observed on the bottom beneath BGOF structures.

The barnacle community provided critical habitat for cryptic species such as pistol shrimp, stone crab and blennies, as well as clean surface for colonization by the mat community. Clean surface was provided not only by shell growth but also by scars left where clusters had broken-off and fallen to the bottom.

The mat portion of the biofouling community was characterized by a virtually inseparable interspersion of macroalgae, sponges, bryozoans and hydroids. The macroalgae (mostly green and red algae) represented a relatively small percentage of the total standing crop biomass, and were more abundant in summer than in winter. The faunal component of the mat, however, bloomed during winter seasons (particularly the stalked bryozoan *Bugula neritina*, and the hydroid, *Tubularia crocea*), but declined markedly over short periods during spring (Fig. 19) resulting in the characteristic low levels of mat observed for summer and fall.

The faunal components of the mat community are also filter feeders on particulates and plankton, and were utilized for food by sheepshead, triggerfish and small reef fishes. During periods of the spring decay, hydroid stalks suspended in the water column provided an important food for Atlantic spadefish. The "bushy" hydroids and bryozoans were used as habitat by small microcrustacean species (amphipods and copepods) as well as by brittle stars.

Although microcrustaceans were the numerical dominants of the cryptic assemblage associated with the biofouling community, blennies, stone crab, pistol shrimp, polychaetes and brittle stars dominated from a biomass standpoint.



Fig. 18. Diurnal activity of the Mediterranean barnacle, 18-19 August 1978. (Shaded area represents period of darkness.)

HYDROID TIME-LAPSE PHOTOGRAPHY



Week 1, March 1979
Healthy colony
1 March 1979

Week 2, March 1979
Colonial biomass decline has occurred

Week 3, March 1979
Degradation progressing

Week 4, March 1979
30 days elapsed
Nearly complete degradation

Fig. 19. Artistic representation of the spring decline of a hydroid colony at 8-m depth on platform 296Q, as indicated by time-lapse photography.

Cryptic species which were dependent upon bushy hydroids and bryozoans as cover and/or food (microcrustaceans and brittle stars) bloomed in winter and declined during warm seasons. In contrast, cryptic species dependent upon barnacles for habitat, and which did not outgrow the cover provided (e.g. pistol shrimp, polychaetes, and blennies), were characterized by rather stable seasonal population levels. Other cryptic species were apparently recruited to the structures from the plankton, flourished and grew until they exceeded a size allowing use of the habitat as cover. They were then either harvested by predators or left the area prior to reaching a reproducing size (e.g. stone crabs).

Principal predators on microcryptic species were sheepshead, triggerfish, blennies and small reef fishes; sheepshead and triggerfish were principal predators on larger cryptic species. Almaco jacks showed a marked preference for blennies.

A species of blenny new to the Gulf of Mexico was discovered during the last year of the BGOF investigation. The species represented is currently being described by Dr. Smith-Vaniz; and, prior to our discovery, was previously known only from St. Barthelemy Island (Lesser Antilles), Venezuela (precise locality unknown) and the Gulf of Uraba, Colombia.

The biomass dynamics of the biofouling community by depth and biological season with respect to characteristic water column conditions are summarized in Fig. 20. During all seasons, biomass levels near the bottom were markedly lower than biomass levels in the upper water column. The depth of this biomass discontinuity appears to coincide with the distribution of the year-round bottom nepheloid layer, and was mainly attributable to the absence of barnacles. As shown, biomass levels in winter were significantly higher than summer levels. Most of the observed seasonal change was attributable to the blooms of the mat community. High dissolved nutrient levels and phytoplankton blooms were also characteristic of the early winter season, and may have contributed to the increased biofouling levels.

Effects

The discharge of produced water had detrimental effects on the biomass levels and production rates of the biofouling community; however, using the 5% level to determine differences, significant alterations of the community were restricted to a vertical distance of about 1 m and a horizontal distance of less than 10 m (Fig. 21 and 22). These results, which were obtained *in-situ*, agree well with the projected zones of toxicity described for worst-case conditions in Section 3 above. The near-surface zones in the immediate vicinity of the outfall were characterized by the virtual absence of any living large barnacles but small (usually dead) barnacles were sometimes obtained in the collections taken there. Organisms colonizing this area may do quite well until worst-case hydrographic conditions occur. In addition, organisms colonizing this zone were probably periodically subjected to nearly 100%



Fig. 20. Seasonal levels of biofouling biomass and characteristic hydrographic conditions.



Fig. 21. Standing crop biomass of biofouling community in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, summer 1980.



Fig. 22. Recolonization biomass produced on Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field structures, 1978-1979.

concentrations of produced water when they are exposed in the troughs of waves. Based upon recolonization information (Fig. 22), worst-case conditions were apparently encountered more frequently in spring through fall periods than during other seasons. The surface effect of produced water on recolonization rates for spring to summer and summer to fall periods is readily apparent in Fig. 22. However, production rates beneath the outfall at depths greater than 1 m were typically equal to, or greater than, production rates on control structures at the same depths during the same periods. Production rates at the surface beneath the outfall were even greater than rates at the surface station on the control structure during the fall to winter period. The fall to winter period was one of high energy and turbulent mixing prevailed. The winter to spring season was characterized by low production rates at all stations throughout the field and no significant differences were apparent.

Results of respirometry experiments indicated low rates of biofouling primary production and that a stress response (increased oxygen uptake) had been elicited from the communities subjected to treatment. In retrospect, the stress response was attributable to the fact that the concentrations of produced water to seawaters (10 to 25%) exceeded the 96-h LC50 value of most of the organisms being tested. For example, a common amphipod of the biofouling community (*Jassa falcata*) suffered 100% mortality when placed in a 10% produced-seawater mixture for 48 h.

The effects of produced water on the condition of the Mediterranean barnacle were reported by Boland (1980). Barnacles taken from locations as close as 1 m to the surface at the outfall were not significantly different in condition from those taken at control stations. He did find, however, that barnacles taken immediately below the sewage outfall were characterized by significantly higher condition than barnacles taken in control areas. He also found that Mediterranean barnacles from the BGOF were characterized by significantly higher condition than the same species collected from a structure offshore West Cameron, Louisiana.

Barnacles were not found to contain measurable amounts of fresh petroleum alkanes in their tissues, but the fouling mat in the immediate vicinity of the outfall was observed to be characterized by fresh petroleum alkanes. The cryptic blennies which were relatively insensitive to produced water (96-h LC50 = 27%) and which were apparently attracted to the area of outfall (Gallaway and Martin 1980), also showed evidence of marked petroleum contamination. The mean alkane concentration in this fish in 1978-1979 was 6.79 ppm (Middleditch 1980), considerably higher than levels found in any other fish. No evidence of any significant trace metal contamination of the biofouling community attributable to production activities was found during the BGOF investigations (Tillery 1980).

STRUCTURE-ASSOCIATED FISHES

Natural and artificial structures, including petroleum platforms, in the marine environment serve as aggregation points for large numbers of fishes representing many species. The mechanism of attraction (increased food, thigmotropism, etc.) and degree of permanency vary, depending on the ecological role of the species in question, the time of year, and related hydrographic conditions encountered.

In the most general sense, the structure-associated fish fauna of the BGOF can be classified either as seasonal transients or residents. The most important of the seasonal transients, from at least a fisheries standpoint, are the warm-season pelagic predators and their plankton-particulate feeding prey. The predatory species representing this group in the BGOF included king mackerel, cobia, bluefish, little tuny, dolphin, sharks, blue runner, sharksuckers and jack crevalle. Prey species included spanish sardine, scaled sardine, and rough scad. The attraction of the seasonal-transient assemblage of fishes appears to be the structures *per se*, but residence times at the structures for most of the species were believed to have been short.

Klima and Wickham (1971) and Wickham et al. (1973) documented the effectiveness of artificial structures and floating objects in attracting pelagic predators and their prey. Although large variations in daily numbers of fish were observed, as many as 10,000 pelagic fish were estimated around floating structures one day after they had been positioned. The congregations of fishes observed were highly transient in nature, with different schools constantly moving into and away from structures.

The predator and prey species maintained different spatial relationships with the structures suspended in mid-water. Prey species were normally in the upper part of the water column either around the level of the suspended structure or upcurrent from it. Predators stayed either at the level of the structure or below it, seldom swimming in waters above. Feeding was observed among the prey species but never among predators. Although large predators were infrequently observed directly, considerable evidence of their presence and feeding was noted in the form of mutilated fish. The authors interpreted their data as evidence that the initial attraction of fishes to structures is probably the result of a visual stimulus provided by a structure in the optical void of the pelagic environment.

Wickham et al. (1973) reported that the attraction of the gamefish species involved species-specific behavioral mechanisms. King mackerel and little tuny were seldom observed unless baitfish were present, but dolphin, cobia, and great barracuda were attracted to the structures *per se*. They presented evidence that baitfish were able to use artificial structures for predator avoidance in that the competing visual stimuli of structures disrupted the predator's visual fix which was required for a successful attack on the prey.

Studies of the seasonal transient fishes in the BGOF were directed towards bluefish. This was because their tendency towards remaining in the vicinity of platforms for longer times and being more visible than other species made them most susceptible to investigative effort. In contrast to most pelagic predators, bluefish were typically abundant during cool seasons (fall, winter, and spring) and rare during summer (except during 1978-1979 when they were abundant during all seasons). Although no tagged fish were observed in census efforts, visual estimates made by divers indicated as many as 3,000 to 5,000 bluefish might be associated with a structure at a given time during the periods of seasonal abundance. Most specimens of BGOF bluefish were about 45 to 50 cm in fork length. We received no tag returns from bluefish by sportsfishermen.

Bluefish fed mainly on fish during fall and winter, but relied heavily upon demersal macrocrustaceans during spring (Fig. 23). Although some pelagic prey species were represented in the unidentified fish category, most of the food contained in the stomachs of specimens collected were representative of the demersal fish community. Bluefish, when present in the BGOF, were characterized by healthy populations; no evidence of any impacts from petroleum operations were observed for this species.

The resident species in the BGOF were found to include (1) fishes which were thought to be directly dependent upon the biofouling community for both food and cover, and (2) those which appeared attracted to the structures mainly for cover alone (they exhibited little or no trophic dependency on the biofouling community). In the former category, we have included sheepshead (biomass dominant), blennies (numerically dominant), triggerfish, and amberjacks; as well as small pelagic (damselfishes, butterfly fishes, angelfishes, small sea basses, etc.) and demersal (cubbyu, wrasses) "reef" fish. In the latter category, we have included pelagic reef forms such as spadefish (usually the numerical and biomass dominant of the entire fish community) and tomtate; as well as benthic reef species such as red snapper and groupers. Sheepshead and blennies were studied as representatives of the reef-trophically-dependent segment of the population, and spadefish and red snapper were studied as representatives of the reef non-trophically-dependent segment of the population.

Sheepshead

The sheepshead is a common inshore sportsfish of the Atlantic and Gulf coasts. Along the coast, the sheepshead frequents pilings, j tties and oyster reefs and sometimes moves up rivers into fresh waters (Pew 1971). In coastal habitats, the sheepshead feeds on barnacles and small fish. Young sheepshead are collected in spring and early summer along the beach and in marshes (Dahlberg 1975), and we have observed young sheepshead particularly abundant in high-salinity grassbeds in Texas during spring and summer.



Fig. 23. Seasonal food habits of bluefish in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979.

Very little work has been done with respect to the biology of offshore sheepshead populations. Gallaway et al. (1979b) reported sheepshead as one of the dominant species around petroleum platforms offshore Louisiana seaward to about the 37 m-depth contour. At this point, however, the relative abundance of sheepshead began to decline with distance offshore, or depth; and they were not observed at investigated platforms which were located seaward of the 64-m depth contour. Sheepshead investigations were conducted during the 1978-1979 research year by Gallaway and Martin (1980) and the results are summarized below.

Characterization

With the exception of early April 1979, populations of sheepshead at BGOF structures were relatively stable during research year 1978-1979 (Fig. 24). The observed population levels in April represented 17- to 19-fold increases over the population sizes estimated for each structure the previous quarter. We believe the observed concentrations represented a spawning congregation as the fish were mostly running ripe, and many were exhibiting what we interpreted as courtship behavior. Similar congregations were observed at all the structures examined in the BGOF during early April 1979. We do not know exactly how long the congregations persisted; populations had returned to the normally observed ranges by mid-May. We believe these observations r - present the first evidence of spawning migration and aggregations for this species.

Recruitment of sheepshead to BGOF structures appears to be an annual event related to spring spawning aggregations at this habitat (Fig. 24). During August 1978, we were able to harvest all but about 10 of the sheepshead observed at Satellite 288-2. The observable population remained at about 10 fish until April 1979; after which the observable population was estimated at about 36 individuals (69% of the pre-harvest level, insert B, Fig. 24). Density of sheepshead at the two censused habitats (Production-Quarters 296B, S288-5) were remarkably stable during each season with the obvious exceptions of April at both structures and summer at the Production-Quarters 296B habitat (Fig. 24). With the exception of movement between the adjacent production-quarters structures, sheepshead appeared habitat-faithful. No marked fish were seen at a structure other than where they had been marked. As indicated by Fig. 24, density of sheepshead was typically slightly higher at the satellites than at production-quarters structures.

Sheepshead in the BGOF during 1978-1979 ranged from about 22- to 50-cm fork length (Fig. 25). Fish between 22 and 35 cm usually dominated the collections, particularly during summer. In the latter case, nearly all the specimens at Satellite 288-2 were harvested and comprised a rather complete sample of the resident population.

The seasonal length-weight relationships for sheepshead were characterized by equal slopes and significant differences (5% level) were indicated among the seasonal levels of condition ($F = 2.99$ at 3 and 90 d.f.). Sheepshead collected in winter and summer were significantly



Fig.24. Seasonal densities of sheepshead at Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field structures, 1978-1979 (A) and population levels (number seen) following harvest of specimens at Satellite 288-2 (B).



Fig. 25. Size distribution of sheepshead in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, summer 1978-spring 1979.

heavier at an adjusted mean length than fish at the same length in fall and spring. Fall fish were not significantly different from summer and spring fish. The greatest difference in condition was observed between April and May sheepshead; the former group were in spawning condition, and, at a given length, averaged 6.3% heavier than fish collected after the spawning activity.

The food habits of sheepshead varied with season (Fig. 26). During summer 1978, portunid crabs comprised 67% (by weight) of the diet and were supplemented by biofouling organisms. During each of the fall, winter and spring seasons, the biofouling community comprised the majority of the diet. Most of the material in the "unidentified" category was believed to have been of biofouling origin. The presence of sargassum in stomachs of spring specimens supports visual observations of sheepshead grazing on rafts of this material as well as on the organisms utilizing the sargassum as habitat.

Sheepshead were characterized by higher Index of Fullness values in winter (26.0) and spring (24.0) than in summer (19.0) and fall (14.0), but the data showed an almost uniform feeding periodicity over the 24-h cycle during each of the four seasons. Index of fullness values for the periods 2401-0600, 0601-1200, 1201-1800, and 1801-2400 h were 19.0, 19.3, 19.0, and 12.6, respectively. This species was heavily dependent upon the biofouling community for food but, as described below, also obtained food from other sources (including food scraps from the platforms).

Effects

The bacterial flora of sheepshead were similar to those observed for other fish species collected from the BGOF. Species of *Vibrio* were represented each season and were usually the dominant form. Of interest, hemolytic *Vibrio* sp., typically abundant, were not isolated from sheepshead collected during fall 1979. *Aeromonas* sp. was one of the dominant taxa on sheepshead collected at each of the two sampled habitats in summer, and was also represented on fish taken at each structure in fall. This potential fish pathogen was not isolated from winter specimens and was represented only on Production Platform 296B specimens in spring. The two categories of structure types (discharging and non-discharging) did not show marked differences in terms of sheepshead bacterial flora.

The most notable histopathological finding with respect to sheepshead was the virtual absence of any anomalous condition in tissue samples taken from specimens collected during the brief period of the spawning aggregation observed in the BGOF in April. Typically, sheepshead collected during other seasons exhibited five to seven different tissue anomalies, with each condition represented in 20 to 100% of the specimens collected. With the exception of gill hyperplasia which was characteristic of all specimens collected during the summer and four of five specimens collected at Production Platform 296B in fall, most of the anomalies in the tissues examined were lesions in association with the presence of, or attributable to, a parasite (e.g. nematodes).



Fig. 26. Seasonal food habits of sheepshead in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979.

If the fish collected during April were indeed representative of a migrant population, it would appear from these data that resident sheepshead are characterized by a higher degree of histopathological anomalies (or parasitism) than are sheepshead which migrate in and out of the study area for spawning purposes.

Comparisons of condition of sheepshead at the treatment and control structures were based upon specimens subsequently submitted for histopathological and bacterial flora analysis. The data set was reduced to the December 1978 and May 1979 collections, as the sheepshead represented during April were not considered resident fish, and weights were not obtained for the specimens analyzed from August. The length-weight regressions for fish from the two habitats had equal slopes ($F = 1.75$ at 1 and 16 d.f.); and, although fish from Production Platform 296B were 10.6% heavier than fish from Satellite 288-5, the differences were non-significant ($F = 3.79$ at 1 and 17 d.f.).

Sheepshead were characterized by the presence of petroleum alkane contaminants in both liver (6.08 ppm) and muscle tissues (4.57 ppm). These levels were lower than that observed characteristic for blennies, but higher than levels observed for fishes not trophically dependent upon the biofouling community for food. No significant trace metal contamination related to BGOF operations was demonstrated (Tillery 1980).

Crested Blenny

The blennies are small, "personable" fishes that live in and around rocks, reefs and other hard substrates, particularly barnacle shells. Populations in the BGOF consisted of at least four species--crested blenny (dominant), seaweed blenny, molly miller and the new species noted previously. The crested blenny is the most common form on Texas jetties and petroleum platforms of the shallow Gulf.

Characterization

Average density of blennies on BGOF structures dominated by the Mediterranean barnacle ranged from 12 to over $50/m^2$ (Workman and Jones 1979, Gallaway and Martin 1980). This compares with a range of 8 to $16/m^2$ on platforms offshore Louisiana dominated by acorn barnacles (Gallaway et al. 1979b). Densities of blennies were significantly higher during summer periods than during other seasons, presumably due to recruitment. Spawning of the crested blenny extended from spring to at least August, and the entire life cycle is completed on the structures. Eggs and larvae are brooded in empty barnacle shells. Seasonal size distribution of crested blenny in the BGOF (based upon 1978-1979 data) is shown by Fig. 27.

The crested blenny relied almost entirely on the biofouling community as food (Fig. 28). Hydroids, bryozoans and algae were commonly ingested and small, cryptic species (e.g. amphipods, polychaetes, etc.) were also important food items. During summer 1979, sponge spicules represented 5.1% of the diet. Much of the above "fouling mat" material is probably ingested as the blennies take discrete, cryptic organisms.



Fig. 27. Seasonal size distribution of crested blenny in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979.



Fig. 28. Seasonal food habits of the crested blenny in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979.

Barnacles provide not only critical habitat for blennies, but also food, mainly through the work of sheepshead who leave bits of barnacles in the crushed shells during and after feeding.

Based upon the identifiable food contents in the stomachs from samples obtained during 1978-1979, hydroids and barnacle molts were the dominant food items of blennies during summer and fall; amphipods and algae were important during winter, and, during spring, amphipods, hydroids and algae were the dominant foods. The unidentified category is believed to have been primarily of biofouling origin.

Index of Fullness values for the crested blenny were highest in winter (52.5) intermediate in spring (36.0) and lowest in summer and fall (29.6 and 28.2, respectively). On a daily basis, stomach contents of specimens collected between 0601 and 1200 h were lower (IF $\bar{x}$ = 27.0) than contents from specimens collected during other periods (IF $\bar{x}$ = 49.0 for period of 1201-1800 h; 49.5 for 1801-2400 h; and 42.0 for 1201-0600 h).

Effects

Blennies exhibited an apparent attraction to the produced water discharge. Highest densities were observed on production platforms and significantly higher densities were observed near the produced water outfall than elsewhere. Based upon *in-situ* investigations, the produced water effluent had no significant effects on recolonization rates of areas harvested of blennies nor were there any significant effects on condition of blennies.

The apparent attraction to the outfall area may have been attributable to the combination of a greater level of habitat availability due to higher densities of both live and dead barnacles in these areas (Howard et al. 1980) and the apparent lack of sensitivity of the crested blenny to produced water (96-h LC50 was 269,000 ppm or about 27% produced water in seawater).

Results of simple bioassays performed *in-situ* by Workman and Jones (1979) confirmed that the crested blenny was tolerant of produced discharge. The experiment was performed by suspending caged blennies just beneath the surface in the area of outfall and beneath a control satellite for an approximate 48-h period. Three of 20 and 22 crested blennies suspended in each of the respective areas died during the experiment. In contrast, all 20 of the seaweed blennies in the cage suspended beneath the effluent died. None of 17 in the control cage were known to have died although five specimens were missing (believed to have escaped during a transfer of fish into the cage).

The crested blenny differed little from other BGOF fishes in terms of its bacterial flora. Species of *Vibrio* were the most common taxa during each season; hemolytic *Vibrio* were not isolated from fall specimens. *Moraxella* sp. was apparently a co-dominant with *Vibrio* sp. during spring. There was no marked difference in the bacterial flora of blennies taken from the production platform as compared to those collected at satellite jacket habitats. No diseased blennies were noted in any of the areas sampled.

The crested blenny was a "clean" fish in terms of histopathological anomalies. Other than a light infestation of microsporidean parasites, no significant histopathological anomalies were detected in the specimens which were examined.

However, the average alkane concentration in this fish was 6.79 ppm, higher than the mean levels observed for any other fish from the BGOF (Middleditch 1980). Trace metal contamination of blennies attributable to BGOF operations was not indicated (Tillery 1980).

Spadefish

The spadefish represents another common coastal food and game fish with little known about its offshore biology. In the United States, its range extends along the Atlantic coast from Cape Cod to Florida and throughout the Gulf of Mexico and into the Caribbean. In Texas, large numbers of small spadefish show up in the surf along the beach during the spring of each year and remain in nearshore habitats until about fall. Spadefish are generally found in schools and, along the coast, they congregate around jetties, wrecks, pilings and bridges where the average size seldom exceeds 454 g. Offshore, schools are sometimes observed in open water, but spadefish characteristically congregate around structures, particularly petroleum platforms which differ from other reefs in that they extend from the bottom to the surface. Around production platforms, large fish are common, some up to 9 kg. The offshore distribution of spadefish in the Gulf was found by Gallaway et al. (1979b) to be similar to that described for sheepshead above--they were not observed at deep water platforms. Spadefish investigations were conducted during 1977-1978 (Gallaway et al. 1979a) and 1978-1979 (Gallaway and Martin 1980).

Characterization

Based upon results of the mark-recapture studies, spadefish were observed to be habitat faithful; i.e. there was little exchange among populations associated with different structures except for the closely-allied quarters and productions platforms. We believe that the best estimates of seasonal densities of spadefish around BGOF structures were about 0.11 to 0.15 fish/m^3 in summer, ~ 0.20 fish/m^3 in fall, ~ 0.22 fish/m^3 in winter and about 0.16 fish/m^3 in spring. The size distribution of spadefish populations appeared to differ by season. During winter and fall, length of individuals ranged from about 210 to 500 mm with fish greater than 400 mm rather common. These large individuals were scarce or absent around the structures during spring and summer seasons (Fig. 29). In spring, the observed length range of spadefish was from about 135 to 385 mm with no apparent size group dominant. Summer populations ranged in length from 175 to 360 mm with at least two different size groups represented (Fig. 29). We believe that relatively high densities observed during fall and winter are attributable to recruitment during fall and to the influx of large fish during winter which were absent (spawning?) during spring and summer.



Fig. 29. Size distribution of Atlantic spadefish in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, summer 1978-spring 1979.

Recently-spawned spadefish (5-30 mm) were not observed in the BGOF by us during any season. Additionally, results from the ichthyoplankton sampling program of 1976-1978 (Finucane et al.1979), indicated larval spadefish were not abundant during any season. As described above, young spadefish are abundant in the surf zone of Galveston Island in late May and June. We suspect that the absence of large fish during spring and summer and the relatively large size of the smallest recruits indicates that spawning of this species generally occurs elsewhere.

Food habits of Atlantic spadefish varied seasonally (Fig. 30). During summer, the diet of this species was dominated by a planktonic pteropod, *Carolina longirostris*. During each of the fall, winter, and spring seasons, we were unable to identify most of the material in the stomachs, but suspect that it is primarily of biofouling origin. During winter of the 1979-1980 research year, we were able to obtain a series of stomach contents grading progressively from intact hydroid stalks to an unidentifiable mass. Food habitat data for spadefish indicate that when plankton are unavailable, spadefish will utilize the biofouling community as a food source. This appeared especially true during the spring when biofouling organisms were being sloughed from the substrate and, as suspended particulates, were harvested by the plankton-feeding spadefish.

Based upon comparisons of Index of Fullness values (IF, Gallaway et al. 1979a) determined from specimens collected by spear, daily feeding periodicity of Atlantic spadefish was not markedly different among seasons. Feeding appeared to have been greatest during the period from mid-morning (~ 1000 h) to early evening (~ 2000 h), particularly during late afternoon (1600-1700 h). Although patterns of daily feeding were similar among seasons on a relative basis, the magnitude of the IF values varied greatly among seasons. The respective average IF values for specimens speared during summer, fall, winter and spring were 25.7, 3.3, 1.0 and 20.5. The high summer value was associated with plankton-particulate feeding in the upper water column, whereas the low fall and winter levels were believed associated with near-bottom grazing of the biofouling community. As described above, most of the food in the spring samples was also considered to have been of biofouling origin (probably *Tubularia crocea*) but was believed to have been harvested from the water column as opposed to having been grazed from the structures.

During winter of 1977-1978, we observed that spadefish populations in the BGOF experienced a disease epidemic characterized by large, external lesions and varying degrees of fin rot. Based upon bacterial isolates cultured from diseased specimens collected at that time, the fish pathogen *Vibrio* was abundantly represented. Badly diseased fish (those with large lesions and advanced cases of fin rot) were more in evidence at Production Platform 296B (61% of the sample) than at Satellite 288-5 (25%). The spadefish disease epidemic was also in evidence during the winter period of 1978-1979. In contrast to the previous year, there was little difference among structures (73 and 74% of the spadefish at the Production Platform and control satellite structures, respectively, were badly diseased). In early March practically no



Fig. 30. Seasonal food habits of the Atlantic spadefish in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979.

diseased spadefish were observed at the V.A. FOGG Liberty Ship Reef which was used as a control against which to compare BGOF populations.

In addition to gross examination, spadefish tissues were collected each season for microscopic examination. Histopathological anomalies in spadefish tissues (gills, intestine, liver, kidney, skin) determined by microscopic examination varied among seasons and habitats. Although larger sample sizes would have been needed to make definitive comparisons, gill hyperplasia was observed to be prevalent during summer, particularly at Production Platform 296B where each of the 5 specimens collected evidenced the anomaly. Fatty infiltration of the liver was pronounced in spadefish at all sites sampled in the winter and fall, less prevalent during summer, and present in only 1 of 10 fish collected during spring. Fatty infiltration of the liver of spadefish colonizing offshore Louisiana platforms during summer was also observed by BLM investigators (C.A. Bedinger, Southwest Research Institute, pers. comm.). Lesions in skin and fin tissues of BGOF spadefish were restricted to winter samples.

Bacteriological analysis of fish tissues yielded results similar to those of Sizemore (1979) in that *Vibrio* sp. was a predominate genera in all samples, particularly during winter. Potential fish pathogens (*Vibrio* sp., hemolytic; *Seromonas* sp.) were also represented during all seasons. Of these, Sizemore (1979) found only *Aeromonas hydrophila* associated with the four diseased fish he examined.

Spadefish disease epidemics appear best explained as the result of the actions of opportunistic pathogens during a period of natural seasonal stress for the host. Much of the seasonal stress is presumably attributable to the observed combination of high density, change in habitat (spadefish move from surface waters to the bottom during winter), reduction in apparent feeding efficiency, and the change in food habits from plankton to suspended particulates of biofouling origin (Fig. 31). The observed fatty infiltration of the liver may represent results of a nutritional deficiency of this alternate food source utilized during winter. During the 1978 research year, Atlantic spadefish were characterized by significantly ($\alpha = 0.05$) better condition in summer than in winter (Gallaway et al. 1979a); however, no significant seasonal differences were observed during the 1979 research year (Gallaway and Martin 1980).

Effects

Spadefish showed no evidence of either petroleum or trace metal contamination attributable to BGOF operations, and were characterized by the lowest levels of total alkanes of any fish tested (Middleditch 1980, Tillery 1980). Density levels were found to have been equivalent among the various structure types in the field. The observed disease epidemics seem best explained by natural phenomena. Whereas condition of spadefish was significantly lower during winter than during other seasons as might have been expected, condition did not differ significantly among the habitats sampled during winter.



Fig. 31. Seasonal distribution, abundance, foods and feeding patterns of Atlantic spadefish in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field.

However, although considered by us to be slight, the possibility remains that the winter disease epidemics may have been related to the chronic, low-level discharge of contaminants. Minchew and Yarbrough (1977) found that 96% of the mullet, *Mugil cephalus*, held in ponds subjected to a low-level oil spill (4 to 5 ppm) suffered fin rot whereas only 6% in a control pond developed eroded fins. The primary pathogen considered responsible for the fin erosion was a species of *Vibrio*. Subsequent laboratory work by Giles et al. (1978), confirmed the above results and showed that chronic, low-level exposure of mullet to oil significantly altered the bacteria on the fish, allowing for a large population of potentially pathogenic *Vibrio*. They also suggested that the *Vibrio*, through utilization of the oil, may have acquired an enhanced virulence. Our field studies agree with the findings of the above pond and laboratory experiments in that fish exposed to chronic, low-levels of hydrocarbons in discharges developed external lesions and fin rot which may have been attributable to a *Vibrio* sp.

Red Snapper

The red snapper, a highly prized sport and commercially valuable offshore species, occurs in association with hard-bottom habitats throughout the Gulf of Mexico (where it is probably most abundant) as well as in the Western Atlantic and Caribbean Sea. It is believed that r d snapper tend to be associated with deeper water (30-65 m) during winter, but that during warmer periods there is a general movement from offshore to inshore reefs (20-30 m). Spawning occurs between June and October, and juveniles utilize open sand or other soft substrates in waters 10- to 30-m deep as nursery grounds. Red snapper are recruited from the demersal nekton to the reef community at about the end of their first year at which time they have attained lengths of about 140 to 250 mm.

Red snapper investigations in the BGOF were conducted by Workman and Jones (1979), Gallaway and Martin (1980), and during the final research year, 1979-1980, reported herein.

Characterization

All of the tag return data indicated that red snapper were structure-faithful. No tag was returned or taken during a census other than at the location where the fish had been tagged. Population levels of "reef" ($\geq$ 180 mm fork length) red snapper at Production Platform 296-B in the BGOF (heavily fished) during the 1978-1980 research years and at a relatively little fished structure in the West Cameron 333 BLM lease block during research year 1980 are shown by Fig. 32. Population levels of reef-sized snapper in the BGOF were highest during the fall and spring seasons, and summer levels typically exceeded those observed during winter, except in 1978. Although some of the population fluctuations of red snapper in the BGOF were undoubtedly associated with seasonal movements, we believe most of the reductions were directly attributable



Fig. 32. Seasonal population estimates for red snapper at Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field Platform 296B (open bars) and West Cameron Platform 333A (black bars), 1978-1979.

to sportfishing. The difference in population size between the heavily-fished BGOF structure and the relatively unfished petroleum platform in an area with difficult access is apparent (Fig. 32). We received a high rate of tag returns from sportsfishermen and directly observed fishing pressure to have been heavy, particularly during the warm season. We believe that most of the annual recruitment of reef red snapper to the BGOF is harvested by sportfishermen as elaborated under RECREATIONAL FISHERIES below.

The typical seasonal size distribution of red snapper (including small specimens taken in BGOF trawls) based upon 1978-1979 data is shown by Fig. 33. Within Age Class 0 (after Bradley and Bryan 1976), two size groups were represented in fall, winter and spring--only the larger of these were represented in summer collections. Moseley (1966) stated that spawning off the Texas coast extended from early June to mid-September; Bradley and Bryan (1976) provided evidence extending the spawning period from April to as late as November. The latter authors also reported that snapper attain fork lengths of about 200 mm during their first year and grow at a rate of about 75 mm per year after Age or Year Class I. Using the above data as criteria, Age Class 0 fish in the study area during summer of 1978 were probably those of the previous summer's spawn. The smallest individuals in the Age 0 fish group represented in fall and winter collections were probably spawned in spring of 1978, grew little during the period December 1978-March 1979 and were probably represented by the two specimens between 140 and 155 mm trawled in spring 1979 (Fig. 33). The large individuals in the Age 0 group of fish represented in fall and winter 1978 collections were probably spawned in early spring of 1978 and were represented by the large Age 0 and small Age I specimens represented in spring 1979 collections. The smallest individuals in the Age 0 group of fish in spring were probably fish spawned the preceding month.

Snapper enter the hook-and-line fishery at about 200 mm (Bradley and Bryan 1976). The red snapper fishery in the BGOF is dominated by Age Classes I and II; no fish older than Age Class IV was represented in our collections (Fig. 33). The dominance of relatively young red snapper in the BGOF fishery also indicates heavy fishing pressure. Fable (1977) found that heavily fished structures and reefs were characterized by smaller and younger (mostly Age Classes I and II) red snapper than were present at less heavily fished banks (fish up to Age Class V were taken).

The diet of red snapper varied with season with winter appearing to be the most dissimilar in terms of diet (Fig. 34). During winter, red snapper were indicated to feed almost exclusively on squid, although small carangids (probably scad) were also taken. We suspect that the squid was provided by us in the form of bait and that red snapper rely primarily upon other fish as food during the winter season. The mantis shrimp, *Squilla*, was a major component of the diet of red snapper during both the summer and spring seasons with fish also well represented during summer. Shrimp, fish and swimming crabs were the most abundant food items of red snapper collected in the BGOF during fall. Results of our findings generally agree with those of other investigators (Moseley 1966, Bradley and Bryan 1976).



Fig. 33. Seasonal size distribution of red snapper in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979. White bar shows trawled specimens, black bars represent specimens collected by angling or spear. Age class designations are based on Bradley and Bryan (1976).



Fig. 34. Seasonal food habits of the red snapper in the Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field, 1978-1979.

Average Index of Fullness values for red snapper captured by angling were 68.7, 29.0 and 18.0 for morning (0600-1200 h), afternoon (1201-1800 h) and early evening hours (1801-2000 h), respectively. No specimens were obtained for the period 2000 to 0600 h. These data in combination with the above food habit data could be interpreted to indicate that red snapper feed during the night or early morning over soft bottom away from the platforms. Hastings et al. (1976) obtained similar results for lutjanids in the northeastern Gulf and the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (1980) reported that most of the benthic prey"consumed by red snapper are not obligate reef or rock dwellers and therefore the inference can be made that the species feeds away from these areas." Red snapper obtained in our study exhibited very little, if any, dependence upon the biofouling community as food.

Effects

Of the 34 red snapper examined for histopathological anomalies, 62% were characterized by gill hyperplasia and 47% by intestinal parasites, usually accompanied by intestinal inflammation, fibrosis and l sions. Gill parasites were believed largely responsible for the observed hyperplasia. No marked difference in the frequency of the various anomalies was observed for production platform *vs* satellite jacket populations or among seasons. Bacterial flora of red snapper varied seasonally with *Vibrio* sp. usually the dominant form on fish from each of the two habitats sampled. Hemolytic *Vibrio* sp., which include representatives of potential fish pathogens, were well represented on specimens from each structure during each season except fall 1978 when none were isolated from any of the samples. *Aeromonas* sp., which also contains fish pathogens, were isolated from specimens taken at Production Platform 296B in summer 1978 (27% of the total 26 colonies isolated from snapper tissue were *Aeromonas* sp.) and in spring 1979 (15% of the total 47 isolated colonies). *Aeromonas* sp. were not isolated from red snapper specimens taken at Satellite 288-5 during any season. No evidence of disease or red snapper in poor condition was observed at any location or during any season. Hydrocarbon contamination was variable but typically low (Middleditch 1980); no significant trace metal contamination was observed (Tillery 1980).

RECREATIONAL FISHERIES

Information provided by Ditton and Graefe (1978) shows that 50% of all offshore sportfishing effort along the upper Texas coast is directed at "oil" platforms and that the BGOF receives an estimated 21% of the effect (Fig. 35). Data for the BGOF obtained by Trent et al. (1977) indicated that, weather permitting, the average number of fishing boats in the BGOF ranged between one and six on weekdays and from five to 16 boats on weekend days. Fishing pressure was highest during late summer and early fall.

Of the total number of boats categorized as to type of effort, 77% were bottom fishing, 17% were trolling and 6% were being us d as a diving platform. Bottom fishing boats were concentrated around the large production platforms; divers mostly utilized the satellite jackets, perhaps to avoid the bottomfishing. The most fish were caught per



Fig. 35. Distribution of fishing effort offshore Texas coast from Freeport to Beaumont-Port Arthur area.

fisherman hour by bottom fishing. Of all species reported caught in the bottom fish fishing, red snapper comprised 80% of the catch, Atlantic spadefish 7% and bluefish comprised about 4%. The average bottom fishing boat contained 3.2 people who averaged fishing about 4 h. The number of red snapper caught per fisherman hour ranged from 0.2 (July) to 6.8 (December). Red snapper were also taken in both the trolling and diving efforts. In the former type of effort, the king mackeral was dominant.

We developed a model to simulate the BGOF recreational red snapper fishery based upon the above and the biology of the species. The purpose of the model was to evaluate the observed effort indicated at the BGOF in terms of impact on the commercial fishery and snapper stocks in the Gulf of Mexico. The results of the modeling effort produced projections of marked red snapper stock declines directly attributable to the recreational fisheries associated with petroleum platforms if as few as 100 of these platforms receive the same fishing pressure as a BGOF production platform. The Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (1980) has published data that confirm red snapper stocks are being overfished, and that this condition is directly attributable to the recreational fishery as opposed to the commercial fishery.

BIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS OVERVIEW

As depicted in Fig. 36, we conceptualize the BGOF as a large sink in the marine environment. The physical presence of the platforms is the major factor controlling, or accounting for, the three general biotic assemblages which aggregate at these sites--the biofouling, pelagic, and benthic reef fish communities. Of these, the biofouling community is the most complex. The diversity and biomass level of the biofouling community that develops is controlled by the type of perennial shelled animal (in the case of BGOF, barnacle) that dominates. Barnacles (or other shelled organisms) provide habitat diversity, space, and food for other organisms. Barnacles are preyed upon largely by sheepshead and triggerfish, species capable of crushing their prot ctive shells. In their grazing, sheepshead do not always consume all the barnacle and the remains are available to smaller predators such as blennies. Blennies, in turn, are sometimes taken by sheepshead as well as other fish predators, particularly amberjack or almaco jack. Even though cycling is undoubtedly high (Gallaway and Margraf 1979), the biofouling community probably obtains most of its food from the environment in the form of plankton and particulates flowing through the system from the environment. Some species are also recruited to the biofouling community from the environment (e.g. stone crab larvae, adult sheepshead). Losses from the biofouling community to the environment include those from reproduction, breaking-off and sloughing, as well as from man (mainly sheepshead, triggerfish, amberjacks).



Fig. 36. Conceptual model of a Buccaneer Gas and Oil Field platform community.

With th exception of a few plankton-particulate feeding pelagic species which apparently are platform residents, the pelagic predators (e.g. king mackerel, blue runner) and their prey (e.g. scaled sardine), essentially "drift" through the system as do suspended particulates but are characterized by slightly longer residence times. The known aggretory habitats at structures result in their being exposed to increased predation from the top predator from the environment--man. The benthic reef fish community, dominated in the BGOF by red snapper, is also subjected to increased predation from man. Red snapper aggregate at platforms, apparently only for purposes of cover since most of their diet is comprised of organisms from the demersal fish and macrocrustacean community.

Due to rapid dilution, the direct effects from produced water discharge on the communities appear restricted to only a few meters from the point of outfall. Petroleum contamination appears restricted to species within the biofouling community, and there was little or no evidence of any bioaccumulation by any species.

LITERATURE CITED

Anderson, J.B. and R.R. Schwarzer. 1977. Sedimentology, geochemistry and trace metal analysis. Pages 89-174. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1976-1977. NOAA Annual Report to EPA. Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO, NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB283890.

Anderson, J.B. and R.R. Schwarzer. 1979. Describe the fine sediments and nepheloid layer of the oil field, focusing upon their relationship to heavy metal absorption/determine levels, pathways and bioaccumulation of heavy metals in the marine ecosystem in oil field. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1977-1978. Volume III: Chemical and Physical Investigations. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO, NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB80107899. 722 p.

Armstrong, R.S. 1979. Describe seasonal circulation patterns in the oil field. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1977-1978. Volume III: Chemical and Physical Investigations. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO, NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB80107899. 250 p.

Armstrong, R.S. 1980. Describe seasonal circulation patterns in the oil field. *In*: W.B. Jackson and P. Wilkens (eds.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1979-1980. Volume IV.

Behrens, E.W. 1977. Total organic carbon and carbon isotopes of sediments. Pages 737-759. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1976-1966. NOAA Annual Report to EPA. Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO, NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB283890.

Boland, G.S. 1980. Morphological parameters of the barnacle, *Balanus tintinnabulum autillensis*, as indicators of physiological and environmental conditions. M.S. Thesis. Texas A&M University. College Station. 69 p.

Bradley, E. and C.E. Bryan. 1976. Life history and fishery of the red snapper (*Lutjanus campechanus*) in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Pages 77-106. *In*: Proceedings:Colloquium of snapper-grouper fishery resources of the Western central Atlantic Ocean. Rep. Florida Sea Grant Program (No. 17). 336 p.

Brooks, J.M., E.L. Estes and W.H. Huang. 1980. Investigations of surficial sediments and suspended particulates at Buccaneer Field. *In*: W.B. Jackson and P. Wilkens (eds.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1978-1979. Volume II. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO.

Dahlberg, M.D. 1975. Guide to coastal fishes of Georgia and nearby states. University of Georgia Press. Athens.

Danek, L. and M. Tomlinson. 1980. Currents and hydrography. *In*: W.B. Jackson and P. Wilkens (eds.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1978-1979. Volume VI. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project No. EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO.

Ditton, R.B. and A.R. Graefe. 1978. Recreational fishing use of artificial reefs on the Texas Coast. Texas A&M Univ., Dept. of Recreation and Parks. College Station, Texas. 155 p.

Emiliani, D., K.N. Baxter, W.B. Jackson, J. Morgan, G. Zamora and L. Lansford. 1977. Demersal finfish and macrocrustaceans collected in the vicinity of Buccaneer Oil Field during 1976-1977. Pages 275-310. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1976-1977. NOAA Annual Report to EPA. Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO, NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB283890.

Fable, W.A., Jr. 1977. Snapper/Grouper study. Environmental studies of the South Texas outer continental shelf. Report to the Bureau of Land Management. National Marine Fisheries Service, Panama City, Florida. 235 p.

Finucane, J.H., L.A. Collins and L.E. Barger. 1979. Determine the effects of discharges on seasonal abundance, distribution, and composition of ichthyoplankton in the oil field. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1977-1978. Volume II: Data Management and Biological Investigations. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO. NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB80165970.

Fotheringham, N. 1977. Effects of offshore oil field structures on their biotic environment: benthos and plankton. Pages 487-550. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1976-1977. NOAA Annual Report to EPA. Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO, NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB283890.

Gallaway, B.J. 1980. Structure-associated communities, pelagic, reef, demersal fishes and macrocrustaceans. *In*: W.B. Jackson and P. Wilkens (eds.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Milestone Report to NOAA. EPA Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO. NOAA.

Gallaway, B.J. and F.J. Margraf. 1978. Simulation modeling of biological communities associated with a production platform in the Buccaneer oil and gas field. Annual report to National Marine Fisheries Service, Galveston, Texas. LGL Limited-U.S., Inc., Bryan, Texas. 58 p.

Gallaway, B.J. and L.R. Martin. 1980. Effect of gas and oil field structures and effluents on pelagic and reef fishes, and demersal fishes and macrocrustaceans. *In*: W.B. Jackson and P. Wilkens (eds.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Volume III. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO.

Gallaway, B.J., R. Howard, K. Green and L. Martin. 1976. A study plan for the Buccaneer Oil Field assessment program. Report to National Marine Fisheries Service, Gulf Fishery Laboratory, Galveston, Texas. LGL Limited-U.S., Inc. Bryan, Texas. 69 p.

Gallaway, B.J., M.F. Johnson, R.L. Howard, L.R. Martin and G.S. Boland. 1979a. A study of the effects of Buccaneer oil field structures and associated effluents on biofouling communities and the Atlantic spadefish (*Chaetodipterus faber*). *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1977-1978. Volume II: Data Management and Biological Investigations. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO. NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB80165970.

Gallaway, B.J., M.F. Johnson, G.S. Boland, G.S. Lewbel, L.R. Martin, F.J. Margraf and R.L. Howard. 1979b. The artificial reef studies. *In*: Ecological investigations of petroleum production platforms in the central Gulf of Mexico. Final report to Southwest Research Institute for the Bureau of Land Management. Washington, D.C. 108 p. + appendices.

Giles, R.C., L.R. Brown and C.D. Minchew. 1978. Bacteriological aspects of fin erosion in mullet exposed to crude oil. J. Fish. Biol. 13:113-117.

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council. 1980. Draft environmental impact statement and fishery management plan and regulatory analysis and proposed regulations, reef fish resources of the Gulf of Mexico. Report to Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C. 147 p.

GURC, Gulf Universities Research Consortium. 1974. The offshore ecology investigation. Gulf Universities Research Consortium, Galveston, Texas. 9 p.

Harper, D.E., Jr. 1977. Distribution and abundance of macrobenthic and meiobenthic organisms. Pages 175-274. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1976-1977. NOAA Annual Report to EPA. Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO, NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB283890.

Harper, D.E., Jr., R.J. Scrudato and C.S. Giam. 1976. A preliminary environmental assessment of the Buccaneer Oil/Gas Field (Pilot Study of the Buccaneer Oil Field (benthos and sediments). A final report from Texas A&M University to the National Marine Fisheries Service, Galveston, Texas (Contract No. 03-6-042-35110). 63 p.

Hastings, R.W., L.H. Ogren and M.T. Mabry. 1976. Observations on the fish fauna associated with offshore platforms in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Fish. Bull. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv. 74:387-402.

Howard, R.L., G.S. Boland, B.J. Gallaway and G.D. Dennis. 1980. Effects of gas and oil field structures and effluents on fouling community production and function. *In:* W.B. Jackson and P. Wilkens (eds.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Volume V. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project No. EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO.

Jackson, W.B. (Editor). 1977. Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1976-1977. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO, NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB283890. 759 p.

Jackson, W.B. (Editor). 1979a. Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1977-1978. Volume I: Synopsis. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO, NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB301339/AS. 62 p.

Jackson, W.B. (Editor). 1979b. Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1977-1978. Volume II: Data Management and Biological Investigations. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO. NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB80165970. 799 p.

Jackson, W.B. (Editor). 1979c. Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1977-1978. Volume III: Chemical and Physical Investigations. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO. NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB80107899. 722 p.

Jackson, W.B. and E.P.H. Wilkens (Editors). 1980. Synopsis. *In*: Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1978-1979. Volume I - Synopsis/Data Management. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO. (In press.)

Klima, E.F. and D.A. Wickham. 1971. Attraction of coastal pelagic fishes with artificial structures. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 100: 86-96.

Martin, J.A. 1977. Hydrography of the Buccaneer Oil Field and adjacent waters. Pages 575-632. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1976-1977. NOAA Annual Report to EPA. Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO. NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB283890.

Middleditch, B.S. 1977. Hydrocarbon levels and pathways in the major components of a marine ecosystem. Pages 633-736. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1976-1977. NOAA Annual Report to EPA. Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO, NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB283890.

Middleditch, B.S. 1979. Determine levels, pathways, and bioaccumulation of selected discharge constituents (non-metals) in the marine ecosystem in the oil field/hydrocarbon modeling. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico, 1977-1978. Volume III: Chemical and Physical Investigations. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO. NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB80107899. 722 p.

Middleditch, B.S. and D.L. West. 1980. Hydrocarbons, biocides and sulfur. *In*: W.B. Jackson and P. Wilkens (eds.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Volume VII. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO.

Minchew, C.D. and J.D. Yarbrough. 1977. The occurrence of fin rot in mullet (*Mugul cephalus*) associated with crude oil contamination of an estuarine pond-ecosystem. J. Fish. Biol. 10:319-323.

Moseley, F.N. 1966. Biology of the red snapper, *Lutjanus aya* Bloch, of the Northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Publs. Inst. Mar. Sci. Univ. Tex. 11:90-101.

Nichoul, J.C. (Editor). 1975. Modeling of marine systems. Elsevier Scientific. New York.

Pew, P. 1971. Food and game fishes of the Texas coast. Bulletin No. 33. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. Austin.

Sizemore, R.K. 1980. Bacterial communities. *In*: W.B. Jackson and P. Wilkens (eds.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Volume IV. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project No. EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO.

Smedes, G., J. Calman and J. Beebe. 1980. Hydrodynamic modeling. *In*: W.B. Jackson and P. Wilkens (eds.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1978-1979. Volume X. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project No. EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO.

Tillery, J.B. 1980. Trace metals. *In*: W.B. Jackson and P. Wilkens (eds.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Volume VIII. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project No. EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO.

Trent, L., I.K. Workman, S. Dime and C. Jones. 1977. Recreational fisheries and the distribution of predatory pelagic fishes. Pages 311-338. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1976-1977. NOAA Annual Report to EPA. Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO, NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB283890.

Wickham, D.A., J.W. Watson, Jr. and L.H. Ogren. 1973. The efficiency of midwater artificial structures for attracting pelagic sport fish. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 102:563-572.

Workman, I.K. and C.E. Jones. 1979. Determine effects of oil field discharges on species composition and abundance of pelagic fishes and demersal fishes and macrocrustaceans in the oil field. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1977-1978. Volume II:Data Management and Biological Investigations. NOAA Annual Report to EPA. Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO. NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB80165970.

Zein-Eldin, Z.P. and P.M. Keney. 1979. Pages 2.3.4-1-2.3.4-25. *In*: W.B. Jackson (ed.), Environmental assessment of an active oil field in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico 1977-1978. Volume II:Data Management and Biological Investigations. NOAA Annual Report to EPA, Project Number EPA-IAG-DS-E693-EO. NOAA, NTIS Accession No. PB80165970.